

# HALF-FORM QUANTIZATION OF MIXED TORIC POLARIZATIONS AND HAMILTONIAN FLOWS IN IMAGINARY-TIME

JOSE M. MOURÃO, JOÃO P. NUNES, AUGUSTO PEREIRA,  
AND DAN WANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the half-form corrected geometric quantization of symplectic toric manifolds with respect to mixed toric polarizations  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ . These polarizations are obtained at infinite geodesic time along Mabuchi rays of toric Kähler polarizations generated by the norm square of the moment map of a torus subgroup.

The geodesic rays are lifted to the quantum bundle via generalized coherent state transforms (gCST) and define equivariant isomorphisms between Hilbert spaces for the Kähler polarizations and the Hilbert space for the mixed polarization.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Geometric quantization	4
2.2. Toric Kähler geometry	6
2.3. Half-form corrected quantization in the toric case	8
2.4. Complex-time dynamics and quantization	9
3. Main results	11
3.1. Geodesic rays converging to mixed polarizations	12
4. Half-form corrected mixed quantization	16
4.1. Canonical line bundle associated to $\mathcal{P}_\infty$	17
4.2. Quantization and coherent state transforms	17
4.3. Quantum space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ for the half-form corrected $\mathcal{P}_\infty$	20
4.4. On the Hermitian Structure on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$	25
4.5. Final Remarks	26
5. Acknowledgements	28
References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, several interesting relations between Hamiltonian flows in imaginary time and geometric quantization on a Kähler manifold,  $M$ , have been explored (see e.g. [17, 18, 20, 22, 28, 30]). Namely, these “flows” describe geodesics for the Mabuchi metric on the space of Kähler metrics on  $M$  which often converge, at infinite geodesic time, to interesting real or mixed polarizations. Besides leading to interesting polarizations the Mabuchi geodesics have frequently the very important property of allowing natural lifts to the quantum bundle via generalized coherent state transforms. These transforms can then be used to compare quantizations for different polarizations.

A particularly nice class of Kähler manifolds to study with these methods is the class of toric Kähler manifolds  $(M, \omega, J)$ , with Hamiltonian  $T^n$  action and the corresponding moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow P$ . Here, the Mabuchi rays generated by a convex function of the moment map and starting at any initial toric Kähler polarization give, at infinite geodesic time, the toric real polarization.

In the present paper, we include the half-form correction for toric mixed polarizations  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ , where the Mabuchi rays are obtained by Hamiltonian flow in imaginary time generated by functions  $H$  on the moment polytope that are convex only along  $\text{Im}\mu_p$ , where  $\mu_p : M \rightarrow \Delta$  denotes the moment map of a subtorus  $T^p$  action. We first provide a local description of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ . Secondly, we identify a basis for quantum space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  associated to half-form corrected  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  that is labelled by the integer points of the moment polytope  $P$ . Third, using the generalized coherent state transform (gCST), we construct an isomorphism between the quantum spaces for the half-form corrected Kähler polarization and mixed polarization.

Let  $(M, \omega, J)$  be a toric variety determined by the Delzant polytope  $P$ . Our main results are as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 3.1). *For a choice of symplectic potential on  $M$ ,  $g$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_s, s \geq 0$ , be the family of Kähler polarizations associated to the symplectic potential  $g + sH, s \geq 0$ , which is obtained under the imaginary time flow of the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$ . Then the limiting polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  exists, and*

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty := \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{P}_s$$

*is a (singular) mixed polarization. Moreover, over the open dense  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -orbit  $\mathring{M}$ ,*

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty = \langle \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_p, X_{\tilde{z}_{p+1}}, \dots, X_{\tilde{z}_n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} =$$

$$= \langle \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_p, \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{z}_{p+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{z}_n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

So the polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  is no longer real but mixed, and the holomorphic sections converge to distributional sections, which have a holomorphic factor along the complex directions of the limit polarization. In particular, when  $H$  is a strictly convex function on  $P$ , this result coincides with [4, Theorem 1.2].

**Definition 1.1** (Definition 4.1). *The quantum Hilbert space for the half-form corrected mixed polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  is defined by*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = B_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} \otimes \sqrt{|dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n|},$$

where

$$B_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = \{\sigma \in \Gamma(M, L^{-1})' \mid \nabla_\xi^\infty \sigma = 0, \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{P}_\infty)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 4.3). *The sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ , in (24), are in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ . Moreover, for any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ ,  $\sigma$  is a linear combination of the sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Therefore, the distributional sections  $\{\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ .*

This implies that the dimension of the quantum Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  for the half-form corrected mixed polarization coincides with those of quantum spaces for real and Kähler polarizations. When  $p = n$ , this result coincides with [17, Theorem 4.7]. Let  $H^{prQ}$  be the Kostant-Souriau prequantum operator associated to  $H$ . The gCST is then defined by the  $T^n$ -equivariant linear isomorphism

$$U_s = (e^{s\hat{H}^{prQ}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}}) \circ e^{-s\hat{H}^Q} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}, s \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 4.2). *Let  $s \geq 0$ .*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} U_s \sigma_0^m = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

This result establishes that there is an isomorphism  $U_\infty$  between quantum spaces for the half-form corrected Kähler polarization and mixed polarization. When  $p = n$ , this result coincides with [18, Theorem 4.3].

**Theorem 1.4** (Theorem 4.4). *Let  $\{\tilde{\sigma}_s^m := U_s(\sigma_0^m)\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  be the basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}$ . Then we have:*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{\sigma}_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 = c_m \pi^{p/2},$$

where the constant  $c_m$  is given by

$$c_m = \int_P (\prod_{j=1}^p \delta(x^j - m^j)) e^{-2((x-m) \cdot y - gP)} (\det D)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^1 \cdots dx^n.$$

By lifting the geodesics to the quantum bundle we get coherent state transforms which relate the spaces of holomorphic sections along the geodesic family and show the convergence of the monomial sections to the distributional sections of the prequantum line bundle which generate the Hilbert space of quantum states for the real toric polarization.

This convergence has been established for  $L^1$  normalized sections in [4] and in [17] it was shown for  $L^2$  normalized sections if one includes the half-form correction. In [18], the convergence of half-form corrected holomorphic sections is described in terms of a generalized coherent state transform. In [20, 21, 22], the study of Mabuchi geodesic families was generalized from the toric case to the case of manifolds with an Hamiltonian torus action. There are many previous works on closely related problems (see e.g. [2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 23, 26, 27]).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Geometric quantization.** In classical mechanics one works with real-valued functions on symplectic manifolds, respectively the physical observables on phase space, in the terminology of physicists. Geometric quantization is concerned with devising a consistent method of going from a classical system to a quantum system. The physical observables in quantum mechanics are no longer functions on phase space, but rather operators on a Hilbert space of quantum states.

**Definition 2.1.** *A symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is quantizable if there exists a hermitian line bundle  $(L, h) \rightarrow M$  with compatible connection  $\nabla$  of curvature  $F_\nabla = -i\omega$ . The triple  $(L, \nabla, h)$  is called prequantization data.*

One can show [31] that, in the compact case,  $M$  is quantizable whenever

$$\left[ \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \right] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}).$$

This is often referred to as the *integrality condition*. The *prequantum Hilbert space*  $L^2(M, L)$  is the  $L^2$ -completion of the space of sections of the hermitian line bundle  $(L, h) \rightarrow M$  with respect to the scalar product given by

$$\langle \psi, \psi \rangle := \int_M h(\psi, \psi) \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega = (1/n!)\omega^n$  is the Liouville volume form.

**Definition 2.2.** *Let  $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ . The Kostant-Souriau prequantum operator  $\hat{f}^{prQ}$  is the (unbounded) operator on the prequantum*

Hilbert space given by

$$\hat{f}^{prQ} = f + i\nabla_{X_f},$$

where  $f$  stands for multiplication by  $f$  and  $X_f$  is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to  $f$ .

The prequantum Hilbert space, however, is too big, in the sense that the assignment  $f \mapsto \hat{f}^{prQ}$  does not give an irreducible representation of the Poisson algebra  $C^\infty(M)$ . To improve on this, one needs to choose a *polarization*  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $(M, \omega)$  that is, an integrable Lagrangian distribution in  $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

There are two special types of polarizations, namely, the *real* polarizations (i.e.  $\mathcal{P} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ ) and the *complex* polarizations (i.e.  $\mathcal{P} \cap \bar{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\}$ ). Moreover, in the event that  $(M, \omega)$  is equipped with a compatible integrable almost complex structure, then the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T^{1,0}M$  itself is a complex polarization, called the *Kähler polarization*.

