

SUPEROSCILLATIONS IN THE HYPERCOMPLEX SETTING

F. COLOMBO, F. MANTOVANI, S. PINTON, AND P. SCHLOSSER

ABSTRACT. Superoscillatory functions represent a counterintuitive phenomenon in physics but also in mathematics, where a band-limited function oscillates faster than its highest Fourier component. They appear in various contexts, including quantum mechanics, as a result of a weak measurement introduced by Y. Aharonov and collaborators. These functions can be extended to the complex variable and are a specific instance of the more general notion of supershift. The aim of this paper is to extend the notion of superoscillatory functions to the hypercomplex setting. This extension is richer than the complex case since the Fueter-Sce extension theorem for Clifford-valued functions provides two notions of hyperholomorphic functions. We will explore these notions and address the corresponding superoscillating theories.

AMS Classification: 26A09, 41A60.

Key words: General superoscillatory functions, Clifford superoscillations, Clifford supershifts.

Acknowledgements: F. Colombo and S. Pinton are supported by MUR grant "Dipartimento di Eccellenza 2023-2027". P. Schlosser was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. J 4685-N and by the European Union – NextGenerationEU.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary material on hyperholomorphic functions	4
2.1. Slice hyperholomorphic functions	4
2.2. Monogenic functions	6
2.3. Entire slice hyperholomorphic functions and entire monogenic functions	9
2.4. Some special functions in the monogenic setting	11
3. Superoscillations in the slice hyperholomorphic setting	15
3.1. One Clifford variable in the slice hyperholomorphic setting	15
3.2. Several variables in the slice hyperholomorphic setting	19
4. Monogenic setting	26
4.1. The prototype of a superoscillating sequence in one Clifford variable.	26
4.2. The supershift in one Clifford variable	28
4.3. Superoscillating and supershift sequences in several Clifford variables.	32
References	40

1. INTRODUCTION

Superoscillations are a somewhat counterintuitive phenomenon for which a band-limited function locally oscillates faster than its highest Fourier component. This means that for example a signal can exhibit rapid variations in certain regions, even though its overall frequency content

is limited to lower frequencies. The problem of constructing a sequence of superoscillatory functions can be described as follows: Let $h_j(n)$ be a given set of points in $[-1, 1]$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|a| > 1$. Determine the coefficients $X_j(n, a)$ of the sequence

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n X_j(n, a) e^{ih_j(n)x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

in such a way that

$$f_n^{(p)}(0) = (ia)^p, \quad \text{for } p = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

The conditions $f_n^{(p)}(0) = (ia)^p$ mean that the functions $x \mapsto e^{iax}$ and $f_n(x)$ have the same derivatives at the origin, for $p = 0, 1, \dots, n$, so they have the same Taylor polynomial of order n . Under the condition that, for every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the points $h_j(n)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n$, (often denoted by h_j) are distinct we obtain an explicit formula for the coefficients $X_j(n, a)$ that are given by

$$X_j(n, a) = \prod_{k=0, k \neq j}^n \left(\frac{h_k(n) - a}{h_k(n) - h_j(n)} \right),$$

so the superoscillating sequence $f_n(x)$ takes the explicit form

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{k=0, k \neq j}^n \left(\frac{h_k(n) - a}{h_k(n) - h_j(n)} \right) e^{ih_j(n)x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that, by construction, this function is band limited and it converges to e^{iax} with arbitrary $|a| > 1$, so it is superoscillating. These functions were introduced in [8] and further studied in [19].

The prototypical superoscillating function, obtained in a different way with respect to what we have discussed above, is associated with the weak values in quantum mechanics [1], and is defined as

$$F_n(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^n C_j(n, a) e^{i(1-2j/n)x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

where $a > 1$ and the coefficients $C_j(n, a)$ are given by

$$C_j(n, a) = \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{1+a}{2} \right)^{n-j} \left(\frac{1-a}{2} \right)^j. \quad (2)$$

If we fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and we let n go to infinity, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x, a) = e^{iax}.$$

Clearly the name superoscillations comes from the fact that in the Fourier's representation of the function (1) the frequencies $1 - 2j/n$ are bounded by 1, but the limit function e^{iax} has a frequency a that can be arbitrarily larger than 1. A precise definition of superoscillating functions is as follows.

