

MANNHEIM-D'OCAGNE-KOENDERINK TYPE FORMULAS FOR ASYMPTOTIC DIRECTIONS

TOSHIZUMI FUKUI, ATSUFUMI HONDA, AND MASAACKI UMEHARA

ABSTRACT. We consider a surface embedded in the Euclidean 3-space and fix a tangential vector \mathbf{v} at a given point p on the surface. In this paper, we first review a history of the formula obtained by Mannheim, d'Ocagne and Koenderink, which asserts that the Gaussian curvature of the surface at p can be obtained if one knows “the normal curvature at p with respect to \mathbf{v} ” and “the curvature of the contour line Γ of the surface at p ” with respect to the orthogonal projection induced by \mathbf{v} . Unfortunately, this formula does not work when \mathbf{v} points in an asymptotic direction. When \mathbf{v} is just the case, we give analogues of the formula, which include an invariant of cusp singular points of Γ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedding, where U is a simply connected domain of \mathbb{R}^2 . Then we can fix a unit normal vector field ν of f defined on U . We fix $p \in U$ and a non-zero tangent vector $\mathbf{v} \in T_p U$ at $p \in U$. We set $V := df_p(\mathbf{v})$. We consider the following three mutually orthogonal planes in \mathbb{R}^3 passing through the point $f(p)$;

- the *tangential plane* \mathbf{T}_p which is perpendicular to the unit normal vector ν_p of f at p ,
- the plane N_V spanned by ν_p and V , called the *normal plane containing* V ,
- the *V-plane* Π_V , which is perpendicular to the vector V .

For example, if $f(x, y) := (x, y, xy)$, $p := (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{v} := (\partial/\partial y)_p$, then \mathbf{T}_p is the xy -plane, N_V is the yz -plane and Π_V is the xz -plane.

Let $\pi_V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \Pi_V$ be the orthogonal projection to the V -plane. We denote by K_p (resp. $\kappa_p(\mathbf{v})$) the Gaussian curvature (resp. the normal curvature with respect to the direction \mathbf{v}) of f at p . If $\kappa_p(\mathbf{v}) \neq 0$, then the image of the projection $g := \pi_V \circ f$ is bounded by a regular curve Γ in the plane Π_V , which is called the *contour line* of f with respect to V . For example, if we consider an elliptic paraboloid or a hyperbolic paraboloid given by

$$f_{\pm}(x, y) := (x, y, 2x^2 \pm y^2),$$

then by setting $p := (0, 0)$ and $V := (0, 1, 0)$, the figures of f_{\pm} and $g_{\pm} = \pi_V \circ f_{\pm}$ are indicated in Fig. 1.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A10, 53B30; 35M10.

Key words and phrases. contour line, asymptotic direction, Mannheim-d'Ocagne-Koenderink's formula.




FIGURE 1. The image of f_+ and its projection g_+ (left) and the image of f_- and its projection g_- (right)

It is well-known that the normal curvature $\kappa_p(\mathbf{v})$ of the surface f at p with respect to the tangential direction \mathbf{v} is written as

$$(1.1) \quad \kappa_p(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda_1(p) \cos^2 \varphi + \lambda_2(p) \sin^2 \varphi,$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are principal curvature functions and φ is the angle between the tangential direction \mathbf{v} and the principal direction with respect to λ_1 , which was established by Euler in 1760.

We now assume that the Gaussian curvature K_p of f at p does not vanish. In 1866, Mannheim [7, Pages 307–310] gave the following formula of the curvature radius R_p of the contour line Γ at $g(p) = \pi_V \circ f(p)$;

$$R_p = \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_1(p)} \sin^2 \varphi + \frac{1}{\lambda_2(p)} \cos^2 \varphi \right|,$$

which is also found in his book [8]. This formula can be considered as the dual of (1.1) (cf. Blaschke [2, Page 118], see also Kruppa [6] where the duality is explained from a more geometric point of view). In fact, the geodesic curvature $\mu(p) = \pm 1/R_p$ of Γ at $g(p)$ of the contour line Γ at $g(p) = \pi_V \circ f(p)$ satisfies