**Remark 2.1.** *The quantum space associated with a real, or mixed type, polarization, may consist of distributional (therefore non-smooth) sections when the polarization has non-simply-connected fibers which bring the need of imposing Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions.*

The *quantum Hilbert space* (associated to  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is then defined as the closure of the space of polarized sections in the prequantum Hilbert space which, moreover, are required to satisfy some appropriate  $L^2$  condition. Note that the quantum Hilbert space for a Kähler polarization would be given by the sections  $s$  such that

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}} s = 0,$$

for local holomorphic coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  on  $M$ , which corresponds to the space holomorphic sections of  $H^0(M, L)$ . Usually, the above framework is improved by including the so-called *half-form correction*. In the Kähler case, one introduces the canonical bundle

$$K_M = \bigwedge^n (T^*M)^{1,0},$$

that is, the bundle whose sections are  $(n, 0)$ -forms (and thus dependent on the complex structure). There is a natural metric on  $K_M$  induced by the Kähler structure of  $M$ : if  $\eta$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -form, then

$$\|\eta\|_{K_M}^2 = \frac{\eta \wedge \bar{\eta}}{(2i)^n (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \omega^n / n!}.$$

The half-form corrected quantum Hilbert space associated to a given Kähler polarization  $\mathcal{P}$  is then given by the polarized sections of  $L \otimes$

$\sqrt{K_M}$ , where  $\sqrt{K_M}$  is a square root of  $K_M$ , that is,  $\sqrt{K_M} \otimes \sqrt{K_M} \cong K_M$ , with an appropriate connection on  $\sqrt{K_M}$  induced by that of  $K_M$ . However, since  $c_1(M) = -c_1(K_M)$ , then this is possible if and only if  $c_1(M)$  is even, which is not always the case. The line bundle whose sections comprise the Hilbert space would then satisfy

$$c_1(L \otimes \sqrt{K_M}) = \left[ \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \right] - \frac{c_1(M)}{2}.$$

This motivates us to consider instead, for the quantization procedure, a line bundle  $L \rightarrow M$  with a different integrality condition, namely

$$c_1(L) = \left[ \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \right] - \frac{c_1(M)}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1)$$

**2.2. Toric Kähler geometry.** Let  $P$  be a Delzant polytope and  $(M, \omega_P)$  the associated symplectic toric manifold with moment map  $\mu$ . The points of  $M$  where the torus action is free make up a dense open subset  $\mathring{M} = \mu^{-1}(\mathring{P})$ , where  $\mathring{P}$  is the interior of  $P$ . Moreover,  $\mathring{M} \cong \mathring{P} \times T^n$  as symplectic manifolds, the latter with the symplectic structure inherited from  $\mathbb{R}^n \times T^n$ . One can describe toric Kähler structures with the aid of the so-called *symplectic potential* [1, 10]. Denoting by  $(x, \theta)$  the action-angle coordinates for  $\mathring{P} \times T^n$ , let  $P$  be given by the linear inequalities

$$l_r(x) = \langle x, \nu_r \rangle + \lambda_r \geq 0, \quad r = 1 \dots, d, \quad (2)$$

where  $\nu_j$  is the primitive inward pointing normal to the  $j$ th facet of  $P$ . The function

$$g_P(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^d l_r(x) \log l_r(x), \quad (3)$$

defined for  $x \in \mathring{P}$ , endows  $M$  with a torus-invariant compatible complex structure  $J_P$  given by the block matrix

$$J_P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -G_P^{-1} \\ G_P & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $G_P$  is the Hessian of  $g_P$  [10]. This is not the only compatible toric complex structure as shown by Abreu in [1]. More precisely,

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be the symplectic toric manifold associated to a given Delzant polytope  $P$ . If  $J$  is a compatible toric complex structure, then*

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -G^{-1} \\ G & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where  $G$  is the Hessian of

$$g = g_P + h, \quad (5)$$

$g_P$  being defined as in (3),  $h \in C^\infty(P)$ . Moreover,  $G$  is positive-definite on  $\mathring{P}$  and satisfies the regularity condition

$$\det G = \left( \delta(x) \prod_{r=1}^d l_r(x) \right)^{-1},$$

where  $\delta$  is a smooth and strictly positive function on  $P$ .

Conversely, given a function  $g$  as in (5) with the above hypotheses, then  $J$  as defined in (4) defines a compatible toric complex structure on  $(M, \omega)$ .

A function  $g$  as above is called a *symplectic potential*. (There is, in addition, a coordinate chart associated to each vertex  $v$  of  $P$ , whose construction is detailed, for instance, in [17].)

Let  $J$  be a compatible complex structure induced by a symplectic potential  $g$  on  $(M, \omega)$ . Then  $(\mathring{M}, J) \cong \mathring{P} \times T^n$  as Kähler manifolds, the latter with the complex structure induced from  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , via the biholomorphism

$$\mathring{P} \times T^n \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \quad (6)$$

$$(x, \theta) \mapsto w = (e^{y_1 + i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{y_n + i\theta_n}), \quad (7)$$

where  $y_j = \partial g / \partial x^j$ . The assignment  $x \mapsto y = \partial g / \partial x$  is an invertible Legendre transform, with inverse given by  $x = \partial h / \partial y$ , where  $h = x(y) \cdot y - g(x(y))$  is a Kähler potential given in terms of  $g$ . Associated to  $P$  there is the line bundle  $L = \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow M$ , where

$$D = \sum_{r=1}^d \lambda_r D_r,$$

with each  $\lambda_r$  a non-negative integer and each  $D_r$  being the divisor corresponding to the inverse image by the moment map of the facet of  $P$  defined by  $\ell_r = 0$ . With this in mind, the meromorphic section  $\sigma_D$  of  $L$  whose divisor is given by  $D$  trivializes  $L$  over  $\mathring{M}$ . Moreover, the divisor of the meromorphic function, whose expression in the dense open subset is given by

$$w^m = w_1^{m_1} \cdots w_n^{m_n}, \quad m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (8)$$

can be calculated to be [8]

$$\operatorname{div}(w^m) = \sum_{r=1}^d \langle m, \nu_r \rangle D_r.$$

Now, since the holomorphic sections of  $L$  are generated by the sections which, under the trivialization  $\sigma_D$ , are given by monomials as in (8) with effective divisors, i.e.

$$H^0(M, L) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{w^m \sigma_D : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \operatorname{div}(w^m \sigma_D) \geq 0\}, \quad (9)$$

and  $\operatorname{div}(w^m \sigma_D) \geq 0$  precisely when  $l_r(m) = \langle m, \nu_r \rangle - \lambda_r \geq 0$  for  $r = 1, \dots, d$ , it follows that there is a correspondence between the toric basis of  $H^0(M, L)$  and the integral points of  $P$ .

**2.3. Half-form corrected quantization in the toric case.** Let  $(M, \omega, I)$  be a compact smooth toric Kähler manifold with toric complex structure  $I$  and such that  $\left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right] - \frac{1}{2}c_1(M)$  is an ample integral cohomology class. Let  $K_I$  be the canonical line bundle on  $M$ . Let the moment polytope be

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ell_r(x) = \nu_r \cdot x + \lambda_r \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d\}, \quad (10)$$

where we use the freedom of translating the moment polytope to choose the  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1, \dots, d}$  to be half-integral and defined as follows. We consider an equivariant complex line bundle  $L \cong \mathcal{O}(\lambda_1^L D_1 + \dots + \lambda_d^L D_d)$  as in [17] such that  $c_1(L) = \left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right] - \frac{1}{2}c_1(M)$ , where the  $\{\lambda_j^L\}_{j=1, \dots, d}$  define a polytope with integral vertices,  $P_L$ . The half-integral  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1, \dots, d}$  in (10) are then defined by

$$\lambda_j := \lambda_j^L + \frac{1}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \quad (11)$$

in accordance with the fact that  $\operatorname{div}(dZ) = -D_1 \cdots - D_d$ . Within the open orbit  $U_0$ , the holomorphic  $(n, 0)$ -form  $dz$  is given by:  $dZ = dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^n = dW/w^1$ , with

$$(12) \quad z = {}^t(z^1, \dots, z^n) = \partial g / \partial x + i\theta$$

and  $dW := dw^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dw^n$ , such that  $dZ$  and  $dW$  are trivializing sections of  $K_{M|_{U_0}}$ . (Here,  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$  so that  $w^1 = w^1 \cdots w^n$ .) Note that  $P_L$  is obtained from the moment polytope  $P$  by shifts of  $\frac{1}{2}$  along each of the integral primitive inward pointing normals. We will call  $P_L \subset P$  the *corrected polytope*. We equip the line bundle  $L$  with a  $U(1)$  connection,  $\nabla^I$ , whose curvature is given by

$$F_{\nabla^I} = -i\omega + \frac{i}{2}\rho_I. \quad (13)$$

From the analysis in the previous section, we recognize that  $\sqrt{|K_I|}$  and  $\mu_I$  are always well-defined, even when  $\sqrt{K_I}$  is not. Based on this, the authors of [17] defined the quantum space for half-form corrected Kähler quantization of  $(M, \omega, L, I)$  as follows:

**Definition 2.3.** [17, Definition 3.1] *The quantum Hilbert space for the half-form corrected Kähler quantization of  $(M, \omega, L, I)$  is defined by*

$$\mathcal{H}_I^Q = \mathcal{B}_I^Q \otimes \mu_I,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_I^Q = \{s \in \Gamma(M, L) : \nabla_{\bar{p}_I}^I .s = 0\}.$$

The inner product is defined by

$$\langle \sigma \otimes \mu_I, \sigma' \otimes \mu_I \rangle = \langle \sigma, \sigma' \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_M h^L(\sigma, \sigma') \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \quad (14)$$

Now fix a choice of symplectic potential  $g$  for the complex structure  $I$  on  $M$ . We define the connection  $\nabla^I$  on  $L$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_v &= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n d\theta_v^k + \frac{i}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v} \log \det G_v \right) \cdot G_v^{-1} d\theta_v \quad (15) \\ &= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial \log \det G_v + \sum_{k=1}^n dz_v^k \right) = \frac{\nabla^I \mathbf{1}_v^{U(1)}}{\mathbf{1}_v^{U(1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the open orbit  $\overset{\circ}{M}$ , the connection forms are specified by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_0 &:= -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \det G \right) \cdot G^{-1} d\theta \quad (16) \\ &= -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Im} \partial \log \det G. \end{aligned}$$

One may check that  $\Theta_v - \Theta_{v'} = d \log \tilde{g}_{v'v}^L$  and  $\Theta_v - \Theta_0 = d \log \tilde{g}_{0v}^L$  so that  $\{\Theta_0, \Theta_v : v \in V\}$  does indeed define a  $U(1)$ -connection on  $L$ .

**Remark 2.2.** *Despite the singularity of  $d\theta_v^j$  as  $x_v^j \rightarrow 0$ , the connection form  $\Theta_v$  defined in (15) remains non-singular over the open set  $U_v$ . This non-singularity can be verified either by analyzing the behavior of the matrix  $G_v$  or by using the alternative coordinates  $\{a_v^j, b_v^j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$  which regularize the expression.*

**2.4. Complex-time dynamics and quantization.** We shall collect here the results in [4, 25, 29] concerning the imaginary time flow formalism in the concrete case of symplectic toric manifolds. Recall that if  $(M, \omega_0, J_0)$  is a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form  $\omega_0$ , the

space of Kähler metrics on  $M$  in the same Kähler class is given in terms of global relative Kähler potentials as

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega_0) = \{\rho \in C^\infty(M) \mid \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho > 0\}/\mathbb{R},$$

which has a natural infinite-dimensional smooth manifold structure as it is an open subset in  $C^\infty(M)$  (modulo constants), the latter considered with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets of the functions and their derivatives of all orders. Moreover, from this fact, the tangent vectors are simply functions on  $M$ . This space is equipped with the so-called *Mabuchi metric* [24], given by

$$\langle \delta_1\rho, \delta_2\rho \rangle = \int_M \frac{1}{n!} (\delta_1\rho \cdot \delta_2\rho) \omega_\rho^n,$$

where the functions  $\delta_1\rho, \delta_2\rho \in C^\infty(M)$  are tangent vectors at  $\rho \in \mathcal{H}(\omega_0)$  and  $\omega_\rho = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho$ . From Moser's theorem, there are diffeomorphisms defining equivalent Kähler structures  $\varphi_\rho : (M, \omega_\rho, J_0) \rightarrow (M, \omega_0, J_\rho)$ , so that the Kähler metrics can then be described in terms of a varying complex structure  $J_\rho$  for fixed symplectic form  $\omega_0$ .

Let now  $(M, \omega)$  be the symplectic toric manifold defined by the Delzant polytope  $P$  and let  $h$  be a smooth uniformly convex function on  $P$ . It is known (see [29]) that the family of symplectic potentials

$$g_s = g_P + sh, \quad s \geq 0,$$

defines a Mabuchi geodesic ray of toric Kähler structures. Let  $\mathcal{P}_s$  denote the Kähler polarization defined by the complex structure  $J_s$  on  $(M, \omega_P)$  by the symplectic potential  $g_s$ . One has from [4], pointwise in the Lagrangian Grassmannian along  $M_P$ ,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{P}_s = \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$  is the toric real polarization defined by the fibers of the moment map  $\mu$ . As described above, let now  $L \rightarrow M$  be the smooth line bundle with first Chern class

$$c_1(L) = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} - \frac{c_1(M)}{2}.$$

From [17], we know that the half-form corrected Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}$  associated to the polarization  $\mathcal{P}_s$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s} = \left\{ \sigma_s^m = w_s^m e^{-k_s(x)} \mathbf{1}^{U(1)} \otimes \sqrt{dZ_s} \right\}, \quad m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^p,$$

where  $k_s(x) = x \cdot \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial x} - g_s(x)$ ,  $w_s^j = e^{y_s^j + i\theta_j}$ ,  $y_s^j = \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial x_j}$ , and  $\mathbf{1}^{U(1)}$  is a local trivializing section for  $L$  so that  $\mathbf{1}^{U(1)} \otimes \sqrt{dZ_s}$  is a trivialization of  $L$  over the open dense subset  $\mathring{M}$ . While  $L$  is not necessarily the

tensor product of a prequantum line bundle with a square root of the canonical bundle of  $M$ , we write local sections in this way as their product is well-defined (cf. [31], §10.4).

Let  $\hat{h}^{prQ}$  be the prequantum operator associated to  $h$ . From [17, 18], we have a linear  $T^n$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$e^{s\hat{h}^{prQ}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_{t+s}}, t, s \geq 0,$$

with

$$e^{s\hat{h}^{prQ}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}} \sigma_t^m = \sigma_{t+s}^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (17)$$

Following [17, 18], we define the quantum operator  $h^Q : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_t}$  associated to  $h$  by

$$h^Q \sigma_t^m = h(m) \sigma_t^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The generalized coherent state transform  $U_s, s > 0$ , which lifts the imaginary Hamiltonian flow along the Mabuchi geodesics generated by  $h$  to the bundle of half-form corrected polarized Hilbert spaces, is defined as the linear isomorphism

$$U_s = (e^{s\hat{h}^{prQ}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}}) \circ e^{-s\hat{h}^Q} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_t} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_{t+s}}. \quad (18)$$

We now recall

**Theorem 2.2.** [18, Theorem 4.3] *Let  $\{\delta^m\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  be the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis of distributional sections for the half-form corrected Hilbert space of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ -polarized sections (see [4, 17]). Then,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} U_s \sigma_0^m = (2\pi)^{n/2} e^{g_P(m)} \delta^m.$$

In the following we will generalize this theorem to the case when the hamiltonian function generating the Mabuchi geodesic ray of toric Kähler metrics is convex only along a subset of the moment map coordinates so that the limit polarization becomes a mixed polarization.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we shall look at the evolution of the Kähler polarization of a toric Kähler manifold under the imaginary time flow of an appropriate Hamiltonian, namely a smooth function on  $P$  which is convex along a proper subset of the set of components of the moment map. At infinite imaginary time along the flow, this polarization degenerates to a mixed toric polarization, thus containing both holomorphic and real directions, motivating a possible definition for the quantization of mixed polarization in terms of taking limits along families of Kähler polarizations. we then review the construction of mixed polarization on toric varieties associated to a subtorus action.

**3.1. Geodesic rays converging to mixed polarizations.** We will consider Mabuchi geodesic rays of toric Kähler structures generated by Hamiltonian functions which are convex along a strictly smaller subset of coordinates in the space of momentum coordinates. As we will describe, Theorem 1.2 in [4] generalizes and one obtains a mixed toric polarization at infinite Mabuchi geodesic time (refer to [4, 20, 30]).

