

SINGULAR VECTORS, CHARACTERS, AND COMPOSITION SERIES FOR THE N=1 BMS SUPERALGEBRA

WEI JIANG, DONG LIU, YUFENG PEI, AND KAIMING ZHAO

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates the structure of Verma modules over the N=1 BMS superalgebra. We provide a detailed classification of singular vectors, establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of subsingular vectors, uncover the structure of maximal submodules, present the composition series of Verma modules, and derive character formulas for irreducible highest weight modules.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. The N=1 BMS superalgebra	5
2.2. Verma modules	6
3. Classification of singular vectors of Verma modules	9
3.1. Singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	9
3.2. Singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$	13
4. Classification of subsingular vectors of Verma modules	16
4.1. Necessary condition for the existence of subsingular vectors	16
4.2. Sufficient condition for the existence of subsingular vectors	24
5. Characters of irreducible highest weight modules and composition series	28
5.1. Maximal submodules and characters	29
5.2. Composition series of submodules	31
Acknowledgements	34
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION

Infinite-dimensional symmetries play a significant role in physics. Specifically, Virasoro-type symmetries have significant applications in two-dimensional field theory, string theory, gravity, and other areas. The representation theory of the Virasoro algebra has also been widely and

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B65, 17B68, 17B69, 17B70 (primary); 17B10, 81R10 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. N=1 BMS superalgebra, Verma module, singular vector, character, composition series.

deeply studied [20, 25, 30, 36]. In recent years, two-dimensional non-relativistic conformal symmetries have gained importance in establishing holographic dualities beyond the AdS/CFT correspondence [9, 12, 35]. The Bondi-Metzner-Sachs algebra, commonly known as BMS algebra, generates the asymptotic symmetry group of three-dimensional Einstein gravity [16, 17, 34]. Although BMS algebra extends the Virasoro algebra, its representation theory differs fundamentally. Studies on special highest weight modules for the BMS algebra have explored various aspects: determinant formulas [8], character formulas [32], free field realizations [14], and modular invariance [10, 13]. However, a complete understanding of highest weight modules is still lacking.

In mathematical literature, the BMS algebra is known as the Lie algebra $W(2, 2)$, an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra first introduced in [38] to study the classification of moonshine-type vertex operator algebras generated by two weight-2 vectors. They examined the vacuum modules of the $W(2, 2)$ algebra (with a VOA structure) and established necessary and sufficient conditions for these modules to be irreducible. Their key insight was creating a total ordering on the PBW bases, which facilitated computations of determinant formulas (see also [28]). It is worth mentioning that the $W(2, 2)$ algebra has also been discovered and studied in several different mathematical fields, such as [21, 22, 37]. The irreducibility conditions for Verma modules over the $W(2, 2)$ algebra are also given in [37]. In [26], it was proposed that maximal submodules of reducible Verma modules are generated by singular vectors. However, Radobolja [33] pointed out that this is true only for typical highest weights. For atypical weights, the maximal submodules are generated by both a singular vector and a subsingular vector. He also derived a character formula for irreducible highest weight modules and established necessary conditions for subsingular vector existence. The study further conjectured that these necessary conditions are also sufficient. Later, [27] provided additional support for this conjecture. Adamovic et al. used the free field realization of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero [4, 15], along with constructing screening operators in lattice vertex algebras, to derive an expression for singular vectors of Verma modules for the $W(2, 2)$ algebra under certain conditions in [2, 3]. To our knowledge, explicit formulas for singular and subsingular vectors, as well as the composition series for general Verma modules over the $W(2, 2)$ algebra, remain unresolved prior to the present paper.

The $N=1$ BMS superalgebra, introduced in [7], is the minimal supersymmetric extension of the BMS_3 algebra with central extensions. It incorporates a set of $\text{spin}-\frac{3}{2}$ generators Q_n within the BMS_3 algebra framework. Although this superalgebra is a subalgebra of the truncated Neveu-Schwarz superalgebra, its representation theory differs significantly from that of the $N=1$ Neveu-Schwarz superalgebra [11, 23, 24, 31]. In recent paper [29, 19], the authors classified simple smooth modules including Whittaker modules over the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra under mild conditions and provided necessary and sufficient conditions for the irreducibility of Verma modules and Fock modules. Further detailed analysis on the structure of reducible Verma modules over the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra will be carried out in the present paper.

As established in [29], the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ over the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is irreducible if and only if $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M \neq 0$ for any positive integer p . If further $c_M = 0$, then

$h_M = 0$, resulting in the degeneration of the irreducible highest weight module into an irreducible highest weight module over the Virasoro algebra (refer to Lemma 2.4). In this paper, we study the structure of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ over \mathfrak{g} under the obvious conditions that

$$c_M \neq 0 \text{ and } 2h_M + \frac{p^2 - 1}{12}c_M = 0 \text{ for some } p \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

We classify all singular vectors of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ and provide explicit formulas. We also identify the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of subsingular vector and list them all. Our first main result is as follows:

Main Theorem 1. (Theorems 3.8, 3.16, 4.17 below) *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$.*

(1) *All singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ are of the form $S^i \mathbf{1}$ (when p even) or $R^i \mathbf{1}$ (when p odd) for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where S and R are given in Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.11, respectively.*

(2) *There exists a subsingular vector of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ if and only if $h_L = h_{p,r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where*

$$h_{p,r} = -\frac{p^2 - 1}{24}c_L + \frac{(41p + 5)(p - 1)}{48} + \frac{(1 - r)p}{2} - \frac{1 + (-1)^p}{8}p.$$

In this case, $T_{p,r} \mathbf{1}$ is the unique subsingular vector, up to a scalar multiple, where $T_{p,r}$ are given in Theorem 4.17.

By utilizing the information provided in Main Theorem 1 regarding singular and subsingular vectors, we can derive the character formulas for irreducible highest weight modules over \mathfrak{g} as follows:

Main Theorem 2. (Theorems 5.3, 5.4 below) *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$.*

(1) *If $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is typical (i.e., $h_L \neq h_{p,r}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$), then the maximal submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is generated by $S \mathbf{1}$ (when p is even), or by $R \mathbf{1}$ (when p is odd). Additionally, the character formula of the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ can be expressed as follows:*

$$\text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = q^{h_L} (1 - q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^p) q^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

(2) *If $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is atypical (i.e., $h_L = h_{p,r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$), then the maximal submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is generated by the subsingular vector $T_{p,r} \mathbf{1}$. Additionally, the character formula of the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ can be expressed as follows:*

$$\text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = q^{h_{p,r}} (1 - q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)^p) q^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) (1 - q^{rp}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Following Main Theorems 1 and 2, we derive the composition series of the Verma modules as follows:

Main Theorem 3. (Theorems 5.6, 5.7 below) *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$.*

(1) *If $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is typical, then the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules:*

$$\begin{aligned} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &\supset \langle \mathbf{S1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^2\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^n\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots, \text{ if } p \text{ is even;} \\ V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &\supset \langle \mathbf{R1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^2\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^n\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots, \text{ if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is atypical, then the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules:*

$$\begin{aligned} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &= \langle \mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2}(\mathbf{S1}) \rangle \supset \cdots \\ &\supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}\mathbf{1}) \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots, \text{ if } p \text{ is even;} \\ V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &= \langle \mathbf{R}^0\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-1}\mathbf{R1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^2\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2}\mathbf{R}^2\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \\ &\supset \langle \mathbf{R}^{r-1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,1}\mathbf{R}^{r-1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^r\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^{r+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots, \text{ if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

As a byproduct, we also explicitly determine all singular vectors, subsingular vectors, and the composition series of Verma modules over the algebra $W(2, 2)$ (see Corollary 3.19, Corollary 4.22, and Corollary 5.9 below).

It is worth noting that subsingular vectors have been observed in studies of Verma modules for the $N=1$ Ramond algebra [23], the $N=2$ superconformal algebra [18], and the $N=1$ Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra at level zero [1]. Our main theorems reveal significant differences between the structure of Verma modules for the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra and those for the Virasoro algebra and $N=1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra. For Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra, the maximal submodule is usually generated by two distinct weight vectors [5, 6]. In contrast, the maximal submodule of a Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)$ can always be generated by a single weight vector. Additionally, some submodules of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ cannot be generated by singular vectors.

The methods used to prove the main theorems differ from those in [15, 33] and also from the one in [1, 2]. Motivated by [27], in the present paper we introduce key operators S , R , and T , derive their crucial properties, and reveal significant relationships among them. Our method of classifying singular and subsingular vectors differs from those used for the Virasoro, super-Virasoro, and $W(2, 2)$ algebras [5, 27, 33]. A significant advancement is the application of the total ordering on PBW bases, as defined in [29], to analyze the coefficient of the highest order terms of the vectors $\mathbf{S1}$, $\mathbf{R1}$ or $\mathbf{T1}$ with respect to L_{-p} . This approach facilitates the recursive identification of all singular and subsingular vectors. Our future research will focus on the Fock modules of the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra as introduced in [29], with the aim of deepening our understanding of Verma modules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the relevant results on representations of the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra. In Section 3, in order to determine the maximal submodule of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ over \mathfrak{g} when it is reducible, we investigate all

singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for both $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}$ cases. All singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ are actually determined by one element S (or R) in $U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, see Theorems 3.8, 3.16. In Section 4, We study the quotient module of the Verma module by the submodule generated by all singular vectors determined in Section 3. In particular, we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a subsingular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, and determine all subsingular vectors. See Theorems 4.3, 4.6. In Section 5, we give the maximal submodules of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ (which is always generated by one weight vector) and the character formula for irreducible highest weight modules in both typical and atypical cases, see Theorems 5.3, 5.4. We obtain the composition series (of infinite length) of Verma modules $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ in both typical and atypical cases, see Theorems 5.6, 5.7.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ and \mathbb{Z} refer to the set of complex numbers, non-negative integers, positive integers, and integers, respectively. All vector spaces and algebras are over \mathbb{C} . For a Lie (super)algebra L , the universal enveloping algebra of L will be denoted by $U(L)$. We consider a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, where an element $u \in V_{\bar{0}}$ (respectively, $u \in V_{\bar{1}}$) is called even (respectively, odd). We define $|u| = 0$ if u is even and $|u| = 1$ if u is odd. The elements in $V_{\bar{0}}$ or $V_{\bar{1}}$ are referred to as homogeneous, and whenever $|u|$ is used, it means that u is homogeneous.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some notations and results related to the N=1 BMS superalgebra.

2.1. The N=1 BMS superalgebra.

Definition 2.1. [7] The N=1 BMS superalgebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}M_n \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{C}Q_n \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_L \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_M$$

is a Lie superalgebra, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}M_n \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_L \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_M, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{C}Q_r,$$

with the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{1}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}(m^3 - m)\mathbf{c}_L, \\ [L_m, M_n] &= (m - n)M_{m+n} + \frac{1}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}(m^3 - m)\mathbf{c}_M, \\ [Q_r, Q_s] &= 2M_{r+s} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_{r+s,0}\left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)\mathbf{c}_M, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, Q_r] &= \left(\frac{m}{2} - r\right) Q_{m+r}, \\ [M_m, M_n] &= [M_n, Q_r] = 0, \\ [\mathbf{c}_L, \mathfrak{g}] &= [\mathbf{c}_M, \mathfrak{g}] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, r, s \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$.

Note that the even part \mathfrak{g}_0 corresponds to the BMS algebra $W(2, 2)$. Additionally, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{vir} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_L$ represents the Virasoro algebra.