We call *generalized Fourier sequence* a sequence of the form

$$f_n(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n X_j(n, a) e^{ih_j(n)x}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $X_j(n, a) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h_j(n) \in \mathbb{R}$. A generalized Fourier sequence of the form (3) is said to be a *superoscillating sequence* if $h_j(n) \in [-1, 1]$ and there exists a compact subset of \mathbb{R} , which will be called a *superoscillation set*, on which $f_n(x)$ converges uniformly to $e^{ig(a)x}$, where g is a continuous real valued function such that $|g(a)| > 1$.

The evolution of superoscillations have been widely studied in the literature and many investigations are going on also nowadays by different research groups in mathematics and in physics. See, without claiming completeness, [2]-[7] and [9]-[13],[18], [21]-[24], [30], [36],[42]. Several results on the evolution of superoscillations are based on continuity properties of infinite order differential operators [16, 17]. Infinite order differential operators in the hypercomplex setting have been recently considered in [26], [27], [41] for the entire hyperholomorphic function settings see also the paper [37]. Supershift and superoscillations and the case of several variables [31]-[35]. Recently in the literature appeared and interesting relations of superoscillations associated with Fock spaces [14], to the short-time Fourier transform [15], and to some applications to technology [38, 39].

We highlight an important aspect in the investigation of superoscillations. Extending superoscillatory functions to the complex setting is particularly useful, as this extension to entire functions allows the use of infinite order differential operators, which play a crucial role in studying the evolution problem of superoscillations by Schrödinger equation or by any other field equation in quantum mechanics.

The aim of this article is to describe the phenomenon of superoscillations also in the setting of the Clifford algebra \mathbb{R}_n . One important feature of \mathbb{R}_n is that there are several (natural) notions of holomorphicity. The most important ones are the *slice hyperholomorphic functions* and the *monogenic functions*. Note, that these two function spaces are connected by the Fueter-See extension theorem, see [28]. Thus, the challenge is to define what we mean by superoscillations in these two settings.

The content of the paper. The main object of study of this paper are Clifford valued superoscillating functions defined on paravectors that is a subset of the Clifford-Algebra. We adopt two different approaches to define this kind of sequences. The first one treats the Clifford variable in a unified way, in particular we give the following definition:

Definition 1.1 (Superoscillating sequence.). *Let e_j , for $j = 1, \dots, n$ be the imaginary units of the Clifford algebra \mathbb{R}_n with the usual defining properties. A sequence of the form*

$$f_N(x_1, \dots, x_n; a) := \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{h_j(N)(e_1 x_1 + \dots + e_n x_n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $Z_j(N, a) \in \mathbb{R}_n$, $h_j(n) \in [-1, 1]$, is said to be a superoscillating sequence if $\sup_{j,n} |h_j(N)| \leq 1$ and $f_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a)$ converges uniformly in $\underline{x} = e_1 x_1 + \dots + e_n x_n$ on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n to $e^{g(a)\underline{x}}$, where $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous such that $|g(a)| > 1$.

Remark 1.2. *In the Definition 1.1, we decided to put the coefficients $Z_j(N, a)$ on the left of the exponential functions, but we could give analogous definitions putting $Z_j(N, a)$ on the right of the exponential functions. This remark is important, as we will see, when the left or right regularity of the extension of a superoscillating sequence has to be taken into account.*

Note that in the Definition 1.1 the role of $e_1 x_1 + \dots + e_n x_n$ is the same of the role of ix in the definition of the superoscillating sequences in the complex setting. We prove the existence of the archetypical superoscillating sequence and its extension to a sequence of entire slice hyperholomorphic functions or to a sequence of entire monogenic functions that converges in the corresponding spaces of entire exponentially increasing functions (see Lemma 3.1 and 4.1).