We will consider the case when this subset of coordinates corresponds to a set of primitive vectors which can be extended to a basis of primitive vectors in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . This will allow us to better control the behaviour of the limit polarization and of the corresponding polarized sections for half-form corrected quantization. Consider the moment map coordinates

$$\tilde{x}_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{l=1}^n b_{kl} x_l, \quad k = 1, \dots, p,$$

where  $p < n$  and where we further suppose that the  $p \times n$  matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{p1} & \dots & b_{pn} \end{bmatrix}$$

can be row-completed to a matrix  $B \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let

$$\tilde{x} = B \cdot x, \quad \tilde{\theta} = {}^t B^{-1} \cdot \theta,$$

be the corresponding new action-angle coordinates on  $\mathring{M}$ , such that the moment polytope  $P$  is now described by the linear inequalities

$$\tilde{l}_j(\tilde{x}) = \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{\nu}_j \rangle - \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

where  $\tilde{\nu}_j = {}^t B^{-1} \nu_j$  gives the primitive normals to the facets of  $P$  in the new affine coordinates. Note that one has, for the holomorphic coordinates on  $\mathring{M}$  associated to a symplectic potential  $g$ ,

$$\tilde{z}_j = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} + i\tilde{\theta}_j = \sum_{k=1}^n B_{kj}^{-1} z_k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$z_k = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k} + i\theta_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \tilde{x}_j^2. \quad (19)$$

Let  $T^p$  denote the subtorus of  $T^n$  defined such that, infinitesimally, the Lie algebra homomorphism is represented by the first  $p$  rows of a

matrix  $B \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ , mapping  $\mathfrak{t}^p$  to  $\mathfrak{t}^n$ . In this subsection, we describe the mixed polarization associated with the action of the subtorus  $T^p$  and the canonical complex structure  $J$  on  $M$ .

The action of  $T^p$  on  $M$  is a Hamiltonian action, denoted by  $\rho_p : T^p \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M, \omega, J)$ . The corresponding moment map  $\mu_p$  for this action is defined by:  $B^* \circ \mu$ , where  $B^*$  is the transpose of the matrix  $B$  related to the Lie algebra embedding. According to [20] or [30], the mixed (singular) polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  can be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty = (\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} \cap P_J) \oplus \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{C}, \quad (20)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} = (\ker d\mu_p \otimes \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\mathbb{C} = (\text{Im} d\rho_p \otimes \mathbb{C})$ .

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [4], Theorem 3.20 in [20] and Theorem 3.5 in [30] we obtain,

**Theorem 3.1.** *For a choice of symplectic potential on  $M$ ,  $g$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_s, s \geq 0$ , be the family of Kähler polarizations associated to the symplectic potential  $g + sH, s \geq 0$ , which is obtained under the imaginary time flow of the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$ . Then the limiting polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  exists, and*

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty := \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{P}_s$$

is a (singular) mixed polarization. Moreover, over the open dense  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -orbit  $\dot{M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\infty &= \langle \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_p, X_{\tilde{z}_{p+1}}, \dots, X_{\tilde{z}_n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \\ &= \langle \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \partial/\partial\tilde{\theta}_p, \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{z}_{p+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{z}_n} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{P}_s, s \geq 0$  is generated on the open dense orbit by the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_{\tilde{z}_s^j}, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

But we have

$$\tilde{z}_s^j = \tilde{z}_0^j + s\tilde{x}^j, j = 1, \dots, p,$$

and

$$\tilde{z}_s^j = \tilde{z}_0^j, j = p + 1, \dots, n,$$

from which the result for the open dense orbit follows by taking  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . The second expression for  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  follows directly from the formulation in [20] and the equivalence between the two expressions can also be directly checked by writing the Hamiltonian vector fields explicitly in action-angle coordinates and then taking the limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

We will also describe the explicit expressions for  $P_\infty$  along  $\mu^{-1}(\partial P)$  below in Proposition 3.1. Thus, the limit polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  has  $p$  real directions and  $n - p$  holomorphic directions. (Note that when  $p = n$  one obtains the real toric polarization on  $\mathring{M}$ .)

Recall that around each vertex  $v$  of  $P$  one has a coordinate neighborhood  $U_v$  and holomorphic coordinates  $(w_v^1, \dots, w_v^n)$  which are rational functions of the holomorphic coordinates  $(w^1, \dots, w^n)$  along  $\mathring{M} \cap U_v$ . If  $A_v \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is matrix whose rows correspond to the primitive inner pointing normals to the facets of  $P$  which are adjacent to  $v$ , recall that one has affine coordinates on  $U_v$

$$x_v = A_v x + \lambda_v, \quad \theta_v = {}^t A_v^{-1} \theta,$$

where  $\lambda_v$  is the  $n \times 1$  matrix containing the  $\lambda'_j$ s corresponding the facets adjacent to  $P$  given by the condition  $l_j(x) = 0$ . One obtains,

$$z_v = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_v} + i\theta_v = {}^t A_v^{-1} z,$$

and

$$w_v^j = e^{z_v^j} = \prod_{k=1}^n (w^k)^{(A_v^{-1})_{kj}},$$

which is simply written as  $w = w_v^{A_v}$ . In terms of the new affine coordinates  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta})$  we obtain, correspondingly,

$$\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_v^{\tilde{A}_v},$$

where  $\tilde{A}_v = A_v B^{-1}$ . For the symplectic potentials  $g_s = g + sH$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , consider the Hessian, with respect to the new action coordinates  $\tilde{x}$ ,

$$\tilde{G}_s = \tilde{G} + sT,$$

where  $T$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose top  $p \times p$  diagonal block is the identity and whose remaining entries are zero and where  $\tilde{G}$  is the Hessian for  $g$ . Let  $D$  denote the lower  $(n - p) \times (n - p)$  diagonal block in  $\tilde{G}$ .

As in Theorem 3.4 in [4], in any of the charts  $(U_v, \tilde{w}_v)$ , the limit polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  can be described as follows: for any face  $F$  in the coordinate neighbourhood, we write abusively  $j \in F$  if  $\tilde{w}_v^j = 0$  along  $F$ . Over the boundary of the moment polytope one then obtains

**Proposition 3.1.** *Over  $\mu^{-1}(F) \cap U_v$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{P}_\infty) &:= \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_v^j}, j \in F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \left\langle \sum_{k \notin F} (\tilde{G}_s^v)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_v^k} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_v^j}, j \notin F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \right) \\
 &= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_v^j}, j \in F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \left\langle \sum_{k \notin F, q, r=1}^n (\tilde{A}_v)_{jq} (\tilde{G}_\infty^{-1})_{qr} (\tilde{A}_v^T)_{rk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_v^k} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_v^j}, j \notin F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \\
 &= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{w}_v^j}, j \in F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \left\langle \sum_{q, l=p+1}^n (\tilde{A}_v)_{jq} D_{(q-p)(l-p)}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}_v^l} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_v^j}, j \notin F \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{G}_s^v$  is the Hessian of  $g_s$  with respect to the coordinates  $\tilde{x}_v$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from Lemma 3.3 in [4] and from the form of the inverse of the Hessian of  $g_s$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that if

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A$  is the top diagonal  $p \times p$  block in  $\tilde{G}$ , then

$$\tilde{G}_s = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + sI_p & A_2 \\ A_3 & D \end{bmatrix}.$$

(Note that  $A_2^T = A_3$  and that  $A_1, D$  are symmetric.) Define  $S = A_1 + sI_p - A_2 D^{-1} A_3$ . It is clear that  $S$  becomes invertible when  $s$  is sufficiently large. Using the inverse formula, we obtain:

$$\tilde{G}_s^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S^{-1} & -S^{-1} A_2 D^{-1} \\ -D^{-1} A_3 S^{-1} & D^{-1} + D^{-1} A_3 S^{-1} A_2 D^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

so that

$$\tilde{G}_\infty^{-1} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}_s^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

from which the result follows.  $\square$

**Example 3.1.** Let us first consider the case of a 4-dimensional toric manifold  $M$  with moment polytope  $P$ . Consider the following component of the moment map, given in polytope coordinates by

$$\tilde{x}_1(x_1, x_2) = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2,$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are coprime integers and  $(x_1, x_2) \in P$ . The complex coordinates  $w_j = e^{z_j}$ , with  $z_j = y_j + i\theta_j$  and  $y_j = \partial g / \partial x_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , define a (Kähler) polarization  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Setting  $H = (\tilde{x}_1)^2/2$ , we then proceed to calculate the evolution  $\mathcal{P}_s, s \geq 0$ , of this polarization under the imaginary time flow of the