The N=1 BMS superalgebra \mathfrak{g} has a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -grading by the eigenvalues of the adjoint action of L_0 . It is clear that \mathfrak{g} has the following triangular decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_\pm &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}L_{\pm n} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}M_{\pm n} \oplus \bigoplus_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}Q_{\pm r}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 &= \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}M_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_L \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_M. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Verma modules. For $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, let \mathbb{C} be the module over $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$ defined by

$$\mathbf{c}_L 1 = c_L 1, \quad \mathbf{c}_M 1 = c_M 1, \quad L_0 1 = h_L 1, \quad M_0 1 = h_M 1, \quad \mathfrak{g}_+ 1 = 0.$$

The Verma module over \mathfrak{g} is defined as follows

$$V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+)} \mathbb{C} \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}_-) \mathbf{1},$$

where $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1$. It follows that $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}_-) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} U(\mathfrak{g}_-)_n$, where

$$V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n = \{v \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \mid L_0 v = (h_L + n)v\}$$

and

$$U(\mathfrak{g}_-)_n = \{x \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-) \mid [L_0, x] = nx\}.$$

Moreover, $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\bar{0}} \oplus V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\bar{1}}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\bar{0}} &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n, \\ V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\bar{1}} &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\frac{1}{2} + n}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has a unique maximal submodule $J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ and the factor module

$$L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) / J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$$

is an irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module. Define

$$\text{char } V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = q^{h_L} \sum_{i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}} \dim V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_i q^i.$$

An eigenvector u in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ with respect to \mathfrak{g}_0 is called a **singular vector** if $\mathfrak{g}_+u = 0$. Let $J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ be the submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ generated by all singular vectors. A weight vector u' in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is called a **subsingular vector** if $u' + J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)/J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

Recall that a partition of a positive integer n is a finite non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ such that $n = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i$. The positive integer λ_i is called the i -th entry of the partition λ . We call r the length of λ , denoted by $\ell(\lambda)$, and call the sum of λ_i 's the weight of λ , denoted by $|\lambda|$. Denote $\lambda - \frac{1}{2} = (\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \lambda_r - \frac{1}{2})$ and $-\lambda = (-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, \dots, -\lambda_r)$. The number of partitions of n is given by the partition function $p(n)$. Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all partitions (including the empty partition) and $\mathcal{P}(n)$ the set of all partitions with weight $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is called strict if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0$. The set \mathcal{SP} consists of all strict partitions (including the empty partition). Recall that the natural ordering on \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{SP} is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda > \mu &\iff |\lambda| > |\mu|, \text{ or } |\lambda| = |\mu|, \lambda_1 = \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_k = \mu_k, \text{ and } \lambda_{k+1} > \mu_{k+1} \text{ for some } k \geq 0; \\ \lambda = \mu &\iff \lambda_i = \mu_i \text{ for all } i. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) theorem, every vector v of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ can be uniquely written in the following form

$$v = \sum_{\lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{SP}} a_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} M_{-\lambda} Q_{-\mu + \frac{1}{2}} L_{-\nu} \mathbf{1}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $a_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ and only finitely many of them are non-zero, and

$$M_{-\lambda} := M_{-\lambda_1} \cdots M_{-\lambda_r}, \quad Q_{-\mu + \frac{1}{2}} := Q_{-\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}} \cdots Q_{-\mu_s + \frac{1}{2}}, \quad L_{-\nu} := L_{-\nu_1} \cdots L_{-\nu_r}.$$

For any $v \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ as in (2.1), we denote by $\text{supp}(v)$ the set of all $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{SP} \times \mathcal{P}$ such that $a_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \neq 0$. Next, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{M_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_- = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{M_{-i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{Q_{-i + \frac{1}{2}} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_- = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{Q_{-i + \frac{1}{2}} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_M$ and $\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{Q} + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_M$ are ideals of \mathfrak{g} .

For $y = M_{-\lambda} Q_{-\mu + \frac{1}{2}} L_{-\nu}$ or $y\mathbf{1}$, we define

$$\ell(y) = \ell(y\mathbf{1}) = \ell(\lambda) + \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu), \quad \text{deg}(y) = |\lambda| + |\mu - \frac{1}{2}| + |\nu|.$$

For (2.1), we define

$$\ell_M(v) := \max\{\ell(\lambda) \mid (\lambda, \mu, \nu) \in \text{supp}(v)\}.$$

Similarly, we can define $\ell_Q(v)$, $\ell_L(v)$ and $\text{deg}(v)$.

For $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$B_n = \{M_{-\lambda} Q_{-\mu + \frac{1}{2}} L_{-\nu} \mathbf{1} \mid |\lambda| + |\mu - \frac{1}{2}| + |\nu| = n, \forall \lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{SP}\}.$$

Clearly, B_n is a basis of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$. Then

$$|B_n| = \dim V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n,$$

$$\text{char } V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = q^{h_L} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Now we can define a total ordering $>$ on B_n : $M_{-\lambda} Q_{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} L_{-\nu} \mathbf{1} > M_{-\lambda'} Q_{-\mu'+\frac{1}{2}} L_{-\nu'} \mathbf{1}$ if and only if one of the following condition is satisfied:

- (i) $|\nu| > |\nu'|$;
- (ii) $|\nu| = |\nu'|$ and $\ell(\nu) > \ell(\nu')$;
- (iii) $|\nu| = |\nu'|$, $\ell(\nu) = \ell(\nu')$ and $\nu > \nu'$;
- (iv) $\nu = \nu'$, $\mu > \mu'$;
- (v) $\nu = \nu'$, $\mu = \mu'$, and $\lambda > \lambda'$.

Let

$$B_n = \{b_i \mid b_i > b_j \text{ for } i > j\}, \quad \text{where } b_i = M_{-\lambda^{(i)}} G_{-\mu^{(i)}} L_{-\nu^{(i)}} \mathbf{1},$$

with $\lambda^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)} \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mu^{(i)} \in \mathcal{SP}$ and $|\lambda^{(i)}| + |\mu^{(i)} - \frac{1}{2}| + |\nu^{(i)}| = n$ for any i .

Any non-zero homogenous vector $X \in V_n = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ can be uniquely written as a linear combination of elements in B_n for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i X_i, \quad \text{where } 0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{C}, X_i \in B_n \text{ and } X_1 > X_2 > \cdots > X_m.$$

We define the **highest term** of X as $\text{hm}(X) = X_1$.

Now we define on $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ the operations of formal partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as follows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}} M_{-j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}} L_{-j} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}} Q_{-j+\frac{1}{2}} = \delta_{ji}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{1} = 0$$

and then define their actions on monomials (2.1) by the super-Leibniz rule. Finally, we extend these to $U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ by linearity.

Let us recall the necessary and sufficient conditions for the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ to be irreducible.

Theorem 2.2. [29, Theorem 3.2] *For $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ over \mathfrak{g} is irreducible if and only if*

$$2h_M + \frac{i^2 - 1}{12} c_M \neq 0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

From now on we always assume that

$$\phi(p) = 2h_M + \frac{p^2 - 1}{12} c_M = 0$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This assumption indicates that the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is reducible and contains a singular vector not in $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.

Theorem 2.3. [29, Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 5.2] *Suppose $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(1) = 0$, which implies that $h_M = 0$.*

- (1) *The vectors $M_{-1}\mathbf{1}$ and $Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}$ of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, 0)$ are singular vectors. If further $h_L = 0$, then $L_{-1}\mathbf{1}$ is a subsingular vector of $V(c_L, c_M, 0, 0)$, i.e., a singular vector of $V(c_L, c_M, 0, 0)/\langle Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1} \rangle$, where $\langle Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ is the \mathfrak{g} -submodule generated by $Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}$.*
- (2) *The vacuum module $V(c_L, c_M) = V(c_L, c_M, 0, 0)/\langle L_{-1}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ is irreducible if and only if $c_M \neq 0$.*
- (3) *The vacuum module $V(c_L, c_M)$ with $c_M \neq 0$ is endowed with a simple vertex superalgebra structure. There is a one-to-one correspondence between smooth \mathfrak{g} -modules of central charge (c_L, c_M) and $V(c_L, c_M)$ -modules.*

The following result is obvious.

Lemma 2.4. *If $c_M = 0$ and $h_M = 0$, then the Verma module $V(c_L, 0, h_L, 0)$ possesses a submodule $\langle \mathcal{M}_{-1}, \mathcal{Q}_{-1} \rangle$ and the quotient module $V(c_L, 0, h_L, 0)/\langle \mathcal{M}_{-1}, \mathcal{Q}_{-1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the Verma module $V_{\text{vir}}(c_L, h_L)$ over the Virasoro algebra.*

For the remaining case $p = 1$ and $c_M \neq 0$ (in this case $h_M = 0$), the structure of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, 0)$ will be determined in the next sections.

3. CLASSIFICATION OF SINGULAR VECTORS OF VERMA MODULES

Fix $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. In this section we will determine all singular vectors of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ when it is reducible.

From now on we will assume that $\phi(p) = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$. The case $c_M = 0$ (then $h_M = 0$) was solved in Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.4.

3.1. Singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. First, we construct a singular vector $\mathbf{S1}$ in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proposition 3.1. *The Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ possesses a singular vector*

$$u = \mathbf{S1} = M_{-p}\mathbf{1} + \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(p), \lambda < (p)} s_\mu M_{-\mu} \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)\mathbf{1} \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_p, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$s_\mu = (-1)^{\ell(\mu)-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)-1} \frac{2(p - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mu_j) - \mu_i}{2(p - \sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j) \phi(p - \sum_{j=1}^i \mu_j)},$$

and $\mu_0 = 0$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s) \in \mathcal{P}(p)$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(p)} s_\lambda M_{-\lambda} \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-), \quad s_\lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the ordering of all summands of \mathbf{S} is according to " $>$ " defined in Section 2.2 as follows

$$M_{-p}, M_{-(p-1)}M_{-1}, M_{-(p-2)}M_{-2}, M_{-(p-2)}M_{-1}^2, \dots, M_{-1}^p.$$

Now we consider the $p(p)$ linear equations:

$$L_p u = 0, L_{p-1} L_1 u = 0, L_{p-2} L_2 u = 0, L_{p-2} L_1^2 u = 0, \dots, L_1^p u = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

The coefficient matrix A_p of the linear equations (3.2) is

$$A_p = \begin{pmatrix} p\phi(p) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \star & \star & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \star & \star & \star & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \star & \star & \star & \cdots & \star \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, A_p is an lower triangular whose first row is zero, its other diagonal entries and other entries in the first column \star are non-zero. So there exists a unique solution for S with 1 as the coefficient of M_{-p} up to a scalar multiple.

Certainly, by the actions of $L_i, i = p-1, p-2, \dots, 1$ on $u = S\mathbf{1}$ we can get all s_μ . \square

Example 3.2.

- (1) $p = 1, h_M = 0 : S = M_{-1};$
- (2) $p = 2, h_M = -\frac{1}{8}c_M : S = M_{-2} + \frac{6}{c_M}M_{-1}^2;$
- (3) $p = 3, h_M = -\frac{1}{3}c_M : S = M_{-3} + \frac{6}{c_M}M_{-2}M_{-1} + \frac{9}{c_M^2}M_{-1}^3.$

Lemma 3.3. For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, we have

$$[x, S] \subset U(\mathcal{M}_-) \left(2M_0 + \frac{p^2-1}{12} \mathbf{c}_M \right) + U(\mathcal{M}_-) \mathcal{M}_+.$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that $[x, S]\mathbf{1} = 0$ in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ and $[x, S] \in U(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{c}_M)$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let $u = S\mathbf{1}$ be the singular vector in Proposition 3.1. Then $S^k\mathbf{1}$ is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{kp}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.3. \square

Lemma 3.5. If u is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\ell_L(u) = 0$, then $\ell_Q(u) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\ell_Q(u) \neq 0$. Set

$$u = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{S}^p} a_\mu Q_{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1} \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n,$$

where $a_\mu \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$. Take $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_s)$ among all μ with $a_\mu \neq 0$ such that $\bar{\mu}_1$ is maximal.

Certainly, $s \geq 2$ since $\ell(\bar{\mu})$ is even and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$0 = Q_{\bar{\mu}_1 - \frac{1}{2}} u = \left(2h_M + \frac{(2\bar{\mu}_1 - 1)^2 - 1}{12} c_M \right) \sum_{\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}_1} a_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-\bar{\mu}_1 + \frac{1}{2}}} Q_{-\mu + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}.$$

If $2\bar{\mu}_1 - 1 \neq p$, then $Q_{\bar{\mu}_1 - \frac{1}{2}} u \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

Now we only consider the case of $p = 2\bar{\mu}_1 - 1$ being odd, and in this case $p > 2\bar{\mu}_2 - 1$.

By acting $Q_{\bar{\mu}_2 - \frac{1}{2}}$ on u , we get

$$0 = Q_{\bar{\mu}_2 - \frac{1}{2}} u = \left(2h_M + \frac{(2\bar{\mu}_2 - 1)^2 - 1}{12} c_M \right) \sum_{\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}_1} a_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{-\bar{\mu}_2 + \frac{1}{2}}} Q_{-\mu + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1} + B \neq 0,$$

where $\nu_1 < \bar{\mu}_1$ for all summand $a_\nu Q_{-\nu + \frac{1}{2}}$ in B with $a_\nu \neq 0$. It also gets a contradiction. \square

Now we shall determine all singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ with $\ell_L(u) = 0$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ with $c_M \neq 0$, S be defined in Proposition 3.1 and $u \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\ell_L(u) = 0$. Then u is a singular vector if and only if $n = kp$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this case $u = S^k \mathbf{1}$ up to a scalar multiple if $n = pk$.*

Proof. Let $u \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ be a singular vector. By Lemma 3.5 we can suppose that

$$u = (a_0 S^k + a_1 S^{k-1} + \cdots + a_{k-1} S + a_k) \mathbf{1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and each $a_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$ does not involve M_{-p} for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. We may assume that $a_0 \neq 0$.