The second approach is more flexible and it admits the possibility in the definition of a superoscillating sequence to multiply the variables: x_1, \dots, x_n with different frequencies (see Definition 3.5 for the slice hyperholomorphic setting and Definition 4.5 for the monogenic setting). In particular, in Corollary 3.9 (resp. Corollary 4.8) we prove the construction of a

superoscillating sequence according to Definition 3.5 (resp Definition 4.5) starting from a superoscillating sequence as in Definition 1.1 that admits an extension to a sequence of entire slice hyperholomorphic functions (resp. monogenic functions) converging in the spaces of entire slice hyperholomorphic functions (resp. entire monogenic functions).

Finally we also define, as a generalization of the notion of superoscillating sequence, the notion of supershift sequences of functions of Clifford variable in the slice hyperholomorphic setting (see Definition 3.2 and Definition 3.10) and in the monogenic setting (see Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.9) and in Theorem 3.12 (resp. Theorem 4.11) we prove that starting from a superoscillating sequence it is possible to construct a supershift sequence according to Definition 3.10 (resp. Definition 4.9).

2. PRELIMINARY MATERIAL ON HYPERHOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In this section we recall some results on slice hyperholomorphic functions (see Chapter 2 in [29]) and we prove some important properties of entire slice hyperholomorphic and entire monogenic functions that appear here for the first time. We recall that \mathbb{R}_n is the real Clifford algebra over n imaginary units e_1, \dots, e_n . The element $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ will be identified with the paravector $x = x_0 + \underline{x} = x_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell e_\ell$ and the real part x_0 of x will also be denoted by $\text{Re}(x)$. An element in \mathbb{R}_n , called a *Clifford number*, can be written as

$$a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n + a_{12} e_1 e_2 + \dots + a_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3 + \dots + a_{12\dots n} e_1 e_2 \dots e_n.$$

Denote by $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ with $0 \leq r \leq n$, an element in the power set $P(1, \dots, n)$ with ordered indexes $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$. The element $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_r}$ can be written as $e_{i_1 \dots i_r}$ or, in short, e_A . Thus, in a more compact form, we can write a Clifford number as

$$a = \sum_A a_A e_A.$$

When $A = \emptyset$ we set $e_\emptyset = 1$. The Euclidean norm of an element $y \in \mathbb{R}_n$ is given by $|y|^2 = \sum_A |y_A|^2$, in particular the norm of the paravector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is $|x|^2 = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$. The conjugate of x is given by $\bar{x} = x_0 - \underline{x} = x_0 - \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell e_\ell$. Recall that \mathbb{S} is the sphere

$$\mathbb{S} = \{\underline{x} = e_1 x_1 + \dots + e_n x_n \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\};$$

so for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}$ we have $\mathbf{j}^2 = -1$. Given an element $x = x_0 + \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ let us define $\mathbf{j}x = \underline{x}/|\underline{x}|$ if $\underline{x} \neq 0$, and given an element $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the set

$$[x] := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : y = x_0 + \mathbf{j}|\underline{x}|, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}\}$$

which is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The vector space $\mathbb{R} + \mathbf{j}\mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$ and an element belonging to $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$ will be indicated by $u + \mathbf{j}v$, for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. With an abuse of notation we will write $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Thus, if $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an open set, a function $f : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ can be interpreted as a function of the paravector x . We say that $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is axially symmetric if $[x] \subset U$ for any $x \in U$.