Hamiltonian vector field  $X_H$  in order to examine its behavior as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . First, notice that  $X_{w_j} = w_j X_{z_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , meaning that  $\mathcal{P}$  is spanned by  $X_{z_1}$  and  $X_{z_2}$ . Then

$$e^{isX_H} \cdot z_j = y_j + i(\theta_j - isa_j \tilde{x}_1) = y_j + sa_j \tilde{x}_1 + i\theta_j,$$

and consequently,

$$e^{isX_H} \cdot X_{z_j} = X_{y_j} + sX_{a_j \tilde{x}_1} + iX_{\theta_j} = s \left( X_{a_j \tilde{x}_1} + \frac{1}{s}(X_{y_j} + iX_{\theta_j}) \right).$$

We now introduce the new action coordinate

$$\tilde{x}_2 = b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2,$$

where  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are integers such that  $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 = 1$ . Their existence is guaranteed by the fact that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are coprime. In other words, we have  $\tilde{x} = A \cdot x$  with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that  $\tilde{P} = A(P)$  is an equivalent Delzant polytope describing the same toric manifold  $M$ . The corresponding angle coordinates are  $\tilde{\theta} = {}^t A^{-1} \cdot \theta$ . These new action-angle coordinates also give rise to new complex coordinates compatible with the Kähler structure on  $M$ , given by  $\tilde{z}_j = \tilde{y}_j + i\tilde{\theta}_j$ , where  $\tilde{y}_j = \partial g / \partial \tilde{x}_j$ . In these new coordinates, the evolution is much simpler:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{isX_H} \cdot \tilde{z}_2 &= \tilde{z}_2, \\ e^{isX_H} \cdot \tilde{z}_1 &= \tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{x}_1 s, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e^{isX_H} \cdot X_{\tilde{z}_2} &= X_{\tilde{z}_2} \\ e^{isX_H} \cdot X_{\tilde{z}_1} &= X_{\tilde{z}_1} + X_{\tilde{x}_1} s = s \left( X_{\tilde{x}_1} + \frac{1}{s} X_{\tilde{z}_1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so at the limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$  the polarization is spanned by  $X_{\tilde{z}_2}$  and  $X_{\tilde{x}_1} = -\partial / \partial \theta_1$ .

#### 4. HALF-FORM CORRECTED MIXED QUANTIZATION

In this Section, we will describe the quantization of the toric variety  $M$  along the Mabuchi geodesic of toric Kähler structures in Theorem 3.1 and also the quantization with respect to the limit polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ .

Recall that, as described in Section 3, we have used an  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  affine transformation so that the Hamiltonian  $H$  generating the Mabuchi

family takes the simple form (19). For simplicity of notation, we will drop the tilde from the coordinates  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{w}$  and from the matrices  $\tilde{A}_v$  of the previous Section, so that in this Section they will be written simply as  $x, \theta, z, w$  and  $A_v$ .

**4.1. Canonical line bundle associated to  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ .** As  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  is a complex Lagrangian distribution on  $M$ , it corresponds to a complex (singular) line bundle  $K_\infty$  defined by

$$K_{\infty,p} = \{\alpha \in \wedge^n T_p^* M_{\mathbb{C}} \mid \iota_{\bar{\xi}} \alpha = 0, \forall \xi \in \mathcal{P}_p\}. \quad (21)$$

Note that  $K_\infty$  is a singular complex line bundle with mild singularity, that is,  $K_\infty$  is smooth on the open dense subset of  $M$ . From Section 3, we obtain that the fiber of  $K_\infty$  over  $p \in \overset{\circ}{M}$  is

$$(K_\infty)_p = \langle dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where

$$dX_1^p = dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^p, \quad dZ_{p+1}^n = dz_{p+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n.$$

The section  $dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n$  is a trivializing section of  $K_\infty$  over  $\overset{\circ}{M}$  which has a divisor along  $\partial P$ . Note that the divisor of  $dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$  is  $-D_1 - \cdots - D_d$  while  $dx^j$  goes to zero on the facet where  $l_j = 0$ . Moreover, from Proposition 3.1, we see that  $K_\infty$  has no nontrivial smooth sections over  $M \setminus \overset{\circ}{M}$ .

**4.2. Quantization and coherent state transforms.** In this Section, following [18] as recalled in Theorem 2.2, we use a generalized coherent state transform, to describe how polarized sections evolve along the Mabuchi ray of Kähler polarizations  $\mathcal{P}_s, s \geq 0$ , considered in Theorem 3.1.

Recall the families of toric Kähler structures considered in Section 3 which were defined by the symplectic potential:

$$g_s := g_P + sH, \quad s > 0, \quad (22)$$

where the Hamiltonian  $H$  is defined in (19). (Recall that  $\tilde{x}$  is denoted simply as  $x$  in this Section.) Denote the corresponding  $s$ -dependent complex structure by  $I_s$ .

As explained in [18], and recalled in Theorem 2.2, for the case when  $p = n$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the evolution of polarized  $I_s$ -holomorphic sections along the Mabuchi geodesic is nicely described by a generalized coherent state transform  $U_s$  in (18). The same approach applies successfully to the more general case that we are considering here with  $p < n$ , as

we now describe. Recall that the quantization of  $M$  with respect to the Kähler polarizations  $\mathcal{P}_s, s > 0$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s} = \{\sigma_s^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where  $\sigma_s^m$  is the monomial section  $\sigma^m$ , as defined in Section 2.4, with respect to the toric complex structure  $I_s$ . We define the quantum operator corresponding to  $H, H^Q : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}$ , to be given by

$$H^Q \sigma_s^m = H(m) \sigma_s^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n, s \geq 0.$$

Note that  $\hat{H}^Q$  is given by

$$\hat{H}^Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p (\hat{x}_j^{prQ})^2,$$

where  $x_j^{prQ}$  is the Kostant-Souriau prequantum operator associated to  $x_j$ . The generalized coherent state transform (gCST) is then defined by the  $T^n$ -equivariant linear isomorphism

$$U_s = (e^{s\hat{H}^{prQ}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}}) \circ e^{-s\hat{H}^Q} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}, s \geq 0, \quad (23)$$

where, as before,  $H^{prQ}$  is the Kostant-Souriau prequantum operator associated to  $H$ . It is immediate to verify the validity of the following analog of Theorem 2.2 for the case  $p < n$ :

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $s \geq 0$ ,*

$$U_s \sigma_0^m = e^{-sH(m)} \sigma_s^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

Also, as in the case  $p = n, \mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the gCST gives a well-defined limit for the quantization at infinite geodesic time along the Mabuchi ray. While in that case the  $I_s$ -holomorphic sections converge, as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , to Dirac delta distributional sections supported on Bohr-Sommerfeld fibers, which are the fibers with integral value of the moment map, in the more general present case of  $p < n$  we have convergence to distributional sections where only  $p$  of the moment map coordinates localize. Consider the following distributional sections of  $L$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m := \sqrt{2\pi^p} \delta^{m_1^p} W^m \sqrt{dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\delta^{m_1^p} = \delta(x_1 - m_1) \cdots \delta(x_p - m_p)$$

is the Dirac delta distribution, and

$$W^m = e^{-k} w_{p+1}^{m_{p+1}} \cdots w_n^{m_n} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^p m_j \theta_j} e^{\sum_{j=1}^p m_j \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x_j}}.$$

Note that, from Lemma 3.3 in [17],  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m$  gives a well-defined distributional section on  $M$ . Indeed,  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m$  has no poles along  $\mu^{-1}(\partial P)$  since the zeros of  $w_{p+1}^{m_{p+1}} \cdots w_n^{m_n}$  cancel the poles of  $\sqrt{dZ_{p+1}^n}$ .