If $\text{hm}\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\} \notin \mathbb{C}$, set $\text{hm}\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k\} = M_{-\lambda}$. By the action of L_λ on (3.3), we can get $L_\lambda u \neq 0$ since all $a_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$ are not involving M_{-p} . So $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u = a_0 S^k \mathbf{1}$. The theorem follows. \square

Lemma 3.7. *If u is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$, then $\ell_L(u) = 0$.*

Proof. To the contrary we assume that $\ell_L(u) \neq 0$. Write $u = y \mathbf{1} \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$, where $y \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$. Then $M_0 u = M_0 y \mathbf{1} = [M_0, y] \mathbf{1} + h_M u$. If $[M_0, y] \neq 0$, then $\ell_L([M_0, y]) < \ell_L(y)$. This implies $[M_0, y] \neq ay$ for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, showing that u is not a singular vector of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$. So $[M_0, y] = 0$.

We write

$$u = y \mathbf{1} = (a_0 L_{-p}^k + a_1 L_{-p}^{k-1} + a_2 L_{-p}^{k-2} + \cdots + a_k) \mathbf{1},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $a_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, $a_0 \neq 0$ and any a_i does not involve L_{-p} .

We claim that $\ell_L(a_0) = 0$. Otherwise, $\text{hm}(a_0) = a'_0 L_{-\nu}$ for some $a'_0 \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{Q}$ and $\emptyset \neq \nu \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $[M_\nu, y] \mathbf{1} = a'_0 [M_\nu, L_{-\nu}] L_{-p}^k \mathbf{1} + a'_1 L_{-p}^{k-1} \mathbf{1} + \cdots + a'_k \mathbf{1} \neq 0$, where $a'_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ with any a'_i not involving L_{-p} . This is a contradiction since $[M_\nu, L_{-\nu}] \neq 0$ by the assumption of $L_{-\nu}$ not involving L_{-p} . This claim follows and $a_0 \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$.

Now we shall prove that $k = 0$ and get the lemma. To the contrary, assume that $k \geq 1$. In the case if $[M_0, a_1] = 0$ we see that

$$[M_0, y] = kpa_0M_{-p}L_{-p}^{k-1} + A = 0,$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in A is no more than $k - 2$. It is a contradiction.

So $[M_0, a_1] \neq 0$. If $\ell_L(a_1) \geq 2$, then

$$[M_0, y] = kpa_0M_{-p}L_{-p}^{k-1} + [M_0, a_1]L_{-p}^{k-1} + B = 0,$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in B is no more than $k - 2$. We see that $\ell_L([M_0, a_0]L_{-p}^k) = k - 1$, $\ell_L([M_0, a_1]L_{-p}^{k-1}) \geq k$, yielding that $[M_0, y] \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

Now we obtain that $\ell_L(a_1) = 1$ and set

$$a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i L_{-i} + b_0,$$

where $b_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$ and $b_s \neq 0$.

$$[M_s, y] = a_0[M_s, L_{-p}^k] + b_1[M_s, L_{-s}]L_{-p}^{k-1} + B',$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in B' is no more than $k - 2$.

If $s > p$, then $\ell_L(a_0[M_s, L_{-p}^k]) \leq k - 2$ and $\ell_L([M_s, L_{-s}]L_{-p}^{k-1}) = k - 1$. In this case $[M_s, y]\mathbf{1} \neq 0$, it is a contradiction. So $s < p$.

Note that if $p = 1$, then $s = 0$, which means $\ell_L(a_1) = 0$. This is a contradiction.

So we can suppose that $p > 1$. By action of L_i for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ on u we get

$$L_i u = L_{-p}^k [L_i, a_0]\mathbf{1} + A = 0,$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in A is no more than $k - 1$. So $[L_i, a_0]\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this case, $a_0\mathbf{1}$ becomes a singular vector of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ with $\ell_L(a_0\mathbf{1}) = 0$.

By Theorem 3.6, we get $a_0 = d_0 S^l$ where $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In this case,

$$[M_0, y]\mathbf{1} = kpa_0M_{-p}L_{-p}^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + [M_0, a_1]L_{-p}^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + B\mathbf{1} = 0,$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in B is no more than $k - 2$. So

$$kpd_0 S^l M_{-p} + [M_0, a_1] = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

By considering the degree of S in (3.4), we have $a_1 = f_0 S^{l+1} + f_2 S^l + \cdots + f_{l+1}$, where $f_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ not involving L_{-p}, M_{-p} . Comparing the coefficients of S^{l+1} in (3.4), we get

$$[M_0, f_0] = kpd_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ with $c_M \neq 0$. Let $S\mathbf{1}$ be the singular vector in Proposition 3.1, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ possesses a singular vector u if and only if $n = kp$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this case $u = S^k\mathbf{1}$ if $n = pk$ up to a scalar multiple.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. □

3.2. Singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In this subsection, we shall determine all singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Lemma 3.9. *If there exists a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\ell_L(u) = 0$, then p is odd and $\ell_Q(u) = 1$.*

Proof. It follows similar arguments as in that of Lemma 3.5 and the fact that $\ell_Q(u) \geq 1$ here. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$. Then the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ possesses a singular vector $u \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\frac{p}{2}}$ with $\ell_L(u) = 0$. Up to a scalar multiple, it is unique and can be written as*

$$u = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{1} = Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}\mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} f_i(M)Q_{-\frac{p}{2}+i}\mathbf{1}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $f_i(M) = \sum_{|\lambda|=i} c_\lambda M_{-\lambda}$ for some $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case of $p > 1$.

By Lemma 3.9, we can suppose that

$$\mathbf{R} = f_0 Q_{-\frac{p}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} f_i(M) Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $f_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Here the ordering of all summands of \mathbf{R} is according to the ordering $>$ defined in Section 2.2 as follows

$$Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}, M_{-1}Q_{-\frac{p}{2}+1}, M_{-2}Q_{-\frac{p}{2}+2}, M_{-1}^2Q_{-\frac{p}{2}+2}, \dots, M_{-1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now we consider the following linear equations.

$$Q_{\frac{p}{2}}u = L_1Q_{\frac{p}{2}-1}u = L_2Q_{\frac{p}{2}-2}u = L_1^2Q_{\frac{p}{2}-2}u = \dots = L_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}}Q_{\frac{1}{2}}u = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

The number of these equations is exactly $\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p(i)$. By direct calculations we can see that the coefficient matrix A_p of (3.6) is lower triangular and the first row is zero. All other diagonal elements are non-zero's by assumption. So there exists a unique solution with a non-zero coefficient of $Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}$ up to a scalar multiple. The proposition follows. \square

In the following, we provide an explicit formula for \mathbf{R} .

Proposition 3.11. *Let $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$. Then the singular vector $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{1}$ in Proposition 3.10 can be determined as*

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{1} = Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}\mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} f_i(M)Q_{-\frac{p}{2}+i}\mathbf{1}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$f_1(M) = c_1 M_{-1}, f_i(M) = c_i M_{-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_i f_j(M) M_{-(i-j)}, \quad (3.8)$$

and $c_i = \frac{6}{i(p-i)c_M}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{R1}$ be as (3.7), a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{\frac{p}{2}}$, where $f_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$ with degree i , $i = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, using the action of $Q_{\frac{p}{2}-i}$ on (3.7), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Q_{\frac{p}{2}-i} \mathbf{R1} &= [Q_{\frac{p}{2}-i}, Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}] \mathbf{1} + \sum_{j=1}^i f_j(M) [Q_{\frac{p}{2}-i}, Q_{-\frac{p}{2}+j}] \mathbf{1} \\ &= 2M_{-i} \mathbf{1} + 2f_1(M) M_{-i+1} \mathbf{1} + \dots + f_i(M) \left(2M_0 + \frac{(p-2i)^2 - 1}{12} \mathbf{c}_M \right) \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $h_M = -\frac{p^2-1}{24} c_M$ we deduce that

$$2M_{-i} + 2f_1(M) M_{-i+1} + \dots - f_i(M) \frac{i(p-i)}{3} c_M = 0,$$

$$f_1(M) = c_1 M_{-1}, f_i(M) = c_i M_{-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_i f_j(M) M_{-(i-j)},$$

and $c_i = \frac{6}{i(p-i)c_M}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$. □

Example 3.12.

- (1) $p = 1, h_M = 0 : \mathbf{R} = Q_{-\frac{1}{2}};$
- (2) $p = 3, h_M = -\frac{1}{3} c_M : \mathbf{R} = Q_{-\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3}{c_M} M_{-1} Q_{-\frac{1}{2}};$
- (3) $p = 5, h_M = -c_M : \mathbf{R} = Q_{-\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{3}{2c_M} M_{-1} Q_{-\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{c_M} M_{-2} Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{3}{2c_M^2} M_{-1}^2 Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}.$

By direct calculation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13. *For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, we have*

$$[x, \mathbf{R}] \subset U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-) \left(2M_0 + \frac{p^2-1}{12} \mathbf{c}_M \right) + U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-) \mathfrak{g}_+.$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that $[x, \mathbf{R}] \mathbf{1} = 0$ in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ and $[x, \mathbf{R}] \in U(\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{Q} + \mathbb{C} \mathbf{c}_M)$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$. □

Proposition 3.14. *Let $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$. Then $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{R}^n \mathbf{1}$ is also a singular vector for any $n \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{1}$ is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$. By Theorem 3.8, $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{S}$. Moreover, for any $n \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, $\mathbf{R}^n \mathbf{1}$ is also a singular vector by Lemma 3.13. □

Lemma 3.15. *If u is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \geq 1$, then $\ell_L(u) = 0$.*

Proof. Write $u = y\mathbf{1} \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $y \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$. Then $M_0u = M_0y\mathbf{1} = [M_0, y]\mathbf{1} + h_My\mathbf{1}$. By a similar argument in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we have $M_0y\mathbf{1} = h_My\mathbf{1}$. For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, $xRy\mathbf{1} = Rxy\mathbf{1} + [x, R]y\mathbf{1} = 0$ by Lemma 3.13. Then $Ry\mathbf{1}$ is a singular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n+\frac{p-1}{2}}$. So $\ell_L(Ry) = 0$ by Lemma 3.7 and then $\ell_L(y) = 0$. \square

Now we get the following result.

Theorem 3.16. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ with $c_M \neq 0$. Then $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ has a singular vector u if and only if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$ and there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $n - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{p}{2}(2k - 1)$. Moreover, all singular vectors of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{kp-\frac{p}{2}}$, up to a scalar multiple, are $R^{2k-1}\mathbf{1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 3.9, 3.15 and Propositions 3.10 and 3.14, we can suppose that

$$u = (a_0R^{2k-1} + a_1R^{2k-3} + \cdots + a_{k-1}R + a_k)\mathbf{1}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $a_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$ not involving M_{-p} for any $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $a_k \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$ not involving $M_{-p}, \mathcal{Q}_{-\frac{p}{2}}$.

Assume that $a_k \neq 0$, then $\ell_{\mathcal{Q}}(a_k) = 1$. Set $\text{hm}(a_k) = M_{-\mu}\mathcal{Q}_{-\frac{q}{2}}$, where $\mu \in \mathcal{P}, q \neq p$. By action of $\mathcal{Q}_{\frac{q}{2}}$ on u , we get a contradiction. So $a_k = 0$.

Set $\max\{\text{hm}(a_0), \dots, \text{hm}(a_{k-1})\} = M_{-\lambda}$. By actions of L_λ on (3.9), we can get $L_\lambda u \neq 0$ since all $a_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$ are not involving M_{-p} . So $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. The theorem follows. \square

Combining Theorem 3.8 with Theorem 3.16, we get the following result about all singular vectors of the Verma \mathfrak{g} -module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

Theorem 3.17. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ with $c_M \neq 0$.*

- (1) *If p is even, all singular vectors of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ are $S^k\mathbf{1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, up to a scalar multiple.*
- (2) *If p is odd, all singular vectors of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ are $R^k\mathbf{1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, up to a scalar multiple.*

Applying this theorem we can easily get the following consequence.

Corollary 3.18. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \neq (c'_L, c'_M, h'_L, h'_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M), V(c'_L, c'_M, h'_L, h'_M)) \neq 0$$

if and only if $c_M = c'_M, c_L = c'_L, h_M = h'_M, 2h'_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c'_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $h_L = h'_L + ip$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (when p even) or $i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ (when p odd).

Proof. We know that $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M), V(c'_L, c'_M, h'_L, h'_M)) \neq 0$ if and only if there is a non-zero \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphism

$$\varphi : V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle \rightarrow V(c'_L, c'_M, h'_L, h'_M) = \langle \mathbf{1}' \rangle,$$

if and only if, $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = u\mathbf{1}'$ is a singular vector of $V(c'_L, c'_M, h'_L, h'_M)$ for some $u \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, by Theorem 3.17, if and only if $u = S^k$ (p even) or R^k (p odd) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So $c_M = c'_M, c_L = c'_L, h_M = h'_M$ and $h_L = h'_L + ip$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (when p even) or $i \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ (when p odd). In this case $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M), V(c'_L, c'_M, h'_L, h'_M)) = 1$. \square

Corollary 3.19. *Using the notations as above, if $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ with $c_M \neq 0$, then any singular vector of the Verma module $V_{W(2,2)}(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$ is $S^k\mathbf{1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, up to a scalar multiple.*

Proof. Consider the subspace $U(W(2, 2))\mathbf{1}$ in the Verma \mathfrak{g} -module $V(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$ which is the Verma $W(2, 2)$ -module $V_{W(2,2)}(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$. From Corollary 3.17 and simple computations we know that $u \in V_{W(2,2)}(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$ is a singular vector if and only if it is a singular vector in the Verma \mathfrak{g} -module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, if and only if it is $S^k\mathbf{1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, up to a scalar multiple. \square

Remark 3.20. *Corollary 3.19 was originally proposed in [27, Theorem 2.7]. However, the proof presented in [27, Lemma 2.4, Theorem 2.7] contains certain gaps. The singular vector $S\mathbf{1}$ for the Verma module $V_{W(2,2)}(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$ was first introduced in [27, Proposition 2.6], and later expressed in [2, Theorem 7.5] using a free-field realization of vertex algebras and Schur polynomials.*

4. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBSINGULAR VECTORS OF VERMA MODULES

In this section, we continue considering reducible Verma modules $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ over \mathfrak{g} for fixed $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. So we always assume that $\phi(p) = 2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$. We will determine all subsingular vectors in the Verma module $V(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$.

Let $J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ be the submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ generated by all singular vectors. Set

$$L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) / J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M).$$

By Theorem 3.17, $J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is generated by $u = S\mathbf{1}$ if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, by $u = R\mathbf{1}$ if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, defined in Section 3.

For convenience, for $x \in V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ we will abuse the notation that $x \in L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ means $x + J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

4.1. Necessary condition for the existence of subsingular vectors. From the construction of R and S we have the following results.

Lemma 4.1. *(1) If $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, then the image of*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{M_{-\lambda}Q_{-\mu}L_{-\nu}\mathbf{1} \mid \lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}, \text{ and } M_{-\lambda} \text{ does't involve } M_{-p}\} \quad (4.1)$$

under the natural projection

$$\pi : V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \rightarrow L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) / J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$$

forms a PBW basis of $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

(2) If $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, then the image of

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{M_{-\lambda}Q_{-\mu}L_{-\nu}\mathbf{1} \mid \lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{SP}, \text{ and } Q_{-\mu}, M_{-\lambda} \text{ does't involve } Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}, M_{-p} \text{ respectively}\} \quad (4.2)$$

under the natural projection π forms a PBW basis of $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is reducible and u' is a singular vector not in $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$, then $\text{hm}(u') = L_{-p}^r\mathbf{1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\ell_L(u') = r$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we may assume that any term of u' does not involve M_{-p} or $Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}$ (this factor does not appear if p is even).

If $\ell_L(u') = 0$, using similar discussions in Section 3 (see the beginning part of the proof of Lemma 3.5, and Theorem 3.6), we can get $u' \in J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, a contradiction.

So $\ell_L(u') \neq 0$, and suppose that

$$u' = (g_0L_{-p}^r + g_1L_{-p}^{r-1} + g_2L_{-p}^{r-2} + \cdots + g_r)\mathbf{1},$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $g_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$, $g_0 \neq 0$ and any g_i does not involve $L_{-p}, M_{-p}, Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}$.

By the proof of Lemma 3.7 (ignoring the eigenvalue of u'), we can get $\ell_L(g_0) = 0$. Using the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have $\ell_Q(g_0) = 0$. So $g_0 \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$. Now we need to show that $g_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

(1) First we consider the case of $p = 1$. Note that $h_M = 0$, hence $[L_{-1}, M_1] = 0$. If $\ell_L(g_1) \neq 0$. Set $\text{hm}(g_1) = b(M, Q)L_{-\nu}$ for some $b(M, Q) \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$. Then $\nu_1 > 1$. By the action of M_{ν_1} on u' , we can get a contradiction by comparing the coefficient of L_{-1}^{r-1} . So $\ell_L(g_1) = 0$. Similarly, we have $\ell_L(g_2) = \cdots = \ell_L(g_r) = 0$ since $M_0L_{-1}^j\mathbf{1} = 0, M_kL_{-1}^j\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (Theorem 2.3).

If $g_0 \notin \mathbb{C}$, set $\text{hm}(g_0) = M_{-\mu}$ not involving M_{-1} , then

$$L_{\mu_1}u' = [L_{\mu_1}, g_0]L_{-1}^r + B,$$

where the degree of L_{-1} in B is no more than $r - 1$ and $[L_{\mu_1}, M_{-\mu}]\mathbf{1} \neq 0$. It gets a contradiction. So $g_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Consequently, $\text{hm}(u') = L_{-1}^r\mathbf{1}$. In this case, $g_1 = 0$ since g_1 is not involving $M_{-1}, Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

(2) Now we consider the case of $p > 1$.

As in Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.5 (using M_1 instead of M_0 in the arguments), we get

$$\ell_L(g_1) = 1 \text{ and } g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i L_{-i} + b_0,$$

where $b_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, \dots, s, b_s \neq 0$ and $s < p, b_0 \in \mathcal{M}\mathcal{Q}$.

Moreover, we can get

$$\ell_L(g_i) = i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$ by induction, and all $L_{-\nu}$ in $g_i, i \geq 1$ must be satisfied the condition that $\nu_1 < p$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.7 using M_1 instead M_0 in the arguments). In this case $\ell_L(u') = r$.

Now we shall prove that $g_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Otherwise, set $\text{hm}(g_0) = M_{-\mu}$ not involving M_{-p} , then

$$L_{\mu_1} u' = [L_{\mu_1}, g_0] L_{-p}^r + B,$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in B is no more than $r - 1$ and $[L_{\mu_1}, M_{-\mu}] \mathbf{1} \neq 0$. It gets a contradiction. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.2 tells us that, if there exists a singular vector $u' \in L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ of weight pr , then it is unique up to a scalar multiple. In the following, we provide the necessary conditions for the existence of subsingular vectors in the Verma module $V(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$ over the $N=1$ BMS superalgebra \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $c_M \neq 0$. Assume that there exists a singular vector $u' \in L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ such that $\text{hm}(u') = L_{-p}^r \mathbf{1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $h_L = h_{p,r}$ where*

$$h_{p,r} = -\frac{p^2-1}{24}c_L + \frac{(41p+5)(p-1)}{48} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2} - \frac{1+(-1)^p}{8}p. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Case 1: $p = 1$. From the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can suppose that

$$u' = (L_{-1}^r + g_2 L_{-1}^{r-2} + \cdots + g_{r-1} L_{-1} + g_r) \mathbf{1},$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, each $g_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$ does not involve $M_{-1}, \mathcal{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Considering the coefficient of L_{-1}^{r-1} in $L_1 u'$ and using the formula

$$L_1 L_{-1}^r \mathbf{1} = L_{-1}^{r-1} \left(r L_0 + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \right) \mathbf{1}$$

we can get $h_L = \frac{1-r}{2}$ by comparing the coefficient of L_{-1}^{r-1} .

Case 2: $p > 1$. From Lemma 4.2, we can suppose that

$$u' = (L_{-p}^r + g_1 L_{-p}^{r-1} + \cdots + g_{r-1} L_{-p} + g_r) \mathbf{1},$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $g_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ do not involve $L_{-p}, M_{-p}, \mathcal{Q}_{-\frac{p}{2}}$. By Lemma 4.2, we can further assume that

$$g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} l_i M_{-i} L_{-(p-i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} n_j \mathcal{Q}_{-p+i-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{Q}_{-i+\frac{1}{2}} + C, \quad (4.4)$$

where $l_i, n_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$C = \sum_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,p-1 \\ \ell(\lambda) \geq 2}} a_{\lambda,i} M_{-\lambda} L_{-i} + \sum_{\ell(\lambda)+\ell(\mu) \geq 3} b_{\lambda,\mu} M_{-\lambda} \mathcal{Q}_{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.5)$$

for some $a_{\mu,i}, b_{\lambda,\mu} \in \mathbb{C}$.

For any $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_k u' &= [L_k, L_{-p}^r + g_1 L_{-p}^{r-1} + \cdots + g_{r-1} L_{-p} + g_r] \mathbf{1} \\ &= ([L_k, L_{-p}^r] + [L_k, g_1] L_{-p}^{r-1} + B) \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the degree of L_{-p} in B is less than $r-2$. The coefficient with $L_{-p}^{r-1} \mathbf{1}$ in $L_k u'$ should be zero.

Comparing the coefficients of $L_{-p+k}L_{-p}^{r-1}$ in $L_k u'$, we can get $r(k+p) + l_k(2kh_M + \frac{k^3-k}{12}c_M) = 0$, yielding that

$$l_k = -r \frac{p^2 - 1}{2h_M k(p-k)}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, p-1$. Note that here the degree of L_{-p} of $[L_k, C]L_{-p}^{r-1}\mathbf{1}$ is $r-1$, or $r-2$. For the former, the length of any non-zero summand in $[L_k, C]$ is not less than 2 with respect to M (see (4.5)).

For any $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$, comparing the coefficients of $Q_{-p+k-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-p}^{r-1}$ in $Q_{k-\frac{1}{2}}u'$, we obtain that $\frac{p+2k-1}{2} + (2h_M - \frac{8(k^2-k)h_M}{p^2-1})n_k = 0$, yielding that

$$n_k = r \frac{p^2 - 1}{4h_M(p-2k+1)}.$$

Note that

$$[L_p, L_{-p}'] = rpL_{-p}^{r-1}((r-1)p + 2L_0 + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_L).$$

The coefficient with $L_{-p}^{r-1}\mathbf{1}$ in $L_p u'$ is

$$\begin{aligned} rp\left((r-1)p + 2h_L + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_L\right) &+ \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} 2l_i h_M i(2p-i) \frac{p^2-i^2}{p^2-1} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} n_i h_M (3p-2i+1) \frac{p^2-(1-2i)^2}{p^2-1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &rp\left((r-1)p + 2h_L + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_L\right) - 2rp^2(p-1) - \frac{rp(p^2-1)}{6} + \frac{1}{4}r(p-1)(3p+1) \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}r(p+1) \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - \frac{r}{6} \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) (2 \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It gives (4.3). \square

In the above proof we did not use the actions of M_k for $1 \leq k < p$ because they can be generated by $Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}}$ (for example $M_1 = Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$). This tells us that, for u' , the summands $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} l_i M_{-i} L_{-(p-i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} n_j Q_{-p+i-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (4.4) are unique determined. We will particularly use this fact for $r = 1$ later.

Now we first determine singular vectors in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_p$ under the condition $h_L = h_{p,1}$.

Lemma 4.4. *If u' is a singular vector in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_p$ (implying that $h_L = h_{p,1}$), then u' can be written as follows.*

$$u' = T\mathbf{1} = L_{-p}\mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_{p-i}(M)L_{-i}\mathbf{1} + u_p(M, Q)\mathbf{1}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $g_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$, $u_p(M, Q) \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$ not involving M_{-p} or $Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}$, and $\ell_Q(u_p(M, Q)) = 2$.

Proof. The case for $p = 1$ is clear since $h_{1,1} = 0$ and $u' = L_{-1}$. So we need only to consider the case for $p > 1$. By Lemma 4.2, we may assume that $u' = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{1}$ where

$$\mathbf{T} = L_{-p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_{p-i}(M, Q)L_{-i} + u_p(M, Q), \quad (4.8)$$

and $g_i(M, Q) \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$ not involving $M_{-p}, Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}$. Note that $M_0 u' = k u'$ with $k \in \mathbb{C}$. On one hand, $[M_0, \mathbf{T}] = pM_{-p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i g_{p-i}(M, Q)M_{-i}$. Then $[M_0, \mathbf{T}] \neq k' \mathbf{T}$ for any $k' \in \mathbb{C}$. So $[M_0, \mathbf{T}]\mathbf{1} \in J'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_p$. It implies $[M_0, \mathbf{T}] = lS$ for some $l \in \mathbb{C}^*$. On the other hand, $S = M_{-p} + f_p(M)$. So $l = p$ and in $U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, we get

$$[M_0, \mathbf{T}] = pS. \quad (4.9)$$

This implies $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i g_{p-i}(M, Q)M_{-i} = pS$. So $g_{p-i}(M, Q) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$. We denote it by $g_{p-i}(M)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$.

