

MAXIMAL SYMPLECTIC TORUS ACTIONS

REI HENIGMAN

ABSTRACT. There are several different notions of maximal torus actions on smooth manifolds, in various contexts: symplectic, Riemannian, complex. In the symplectic context, for the so-called isotropy-maximal actions, as well as for the weaker notion of almost isotropy-maximal actions, we give classifications up to equivariant symplectomorphism. These classification results give symplectic analogues of recent classifications in the complex and Riemannian contexts. Moreover, we deduce that every almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a product of a symplectic toric manifold and a torus, answering a question of Ishida. The proof of the classification theorem involves tools from Duistermaat and Pelayo's classification of symplectic torus actions with coisotropic orbits.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let a torus T act on a closed connected smooth manifold M . We assume that all actions in this paper are smooth and effective, and that all manifolds are closed and connected. When M is a symplectic manifold, the action is **symplectic** if it preserves the symplectic form. A symplectic torus action is **Hamiltonian** if it is generated by a momentum map, that is, a T -invariant map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ which satisfies

$$-d\langle \mu, \xi \rangle = \iota_{X_\xi} \omega$$

for every ξ in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of the torus T , where X_ξ is the corresponding vector field on M .

The celebrated convexity theorem of Atiyah [Ati82] and Guillemin-Sternberg [GS82] states that the image of a momentum map is a convex polytope in \mathfrak{t}^* . Building on this, Delzant [Del88] gave a full classification of symplectic $2n$ -manifolds with Hamiltonian T^n actions, which are known as **symplectic toric manifolds**, up to equivariant symplectomorphism. His theorem inspired many equivariant classification results in the field. Delzant's classification was generalized by Lerman and Tolman [LT97] to orbifolds, and by Karshon and Lerman [KL15] to non-compact manifolds. Furthermore, Karshon and Tolman ([KT01; KT03; KT14]) classified symplectic $2n$ -manifolds with Hamiltonian T^{n-1} actions, up to equivariant symplectomorphism, under the assumption that all of the reduced spaces are of dimension two (see also [Kar99; AH91; Aud90; GMS24]).

Additionally, several equivariant classification theorems were proved for symplectic non-Hamiltonian torus actions, see, e.g., [DP07; Ben02; Pel10; Hen24].

A main theme in these classification results is that the higher the dimension of the torus (the number of symmetries) with respect to the dimension of the manifold, the easier it becomes to characterize the space. In this paper, we are interested in *maximal* symplectic torus actions. There are several existing notions of maximality, and we explore them in the context of symplectic geometry.

Restricting to some class of torus actions on manifolds of a fixed dimension, one can refer to an action as **dimension-maximal** if the dimension of the torus is the largest out of all such actions. For example, one may restrict to actions that preserve some geometric structure (e.g., symplectic, Riemannian, complex), and one may put some restriction on the manifolds (e.g., simply-connected, positively-curved).

Grove and Searle [GS94] classified dimension-maximal isometric torus actions on positively-curved Riemannian manifolds. Subsequently, Galaz-García and Searle [GS11] formalized the *Maximal Symmetry Rank Conjecture*, which describes the expected classification of dimension-maximal isometric torus actions on simply-connected non-negatively curved Riemannian manifolds.

In symplectic geometry, dimension-maximal actions are relatively straightforward to understand. When considering symplectic torus actions, the only dimension-maximal action is the natural action of a torus on itself. If one restricts to Hamiltonian actions, then the highest dimension of a Hamiltonian T action on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is $\dim T = \dim M/2$. Therefore, dimension-maximal Hamiltonian torus actions are precisely symplectic toric manifolds, which were classified by Delzant [Del88]. If we consider dimension-maximal symplectic torus actions on simply-connected symplectic manifolds, we again get that these are exactly symplectic toric manifolds.

Recently, interesting relations were established between dimension-maximal actions and isotropy-maximal actions, introduced by Ishida [Ish19] (also called *slice-maximal* in [Gal+18; GKR21]). A torus action is called **isotropy-maximal** if there exists a point $x \in M$ which satisfies

$$\dim T + \dim T_x = \dim M, \quad (1.1)$$

where T_x is the stabilizer of x in T . The name isotropy-maximal comes from the fact that the dimension of an isotropy group T_x is always bounded from above by $\dim M - \dim T$ (see Remark 3.6). For more information, see [Ish19, Section 2] and [ES21, Section 2.2]. More generally, following [ES21], an action is called **almost isotropy-maximal** if there exists a point $x \in M$ which satisfies

$$\dim T + \dim T_x \geq \dim M - 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where T_x is the stabilizer of x in T . In particular, every isotropy-maximal action is also almost isotropy-maximal. An almost isotropy-maximal action that is not isotropy-maximal is called **strictly almost isotropy-maximal** (see [DES24]).

Grove and Searle's classification [GS94], mentioned above, implies that an isometric action on a positively-curved Riemannian manifold is isotropy-maximal if and only if it is dimension-maximal. Inspired by this, Escher and Searle [ES21] classified isotropy-maximal isometric torus actions on simply-connected non-negatively curved Riemannian manifolds, and proved that the maximal symmetry rank conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that every dimension-maximal action is isotropy-maximal (in their context). Their classification generalizes earlier results, see [Wie15; Gal+18]. Recently, Dong, Escher, and Searle [DES24] generalized this classification from isotropy-maximal actions to almost isotropy-maximal actions.

In the complex category, Ishida [Ish19] gave a full classification of isotropy-maximal complex torus actions on complex manifolds, up to equivariant biholomorphism (see also [IK12]). These torus actions generalize LVM manifolds [LV97; Mee00], or more generally LVMB manifolds [Bos01]. Ishida also showed that if a Kähler manifold admits an isotropy-maximal complex torus action, then it is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a product of a projective nonsingular toric variety and a torus. This lead him to ask whether every symplectic manifold, equipped with an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action, is diffeomorphic to a product of a projective nonsingular toric variety and a torus. See Problem 12.4 in [Ish19].