Following, the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [18], we obtain for the  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  limit,

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $s \geq 0$ .*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} U_s \sigma_0^m = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, \quad m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (25)$$

*Proof.* First we calculate the evolution of the half-form. The operator  $e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}}$  acts on half-forms while keeping the consistency of its action on the algebra of smooth functions, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_H}} \sqrt{dZ} &= \sqrt{d(sx_1 + z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(sx_p + z_p) \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n} \\ &= \sqrt{s^p d\left(x_1 + \frac{1}{s}z_1\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(x_p + \frac{1}{s}z_p\right) \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the connection on  $L$  given by

$$\nabla \mathbf{1}^{U(1)} = -i \sum x_j d\theta_j \mathbf{1}^{U(1)},$$

a routine calculation gives us

$$\hat{H}^{prQ} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p x_j^2 - i \sum_{j=1}^p x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\hat{H}^Q = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial \theta_j)^2}.$$

Thus,

$$e^{s\hat{H}^{prQ}} \circ e^{-sH(m)} w^m = e^{-s\alpha} e^\beta e^{i\Theta} w_{p+1}^{m_{p+1}} \cdots w_n^{m_n},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_p) &= \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{2} (x_j - m_j)^2, \\ \beta(x_1, \dots, x_p) &= \sum_{j=1}^p m_j \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x_j}, \\ \Theta(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) &= \sum_{j=1}^p m_j \theta_j. \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $W^m$  as

$$W^m = e^{-k} w_{p+1}^{m_{p+1}} \cdots w_n^{m_n} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^p m_j \theta_j} e^{\sum_{j=1}^p m_j \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x_j}}.$$

We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} U_s \sigma_0^m &= \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-s\alpha} W^m \sqrt{s^p} \sqrt{dX_1^P \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \left(\frac{s}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2} e^{-s \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{2}(x_j - m_j)^2} \right) \sqrt{2\pi^p} W^m \sqrt{dX_1^P \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi^p} \delta^{m_1^p} W^m \sqrt{dX_1^P \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n} = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the next sections, we will show that the distributional sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m$  are  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized and that, for  $m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ , they span the vector space of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized sections, so that the gCST provides a continuous interpolation between the quantization along the Mabuchi ray from  $s = 0$  to  $s = \infty$ . In order to show that for the quantization with respect to  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  no new distributional polarized sections arise with support along the boundary  $\partial\Delta$ , we will now recall in detail the study of half-form polarized sections in [17].

**4.3. Quantum space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  for the half-form corrected  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ .** In this Section, we prove that the Hilbert space of half-form corrected sections polarized with respect to  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  consists of the linear span of the basis  $\{\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$ .

For the family of complex structures  $I_s$ ,  $s \geq 0$  described above, consider the family of connections  $\Theta_v$  described in (15) and (16) where  $G_s = G + sT$ , where  $T$  was defined above Proposition 3.1. To define the condition for a half-form corrected section to be  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized, we follow [17] and define a “limit connection” by considering the  $s \rightarrow \infty$ -limit of the operators of covariant differentiation along  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ . Note that, along  $\mathring{M}$  we have

$$X_{z_s^j} = -2i \sum_{k=1}^n (G_s)_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_s^k} = -2i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + i \sum_{k=1}^n (G_s)_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} \right)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{z_s^j} &= X_{z_0^j} - s \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, p, \\ X_{z_s^j} &= X_{z_0^j}, \quad j = p+1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

The behaviour of the connection forms as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  is described as follows.

**Lemma 4.1.** *On the open orbit  $\overset{\circ}{M}$ , we obtain*

$$\Theta_0^\infty := -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{j,k=p+1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \log \det D \right) \cdot D_{j-p,k-p}^{-1} d\theta_k, \quad (26)$$

and on the vertex chart  $U_v$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_v^\infty &:= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n d\theta_v^k \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{i,j=1,q,l=p+1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v^j} \log \det D \right) \cdot (A_v)_{jq} D_{q-p,l-p}^{-1} (A_v^T)_{li} d\theta_v^i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Now fix a choice of symplectic potential  $g$  for the complex structure  $I$  on  $X$ . According to equations (15) and (16), we have the connection  $\nabla^I$  on  $L$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_v &:= \frac{\nabla^I \mathbf{1}_v^{U(1)}}{\mathbf{1}_v^{U(1)}} = -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n d\theta_v^k + \frac{i}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v} \log \det G_v \right) \cdot G_v^{-1} d\theta_v \\ &= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left( \partial \log \det G_v + \sum_{k=1}^n dz_v^k \right). \end{aligned}$$

For any symplectic potential  $g_s$  for the complex structure  $I_s$  on  $X$ , On the open orbit  $\check{X}$ , the connection forms are specified by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_0^s &:= -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \det G_s \right) \cdot G_s^{-1} d\theta \\ &= -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Im} \partial \log \det G_s, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_s = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + sI_p & A_2 \\ A_3 & D \end{bmatrix},$$

with  $A$  being the top diagonal  $p \times p$  block in  $\tilde{G}$  and  $D$  being the invertible  $(n-p) \times (n-p)$  matrix. Moreover,  $\det G_s \sim s^p \det D + O(s^{p-1})$ , and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} G_s^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ . We therefore obtain:

$$\Theta_0^\infty := -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{j,k=p+1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \log \det D \right) \cdot D_{j-p,k-p}^{-1} d\theta_k.$$

Similarly, on the vertex chart, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_v^\infty &:= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n d\theta_v^k + \frac{i}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v} \log \det D \right) \cdot A_v G_\infty^{-1} A_v^T d\theta_v \\ &= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n d\theta_v^k \\ &+ \frac{i}{4} \sum_{i,j=1,q,l=p+1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v^j} \log \det D \right) \cdot (A_v)_{jq} D_{q-p,l-p}^{-1} (A_v^T)_{l,i} d\theta_v^i.\end{aligned}$$

□

We therefore define  $B_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ , the space of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized sections to given by the intersection of the kernels of the differential operators

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}}^\infty = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} + \Theta_0^\infty \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, p,$$

and

$$\nabla_{X_{z_0^j}}^\infty = X_{z_0^j} + \Theta_0^\infty \left( X_{z_0^j} \right), \quad j = p+1, \dots, n.$$

**Definition 4.1.** *The quantum Hilbert space for the half-form corrected mixed polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  is defined by*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = B_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} \otimes \sqrt{|dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n|},$$

where

$$B_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma(M, L^{-1})' \mid \nabla_\xi^\infty \sigma = 0, \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M, \mathcal{P}_\infty) \}.$$

Note that in terms of the vertex chart coordinates

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} = \sum_{j=1}^n (A_v^{-1})_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_v^j}$$

and

$$X_{z_0^k} = \sum_{j=1}^n (A_v)_{jk} X_{z_{v,0}^j}.$$

Therefore, on the vertex chart  $U_v$  the conditions of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarizability are given by the intersections of the kernels of

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (A_v^{-1})_{kj} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_v^k} + \Theta_v^\infty \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_v^k} \right) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, p,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (A_v)_{kj} \left( X_{z_{v,0}^k} + \Theta_v^\infty \left( X_{z_{v,0}^k} \right) \right), \quad j = p+1, \dots, n.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *There are no nonzero solutions of the equations of covariant constancy along  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  with support contained along  $\mu_p^{-1}(\partial\Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* We have the limit connection as before: On the open orbit  $\mathring{M}$ , we obtain

$$\Theta_0^\infty := -i x \cdot d\theta + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{j,k=p+1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \log \det D \right) \cdot D_{j-p,k-p}^{-1} d\theta_k,$$

and on the vertex chart  $U_v$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_v^\infty &:= -i x_v \cdot d\theta_v + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n d\theta_v^k \\ &+ \frac{i}{4} \sum_{i,j=1,q,l=p+1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v^j} \log \det D \right) \cdot (A_v)_{jq} D_{q-p,l-p}^{-1} (A_v^T)_{l,i} d\theta_v^i \end{aligned}$$

from the expression for  $\Theta_v^\infty$  we see that, for  $k = 1, \dots, p$ ,

$$\Theta_v^\infty \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} \right) = -i \sum_{j=1}^n x_v^j (A_v^{-1})_{jk} + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (A_v^{-1})_{jk},$$

since the last term in the expression for  $\Theta_v^\infty$  does not contribute. Assume  $\delta$  is the distributional section with support on  $\mu_{-1}(\partial\Delta)$ . Let us consider a solution with support along  $\mu_p^{-1}(x_v^j = 0)$ , for some fixed  $j = 1, \dots, p$ . Here  $(x_v^1, \dots, x_v^p)$  is a vertex coordinate for  $\Delta$ . Let  $\check{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_{j+1}, \dots, u_n)$  and  $\check{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n)$ . We take coordinates  $(u_j, v_j, \check{u}, \check{v})$  (see [4]), so that  $x_v^j = 0 \Leftrightarrow (u_j, v_j) = (0, 0)$  and