2.1. Slice hyperholomorphic functions. This is the first class of hyperholomorphic function due to the Fueter-Sce extension theorem, see [28], associated with the second map T_{FS1}

Definition 2.1 (Slice hyperholomorphic functions). *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an axially symmetric open set and let $\mathcal{U} = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u + \mathbb{S}v \subset U\}$. A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ is called a left slice function, if it is of the form*

$$f(q) = f_0(u, v) + \mathbf{j}f_1(u, v) \quad \text{for } q = u + \mathbf{j}v \in U$$

where the two functions $f_0, f_1 : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ satisfy the compatibility conditions

$$f_0(u, -v) = f_0(u, v), \quad f_1(u, -v) = -f_1(u, v). \quad (5)$$

If in addition f_0 and f_1 are C^1 regular and satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann-equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_0(u, v) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_1(u, v) = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_0(u, v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_1(u, v) = 0, \quad (7)$$

then f is called left slice hyperholomorphic. A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ is called a right slice function if it is of the form

$$f(q) = f_0(u, v) + f_1(u, v)\mathbf{j} \quad \text{for } q = u + \mathbf{j}v \in U$$

with two functions $f_0, f_1 : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ that satisfy (5). If in addition f_0 and f_1 satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann-equation, then f is called right slice hyperholomorphic.

If f is a left (or right) slice function such that f_0 and f_1 are real-valued, then f is called intrinsic. We denote the sets of left (resp. right) slice hyperholomorphic functions on U by $\mathcal{SM}_L(U)$ (resp. $\mathcal{SM}_R(U)$).

Definition 2.2. Let $f : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ and let $x = u + \mathbf{j}v \in U$. If x is not real, then we say that f admits left slice derivative in x if

$$\partial_S f(x) := \lim_{p \rightarrow x, p \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}}} (p - x)^{-1} (f(p) - f(x)) \quad (8)$$

exists and is finite. If x is real, then we say that f admits left slice derivative in x if (8) exists for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}$. Similarly, we say that f admits right slice derivative in a nonreal point $x = u + \mathbf{j}v \in U$ if

$$\partial_S f(x) := \lim_{p \rightarrow x, p \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}}} (f(p) - f(x))(p - x)^{-1} \quad (9)$$

exists and is finite, and we say that f admits right slice derivative in a real point $x \in U$ if (9) exists and is finite, for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}$.

Remark 2.3. Observe that $\partial_S f(x)$ is uniquely defined and independent of the choice of $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}$ even if x is real. If f admits a slice derivative in one point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then $f_{\mathbf{j}}$ is $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}}$ -complex left, resp. right, differentiable in x and we find

$$\partial_S f(x) = f'_{\mathbf{j}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_{\mathbf{j}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(x), \quad x = u + \mathbf{j}v. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2.4 ([29], Proposition 2.3.1). Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$ and $B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |x - a| < r\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{SM}_L(B_r(a))$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (x - a)^k \frac{1}{k!} \partial_S^k f(a) \quad \forall x = u + \mathbf{j}v \in B_r(a). \quad (11)$$

If on the other hand $f \in \mathcal{SM}_R(B_r(a))$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \partial_S^k f(a) (x - a)^k \quad \forall x = u + \mathbf{j}v \in B_r(a).$$

We now recall the natural product that preserves slice hyperholomorphicity of functions admitting power series expansion as shown by Theorem 2.4.

Definition 2.5. Let $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^k a_k$ and $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} x^k b_k$, defined on a disc $B_r(0)$, be two left slice hyperholomorphic power series, the left-star product is defined by

$$(f \star_L g)(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} x^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} a_k b_{\ell-k} \right), \quad (12)$$

and leads again to a left slice hyperholomorphic function on $B_r(0)$. Similarly, for right slice hyperholomorphic power series $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k x^k$ and $g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b_k x^k$ the right-star product is defined by

$$(f \star_R g)(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} a_k b_{\ell-k} \right) x^{\ell}. \quad (13)$$

The Cauchy formula of slice hyperholomorphic functions has two different Cauchy kernels according to left or right slice hyperholomorphicity. Let $x, s \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, with $x \notin [s]$, be paravectors then the slice hyperholomorphic Cauchy kernels are defined by

$$S_L^{-1}(s, x) := -(x^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(s)x + |s|^2)^{-1}(x - \bar{s}),$$

and

$$S_R^{-1}(s, x) := -(x - \bar{s})(x^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(s)x + |s|^2)^{-1}.$$

The two results below can be found in [29], Section 2.8.