The main result of this paper, is a full classification of almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions, up to equivariant symplectomorphism (see Theorems 2.10 and 2.11). This includes the special case of isotropy-maximal actions, for which we provide a simplified version of the classification (see Theorems 2.13 and 2.14). These classifications give symplectic analogues of the classifications of isotropy-maximal and almost isotropy-maximal actions in [Ish19; ES21; DES24]. Furthermore, we deduce that every almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a product of a symplectic toric manifold and a torus (see Corollary 2.15). This gives a positive answer to Ishida's question, and to its generalization to almost isotropy-maximal actions.

The proof of the classification theorem is based on the following key proposition which we prove in Section 4: every almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action has a coisotropic orbit (see Proposition 2.5). Our classification theorem then follows from Duistermaat and Pelayo's [DP07] classification of symplectic torus actions with coisotropic orbits. In Lemma 2.9, we give simple conditions on which symplectic torus actions with coisotropic orbits are isotropy-maximal or almost isotropy-maximal.

Lastly, we strengthen the relation between isotropy-maximal actions and the problem of extending a torus action to a higher dimensional torus action. While isotropy-maximal actions can never be extended to higher dimensional torus actions (this follows from Equation (3.5)), the other direction is not true in general. A trivial example is the trivial action of a zero-dimensional torus on a surface Σ_g of genus $g \geq 2$, which is not isotropy-maximal, but cannot be extended since Σ_g does not admit any circle action. For non-trivial examples, see Section 3. If one restricts to Hamiltonian actions, Karshon [Kar99] constructed Hamiltonian S^1 actions in dimension four, which do not extend to Hamiltonian T^2 actions. These are also not isotropy-maximal. Similarly, see [LPT; Liu] for extensions of Hamiltonian T^{n-1} actions in dimension $2n$, to Hamiltonian T^n actions.

On the contrary, for almost isotropy-maximal actions, we show that the other direction is always true. More precisely, using the classification, we show that every almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action can be extended to an isotropy-maximal action (see Corollary 2.17). This is analogous to a recent result of Dong-Escher-Searle in the context of simply-connected non-negatively curved Riemannian manifolds, see [DES24, Theorem A].

Acknowledgements. I thank my advisor Yael Karshon for suggesting me this problem, and for very useful discussions, as always. Furthermore, I thank my advisor Leonid Polterovich for crucial comments and suggestions. Finally, I thank Mark Berezovik and Joé Brendel for useful feedback on this manuscript.

This research is partially supported by an NSF-BSF Grant 2021730, and by an ISF-NSFC Joint Research Program Grant/Award Number 3231/23.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Before stating the main results, we recall some definitions. By the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg convexity theorem ([Ati82], [GS82]), the image of a momentum map is a convex polytope. A **symplectic toric manifold** is a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold, equipped with a Hamiltonian T^n action. By [Del88], symplectic toric manifolds are classified up to equivariant symplectomorphism, by their momentum polytopes, which are called **Delzant polytopes**.

The following are examples of isotropy-maximal and almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions.

Example 2.1. Let (M, ω, μ) be a symplectic toric manifold. By the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg convexity theorem ([Ati82], [GS82]), the action has a fixed point $x \in M$, and therefore by Equation (1.1), the action is isotropy-maximal. As Ishida notes in his paper, every isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action that have at least one fixed point is a symplectic toric manifold (this follows from [Gia05, Theorem 3.13] or [IK12, Remark 2 (4)]).

Remark 2.2. In contrast to the case of symplectic toric manifolds, where the torus has maximal dimension $\dim T = \dim M/2$, a Hamiltonian T action on a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold with $\dim T < \dim M/2$ is not even almost isotropy-maximal. This follows since $\dim T + \dim T_x$ is always bounded from above by $\dim M - 2$, and so Equation (1.2) can never be satisfied.

Example 2.3. Let T^{2n} be a torus, given with a translation-invariant symplectic form ω . Then the natural action of T^{2n} on itself preserves ω . Because the action is free, by Equation (1.1), the action is isotropy-maximal. Furthermore, if $H \subset T^{2n}$ is a subtorus of dimension $2n - 1$, then its action on T^{2n} is an almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action.

Example 2.4. Let (M, ω) and (M', ω') be symplectic manifolds, equipped with isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions of T and T' . Then $(M \times M', \omega + \omega')$ is a symplectic manifold, equipped with an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action of $T \times T'$ that acts by $(t, t') \cdot (x, y) = (t \cdot x, t' \cdot y)$.

The orbits of a smooth torus action on a connected manifold M , which have the smallest stabilizer, are called **principal orbits**, and their union form an open and dense subset of M . Since our action is effective, an orbit is principal if and only if it is free. See [GGK02, Appendix B, Section 3.5] for more information.

An orbit \mathcal{O} of a symplectic torus action on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is called **coisotropic** if for every point $x \in \mathcal{O}$, the tangent space $T_x \mathcal{O}$ of the orbit \mathcal{O} is a coisotropic subspace of $T_x M$. We make the observation that all of the above examples of almost isotropy-maximal actions have coisotropic principal orbits. We prove that this is in fact always the case for almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions.