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}}^\infty = -i(-v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} + u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}) + \frac{i}{2}$$

in that neighbourhood. The same argument as in the proof of [4, Theorem 4.7],  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^j}}^\infty \delta = 0$  implies  $\delta = 0$ . Therefore no nonzero solutions with support along  $\mu_p^{-1}(\partial\Delta)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *The distributional sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ , in (24), are in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ . Moreover, for any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ ,  $\sigma$  is a linear combination of the sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Therefore, the distributional sections  $\{\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that,  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m$  on  $\mathring{M}$  can be write as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m := \sqrt{2\pi^p} \delta^{m_1^p} W^m \sqrt{dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$\delta^{m_1^p} = \delta(x_1 - m_1) \cdots \delta(x_p - m_p)$$

is the Dirac delta distribution, and

$$W^m = e^{-k} w_{p+1}^{m_{p+1}} \cdots w_n^{m_n} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^p m_j \theta_j} e^{\sum_{j=1}^p m_j \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x_j}}.$$

Since  $L \otimes \sqrt{|K_\infty|} \cong l \otimes K_\infty$  in  $\mathring{M}$  and for any  $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathring{M}, \mathcal{P}_\infty)$ ,  $\xi$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, p$  and  $k = p+1, \dots, n$ , we find that  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ , by applying Equation (27) and Lemma 4.1  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m$ . By Lemma 4.2, we establish that there are no solutions supported on  $\mu_p^{-1}(\partial\Delta)$ . It remains to show that any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ ,  $\sigma$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ . The polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  is mixed and over  $\mathring{M}$  its real fibers are given by tori of dimension  $p$  generated by the vector fields  $\partial/\partial \theta_j, j = 1, \dots, p$ . Therefore, distributional sections which are  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized will be supported on the partial Bohr-Sommerfeld locus given by the conditions  $(x_1, \dots, x_p) = (m_1, \dots, m_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p \cap \Delta$  where  $\Delta$  is the projection of  $P$  on the first  $p$  momentum coordinates. The argument analogous to those in the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [17] or Proposition 3.1 in [4] then shows that the dependence of the polarized sections on the variables  $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  is given in terms of Dirac delta distributions and that, on the other hand, derivatives of Dirac delta distributions derivatives are not covariantly constant. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1, the remaining directions in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  over  $\mathring{M}$  are just given by the holomorphic vector fields  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j^0}, j = p+1, \dots, n$ , so the dependence on the variables  $(w_{p+1}, \dots, w_n)$  is given by products of monomials times an exponential of minus the restriction of the Kähler potential to the partial Bohr-Sommerfeld fiber, in the form of the term  $W$  in (24). Note also that the section  $\sqrt{dX_1^p \wedge dZ_{p+1}^n}$  of the half-form bundle  $K_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  is  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized since the functions  $x_1, \dots, x_p, z_{p+1}, \dots, z_n$  on  $\mathring{M}$  are  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -polarized. Therefore, for any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ ,  $\sigma$  is a linear combination of the sections  $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** For any  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ , we define

$$U_\infty(\sigma_0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U_s(\sigma_0).$$

According to Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.1.** Then,  $U_\infty : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  is a  $T$ -invariant isomorphism. In particular,

$$U_\infty(\sigma_0^m) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\sigma}_s^m = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m,$$

where  $\{\sigma_0^m\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ , and  $\tilde{\sigma}_s^m = U_s(\sigma_0^m)$ .

**4.4. On the Hermitian Structure on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$ .** Let us consider the half-form corrected holomorphic section with respect to the complex structure  $I_s$ ,  $\sigma_s^m$  (Note that due to the integration along  $T^n$ ,  $\sigma_s^m$  is orthogonal to  $\sigma_s^{m'}$  for  $m \neq m'$ .) From equation (4.7) in [17], the norm squared of the half-form corrected section  $\sigma_s^m$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_P e^{-2s(\sum_{j=1}^p (x^j - m^j)^2 - H)} e^{-2(\sum_{j=1}^n (x^j - m^j)y^j - g_P)} \\ &\quad \cdot (\det G_s)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^1 \cdots dx^p \cdot dx^{p+1} \cdots dx^n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $y = \partial g_P / \partial x$ . Let  $U_s : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}$  be the generalized coherent state transform (gCST) is defined as before (see equation (23)). We denote  $U_s(\sigma_0^m)$  by  $\tilde{\sigma}_s^m$ . Then we have

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\{\tilde{\sigma}_s^m := U_s(\sigma_0^m)\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  be the basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_s}$ . Then we have:*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{\sigma}_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 = c_m \pi^{p/2},$$

where the constant  $c_m$  is given by

$$c_m = \int_P (\prod_{j=1}^p \delta(x^j - m^j)) e^{-2((x-m) \cdot y - g_P)} (\det D)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^1 \cdots dx^n. \quad (28)$$

*Proof.* By the proof of Lemma 4.12 in [17], we have, as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\det G_s \sim s^p \det D,$$

where  $G_s = \text{Hess} g_s = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + sI_p & A_2 \\ A_3 & D \end{bmatrix}$ . By equation (4.7) in [17], the norm squared of the half-form corrected section  $\sigma_s^m$  is

$$\|\sigma_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_P e^{-2s(\sum_{j=1}^p (x^j - m^j)^2 - H)} e^{-2(\sum_{j=1}^n (x^j - m^j)y^j - g_P)} (\det G_s)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx^1 \cdots dx^n,$$

where  $y = \partial g_P / \partial x$ . As  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , the Laplace approximation (see [17, Lemma 4.1]) for the integration on the variables  $x^1, \dots, x^p$ , gives, asymptotically

$$\|\sigma_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{s}\right)^{p/2} \frac{e^{2sH(m)} s^{p/2}}{2^{p/2}} c_m = \pi^{p/2} c_m e^{2sH(m)}.$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\sigma_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 e^{-2sH(m)}.$$

Therefore, we conclude:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{\sigma}_s^m\|_{L^2}^2 = c_m \pi^{p/2}.$$

□

In view of Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4, it is then natural to consider the following

**Definition 4.3.** *We define a hermitian structure  $\|\cdot\|_{(\infty)}$  on quantum space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  such that the basis  $\{\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m\}_{m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is orthogonal and*

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m\|_{(\infty)}^2 = c_m \pi^{p/2},$$

where the constant  $c_m$  is given by 28.

Note that in this paper, for simplicity, we have described the family of toric Kähler structures along the Mabuchi geodesic generated by the Hamiltonian  $H$ , which is quadratic in  $(x^1, \dots, x^p)$ . In fact, the results generalize straightforwardly to Mabuchi geodesics generated by Hamiltonians which are strictly convex in  $(x^1, \dots, x^p)$  but not necessarily quadratic like  $H$ . (See eg [4, 17].) For Mabuchi geodesics starting at the same symplectic potential, for instance  $g_P$  as in the body of the paper, both the limit polarization  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  and the hermitian structure defined above is then natural in the sense that  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  is also obtained in the limit of infinite geodesic time for this larger family of geodesics and that the result of in Theorem 4.4 also holds so that the hermitian structure naturally induced on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  is the same as above. Note that the corresponding gCST (see [18]) will then satisfy

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|U_s(\sigma_0^m)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^m\|_{(\infty)}.$$

**4.5. Final Remarks.** As a final remark, let  $V_c \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the hyperplane defined by  $\mu_p = (x^1, \dots, x^p) = c \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and let  $M_c = \mu_p^{-1}(V_c) \subset M$ . Let  $T^p \subset T^n$  be the subtorus whose hamiltonian action has moment map  $\mu_p$ . In the event that the hyperplane  $V_c$  intersects the moment polytope at integral points, it is natural to define the quantization  $\mathcal{H}_c$  of the Kähler reduction  $M_c/T^p$  as being isomorphic to the space of distributional sections supported on the integral points of each level set, as in (25). If there are no integral points at the intersection, the quantization is then the trivial space  $\{0\}$ . Note that some of these reductions will have the structure of a toric orbifold, while some may have worse singularities, depending on how the hyperplane  $V_c$  meets the facets of  $P$ . It is natural to define the quantization of the level sets containing Bohr-Sommerfeld points as being given by the limit of the Kähler polarization, as in Theorem 4.2, with other level sets having trivial quantization. We thus obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty} = \bigoplus_{c \in \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^p} \mathcal{H}_c,$$

so that the quantum Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  of the quantization of  $M$  in the limit polarization decomposes as the direct sum of the quantization spaces of the symplectic reductions.