Theorem 2.6 (The Cauchy formulas for slice hyperholomorphic functions). *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an axially symmetric domain. Suppose that $\partial(U \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}})$ is a finite union of continuously differentiable Jordan curves for every $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}$ and set $ds_{\mathbf{j}} = -ds_{\mathbf{j}}$. Let f be a slice hyperholomorphic function on an open axially symmetric set that contains \bar{U} . Then*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial(U \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}})} S_L^{-1}(s, x) ds_{\mathbf{j}} f(s), \quad \text{for any } x \in U. \quad (14)$$

If f is a right slice hyperholomorphic function on a set that contains \bar{U} , then

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial(U \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{j}})} f(s) ds_{\mathbf{j}} S_R^{-1}(s, x), \quad \text{for any } x \in U. \quad (15)$$

Moreover, the integrals depend neither on U nor on the imaginary unit $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{S}$.

2.2. Monogenic functions. This is the second class of hyperholomorphic function due to the Fueter-Sce extension theorem, see [28], associated with the second map T_{FS2} . We recall that the generalized Cauchy-Riemann operator in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is defined by:

$$\mathcal{D} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

Definition 2.7 (Monogenic Functions, see [25]). *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an open subset. A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$, of class C^1 , is called left monogenic if*

$$\mathcal{D}f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f = 0.$$

A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$, of class C^1 , is called right monogenic if

$$f\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f e_i = 0.$$

The set of left monogenic functions (resp. right monogenic functions) will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_L(U)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_R(U)$).

Definition 2.8 (Fueter's homogeneous polynomials). *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Given a multi-index $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ where k_i are integers, we set $|k| = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ and $k! = \prod_{i=1}^n k_i!$. We define the homogeneous polynomials $P_k(x)$ as follows:*

(I) For a multi-index k with at least one negative component we set

$$P_k(x) := 0.$$

For $k = 0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ we set

$$P_0(x) := 1.$$

(II) For a multi-index k with $|k| > 0$ and the integers k_j nonnegative, we define $P_k(x)$ as follows: for each k consider the sequence of indices $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{|k|}$ be given such that 1 appears in the sequence k_1 times, 2 appears k_2 times, et cetera and, finally, n appears k_n times (i.e. $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{|k|}\} = \underbrace{\{1, \dots, 1\}}_{k_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{\{n, \dots, n\}}_{k_n \text{ times}}$). We define $z_i = x_i - x_0 e_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. We set

$$z^k := z_{j_1} z_{j_2} \dots z_{j_{|k|}}$$

and

$$|z|^k = |z_1|^{k_1} \dots |z_n|^{k_n}$$

these products contains z_1 exactly k_1 -times, z_2 exactly k_2 -times and so on. We define

$$P_k(x) := \frac{1}{|k|!} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{perm}(k)} z_{j_{\sigma(1)}} z_{j_{\sigma(2)}} \dots z_{j_{\sigma(|k|)}},$$

where $\text{perm}(k)$ is the permutation group with $|k|$ elements.

Remark 2.9. In [25] pag. 68, the definition of Fueter polynomials is given in the following way: let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, for any combination (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s) of s elements out of $(1, \dots, n)$ repetitions being allowed, we put

$$V_0(x) = e_0$$

and

$$V_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s}(x) = \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\pi(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s)} z_{\ell_1} \dots z_{\ell_s}$$

where the sum runs over all distinguishable permutation of all of (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s) . According to this definition we have the following relation

$$P_m(x) := m! V_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s}(x),$$

where $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ and m_i is the number of times that i appear in the sequence (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_s) being $|m| = s$.

The polynomials $P_k(x)$ play an important role in the monogenic function theory and we collect some of their properties in the next proposition (see Theorem 6.2 in [40]):

Theorem 2.10. Consider the Fueter polynomials $P_k(x)$ defined above. Then the following facts hold:

(I) the recursion formula

$$k P_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i P_{k-\varepsilon_i}(x) z_i = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i z_i P_{k-\varepsilon_i}(x),$$

and also

$$\sum_{i=1}^n k_i P_{k-\varepsilon_i}(x) e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i e_i P_{k-\varepsilon_i}(x),$$

where $\varepsilon_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with 1 in the position i .

(II) The derivatives ∂_{x_j} for $j = 1, \dots, n$, are given by

$$\partial_{x_j} P_k(x) = k_j P_{k-\varepsilon_j}(x).$$

(III) The Fueter polynomials $P_k(x)$ are both left and right monogenic.

(IV) The following estimates holds

$$|P_k(x)| \leq |x|^{|k|}.$$

(V) For all paravectors x and y , and for any multi-index k there holds the binomial formula

$$P_k(x+y) = \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{k!}{i!j!} P_i(x) P_j(y).$$

Remark 2.11. In particular, by the property (II) stated in the previous theorem we have for any multi-index $m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ that

$$\partial_{\underline{x}}^m P_k(x) = \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} P_{k-m}(x).$$

We also remark that $P_k(x)$ is a paravector for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (see Proposition 10.6 in [40]). In particular we have

$$P_k(\underline{x}) = x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$$

and

$$P_k(x_0) = x_0^{|k|} \frac{1}{|k|!} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Perm}(k)} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{\ell_{\sigma(|k|)}}$$

where $(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{|k|})$ is such that

$$\ell_{\sum_{r=1}^{j-1} k_r + 1} = \cdots = \ell_{\sum_{r=1}^j k_r} = j$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, n$. We define

$$e_k := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Perm}(|k|)} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{\ell_{\sigma(|k|)}} \quad (16)$$

(note that here $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ is a multi-index so e_k has not to be confused with the imaginary units e_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$).

Another important tool for what follows in Section 4 is the so called left Cauchy-Kowalewski extension also called CK-extension (see pag. 111 in [25]).

Theorem 2.12 (CK-Extension). *Given an analytic function f in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e*

$$f(\underline{x}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n} \lambda_m$$

where $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}_n$ for any $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique left monogenic function f^* which extends f to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} given by

$$f^*(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \overline{D} \left[\frac{x_0^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \Delta_n^k (f(\underline{x})) \right].$$

Using the CK-extension we can define the so called CK-product which is a product that preserve the left monogenic regularity.

Definition 2.13 (CK-product). *Given $f, g \in \mathcal{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ the CK-product is defined as*

$$f \odot_L g = (f(\underline{x}) \cdot g(\underline{x}))^*.$$

For the properties of this product we refer the reader to [25]. Here we recall the following two facts:

$$P_k(x) = z_{\ell_1} \odot_L \cdots \odot_L z_{\ell_{|k|}} \quad (17)$$

and, if $f(\underline{x}) \cdot g(\underline{x}) = g(\underline{x}) \cdot f(\underline{x})$, then

$$f(x) \odot_L g(x) = g(x) \odot_L f(x).$$

Thus in particular $z_i \odot_L z_k = z_k \odot_L z_i$ for any $i, k = 1, \dots, n$.

2.3. Entire slice hyperholomorphic functions and entire monogenic functions. Entire slice hyperholomorphic functions and entire monogenic functions will play an important role in our considerations.

Definition 2.14. *Let f be an entire slice left monogenic function or an entire left monogenic function. We say that f is of finite order if there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$M_f(r) < e^{r^\kappa}$$

for sufficiently large r . The greatest lower bound ρ of such numbers κ is called order of f . Equivalently, we can define the order as

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \ln M_f(r)}{\ln r}.$$

Lemma 2.15. *The series*

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, m)}{m!} P_m(x)$$

is converging for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and it is a monogenic function that we call g with order 1 where we assume that

$$c(n, m) = \frac{(n + |m| - 1)!}{(n - 1)!m!}.$$

Proof. To prove the first assertion it is sufficient to observe that

$$\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{c(n, m)}{m!} \right)^{\frac{1}{|m|}} = 0.$$

Indeed we have that for any multi-index $m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|m| = k$

$$n^k = \sum_{|m|=k} \frac{k!}{m!} \geq \frac{k!}{m!} \tag{18}$$

which implies $m! \geq \frac{|m|!}{n^{|m|}}$. Thus, by the Stirling's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{c(n, m)}{m!} \right)^{\frac{1}{|m|}} &= \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{(n + |m| - 1)!}{(n - 1)!(m!)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{|m|}} \leq \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{(n + |m| - 1)!}{(n - 1)!(|m|!)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{|m|}} \\ &= \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n^2(n + |m| - 1)^{\frac{n+|m|-1}{|m|}}}{n^{\frac{n}{|m|}} |m|^2} = \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} |m|^{-1 + \frac{n-1}{|m|}} \frac{n^2(1 + \frac{n-1}{|m|})^{\frac{n+|m|-1}{|m|}}}{n^{\frac{n}{|m|}}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1 in [37], to prove the order of $g(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_m(x) a_m$ where $a_m = \frac{c(n, m)}{m!}$ is equal to 1 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|a_m|}{c(n, m)}\right)} = 0.$$

By (18) and Stirling's Formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|a_m|}{c(n, m)}\right)} &= \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{c(n, m)}{m!c(n, m)}\right)} \leq \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log(|m|!) - |m| \log(n)} \\ &= \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{|m| \log(|m|) - |m| \log(n)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

We recall that $A_1^L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, the space of entire left slice hyperholomorphic functions of order 1, or simply A_1^L is the inductive limit of the following family of Banach spaces parametrized by $\mathbb{R} \ni \sigma > 0$:

$$A_{1,\sigma}^L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \{f \in \mathcal{SM}_L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) : \|f\|_{1,\sigma} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |f(x)|e^{-\sigma|x|} < +\infty\}.$$

We denote by the same symbol A_1^L the space of entire left monogenic functions of order 1, that is the inductive limit of the following family of Banach spaces:

$$A_{1,\sigma}^L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \{f \in \mathcal{M}_L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) : \|f\|_{1,\sigma} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |f(x)|e^{-\sigma|x|} < +\infty\}.$$

According to the context, $A_{1,\sigma}$ or A_1 will denote the space of entire monogenic functions or the space of entire slice hyperholomorphic functions.

In this section they will denote both the regularity. It is also possible to define the spaces $A_{1,\sigma}^R(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and A_1^R by requiring that the function f belongs to $\mathcal{SM}_R(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ or to $\mathcal{M}_R(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Along all the paper, we denote by A_1 and $A_{1,\sigma}$ the spaces A_1^L and $A_{1,\sigma}^L$. We say that a sequence $\{f_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A_1$ converges to f in A_1 if there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $f \in A_{1,\sigma}$ and $\{f_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A_{1,\sigma}$, moreover,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|f_N(x) - f(x)\|_{1,\sigma} = 0.$$

We denote by $H(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ both the set of left monogenic functions or slice hyperholomorphic functions equipped by the uniform convergence in the compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We need the following proposition and lemma which are the Cliffordian version of Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 in [32].