$$\mu(p)\kappa_p(\mathbf{v}) = \pm\lambda_1(p)\lambda_2(p) = \pm K_p.$$

In particular,

$$(1.2) \quad |K_p| = |\mu(p)\kappa_p(\mathbf{v})|$$

holds. Although Mannheim might recognize this, d’Ocagne [10] is the first person who pointed out (1.2). Moreover, he gave a new proof of it. After that, in 1985, Koenderink [4] rediscovered it and pointed out the convexity and concavity of the projection. In fact, he actually wrote in [4] that *a convexity of the contour corresponds to a convex patch of the surface, and a concavity to a saddle-shaped patch*. He also explained this subject in his book [5] from the view point of geometric shape generation. In other word, he found the formula

$$(1.3) \quad K_p = \mu(p)\kappa_p(\mathbf{v}),$$

where $\mu(p)$ is positive (resp. negative) when the image of $g := \Pi_V \circ f$ is locally convex (resp. concave) at p . So, we call the formula the *Mannheim-d’Ocagne-Koenderink formula*. A modern proof of (1.3) is given in [13, Chapter 4].

Remark 1.1. In almost all recent papers, this formula was cited as Koen-derink's formula. In fact, the authors also used this naming, but very re-cently, Professor Farid Tari informed us of the work of d'Ocagne. In Anjyo-Kabata [1], this formula was called d'Ocagne formula.

The formula does not apply when \mathbf{v} is an asymptotic direction. In just such a case, Γ has a singularity. Using an information at the singular point, we will give similar formulas (cf. Theorem 1.7). For example, if $f_0(x, y) := (x, y, xy)$ and $V := (0, 1, 0)$, then the singular set of $g_0 := \pi_V \circ f_0$ is the y -axis and the image of the singular points of g_0 is just the origin $(0, 0)$ (see Fig. 2, top row). However, since the singular points of f_0 are degenerate into a single point, we consider the following map including the perturbation term y^3 .

$$f_1(x, y) := (x, y, xy + y^3).$$

If we set $V := (0, 1, 0)$, then the singular set of $g_1 := \pi_V \circ f_1$ is the parabola $x = -3y^2$ in the domain of definition of f_1 and so the contour line of f_1 is given by

$$\Gamma(y) := g_1(-3y^2, y) = (-3y^2, -2y^3),$$

which is a plane curve in the xz -plane having a cusp singular point at $o := (0, 0)$ (cf. Fig. 2, bottom row). The origin o gives a Whitney cusp (see the appendix) of the map g_1 .




FIGURE 2. The image of f_0 and its projection g_0 (left) and the image of f_1 and its projection g_1 (right)

We consider an embedding $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined on a domain U in the uv -plane \mathbb{R}^2 . We fix $p \in U$ at which the Gaussian curvature K_p is negative. Since f is an embedding, we often identify a point of U with its image by f and a tangent vector to U with a tangent vector to the image, for the sake of simplicity. Let $\mathbf{v} \in T_p U$ be a tangent vector of f at p giving an asymptotic direction.

Definition 1.2. We call the section \mathcal{S}_p of the image of f by the normal plane N_V the *asymptotic normal section* at p . Then, \mathcal{S}_p is the curve with an inflection point at $f(p)$. The derivative of the curvature function of the curve \mathcal{S}_p with respect to the arc-length parameter is denoted by $\rho_p(\mathbf{v})$ and is called *vertical torsion* at p with respect to the asymptotic direction \mathbf{v} .

By definition, the vertical torsion $\rho_p(\mathbf{v})$ gives the information of the surface at p with respect to the normal plane N_V . We may assume that $f(p)$ is the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 and the vector

$$\mathbf{e}_3 := (0, 0, 1) \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbf{e}_2 := (0, 1, 0))$$

points in the normal direction of f at p (resp. the same direction as $df_p(\mathbf{v})$). Then N_V is the yz -plane and the graph of one of the cubic polynomials $z = \pm\rho_p(\mathbf{v})y^3/6$ gives the best approximation of \mathcal{S}_p at $y = 0$ in the yz -plane among cubic polynomials in y .