For $1 \leq k \leq p$, considering

$$0 = Q_{k-\frac{1}{2}} u' = \left(\frac{p}{2} + k - \frac{1}{2}\right) Q_{-p+k-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{i}{2} + k - \frac{1}{2}\right) g_{p-i}(M) Q_{-i+k-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1} + [Q_{k-\frac{1}{2}}, u_p(M, Q)] \mathbf{1},$$

we see that $\ell_Q(u_p(M, Q)) = 2$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.5. We found the element $\mathbf{T} \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ when $h_L = h_{p,1}$. From the above proof we know that (4.9) holds whenever $\phi(p) = 0$, no need to assume that $h_L = h_{p,1}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ and $h_L = h_{p,1}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then there exists a unique subsingular vector $u' = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{1}$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_p$ up to a scalar multiple, where \mathbf{T} is defined in Lemma 4.4.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, we can suppose that

$$u' = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{1} = L_{-p} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_i(M) L_{-p+i} \mathbf{1} + u_p(M, Q) \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.10)$$

where $g_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$, $u_p(M, Q) \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$ not involving $M_{-p}, Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}$, and $\ell_Q(u_p(M, Q)) = 2$.

We order all the possible summands of \mathbf{T} in (4.7) by the ordering $>$ defined in Section 2.2:

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{-p}, M_{-1}L_{-(p-1)}, M_{-2}L_{-(p-2)}, M_{-1}^2L_{-(p-2)}, \dots, M_{-(p-1)}L_{-1}, \dots, M_{-1}^{p-1}L_{-1}, \\ & Q_{-p+\frac{1}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}, Q_{-p+\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}, \dots, Q_{-p+\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - \frac{1}{2}}Q_{-\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor + \frac{1}{2}}, \\ & M_{-1}Q_{-p+\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}, M_{-2}Q_{-p+\frac{5}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}, M_{-1}^2Q_{-p+\frac{5}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, M_{-p+2}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}, M_{-1}^{p-2}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ & M_{-(p-1)}M_{-1}, M_{-(p-2)}M_{-2}, M_{-(p-2)}M_{-1}^2, \dots, M_{-1}^p. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

The coefficients of above monomials in u' are determined by some elements in $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_-p$ which act on u' getting 0. Namely, we need to consider the linear equations

$$x u' = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

for some particular $x \in U(\mathfrak{g}_+)_-p$.

We choose x from (4.11) by changing L_{-p} to L_p , L_{-i} to M_i , M_{-i} to L_i , $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, Q_{-r} to Q_r , and arrange them according to the original ordering as follows: $L_p, L_1 M_{p-1}, L_2 M_{p-2}, L_1^2 M_{p-2}, \dots, L_{p-1} M_1, \dots, L_{-1}^{p-1} M_1, Q_{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{p-\frac{1}{2}}, Q_{\frac{3}{2}} Q_{p-\frac{3}{2}}, \dots, Q_{[\frac{p}{2}]-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{p-[\frac{p}{2}]+\frac{1}{2}}, L_1 Q_{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{p-\frac{3}{2}}, \dots, L_1^{p-2} Q_{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{\frac{3}{2}}, L_1 L_{p-1}, L_2 L_{p-2}, L_1^2 L_{p-2}, \dots, L_1^p$. We consider the following Table 1.

TABLE 1. The matrix $A_{p,1}$

	$L_p \mathbf{1}$	$M_{-1} L_{-(p-1)} \mathbf{1}$	\dots	$M_{-1}^{p-1} L_{-1} \mathbf{1}$	$Q_{-p+\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}$	\dots	$Q_{-p+[\frac{p}{2}]-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-[\frac{p}{2}]+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}$	$M_{-1} Q_{-p+\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}$	\dots	$M_{-1}^{p-2} Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}$	$M_{-(p-1)} M_{-1} \mathbf{1}$	\dots	$M_{-1}^p \mathbf{1}$
L_p	*	*	\dots	*	*	\dots	*	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
$L_1 M_{p-1}$	*	*	\dots	*	0	\dots	0	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
$L_1^{p-1} M_1$	*	*	\dots	*	0	\dots	0	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
$Q_{p-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$	*	*	\dots	*	*	\dots	*	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
$Q_{p-1-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}} Q_{1-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}$	*	*	\dots	*	*	\dots	*	0	\dots	0	0	0	0
$L_1 Q_{p-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	*	0	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	*	*	0	0	0	0
$L_1^{p-2} Q_{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	0	0
$L_{p-1} L_1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	*	*	*	*	*	0
L_1^p	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

The (i, j) -entry in Table 1 is the coefficient of $\mathbf{1}$ produced by the i -th operator from Column 0 acting on the monomial of the j -th element on Row 0.

Now we shall investigate the coefficient matrix $A_{p,1}$ of the linear equations (4.12) by using Table 1. This matrix $A_{p,1}$ is a lower triangular block matrix. Note that the lower two shaded submatrices in Table 1 are nonsingular lower triangular matrices (with nonzero diagonal entries). So we need only to consider the upper-left shaded submatrix which will be denoted by A_p . In addition, these operators ($L_p, L_1 M_{p-1}, \dots, Q_{[\frac{p}{2}]-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{p-[\frac{p}{2}]+\frac{1}{2}}$) from Column 0 except for $L_\lambda Q_{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}$ with $\ell(\lambda) \geq 2$ in Table 1 act trivially on the monomial $M_{-\lambda} Q_{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}}$ with $\ell(\lambda) \geq 2$ respectively. In order to calculate the rank of matrix A_p we only need to consider a better submatrix B_p of the matrix A_p as Table 2. Actually, after row and column operations, A_p can be arranged as a lower block-triangular matrix with B_p to be the upper-left block with $\text{corank}(A_p) = \text{corank}(B_p)$. It is clear that $\text{corank}(B_p) = 0$ or 1.

TABLE 2. The matrix B_p

	$L_{-p}\mathbf{1}$	$M_{-1}L_{-(p-1)}\mathbf{1}$	$M_{-2}L_{-(p-2)}\mathbf{1}$	\cdots	$M_{-(p-1)}L_{-1}\mathbf{1}$	$Q_{-p+\frac{1}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}$	\cdots	$Q_{-p+\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor-\frac{1}{2}}Q_{-\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor+\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}$
L_p	★	★	★	\cdots	★	★	\cdots	★
L_1M_{p-1}	★	★	0	0	0	0	0	0
L_2M_{p-2}	★	0	★	0	0	0	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	0	0	\ddots	0	0	0	0
$L_{p-1}M_1$	★	0	0	0	★	0	0	0
$Q_{p-\frac{1}{2}}Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$	★	0	0	0	0	★	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	0	0	0	0	0	\ddots	0
$Q_{p-\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor+\frac{1}{2}}Q_{\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\rfloor-\frac{1}{2}}$	★	0	0	0	0	0	0	★

From the proof of Theorem 4.3 with $r = 1$, we know that the matrix B_p is of corank 1 if and only if $h_L = h_{p,1}$, that is, the matrix $A_{p,1}$ is of corank 1 if and only if $h_L = h_{p,1}$, in which case there is only one singular vector u' in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_p$, up to a scalar multiple. \square

From the proof of Theorem 4.3 we see that that

$$\mathbf{T} = L_{-p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{12}{i(p-i)c_M} M_{-p+i} L_{-i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{6}{(p-2k+1)c_M} Q_{i-p-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}} + \text{some other terms.}$$

We further have the following formula for \mathbf{T} .

Corollary 4.7. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $c_M \neq 0$ and $h_L = h_{p,1}$. Let $k_i = \frac{12}{i(p-i)c_M}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$. Then the subsingular vector $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1}$ can be determined as follows:*

$$\mathbf{T} = L_{-p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_{p-i}(M) L_{-i} + u_p(M, Q), \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$g_1(M) = k_1 M_{-1}, g_i(M) = k_i M_{-i} + k_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{2p-i}\right) g_j(M) M_{-(i-j)}, i = 2, \dots, p-1. \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$u_p(M, Q) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(p), \ell(\mu) \geq 2} d_\mu M_{-\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} d_i Q_{i-p-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{\substack{\frac{p}{2} \neq l_1 > l_2 \geq 1 \\ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(p-l_1-l_2+1)}} d_\mu^{l_1, l_2} Q_{-l_1+\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-l_2+\frac{1}{2}} M_{-\mu}$$

with unique coefficients $d_\mu, d_\mu^{l_1, l_2}, d_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. For $i = p-1, p-2, \dots, 1$, using (4.7) we deduce that

$$0 = M_{p-i} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{1} = [M_{p-i}, L_{-p}]\mathbf{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} g_{p-j}(M) [M_{p-i}, L_{-j}]\mathbf{1} = [M_{p-i}, L_{-p}]\mathbf{1} + \sum_{j=p-i}^{p-1} g_{p-j}(M) [M_{p-i}, L_{-j}]\mathbf{1}$$

$$=(2p-i)M_{-i}\mathbf{1} + (2p-i-1)g_1(M)M_{-i-1}\mathbf{1} + \cdots + g_i(M)\left(2(p-i)M_0 + \frac{(p-i)^3 - (p-i)}{12}c_M\right)\mathbf{1}.$$

Applying $h_M = -\frac{p^2-1}{24}c_M$ we deduce that

$$(2p-i)M_{-i} + (2p-i-1)g_1(M)M_{-i-1} + \cdots + g_i(M)\left(2(p-i)M_0 + \frac{(p-i)^3 - (p-i)}{12}c_M\right) = 0$$

$$g_1(M) = k_1M_{-1}, g_i(M) = k_iM_{-i} + k_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{2p-i}\right) g_j(M)M_{-(i-j)}, i = 2, \dots, p-1.$$

So (4.14) follows by induction.

By actions of $Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}}, i = p, p-1, \dots, 1$ on (4.13), we can get all d_i by induction. Meanwhile, by actions of $L_i, i = p-1, \dots, 1$ on (4.13), we can get all $d_\mu^{h_1, h_2}, d_\mu$ by induction. \square

Example 4.8. (1) $p = 4, h_M = -\frac{5}{8}c_M, h_L = -\frac{5}{8}c_L + \frac{153}{16}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T = & L_{-4} + \frac{4}{c_M}M_{-1}L_{-3} + \left(\frac{3}{c_M}M_{-2} + \frac{10}{c_M^2}M_{-1}^2\right)L_{-2} + \left(\frac{4}{c_M}M_{-3} + \frac{20}{c_M^2}M_{-2}M_{-1} + \frac{24}{c_M^3}M_{-1}^3\right)L_{-1} \\ & - \frac{2}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{7}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{6}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{5}{2}}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{16}{c_M^2}M_{-1}Q_{-\frac{5}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{6}{c_M^2}M_{-2}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{12}{c_M^3}M_{-1}^2Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \left(\frac{66}{c_M^2} - \frac{4c_L}{c_M^2}\right)M_{-3}M_{-1} + \left(\frac{51}{4c_M^2} - \frac{3c_L}{2c_M^2}\right)M_{-2}^2 + \left(\frac{342}{c_M^3} - \frac{20c_L}{c_M^3}\right)M_{-2}M_{-1}^2 + \left(\frac{321}{c_M^4} - \frac{18c_L}{c_M^4}\right)M_{-1}^4. \end{aligned}$$

(2) $p = 5, h_M = -c_M, h_L = -c_L + \frac{35}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T = & L_{-5} + \frac{3}{c_M}M_{-1}L_{-4} + \left(\frac{2}{c_M}M_{-2} + \frac{21}{4c_M^2}M_{-1}^2\right)L_{-3} + \left(\frac{2}{c_M}M_{-3} + \frac{8}{c_M^2}M_{-2}M_{-1} + \frac{15}{2c_M^3}M_{-1}^3\right)L_{-2} \\ & + \left(\frac{3}{c_M}M_{-4} + \frac{21}{2c_M^2}M_{-3}M_{-1} + \frac{4}{c_M^2}M_{-2}^2 + \frac{45}{2c_M^3}M_{-2}M_{-1}^2 + \frac{45}{4c_M^4}M_{-1}^4\right)L_{-1} \\ & - \frac{3}{2c_M}Q_{-\frac{9}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{3}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{7}{2}}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{27}{4c_M^2}M_{-1}Q_{-\frac{7}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{3}{2c_M^2}M_{-3}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & - \frac{3}{c_M^3}M_{-2}M_{-1}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{9}{4c_M^4}M_{-1}^3Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{105}{2c_M^2} - \frac{3c_L}{c_M^2}\right)M_{-4}M_{-1} + \left(\frac{31}{c_M^2} - \frac{2c_L}{c_M^2}\right)M_{-3}M_{-2} \\ & + \left(\frac{369}{2c_M^3} - \frac{21c_L}{2c_M^3}\right)M_{-3}M_{-1}^2 + \left(\frac{148}{c_M^3} - \frac{8c_L}{c_M^3}\right)M_{-2}^2M_{-1} + \left(\frac{1653}{4c_M^4} - \frac{45c_L}{2c_M^4}\right)M_{-2}M_{-1}^3 + \left(\frac{675}{4c_M^5} - \frac{9c_L}{c_M^5}\right)M_{-1}^5. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have the particular element $T \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ but we will use T without assuming the condition that $h_L = h_{p,1}$. Now we provide some key properties of the operators S, R and T in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ without assuming that $h_L = h_{p,1}$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let p be even and S, T be defined as above. In $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, we have that $[S, T]\mathbf{1} = 0$, and consequently, $ST^i\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. Note that $p > 1$. We claim that if $[S, T]\mathbf{1} \neq 0$, then $[S, T]\mathbf{1}$ is a subsingular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ in the case of $h_L = h_{p,1}$. In fact, using $[M_0, [S, T]]\mathbf{1} = 0$ and (4.9) it is easy to

see $[S, T]\mathbf{1}$ is a \mathfrak{g}_0 eigenvector. For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_+$,

$$x[S, T]\mathbf{1} = xST\mathbf{1} = [x, S]T\mathbf{1}, \text{ in } L'(c_L, c_M, h_{p,1}, h_M).$$

By Lemma 3.3, we get $[x, S]T\mathbf{1} = 0$. So the claim holds. However, $[S, T]\mathbf{1}$ is not a subsingular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,1}, h_M)_{2p}$ by $\text{hm}([S, T]\mathbf{1}) \neq L_{-p}^2\mathbf{1}$ and Lemma 4.2. So $[S, T]\mathbf{1} = 0$. It means that $[S, T] = yS$ for some $y \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$ since p is even. So $ST\mathbf{1} = 0$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ for arbitrary h_L . Moreover,

$$ST^2\mathbf{1} = [S, T]T\mathbf{1} + TST\mathbf{1} = yST\mathbf{1} + TST\mathbf{1} = 0.$$

By induction we can get $ST^i\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. \square

Lemma 4.10. *If p is odd, in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, we have $[R, T]\mathbf{1} = 0$, and $RT^i\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Consequently, $ST^i\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. It is essentially the same as that of Lemma 4.9, the only difference is that we shall use Lemma 3.13 here instead of Lemma 3.3. \square

4.2. Sufficient condition for the existence of subsingular vectors. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set

$$\mathcal{M}_-(p) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{M_{-1}, M_{-2}, \dots, M_{-p+1}\}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$U^{(k)} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} \mid x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k} \in U(\mathcal{M}_-(p)) \cup \{T\}\}, \quad (4.16)$$

(each monomial can only have a maximum k copies of T) and $U^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$.

Clearly,

$$U^{(0)} \subset U^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset U^{(k)} \subset \dots$$

First we give the following lemmas by direct calculation to show the existence of singular vectors in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{rp}$.

Lemma 4.11. (a) *For any $1 \leq i \leq p$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [L_i, T] &= a_0(M)\beta(L_0, \mathbf{c}_L) + b_0\left(2M_0 + \frac{p^2-1}{12}\mathbf{c}_M\right) + c_0\mathbf{R} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} a_i(M)L_i + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_iM_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} c_i\mathcal{Q}_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $c_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$, $\beta(h_{p,1}, c_L) = 0$ and all $a_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-(p))$. Moreover, $[L_i, T]\mathbf{1} \in U(\mathcal{M}_-(p))\mathbf{1}$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

(b) *For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$, we have*

$$[x, T] \subset U(\mathfrak{g}_-)\left(2M_0 + \frac{p^2-1}{12}\mathbf{c}_M\right) + U(\mathcal{M}_-)(\mathcal{M}_+).$$

Moreover, $[x, T]\mathbf{1} = 0$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

(c) *For any $x \in \mathcal{Q}_+$, we have*

$$[x, T] \subset U(\mathfrak{g}_-)\left(2M_0 + \frac{p^2-1}{12}\mathbf{c}_M\right) + U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)\mathbf{R} + U(\mathfrak{g}_-)(\mathcal{M}_+ + \mathcal{Q}_+).$$

Moreover, $[x, \mathbf{T}]\mathbf{1} = 0$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

Proof. (a) We know that $[L_i, \mathbf{T}]\mathbf{1} = L_i\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1} = 0$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ in the case of $h_L = h_{p,1}$. Then the formula follows.

The proofs for (b) and (c) are similar to that of (a). \square

Lemma 4.12. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ with $\phi(p) = 0$ we have*

(a) $M_0\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1} = h_M\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1}$, and $(M_0 - \frac{1}{24}(p^2 - 1)\mathbf{c}_M)\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1} = 0$.

(b) For $y = S, R, M_i$ or $Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}}$ with $k, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $yU^{(k)}\mathbf{1} = 0$, where $U^{(k)}$ is defined in (4.16).

Proof. (a) By (4.9), we know that $M_0\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1} = h_M\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1}$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$. By induction on k and Lemma 4.9, we get

$$M_0\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1} = [M_0, \mathbf{T}]\mathbf{T}^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{T}M_0\mathbf{T}^{k-1}\mathbf{1} = p\mathbf{S}\mathbf{T}^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + h_M\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1} = h_M\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1}.$$

The rest of (a) are clear.

(b) In the proof of Lemma 4.9, we see that $R\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{T} \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)\mathbf{R} + U(\mathfrak{g}_-)\mathbf{S}$. Using these we can deduce that $RU^{(k)}\mathbf{1} = SU^{(k)}\mathbf{1} = 0$.

By Lemma 4.11 (b) we have $M_i\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1} = 0$ and $Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{1} = 0$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ (not assuming $h_L = h_{p,1}$). Consequently, $M_i f_1 \mathbf{T} f_2 \mathbf{1} = Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} f_1 \mathbf{T} f_2 \mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $f_1, f_2 \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)$. The statements follow by induction on $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ with $\phi(p) = 0$ we have*

(a) $L_0\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1} = (h_L + kp)\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1}$.

(b) For any $L_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $L_i\mathbf{T}^{k+1}\mathbf{1} \in U^{(k)}\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. (a) follows from the fact that $[L_0, \mathbf{T}] = p\mathbf{T}$.

(b) follows from Lemma 4.11 and induction on k . \square

Lemma 4.14. (a) *In $U(\mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$[L_p, \mathbf{T}] = \alpha(L_0, M_0, \mathbf{c}_L, \mathbf{c}_M) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i(M)L_{p-i} + \sum_{i>0} b_i M_i + \sum_{i>0} c_i Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(L_0, M_0, \mathbf{c}_L, \mathbf{c}_M) &= 2p \left(L_0 + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} \mathbf{c}_L \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{24(2p - i)}{c_M(p - i)} \left(M_0 + \frac{i^2 - 1}{24} \mathbf{c}_M \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{12(\frac{3}{2}p + \frac{1}{2} - i)}{c_M(p - 2i + 1)} \left(M_0 + \frac{i^2 - i}{6} \mathbf{c}_M \right), \end{aligned}$$

and $a_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-(p))$, $b_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $c_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$.

(b) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$L_p\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{1} - 2kp(h_L - h_{p,k})\mathbf{T}^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \in U^{(k-2)}\mathbf{1}.$$

(c) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$L_p U^{(k+1)}\mathbf{1} \subset U^{(k)}\mathbf{1}.$$

Proof. (a) From (4.1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_p, \mathbf{T}] &= \left[L_p, L_{-p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{12}{i(p-i)c_M} M_{-p+i} L_{-i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{6}{(p-2i+1)c_M} Q_{i-p-\frac{1}{2}} Q_{-i+\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i(M) L_{p-i} + \sum_{i>0} b_i M_i + \sum_{i>0} c_i Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= 2pL_0 + \frac{p^3-p}{12} \mathbf{c}_L + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{24(2p-i)}{c_M(p-i)} \left(M_0 + \frac{i^2-1}{24} \mathbf{c}_M \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor} \frac{12(\frac{3}{2}p + \frac{1}{2} - i)}{c_M(p-2i+1)} \left(M_0 + \frac{i^2-i}{6} \mathbf{c}_M \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} a_i(M) L_{p-i} + \sum_{i>0} b_i M_i + \sum_{i>0} c_i Q_{i-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i(M) \in U(\mathcal{M}_-(p))$, $b_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}_-)$, $c_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_- + \mathcal{Q}_-)$.

(b) Using (a) and Lemma 4.13 (b), (c), we can get (b) by induction on k , where $\alpha(L_0, M_0, \mathbf{c}_L, \mathbf{c}_M)\mathbf{1}$ is calculated as (4.6) in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

(c) follows from (a) (b) and some direct calculations by using induction on k . \square

For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 4.14 (c) and Lemma 4.12 (b), we see that

Corollary 4.15. *If $n > k \geq 0$, then $L_p^n U^{(k)}\mathbf{1} = 0$.*

Lemma 4.16. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $L_p^k \mathbf{T}^k \mathbf{1} = (2p)^k k! \prod_{i=1}^k (h_L - h_{p,i}) \mathbf{1}$ in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.*

Proof. Using induction on k we obtain this result by Lemma 4.14 and Corollary 4.15. \square

Now let's give the main theorem about subsingular vectors.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$. Then there exists a singular vector $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_n$ for $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ if and only if $n = rp \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $h_L = h_{p,r}$. Up to a scalar multiple, the only singular vector $\mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \in L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{rp}$ can be written as*

$$\mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} = \left(\mathbf{T}^r + v_1 \mathbf{T}^{r-1} + \cdots + v_{r-1} \mathbf{T} + v_r \right) \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $v_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)_{ip}$ does not involve M_{-p} .

Proof. The uniqueness of the singular vector $\mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \in L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)_{rp}$ is guaranteed by Theorem 4.3. We need only to show the existence of $\mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1}$.

The case of $r = 1$ follows from Theorem 4.6.

Let $r > 1$. Assume that

$$\mathbf{T}_{p,r} = \mathbf{T}^r + v_1 \mathbf{T}^{r-1} + v_2 \mathbf{T}^{r-2} + \cdots + v_{r-1} \mathbf{T} + v_r, \quad (4.18)$$

where $v_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)_{ip}$.

We order all the possible summands of $T_{p,r}$:

$$T^r, M_{-(p-1)}M_{-1}T^{r-1}, \dots, M_{-1}^p T^{r-1}, M_{-2p}T^{r-2}, \dots, M_{-1}^{2p}T^{r-2}, \dots, M_{-rp}, \dots, M_{-1}^{rp}, \quad (4.19)$$

where the summands above don't involve M_{-p} as factors. Note that $T_{p,r}\mathbf{1}$ is a linear combination of the terms in (4.19). We will try to find a solution for the coefficients of above summands in $T_{p,r}\mathbf{1}$. We only need to consider the action of vir_+ . By the PBW theorem, we consider the corresponding operators

$$L_p^r, L_{p-1}L_1L_p^{r-1}, L_1^pL_p^{r-1}, L_{2p}L_p^{r-2}, L_1^{2p}L_p^{r-2}, \dots, L_{rp}, \dots, L_1^{rp}. \quad (4.20)$$

we get the linear equations

$$xT_{p,r}\mathbf{1} = 0 \text{ in } L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \quad (4.21)$$

for all x in (4.20). The coefficient matrix of this linear equations (4.21) is a lower triangular matrix, with $(1, 1)$ -entry $(2p)^r r! \prod_{i=1}^r (h_L - h_{p,i})\mathbf{1}$, and all other diagonal entries non-zero. By Lemma 4.16, we deduce that $T_{p,r}\mathbf{1}$ is the only singular vector up to a scalar multiple in $L'(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ if and only if $h_L = h_{p,r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. \square