Let us describe, in more detail, the singularities one obtains along the symplectic reductions above. From [6] one has that the symplectic potentials for the reduced Kähler toric structures are given by the restriction of the symplectic potential on  $P$  to the corresponding level sets of the moment map. Let then the Delzant polytope  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be defined by

$$l_j(x) = \langle x, \nu_j \rangle + \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r,$$

where  $\nu_j$  is the primitive inward pointing normal to the facet  $j$  of  $P$ . The associated Guillemin symplectic potential is

$$g_P = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r l_j \log l_j.$$

Let us consider the symplectic reductions corresponding to the level sets

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (c_1, \dots, c_k) = c \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad k < n,$$

which we assume have non-empty intersection with  $P$ . Let  $\nu_j = (a_j, b_j)$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$  and  $y = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ . The restriction of the Guillemin potential for  $P$  becomes

$$g_{\text{red}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \tilde{l}_j \log \tilde{l}_j,$$

qwhere

$$\tilde{l}_j(y) = \langle y, b_j \rangle + \tilde{\lambda}_j,$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda}_j = \langle c, a_j \rangle + \lambda_j$ . The reduced polytope  $P_{\text{red}}^c$  is defined by the conditions

$$\tilde{l}_j(y) \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Since the  $b_j$  will in general not be primitive, we obtain immediately that, in general, the level  $c$  reduction will have, at least, orbifold singularities. By construction, every term in  $g_{\text{red}}$  will be singular somewhere on the boundary of  $P_{\text{red}}^c$  for some value of  $c$ , since the level sets have non-empty intersection with  $P$ . That is, for each  $j = 1, \dots, r$  there is a value of  $c$  and  $y \in P_{\text{red}}^c$  such that  $\tilde{l}_j(y) = 0$ . Since  $P$  is Delzant, at most  $n$  linear functions  $\tilde{l}_j$  are allowed to vanish simultaneously at a given  $y \in P_{\text{red}}^c$  but, in general, more than  $n - k$  such terms may vanish so that  $P_{\text{red}}^c$  will not, in general, be Delzant. Even when  $P_{\text{red}}^c$  is Delzant,  $g_{\text{red}}$  is not in general of the form

$$g_{\text{red}}^{P^c} + \text{smooth}$$

so that the geometry induced by  $g_{\text{red}}$  will in general be singular and will include singularities not of orbifold type. As a simple example, we can consider the reductions of the form

$$x_3 = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + c$$

in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , giving the reduced symplectic potential, at  $c = 0$ ,

$$g_{\text{red}} = \frac{1}{2}x_1 \log x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \log x_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) \log(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2).$$

which is manifestly singular at the origin  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ . In fact, for  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$ , one finds from Abreu's formula [1] that the scalar curvature reads

$$S = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha + 1)(x_1 + x_2)},$$

which explodes at the origin.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Thomas Baier for many discussions on the topics of the paper. The authors were supported by the the projects CAMGSD UIDB/04459/2020 and CAMGSD UIDP/04459/2020. A.P. held an FCT LisMath PhD fellowship PD/BD/135528/2018.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abreu, *Kähler geometry of toric manifolds in symplectic coordinates*, in "Symplectic and Contact Topology: Interactions and Perspectives" (eds. Y. Eliashberg, B. Khesin and F. Lalonde), Fields Institute Communications 35, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [2] J. E. Andersen, *Geometric quantization of symplectic manifolds with respect to reducible non-negative polarizations*, Comm. Math. Phys. 183 (2) (1997), 401-421.
- [3] T. Baier, A.C. Ferreira, J. Hilgert, J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, *Fibering polarizations and Mabuchi rays on symmetric spaces of compact type*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.19697.
- [4] T. Baier, C. Florentino, J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, *Toric Kähler metrics seen from infinity, quantization and compact tropical amoebas*, J. Diff. Geom., 89 (3), 411-454, 2011.
- [5] T. Baier, J. Hilgert, O. Kaya, J. M. Mourão, and J. P. Nunes (2023). *Quantization in fibering polarizations, Mabuchi rays and geometric Peter–Weyl theorem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.10853.
- [6] David. Calderbank, L. David, and P. Gauduchon *The Guillemin formula and Kähler metrics on toric symplectic manifolds* J. Symplectic Geom. 1(4): 767-784 (March, 2003).
- [7] K. Chan, N. C. Leung, and Q. Li, *Quantizable functions on Kähler manifolds and non-formal quantization*, Adv. Math. 433 (2023): 109293.
- [8] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little and H. K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.

- [9] C. Florentino, P. Matias, J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, *Geometric quantization, complex structures and the coherent state transform*, J. Funct. Anal., 221 (2005) 303-322.
- [10] V. Guillemin, *Kähler structures on toric varieties*, J. Diff. Geom., 40(1994), 285-309.
- [11] V. Guillemin, E. Miranda, J. Weitsman, *On geometric quantization of b-symplectic manifolds*, Adv. Math. 2018, 331:941-951.
- [12] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Geometric Quantization and Multiplicities of Group Representations*, Inventiones Mathematicae, 67.3 (1982): 515-538.
- [13] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *The Gelfand-Cetlin system and quantization of the complex flag manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal., 52 (1983), 106-128.
- [14] B. C. Hall, *Geometric quantization and the generalized Segal-Bargmann transform for Lie group of compact type*, Comm. Math. Phys. 226 (2002) 233-268.
- [15] B. C. Hall and W. D. Kirwin, *Complex structures adapted to magnetic flows*, J. Geom. Phys., 2015, 90, 111-131.
- [16] L. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman, *Bohr-Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula*, Commun. Math. Phys. 150 (1992), 593-630.
- [17] W.D. Kirwin, J.M. Mourão, J.P. Nunes *Degeneration of Kähler structures and half-form quantization of toric varieties*. J. Sympl. Geo 11, 603-643(2013).
- [18] W. D. Kirwin, J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, *Complex symplectomorphisms and pseudo-Kähler islands in the quantization of toric manifolds*, Math. Ann., (2016) 364 (1-2): 1-28.
- [19] W.D. Kirwin and S. Wu, *Geometric quantization, parallel transport and the Fourier transform*, Comm. Math. Phys., 266 (2006) 577-594.
- [20] N.C. Leung and D. Wang, *Geodesic rays in the space of Kähler metrics with T-symmetry*, Adv. Math. 450 (2024): 109756.
- [21] N.C. Leung and D. Wang, *Geometric quantizations of mixed polarizations on Kähler manifolds with T-symmetry*, arXiv: 2301.01011.
- [22] N.C. Leung and D. Wang, *Limit of geometric quantizations on Kähler manifolds with T-symmetry*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 129.4(2024): e12642.
- [23] N. C. Leung and Y. Yau, *Deformation quantization via Toeplitz operators on geometric quantization in real polarizations*, Comm. Math. Phys. (2022): 1-26.
- [24] T. Mabuchi, *Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds I*, Osaka J. Math. 24(2): 227-252 (1987).
- [25] J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes, *On complexified analytic Hamiltonian flows and geodesics on the space of Kähler metrics*, International Mathematics Research Notices, 2015.20 (2015): 10624-10656.
- [26] J. M. Mourão, J. P. Nunes, and M. B. Pereira, *Partial coherent state transforms,  $G \times T$ -invariant Kähler structures and geometric quantization of cotangent bundles of compact Lie groups*, Advances in Mathematics 368 (2020).
- [27] J. M. Mourão, J. P. Nunes, and T. Reis, *A new approximation method for geodesics on the space of Kähler metrics*, Anal. Math. Phys. 9, 1927-1939 (2019).
- [28] A. Pereira, *Applications of flows in imaginary time to quantization*, PhD thesis. Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon, 2022.
- [29] J. Song, and S. Zelditch, *Bergman metrics and geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics on toric varieties*, Analysis & PDE 3.3 (2010): 295-358.

[30] D. Wang, *Quantum spaces associated to mixed polarizations and their limiting behavior on toric varieties*, arXiv: 2410.17130.

[31] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization*, Second Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.

*Email address:* `jmourao@tecnico.ulisboa.pt`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

*Email address:* `jpnunes@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

*Email address:* `augusto.pereira@iseg.ulisboa.pt`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR DE ECONOMIA E GESTÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1200-781 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

*Email address:* `dan.wang@tecnico.ulisboa.pt; dwang116@link.cuhk.edu.hk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL