Quantum Superspace and Bloch Electron Systems with Zeeman Effects: *-Bracket Formalism for Super Curtright-Zachos Algebras

Haru-Tada Sato a,b *

^aDepartment of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka Metropolitan University Nakamozu Campus, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan ^bDepartment of Data Science, i's Factory Corporation, Ltd. Kanda-nishiki-cho 2-7-6, Tokyo 101-0054, Japan

Abstract

We introduce supersymmetric extensions of the Hom-Lie deformation of the Virasoro algebra (super Curtright-Zachos algebra), as realized in the GL(1,1) quantum superspace, for Bloch electron systems under Zeeman effects. By examining the duality inherent in quantum superspace scaling operators, we establish a correspondence between quantum superspace and its physical realization through a novel operator mixing mechanism. For the continuous case, we construct super Curtright-Zachos algebra using magnetic translations and spin matrix bases, demonstrating explicit realizations for both N = 1 and N = 2 supersymmetric algebras with a natural N = 2 decomposition. For the discrete case, we establish cyclic matrix representations in tight-binding models. We organize these structures through the *-bracket formalism with Z_2 -grading, revealing how the quantum superspace structure manifests in physical systems while preserving essential algebraic properties.

Keywords: quantum superspace, Hom-Lie deformation, Virasoro algebra, magnetic translation, Zeeman effect, tight binding model

MSC: 17B61, 17B68, 81R50, 81R60

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: satoh@isfactory.co.jp

^a This research was completed during the author's tenure as a visiting researcher there, ending on October 31, 2024.

1 Introduction

1.1 background

Noncommutative geometry is an interesting subject in the field of physics. It is considered to be induced by strong external fields such as magnetic fields, and it covers a wide range of topics including the theory of noncommutative fields [1, 2], quantum Hall states [3], AdS/CFT and black holes [4, 5]. Moyal deformations of self-dual gravity have been studied in the context of noncommutativity and infinite-dimensional symmetries [6, 7].

There are two main tools for describing noncommutative space physics: noncommutative spaces by Moyal deformation [8] and noncommutative spaces conjugate to quantum groups [9, 10, 11]. These theories have clear differences in their background and the nature of noncommutativity they handle. Fundamentally, Moyal deformation is primarily a method to introduce noncommutativity of coordinates in flat space or phase space, assuming classical symmetry and group structures. Through the Moyal product, it describes field theories and quantum mechanical actions on noncommutative spaces.

To illustrate the structure of Moyal deformation more concretely, let us consider the Moyal sine algebra, which is also known as the FFZ algebra [12]. This algebra emerges from the Moyal bracket deformation, which provides a Lie-algebraic deformation of the Poisson brackets. The Moyal bracket and its star product are defined as follows [8]:

$$\{f(x,p),g(x,p)\}_* = \frac{2}{\hbar}\sin\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_1^a\partial_2^b\right)f(x,p)g(x,p)\,,\tag{1.1}$$

$$f * g = \exp\left\{i\frac{\hbar}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_1^a\partial_2^b\right\}f(x,p)g(x,p), \qquad (1.2)$$

where $\theta^{xp} = -\theta^{px} = -\omega$, and ∂_1 and ∂_2 denote forward (left) and backward (right) derivative operations, respectively. The Moyal quantization leads to the $SU(\infty)$ Lie algebra, so-called the Moyal sine algebra

$$[\tau_{n,k}, \tau_{m,l}] = 2i\sin(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2}(nl - mk))\tau_{n+m,k+l}, \qquad (1.3)$$

if one takes the basis of T^2 phase space

$$\tau_{n,k} = e^{i(nx+kp)} \,. \tag{1.4}$$

Typical realization of the algebra is the magnetic translations (MT) [13], and the hyperbolic sine version of the algebra also appears in the context of quantum Hall physics [14].

On the other hand, noncommutative spaces conjugate to quantum groups reflect spaces with quantum group symmetry, where the coordinates and actions are quantized according to quantum groups. Quantum groups [9, 10, 11] are quantizations of Lie groups and Lie algebras [15, 16, 17, 18] that describe conventional symmetries. Specifically, they introduce symmetries handled within the framework of noncommutative algebras by generalizing classical symmetries based on Lie groups and algebras.

In quantum group conjugate noncommutative spaces, not only do coordinates exhibit noncommutativity, but they also manifest noncommutative algebraic structures reflecting the nontrivial Hopf algebra structure of quantum groups. This results in a more sophisticated and complex structured noncommutativity than merely coordinate noncommutativity, as both spatial symmetries and group actions are quantized simultaneously. In this sense, the space symmetry of Moyal deformation can be considered classical. These two approaches to noncommutativity - Moyal deformation and quantum groups - have traditionally been studied separately. The simplest quantum group covariant space (or simply, quantum space (QS)) is the two-dimensional quantum plane satisfying the relation xy = qyx, where differential operations involve q-derivative operators such as $\partial_x x = 1 + q^n x \partial_x$. The value of n varies depending on the quantum group, for example, n = 2 for $GL_q(2)$ [19], -2 for $GL_q(1, 1)$ [20], -1 for quantum affine transformation [21].

Interestingly, there exists an algebra that exhibits characteristics of both approaches: the Curtright-Zachos (CZ) algebra, which can be constructed using the q-derivative operators of quantum spaces while showing properties reminiscent of Moyal deformation [22]. This algebra has emerged as a fascinating bridge connecting the noncommutativity of Moyal deformation and quantum planes [23], suggesting deeper connections between these seemingly distinct approaches to noncommutative geometry.

1.2 CZ algebras

The CZ algebra was proposed by Curtright and Zachos as a q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra [24],

$$[L_n, L_m]_* = (L_n L_m)_* - (L_m L_n)_* = [n - m]L_{n+m}, \qquad (1.5)$$

where $(L_n L_m)_* = q^{m-n} L_n L_m$ and the q-bracket symbol [A] is defined as

$$[A] = \frac{q^A - q^{-A}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad q = e^{i\hbar\omega},$$
(1.6)

This is mathematically interpreted as a Hom-Lie algebra [25, 26, 27], which is why we refer to the CZ algebra as the Hom-Lie-Virasoro algebra. In addition to the original algebra (1.5) (which we denote as CZ^+), there are two other variations: CZ^- which is obtained by q-inversion $(q \to q^{-1})$, and CZ^* which unifies these algebras [23].

There are many interesting results concerning the CZ algebras, including central extensions and operator product formula (OPE) [28, 29], q-harmonic oscillators [30], matrix representations [31, 32], and fractional spin representations [33]. Supersymmetric extensions [33, 34, 35, 36, 37] and multi-parameter deformations [38, 39] are also studied. Recently, deformation of open string filed theory has been investigated [40]. (see also Section 1.3 in [23] for further references.)

The generators of CZ can be expressed in terms of MT operators satisfying FFZ algebra or their corresponding cyclic matrices [31], and the MT operators behave like a quantum plane as noncommutative translation operators. Through this connection between magnetic translations and quantum planes, several fundamental aspects of noncommutative geometry have recently become clear [22, 23].

The phase factors in the *-bracket $(L_n L_m)_*$ can be understood as the phase differences generated according to the paths traced by MT operations [23]. The operational behavior of MT's matrix representation reveals that the phase shift arising from the path dependence of translational operations between two points on the plane leads to the quantum space noncommutativity of the TBM in a square lattice space [23]. The phase-shifted commutation relations (1.5) can be explained by this path-dependent phase difference based on Wyle matrix representations. In other words, introducing phase-shifting products and changing the commutators to phase-shifted ones as quantum plane effects lead to the derivation of the Hom-Lie-Virasoro algebra. When MT is expressed as angular momentum representation on a cylinder, L_n is represented as a onedimensional q-differential operator, and this q-differential operator satisfies the same commutation relations as the differential operators on quantum planes that behave covariantly with quantum groups. While the relationship with truly quantum group covariant multidimensional spaces remains unclear, the one-dimensional reduction clearly shows the same structure. We can therefore appropriately call it a quantum line, which represents the simplest form of quantum space.

Furthermore, since MT follows FFZ algebra, the CZ algebra is expected to have a Moyal structure. Indeed, it has been shown that the CZ algebra CZ, when extended by scaling operators, possesses a Moyal *-product structure [22]. CZ includes CZ as a subalgebra, and the scaling operator structure between CZ and CZ takes exactly the same form as the internal scaling operator structure of MT operators. However, a mystery remains: CZ requires doubling the exchange phase of q compared to CZ to take the Moyal structure. While we have not yet fully revealed the complete picture of CZ, we seem to be approaching the solution.

This example suggests that by considering extensions, we can grasp unknown (or desired) properties of the original system. The significance lies in gaining guidance on where to embed these properties by utilizing the broader symmetries and degrees of freedom possessed by the extended object.

While attempts have been made to construct CZ algebra using quantum planes covariant under quantum groups [34, 35, 37, 41] (particularly in the context of supersymmetry), a concrete physical realization in Bloch electron systems under Zeeman effects has been recently achieved [42]. This provides a foundation for our current investigation into a novel theoretical structure where bosonic and fermionic operators exhibit intricate mixing behaviors in the quantum superspace correspondence. For this purpose, a promising research direction is to investigate the supersymmetric extension of physical systems [22, 23] that exhibit CZ algebra.

Fortunately, in the quantum group approach, quantum superspaces (QSS) covariant under $Osp_q(1, 2)$ and $GL_q(1, 1)$ have been studied, and several super CZ algebras have been obtained [34, 35]. Therefore, it is convenient to study the super CZ algebra to investigate how our super CZ is related to two-dimensional electron systems. There exists another type of super CZ [33, 36, 37] that has anticommutative supercharge with quite simple quantum superspace relations, specified as 2-parameter [37]. However, since this is not suitable for our purpose, we will not discuss it further in this paper.

1.3 Focus

In this paper, we investigate how CZ algebra supersymmetrization manifests in physical systems exhibiting supersymmetry. CZ algebra emerges naturally in two-dimensional electron systems under strong magnetic fields. Through magnetic translation operator realizations and cyclic matrix representations, this algebra has been shown to be closely connected to the quantum plane structure describing noncommutative geometry [23]. While the basic construction of supersymmetric CZ algebra through MSB (magnetic translations and spin matrix bases) for N = 1 and N = 2 has been established [42], our focus is on presenting the detailed calculations and mathematical structures that were omitted in that work, thereby providing a complete theoretical foundation for understanding supersymmetric CZ algebras in physical systems.

Super CZ algebras have been constructed using quantum superspace (QSS) noncommutativity, with at

least three types of algebras known [34, 35]. Recent work has demonstrated their physical realization in Bloch electron systems under Zeeman effects [42]. Building upon these results, we present a more comprehensive understanding through the analysis of bosonic and fermionic operator correspondence in the MSB-QSS framework and their role in the supersymmetric structures.

The noncommutative structures of MTs and quantum space are remarkably similar, and in special cases of quantum space, they are known to coincide. With quantum space analogy providing new guidance, the possibility of considering superalgebra as more tangible has expanded. Therefore, the main focus of this paper is how super CZ algebra constructed by QSS connects with the supersymmetrization of CZ generators constructed by MT through the Zeeman effect.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief overview of the CZ algebra and MT operators from the previous paper, and explain the necessary notation. In Section 3, we review the SSM correspondence (superspace and spin matrix) and super CZ on QSS in the q = 1 supersymmetric model. Starting with the continuous case in Section 4, we develop our theoretical framework for the MSB-QSS correspondence. In Section 4.4, we establish a possible formulation of super CZ algebras in the unified *-bracket framework with Z₂-grading structure. In Section 5, we extend this framework to the discrete case and present explicit matrix representations. Finally, in Section 6, we summarize our findings and discuss several open questions and future perspectives.

2 Magnetic translation (MT) and CZ algebras

The CZ algebra (1.5) is a Hom-Lie deformation of the Virasoro algebra, and it is shown to be obtained by the FFZ generators [23]. This algebra, denoted as CZ^+ , has two related algebras: CZ^- and CZ^* . The algebras CZ^{\pm} are symmetric in the interchange of $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$, and the CZ^* algebra is an extended algebra composed of CZ^{\pm} .

What we call the FFZ algebra here was originally given by (1.3) with the introduction of the deformation parameter q and the q-bracket defined in (1.6). Changing the normalization

$$T_n^{(k)} = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \tau_{n,k} \tag{2.1}$$

we have the FFZ algebra in the q-bracket form

$$[T_n^{(k)}, T_m^{(l)}] = [\frac{nl - mk}{2}]T_{n+m}^{(k+l)}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $\tau_{n,k}$ can be generalized to any operator $t_n^{(k)}$ that satisfies the Moyal star product relations [12]:

$$t_n^{(k)} t_m^{(l)} = q^{\frac{nl-mk}{2}} t_{n+m}^{(k+l)} .$$
(2.3)

From this, we obtain the exchange relation

$$t_n^{(k)} t_m^{(l)} = q^{nl-mk} t_m^{(l)} t_n^{(k)} .$$
(2.4)

 $T_n^{(k)}$ also satisfies the same exchange relation by definition $t_n^{(k)} := (q - q^{-1})T_n^{(k)}$. These operators satisfy the fusion rule, which provides a realization of the FFZ algebra (2.2):

$$T_n^{(k)}T_m^{(l)} = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} q^{\frac{nl - mk}{2}} T_{n+m}^{(k+l)} .$$
(2.5)

Magnetic translation (MT) operators have been demonstrated to satisfy these fusion and exchange relations [13]. Here, the normalization factor $q - q^{-1}$ facilitates the connection between the FFZ algebra (2.2) and CZ algebra in the regime $q \neq 1$.

In this paper, we deal with the angular momentum representation instead of (1.4), where the MT operator $\tau_{n,k}$ is given by $\hat{t}_n^{(k)}$ as follows:

$$\hat{t}_{n}^{(k)} = z^{n} q^{-k(z\partial + \frac{n}{2} + \Delta)} = e^{n \ln z} e^{-ia^{2} l_{B}^{-2} k(z\partial + \frac{n}{2} + \Delta)}
= \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right),$$
(2.6)

where the vectors $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (nl_B, k \frac{a^2}{l_B}), \qquad (2.7)$$

$$(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = \left(\frac{\hbar}{l_B}\varphi, -\frac{1}{l_B}\mathcal{J}_3 - \frac{\hbar}{l_B}\Delta\right), \quad \mathcal{J}_3 = -i\hbar\partial_\varphi, \quad z = e^{i\varphi}.$$
(2.8)

Here, $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar c/eB}$ represents the magnetic length characteristic of the system, and *a* denotes a unit length scale. Through these parameters, the deformation parameter *q* is naturally defined as

$$q = \exp\{ia^2 l_B^{-2}\} = e^{i\hbar\omega}.$$
(2.9)

The phase space of $\hat{t}_n^{(k)}$ is characterized by the operators \mathcal{J}_3 and φ , which satisfy the fundamental commutation relations

$$\left[\mathcal{J}_3,\varphi\right] = -i\hbar\,,\quad \left[\Phi_i,\Phi_j\right] = -i\frac{\hbar^2}{l_B^2}\epsilon^{ij}\,.$$
(2.10)

In this framework, the Moyal product (1.2) can be explicitly expressed as [22]

$$\hat{t}_{n}^{(\epsilon k)} * \hat{t}_{m}^{(\eta l)} = e^{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\theta^{ab}\partial_{1}^{a}\partial_{2}^{b}} \hat{t}_{n}^{(\epsilon k)} \hat{t}_{m}^{(\eta l)} = \exp\left\{-\frac{i}{2}\hbar\omega(\epsilon kz\partial_{2}^{z} - \eta lz\partial_{1}^{z})\right\} \hat{t}_{n}^{(\epsilon k)} \hat{t}_{m}^{(\eta l)}, \qquad (2.11)$$

where ω , related to equation (1.6), plays a crucial role as the *quantum dimension* as defined in [22]. This parameter characterizes the magnitude of quantum space fluctuations and carries units of \hbar . Similarly, k is termed the *quantum dimensional weight*, reflecting its function as the multiplier of ω .

Let us introduce two fundamental operators: the scaling operator \hat{S}_0 and the normalized MT operator $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$, defined respectively as

$$\hat{S}_0 = q^{-2z\partial} \,, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\hat{t}_m^{(k)} = z^m q^{-k(z\partial + \frac{m}{2} + \Delta)} = (q - q^{-1})\hat{T}_m^{(k)}.$$
(2.13)

Using these operators, we can express $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$ as a product of $\hat{T}_n^{(0)}$ and a left-acting scaling operator \hat{S}_0 :

$$\hat{T}_n^{(k)} = q^{k(\frac{n}{2} - \Delta)} \hat{S}_0^{\frac{k}{2}} \hat{T}_n^{(0)} .$$
(2.14)

We define the CZ^{\pm} generators in terms of MT operators [23] according to

$$\hat{L}_{n}^{\pm} = \mp \hat{T}_{n}^{(0)} \pm q^{\pm (n+2\Delta)} \hat{T}_{n}^{(\pm 2)} .$$
(2.15)

These generators satisfy a set of fundamental *-bracket commutation relations:

$$[\hat{T}_n^{(k)}, \hat{T}_m^{(l)}]_* = 0, \qquad (2.16)$$

$$[\hat{L}_{n}^{\pm}, \hat{L}_{m}^{\pm}]_{*} = [n-m]\hat{L}_{n+m}^{\pm}, \qquad (2.17)$$

$$[\hat{L}_{n}^{\pm}, \hat{T}_{m}^{(l)}]_{*} = -[m]\hat{T}_{n+m}^{(l)}.$$
(2.18)

Furthermore, we obtain the CZ^* algebra, which describes the interactions between CZ^{ϵ} generators ($\epsilon = \pm$), expressed as

$$[L_{n}^{\epsilon}, L_{m}^{\eta}]_{*} = q^{\eta m} [n] L_{n+m}^{\epsilon} - q^{\epsilon n} [m] L_{n+m}^{\eta} , \qquad (2.19)$$

The general form of the *-bracket commutator is defined by

$$[X_n^{(k)}, X_m^{(l)}]_* = (X_n^{(k)} X_m^{(l)})_* - (X_m^{(l)} X_n^{(k)})_* .$$
(2.20)

This commutator applies to all elements $X_n^{\epsilon(k)}$ within the set $\mathscr{M}_T\{\hat{L}_n^{\epsilon}, T_n^{(k)}\}$ with weight k, where the *-product is defined as

$$(X_n^{\epsilon(k)}X_m^{\eta(l)})_* = q^{-x(\epsilon,\eta)}X_n^{\epsilon(k)}X_m^{\eta(l)}, \quad x(\epsilon,\eta) = \frac{\eta nl - \epsilon mk}{2}.$$
(2.21)

For the phase factor $x(\epsilon, \eta)$, we set k = l = 2 when dealing with \hat{L}_n^{ϵ} , while for $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$ we use its intrinsic weight k. The signature parameter ϵ takes values \pm for \hat{L}_n^{\pm} operators, whereas it is fixed at $\epsilon = +$ for $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$.

In Section 4.4, we will examine the supersymmetric extension of the CZ^+ generator. For elements of the set

$$X_n^{(k)} \in \mathscr{M}_S\{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r\}$$
(2.22)

the quantum dimensional weight k exhibits a distinct pattern: it takes the value 2 for both $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$ (where $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$ represents the U(1) current corresponding to $\hat{T}_n^{(2)}$), while it equals 1 for the supercharge $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r$. This distribution of weights reveals an underlying Z_2 -grading structure in the quantum dimensional weight.

 CZ^{\pm} are the minimal subalgebras of the more general algebra CZ^{\pm} , which are characterized by generators composed of the scaling operator \hat{S}_0 and \hat{L}_n^{\pm} in the same way as (2.14):

$$L_n^{\pm(k)} = q^{\pm k(\frac{n}{2} - \Delta)} \hat{S}_0^{\pm \frac{k}{2}} \hat{L}_n^{\pm}, \qquad (2.23)$$

where

$$\hat{S}_0^{\pm} = 1 \pm (q - q^{-1})\hat{L}_0^{\pm} = q^{\pm 2z\partial} \,. \tag{2.24}$$

More generally, $L_n^{\pm(k)}$ satisfy both \mathcal{CZ}^* and \mathcal{CZ}^{\pm} , with the *-bracket of \mathcal{CZ}^* exhibiting a Moyal *-product structure [22]. Although \hat{L}_n^{\pm} are derived by setting the weight k = 0 in $L_n^{\pm(k)}$, the quantum dimensional weight should be set to 2 when reducing the *-brackets of \mathcal{CZ}^* to those of \mathbb{CZ}^* . Whether $L_n^{\pm(k)}$ can be redefined to reproduce \mathbb{CZ}^* at k = 2 remains an open question beyond the scope of this study.

A significant property of the scaling operator \hat{S}_0 emerges when we assign its kth power \hat{S}_0^k a weight of 2k in equation (2.21) for $k \neq 0$. Under these conditions, \hat{S}_0 functions as a central element [28], satisfying

$$[\hat{S}_0^k, \hat{L}_n]_* = 0, \quad [\hat{S}_0^k, \hat{T}_m^{(l)}]_* = 0.$$
 (2.25)

Furthermore, we can express the CZ^{\pm} generator (2.15) in an alternative form using the *q*-difference operator. By applying the normalization (2.13), we obtain

$$\hat{L}_{n}^{\pm} = \mp z^{n} \frac{1 - q^{\mp 2z\partial}}{q - q^{-1}} =: -z^{n+1} \partial_{q}^{\pm}.$$
(2.26)

This representation is equivalently referred to as either the q-difference or MT representation.

In discrete lattice systems such as tight-binding models [43, 44, 45, 46], it is appropriate to consider an alternative representation: the cyclic matrix representation [31] with parameters a_{\pm} and b,

$$\mathbf{L}_{n}^{\pm} = \mp \left(\frac{1 - \mathbf{Q}^{\pm 2}}{q - q^{-1}} + A_{n}^{\pm} \mathbf{Q}^{\pm 2}\right) \mathbf{H}^{n}, \quad A_{n}^{\pm} = a_{\pm} + b(q^{\pm 2n} - 1),$$
(2.27)

expressed in terms of Weyl basis matrices H and Q satisfying the commutation relation

$$HQ = qQH, \qquad (2.28)$$

where the matrix elements are defined as

$$H_{jk} = \delta_{k+1,j}, \quad Q_{jk} = q^{j-1}\delta_{jk}, \quad \text{for} \quad j,k \in [1,N] \pmod{N}.$$
 (2.29)

Selecting a_{\pm} and b as follows:

$$a_{\pm} = 0, \quad b = -1/(q - q^{-1}),$$
(2.30)

and applying the correspondence to (2.27)

$$z \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}, \quad q^{\mp 2z\partial} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Q}^{\pm 2},$$
 (2.31)

we can verify that this representation coincides with the q-difference representation (2.26). The matrix form of the scaling operator can be expressed as:

$$S_0^{\pm} = 1 \pm (q - q^{-1}) L_0^{\pm} = \{ 1 - A_0^{\pm} (q - q^{-1}) \} Q^{\pm 2} .$$
(2.32)

3 Supersymmetry and quantum superspace

In this paper, we investigate a system where magnetic-spin interaction (Zeeman term) is introduced to induce supersymmetry in a system exhibiting CZ symmetry. For example, while a two-dimensional electron system under a static magnetic field exhibits CZ noncommutative structure (with quantum plane picture), our aim is to explore the relationship between super CZ emergence and quantum superspace (QSS) by extending this to a supersymmetric system. As our approach, we apply SSM (superspace and spin matrix) correspondence to QSS to transform from QSS-based super CZ to MSB operator representation (Section 4).

As preparation, in Section 3.1, we review SSM correspondence and super Virasoro algebra in the electron spin system under a static magnetic field, and we review three types of super CZ by QSS in Section 3.2.

The key points reviewed in Section 3.1 are to remind the following two points while reviewing the setting of spin Grassmann basis in the electron spin system under static magnetic field: (i) Grassmann coordinate variables in superspace can be mapped to MSB (SSM correspondence). (ii) Using SSM correspondence, supercharge and Virasoro super generator based on superspace can be mapped to MSB representation with spin Grassmann basis.

Furthermore, in Section 3.2, we examine the differences among three known types of super CZ algebras that emerge when replacing superspace with QSS. Type 1 represents the most straightforward approach, where the CZ algebra remains unmodified but a U(1) current appears in the supercharge algebra. Type 2 features an anomaly in the CZ algebra while achieving partial simplification of the supercharge algebra. Type 3 exhibits a completely simplified supercharge algebra. Type 3 is unique in that its supercharge algebra can be expressed solely in terms of super Virasoro generators on the right-hand side, enabling its decomposition into N = 2 supersymmetry. As we will demonstrate in Section 4, this Type 3 structure manifests naturally in electron spin systems.

3.1 Electron Spin System in Static Magnetic Field

The construction of superalgebras fundamentally requires Grassmann bases. To this end, we examine a one-electron spin system in a static magnetic field with spin-magnetic interaction (Zeeman term), which provides a natural quantum mechanical system with inherent Grassmann bases.

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 = \frac{1}{2m} \boldsymbol{\pi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} g \mu_B \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \,. \tag{3.1}$$

Here, σ_i , μ_B , g are the Pauli matrices, Bohr magneton, and g-factor respectively, where

$$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc}, \quad g = 2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + O(\alpha^2)\right), \quad \alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c}$$
(3.2)

(α is the fine structure constant $\approx 1/137$). Neglecting relativistic effects, we set g = 2 since this is not essential for the following discussion. Taking $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$, we write

$$H = H_0 + \delta H$$
, $H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \pi^2$, $\delta H = \mu_B B \sigma_z$. (3.3)

When there exists a base operator \mathcal{O}_{β} (for example cyclotron center β or magnetic translation $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{R}}$) that commutes with H_0 , the following construction forms bases that commute with H (i.e., commute with σ_z):

$$\mathcal{O}_{\beta} \otimes 1, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\beta} \otimes \sigma_{z}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\beta} \otimes \sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\beta} \otimes \sigma_{2}\sigma_{1},$$

$$(3.4)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.5)

and σ_1, σ_2 constitute Grassmann bases that anticommute with δH (i.e., anticommute with σ_z):

$$\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} = 1, \quad \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_x - i\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \sigma_x + i\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.7)

These Grassmann bases can be mapped to Grassmann variables and their derivatives in superspace (x, θ) . We refer to this as the SSM correspondence (superspace and spin matrix):

$$\sigma_1 \leftrightarrow \theta, \quad \sigma_2 \leftrightarrow \partial_\theta.$$
 (3.8)

While refs. [47, 48] used this basis with magnetic translation (MT) to realize supersymmetric algebra of q-Virasoro algebra different from CZ algebra, super CZ algebra has been constructed primarily through quantum superspace (QSS) [34, 35]. Although its physical realization using MT has recently been achieved [42], this paper provides a detailed theoretical foundation for understanding the correspondence between QSSbased super CZ algebra of ref. [34] and its MT realization.

First, we review ordinary Virasoro superalgebra on ordinary superspace (SS) (subsection 3.1.1) and derive Virasoro superalgebra on SM space by applying the SSM correspondence (3.8). Similarly, we examine super CZ on QSS in Section 3.2 and investigate the extension of SSM correspondence to QSS version in Section 4.

3.1.1 Virasoro super algebra

Here, we deal with a situation where the magnetic field is very weak, allowing us to take q = 1, and the Virasoro superalgebra is realized in a state where only supersymmetry remains. First, we prepare the Virasoro operator V_n and U(1) operator F_n as bosonic fundamental operators:

$$V_n = -x^{n+1}\partial_x , \quad F_n = x^n . \tag{3.9}$$

These satisfy the following Virasoro and U(1) commutation relations:

$$[V_n, V_m] = (n - m)V_{n+m}, \quad [V_n, F_m] = -mF_{n+m}, \qquad (3.10)$$

$$[F_n, F_m] = 0. (3.11)$$

The composite operator

$$L_n^B = V_n - \frac{n+1}{2}F_n (3.12)$$

and F_n also satisfy the same algebras as (3.10) and (3.11),

$$[L_n^B, L_m^B] = (n-m)L_{n+m}^B, \quad [L_n^B, F_m] = -mF_{n+m}, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$[L_n^B, V_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m}^B - \frac{m(m+1)}{2}F_{n+m}.$$
(3.14)

Let J_n denote the super current obtained by applying the operator F_n (which represents a component of the dilatation on bosonic space) to the superspace (x, θ) . Then, involving the super Virasoro operator L_n and the supercharge G_r , we have

$$L_n = V_n - \frac{n+1}{2} J_n \,, \tag{3.15}$$

$$J_n = F_n \theta \partial_\theta, \quad G_r = x^{r+\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_\theta - \theta \partial_x), \qquad (3.16)$$

and the Virasoro super algebra (N = 1) is realized:

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, \quad \{G_r, G_s\} = 2L_{r+s}, \qquad (3.17)$$

$$[L_n, G_r] = (\frac{n}{2} - r)G_{n+r}, \qquad (3.18)$$

$$[L_n, J_m] = -mJ_{n+m}, \quad [J_n, J_m] = 0.$$
(3.19)

The decomposition into N = 2 super Virasoro algebra is given by

$$G_r = G_r^+ + G_r^-, \quad G_r^- = x^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \partial_\theta, \quad G_r^+ = -x^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \theta \partial_x, \quad (3.20)$$

satisfying the following relations:

$$\{G_r^{\pm}, G_s^{\pm}\} = 0, \quad \{G_r^{+}, G_s^{-}\} = L_{r+s} + \frac{1}{2}(r-s)J_{r+s},$$
(3.21)

$$[L_n, G_r^{\pm}] = (\frac{n}{2} - r)G_{n+r}^{\pm}, \quad [J_n, G_r^{\pm}] = \pm G_{n+r}^{\pm}.$$
(3.22)

By applying the SSM correspondence (3.8) to G_r, J_n, L_n , we can obtain their MSB representation

$$\mathcal{G}_r = V_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma_1 + F_{r+\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma_2 \,, \tag{3.23}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_n = F_n \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \,, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_n = V_n \otimes 1 - \frac{n+1}{2} F_n \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 , \qquad (3.25)$$

and their explicit matrix representations are as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_r = \mathcal{G}_r^+ + \mathcal{G}_r^-, \quad \mathcal{G}_r^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V_{r-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{G}_r^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{r+\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.26)

$$\mathcal{J}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_n = \begin{pmatrix} V_n & 0 \\ 0 & L_n^B \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.27)$$

These $\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{G}_r, \mathcal{G}_r^{\pm}, \mathcal{J}_n$ satisfy the above Virasoro super algebra (N = 1, 2) (3.17)-(3.22).

3.2 Super CZ Algebra in Quantum Superspace (QSS)

Now we consider the case where the superspace (x, θ) is replaced with quantum superspace. Here we review three types of super CZ algebras in quantum superspace covariant under $GL_q(1, 1)$ [34, 35]. First, the bosonic fundamental operators are given by

$$B_n = -q^{-1}x^{n+1}\partial_x , \quad J_n = x^n \theta \partial_\theta .$$
(3.28)

These operators satisfy the deformed commutation relations:

$$[B_n, B_m]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]B_{n+m}, \quad [B_n, J_m]_{(m-n)} = -q^{-n}[m]J_{n+m}, \quad (3.29)$$

$$[J_n, J_m]_{(m-n)} = 0. (3.30)$$

The first super CZ algebra (Type 1) is constructed using the composite operator

$$L_n = B_n - g_n J_n , \quad g_n = aq^{-2n} + b := g_n^{CZ} , \qquad (3.31)$$

where L_n satisfies the same commutation relations as B_n (we denote this representation as CZ_{QSS} , and CZ_{QS} for the case when $g_n = 0$):

$$[L_n, L_m]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]L_{n+m}, \quad [L_n, J_m]_{(m-n)} = -q^{-n}[m]J_{n+m}.$$
(3.32)

The supercharge is given by

$$G_r = \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{r+\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_\theta - \theta \partial_x), \qquad (3.33)$$

$$\mu = \partial_x x - x \partial_x = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) L_0, \qquad (3.34)$$

and the anticommutation relation takes the form (after phase adjustment from Eq.(3.8) in [35]):

$$\{G_r, G_s\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)} = q^{r+s+2} \left(q^{\frac{s-r}{2}} + q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}\right) B_{r+s} - q^{\frac{r+s+3}{2}} \left([s+\frac{1}{2}] + [r+\frac{1}{2}]\right) J_{r+s}, \qquad (3.35)$$
$$= q^{r+s+2} \left(q^{\frac{s-r}{2}} + q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}\right) L_{r+s}$$

$$+ \left\{ q^{2} \left(q^{\frac{3s+r}{2}} + q^{\frac{3r+s}{2}} \right) g_{r+s} - q^{\frac{r+s+3}{2}} \left(\left[s + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \left[r + \frac{1}{2} \right] \right) \right\} J_{r+s} , \qquad (3.36)$$

or alternatively

$$\{G_r, G_s\}_{(s-r)} = q^{r+s+2}(q^{s-r} + q^{r-s})B_{r+s} - q^{\frac{3}{2}}(q^s[s+\frac{1}{2}] + q^r[r+\frac{1}{2}])J_{r+s}.$$
(3.37)

If we choose

$$g_n = \frac{1}{[2]} q^{-n} [n+1], \qquad (3.38)$$

then [35]

$$[L_n, G_r]_{(r+\frac{1}{2}-n)} = q^{-n} [n-r-\frac{1}{2}] G_{n+r} + f_{n,r} \mu^{-n} G_{n+r} \mu^{n+1}, \qquad (3.39)$$

$$f_{n,r} = q^{n-r-\frac{1}{2}-2n(n+r+\frac{3}{2})} \frac{[1-n]}{[2]}.$$
(3.40)

There are two issues with this form: first, the right-hand side of (3.35) is not expressed purely in terms of L_{r+s} , and second, the right-hand side of (3.39) has a complicated structure (the phase factor in the bracket is also somewhat peculiar and may need reconsideration - it would typically be $r - \frac{n}{2}$).

The remaining two types of super CZ algebra employ a slightly different composite form of L'_n :

$$L'_{n} = B_{n} - g'_{n} J_{n}, \quad g'_{n} = a' q^{-n} + b', \qquad (3.41)$$

The commutation relations for L'_n receive the following modification (which we denote as \widehat{CZ}):

$$[L'_n, L'_m]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]L'_{n+m} + a_{n,m}J_{n+m}, \qquad (3.42)$$

$$[L'_n, J_m]_{(m-n)} = -q^{-n}[m]J_{n+m}, \qquad (3.43)$$

where

$$a_{n,m} = a'q^{-n-m}([m-n] + [n] - [m]) = a'c^2q^{-n-m}[\frac{n-m}{2}][\frac{n}{2}][\frac{m}{2}], \qquad (3.44)$$

$$c = q - q^{-1} \,. \tag{3.45}$$

The super \widehat{CZ} algebra allows several possibilities depending on the choice of G_r and constants in g'_n , but only two specific forms have been studied in detail:

1. Type 2 combination from [35]:

$$g'_n = q^{-\frac{n}{2}} [\frac{n}{2}] \quad \text{with} \quad G_r = \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^r (\partial_\theta - x \theta \partial_x) \,, \tag{3.46}$$

2. Type 3 combination from [34]:

$$g'_{n} = q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} [\frac{n+1}{2}], \text{ with } G_{r} \text{ given by } (3.33)$$
 (3.47)

where μ is replaced by λ

$$\lambda = 1 + (q - q^{-1})L'_0.$$
(3.48)

Note that in (3.46), we have $\lambda = \mu$ since $g'_0 = 0$. For both Type 2 and Type 3 we have

$$[L'_n, G_r]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] G_{n+r} .$$
(3.49)

However, the supercharge anticommutation relations take a simple closed form only for Type 3:

$$\{G_r, G_s\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)} = q^{r+s+\frac{5}{2}} \left(q^{\frac{s-r}{2}} + q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}\right) L'_{r+s} \,. \tag{3.50}$$

For Type 2, the relations are similar to those of the first super CZ algebra (3.35):

$$\{G_r, G_s\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)} = q^{r+s+2} \left(q^{\frac{s-r}{2}} + q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}\right) B_{r+s} - q^{\frac{r+s+4}{2}} \left([s] + [r]\right) J_{r+s} \,. \tag{3.51}$$

While this could be expressed in terms of L'_{r+s} and J_{r+s} , we leave it in the formal expression due to its complexity (it is possible to find $b_{r,s}$ explicitly if necessary):

$$\{G_r, G_s\}_{(\frac{s-r}{2})} = q^{r+s+2} \left(q^{\frac{s-r}{2}} + q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}\right) L'_{r+s} + b_{r,s} J_{r+s} \,. \tag{3.52}$$

A distinctive feature of Type 3 super \widehat{CZ} algebra (3.49), (3.50) is its natural decomposition into N = 2 supersymmetry [34]. The supercharge decomposes as:

$$G_r = G_r^+ + G_r^- (3.53)$$

$$G_r^- = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \partial_\theta , \quad G_r^+ = -\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \theta \partial_x ,$$
 (3.54)

$$\{G_r^+, G_s^-\} = q^{r+s+\frac{5}{2}}L'_{r+s} + q^{\frac{r-s+3}{2}}[\frac{r-s}{2}]J_{r+s} , \quad \{G_r^\pm, G_s^\pm\} = 0 , \qquad (3.55)$$

$$[L'_n, G_r^{\pm}]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] G_{n+r}^{\pm}, \qquad (3.56)$$

$$[J_n, G_r^+]_{(\alpha, \beta)} = q^{n+2+\alpha} \lambda G_{n+r}^+, \qquad (3.57)$$

$$[J_n, G_r^-]_{(\alpha,\beta)} = -q^{n+2r+1+\beta} \lambda G_{n+r}^-, \qquad (3.58)$$

We do not yet know how to fix α and β . As we will see later, these free values will be determined when we impose physical requirements, for example, when we require them to correspond to the super CZ algebra of the electron spin system.

To conclude this section, we summarize the differences among the three types in the following table for comparison.

Property	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3
g_n	$\frac{1}{[2]}q^{-n}[n+1]$	$q^{-\frac{n}{2}}[\frac{n}{2}]$	$q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}[\frac{n+1}{2}]$
G_r form	$\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_{\theta}-\theta\partial_{x})$	$\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^r(\partial_\theta - x\theta\partial_x)$	$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_{\theta}-\theta\partial_{x})$
$[L_n, L_m]_{(m-n)}$	CZ	\widehat{CZ}	\widehat{CZ}
$[L_n, G_r]_{(\cdot)}$	complex (3.39)	simple (3.49)	simple (3.49)
$\{G_r, G_s\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)}$	complex (3.36)	complex (3.52)	simple (3.50)

Table 1: Structural comparison of three types of super CZ algebras in quantum superspace

4 Super CZ Algebra in Electron Spin System

In this section, we develop a framework for realizing super CZ algebra on quantum superspace (QSS) through the magnetic-spin matrix basis (MSB) representation. This extends the existing correspondence between quantum space (QS) and magnetic translations (MT). To differentiate between the two realizations, we denote the CZ algebra constructed through MT operators as CZ_{MT} and that constructed in quantum superspace as CZ_{QSS} .

The fundamental challenge in this construction lies in establishing the precise mapping of QSS to spin Grassmann basis within the $GL_q(1,1)$ framework. Although we can map QSS Grassmann operators $(\theta, \partial_{\theta})$ to (σ_1, σ_2) for construction in spin matrix (SM) space, we must first resolve how to properly map the bosonic operators (x, ∂_x) through the QS-MT correspondence.

In Section 4.1, we address a more subtle challenge: the realization of super CZ_{MT} through application of the non-supersymmetric QS-MT correspondence to CZ_{QSS} . This investigation necessitates precise analysis of how the QSS scaling operator μ manifests within the spin Grassmann basis. A significant complexity arises from the fact that, unlike the non-super (QS) case, QSS possesses dual equivalent representations bosonic and fermionic. We propose a novel operator mixing hypothesis to reconcile this QSS duality with its SM counterpart, establishing a coherent correspondence between QSS and SM representations.

Building upon this mixing hypothesis, we systematically derive the Type 3 super \widehat{CZ} algebra in its MSB representation in Section 4.2. Subsequently, Section 4.3 presents the complete N = 2 decomposition analysis. Finally, in Section 4.4, we demonstrate that both N = 1 and N = 2 super \widehat{CZ} algebras can be formulated within a unified *-bracket framework, revealing their fundamental algebraic structures.

As the first step, we show that the CZ algebra in quantum space (CZ_{QS}) can be transformed into the magnetic translation CZ algebra (CZ_{MT}) by utilizing the correspondence between the QS differential operator set (x, ∂_x, μ) and the q-differential operator set $(z, \partial_q, \hat{\mu})$ (QS-MT correspondence).

Let us consider the correspondence between the QSS bosonic fundamental operator B_n in (3.28) and the *q*-differential representation (2.26) of L_n :

$$B_n = -q^{-1}x^{n+1}\partial_x \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \hat{L}_n = -z^{n+1}\partial_q \,. \tag{4.1}$$

From the formula

$$q\partial_q z = 1 + q^{-1} z \partial_q \,, \tag{4.2}$$

and the bosonic differential part of the $GL_q(1,1)$ quantum plane

$$\partial_x x = 1 + q^{-2} x \partial_x \,, \tag{4.3}$$

we recognize that the correspondence (4.1) implies

$$(x,\partial_x) \quad \leftrightarrow \quad (z,q\partial_q).$$
 (4.4)

To verify the consistency of this correspondence, let us check other relations. For example:

$$\partial_x x^n = q^{-n+1}[n]x^{n-1} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad q\partial_q z^n = q^{-n+1}[n]z^{n-1} \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mu = \partial_x x - x \partial_x = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) B_0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \hat{\mu} = q(\partial_q z - z \partial_q) = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) \hat{L}_0 \tag{4.6}$$

$$\mu x^{n} \mu^{-1} = q^{-2n} x^{n} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \hat{\mu} z^{n} \hat{\mu}^{-1} = q^{-2n} z^{n} \qquad etc.$$
(4.7)

This establishes that quantum space differential operators correspond to q-differential operators, and since q-differential operators \hat{L}_n correspond to MT operators $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$, we conclude that differential operators in $GL_q(1,1)$ quantum space can be transformed into representations of MT operators.

Specifically, from (2.26), (2.13) and (2.15), \hat{L}_n can be decomposed as:

$$\hat{L}_n = \hat{B}_n + \hat{J}_n \tag{4.8}$$

$$\hat{B}_n = -\hat{T}_n^{(0)} = \frac{-z^n}{q - q^{-1}} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\hat{J}_n = q^{n+2\Delta} \hat{T}_n^{(2)} = z^n \frac{q^{-2z\partial}}{q-q^{-1}}.$$
(4.10)

The commutation relations derived from

$$[\hat{T}_{n}^{(k)}, \hat{T}_{m}^{(l)}]_{(m-n)} = \left[\frac{n(l-2) - m(k-2)}{2}\right] \hat{T}_{n+m}^{(k+l)}, \qquad (4.11)$$

yield

$$[\hat{B}_n, \hat{B}_m]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{B}_{n+m}, \qquad (4.12)$$

$$[\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_m]_{(m-n)} = -q^{-n}[m]\hat{J}_{n+m}, \quad [\hat{J}_n, \hat{J}_m]_{(m-n)} = 0.$$
(4.13)

These are isomorphic to CZ_{QS} , namely to (3.29) and (3.30).

Following the composition rule (3.31), the CZ operator is given by

$$\hat{L}_{n}^{CZ} = \hat{B}_{n} - g_{n}^{CZ} \hat{J}_{n}$$
(4.14)

and satisfies the CZ algebra (which we denote as CZ_{MT}). Similarly, replacing g_n^{CZ} with g'_n yields the \widehat{CZ} algebra. Note that (4.8) corresponds to the special case of these (a = 0, b = -1).

From (4.4), the relationship represents QS-MT correspondence, but while (B_n, J_n) and (\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n) play similar roles as constituent elements of CZ operators, it is clear from (4.9) and (4.10) that they do not coincide in the $q \to 1$ limit. (From another viewpoint, the counterpart of B_n is not \hat{B}_n but \hat{L}_n .) Hence, we cannot simply apply this correspondence, and there must be a mixing matrix transformation between (B_n, J_n) and (\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n) (as will be discussed later in Section 4.1). As a result, the algebra in the $q \to 1$ limit may differ from the one expected from the QSS viewpoint. Therefore, assuming this mixing, we aim to construct the superalgebra in the QSS framework for $q \neq 1$, while addressing a specific scenario where the supercharge becomes q-anticommutative as suggested in [36, 37] when $q \to 1$.

Before proceeding further, let us note the MT counterpart $\hat{\mu}$ of the QS scaling operator μ . From (2.26) and (4.4), we have

$$\hat{\mu} = 1 - (q - q^{-1})z\partial_q = q^{-2z\partial}.$$
(4.15)

This gives the transmutation rule between \hat{J}_n and \hat{B}_n as

$$\hat{J}_n = \frac{z^n \hat{\mu}}{q - q^{-1}} = -\hat{B}_n \hat{\mu} , \qquad (4.16)$$

and making use of (4.7) we verify the scaling rule

$$\hat{\mu}\hat{X}_n\hat{\mu}^{-1} = q^{-2n}\hat{X}_n, \quad \hat{X}_n = \hat{L}_n, \hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n.$$
(4.17)

Note that all $\hat{T}_n^{(k)}$ can be separated into products of \hat{B}_n and $\hat{\mu}$:

$$\hat{T}_{n}^{(k)} = q^{-k(\frac{n}{2} + \Delta)} \hat{T}_{n}^{(0)} \hat{\mu}^{\frac{k}{2}}, \qquad (4.18)$$

$$\hat{J}_n^{(k)} := q^{k(n+2\Delta)} \hat{T}_n^{(2k)} = -\hat{B}_n \hat{\mu}^k \,.$$
(4.19)

The correspondence (4.6) represents the non-super pure bosonic correspondence. In the super CZ case with QSS, we originally have from (3.34):

$$\mu = 1 + (q - q^{-1})L_0 = 1 + (q - q^{-1})(B_0 - g_0^{CZ}J_0), \qquad (4.20)$$

which holds under the pure bosonic condition $(g_0^{CZ} = 0)$. Similarly for:

$$\lambda = 1 + (q - q^{-1})L'_0 = 1 + (q - q^{-1})(B_0 - g'_n J_0).$$
(4.21)

When considering the MSB representation of super CZ, we need to consider both bosonic (MT) and Grassmann (SM) representations. In $GL_q(1,1)$ quantum superspace, we have:

$$\mu = \partial_x x - x \partial_x = \partial_\theta \theta + \theta \partial_\theta \tag{4.22}$$

This indicates that while the MT representation of μ corresponds to the scaling operation on z as $\hat{\mu} = q^{-2z\partial}$, its SM representation must map to the unit matrix under the replacement correspondence (3.8):

$$\mu \mapsto \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \mathbb{1} . \tag{4.23}$$

It should also be noted that considering μ is relevant for Type 1 and/or Type 2, while for Type 3, λ rather than μ serves as the scaling operator. The relation between λ and μ is:

$$\lambda = \mu - (1 - q^{-1})\theta\partial_{\theta} = \partial_{\theta}\theta + q^{-1}\theta\partial_{\theta}$$
(4.24)

Compared to (4.22), the coefficient of $\theta \partial_{\theta}$ becomes q^{-1} , thus the SM representation is:

$$\lambda \to q^{-1}\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_2\sigma_1 = diag(1, q^{-1}) \tag{4.25}$$

which introduces a phase distortion in the down-spin component compared to (4.23).

Returning to the differential operator (MT) representation, recall that the QSS scaling operators μ or λ are given by (4.20) and (4.21), where the supersymmetric case operators L_0 and L'_0 are extensions of the pure bosonic B_0 . While B_0 does not directly correspond to \hat{B}_0 , if we tentatively assume such a correspondence and consider the MT mapping

$$(B_0, J_0) \quad \to \quad (\hat{B}_0, \hat{J}_0) \,, \tag{4.26}$$

we obtain

$$\mu \to \hat{B}_0 - g_0^{CZ} \hat{J}_0 = \hat{B}_0 - (a+b)\hat{J}_0 = -(a+b)\hat{\mu}, \qquad (4.27)$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ is the same $q^{-2z\partial}$ as the bosonic μ counterpart. Here, (a, b) are defined in (3.31). Similarly for λ (replacing g_0^{CZ} with g'_0) we have

$$\lambda \to \hat{B}_0 - g'_0 \hat{J}_0 = -(a'+b')\hat{\mu},$$
(4.28)

where (a', b') are defined in (3.41).

The values of (a, b) remain undetermined, as we cannot fix them at this stage. This is because there is no guarantee that the g_n^{CZ} in (4.14) is identical to that in QSS. For example, if we assume the correspondence

$$(B_0, J_0) \to (\hat{B}_0 + \beta \hat{J}_0, \hat{J}_0),$$
 (4.29)

the effect of β can be absorbed into the coupling coefficients of the MT representation by taking

$$g_n^{CZ} = aq^{-2n} + b + \beta, \quad g'_n = a'q^{-2n} + b' + \beta$$
(4.30)

to maintain the same results.

4.1 QSS Correspondence: Mapping to Spin Matrix Space

When applying the SSM correspondence (3.8) to the supercharge (3.33), we observe that B_n and F_n emerge in the transformed expression. Rather than directly applying our previous analysis, we must first establish a precise correspondence for F_n , as this forms the foundation for understanding the dual nature of the QSS scaling operator.

To establish the mathematical framework, we first systematically organize the dual representation of the QSS scaling operator μ , drawing from the relations established in (4.6), (4.22), and (4.23), as shown in Table 2.

coord. system	QSS rep. (μ)	MSB rep.
bosonic	$\partial x - x \partial$	$\hat{\mu}$
grassmann	$ heta \partial_ heta + \partial_ heta heta$	1

Table 2: Dual representation of QSS scaling operator μ and its corresponding operators.

From the bosonic quantum space q-differential (MT operator) correspondence (4.4) and (4.9), we have $F_n = x^n \mapsto z^n = -c\hat{B}_n$, $(c = q - q^{-1})$. While the scaling operation part $\theta\partial_{\theta}$ isolated from J_n can be rewritten in terms of μ , resulting in a bosonic scaling operator, it leaves a residual Grassmann operator term $(\mu - \partial_{\theta}\theta)$. If we consider a twisted J_n with $x^n\partial_{\theta}\theta$ added:

$$\mathfrak{J}_n = J_n + x^n \partial_\theta \theta = F_n \mu \,, \tag{4.31}$$

we then obtain a generalized correspondence relation in Table 3 that includes the μ case of Table 2 at n = 0, since $\mathfrak{J}_0 = \mu$. The factor -c obtained from the F_n part has been removed.

coord. system	QSS rep. (\mathfrak{J}_n)	MSB rep.
bosonic	$x^n(\partial x - x\partial)$	$\hat{B}_n\hat{\mu} = -\hat{J}_n$
grassmann	$x^n(\theta\partial_\theta + \partial_\theta\theta)$	$\hat{B}_n \mathbb{1}$

Table 3: Dual representation of QSS twisted operator \mathfrak{J}_n and its potential MSB correspondence.

This analysis reveals that the fundamental correspondence $\mu \mapsto (\hat{\mu}, \mathbb{1})$ from QSS to MSB naturally extends to the more general mapping $F_n \mapsto (\hat{J}_n, \hat{B}_n)$. Furthermore, the relationship between L_n and \hat{L}_n admits a more general mixing structure than (4.29), arising from two key observations: first, the coupling coefficients g_n^{CZ} in the CZ_{QSS} operator (3.31) and CZ_{MT} operator (4.14) need not coincide; second, (4.14) does not require the pure bosonic condition ($g_n^{CZ} = 0$). This leads to the general mixing transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_n \\ J_n \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_n \\ \hat{J}_n \end{pmatrix} .$$
(4.32)

To constrain our general mixing transformation while preserving essential physical features, we impose two key conditions. First, to maintain consistency with the non-supersymmetric case, we require the bosonic part to exhibit proportional behavior. Second, to avoid over-generalization, we set $m_{12} = 0$. These constraints lead to a simplified form for the MSB counterparts $(\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{J}_n)$ of (B_n, F_n) :

$$\tilde{B}_n := m_{11}\hat{B}_n, \quad \tilde{J}_n := m_{21}\hat{B}_n + m_{22}\hat{J}_n.$$
(4.33)

Although a possible rotational symmetry might further reduce the degrees of freedom, this representation proves sufficient for realizing our target super algebra, as demonstrated in subsequent sections.

Substituting $(\tilde{B}_n, \tilde{J}_n)$ for the (B_n, F_n) components in supercharge (3.33), we obtain a candidate for the MSB counterpart of the supercharge:

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r} = \hat{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{B}_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma_{1} + \hat{\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma_{2} \,. \tag{4.34}$$

This expression provides a foundation for analyzing the q-anticommutator $\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_s\}_{(\frac{s-r}{2})}$. A crucial observation emerges when we expand this q-anticommutator in terms of \hat{B}_{r+s} polynomials: the relations (4.14) and (4.16) require that these terms combine to form L_n^{CZ} with both zeroth and first order terms in $\hat{\mu}$. This requirement imposes a significant constraint on the mixing parameters: $m_{21}m_{22} \neq 0$. Indeed, if \tilde{J}_n were constructed using only \hat{B}_n or \hat{J}_n $(m_{21}m_{22} = 0)$, we would obtain terms of a single order in $\hat{\mu}$, making it impossible to achieve the required structure.

A detailed analysis of the q-anticommutator reveals terms of two distinct orders: $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\mu}^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{O}(1)$. This structure suggests that, after appropriate coefficient adjustments, the complete expression should assume the form $\hat{\mu}^{-1}L_{r+s}^{CZ}$. However, the presence of the $\hat{\mu}^{-1}$ factor necessitates a modification of our mixing matrix. Specifically, \tilde{J}_n must incorporate an additional $\hat{\mu}$ factor, leading to the refined mixing matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & 0\\ m_{21}\hat{\mu} & m_{22}\hat{\mu} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.35)

Incorporating the $\hat{\mu}$ dependence while preserving the fundamental structure of (4.33), we obtain a generalized form of the supercharge (4.34):

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r} = \hat{\mu}^{-\nu} \tilde{B}_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma_{1} + \hat{\mu}^{\nu} \tilde{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma_{2} \quad \text{with} \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2}.$$
(4.36)

As we will see in Section 4.2, the power of $\hat{\mu}$ is not restricted to $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ but can be generalized with ν left undetermined. With this generalization, we can reproduce the Type 3 $GL_q(1,1)$ super \widehat{CZ} algebra (3.42), (3.49) and (3.50). Moreover, in the case of $\nu = 1$, we can reproduce the Type 3 $GL_q(1,1)$ N = 2 super \widehat{CZ} algebra (3.55) etc. There is no correspondence to Type 2 super \widehat{CZ} .

4.2 Verification

Building upon the theoretical framework established in the previous section, we now introduce a general parameterization of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r$ to determine its precise form through algebraic consistency requirements:

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r = \hat{\mu}^{-\nu} \tilde{B}_r \otimes \sigma_1 + c \hat{\mu}^{\nu} \tilde{J}_r \otimes \sigma_2 , \qquad (4.37)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{r} = q^{\gamma} \hat{B}_{r-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{J}_{r} = -(q^{\alpha} \hat{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}} + q^{\beta} \hat{B}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(4.38)

The construction of q-anticommutator requires careful treatment of the $\hat{\mu}$ powers in its cross terms. We configure these terms with inverse powers to achieve mutual cancellation. The structure of L_{r+s}^{CZ} naturally emerges from two fundamental types of products: $\hat{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \sim \hat{J}_{r+s}$ and $\hat{B}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \sim \hat{B}_{r+s}$. This observation motivates our construction of \tilde{J}_r as a linear combination of $\hat{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\hat{B}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}$. The coupling constants are chosen proportional to c, ensuring the emergence of pure bosonic behavior in the classical limit $q \to 1$. This formulation reveals super \widehat{CZ} as a quantum effect arising from the mixing matrix structure. The normalization factor $c = q - q^{-1}$ can be absorbed into the generators $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$, simplifying the final expressions. This factor originates naturally from the normalization in the fusion rule (2.5), and could alternatively be eliminated through operator redefinition as demonstrated in (2.3). The proportionality of the anomaly coefficient $a_{n,m}$ to c^2 in the second term of super \widehat{CZ} provides additional theoretical justification: the anomaly's vanishing behavior as $q \to 1$ aligns with our physical expectations for the classical limit.

To proceed with the verification, we introduce a key set of assumptions regarding parameter dependencies: ν remains independent of r, while the parameters α , β , and γ are allowed to have r-dependence:

$$\alpha = \alpha(r), \quad \beta = \beta(r), \quad \gamma = \gamma(r).$$
(4.39)

For expressions involving $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_s$, we adopt the conventional notation $\alpha' = \alpha(s)$, with analogous expressions for the other parameters. The explicit computations proceed through systematic application of the fusion rule (2.5). First, for the product of \hat{B} operators, we evaluate:

$$\hat{B}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}_{s-\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{T}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\hat{T}_{s-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{q-q^{-1}}\hat{T}_{r+s}^{(0)} = \frac{-1}{q-q^{-1}}\hat{B}_{r+s}, \qquad (4.40)$$

$$\hat{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}_{s-\frac{1}{2}} = -q^{r+\frac{1}{2}+2\Delta}\hat{T}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}\hat{T}_{s-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} = \frac{-q^{r-s+1+2\Delta}}{q-q^{-1}}\hat{T}_{r+s}^{(2)} = \frac{-q^{1-2s}}{q-q^{-1}}\hat{J}_{r+s}.$$
(4.41)

Subsequently, we compute the mixed product of the \hat{J} and \hat{B} operators:

$$\left\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r},\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}\right\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)} = c \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_{G}^{+} & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_{G}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.42)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{G}^{\pm} are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{G}^{+} = \frac{q^{A_{+}} + q^{B_{+}}}{q - q^{-1}} q^{-2(r+s)} \hat{J}_{r+s} + \frac{q^{C_{+}} + q^{D_{+}}}{q - q^{-1}} \hat{B}_{r+s}, \qquad (4.43)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{G}^{-} = \frac{q^{A_{-}} + q^{B_{-}}}{q - q^{-1}} q^{-1 - r - s} \hat{J}_{r+s} + \frac{q^{C_{-}} + q^{D_{-}}}{q - q^{-1}} \hat{B}_{r+s} \,.$$
(4.44)

The factorization is achieved only when

$$(A_{\pm}, B_{\pm}) = (C_{\pm}, D_{\pm}) \quad \text{or} \quad (D_{\pm}, C_{\pm})$$
 (4.45)

holds, yielding

$$\mathcal{L}_{G}^{+} = A^{+}(r,s)(q^{-2(r+s)}\hat{J}_{r+s} + \hat{B}_{r+s}), \qquad (4.46)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{G}^{-} = A^{-}(r,s)(q^{-1-r-s}\hat{J}_{r+s} + \hat{B}_{r+s})$$
(4.47)

$$A^{\pm}(r,s) = \frac{q^{A_{\pm}} + q^{B_{\pm}}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$
(4.48)

The solutions are obtained only when

$$(A_+, B_+) = (C_+, D_+), \text{ and } (A_-, B_-) = (D_-, C_-),$$
 (4.49)

where the following system of equations must be satisfied:

$$\alpha + 1 + 2r = \beta, \quad \alpha' + 1 + 2s = \beta',$$
(4.50)

$$(2\nu - 1)(r - s) + 1 + r + s + \gamma - \gamma' + \alpha' = \beta, \qquad (4.51)$$

$$(2\nu - 1)(s - r) + 1 + r + s + \gamma' - \gamma + \alpha = \beta'.$$
(4.52)

The general solution takes the form

$$\alpha(r) = -r - \frac{1}{2} + e_1, \quad \beta(r) = r + \frac{1}{2} + e_1, \quad \gamma(r) = (1 - 2\nu)r + e_1 + e_2, \quad (4.53)$$

where e_1 , e_2 and ν are arbitrary parameters., Specifically, setting

$$e_1 = 0, \quad e_2 = \nu + \frac{1}{2},$$
 (4.54)

we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{G}^{+} = q^{1+r+s} [\frac{r-s}{2}]_{+} (q^{-2(r+s)} \hat{J}_{r+s} + \hat{B}_{r+s}) q^{-2\nu(r+s)} , \qquad (4.55)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{G}^{-} = q^{1+r+s} [\frac{r-s}{2}]_{+} (q^{-1-r-s} \hat{J}_{r+s} + \hat{B}_{r+s})$$
(4.56)

$$\left[\frac{r-s}{2}\right]_{+} = \frac{q^{\frac{r-s}{2}} + q^{\frac{s-r}{2}}}{q-q^{-1}} \tag{4.57}$$

Let us define the CZ and \widehat{CZ} operators as

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{+} = \hat{B}_{n} + q^{-2n} \hat{J}_{n}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{-} = \hat{B}_{n} + q^{-1-n} \hat{J}_{n}.$$
(4.58)

From these definitions and previous relations, we derive

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)} = c[\frac{r-s}{2}]_{+}q^{1+r+s}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}, \qquad (4.59)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2n\nu}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^+ & 0\\ 0 & \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^- \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.60)

The operators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^\pm$ are characterized by the following commutation relations:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{+}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{m}^{+}]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m}^{+}, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{-}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{m}^{-}]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m}^{-} + a_{n,m}\hat{J}_{n+m}.$$
(4.61)

This demonstrates that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n$ satisfies the \widehat{CZ} algebra with parameters $a' = q^{-1}$ and b' = 0 (cf. (3.41) and (4.58)). Consequently, we confirm:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m} + \frac{1}{c}a_{n,m}\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n+m}, \qquad (4.62)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r+s} \,. \tag{4.63}$$

The matrix representation of \hat{J}_n is given as

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n = c\hat{J}_n \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \,, \tag{4.64}$$

and its commutation relations satisfy the same form as in (4.13):

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_m]_{(m-n)} = -q^{-n}[m]\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n+m}, \qquad (4.65)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_m]_{(m-n)} = 0.$$
(4.66)

These results demonstrate that the MSB representation precisely realizes a Type 3 super \widehat{CZ} algebra. Specifically, (4.62) and (4.63) match the QSS representation in (3.42) and (3.49), while (4.59) corresponds to (3.50) up to an overall factor of $q^{3/2}$ that is absent from the right-hand side. This missing factor can be absorbed through a redefinition of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r$.

4.3 N = 2 decomposition

To establish the N = 2 supersymmetric structure of the super CZ algebra, we decompose the supercharge G_r as follows. This decomposition not only simplifies the algebraic structure but also reveals the underlying supersymmetric properties through the separation of fermionic components:

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^+ + \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^-, \quad \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^+ = \hat{\mu}^{-\nu} \tilde{B}_r \otimes \sigma_1, \quad \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^- = c \hat{\mu}^{\nu} \tilde{J}_r \otimes \sigma_2.$$

$$(4.67)$$

Under this decomposition, we find that for any constant p_0 :

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{\pm}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{\pm}\}_{(\pm p_{0})} = 0.$$
(4.68)

The phase factor p_0 can be treated as an independent parameter at this stage, though its value will be determined later from the N = 2 *-bracket structure. Proceeding with the analysis of the cross-terms:

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{+}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{-}\}_{(p_{1})} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{L}_{G}^{+} & 0\\ 0 & \mathscr{L}_{G}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.69)$$

where

$$\mathscr{L}_{G}^{+} = q^{p_{1}+2+r+s+(\nu-1)(1+2s)}q^{-2\nu(r+s)}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}^{+}, \qquad (4.70)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{G}^{-} = q^{-p_{1}+2+r+s+(\nu-1)(1-2r)} (\hat{B}_{r+s} + q^{-1-2s} \hat{J}_{r+s}).$$
(4.71)

To extract an explicit expression for $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}$ from the right-hand side of (4.69), we need to align the overall factors of \mathscr{L}_{G}^{\pm} . This alignment is achieved by setting

$$p_1 = -(r+s)(\nu - 1). \tag{4.72}$$

With this choice, \mathscr{L}_G^{\pm} include the diagonal components of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}$:

$$\mathscr{L}_{G}^{+} = q^{2+r+s+(\nu-1)(1+s-r)} q^{-2\nu(r+s)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}^{+}, \qquad (4.73)$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{G}^{-} = q^{2+r+s+(\nu-1)(1+s-r)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}^{-} + cq^{(\nu-1)(1+s-r)} q^{\frac{2+r-s}{2}} [\frac{r-s}{2}] \hat{J}_{r+s} \,. \tag{4.74}$$

These calculations lead to a closed expression for (4.69) in terms of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$:

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{+}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{-}\}_{(p_{1})} = q^{2+r+s} q^{(\nu-1)(1+s-r)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s} + q^{(\nu-1)(1+s-r)} q^{\frac{2+r-s}{2}} [\frac{r-s}{2}] \hat{\mathcal{J}}_{r+s} \,. \tag{4.75}$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r+s}^{\pm}.$$
(4.76)

Comparing with the quantum superspace formulation, we find that (4.76) coincides with (3.56), while (4.75) corresponds to (3.55) under two conditions: setting $\nu = 1$ and including an additional factor of $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on the right-hand side. This factor difference can be accommodated through a redefinition of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}$.

To complete the algebraic structure, we determine the commutation relations between $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$ and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}$:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^+]_{(p_2)} = cq^{p_2 - 2n\nu} \hat{\mu}^{-\nu} \hat{J}_n \tilde{B}_r \otimes \sigma_1 , \qquad (4.77)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^-]_{(p_2)} = -c^2 q^{-p_2} \hat{\mu}^{\nu} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_r \hat{\mathcal{J}}_n \otimes \sigma_2 \,.$$
(4.78)

The phase factor p_2 remains to be determined through analysis of the symmetry properties of the structure constants.

By applying the relation (4.16) together with the fusion rule (2.5), we obtain

$$\hat{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{J}_n = \frac{q^{1+2r}}{q-q^{-1}}\hat{\mu}\hat{J}_{n+r+\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \hat{B}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{J}_n = \frac{q^{1+2(n+r)}}{q-q^{-1}}\hat{\mu}\hat{B}_{n+r+\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4.79)

These relations can be expressed in terms of $\hat{\mu}$ to yield:

$$\tilde{J}_r \hat{J}_n = \frac{q^{n+2r+1}}{q-q^{-1}} \hat{\mu} \tilde{J}_{n+r} , \qquad \hat{J}_n \tilde{B}_r = \frac{q^{n(1+2\nu)}}{q-q^{-1}} \hat{\mu} \tilde{B}_{n+r} .$$
(4.80)

From these relations, we evaluate the commutation relations (4.77) and (4.78), leading to:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^+]_{(p_2)} = q^{p_2+n} \hat{\mu} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n+r}^+, \qquad (4.81)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^-]_{(p_2)} = -q^{-p_2+n+2r+1}\hat{\mu}\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n+r}^-.$$
(4.82)

By imposing symmetry conditions on the structure constants under the interchange of \pm indices, we determine $p_2 = r + \frac{1}{2}$. This leads to:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}]_{(r+\frac{1}{2})} = \pm q^{n+r+\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\mu} \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n+r}^{\pm} .$$
(4.83)

However, this relation does not allow for values of $\alpha = -\beta$ that match the corresponding relations (3.57) and (3.58) in the QSS case.

To find a relation that matches the QSS relations (3.57) and (3.58), we redefine $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$ using the scaling freedom as:

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n' = q^w \hat{\mathcal{J}}_n \,, \tag{4.84}$$

and set

$$p_2 = r - \frac{n}{2}, \tag{4.85}$$

which leads to

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}'_{n}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{\pm}_{r}]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = \pm q^{n+r+\frac{1}{2}\mp\frac{n+1}{2}+w} \hat{\mu} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{\pm}_{n+r} \,.$$

$$\tag{4.86}$$

On the other hand, in (3.57) and (3.58), setting

$$\alpha = -\beta = r - \frac{n}{2} - w', \qquad (4.87)$$

and requiring that (3.57), (3.58), and (4.86) match, we find that the unique solution is

$$w = w' = 1. (4.88)$$

In this case, (4.86) coincides with the QSS q-commutation relations (3.57) and (3.58):

$$[J_n, G_r^{\pm}]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = \pm q^{n+r+\frac{3}{2}\mp\frac{n+1}{2}} \lambda G_{n+r}^{\pm}.$$
(4.89)

Through these calculations, we have successfully reproduced the $GL_q(1,1)$ super \widehat{CZ} algebra (3.42), (3.49), (3.50) in the MSB representation, which is identical to that in QSS. Furthermore, for $\nu = 1$, we have reproduced the Type 3 $GL_q(1,1)$ N = 2 super \widehat{CZ} algebra (3.55) and related relations. Note that there is no correspondence with the Type 2 super \widehat{CZ} algebra.

We have obtained the super \widehat{CZ} matrix $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n$ where the CZ operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n^+$ acts on up spin states and the \widehat{CZ} operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n^-$ acts on down spin states. The super \widehat{CZ} matrix is generated from the supercharges $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_r$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}$. In the N = 2 case, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_n$ exhibits a particularly simple structure: it can be constructed directly from anticommutators that do not involve the deformation parameter q, maintaining the classical form of the N = 2 supersymmetry algebra.

Comparing with the q = 1 case, we find both similarities and key differences in structure. The similarity lies in the appearance of B_n and L_n^B as diagonal components (see (3.27)). However, a fundamental difference appears in the coupling coefficients of B_n and F_n when comparing (3.15) or (3.25) with (4.58) and (4.60). Another distinctive feature emerges in the F_n terms: while the F_n terms in (3.23)-(3.25) are essentially replaced by \hat{J}_n , in the case of (3.23), they transform into \tilde{J}_n - a mixed state of B_n and J_n (see (4.67)).

4.4 *-bracket structure of super CZ algebras

Our analysis in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 demonstrates that the MSB representation faithfully reproduces the structure of Type 3 super \widehat{CZ} algebra, establishing a complete isomorphism with the QSS formulation. This result validates the correspondence between quantum superspace and physical spin systems. Building upon this foundation, we now systematically organize these results within the framework of *-bracket formalism to reveal their underlying algebraic structure.

Let us summarize the final results, including redefinition of notation. The supercharge representation obtained in MSB form (4.67) and the superalgebra are as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^+ + \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^-, \quad \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^+ = \hat{\mu}^{-\nu} \tilde{B}_r \otimes \sigma_1, \quad \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^- = c \hat{\mu}^{\nu} \tilde{J}_r \otimes \sigma_2,$$

where \tilde{B}_r and \tilde{J}_r are given by (4.38) with (4.53) and (4.54):

$$\tilde{B}_{r} = q^{(1-2\nu)r+\nu+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}_{r-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{J}_{r} = -q^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{J}_{r+\frac{1}{2}} - q^{r+\frac{1}{2}}\hat{B}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4.90)

The MSB representation of CZ generators given by (4.60) and U(1) current $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$ given by (4.64), after incorporating the redefinition, are:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n = q^{-2n\nu} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^+ \otimes \sigma_2 \sigma_1 + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^- \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \tag{4.91}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n = qc\hat{J}_n \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \tag{4.92}$$

where CZ and \widehat{CZ} generators $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{\pm}$ are given by (4.58). These satisfy the following N = 1 super \widehat{CZ} algebra as shown in (4.59),(4.62), (4.63) and (4.65):

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m} + cq^{-1} \alpha_{n,m} \hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n+m} , \qquad (4.93)$$

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r},\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}\}_{\left(\frac{s-r}{2}\right)} = (q^{\frac{r-s}{2}} + q^{\frac{s-r}{2}})q^{1+r+s}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}, \qquad (4.94)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r+s} \,. \tag{4.95}$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_m]_{(m-n)} = -q^{-n}[m]\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n+m}, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_m]_{(m-n)} = 0, \qquad (4.96)$$

where the diagonal elements $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{\pm}$ satisfy (4.61), namely the CZ and \widehat{CZ} algebras respectively:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{+}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{m}^{+}]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m}^{+}, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{-}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{m}^{-}]_{(m-n)} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m}^{-} + c^{2}\alpha_{n,m}\hat{J}_{n+m}.$$
(4.97)

Here, $\alpha_{n,m}$ is related to $a_{n,m}$ of (3.44) through $\alpha_{n,m} = c^{-2}a_{n,m}$ with $a' = q^{-1}$, giving:

$$\alpha_{n,m} = q^{-1} q^{-n-m} [\frac{n-m}{2}] [\frac{n}{2}] [\frac{m}{2}].$$
(4.98)

All equations from (4.93) to (4.97) can be unified within the *-bracket formalism \mathcal{M}_S introduced in (2.22). This formalism extends the commutator (2.20) by incorporating Z_2 -grading, while preserving the direct use of the *-bracket formula (2.21). The quantum dimensional weights are assigned as k, l = 1 for the supercharge and k, l = 2 for other generators:

$$[X_n^{(k)}, X_m^{(l)}]_* = (X_n^{(k)} X_m^{(l)})_* - (-1)^{\deg(X_n^{(k)})\deg(X_m^{(l)})} (X_m^{(l)} X_n^{(k)})_*$$
(4.99)

where the grading function is defined as

$$deg(X_n^{(k)}) = 1$$
 for $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r$, and 0 otherwise (4.100)

and we use also the notation $\{A, B\}_*$ for the case of relative + sign. It is interesting to note that a Z_2 -grading structure appears in the quantum dimensional weight as well. As discussed in [22], this seems to suggest that exchanging weights (2 and 0) would be more natural here too.

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m]_* = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m} + cq^{-1} \,\alpha_{n,m}\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n+m} \,, \tag{4.101}$$

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_s\}_* = (q^{\frac{r-s}{2}} + q^{\frac{s-r}{2}})q^{1+r+s}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s},$$
(4.102)

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r]_* = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r+s} \,. \tag{4.103}$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_m]_* = -q^{-n} [m] \hat{\mathcal{J}}_{n+m}, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_m]_* = 0.$$
(4.104)

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{+}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{m}^{+}]_{*} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m}^{+}, \quad [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{-}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{m}^{-}]_{*} = [n-m]\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n+m}^{-} + c^{2} \alpha_{n,m} \hat{J}_{n+m}.$$
(4.105)

The correspondence with the non-supersymmetric case is as follows: (4.101) corresponds to (2.17), and (4.104) corresponds to (2.16) and (2.18). An anomalous term appears in (4.101). While there is a phase difference in the right-hand side of (4.104), complete agreement can be achieved by redefining $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n \to q^n \hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$. To maintain the form of the anomalous term in (4.101), $\alpha_{n,m}$ must also be redefined as $\alpha_{n,m} \to q^{n+m} \alpha_{n,m}$.

The N = 2 superalgebra (4.75), (4.76), (4.86):

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{\pm}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{\pm}\}_{(p_{0})} = 0, \qquad (4.106)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{+},\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{-}]_{(p_{1})} = q^{2+r+s}q^{(\nu-1)(1+s-r)}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s} + q^{(\nu-1)(1+s-r)}q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}[\frac{r-s}{2}]\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{r+s}, \qquad (4.107)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r+s}^{\pm}.$$
(4.108)

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}]_{(r-\frac{n}{2})} = \pm q^{n+r+\frac{3}{2}\mp\frac{n+1}{2}}\hat{\mu}\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n+r}^{\pm}.$$
(4.109)

where p_1 is given by (4.72). When $\nu = 1$, (4.107) reproduces the QSS case.

Except for (4.106) and (4.107), we immediately have the *-bracket forms:

$$[\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}]_* = q^{-n} [\frac{n}{2} - r] \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r+s}^{\pm}, \qquad (4.110)$$

$$[\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}]_* = \pm q^{n+r+\frac{3}{2}\mp\frac{n+1}{2}}\hat{\mu}\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{n+r}^{\pm}, \qquad (4.111)$$

where (2.22) is applied in the same way as the N = 1 case. Apart from the QSS correspondence, we have $p_1 = \frac{r+2}{2}$ in the case of $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, and we obtain the following *-bracket expressions for (4.106) and (4.107), applying $\epsilon = +$ and $\eta = -$ to (2.21) with weight 1:

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{\pm}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{\pm}\}_{*} = 0, \qquad (4.112)$$

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{+}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{-}\}_{*} = q^{1+r+\frac{1+s+r}{2}}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s} + q^{r-s-\frac{1}{2}}[\frac{r-s}{2}]\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{r+s}.$$
(4.113)

Here, we should note that these *-brackets require further refinement. The *-brackets for (4.110) and (4.111) do not distinguish \pm signs just like the N = 1 *-brackets in \mathcal{M}_S (2.22), while $\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\epsilon}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_s^{\eta}\}_*$ do as seen in (4.112) and (4.113).

In order to establish a complete N = 2 *-bracket formalism, we have to take into account the dual components $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{(\pm)}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{(+)} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{(-)}$ defined by the interchange $q \to q^{-1}$ from $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{(+)}$. This structure parallels that of the CZ^* algebra, suggesting that (2.21) in \mathscr{M}_S should be extended to:

$$X_n^{(k)} \in \mathscr{M}_S\{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^{\pm}, \hat{\mathcal{J}}_n, \hat{\mathcal{G}}_r^{\pm}\}.$$

$$(4.114)$$

5 Super CZ Algebra in TBM-Spin Systems

In this section, we demonstrate the realization of super CZ algebras in a TBM discrete system with spin interactions, building upon the continuous system results from the previous section. Since the TBM Hamiltonian can be expressed in terms of cyclic matrix representations of CZ^* [23], we can derive the cyclic matrix representation of super \widehat{CZ} by utilizing the mapping relationship between MT operators and cyclic matrices, starting from the MSB super \widehat{CZ} operators.

We begin by verifying the mapping relationship (2.31) between differential MT operators and cyclic matrices. The general form of matrix operators for the CZ^* algebra in the TBM system (2.27) can be written as:

$$\mathbf{L}_{n}^{\pm} = \mathbf{B}_{n}^{\pm} + g_{n}^{\pm} \mathbf{J}_{n}^{\pm}, \quad g_{n}^{\pm} = \pm q^{\mp 2n} \frac{1 - A_{n}^{\pm} c}{q - q^{-1}},$$
(5.1)

where g_n^{\pm} is the coupling coefficient g_n^{CZ} defined in (3.31), and for CZ^- is obtained by the transformation $q \to q^{-1}$. The matrices B_n^{\pm} and J_n^{\pm} are expressed using (2.29):

$$B_n^{\pm} = \frac{\mp 1}{q - q^{-1}} H^n, \quad J_n^{\pm} = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} H^n Q^{\pm 2} = \mp B_n^{\pm} Q^{\pm 2}.$$
(5.2)

Note that while the coefficients differ slightly from Eq.(4.15) in [23], this is due to normalization adjustments made to maintain the algebraic relations satisfied by $T_n^{(0)}$ and $T_n^{(2)}$.

The matrix representation of the scaling operator from (5.3) is (setting $a_{\pm} = 0$ for simplicity¹):

$$S_0^{\pm} = 1 \pm (q - q^{-1}) L_0^{\pm} = Q^{\pm 2} .$$
(5.3)

For the MT representation of CZ^{\pm} , from (2.13) and (2.15), we obtain:

$$\hat{\mu}^{\pm 1} = 1 \pm (q - q^{-1})\hat{L}_0^{\pm} = cq^{\pm 2\Delta}\hat{T}_0^{(\pm 2)} = q^{\pm 2z\partial} \,.$$
(5.4)

This reveals that the differential operator $\hat{\mu}$ corresponds to the matrix S_0^+ . Furthermore, the CZ differential operators \hat{B}_n and \hat{J}_n defined in (4.8) etc. extended to CZ^{\pm} are:

$$\hat{L}_{n}^{\pm} = \hat{B}_{n}^{\pm} \pm \hat{J}_{n}^{\pm} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\hat{B}_n^{\pm} = \mp \hat{T}_n^{(0)} = \frac{\mp z^n}{q - q^{-1}} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\hat{J}_{n}^{\pm} = q^{n+2\Delta} \hat{T}_{n}^{(\pm 2)} = z^{n} \frac{q^{\pm 2z\partial}}{q - q^{-1}} = \mp \hat{B}_{n}^{\pm} \hat{\mu}^{\pm 1} \,.$$
(5.7)

These operators satisfy the *-commutation relations using the *-commutator (2.21) of \mathscr{M} :

$$[\hat{B}_n^{\pm}, \hat{B}_m^{\pm}]_* = [n-m]\hat{B}_{n+m}^{\pm}, \qquad (5.8)$$

$$[\hat{B}_{n}^{\epsilon}, \hat{J}_{m}^{\eta}]_{*} = -q^{-n\epsilon}[m]\hat{J}_{n+m}^{\eta}, \quad [\hat{J}_{n}^{\pm}, \hat{J}_{m}^{\pm}]_{*} = 0.$$
(5.9)

The same equations hold for the matrices B_n^{\pm} and J_n^{\pm} . This demonstrates that the MT representation $(\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n, \hat{\mu}^{\pm 1})$ and cyclic matrix representation (B_n, J_n, S_0^{\pm}) can be transformed into each other via substitution (2.31).

To determine the concrete form of the supercharge (4.37), we must specify a value for the undetermined parameter ν . Although $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ would establish a connection with $GL_q(1,1)$, we select $\nu = 1$ here to achieve simpler coefficient forms in (4.53). By setting $\nu = 1$ in the ν -dependent parameters and operators (derived from (4.38), (4.53), (4.72), (5.3) and (5.4)), we obtain:

$$\gamma = \frac{3}{2} - r$$
, $p_1 = 0$, $\hat{\mu}^{\pm \nu} \to \mathbf{Q}^{\pm 2}$, $\tilde{B}_r \to \tilde{B}_r = \frac{-q^{\frac{3}{2}-r}}{q-q^{-1}} \mathbf{H}^{r-\frac{1}{2}}$

The remaining parts can be obtained through direct substitution $(\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n) \to (B_n, J_n)$ and application of the matrix representation (5.2), yielding the matrix representation for each generator:

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{+} = \frac{-q^{r+\frac{1}{2}}}{q-q^{-1}} \mathbf{H}^{r-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Q}^{-2} \otimes \sigma_{1} , \qquad (5.10)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{-} = -q^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{H}^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{Q}^{2} (q^{-2r-1} \mathrm{Q}^{2} - 1) \otimes \sigma_{2} , \qquad (5.11)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_n = q^{-2n} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^+ \otimes \sigma_2 \sigma_1 + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_n^- \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 , \qquad (5.12)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{+} = \frac{\mathrm{H}^{n}}{q - q^{-1}} (-1 + q^{-2n} \mathrm{Q}^{2}), \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}^{-} = \frac{\mathrm{H}^{n}}{q - q^{-1}} (-1 + q^{-1-n} \mathrm{Q}^{2}), \quad (5.13)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n = q \mathbf{H}^n \mathbf{Q}^2 \otimes \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \,. \tag{5.14}$$

¹⁾This is merely a convenient choice to make $\hat{\mu}$ and S_0^{\pm} correspond with coefficient 1. Since we must set b = 0 to satisfy CZ^* [23], setting $a \pm = 0$ simultaneously would make $A_n^{\pm} = 0$. Therefore, we should actually have $a_{\pm} \neq 0$.

These expressions satisfy the N = 1 and 2 super CZ algebra relations (4.93)-(4.113). As a specific example, (4.107) simplifies to ordinary anticommutators, establishing correspondence with the QSS relation (3.55) (noting that $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_n$ carries a scale factor of q, and, as commented below (4.76), also the factor of $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$):

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{\pm},\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{\pm}\}=0, \quad \{\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{r}^{+},\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{s}^{-}\}=q^{2+r+s}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{r+s}+q^{\frac{r-s}{2}}[\frac{r-s}{2}]\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{r+s},$$
(5.15)

where $p_0 = 0$ has been chosen in (4.68).

6 Conclusions and Outlook

In this paper, we have developed both the MT representation and cyclic matrix representation of super CZ algebras in Bloch electron systems with Zeeman effects. Through the introduction of magnetic fields, noncommutative structures naturally emerge as quantum plane pictures, while spin interactions generate super CZ algebras analogous to those constructed on QSS. The SSM correspondence between QSS and MSB demanded careful analysis of the duality inherent in QSS scaling operators. This intrinsic duality necessitated the introduction of mixing states that bridge bosonic and Grassmann representations, ultimately leading to the realization of Type 3 super CZ algebra through a combination of MT operators and spin matrix bases. Most significantly, we have established a comprehensive *-bracket formalism that unifies these structures and illuminates their fundamental properties.

We begin by reviewing our problem formulation. The foundational elements for addressing this problem were established in Sections 3.1 and 3.2. In Section 3.1, we established the correspondence between spin Grassmann bases in electron spin systems under static magnetic fields and Grassmann coordinates in superspace. Through this correspondence, we demonstrated how Virasoro super generators and supercharge could be systematically constructed as block matrix representations with spin Grassmann bases. This framework represents a conventional quantum system without MT operators or quantum space concepts, where supersymmetric structure emerges solely through weak magnetic fields. To establish noncommutative structure while preserving supersymmetry, we required either the QSS approach or the introduction of MT operators in strong magnetic fields. The central challenge lay in bridging these two perspectives.

The QSS approach provides rigorous mathematical definitions of possible super CZ algebras, yet its connection to physical systems remains elusive. In contrast, while the MT approach offers clear physical interpretations, it lacks a systematic framework for combining MT operators to construct super CZ algebras. We have addressed this dichotomy by developing a unified framework that consistently incorporates both approaches.

In Section 3.2, we examined three established types of super CZ algebras constructed on QSS, with our analysis revealing fundamental insights into their characteristics. Type 1 represents the most direct formulation, where the CZ algebra's right-hand side is free of U(1) current terms. However, since its supercharge algebra fails to manifest as a pure super Virasoro generator (due to additional U(1) current terms), we determined it unsuitable for our purposes and excluded it from consideration.

The supercharge realization exhibits two additional variations (Types 2 and 3). However, Type 2, analogous to type 1, incorporates extraneous U(1) terms (as evidenced in (3.35) and (3.52)). We achieve

our desired formulation (where the supercharge algebra's right-hand side comprises solely super Virasoro generators) in Type 3. To implement this structure, the Virasoro component corresponding to the CZ algebra requires modification, as demonstrated in (3.42). While the excess U(1) terms disappear from the supercharge algebra, they are systematically incorporated within the CZ algebra. Beyond this structural modification, the formulation maintains its equivalence to Type 1, employing identical supercharge forms but with a modified scaling operator. The resulting anomaly in the super algebra exhibits proportionality to $c = q - q^{-1}$ and vanishes at q = 1. To differentiate this modified structure from the conventional CZ algebra, we designate it as \widehat{CZ} .

A particularly significant feature of Type 3 super \widehat{CZ} is its accommodation of N = 2 decomposition and its natural realization in electron spin systems. This characteristic opens intriguing avenues for future investigation into other QSS beyond $GL_q(1, 1)$.

In Section 4, we explored the extension of QS-MT correspondence to supersymmetry and developed the construction of super CZ (MT) from super CZ (QSS) through operator mixing. The central challenge lay in establishing a precise mapping from QSS to SM space's Grassmann basis. The complexity was further compounded by the subtle nature of supersymmetric operator manifestations through combinations of \hat{B}_n and \hat{J}_n .

We developed our solution systematically. We began with the pure bosonic case, where we established the correspondence between QS differential operators (x, ∂_x, μ) and MT q-differential operators $(z, \partial_q, \hat{\mu})$, demonstrating that CZ_{QS} generators transform naturally into CZ_{MT} generators (\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n) . Subsequently, while preserving this fundamental relationship, we constructed a mapping from super CZ (QSS) to SM space's MT representation. This construction demanded precise analysis of how the MT counterpart $\hat{\mu}$ of the QSS scaling operator μ manifests within the SM basis. The challenge became significant because, unlike the pure bosonic QS's μ which required only bosonic representation, QSS's μ possessed dual representations - both bosonic and Grassmann.

Our solution proceeded in steps. First, in pure bosonic case, we confirmed the correspondence between QS differential operators (x, ∂_x, μ) and MT q-differential operators $(z, \partial_q, \hat{\mu})$, establishing that CZ_{QS} generators could be recast as CZ_{MT} generators (\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n) . Next, while maintaining this relationship, we needed to map super CZ (QSS) to SM space's MT representation. This required careful consideration of how QSS scaling operator μ 's MT counterpart $\hat{\mu}$ manifests in SM basis. While pure bosonic QS's μ only needed bosonic representation, QSS's μ having two equivalent representations - bosonic and Grassmann - made the problem non-trivial.

Given that the generators' structures need not maintain strict equivalence, we introduced a framework permitting linear transformations. Through the introduction of mixed state \mathfrak{J}_n (although its underlying emergence mechanism remains to be elucidated), we established the MT correspondence for Type 3. The necessity for mixing emerges naturally from the dual nature of QSS, as analyzed in Section 4.1. This duality manifests in two distinct aspects. Primarily, the duality of μ : its bosonic representation maps to $\hat{\mu}$, while its Grassmann representation corresponds to the unit matrix in MSB representation. Secondarily, reflecting this fundamental duality, the twisted operator \mathfrak{J}_n derived from J_n exhibits analogous dual characteristics. These observations provide no compelling reason to exclude mixing possibilities in the correspondence between QSS (B_n, \mathfrak{J}_n) and MSB space (\hat{B}_n, \hat{J}_n) .

The inherent ambiguity extends further: just as the origin of unit matrix representations in QSS (whether from 1 or μ) remains indeterminate, the precise correspondence of MSB space operator \hat{B}_n to either B_n or \mathfrak{J}_n in QSS cannot be definitively established. This fundamental ambiguity might indicate the existence of an as-yet-unidentified physical mechanism underlying the twisting phenomenon.

Type 3 super CZ encompasses both CZ and \widehat{CZ} sectors, featuring an anomaly term proportional to c that vanishes at q = 1. This inherent dual sector structure potentially accounts for the insufficiency of simple substitution correspondence and the necessity of mixing. The question of whether this phenomenon is unique to $GL_q(1,1)$ or extends to general QSS remains unresolved. Although we excluded Types 1 and 2 from our analysis, analogous mixing approaches might illuminate their MSB representations.

The mixing matrix elements are related to the coefficients g_n^{CZ} and g_n , and their freedom of choice might facilitate the realization of additional superalgebra types, as demonstrated in [36, 37]. Furthermore, investigation of connections with other MT-based super Virasoro algebra deformations [47, 48] could present a promising direction for future research.

Analysis in Section 4.4 identified two fundamental issues concerning super *-brackets:

- 1. The consistency requirements for N = 2 *-brackets (which may necessitate CZ^* for a coherent formulation)
- 2. The potential alignment between Z_2 -grading degree (=0) and weight (=±2) for enhanced mathematical elegance

These issues require resolution in conjunction with the weight-related questions noted in [22]:

- 3. The apparent discrepancy between weight k = ±2 of CZ[±] generators L[±]_n and weight ±k of scaled algebra CZ generators L^{±(k)}_n. Specifically, although L^{±(0)}_n = L[±]_n suggests k = 0, CZ[±] weights necessarily take values ±2
- 4. The phase sign inversion observed in \ast -brackets between CZ and scaled CZ

A possible resolution of these four issues might be achieved through weight exchange involving role reversal between $T_n^{(0)}$ and $T_n^{(\pm 2)}$.

The theoretical insights developed throughout this investigation have begun to unify previously fragmentary understanding of these mathematical structures. Our analysis demonstrates increasing coherence in the relationships among seemingly disparate superalgebras, their quantum space representations, and their physical manifestations. The systematic characterization of these algebraic properties establishes a theoretical framework that not only illuminates existing problems but also suggests new directions for investigation.

The mathematical structures established in this work - specifically the *-bracket formalism incorporating Z_2 -grading, the mixing mechanism connecting QSS and MT representations, and the fundamental role of quantum dimensional weights - provide a rigorous foundation for exploring quantum geometry and its physical realizations. Although significant questions persist, particularly concerning the extension of our results beyond $GL_q(1,1)$ and the fundamental nature of the mixing mechanism, this work establishes systematic

approaches for investigating the intricate relationships between supersymmetry, quantum deformation, and physical systems.

The framework developed here might also provide insights for other areas of physics. For instance, within the context of nonextensive statistical mechanics [49], the study of superstatistics with q-deformed structures [50] has led to various applications in physical systems, including microcanonical ensemble formulations, quantum Hall effects, and deformed quantum mechanical systems [51, 52, 53]. These developments suggest potential connections between our mathematical framework and broader physical applications, particularly in systems exhibiting quantum deformation characteristics.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

During the preparation of this work the author used Claude 3.5 Sonnet in order to improve grammar and enhance language expression. After using the service, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the publication.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Haru-Tada Sato: Writing-Original Draft, Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Validation.

Declaration of competing interest

The author declares that we have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] R.J. Szabo, "Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces", *Phys. Rep.* 378 (2003) 207 (arXiv:hep-th/0109162). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(03)00059-0.
- [2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "String theory and noncommutative geometry," J. High Energy Phys. 9909 (1999) 032 (arXiv:hep-th/9908142). https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/09/032.
- [3] A. Cappelli and L. Maffi, "W-infinity symmetry in the quantum hall effect beyond the edge", J. High Energy Phys. 2021 (2021) 120 (arXiv:2103.04163[hep-th]). https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2021)120.

- [4] A. O'Bannon, "Hall conductivity of flavor fields from AdS/CFT correspondence", Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 086007, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.086007, arXiv:0708.1994v1 [hep-th]; E. Keski-Vakkuri and P. Kraus, "Effective theory of the quantum Hall effect in AdS/CFT", J. High Energy Phys. 0809 (2008) 130, https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/130, arXiv:0805.4643v1 [hrp-th].
- [5] A.P. Balachandran, A. Momen, L. Chandar, "Edge states in gravity and black hole physics", Nucl. Phys. B461 (1996) 581. https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00622-2; C. Nayak, F. Wilczek, "2n-quasihole states realize 2ⁿ⁻¹-dimensional spinor braiding statistics in paired quantum Hall states", Nucl. Phys. B479 (1996) 529. https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00430-0, arXiv:cond-mat/9605145v1; M. Fujita, W. Li, S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Fractional quantum Hall effect via holography: Chern-Simons, edge states and hierarchy", J. High Energy Phys. 0906 (2009) 066, https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/06/066, arXiv:0901.0924v3 [hep-th].
- [6] W. Bu, S. Heuveline and D. Skinner, "Moyal deformations, W_{1+∞} and celestial holography", arXiv:2208.13750 [hep-th]. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.13750;
 R. Monteiro, "Celestial chiral algebras, colour-kinematics duality and integrability", arXiv:2208.11179 [hep-th]. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.11179.
- [7] A. Strominger, "w_{1+∞} and the celestial sphere", arXiv:2105.143462 [hep-th]. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2105.14346; A. Guevara, E. Himwich, M. Pete, and A. Strominger, "Holographic symmetry algebras for gauge theory and gravity", J. High Energy Phys. 2021 (2021) 152, https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2021)152, arXiv:2103.03961[hep-th]; A. Ball, S.A. Narayanan, J. Salzer and A. Strominger, "Perturbatively exact w_{1+∞} asymptotic symmetry of quantum self-dual gravity", J. High Energy Phys. 2201 (2022)114, https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2022)114, arXiv:2111.10392 [hep-th].
- [8] H.J. Groenewold, "On the Principles of Elementary Quantum Mechanics", Physica 12 (1946) 405. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-6065-2_1;
 J.E. Moyal, "Quantum Mechanics as a Statistical Theory", Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 45 (1949) 99. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100000487.
- [9] Yu. I. Manin, "Quantum Gruops and Noncommutative Geometry", Les publications du Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Univ. Montréal (1988). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97987-8; "Multiparametric quantum deformation of the general linear supergroup", Commun. Math. Phys. 123 (1989) 163. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01244022.
- [10] L. A. Takhtajan, "Introduction to quantum groups", in: Doebner, H.D., Hennig, J.D. (Eds.), "Quantum Groups", Lecture Notes in Physics 370. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, (1990) pp.3-28. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-53503-9_39.
- [11] S. Majid, "Quasitriangular Hopf algebras and Yang-Baxter equations", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A5 (1990)
 1. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X90000027 ; "Examples of braided groups and braided matrices", J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 3246. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.529485.
- [12] D.B. Fairlie, P. Fletcher and C.K. Zachos, "Trigonometric structure constants for new infinitedimensional algebras", Phys. Lett. B218 (1989) 203. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)91418-4;

"Infinite-dimensional algebras and trigonometric basis for the classical Lie algebras", J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 1088. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.528788;

D.B. Fairlie and C.K. Zachos, "Infinite-dimensional algebras, sine brackets, and $SU(\infty)$ ", *Phys. Lett.* **B224** (1989) 101. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(89)91057-5.

- [13] J. Zak, "Magnetic translation group", Phys. Rev. 134 (1964) A1602. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.134.A1602; "Magnetic translation group. II. Irreducible representations", *ibid.* A1607. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.134.A1607.
- [14] S.M. Girvin, A.H. MacDonald and P.M. Platzman, "Magneto-roton theory of collective excitatons in the fractional quantum Hall effect", *Phys. Rev.* B33 (1986) 2481. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.2481.
- [15] V. G. Drinfeld, "Quantum groups", ICM proceedings vol. 1, p798, New York: Berkeley (1986), (The American Mathematical Society 1987), J. Soviet. Math. 41 (1988) 898. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01247086; M. Jimbo, "A q-difference analogue of U(g) and the Yang-Baxter equation", Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00704588; "A q-analogue of U(gl(N + 1)), Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation", Lett. Math. Phys. 11 (1986) 247. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00400222; "Quantum R matrix for the generalized Toda system", Commun. Math. Phys. 102 (1986) 537. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01221646.
- [16] E. K. Sklyanin, "Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang-Baxter equation", Funct. Anal. Appl. 16 (1982) 263. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01077848;
 P.P. Kulish and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, "Quantum linear problem for the sine-Gordon equation and higher representations", J. Soviet. Math. 23 (1983) 2435. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01084171.
- [17] L. D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and L. A. Takhtajan, "Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras", *Algebra Anal.* 1 (1987) 178. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-400465-8.50019-5.
- S. L. Woronowicz, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ. 23 (1987) 117; "Compact matrix pseudogroups", Commun. Math. Phys. 111 (1987) 613. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01219077; "Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups)", Commun. Math. Phys. 122 (1989) 125. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01221411.
- [19] J. Wess and B. Zumino, "Covariant differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane", Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 18B (1990) 302. https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-5632(91)90143-3.
- [20] T. Kobayashi and T. Uematsu, "q-deformed superconformal algebra on quantum superspace", *Phys. Lett.* B306 (1993) 27, https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(93)91132-7, arXiv:hep-th/9302064v1;
 "Differential calculus on the quantum superspace and deformation of phase space", *Z. Phys.* C56 (1992) 193, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01555514, arXiv:hep-th/9205087v1; T. Kobayashi, "Quantum deformed su(m|n) algebra and superconformal algebra on quantum superspace", *Z. Phys.* C60 (1993) 101, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01650435, arXiv:hep-th/9303091v1.
- [21] N. Aizawa and H-T. Sato, "Quantum Affine Transformation Group and Covariant Differential Calculus", Prog. Theor. Phys. 91 (1994) 1065, http://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/91.6.1065, (hep-th/9308049);

"Quantum Deformation of the Affine Transformation Algebra", *Phys. Lett.* A185 (1994) 187, https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(94)90845-1, (hep-th/9308010).

- [22] H.-T. Sato, "Moyal product and generalized Hom-Lie-Virasoro symmetries in Bloch electron systems", Nucl. Phys. B1006 (2024) 116639, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2024.116639, arXiv:2406:15830 [hep-th].
- [23] N. Aizawa and H.-T. Sato, "Hom-Lie-Virasoro symmetries in Bloch electron systems and quantum plane in tight binding models", Nucl. Phys. B995 (2023) 116336, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116336; Erratum, Nucl. Phys. B998 (2024) 116422, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116422, arXiv:2303:17347v3 [math-ph].
- [24] T. Curtright and C. Zachos, "Deforming maps for quantum algebras", Phys. Lett. B243 (1990) 237. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90845-W.
- [25] J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, "Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations" J. Algebra $\mathbf{295}$ (2006)314 (arXiv:math/0408064 [math.QA]). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.07.036.
- [26] D. S.D. Larsson and Silvestrov, "Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities", J. Algebra $\mathbf{288}$ (2005)321(arXiv:math/0408061). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.02.032.
- [27] A. Makhlouf and S.Silvestrov, "Note on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras", Forum Mathematics 22 (2010) 715 (arXiv:0712.3130v1 [math.RA]). https://doi.org/10.1515/forum.2010.040.
- [28] N. Aizawa and H. Sato, "q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension", Phys. Lett. B256 (1991) 185. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90671-C.
- [29] H. Sato, "Realization of q-deformed Virasoro algebra", Prog. Theor. Phys. 89 (1993) 531. https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/89.2.531.
- [30] M. Chaichian, P.P. Kulish and J. Lukierski, "q-deformed Jacobi identity, qoscillators and *q*-deformed infinite-dimensional algebras", Phys. Lett. **B237** (1990)https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)91196-I; 401. "Supercovariant of systems qoscillators and q-supersymmetric hamiltonians", Phys. Lett. **B262** (1991)43. https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)90640-C.
- [31] F.J. Narganes-Quijiano, "Cyclic representations of a q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra", J. Phys.
 A: Math. Gen. 24 (1991) 593. https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/3/017.
- [32] A.El Hassouni, Y. Hassouni, E.H. Tahri and M. Zakkari, "A realization of q-deformed U(1) Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras through the \bar{a}_{∞} algebra", *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A11(1996)37. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732396000060.
- [33] M. Mansour and E.H. Zakkari, "Fractional spin through quantum (super)Virasoro algebras", Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras 14 (2004) 69. (arXiv:hep-th/0401111). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00006-004-0007-3.

- [34] H-T.Sato, "Deformation of super Virasoro algebra in noncommutative quantum superspace", *Phys. Lett.* B415 (1997) 170 (arXiv:hep-th/9709047). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01228-8.
- [35] N. Aizawa T. Kobayashi and H-T. Sato, "Notes on Curtright-Zachos deformations of osp(1,2) and super Virasoro algebras", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A12 (1997) 5867 (arXiv:hep-th/9706176). https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X97003078.
- [36] M. Mansour, "On the quantum super Virasoro algebra", Czech. J. Phys. 51 (2001) 883, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012392624242, (arXiv:math-ph/0010029).
- [37] A.El Hassouni, Y. Hassouni and E.H. Tahri, "Differential calculi on the quantum superplane", Int. J. Theor. Phys. 35 (1996) 2517. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02085760.
- [38] R. Chakrabarti and R. Jagannathan, "A (p,q)-deformed Virasoro algebra", J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 25 (1992) 2607.; W.S. Chung, "Two parameter deformation of Virasoro algebra", J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 2490. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.530518;
- [39] M.N. Hounkonnou and F. Melong, "R(p,q)-deformed cnformal Virasoro algebra", J. Math. Phys. 60 (2019) 023501 (arXiv:1805.08238 [math-ph]). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5079812.
- [40] A. Shabir, A.A. Khan, J.Q. Quach, S.S. Wani, M. Faizal and S. Rubab, "Quantum deformation of cubic string field theory", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A39 (2024) 2450062, https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X24500623, arXiv:2204.12644 [hep-th].
- [41] A.El Hassouni, Y. Hassouni, E.H. Tahri and M. Zakkari, "On the realization of the deformed centerless Virasoro algebra on the quantum plane", *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A10 (1995) 2169. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732395002325.
- [42] H-T. Sato, "Curtright-Zachos Supersymmetric Deformations Virasoro algeof the bra in Quantum Superspace and Bloch Electron Systems", arXiv:2411.04886 [hep-th], https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.04886.
- [43] P.B. Wiegmann and A.V. Zabrodin, "Quantum group and magnetic translations Bethe ansatz for the Asbel-Hofstadter problem", Nucl. Phys. B422 (1994) 495 (arXiv:cond-mat/9312088), https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90443-X; "Bethe-ansatz for the Bloch electron in magnetic field", Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 1890. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.1890.
- [44] L.D. Faddeev and R.M. Kashaev, "Generalized Bethe ansatz equations for Hofstadter problem", Commun. Math. Phys. 169 (1995) 181 (arXiv:hep-th/9312133). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02101600.
- [45] Y. Hatsugai, M. Kohmoto and Y-S. Wu, "Explicit solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations for Bloch electrons in a magnetic field", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1134 (arXiv:cond-mat/9405028). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.1134; K. Hoshi and Y. Hatsugai, "Landau levels from the Bethe ansatz equations", *Phys. Rev.* **B61** (2000) 4409 (arXiv:cond-mat/9908254 [cond-mat.mes-hall]). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.4409.
- [46] D.J. Thouless, "Bandwidths for a quasiperiodic tight-binding model", Phys. Rev. 28 (1983) 4272. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.28.4272.

- [47] A. Jellal and H-T. Sato, "FFZ realization of the deformed super Virasoro algebra Chaichian-Presnajder type", *Phys. Lett.* B483 (2000) 451 (arXiv:hep-th/0003268). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00603-1.
- [48] R. Kemmoku and H-T.Sato, "Deformed fields Moyal construction of deformed and Virasoro algebra", Nucl. Phys. **B595** (2001)689 (arXiv:hep-th/0007122). super https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00610-6.
- [49] "Introduction to Nonextenive Statistical Mechanics", C. Tsallis, Springer, New York Inc. (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-85359-8.
- [50] W.S. Chung and H. Hassanabadi, "Superstatistics with q-deformed Dirac delta distribution and interacting gas model", *Physica* A516 (2019) 496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2018.10.037.
- [51] A. Algin and W.S. Chung, "Microcanonical ensemble based on the superstatistics with the free Hamiltonian as a stochastic variable", *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 137 (2022) 620. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-022-02809-1.
- [52] E. Mohammadikhabaz, B. Lari, and H. Hassanabadi, "Quantum Hall effect in q-formalism based on Fermi gas model", Mod. Phys. Lett. A37 (2022) 2250126. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732322501267;
 "Room temperature quantum Hall effect in q-formalism", Eur. Phys. J. Plus 137 (2022) 655. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-022-02831-3.
- [53] A. Algin and W.S. Chung," Two-parameter deformed quantum mechanics based on Fibonacci calculus and Debye crystal model of two-parameter deformed quantum statistics", *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 139 (2024) 198. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-024-05008-2; "q-Deformed quantum mechanics related to the Tamm-Dancoff oscillator algebra and some physical applications", *Phys. Scr.* 99 (2024) 055229, https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ad385f.