Definition 1.3. Let I be an open interval containing the origin of \mathbb{R} and $\sigma(t)$ ($t \in I$) a regular curve in the domain $U(\subset \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\sigma(0) = p$. Then $f \circ \sigma$ (resp. σ) is called an *asymptotic tangential curve* (resp. a *generator of asymptotic tangential curve*) at p (with respect to \mathbf{v}) if $f \circ \sigma(I)$ lies in the tangent plane \mathbf{T}_p of f passing through $f(p)$ satisfying $\sigma'(0) = \mathbf{v}$, where $\sigma' = d\sigma/dt$. We give the orientation of \mathbf{T}_p so that $(\mathbf{v}, \nu_p \times \mathbf{v})$ gives a positive frame. Since σ is a regular curve in the oriented plane \mathbf{T}_p , we can define $\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})$ by the curvature function of the curve σ at p as a plane curve, which is called the *horizontal curvature* at p with respect to the asymptotic direction \mathbf{v} .

By definition, the horizontal curvature $\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})$ gives the information of the surface at p with respect to the tangential plane \mathbf{T}_p . On the other hand, there exists a regular curve $\tau : I \rightarrow U$ defined on an open interval I containing the origin in \mathbb{R} satisfying the following:

- (1) $\tau(0) = p$ and $\tau'(0)$ is a positive scalar multiplication of \mathbf{v} , and
- (2) for each $t \in I$, the velocity vector $\tau'(t)$ points in the asymptotic direction at $\tau(t) \in U$ of f .

The curve τ is called the *asymptotic curve* of p with respect to the asymptotic direction \mathbf{v} .

Definition 1.4. We set $\hat{\tau}(t) := f \circ \tau(t)$. The curvature $\beta_p(\mathbf{v})(> 0)$ (resp. the torsion $\delta_p(\mathbf{v})(\in \mathbb{R})$) of the space curve $\hat{\tau}$ at $t = 0$ is called the *asymptotic curvature* (resp. *the asymptotic torsion*) of f at p with respect to the asymptotic direction \mathbf{v} .

It holds the following remarkable property (cf. [12, Chapter 4]):

Fact 1.5 (Beltrami-Enneper). $|\delta_p(\mathbf{v})|$ coincides with $\sqrt{-K_p}$.

We will give a proof of the fact in Section 3. The two invariants $\beta_p(\mathbf{v})$ and $\delta_p(\mathbf{v})$ are related to the invariants α_p and ρ_p as follows:

Proposition 1.6. Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedding and p a point on U at which the Gaussian curvature K_p of f is negative. Let $\mathbf{v} \in T_p U$ be a tangent vector of f at p giving an asymptotic direction. Then

$$|\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})| = \frac{2}{3}\beta_p(\mathbf{v}), \quad |\delta_p(\mathbf{v})|\beta_p(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{|\rho_p(\mathbf{v})|}{2}$$

holds. In particular (cf. Fact 1.5), the following formula holds

$$(1.4) \quad K_p = -\frac{\rho_p(\mathbf{v})^2}{9\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})^2}.$$

This proposition will be proved in Sections 3 and 4. Since K_p is written as a product of the invariants with respect to the planes N_V and \mathbf{T}_p , (1.4) can be seen as a variant of the Mannheim-d'Ocagne-Koenderink formula for asymptotic directions as well as the other two formulas (1.6) and (1.7) which we will discuss later.

We next consider a smooth map $\Gamma : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) giving a cusp at $t = 0$. Then the value

$$(1.5) \quad \mu_\Gamma := \frac{\det(\Gamma''(0), \Gamma'''(0))}{|\Gamma''(0)|^{5/2}}$$

is called the *cuspidal curvature* of Γ at $t = 0$, which is an invariant of Γ at the cusp $t = 0$, where “det” denotes the determinant when each component is considered a column vector. It is well-known that the absolute value of the curvature $\kappa(t)$ ($t \neq 0$) at a point $\Gamma(t)$ is the inverse of the radius of the best approximated circle at the point. The above invariant μ_Γ is introduced by imitating the property of $\kappa(t)$, that is, the absolute value μ_Γ at the cusp of Γ is equal to \sqrt{a} when the best approximated cycloid at $\Gamma(0)$ the cycloid obtained by rolling a circle of radius a ($a > 0$). (cf. [13, Example 1.3.13]). The relationship between μ_Γ and the behavior of $\kappa(t)$ is explained in [11, Theorem 1.1]. The following is the main result:

Theorem 1.7. *Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedding and p a point on U at which the Gaussian curvature K_p of f is negative. If $\mathbf{v} \in T_p U$ is a non-zero vector giving an asymptotic direction of f at p , then the following four assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The horizontal curvature $\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})$ does not vanish.*
- (2) *The vertical torsion $\rho_p(\mathbf{v})$ does not vanish.*
- (3) *The contour line Γ of $g := \pi_V \circ f$ gives a cusp in the plane Π_V (like as in Fig. 2, right), where $V := df_p(\mathbf{v})$.*
- (4) *The point p is a Whitney cusp (see the appendix) of the map g .*

Moreover, let $\omega_p(\mathbf{v})(:= \mu_\Gamma)$ be the cuspidal curvature of Γ at $\pi_V \circ f(p)$. Under the assumption that one of the above these three conditions hold, the following two equations hold;

$$(1.6) \quad K_p^3 = -\frac{\rho_p(\mathbf{v})^2 \omega_p(\mathbf{v})^4}{16},$$

$$(1.7) \quad K_p = -\frac{3}{4} |\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})| \omega_p(\mathbf{v})^2.$$

We have noted that (1.4) is the formula of K_p with respect to the planes N_V and \mathbf{T}_p . On the other hand, the first formula (1.6) is related to the planes N_V and Π_V , and the second formula (1.7) is related to the planes \mathbf{T}_p and Π_V . Hence, all of these three formulas can be considered as analogues of the Mannheim-d'Ocagne-Koenderink formula with respect to asymptotic directions. Moreover, (1.6) and (1.7) represent the Gaussian curvature K_p based on the geometric invariants of the asymptotic direction of the surface before projection and $\omega_p(\mathbf{v})$. We remark that a different formula giving a geometric meaning of $K_p/\omega_p(\mathbf{v})$ has been shown in [3].

Remark 1.8. By (1.4), (1.6) and (1.7), the four invariants K_p , $\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})$, $\omega_p(\mathbf{v})$ and $\rho_p(\mathbf{v})$ are determined if any two of them are given.

2. GENERAL SETTINGS

We fix an embedding $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and a point $p \in U$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $p := o$ ($o := (0, 0)$). Then we can write $f(x, y) = (x, y, \varphi(x, y))$ where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad p = (0, 0), \quad \varphi(o) = \varphi_x(o) = \varphi_y(o) = 0,$$

where $\varphi_x := \partial\varphi/\partial x$ and $\varphi_y := \partial\varphi/\partial y$. We suppose that $\mathbf{v} := \partial/\partial y$ is the asymptotic direction at p . Then, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_{yy}(o) = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1. $K_p = -\varphi_{xy}(o)^2$ holds.

Proof. By (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad K_p = \varphi_{xx}(o)\varphi_{yy}(o) - \varphi_{xy}(o)^2 = -\varphi_{xy}(o)^2,$$

proving the assertion. □

We remark that

$$(2.4) \quad N(x, y) := (-\varphi_x(x, y), -\varphi_y(x, y), 1)$$

gives a normal vector field of f . Since the geodesic curvature $\kappa(x)$ of the regular curve of the xy -plane given by the graph $y = ax^3$ at $x = 0$ satisfies $d\kappa/ds(0) = 6a$ (where s is the arclength parameter), the following assertion is obtained:

Proposition 2.2. The vertical torsion $\rho_p(\mathbf{v})$ of f at p with respect to the asymptotic direction \mathbf{v} is given by $\rho_p(\mathbf{v}) = \varphi_{yyy}(o)$.

3. ASYMPTOTIC CURVATURES AND ASYMPTOTIC TORSIONS

Let τ be an asymptotic curve passing through o with respect to \mathbf{v} . We can write

$$\tau(y) = (x(y), y), \quad x'(0) = 0.$$

If we set $\hat{\tau}(y) := f \circ \tau(y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau}' &= (x', 1, \varphi_x x' + \varphi_y), \\ \hat{\tau}'' &= (x'', 0, \varphi_x x'' + \varphi_{xx} x'^2 + 2\varphi_{xy} x' + \varphi_{yy}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $x'(0) = 0$ and $\varphi_x(o) = 0$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{\tau}'''(0) = (x'''(0), 0, 3x''(0)\varphi_{xy}(o) + \varphi_{yyy}(o)).$$

By (2.1) with $x'(0) = 0$, we have $\hat{\tau}'(0) = (0, 1, 0)$. Moreover, by (2.2), we have $\hat{\tau}''(0) = (x''(0), 0, 0)$. So

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_p(\mathbf{v}) = |x''(0)|$$

is obtained. By (3.1), we also have

$$\det(\hat{\tau}'(0), \hat{\tau}''(0), \hat{\tau}'''(0)) = -x''(0) \left(3x''(0)\varphi_{xy}(o) + \varphi_{yyy}(o) \right),$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_p(\mathbf{v}) = -\frac{3x''(0)\varphi_{xy}(o) + \varphi_{yyy}(o)}{x''(0)}.$$

Since τ is an asymptotic curve, we have

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = \hat{\tau}'' \cdot N(x(y), y) = x'(2\varphi_{xy} + x'\varphi_{xx}) + \varphi_{yy},$$

where the dot “ \cdot ” means the canonical inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 . After differentiating this equality, we use (2.2) and $x'(0) = 0$. Then we have

$$0 = 2\varphi_{xy}(o)x''(0) + \varphi_{yyy}(o),$$

which implies $x''(0) = -\varphi_{yyy}(o)/2\varphi_{xy}(o)$. By this with (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_p(\mathbf{v}) = \left| \frac{\varphi_{yyy}(o)}{2\varphi_{xy}(o)} \right|, \quad \delta_p(\mathbf{v}) = -\varphi_{xy}(o).$$

By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, (3.5) is rewritten as

$$(3.6) \quad 2\beta_p(\mathbf{v})|\delta_p(\mathbf{v})| = |\rho_p(\mathbf{v})|, \quad |\delta_p(\mathbf{v})| = \sqrt{-K_p}.$$

In particular, Fact 1.5 and the second assertion of Proposition 1.6 and the equality

$$(3.7) \quad K_p = -\frac{\rho_p(\mathbf{v})^2}{4\beta_p(\mathbf{v})^2}$$

are obtained.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.6

We next prove the remaining statement of Proposition 1.6: The pair of asymptotic tangential curves is obtained as the section of the image of f by the xy -plane, that is, the union of the image of the two asymptotic tangential curves coincide with the following set:

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; \varphi(x, y) = 0\}.$$

Let $\sigma(t)$ ($|t| < \varepsilon$) be the generator of asymptotic tangential curve of f at o in the xy -plane such that $\sigma(0) = o$ and $\sigma'(0) (\neq \mathbf{0})$ points in the y -axis. So we may write $\sigma(t) = (\xi(t), t)$ where $\xi(t)$ is a smooth function defined around $t = 0$. By definition, we have $\xi'(0) = 0$. By the definition of σ , we have $\varphi(\xi(t), t) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_y + \varphi_x \xi' &= 0, \quad \varphi_{yy} + \varphi_x \xi'' + 2\xi' \varphi_{xy} + \varphi_{xx} \xi'^2 = 0, \\ \varphi_{yyy} + \varphi_x \xi''' + 3\varphi_{xy} \xi'' + 3\varphi_{xx} \xi' \xi'' + 3\varphi_{xyy} \xi' & \\ + 3\varphi_{xxy} \xi'^2 + \varphi_{xxx} \xi'^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The fact $\xi'(0) = 0$ with (2.1) and (2.2), the third equation implies that $3\xi''(0) = -\varphi_{yyy}(o)/\varphi_{xy}(o)$. So we have

$$\sigma'(0) = (0, 1), \quad \sigma''(0) = \left(-\frac{\varphi_{yyy}(o)}{3\varphi_{xy}(o)}, 0 \right),$$

which imply

$$\alpha_p(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\det(\sigma', \sigma'')}{|\sigma'|^3} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{\varphi_{yyy}(o)}{3\varphi_{xy}(o)}.$$

By this with (3.5), we have

$$(4.1) \quad |\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})| = \frac{2}{3}\beta_p(\mathbf{v}).$$

This proves the first assertion of Proposition 1.6. Moreover, by (4.1) and (3.7), we obtain the third assertion of Proposition 1.6. Since we have proved the second assertion (at the end of Section 3), Proposition 1.6 is completely proved.

5. CUSPIDAL CURVATURES OF CONTOUR LINES

In this section, we consider an embedding $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and set $g := \pi_V \circ f$. We fix $p \in U$. Without loss of generality, we may assume (2.1), (2.2) and $K_p \neq 0$. So we may set $p := (0, 0)(= o)$. Then we have

$$(5.1) \quad g(x, y) = (x, \varphi(x, y)).$$

Using this expression, we compute the derivatives of the contour line (i.e. the singular set of g): We denote by J the Jacobian of g . Since

$$(5.2) \quad J = \varphi_y,$$

the fact $\varphi_{xy}(o) \neq 0$ implies that there exists a smooth function $\psi(y)$ defined around $y = 0$ satisfying $\psi(0) = 0$ such that $\gamma(y) := (\psi(y), y)$ gives the parametrization of the singular set of g in the xy -plane. Then

$$\Gamma(y) := g \circ \gamma(y) = (\psi(y), \varphi(\psi(y), y))$$

is the contour line of the image of g . Since φ_y vanishes identically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma' &= (\psi', \varphi_x \psi'), & \Gamma'' &= (\psi'', \varphi_x \psi'' + \varphi_{xy} \psi' + \varphi_{xx} \psi'^2), \\ \Gamma''' &= (\psi''', \varphi_x \psi''' + 2\varphi_{xy} \psi'' + 3\varphi_{xx} \psi' \psi'' \\ &\quad + \varphi_{xxx} (\psi')^3 + 2\varphi_{xxy} \psi'^2 + \varphi_{xyy} \psi'). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) with $\psi'(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'(0) &= (0, 0), & \Gamma''(0) &= (\psi''(0), 0), \\ \Gamma'''(0) &= (\psi'''(0), 2\varphi_{xy}(o)\psi''(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Differentiating the identity $\varphi_y(\psi(y), y) = 0$ twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{xy} \psi' + \varphi_{yy} &= 0, \\ \varphi_{xxy} \psi'^2 + \varphi_{xy} \psi'' + 2\varphi_{xyy} \psi' + \varphi_{yyy} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_{xy}(o) \neq 0$, substitute $y = 0$, we obtain

$$\psi'(0) = 0, \quad \psi''(0) = -\frac{\varphi_{yyy}(o)}{\varphi_{xy}(o)}.$$

So, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \Gamma''(0) = \left(-\frac{\varphi_{yyy}(o)}{\varphi_{xy}(o)}, 0 \right), \quad \Gamma'''(0) = (\psi'''(0), -2\varphi_{xyy}(o))$$

and

$$\det(\Gamma''(0), \Gamma'''(0)) = \frac{2\varphi_{xyy}(o)^2}{\varphi_{xy}(o)}.$$

Since $\varphi_{xy}(o)$ is non-zero, $y = 0$ is a cusp singular point of Γ if and only if $\varphi_{xyy}(o) \neq 0$ (that is, $\omega_p(\mathbf{v}) \neq 0$), proving the equivalency of (a) and

(c). On the other hand, (3.6) implies the equivalency of (a) and (b). The Jacobian of g is given as (5.2) under the setting (5.1). Since $K_p < 0$, (2.3) implies $J_x(p) \neq 0$. In particular, p is a non-degenerate singular point (cf. Definition 5.1) of g . Then, the condition that p is a Whitney cusp for g is equivalent to the condition “ $J_y(o) = 0$ and $J_{yy}(o) \neq 0$ ” (cf. Fact 5.2 in the appendix), which can be rewritten as “ $\varphi_{yy}(o) = 0$ and $\varphi_{yyy}(o) \neq 0$ ”. By (2.2), $\varphi_{yy}(o) = 0$ holds. So (d) holds if and only if $\psi_{yyy}(o) \neq 0$, proving the equivalency of (a) and (d).

We prove (1.6) and (1.7): By (5.3) and (1.5), we have

$$|\omega_p(\mathbf{v})| (= |\mu_\Gamma|) = 2 \frac{\varphi_{yyy}(o)^2}{|\varphi_{xy}(o)|} \frac{|\varphi_{xy}(o)|^{5/2}}{|\varphi_{yyy}(o)|^{5/2}} = 2 \frac{|\varphi_{xy}(o)|^{3/2}}{|\varphi_{yyy}(o)|^{1/2}}$$

and

$$\rho_p(\mathbf{v})^2 \omega_p(\mathbf{v})^4 = 16 \varphi_{yyy}(o)^2 \frac{|\varphi_{xy}(o)|^6}{|\varphi_{yyy}(o)|^2} = 16 \varphi_{xy}(o)^6 = -16K_p^3,$$

proving (1.6). By (3.5) and (4.1), we have

$$|\alpha_p(\mathbf{v})| \omega_p(\mathbf{v})^2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{|\varphi_{yyy}(o)|}{|\varphi_{xy}(o)|} \frac{4|\varphi_{xy}(o)|^3}{|\varphi_{yyy}(o)|} = \frac{4}{3} |\varphi_{xy}(o)|^2 = -\frac{4}{3} K_p,$$

proving (1.7). □

Our map $g := \pi_V \circ f$ ($V := df_p(\mathbf{v})$) admits a normal vector field $\nu := (0, 1, 0)$, and $p \in U$ is a non-degenerate singular point of the second kind as in [9]. Imitating the argument in [9, Page 272-273] for swallowtails, one can show that $|\omega_p(\mathbf{v})|$ coincides with the limiting singular curvature of the map g .

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Hans Havlicek, Kentaro Saji and Shintaro Akamine for valuable comments.

APPENDIX: WHITNEY CUSPS

Consider a C^∞ -map $g : (U; u, v) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2; x, y)$. By using the Jacobian J of g . Then the singular set of g is expressed as the implicit function $J(u, v) = 0$.

Definition 5.1. A singular point $p \in U$ is called *non-degenerate*, if (J_u, J_v) does not vanish at p . Moreover, $p \in U$ is called a *Whitney cusp singular point* if g is right-left equivalent to the map germ $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v^3 - 3uv)$ around p .

An example of Whitney cusp is given in the rightmost picture in Fig. 2. The following fact is well-known (cf. [14]):

Fact 5.2. *Let $p \in U$ be a point satisfying $g_v(p) = \mathbf{0}$. Then p is a fold (resp. a Whitney cusp) singular point if p is non-degenerate and $J_v(p) \neq 0$ (resp. $J_v(p) = 0$ and $J_{vv}(p) \neq 0$).*

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Anjyo and Y. Kabata, *A view-parametric extension of the d’Ocagne formula for a surface in \mathbb{R}^3* , Preprint (arXiv:2310.05087).
- [2] W. Blaschke, *Kreis und Kugel*, Leipzig (1916).
- [3] T. Fukui, M. Hasegawa and K. Saji, *Extensions of Koenderink’s formula*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT **10** (2016), 42–59.
- [4] J. J. Koenderink, *What does the occluding contour tell us about solid shape?*, Perception **13** (1984), 321–330.
- [5] J. J. Koenderink, *Solid shape*, MIT Press Series in Artificial Intelligence. MIT Press (1990).
- [6] E. Kruppa, *Über die dualen Gegenstücke zum Meusnierschen und Eulerschen Satz der Flächentheorie*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II, Ser. 1, (1952), 209–216.
- [7] A. Mannheim, *Transformation par polaires réciproques des propriétés relatives aux rayons de courbure*, Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées, **11** (1866), 193–210.
- [8] A. Mannheim, *Cours de géométrie descriptive de l’École Polytechnique, comprenant les éléments de la géométrie cinématique*. Paris. Gauthier-Villars (1880).
- [9] L. Martins, K. Saji, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Behavior of Gaussian curvature and mean curvature near non-degenerate singular points on wave fronts*, Geometry and Topology of Manifolds, 247–281, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **154**, Springer, Shanghai (2016).
- [10] M. d’Ocagne, *Sur la courbure du contour apparent d’une surface projetée orthogonalement*, Nouvelles annales de mathématiques **14** (1895), 262–264.
- [11] S. Shiba and M. Umehara, *The behavior of curvature functions at cusps and inflection points*, Differential Geom. Appl. **30** (2012), 285–299.
- [12] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Volume 3*, Publish or Perish, Inc. (1979).
- [13] M. Umehara, K. Saji and K. Yamada, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces with singularities*, Series in algebraic and differential geometry, World Scientific (2021).
- [14] H. Whitney, *On Singularities of Mappings of Euclidean Spaces I*, Mappings of the Plane into the Plane, Ann. of Math. **62** (1955), 374–410.

(Toshizumi Fukui) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY, 255, SHIMO-OKUBO, SAITAMA, 338-8570, JAPAN

Email address: `tfukui@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp`

(Atsufumi Honda) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 240-8501, JAPAN

Email address: `honda-atsufumi-kp@ynu.ac.jp`

(Masaaki Umehara) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TOKYO, 2-12-1-W8-34, O-OKAYAMA MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8552, JAPAN

Email address: `umehara@comp.isct.ac.jp`