Proposition 2.5. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, equipped with an almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action. Then the principal orbits of the torus action are coisotropic.*

Remark 2.6. Proposition 2.5 is tight in the following sense. There exist symplectic torus actions with no coisotropic orbits, satisfying the equality

$$\dim T + \dim T_x = \dim M - 2$$

at some point $x \in M$. For example, every Hamiltonian T^{n-1} action on a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold satisfies this equality at every fixed point, and has no coisotropic orbits. Another example is the free action of T^2 on $(T^4, dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + dp_2 \wedge dq_2)$, which rotates the p_1 and q_1 coordinates. See also [Pel10] for the list of all symplectic T^2 actions on 4-manifolds, that have symplectic orbits (and therefore no coisotropic orbits).

Remark 2.7. By [Ben02, Proposition 5.1], a symplectic torus action has at least one coisotropic principal orbit if and only if every principal orbit is coisotropic.

We prove Proposition 2.5 in Section 4. The main ingredient in the proof is the unique antisymmetric bilinear form σ defined on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of the acting torus T , introduced in [Ben02; DP07], see the definition below (Equation (2.8)).

In light of Proposition 2.5, we can apply tools from Duistermaat and Pelayo's work [DP07] on the classification of symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbits (see also [Ben02]). In their work, they define six invariants for symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbits, and show that two spaces are equivariantly symplectomorphic if and only if their invariants agree. Moreover, they construct a space for every valid choice of these six invariants. In the following paragraph, we describe three of these invariants, which are relevant for the statements of Lemma 2.9 and Theorems 2.13 and 2.14. For the complete list of invariants see [DP07, Section 9], or the short overview in Section 6.

Let T act symplectically on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) , with coisotropic principal orbits. The first invariant is the antisymmetric bilinear form σ on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of the torus T , defined by

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \omega_p(X_M, Y_M), \quad (2.8)$$

for any arbitrary point $p \in M$, and every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{t}$, where $X_M, Y_M \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ are the corresponding vector fields on M . The bilinear form σ is well defined by [DP07, Lemma 2.1] (see also [Ben02, Lemme 2.1] and [Gin92, Section 3]).

The second invariant is the (unique) maximal subtorus T_h of T which acts in a Hamiltonian fashion (see [DP07, Lemma 3.6]). Its Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{t}_h is contained in the kernel of the form σ , defined by

$$\ker(\sigma) := \{X \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \forall Y \in \mathfrak{t}: \sigma(X, Y) = 0\}.$$

The third invariant is the image Δ of a momentum map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_h^*$ that generates the Hamiltonian action of T_h on M , where we choose μ such that the center of mass of its image is the origin. By [DP07, Corollary 3.11], the image Δ is a Delzant polytope.

By Proposition 2.5, and [DP07], every almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action has a well-defined bilinear form σ , a well-defined subtorus T_h (and Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{t}_h), and a well-defined Delzant polytope Δ .

To deduce a classification theorem for almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions from Duistermaat and Pelayo's classification, we need to determine which symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbits are almost isotropy-maximal. This is the content of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.9. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, equipped with a symplectic torus action with coisotropic principal orbits. Then the action is almost isotropy-maximal if and only if $\dim \mathfrak{t}_h \geq \dim \ker(\sigma) - 1$. Moreover, it is isotropy-maximal if and only if $\mathfrak{t}_h = \ker(\sigma)$.*

We prove Lemma 2.9 in Section 5.

The classification of almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions is given by the following two theorems, which follow directly from Proposition 2.5, Lemma 2.9, and the classification theorem of symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbits ([DP07, Theorems 9.4 and 9.6]).

Theorem 2.10. *Let (M, ω) and (M', ω') be symplectic manifolds, equipped with almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions. Then they are equivariantly symplectomorphic if and only if their six invariants agree.*

Theorem 2.11. *For every choice of values for the six invariants, such that $\dim \mathfrak{t}_h \geq \dim \ker(\sigma) - 1$, there exists a symplectic manifold equipped with an almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action, having these values of invariants.*

Together, Theorems 2.10 and 2.11 give a full classification of almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions up to equivariant symplectomorphism.

Remark 2.12. In fact, we only need five of the invariants. The fifth invariant is always trivial for almost isotropy-maximal actions, as can be seen by the proofs of Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.15. See [DP07] or Section 6 for the definition of the fifth invariant $c : N \times N \rightarrow \ker(\sigma)$.

For the special case of isotropy-maximal actions, we describe the classification in a simpler form. We show that only the bilinear form σ and the Delzant polytope Δ are needed for determining whether two isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions are equivariantly symplectomorphic.

Theorem 2.13. *Let (M, ω) and (M', ω') be symplectic manifolds, equipped with isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions. Then they are equivariantly symplectomorphic if and only if their bilinear forms σ and σ' and their Delzant polytopes Δ and Δ' are the same.*

Furthermore, we give a simple construction for isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions as products of symplectic toric manifolds and tori.

Theorem 2.14. *Let T be a torus with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} , let T_h be a subtorus with Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{t}_h , and let σ be an antisymmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{t} that satisfies $\ker(\sigma) = \mathfrak{t}_h$. Moreover, let Δ be a Delzant polytope with center of mass at the origin.*

Then there exists a symplectic toric manifold (M_h, ω_h, T_h) with corresponding Delzant polytope Δ , a complementary torus T_f to T_h in T , and a T_f -invariant

symplectic form ω_f on T_f , such that the action of $T \cong T_h \times T_f$ on the product $(M_h \times T_f, \omega_h + \omega_f)$ is an isotropy-maximal symplectic action with bilinear form σ and Delzant polytope Δ .

Together, Theorems 2.13 and 2.14 give a full classification of isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions up to equivariant symplectomorphism (which is simpler than the general classification of Theorems 2.10 and 2.11). See Section 6 for the proofs of Theorems 2.13 and 2.14.