Example 4.18. (cf. [33]) Let $p = 1, h_M = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad h_L = -\frac{1}{2} : T_{1,2} &= L_{-1}^2 + \frac{6}{c_M}M_{-2}; \\ (2) \quad h_L = -1 : T_{1,3} &= L_{-1}^3 + \frac{24}{c_M}M_{-2}L_{-1} + \frac{12}{c_M}M_{-3}; \\ (3) \quad h_L = -\frac{3}{2} : T_{1,4} &= L_{-1}^4 + \frac{60}{c_M}M_{-2}L_{-1}^2 + \frac{60}{c_M}M_{-3}L_{-1} + \frac{36}{c_M}M_{-4} + \frac{108}{c_M^2}M_{-2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.19. $p = 2, r = 2, h_M = -\frac{1}{8}c_M, h_L = h_{2,2} = -\frac{1}{8}c_L + \frac{5}{16}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,2} &= L_{-2}^2 + \frac{12}{c_M}M_{-1}L_{-3} + \frac{24}{c_M}M_{-1}L_{-2}L_{-1} + \frac{144}{c_M^2}M_{-1}^2L_{-1}^2 - \frac{12}{c_M}M_{-3}L_{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{12}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-2} + \left(\frac{174}{c_M^2} - \frac{12c_L}{c_M^2}\right)M_{-1}^2L_{-2} - \frac{144}{c_M^2}M_{-1}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_{-1} + \left(\frac{2088}{c_M^3} - \frac{144c_L}{c_M^3}\right)M_{-1}^3L_{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{7}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{3}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{5}{2}}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{72}{c_M^2}M_{-1}Q_{-\frac{5}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{72c_L}{c_M^3} - \frac{1476}{c_M^3}\right)M_{-1}^2Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{12}{c_M}M_{-4} + \left(\frac{12c_L}{c_M^2} + \frac{6}{c_M^2}\right)M_{-3}M_{-1} + \left(\frac{36c_L^2}{c_M^4} - \frac{1044c_L}{c_M^4} + \frac{2385}{c_M^4}\right)M_{-1}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p = 2, r = 1, h_M = -\frac{1}{8}c_M, h_L = h_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{8}c_L + \frac{21}{16}$:

$$T = L_{-2} + \frac{12}{c_M}M_{-1}L_{-1} + \left(\frac{87}{c_M^2} - \frac{6c_L}{c_M^2}\right)M_{-1}^2 - \frac{6}{c_M}Q_{-\frac{3}{2}}Q_{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By direct calculation, we get

$$T_{2,2} = T^2 + \frac{6}{c_M}M_{-4} + \frac{216}{c_M^2}M_{-3}M_{-1} - \frac{5184}{c_M^4}M_{-1}^4.$$

□

In the above arguments, starting from Lemma 4.1 to Theorem 4.17, by deleting parts (or terms) involving \mathcal{Q} we derive the following results about the subalgebra $W(2, 2)$ of \mathfrak{g} :

Corollary 4.20. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$. The Verma module $V_{W(2,2)}(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$ over $W(2, 2)$ has a subsingular vector if and only if $\phi(p) = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and*

$$h_L = h'_{p,r} = -\frac{p^2 - 1}{24}c_L + \frac{(13p + 1)(p - 1)}{12} + \frac{(1 - r)p}{2},$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Remark 4.21. *The value $h'_{p,r}$ is obtained by omitting the final summand in equation (4.6). This corollary was first conjectured in [33] and further discussed in [27] with some new ideas.*

Corollary 4.22. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\phi(p) = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $h_L = h'_{p,r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then*

$$u'_{p,r} = \left(T^r + v_1 T^{r-1} + \cdots + v_{r-1} T + v_r \right) \mathbf{1}$$

for $v_i \in U(\mathcal{M}_-)_{ip}$, is the unique subsingular vector of the Verma module $V_{W(2,2)}(h_L, h_M, c_L, c_M)$, up to a scalar multiple, where

$$T = L_{-p} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_{p-i}(M)L_{-i} + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}(p), \ell(v) \geq 2} d_v M_{-v}, \quad (4.22)$$

and $g_i(M)$ are given in (4.14), and d_v can be determined as in Corollary 4.7 by actions of L_i , $i = p - 1, p - 2, \dots, 1$.

5. CHARACTERS OF IRREDUCIBLE HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES AND COMPOSITION SERIES

In this section, we provide the maximal submodules of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ and the character formula for irreducible highest weight modules. We also derive the composition series (of infinite length) of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

Again we fix $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, and assume that $\phi(p) = 2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$. Let us first define atypical and typical Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$.

Definition 5.1. For $c_L, c_M \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M) = \left\{ \left(h_{p,r}, \frac{1-p^2}{24}c_M \right) \mid p, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\},$$

where $h_{p,r}$ is defined in (4.3). We say the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ to be *atypical* if $(h_L, h_M) \in \mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M)$, otherwise to be *typical* (see [3]).

Lemma 5.2. *Let $T_{p,r}$ be defined in Theorem 4.17, then in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, we have*

$$M_{(r-1)p} T_{p,r} \mathbf{1} = r! p^r S \mathbf{1} + \delta_{r,1} h_M T_{p,r} \mathbf{1}; \quad (5.1)$$

$$Q_{(r-1)p+\frac{p}{2}} T_{p,r} \mathbf{1} = r! p^r R \mathbf{1}, \text{ if } p \text{ is odd.} \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Let us first prove (5.1). This is clear for $r = 1$ since $T_{p,1} = T$ and $[M_0, T] = pS$. Now we assume that $r > 1$. By (4.17), we have $M_{(r-1)p}T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} = M_{(r-1)p}T^r\mathbf{1} + v_1M_{(r-1)p}T^{r-1}\mathbf{1}$.

By Lemma 4.11 (b) and by induction on $k \geq 1$ we see that

$$M_{kp}T^k\mathbf{1} = U(\mathcal{M})\left(M_0 + \frac{1}{24}(p^2 - 1)c_M\right)\mathbf{1} = 0.$$

By induction on $k \geq 1$ and using Lemma 4.11 (b), we can prove that $M_{(k-1)p+j}T^k\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now by induction on $k \geq 2$ we will prove that $M_{(k-1)p}T^k\mathbf{1} = k!p^kS\mathbf{1}$. This is clear for $k = 2$ by direct computations. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{(k-1)p}T^k\mathbf{1} &= [M_{(k-1)p}, T]T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + TM_{(k-1)p}T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} = [M_{(k-1)p}, T]T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= [M_{(k-1)p}, L_{-p}]T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_i(M)[M_{(k-1)p}, L_{-p+i}]T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= (kp)M_{(k-2)p}T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} g_i(M)M_{(k-2)p+i}T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= (kp)M_{(k-2)p}T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= k!p^kS\mathbf{1}, \quad (\text{induction used}). \end{aligned}$$

So (5.1) holds.

Now we prove (5.2). By induction on $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and using Lemma 4.11 (c), we can prove that $Q_{(k-1)p+j+\frac{p}{2}}T^k\mathbf{1} = 0$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now by induction on $k \geq 1$ we will prove that $Q_{(k-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}T^k\mathbf{1} = k!p^kR\mathbf{1}$. This is clear for $k = 1$ by direct computations. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(k-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}T^k\mathbf{1} &= [Q_{(k-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}, T]T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} + TQ_{(k-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= [Q_{(k-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}, T]T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= kpQ_{(k-2)p+\frac{p}{2}}T^{k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= k!p^kR\mathbf{1}, \quad (\text{induction used}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $Q_{(r-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} = Q_{(r-1)p+\frac{p}{2}}T^r\mathbf{1} = r!p^rR\mathbf{1}$. □

5.1. Maximal submodules and characters. Now we are ready to present a couple of other main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$ and $(h_L, h_M) \notin \mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M)$ (typical case). Then $J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, the maximal submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$, is generated by $S\mathbf{1}$ if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, by $R\mathbf{1}$ if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, and the simple quotient $L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)/J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has a basis \mathcal{B} in (4.1) if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$; or the*

basis \mathcal{B}' in (4.2) if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$. Moreover,

$$\text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = q^{h_L}(1 - q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^p)q^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorems 4.3 and 3.16. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$ and $(h_L, h_M) \in \mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M)$ (atypical case). Then $J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = \langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ is generated by $T_{p,r}\mathbf{1}$ defined in Section 4, and $L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)/J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has a basis

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{M_{-\lambda}Q_{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}}L_{-\nu}\mathbf{1} \mid \lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{SP} \text{ not involving } M_{-p}, L_{-p}^n (n \geq r)\} \quad (5.3)$$

if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$; or

$$\mathcal{B}'_1 = \{M_{-\lambda}Q_{-\mu+\frac{1}{2}}L_{-\nu}\mathbf{1} \mid \lambda, \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathcal{SP} \text{ not involving } M_{-p}, Q_{-\frac{p}{2}}, L_{-p}^n (n \geq r)\} \quad (5.4)$$

if $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$. Moreover,

$$\text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = q^{h_{p,r}}(1 - q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^p)q^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) (1 - q^{rp}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Proof. We first consider the case that p is even. By Theorem 4.17, we know that $T_{p,r}\mathbf{1}$ is a subsingular vector in $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)$. By Lemma 5.2 we have $\langle S\mathbf{1} \rangle \subset \langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. Then

$$\text{char } V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle = q^{h_{p,r}}(1 - q^p)(1 - q^{rp}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Note that $T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} = (L_{-p}^r + g_1 L_{-p}^{r-1} + \cdots + g_{r-1} L_{-p} + g_r)\mathbf{1}$, i.e., $L_{-p}^r\mathbf{1} = -(g_1 L_{-p}^{r-1} + \cdots + g_{r-1} L_{-p} + g_r)\mathbf{1}$ in $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. Then we know that \mathcal{B}_1 is a basis for $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$.

Now we determine the irreducibility of the quotient module $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. Suppose that $x \in V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ is a weight vector with $h_L = h_{p,r}$ such that $U(\mathfrak{g}_+)x \subset \langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. Analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.2, one can prove that $\text{hm}(x) = L_{-p}^s\mathbf{1}$ for some $s < r$. Similar arguments as the proof of Theorem 4.3, one can show that $h_{p,r} = h_{p,s}$, which is a contradiction. So $J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = \langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$.

Next, we consider the case that p is odd. It is clear that

$$\text{char } V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle = q^{h_{p,r}}(1 - q^{\frac{p}{2}})(1 - q^{rp}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Similar as for the case of even p , one can determine the irreducibility of the quotient module $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)/\langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. So $J(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) = \langle T_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ in this case also. \square

Remark 5.5. From the above two theorems, unlike the Virasoro algebra, any maximal submodule of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M)$ is always generated by a single weight vector.

5.2. Composition series of submodules. In this subsection, we investigate the composition series of submodules of the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$. We have seen that the chain length of the submodules is infinite in the reducible Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$. Now we consider both typical and atypical cases.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$ and $(h_L, h_M) \notin \mathcal{AJ}(c_L, c_M)$.*

(1) *If $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, then the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules*

$$V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \supset \langle \mathbf{S1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^2 \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \quad (5.5)$$

Moreover, $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) *If $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, then the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following composition series of submodules*

$$V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \supset \langle \mathbf{R1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \quad (5.6)$$

Moreover, $\langle \mathbf{R}^{2n} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$ and $\langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np + \frac{p}{2}, h_M)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1) We know that $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is a submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and (5.5) follows from Theorem 3.8. Note that $M_0 \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} = h_M \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1}$, $L_0 \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} = (h_L + np) \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1}$. Clearly, the submodule $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $V(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$ with the highest weight $(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$. Note that $\mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ generates $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ and $M_0(\mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle) \in h_M(\mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle)$, $L_0(\mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle) \in (h_L + np)(\mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle)$. Then $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to a highest weight module with weight $(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$ and highest weight vector $\mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$. By the calculation of character, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } \langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle &= q^{h_L + np} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} - q^{h_L + (n+1)p} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} \\ &= q^{h_L + np} (1 - q^p) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} \\ &= \text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.3, $\langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is the maximal submodule of $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle$ and the quotient module $\langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S}^{n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to $L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For Statement (2), $\mathbf{R}^n \mathbf{1}$ is a singular vectors in $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by Theorems 3.8, 3.16. The submodule $\langle \mathbf{R}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle$ generated by $\mathbf{R}^n \mathbf{1}$ is isomorphic to $V(c_L, c_M, h_L + \frac{np}{2}, h_M)$. Clearly, (5.6) holds. Since $h_L \neq h_{p,r}$ for all $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the quotient $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) / \langle \mathbf{R1} \rangle = L(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is irreducible by Theorem 5.3. Note that $\mathbf{R}^{2n} \mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ generates $\langle \mathbf{R}^{2n} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle$.

Moreover, $M_0(\mathbf{R}^{2n}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle) \in h_M \mathbf{R}^{2n}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ and $L_0(\mathbf{R}^{2n}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle) \in (h_L + np)\mathbf{R}^{2n}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n}\mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle &= q^{h_L+np} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q^k)^2} - q^{h_L+(n+\frac{1}{2})p} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q^k)^2} \\ &= q^{h_L+np} (1-q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q^k)^2} \\ &= \text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $h_L + np \neq h_{p,r}$ for all $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and then the quotient $\langle \mathbf{R}^{2n}\mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \cong L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np, h_M)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is irreducible by Theorem 5.3.

Note that $\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ generates $\langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. Moreover, $M_0(\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle) \in h_M \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ and $L_0(\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle) \in (h_L + np + \frac{p}{2})\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} + \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char } \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle &= q^{h_L+np+\frac{p}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q^k)^2} - q^{h_L+(n+1)p} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q^k)^2} \\ &= q^{h_L+np+\frac{p}{2}} (1-q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q^k)^2} \\ &= \text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np + \frac{p}{2}, h_M). \end{aligned}$$

So $\langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{2n+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle \cong L(c_L, c_M, h_L + np + \frac{p}{2}, h_M)$. \square

We next consider the atypical case.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$ and $(h_L, h_M) \in \mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M)$.*

(1) *If $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$, then there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules*

$$\begin{aligned} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &= \langle \mathbf{S}^0\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{1}) \rangle \supset \cdots \\ &\supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}\mathbf{1}) \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor+2}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$, then there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying the Verma module $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules*

$$\begin{aligned} V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &= \langle \mathbf{R}^0\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-1}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^2\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2}\mathbf{R}^2\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \\ &\supset \langle \mathbf{R}^{r-1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,1}\mathbf{R}^{r-1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^r\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{R}^{r+1}\mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly, $\langle \mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{R}^i\mathbf{1} \rangle$ are Verma modules, and $\langle \mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1} \rangle \cong V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + ip, h_M)$, $\langle \mathbf{R}^i\mathbf{1} \rangle \cong V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + \frac{1}{2}ip, h_M)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) Let $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$. By Theorem 4.17, $\mathbf{T}_{p,r-2i}(\mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1})$ is the unique subsingular vector of $\langle \mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1} \rangle \cong V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + ip, h_M)$ (here $\mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1}$ is the highest weight vector of $V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + ip, h_M)$). By Theorem 5.4, $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2i}(\mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1}) \rangle$ is the maximal submodule of $\langle \mathbf{S}^i\mathbf{1} \rangle$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Note that

$$h_{p,r} + ip = h_{p,1} + \frac{(1-r)p}{2} + ip = h_{p,1} + \frac{(1-(r-2i))p}{2} = h_{p,r-2i} \quad (5.7)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r - 2i > 0$. It implies

$$\langle \mathbf{S}^i \rangle / \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2i}(\mathbf{S}^i \mathbf{1}) \rangle \cong L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r-2i}, h_M), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (5.8)$$

By Lemma 5.2 we have $\langle \mathbf{S} \mathbf{1} \rangle \subset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{char } \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S} \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ &= \text{char } V(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M) - \text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r}, h_M) - \text{char } \langle \mathbf{S} \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ &= q^{h_{p,r}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} - q^{h_{p,r}} (1 - q^p)(1 - q^{r-p}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} - q^{h_{p,r}+p} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} \\ &= q^{h_{p,r}+rp} (1 - q^p) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} = \text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + rp, h_M), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $(h_{p,r} + rp = h_{p,-r}, h_M) \notin \mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M)$. So $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + rp, h_M)$.

By Lemma 5.2 we have $\langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}^i \mathbf{1}) \rangle \subset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2i}(\mathbf{S}^i \mathbf{1}) \rangle$. Replaced $\mathbf{1}$ by $\mathbf{S}^i \mathbf{1}$ as above we can get

$$\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2i}(\mathbf{S}^i \mathbf{1}) \rangle / \langle \mathbf{S}^{i+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle \cong L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + (r - 2i)p, h_M), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (5.9)$$

So the statement (1) follows from (5.8) and (5.9).

(2) Let $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ - 1$. Note that (5.7) also holds and

$$h_{p,r} + ip + \frac{p}{2} = h_{p,1} + \frac{(1-(r-2i-1))p}{2} = h_{p,r-2i-1} \quad (5.10)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r - 2i - 1 > 0$. By Theorem 5.4, $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-i} \mathbf{R}^i \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is the maximal module of $\langle \mathbf{R}^i \mathbf{1} \rangle$. Combining with (5.7) and (5.10) we get

$$\langle \mathbf{R}^i \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-i} \mathbf{R}^i \mathbf{1} \rangle \cong L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r-i}, h_M), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1.$$

At the same time, by Lemma 5.2, $\langle \mathbf{R} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is a submodule of $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle$. By direct calculation, we can get

$$\text{char } \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R} \mathbf{1} \rangle = q^{h_{p,r}+rp} (1 - q^{\frac{p}{2}}) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - q^k)^2} = \text{char } L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + rp, h_M),$$

where we have used that $(h_{p,r} + rp = h_{p,-r}, h_M) \notin \mathcal{AT}(c_L, c_M)$. So $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + rp, h_M)$.

Replaced $\mathbf{1}$ by $\mathbf{R}^i \mathbf{1}$, we can get $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-i} \mathbf{R}^i \mathbf{1} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{R}^{i+1} \mathbf{1} \rangle \cong L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + (r - i)p, h_M)$ is also irreducible for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$. So the statement (2) holds. \square

Remark 5.8. *Theorem 5.7 demonstrates that a submodule of $V(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ is not necessarily a highest weight module (or generated by singular vectors), which markedly contrasts with the case of the Virasoro algebra [20]. Indeed, the \mathfrak{g} -module $\langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle$ represents an extension of the*

Verma module $\langle \mathbf{S1} \rangle$ by the irreducible highest weight module $L(c_L, c_M, h_{p,r} + rp, h_M)$, and cannot be generatable by singular vectors.

In the proofs of Theorems 5.6 and 5.7, by deleting part (or terms) involving \mathcal{Q} we derive the following results about the subalgebra $W(2, 2)$ of \mathfrak{g} .

Set $\mathcal{AT}_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M) = \left\{ \left(h'_{p,r}, \frac{1-p^2}{24} c_M \right) : p, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}$, where $h'_{p,r}$ is defined in Corollary 4.20.

Corollary 5.9 ([33]). *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$ and $(h_L, h_M) \notin \mathcal{AT}_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M)$. Then the Verma module $V_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules*

$$V_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \supset \langle \mathbf{S1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^2 \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots$$

Corollary 5.10. *Let $(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $2h_M + \frac{p^2-1}{12}c_M = 0$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $c_M \neq 0$ and $(h_L, h_M) \in \mathcal{AT}_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M)$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then the Verma module $V_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M)$ has the following infinite composition series of submodules*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{W(2,2)}(c_L, c_M, h_L, h_M) &= \langle \mathbf{S}^0 \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r} \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2}(\mathbf{S1}) \rangle \supset \cdots \\ &\supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{T}_{p,r-2\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} \mathbf{1}) \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor + 1} \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \langle \mathbf{S}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor + 2} \mathbf{1} \rangle \supset \cdots \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071405) and NSERC (311907-2020).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Adamovic, B. Jandric and G. Radobolja, The $N=1$ super Heisenberg–Virasoro vertex algebra at level zero, *J. Algebra Appl.* **21**(2022), 2350003. [4](#)
- [2] D. Adamovic and G. Radobolja, Free field realization of the twisted Heisenberg–Virasoro algebra at level zero and its applications, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **219** (2015), 4322–4342. [2](#), [4](#), [16](#)
- [3] D. Adamovic and G. Radobolja, On free field realization of $W(2,2)$ -modules, *SIGMA* **12** (2016), 1–13. [2](#), [28](#)
- [4] E. Arbarello, C. De Concini, V.G. Kac and C. Procesi, Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988), 1–36. [2](#)
- [5] A. Astashkevich, On the structure of Verma modules over Virasoro and Neveu–Schwarz algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **186** (1997), 531–562. [4](#)
- [6] A. Astashkevich and D. Fuchs, Asymptotics for singular vectors in Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra, *Pacific J. Math.* **177** (1997) 201–209. [4](#)
- [7] G. Barnich, L. Donnay, J. Matulich and R. Troncoso, Asymptotic symmetries and dynamics of three dimensional flat supergravity, *JHEP* **8** (2014), 071. [2](#), [5](#)
- [8] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal and A. Miwa, GCA in 2d, *JHEP*, **8** (2010), 004. [2](#)
- [9] A. Bagchi, The BMS/GCA correspondence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010), 171601. [2](#)
- [10] A. Bagchi, A. Saha and Zodinmawia, BMS characters and modular Invariance, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 138. [2](#)
- [11] O. Blondeau-Fournier, P. Mathieu, D. Ridout and S. Wood, Superconformal minimal models and admissible Jack polynomials, *Adv. Math.* **314** (2017), 71–123. [2](#)

- [12] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence, *JHEP*, **1005** (2010), 062. [2](#)
- [13] A. Bagchi, P. Nandi, A. Saha and Zodinmawia, BMS modular diaries: Torus one-point function, *JHEP*, **11** (2020) 065. [2](#)
- [14] N. Banerjee, D. P. Jatkar, S. Mukhi and T. Neogi, Free-field realisations of the BMS_3 algebra and its extensions, *JHEP*, **06** (2016), 024. [2](#)
- [15] Y. Billig, Representations of the twisted Heisenberg–Virasoro algebra at level zero, *Can. Math. Bull.* **46** (2003), 529–537. [2](#), [4](#)
- [16] H. Bondi, M.G.J. van der Burg and A.W.K. Metzner, Gravitational waves in general relativity. VII, Waves from axisymmetric isolated systems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, **269** (1962) 21–52. [2](#)
- [17] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226. [2](#)
- [18] M. Dörrzapf and B. Gato-Rivera, Singular dimensions of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebras I, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **206** (1999), 493–531. [4](#)
- [19] M. Dilxat, S. Gao, D. Liu, Whittaker modules over the $N = 1$ super- BMS_3 algebra. *J. Alg. Appl.* 2024, Vol. 23, No. 05, 2450088. [2](#)
- [20] B. Feigin and D. Fuchs, Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1060** (1984), 230–245. [2](#), [33](#)
- [21] I. B. Frenkel and H. K. Kim, Three dimensional construction of the Virasoro-Bott group, arXiv:2107.11693 (2021). [2](#)
- [22] M. Henkel, R. Schott, S. Stoimenov and J. Unterberger, On the dynamical symmetric algebra of ageing: Lie structure, representations and Appell systems, *Confluent. Math.* **04** (2012) 1250006. [2](#)
- [23] K. Iohara and Y. Koga, Representation theory of Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond algebras I: Verma modules, *Adv. Math.* **177** (2003) 61–69. [2](#), [4](#)
- [24] K. Iohara and Y. Koga, Representation theory of Neveu–Schwarz and Remond algebras II: Fock modules, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **53** (2003) 1755–1818. [2](#)
- [25] K. Iohara and Y. Koga, Representation theory of the Virasoro algebra, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd. London, 2011. [2](#)
- [26] W. Jiang and Y. Pei, On the structure of Verma modules over the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$, *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), 022303. [2](#)
- [27] W. Jiang and W. Zhang, Verma modules over the $W(2, 2)$ algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* **98** (2015), 118–127. [2](#), [4](#), [16](#), [28](#)
- [28] W. Jiang, Y. Pei and W. Zhang, Determinant formula and a realization for the Lie algebra $W(2,2)$, *Sci. China Math.* **61** (2018), 685–694. [2](#)
- [29] D. Liu, Y. Pei, L. Xia and K. Zhao, Smooth modules over the $N = 1$ Bondi-Metzner-Sachs superalgebra, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* doi: 10.1142/S0219199724500214, arXiv: 2307.14608. [2](#), [4](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [30] K. Mimachi and Y. Yamada, Singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra in terms of Jack symmetric polynomials, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **174** (1995), 447–455. [2](#)
- [31] A. Meurman and A. Rocha-Caridi, Highest weight representations of the Neveu–Schwarz and Ramond algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **107**(1986), 263–294. [2](#)
- [32] B. Oblak, Characters of the BMS group in three dimensions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **340** (2015), 413–432. [2](#)
- [33] G. Radobolja, Subsingular vectors in Verma modules, and tensor product modules over the twisted Heisenberg–Virasoro algebra and $W(2, 2)$ algebra, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), 071701. [2](#), [4](#), [27](#), [28](#), [34](#)
- [34] R. Sachs, Gravitational waves in general relativity. VIII, Waves in asymptotically flat space-times, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, **270** (1962) 103–126. [2](#)

- [35] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, Gravitational memory, BMS supertranslations and soft theorems, JHEP, **01** (2016), 086. [2](#)
- [36] A.Rocha-Caridi and N.R. Wallach, Characters of irreducible representations of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 57-76. [2](#)
- [37] B. Wilson, Highest-weight theory for truncated current Lie algebras, J. Algebra, **336** (2011), 1-27. [2](#)
- [38] W. Zhang and C. Dong, W -algebra $W(2, 2)$ and the vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, Commun. Math. Phys. **285** (2009), 991-1004. [2](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHANGSHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHANGSHU 215500, CHINA

Email address: jiangwei@cslg.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, ZHEJIANG HUZHOU, 313000, CHINA

Email address: liudong@zjhu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, ZHEJIANG HUZHOU, 313000, CHINA

Email address: pei@zjhu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WILFRID LAURIER UNIVERSITY, WATERLOO, ON, CANADA N2L3C5, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, HEBEI NORMAL (TEACHERS) UNIVERSITY, SHIJIAZHUANG, HEBEI, 050024 P. R. CHINA

Email address: kzhao@wlu.ca