## Affine vector fields on compact pseudo-Kähler manifolds

Andrzej Derdzinski

Dedicated to Paolo Piccione on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. It is known that a Killing field on a compact pseudo-Kähler manifold is necessarily (real) holomorphic, as long as the manifold satisfies some relatively mild additional conditions. We provide two further proofs of this fact and discuss the natural open question whether the same conclusion holds for affine – rather than Killing – vector fields. The question cannot be settled by invoking the Killing case: Boubel and Mounoud [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368, 2016, 2223–2262] constructed examples of non-Killing affine vector fields on compact pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. We show that an affine vector field  $v$  is necessarily symplectic, and establish some algebraic and differential properties of the Lie derivative of the metric along  $v$ , such as its being parallel, antilinear and nilpotent as an endomorphism of the tangent bundle. As a consequence, the answer to the above question turns out to be 'yes' whenever the underlying manifold admits no nontrivial holomorphic quadratic differentials, which includes the case of compact almost homogeneous complex manifolds with nonzero Euler characteristic.

### Introduction

A *pseudo-Kähler manifold* is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold endowed with a parallel almost-complex structure J, making the metric Hermitian. This is well known, cf.  $[3, p. 6]$  $[3, p. 6]$  $[3, p. 6]$ , to imply integrability of  $J$ .

It is also well known [[1](#page-6-1), pp. 60–61] that Killing fields on compact Riemannian Kähler manifolds are necessarily (real) holomorphic, compactness being essential (as illustrated by flat manifolds). This remains valid, under some additional assumptions, in the pseudo-Kähler case  $[8, 3]$  $[8, 3]$  $[8, 3]$  $[8, 3]$ .

A vector field v on a manifold endowed with a connection  $\nabla$  is said to be affine if its local flow preserves  $\nabla$ . When  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C50; Secondary 53C56.

Key words and phrases. Compact pseudo-Kähler manifold, field, affine vector field, real-holomorphic vector field.

The author wishes to thank Ivo Terek for very helpful discussions.

#### 2 A. Derdzinski

a Riemannian metric, such  $v$  are usually Killing fields, with very few – always noncompact – exceptions [[5](#page-6-3), Ch. IV]. However, Boubel and Mounoud [[2](#page-6-4)] provided examples of compact pseudo-Riemannian manifolds admitting non-Killing affine vector fields. Their examples are not of the pseudo-Kähler type, which raises a question: are the affine-to-Killing and affine-to-holomorphic implications true for compact pseudo-Kähler manifolds?

This question remains open. The present paper establishes some properties of the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_v g$  for an affine vector field v on compact pseudo-Kähler manifold  $(M, g)$  with the  $\partial\overline{\partial}$  property (Theorem [5.1\)](#page-4-0): in addition to being obviously parallel,  $\mathcal{L}_v g$  is also antilinear and nilpotent as an endomorphism of TM, while v is a Killing field if and only if it is real holomorphic. Also,  $\mathcal{L}_{v} \omega = 0$  for the Kähler form  $\omega$  (Corollary [3.2\)](#page-3-0). Finally, as a partial answer to the above question, we show that  $v$  must be real holomorphic when  $M$  admits no nontrivial holomorphic quadratic differentials (Theorem [6.2\)](#page-5-0) and hence, in particular, when  $M$  is almost homogeneous and has nonzero Euler characteristic (Corollary [6.3\)](#page-5-1).

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>1. Preliminaries

Manifolds and mappings are assumed smooth, the former also connected.

Let  $(M, g)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. We write  $\beta \sim B$  when tensor fields  $\beta$  and B of types (0,2) and (1,1) are related by  $\beta = g(B \cdot, \cdot)$ . Thus,  $g \sim$  Id. On a pseudo-Kähler manifold

(1.1)  $\omega \sim J$  for the Kähler form  $\omega$  and the complex-structure tensor J.

If  $\beta \sim B$ , as defined above, and we set

$$
(1.2) \t\t A = \nabla v
$$

for a fixed vector field  $v$ , one easily sees that

(1.3) 
$$
\pounds_v \beta \sim \nabla_v B + BA + A^* B,
$$

 $A^*$  being the pointwise g-adjoint of A. Two obvious special cases are

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(1.4) a) 
$$
\pounds_v g \sim A + A^*
$$
, b)  $\pounds_v \omega \sim JA + A^*J$ ,

the latter in the pseudo-Kähler case. Assuming  $(1.2)$ , we obviously get

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
d[g(v, \cdot)] \sim A - A^*.
$$

If  $\beta \sim B$  for a real differential 2-form on a complex manifold,

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(1.6) 
$$
\beta \text{ is a (1, 1)-form if and only if } [J, B] = 0, \text{ as both conditions are clearly equivalent to } \beta(J \cdot, J \cdot) = \beta.
$$

Given a pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ , using J,

<span id="page-1-4"></span> $(1.7)$  we treat TM as a complex vector bundle.

Cartan's homotopy formula  $\mathcal{L}_v = i_v d + d i_v$  for  $\mathcal{L}_v$  acting on differential forms [[6](#page-6-5), Thm. 14.35, p. 372] and the Leibniz rule  $\mathcal{L}_v[\nabla\Theta] = [\mathcal{L}_v \nabla] \Theta + \nabla [\mathcal{L}_v \Theta]$  imply that, for any vector field  $v$  on a manifold,

<span id="page-2-6"></span>(1.8) 
$$
\pounds_v \omega = d[\omega(v, \cdot)] \text{ if } \omega \text{ is a closed differential form,}
$$

while, whenever v happens to be affine relative to a connection  $\nabla$ ,

<span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-3"></span>(1.9) 
$$
\nabla[\pounds_v \Theta] = 0 \quad \text{if } \Theta \text{ is a tensor field with } \nabla \Theta = 0.
$$

REMARK 1.1. Any constant-rank twice-covariant symmetric tensor field  $\beta$  on a manifold has the same algebraic type at all points: its positive and negative indices, being lower semicontinuous, with a constant sum, must be locally constant.

<span id="page-2-8"></span>REMARK 1.2. Due to the Leibniz rule, for any vector field v on a pseudoKähler manifold,  $\mathcal{L}_v J = [J, A]$ , where  $A = \nabla v$ , so that v is real holomorphic if and only if  $\nabla v$  commutes with J. On the other hand, holomorphic complex-valued functions  $\phi$  on a complex manifold M are characterized by the Cauchy-Riemann condition  $(d\phi)J = id\phi$ , where  $(d\phi)J$  denotes the composite bundle morphism  $TM \to TM \to M \times \mathbb{C}.$ 

# 2. The  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  property

Every compact complex manifold admitting a Riemannian Kähler metric has the following  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  property, also referred to as the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  lemma [[9](#page-6-6), Prop. 6.17 on p. 144]: given integers  $p, q \geq 0$ , any closed ∂-exact or  $\overline{\partial}$ -exact  $(p, q)$ -form equals  $\partial \overline{\partial} \lambda$  for some  $(p-1, q-1)$ -form  $\lambda$ . Then, since exactness of a  $(p, 0)$ -form amounts to its ∂-exactness, and implies its closedness.

<span id="page-2-4"></span> $(2.1)$  M admits no nonzero exact  $(p, 0)$ - or  $(0, p)$ -forms.

As a special case, on a compact complex  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  manifold M,

<span id="page-2-1"></span>(2.2) every exact real (1, 1) form  $\alpha$  equals  $i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$  for some  $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Namely, writing  $\alpha = d\xi = \partial \xi + \overline{\partial} \xi$  we see that  $\partial \xi$  and  $\overline{\partial} \xi$  are both closed:  $d\partial \xi = \overline{\partial} \alpha = 0 = \partial \alpha = d\overline{\partial} \xi$ . Note that, for any  $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(2.3) 
$$
2i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi = -d[(d\phi)J],
$$

 $(d\phi)J$  being the composite bundle morphism  $TM \to TM \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ . Consequently,

(2.4) 
$$
-2\alpha(J\cdot,\cdot) = \theta(J\cdot,J\cdot) + \theta(\cdot,\cdot) \text{ if } \alpha = i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi \text{ and } \theta = \nabla d\phi.
$$

where  $\nabla$  is any torsion-free connection on M with  $\nabla J = 0$ . Whether or not such  $\nabla$  exists, at any critical point z of a function  $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ , by [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0),

<span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>(2.5) 
$$
-2\alpha(J\cdot,\cdot) = \theta(J\cdot,J\cdot) + \theta(\cdot,\cdot) \text{ if } \alpha = i\partial\theta\phi \text{ and } \theta = \text{Hess}_z\phi.
$$

LEMMA 2.1. A compact complex manifold  $M$  satisfying the special case  $(2.2)$ of the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  condition admits no nonzero constant-rank real-valued exact  $(1, 1)$ -forms. 4 A. Derdzinski

PROOF. Applying  $(2.2)$  and  $(2.5)$  to a constant-rank real-valued exact  $(1, 1)$ form  $\alpha$ , at a point  $z \in M$  where  $\phi$  assumes its maximum (or, minimum) value, we see that the symmetric 2-tensor field  $\alpha(J \cdot, \cdot)$  is positive (or, negative) semi-definite at z. Remark [1.1](#page-2-3) applied to  $\beta = \alpha(J \cdot, \cdot)$ , which has constant rank (equal to the rank of  $\alpha$ ), implies both positive and negative semidefiniteness of  $\beta$  at all points, so that  $\beta = 0$  everywhere.

### 3. Consequences of the Hodge decomposition

For each cohomology space  $H^p(M, \mathbb{C})$  of a compact complex manifold M with the  $\partial\overline{\partial}$  property, denoting by  $H^{r,s}M$  the space of cohomology classes of closed complex-valued  $(r, s)$ -forms, one has the Hodge decomposition

<span id="page-3-1"></span>(3.1) 
$$
H^{p}(M, \mathbb{C}) = H^{p,0}M \oplus H^{p-1,1}M \oplus \ldots \oplus H^{1,p-1}M \oplus H^{0,p}M.
$$

See, e.g., [[4](#page-6-7), p. 296, subsect. (5.21)].

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\zeta$  be an exact  $\nabla$ -parallel complex-valued p-form on a compact complex  $\partial \bar{\partial}$  manifold M with a torsion-free connection  $\nabla$  such that  $\nabla J = 0$ .

- (i)  $\zeta$  has zero  $(p, 0)$  and  $(0, p)$  components.
- (ii) The  $(r, s)$  components of  $\zeta$ ,  $r + s = p$ , are all exact and  $\nabla$ -parallel.
- (iii)  $\zeta = 0$  when  $p = 2$ .

**PROOF.** The decomposition of the bundle of complex-valued exterior  $p$ -forms on M into its  $(r, s)$  summands, with  $r + s = p$ , is invariant under parallel transports, since J uniquely determines the decomposition and  $\nabla J = 0$ . The components  $\zeta^{r,s}$  of the decomposition of  $\zeta$  are thus all  $\nabla$ -parallel, and hence closed. The resulting cohomology relation  $\sum_{r,s} [\zeta^{r,s}] = [\zeta] = 0$  gives, by [\(3.1\)](#page-3-1),  $[\zeta^{r,s}] = 0$ whenever  $r + s = p$ , proving (ii), while (i) follows from (ii) and [\(2.1\)](#page-2-4). Lemma [2.1](#page-2-5) and (i) – (ii) now easily yield (iii).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>We have an obvious consequence of  $(1.8) - (1.9)$  $(1.8) - (1.9)$  and Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-5)iii).

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $v$  be an affine vector field on a compact pseudo-Kähler  $\partial\overline{\partial}$  manifold  $(M, g)$  with the Kähler form  $\omega = g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ . Then  $\pounds_{\nu} \omega = 0$ .

### <span id="page-3-2"></span>4. The case of Killing fields

The paper [[3](#page-6-0)] provides two different proofs of the fact that, on a compact pseudo-Kähler  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  manifold, all Killing fields are real holomorphic.

Our preceding discussion gives rise to two more simple proofs of this fact. As

(4.1) 
$$
\pounds_v[g(J \cdot , \cdot)] = g(\pounds_v J \cdot , \cdot) \text{ when } \pounds_v g = 0,
$$

the first proof comes directly from Corollary [3.2.](#page-3-0)

For the other proof, note that  $\zeta = \mathcal{L}_{v} \omega$ , being exact and parallel by [\(1.8\)](#page-2-6) and [\(1.9\)](#page-2-7), must – due to Lemma [2.1\(](#page-2-5)i) – be a (1, 1)-form. Since [\(4.1\)](#page-3-2) gives  $\pounds_v \omega \sim \pounds_v J$ ,

[\(1.6\)](#page-1-1) implies that J and  $C = \mathcal{L}_{n}J$  commute. However, they also anticommute:  $0 = -\pounds_v \text{Id} = \pounds_v J^2 = CJ + JC.$ 

### 5. The four components of the covariant derivative

On a pseudo-Kähler manifold  $(M, g)$ , the operation  $A \mapsto JAJ$  applied to bundle morphisms  $A: TM \rightarrow TM$  obviously commutes with  $A \mapsto A^*$  and, as both are involutions, every A is decomposed into four components (complex-linear self-adjoint, complex-linear skew-adjoint, antilinear self-adjoint, antilinear skewadjoint). In the case where  $A = \nabla v$  for an affine vector field v on a compact pseudoKähler  $\partial\overline{\partial}$  manifold  $(M, g)$ , two of the four components – the first and last ones – are absent, according to the assertions  $(5.1-b)$  and  $(5.1-c)$  below, while the third one has rather special algebraic and differential properties, cf. [\(5.1-](#page-4-1)d).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>THEOREM 5.1. Let v be an affine vector field on a compact pseudo-Kähler  $\partial \overline{\partial}$ manifold  $(M, g)$ . Then, for  $A = \nabla v$ ,

- a)  $A^* = JAJ$ ,
- b)  $A A^*$  commutes with  $J$ ,

c)  $A + A^*$  anticommutes with J,

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>d)  $A + A^*$  is parallel, and nilpotent at every point,
- e) div  $v = 0$ ,

(5.1)

f)  $v$  is a Killing field if and only if it is real-holomorphic.

**PROOF.** Corollary [3.2](#page-3-0) and  $(1.4.b)$  yield  $(5.1-a)$ , while  $(5.1-a)$  trivially implies  $(5.1-b) - (5.1-c)$  $(5.1-b) - (5.1-c)$  $(5.1-b) - (5.1-c)$  $(5.1-b) - (5.1-c)$ . Next,  $(1.9)$  and  $(1.4.b)$  prove the first part of  $(5.1-d)$ . Thus,  $2 \text{ div } v = 2 \text{ tr } A = \text{ tr } (A + A^*)$  is constant, and has zero integral, which gives [\(5.1.](#page-4-1)e) and the equality  $tr(A + A^*)^k = 0$  for  $k = 1$ . The same equality for  $k \ge 2$ now follows: setting  $C = (A + A^*)^{k-1}$ , we get  $tr (A + A^*)^k = tr (CA + CA^*)$ 2 tr CA. (Note that tr  $CA^* = \text{tr}(CA^*)^* = \text{tr} AC = \text{tr} CA$ .) As C is parallel due to [\(5.1-](#page-4-1)d), tr  $CA = C_k^i v_{i,i}^k$  integrated by parts yields zero. The zero-integral constant tr  $(A + A^*)^k$  thus equals 0, which proves the remainder of [\(5.1-](#page-4-1)d). □

#### 6. Two holomorphic covariant tensors

Equation [\(6.1\)](#page-4-2) in Theorem [6.1](#page-4-3) is more than just a pseudo-Riemannian analog oa a Hodge decomposition for the 1-form  $\xi = g(v, \cdot)$ , with the exact part absent in accordance with [\(5.1-](#page-4-1)e); it also actually constitutes the Riemannian Hodge decomposition of  $\xi$  relative to any Riemannian Kähler metric h, as long as one exists on M. This is immediate since  $(d\phi)J$  and  $\xi$  are, clearly, h-coexact and h-harmonic for every such metric  $h$ .

<span id="page-4-3"></span>THEOREM 6.1. Given an affine vector field v on a compact pseudo-Kähler  $\partial \overline{\partial}$ manifold  $(M, g)$ , one has

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
(6.1) \t\t g(v, \cdot) = (d\phi)J + \xi
$$

for some  $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$  and the real part  $\xi$  of a holomorphic 1-form  $\xi - i\xi J$ .

PROOF. The assertions [\(1.5\)](#page-1-3), [\(5.1.](#page-4-1)b), and [\(1.6\)](#page-1-1) applied to  $B = A - A^*$ , show that  $d[g(v, \cdot)]$  is an exact (1, 1)-form. Choosing  $\phi$  as in [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1) for  $\alpha =$  $-d[g(v, \cdot)]/2$ , we now see that, by [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0), the 1-form  $\xi = g(v, \cdot) - (d\phi)J$  is closed. However,  $\xi J = d\phi - g(Jv, \cdot) = d\phi - \omega(v, \cdot)$  is also closed, due to [\(1.8\)](#page-2-6) and Corol-lary [3.2.](#page-3-0) The relations  $d\xi = d(\xi J) = 0$  amount to holomorphicity of  $\xi - i\xi J$ .  $\Box$ 

Theorem [6.1](#page-4-3) does not seems to be relevant to the question stated in the Introduction: for instance, vanishing of the holomorphic 1-form  $\xi - i\xi J$  (which follows if M is simply connected) does not lead to any immediate answer. This stands in marked contrast with the next result.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>THEOREM 6.2. Suppose that  $v$  is an affine vector field on a compact pseudo-Kähler ∂∂ manifold  $(M, g)$ . Then the  $(0, 2)$  tensor field  $\mathcal{L}_v g$  is the real part of a holomorphic section  $\theta$  of the second complex symmetric power of the complex dual of TM, with the convention  $(1.7)$ .

Consequently,  $\mathcal{L}_v g = 0$  if no such nonzero holomorphic section  $\theta$  exists.

PROOF. By [\(1.4.](#page-1-2)a) and [\(5.1-](#page-4-1)c),  $[\pounds_v g](J \cdot, J \cdot) = -\pounds_v g$ , so that  $\theta = \pounds_v g$  $i[\pounds_v g](J \cdot , \cdot)$  is complex-bilinear at every point. As it is parallel – by  $(1.9)$  – its holomorphicity follows: Remark [1.2](#page-2-8) easily implies that, for any (local) real holomorphic vector fields  $w, w'$ , the function  $\theta(w, w')$  is holomorphic.

A complex manifold M is called almost homogeneous [[7](#page-6-8)] if, for some  $x \in M$ , every vector in  $T_xM$  is the value at x of some real holomorphic vector field.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>COROLLARY 6.3. If  $g$  is a pseudo-Kähler metric on a compact almost homogeneous complex  $\partial \overline{\partial}$  manifold M with nonzero Euler characteristic  $\chi(M)$ , then every g-affine vector field v on M is real holomorphic.

In fact, let  $\theta$  be the holomorphic quadratic differential mentioned in Theo-rem [6.2.](#page-5-0) Thus,  $\theta(w, w')$  is constant for any real holomorphic vector fields  $w, w'$ . If  $\theta$  were nonzero – everywhere, due to its being parallel by  $(1.9)$  – choosing x as above and a real holomorphic vector field w with  $\theta(w, w) \neq 0$  at x we would get  $w \neq 0$  everywhere, and hence  $\chi(M) = 0$ .

#### $\begin{tabular}{c} Affine vector fields \end{tabular} \label{tab:1}$

#### References

- <span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>[1] W. Ballmann, Lectures on Kähler Manifolds, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2006.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[2] C. Boubel and P. Mounoud, Affine transformations and parallel lightlike vector fields on compact Lorentzian 3-manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016), 2223–2262.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[3] A. Derdzinski and I. Terek, Killing fields on compact pseudo-Kähler manifolds, J. Geom. Anal. 34 (2024), art. 144, 7 pp.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[4] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 245–274.
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[5] S. Kobayashi, Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[6] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 218, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2013.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[7] E. Oeljeklaus, Almost homogeneous manifolds, Inst. Élie Cartan 8, Université de Nancy, Institut Elie Cartan, Nancy, 1983, 172–193. ´
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[8] J. A. Schouten and K, Yano, On pseudo-Kählerian spaces admitting a continuous group of motions, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 58, Indag. Math. 17 (1955), 565–570.
- [9] C. Voisin, Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry, I, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 76, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA  $Email\;address\colon\thinspace\mathit{andrzej@math.ohio-state.edu}$