From Theorems 2.13 and 2.14, we immediately deduce that every isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action is equivariantly symplectomorphic to a product of a symplectic toric manifold and a torus, giving a positive answer to Ishida's question (Problem 12.3 in [Ish19]). In fact, using also Theorems 2.10 and 2.11, we prove more generally, that every almost isotropy-maximal action is equivariantly symplectomorphic to a product of a symplectic toric manifold and a torus.

Corollary 2.15. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, equipped with an almost isotropy-maximal symplectic T action. Let T_h and $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}_h^*$ be its corresponding maximal Hamiltonian subtorus and Delzant polytope.*

Then there exist a symplectic toric manifold (M_h, ω_h, T_h) with corresponding Delzant polytope Δ , a torus \mathbb{T} with a \mathbb{T} -invariant symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$, a subtorus $K \subset \mathbb{T}$ acting on \mathbb{T} by the natural inclusion, and an isomorphism $T \cong T_h \times K$, such that the action of $T \cong T_h \times K$ on the product $(M_h \times \mathbb{T}, \omega_h + \omega_{\mathbb{T}})$ is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the action of T on (M, ω) .

In particular, if the T action on M is isotropy-maximal, then $K = \mathbb{T}$, and otherwise, $\dim K = \dim \mathbb{T} - 1$.

We prove Corollary 2.15 in Section 7.

Remark 2.16. Corollary 2.15 is tight in the following sense. There exist non-trivial symplectic torus actions satisfying the equality

$$\dim T + \dim T_x = \dim M - 2$$

at some point $x \in M$, that are not diffeomorphic to a product of a symplectic toric manifold and a torus. In particular, these actions cannot be extended to higher dimensional symplectic torus actions (because then they would be almost isotropy-maximal and by Corollary 2.15 diffeomorphic to such a product). See Section 3 for several such examples.

Lastly, from Corollary 2.15, we immediately deduce that a strictly almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action always extends to an higher dimensional symplectic torus action. Note that an extension of a strictly almost isotropy-maximal action must be isotropy-maximal.

Corollary 2.17. *Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, equipped with a strictly almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action. Then the action extends to an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action.*

3. ACTIONS THAT CANNOT BE EXTENDED

In this section, we present examples of non-trivial symplectic torus actions, that cannot be extended to higher dimensional symplectic torus actions, but are not isotropy-maximal. These are based on results from [Hen24; Tol98; Pel10; Kar99]. Moreover, we explain why isotropy-maximal torus actions cannot be extended to higher dimensional torus actions.

Example 3.1. In the end of Section 2 of [Hen24], we gave an example of a symplectic non-Hamiltonian S^1 action on a four-dimensional manifold (M, ω) , which does not extend to a symplectic T^2 action. Since $\dim M = 4$ and $\dim T = 1$, Equation (1.2) cannot be satisfied, so the action is not almost isotropy-maximal.

Example 3.2. Tolman [Tol98] constructed a Hamiltonian T^2 action on a six-dimensional symplectic manifold which does not admit an equivariant Kähler structure. Since her manifold is simply-connected, every symplectic torus action on it is Hamiltonian. However, symplectic toric manifolds always admit equivariant Kähler structures, and therefore her action cannot be extended to a Hamiltonian T^3 action, or more generally to a symplectic T^3 action. On the other hand, since $\dim T^2 < \dim M/2$, Equation (1.2) cannot be satisfied, so the action is not almost isotropy-maximal.

Example 3.3. Let S^1 act on $(S^2 \times \Sigma_g, dh \wedge d\theta + \omega_\Sigma)$ by rotating the sphere at speed 1, where Σ_g is some surface of genus $g > 1$, and ω_Σ is any symplectic form on Σ_g . This is a Hamiltonian action, generated by the height function $H = h$ on the sphere. As before, the action is not almost isotropy-maximal. By [Pel10, Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 5.4], the only four-manifolds that support a symplectic T^2 action, with a Hamiltonian subcircle action, are $S^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ and symplectic toric manifolds. Since symplectic toric manifolds are simply-connected, $S^2 \times \Sigma_g$ cannot be diffeomorphic to a symplectic toric manifold. Since $S^2 \times \Sigma_g$ is also not diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$, it follows that the Hamiltonian action described above does not extend to a symplectic T^2 action.

Example 3.4. Let S^1 act on $(S^2 \times S^2, dh_1 \wedge d\theta_1 + dh_2 \wedge d\theta_2)$ by rotating the left sphere at speed 1. This is a Hamiltonian action, generated by the height function $H = h_1$ on the left sphere. Performing three equivariant blow ups of the same size, at points in the bottom fixed sphere, we obtain a Hamiltonian S^1 action on a simply-connected 4-manifold. This action does not extend to a Hamiltonian T^2 action by [Kar99, Proposition 5.22] since its corresponding Karshon graph has three fixed points with the same momentum value (see the related [Kar99, Figure 16] and [Kar99, Example 7.4]). Because the manifold is simply-connected, every symplectic torus action is Hamiltonian, and thus the action does not extend to any symplectic T^2 action. As before, the action is not almost isotropy-maximal.

As mentioned in the introduction, a torus action satisfies

$$\dim T_x \leq \dim M - \dim T \tag{3.5}$$

at every point $x \in M$, and it follows that an isotropy-maximal action cannot be extended to a higher dimensional torus action. In the following remark, we briefly explain why Equation (3.5) is always satisfied.

Remark 3.6. Let T act on a manifold M , and let $x \in M$ be a point. By the slice theorem, a neighborhood of the orbit $T \cdot x$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a fibered product $T \times_{T_x} \mathbb{R}^k$, where

$$k = \dim M - \dim T + \dim T_x. \quad (3.7)$$

By the assumption that the action is effective, [GGK02, Corollary B.44] implies that the linear representation of T_x on \mathbb{R}^k is effective, and therefore the dimension of T_x is bounded from above by $k/2$. Then, Equation (3.5) follows from this bound together with Equation (3.7).

4. ALMOST ISOTROPY-MAXIMAL ACTIONS HAS COISOTROPIC ORBITS

In this section, we prove Proposition 2.5, which states that almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions have coisotropic principal orbits.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. By assumption, the action is almost isotropy-maximal, hence there exists some point $x \in M$ that satisfies

$$\dim M \leq \dim T + \dim T_x + 1, \quad (4.1)$$

where T_x is the stabilizer of x .

We denote the rank of σ by d . By the definition of σ , the restriction of ω to the tangent space $T_x(T \cdot x)$ of the orbit $T \cdot x$ at the point x has rank d . Therefore, d is bounded by the dimension of the orbit $T \cdot x$, and we have

$$d \leq \dim(T \cdot x) = \dim T - \dim T_x. \quad (4.2)$$

Assume by contradiction that some principal orbit \mathcal{O} , passing through some point $y \in M$, is not coisotropic. Since the orbit is principal, its dimension is $\dim T$. Again, by the definition of σ , the rank of $\omega|_{T_y \mathcal{O}}$ is d . By the assumption that \mathcal{O} is not coisotropic, we have

$$\dim T = \dim(\mathcal{O}) \leq \frac{\dim M + d}{2} - 1,$$

which together with Equation (4.1) gives

$$\dim T \leq \dim T_x + d - 1.$$

Combining it with Equation (4.2), we deduce that

$$\dim T_x + d \leq \dim T_x + d - 1,$$

and this is a contradiction. It follows that the principal orbits of the action are coisotropic. \square

5. COISOTROPIC ACTIONS THAT ARE ALMOST ISOTROPY-MAXIMAL

In this section, we prove Lemma 2.9, which gives simple conditions on when a symplectic torus action with coisotropic principal orbits is almost isotropy-maximal or isotropy-maximal.

Proof of Lemma 2.9. By [DP07, Lemma 2.3], we have the following equality

$$\dim M = \dim T + \dim \ker(\sigma).$$

Substituting in Equation (1.1), we see that the action is almost isotropy-maximal if and only if there exists a point $x \in M$ such that

$$\dim T_x \geq \dim \ker(\sigma) - 1, \tag{5.1}$$

and it is isotropy-maximal if and only if there exists a point $x \in M$ such that

$$\dim T_x = \dim \ker(\sigma). \tag{5.2}$$

By [DP07, Lemma 2.2], for every point $x \in M$, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_x of the stabilizer T_x is contained in $\ker(\sigma)$, so we have

$$\dim T_x \leq \dim \ker(\sigma). \tag{5.3}$$

Let T_h be the unique maximal subtorus of T which acts in a Hamiltonian fashion (see [DP07, Lemma 3.6]). Since the action has coisotropic principal orbits, we can choose a complementary subtorus T_f which acts freely (see [DP07, Section 5.2]). Because T_f acts freely, and since it is complementary to T_h in T , we have

$$\dim T_x \leq \dim T - \dim T_f = \dim T_h, \tag{5.4}$$

for every point $x \in M$. On the other hand, by the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg's convexity theorem ([Ati82], [GS82]), there exists some point $y \in M$ which is fixed by the action of T_h , so we have

$$\dim T_y \geq \dim T_h. \tag{5.5}$$

It follows by Equations (5.4) and (5.5) that $\dim T_y = \dim T_h$, and that this is the maximal dimension of a stabilizer of the action. Thus, using also Equation (5.1), the action is almost isotropy-maximal if and only if we have

$$\dim \mathfrak{t}_h = \dim T_h \geq \dim \ker(\sigma) - 1,$$

where \mathfrak{t}_h is the Lie algebra of T_h . Furthermore, by Equation (5.2) and Equation (5.3), it is isotropy-maximal if and only if we have

$$\dim \mathfrak{t}_h = \dim T_h = \dim \ker(\sigma),$$

if and only if $\mathfrak{t}_h = \ker(\sigma)$. □

6. CLASSIFICATION OF ISOTROPY-MAXIMAL ACTIONS

In this section, we prove Theorems 2.13 and 2.14, giving a simple description of the classification of isotropy-maximal symplectic torus actions. First, we roughly describe the six invariants introduced in [DP07, Section 9] for symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbits.

Let T be a torus. The following is the list of invariants for symplectic T actions with coisotropic principal orbits, introduced in [DP07, Definition 9.1].

- (1) An antisymmetric bilinear two-form σ on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of T .
- (2) A subtorus T_h of T , whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_h is contained in $\ker(\sigma)$.
- (3) A Delzant polytope $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}_h^*$, with center of mass at the origin.
- (4) A discrete subgroup P of the additive subgroup $N := (\ker(\sigma)/\mathfrak{t}_h)^*$ of $(\ker(\sigma))^*$, such that N/P is compact.
- (5) An antisymmetric bilinear map $c : N \times N \rightarrow \ker(\sigma) \cap T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfies

$$\xi(c(\xi', \xi'')) + \xi'(c(\xi'', \xi)) + \xi''(c(\xi, \xi')) = 0,$$

for every $\xi, \xi', \xi'' \in P$. Here, the integral lattice $T_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ is the kernel of the exponential map.

- (6) An element $\bar{\tau}$ of the space $\mathcal{T} := \text{Hom}_c(P, T)/\exp(A)$, where $\text{Hom}_c(P, T)$ is the set of mappings $\tau : P \rightarrow T$ that satisfy

$$\tau(\xi)\tau(\xi') = \tau(\xi + \xi')e^{c(\xi, \xi')/2} \tag{6.1}$$

for every $\xi, \xi' \in P$, and $\exp(A)$ is a subgroup of the group $\text{Hom}(P, T)$ of homomorphisms from P to T , which acts on $\text{Hom}_c(P, T)$, and is given by [DP07, Equation 7.19].

By [DP07, Definition 9.3], every symplectic T action on a symplectic manifold, with coisotropic principal orbits, has well defined values for these six invariants. Moreover, by [DP07, Theorem 9.4], every two such actions are equivariantly symplectomorphic if and only if their invariants agree, and by [DP07, Theorem 9.6], there exists a space for every (consistent) choice of the six invariants.

We are now ready to prove Theorems 2.13 and 2.14.

Proof of Theorem 2.13. Let (M, ω) a symplectic manifold, equipped with an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action. By Lemma 2.9, we have that $\mathfrak{t}_h = \ker(\sigma)$. Therefore, the second invariant T_h can be read from the first invariant σ . Moreover, it follows that the group $N := (\ker(\sigma)/\mathfrak{t}_h)^*$ is trivial, and therefore the fourth invariant P and the fifth invariant c are also trivial by definition (cf. Remark 2.12). Since P is trivial, then the sixth invariant $\bar{\tau}$ is also trivial by definition.

Now, let (M', ω') be another symplectic manifold, equipped with an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action. By Theorem 2.10, the two spaces are equivariantly symplectomorphic if and only if the six invariants agree. By the above

discussion, it follows that (M, ω) and (M', ω') are equivariantly symplectomorphic if and only if their invariants σ and Δ agree. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.14. Let $T, \mathfrak{t}, T_h, \mathfrak{t}_h, \sigma$, and $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}_h^*$ be as in the statement of the theorem.

By [Del88], there exists a symplectic toric manifold (M_h, ω_h, μ_h) with Delzant polytope $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}_h^*$, where the acting torus is T_h . As mentioned in Example 2.1, this is an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action.

Let T_f be a complementary subtorus to T_h in T . The bilinear form σ on \mathfrak{t} defines a closed two-form on T . We denote its restriction to T_f by ω_f . Because T_f is complementary to T_h , and $\ker(\sigma) = \mathfrak{t}_h$, the two-form ω_f is of the same rank as the two-form σ . Since the dimension of T_f is equal to the rank of σ , the two-form ω_f is non-degenerate and thus symplectic. Therefore, the free action of T_f on itself is an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action (see also Example 2.3).

Thus, as in Example 2.4, the action of $T \cong T_h \times T_f$ on the product space $(M_h \times T_f, \omega_h + \omega_f)$, given by

$$(t, t') \cdot (x, y) = (t \cdot x, t' \cdot y)$$

is an isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action.

Since T_h is the maximal subtorus that acts in a Hamiltonian fashion, the Delzant polytope of the product space is the image of μ_h , which is Δ by the definition of (M_h, ω_h, μ_h) . Finally, by the construction of the symplectic form ω_f , the unique antisymmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{t} defined by Equation (2.8) is equal to σ . \square

7. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2.15

In this section, we prove Corollary 2.15, which states that every almost isotropy-maximal symplectic torus action is equivariantly symplectomorphic to a product of a symplectic toric manifold and a torus.

Proof of Corollary 2.15. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, with an almost isotropy-maximal symplectic T action. If the action is isotropy-maximal, then the corollary follows immediately from Theorems 2.13 and 2.14. Therefore, we assume that the action is strictly almost isotropy-maximal.

By Proposition 2.5, the action has coisotropic principal orbits, and therefore it has well defined invariants. By Lemma 2.9, we have $\dim \mathfrak{t}_h = \dim \ker(\sigma) - 1$. Therefore, by definition, the subgroup $N := (\ker(\sigma)/\mathfrak{t}_h)^*$ is one-dimensional. The fifth invariant $c : N \times N \rightarrow \ker(\sigma)$ is by definition antisymmetric, and therefore it must be the zero map since $\dim N = 1$ (cf. Remark 2.12).

Let T_h be the unique maximal subtorus of T acting in a Hamiltonian fashion, and let T_f be a complementary subtorus to T_h in T . Since c is trivial, it follows by [DP07, Lemma 7.5] that (M, ω) is equivariantly symplectomorphic to a product of

a symplectic toric manifold (M_h, ω_h, T_h) and a symplectic manifold (M_f, ω_f, T_f) equipped with a free T_f action.

Since the action is strictly almost isotropy-maximal, we have a point $x \in M$ which satisfies

$$\dim T + \dim T_x = \dim M - 1. \quad (7.1)$$

Because T_f acts freely, and T_h is a Hamiltonian action, the left-hand side of the equation is equal to $2 \dim T_h + \dim T_f$ at fixed points of T_h , and this is the maximum value possible. Moreover, because $2 \dim T_h = \dim M_h$ and $\dim M_h + \dim M_f = \dim M$, we deduce that $\dim T_f = \dim M_f - 1$.

We are left with showing that there exists a torus \mathbb{T} with a \mathbb{T} -invariant symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$, and a codimension one subtorus $K \subset \mathbb{T}$, such that the action of T_f on (M_f, ω_f) is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the natural action of K on $(\mathbb{T}, \omega_{\mathbb{T}})$. This follows from Lemma 7.2 below. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let a torus T^{2n-1} act freely and symplectically on a symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, ω) . Then there exists a torus \mathbb{T}^{2n} , a subtorus $K^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2n}$, and a \mathbb{T}^{2n} -invariant symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$ on \mathbb{T}^{2n} , such that the action of T on (M, ω) is equivariantly symplectomorphic to the natural action of K^{2n-1} on $(\mathbb{T}^{2n}, \omega_{\mathbb{T}})$.*

Proof. First, since $\dim T = \dim M - 1$, then $M \rightarrow M/T$ defines a principal torus bundle over a circle. Let \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebra of T . Then the Chern class of $M \rightarrow M/T$ is a cohomology class in $H^2(S^1, \mathfrak{t}) = 0$, and therefore the bundle is trivial. It follows that M is diffeomorphic to a torus \mathbb{T}^{2n} , and we can choose coordinates on $M \cong \mathbb{T}^{2n}$ and T^{2n-1} , such that the action is given by

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{2n-1}) \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = (x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_{2n-1} + t_{2n-1}, x_{2n}),$$

where the coordinates x_1, \dots, x_{2n} on the torus \mathbb{T}^{2n} and the coordinates t_1, \dots, t_{2n-1} on the acting torus T^{2n-1} are all given as values in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . In particular, from these coordinates we can identify T^{2n-1} with a subgroup K^{2n-1} of \mathbb{T}^{2n} .

The symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{T}^{2n} \cong M$ can then be written as

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} f_{i,j}(x) dx_i \wedge dx_j.$$

By the T^{2n-1} -invariance of ω , the functions $f_{i,j}$ only depend on the x_{2n} coordinate, and we will write $f_{i,j}(x_{2n})$ from now on. For every point $y \in \mathbb{T}^{2n}$, and integers $1 \leq i < j \leq 2n$, let $\Sigma_{i,j}(y)$ be the 2-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{T}^{2n} given by

$$\Sigma_{i,j}(y) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \in \mathbb{T}^{2n} \mid \forall k \notin \{i, j\} : x_k = y_k\}.$$

Since ω is closed, its integral over the submanifold $\Sigma_{i,j}(y)$ is independent of the choice of y , by Stokes theorem. We define the constants $a_{i,j}$ by

$$a_{i,j} = \int_{\Sigma_{i,j}(y)} \omega = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f_{i,j}(x_{2n}) dx_i dx_j,$$

and note that if $1 \leq i < j \leq 2n - 1$, then $a_{i,j} = f_{i,j}(x_{2n})$ for every x_{2n} , so $f_{i,j}$ is a constant function. The cohomology class $[\omega]$ of the symplectic form can be written as

$$[\omega] = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} a_{i,j} [dx_i \wedge dx_j].$$

We define a \mathbb{T}^{2n} -invariant symplectic form on \mathbb{T}^{2n} by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{T}}(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} a_{i,j} dx_i \wedge dx_j,$$

and obviously we have $[\omega] = [\omega_{\mathbb{T}}]$. The difference between the symplectic forms $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$ and ω is given by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{T}} - \omega = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1} (a_{i,2n} - f_{i,2n}(x_{2n})) dx_i \wedge dx_{2n}.$$

In particular, because $a_{i,2n} = \int_0^1 f_{i,2n}(x_{2n}) dx_{2n}$, then the functions

$$b_i(s) := \int_0^s (f_{i,2n}(x_{2n}) - a_{i,2n}) dx_{2n}$$

are well defined for $s \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, they satisfy $\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x_{2n}}(x_{2n}) = f_{i,2n}(x_{2n}) - a_{i,2n}$. Therefore, the one-form β defined by

$$\beta := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1} b_i(x_{2n}) dx_i$$

satisfies $d\beta = \omega_{\mathbb{T}} - \omega$. For every $t \in [0, 1]$ we define $\omega_t = (1 - t)\omega + t\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$. We will show below that ω_t is non-degenerate for every t . It then follows, by applying Moser's trick, that the flow Ψ_t given by integrating the unique vector field Z_t which solves the equation

$$\iota_{Z_t} \omega_t = -d\beta,$$

satisfies $\Psi_1^* \omega_{\mathbb{T}} = \omega$, i.e., Ψ_1 is a symplectomorphism between $(\mathbb{T}^{2n}, \omega)$ and $(\mathbb{T}^{2n}, \omega_{\mathbb{T}})$. Furthermore, β and ω_t are T^{2n-1} -invariant, and therefore the symplectomorphism Ψ_1 is T^{2n-1} -equivariant, and this finishes the proof.

Therefore, we are left with showing that ω_t is indeed non-degenerate for every $t \in [0, 1]$. For every $x \in \mathbb{T}^{2n}$, let V_x be the $2n - 1$ dimensional subspace of $T_x \mathbb{T}^{2n}$ generated by the tangent vectors $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n-1}}$. We identify all of these subspaces with \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} and call them all V . Restricting ω_x and $(\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_x$ to V_x , we get the same antisymmetric bilinear form $\omega_x|_V = (\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_x|_V$ on V , and it has rank $2n - 2$. The restriction $\omega_x|_V$ is independent of $x \in M$, hence there exists a vector field

$$u := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1} u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

which satisfies $\omega_y(u, v) = (\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_y(u, v) = 0$, for every $v \in V$ and $y \in M$.

Since ω and $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$ are non-degenerate, we have $\omega_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}) \neq 0$ and $(\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}) \neq 0$ at every point $y \in M$. It follows that $\omega_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}})$ does not change sign when we change the x_{2n} -coordinate of y . In coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1} u_i f_{i,2n}(x_{2n}) \\ (\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1} u_i a_{i,2n}\end{aligned}$$

and because $a_{i,2n} = \int_0^1 f_{i,2n}(x_{2n}) dx_{2n}$, then both $\omega_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}})$ and $(\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}})$ have the same sign. It follows that for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$((1-t)\omega + t\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_y(u, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}}) \neq 0,$$

and therefore $(1-t)\omega + t\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$ is non-degenerate for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in M$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AH91] K. Aihara and A. Hattori. “4-dimensional symplectic S^1 -manifolds admitting moment map”. In: *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* 38.2 (1991), pp. 251–298.
- [Ati82] M. F. Atiyah. “Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians”. In: *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 14.1 (1982), pp. 1–15.
- [Aud90] Michèle Audin. “Hamiltoniens périodiques sur les variétés symplectiques compactes de dimension 4”. In: *Géométrie symplectique et mécanique (La Grande Motte, 1988)*. Vol. 1416. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 1–25.
- [Ben02] Yves Benoist. “Actions symplectiques de groupes compacts”. In: *Geom. Dedicata* 89 (2002), pp. 181–245.
- [Bos01] Frédéric Bosio. “Variétés complexes compactes: une généralisation de la construction de Meersseman et López de Medrano-Verjovsky”. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 51.5 (2001), pp. 1259–1297.
- [Del88] Thomas Delzant. “Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l’application moment”. In: *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 116.3 (1988), pp. 315–339.
- [DES24] Zheting Dong, Christine Escher, and Catherine Searle. “Almost isotropy-maximal manifolds of non-negative curvature”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 377.7 (2024), pp. 4621–4645.
- [DP07] Johannes Jisse Duistermaat and Álvaro Pelayo. “Symplectic torus actions with coisotropic principal orbits”. In: vol. 57. 7. Festival Yves Colin de Verdière. 2007, pp. 2239–2327.

- [ES21] Christine Escher and Catherine Searle. “Torus actions, maximality, and non-negative curvature”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 780 (2021), pp. 221–264.
- [GS11] Fernando Galaz-Garcia and Catherine Searle. “Low-dimensional manifolds with non-negative curvature and maximal symmetry rank”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139.7 (2011), pp. 2559–2564.
- [GKR21] F. Galaz-García, M. Kerin, and M. Radeschi. “Torus actions on rationally elliptic manifolds”. In: *Math. Z.* 297.1-2 (2021), pp. 197–221.
- [Gal+18] Fernando Galaz-García, Martin Kerin, Marco Radeschi, and Michael Wiemeler. “Torus orbifolds, slice-maximal torus actions, and rational ellipticity”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 18 (2018), pp. 5786–5822.
- [Gia05] Andrea Giacobbe. “Convexity of multi-valued momentum maps”. In: *Geom. Dedicata* 111 (2005), pp. 1–22.
- [Gin92] Viktor L. Ginzburg. “Some remarks on symplectic actions of compact groups”. In: *Math. Z.* 210.4 (1992), pp. 625–640.
- [GMS24] Leonor Godinho, Grace T. Mwakyoma-Oliveira, and Daniele Sepe. “Classification of Hamiltonian S^1 -actions on compact symplectic orbifolds with isolated cyclic singular points in dimension four”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2401.15466 (Jan. 2024), arXiv:2401.15466.
- [GS94] Karsten Grove and Catherine Searle. “Positively curved manifolds with maximal symmetry-rank”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 91.1-3 (1994), pp. 137–142.
- [GS82] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. “Convexity properties of the moment mapping”. In: *Invent. Math.* 67.3 (1982), pp. 491–513.
- [GGK02] Victor Guillemin, Viktor Ginzburg, and Yael Karshon. *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*. Vol. 98. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. Appendix J by Maxim Braverman. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, pp. viii+350.
- [Hen24] Rei Henigman. “Classification of symplectic non-Hamiltonian circle actions on 4-manifolds”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.10157* (2024).
- [Ish19] Hiroaki Ishida. “Complex manifolds with maximal torus actions”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 751 (2019), pp. 121–184.
- [IK12] Hiroaki Ishida and Yael Karshon. “Completely integrable torus actions on complex manifolds with fixed points”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 19.6 (2012), pp. 1283–1295.
- [Kar99] Yael Karshon. “Periodic Hamiltonian flows on four-dimensional manifolds”. In: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 141.672 (1999), pp. viii+71.
- [KL15] Yael Karshon and Eugene Lerman. “Non-compact symplectic toric manifolds”. In: *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 11 (2015), Paper 055, 37.

- [KT01] Yael Karshon and Susan Tolman. “Centered complexity one Hamiltonian torus actions”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 353.12 (2001), pp. 4831–4861.
- [KT03] Yael Karshon and Susan Tolman. “Complete invariants for Hamiltonian torus actions with two dimensional quotients”. In: *J. Symplectic Geom.* 2.1 (2003), pp. 25–82.
- [KT14] Yael Karshon and Susan Tolman. “Classification of Hamiltonian torus actions with two-dimensional quotients”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 18.2 (2014), pp. 669–716.
- [LT97] Eugene Lerman and Susan Tolman. “Hamiltonian Torus Actions on Symplectic Orbifold and Toric Varieties”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 349.10 (1997), pp. 4201–4230.
- [Liu] Yichen Liu. “Toric extension of complexity one spaces”. in preparation.
- [LPT] Yichen Liu, Joseph Palmer, and Susan Tolman. “Extending tall complexity one spaces to symplectic toric manifolds”. in preparation.
- [LV97] Santiago López de Medrano and Alberto Verjovsky. “A new family of complex, compact, non-symplectic manifolds”. In: *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* 28.2 (1997), pp. 253–269.
- [Mee00] Laurent Meersseman. “A new geometric construction of compact complex manifolds in any dimension”. In: *Math. Ann.* 317.1 (2000), pp. 79–115.
- [Pel10] Álvaro Pelayo. “Symplectic actions of 2-tori on 4-manifolds”. In: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 204.959 (2010), pp. viii+81.
- [Tol98] Susan Tolman. “Examples of non-Kähler Hamiltonian torus actions”. In: *Invent. Math.* 131.2 (1998), pp. 299–310.
- [Wie15] Michael Wiemeler. “Torus manifolds and non-negative curvature”. In: *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 91.3 (2015), pp. 667–692.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY RAMAT AVIV, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL

Email address: rei.henigman@gmail.com