Proposition 2.16. *Let $\{f_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in $A_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. The two following assertions are equivalent:*

- *the sequence converges towards 0 in $A_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$;*
- *the sequence converges towards 0 in $H(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and there exists $A_f \geq 0$ and $B_f \geq 0$ such that*

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad |f_N(x)| \leq A_f e^{B_f|x|}.$$

Proof. We assume that the first assertion holds true. This means that there exists $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $N_1 > 0$ such that for any $N > N_1$ we have $\|f_N\|_{1,\sigma_1} < 1$. Let $\sigma := \max\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N_1}, \sigma_1\}$ where $\alpha_j > 0$ is one of the positive constant such that $f_j \in A_{1,\alpha_j}$ for any $j = 1, \dots, N_1$, thus we have $\{f_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A_{1,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. In particular, we have: $|f_N| \leq A e^{\sigma|x|}$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where $A = \sup\{\|f_0\|_{1,\sigma}, \dots, \|f_{N_1}\|_{1,\sigma}, 1\}$. Now, let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we choose an arbitrary small positive constant $\epsilon > 0$ and we define $\delta = \epsilon e^{-\sigma \sup_{x \in K} |x|}$. We know that there exists a positive integer N_δ such that for any $N > N_\delta$ we have $\|f_N\|_{1,\sigma} < \delta$. Thus we have for any $N > N_\delta$

$$\sup_{x \in K} |f_N(x)| < \sup_{x \in K} |f_N(x)| e^{\sigma \sup_{x \in K} |x| - \sigma|x|} \leq e^{\sigma \sup_{x \in K} |x|} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |f_N(x)| e^{-\sigma|x|} \leq e^{\sigma \sup_{x \in K} |x|} \delta = \epsilon.$$

This prove that the sequence $\{f_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges towards 0 in $H(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$.

Now, we assume that the second assertion holds true. Let ϵ be a fixed positive constant and B another positive constant such that $B \geq B_f$. Thus we know that there exists a third positive constant $R_{\epsilon,B}$ such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sup_{|x| \geq R_{\epsilon,B}} |f_N(x)| e^{-B|x|} \leq A_f \sup_{|x| \geq R_{\epsilon,B}} e^{(B_f - B)|x|} \leq A_f e^{(B_f - B)R_{\epsilon,B}} < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, since the ball centered in 0 with radius $R_{\epsilon,B}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} we know that there exists N_ϵ such that for any $N > N_\epsilon$ we have

$$\sup_{|x| \leq R_{\epsilon,B}} |f_N(x)| e^{-B|x|} < \sup_{|x| \leq R_{\epsilon,B}} |f_N(x)| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore $\sup_{N \geq N_\epsilon} \|f_N\|_{\sigma,B} < \epsilon$ and the sequence converges to 0 in $A_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. \square

Here we state an important property for the Taylor coefficients of an entire slice hyperholomorphic or monogenic exponentially increasing function (see Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 4.14 in [27]).

Lemma 2.17. *A function*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k a_k \quad (\text{resp. } f(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_m(x) a_m)$$

belongs to A_1 if and only if there exists positive constants C_f, b_f such that

$$|a_k| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^k}{k!} \quad \left(\text{resp. } |a_m| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^{|m|} c(n, m)}{|m|!} \right),$$

where

$$c(n, \ell) = \frac{n(n+1) \cdots (n+|\ell|-1)}{\ell!} = \frac{(n+|\ell|-1)!}{(n-1)! \ell!}.$$

Furthermore, a sequence f_m in A_1 tends to zero if and only if $C_{f_m} \rightarrow 0$ and $b_{f_m} < b$ for some $b > 0$.

2.4. Some special functions in the monogenic setting. The monogenic exponential function can be defined by means of the C-K extension of the slice hyperholomorphic exponential function restricted to the purely imaginary paravectors:

$$e^{\underline{x}} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (\underline{x})^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n, |m|=k} \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_1} \cdots e_{\ell_k}.$$

Since

$$(z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L k} \Big|_{x_0=0} = \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_1} \cdots e_{\ell_k}$$

and $(z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L k}$ is a monogenic function, we have that, by the Identity Theorem of monogenic functions (see page 180 in [40]), the C-K extension of $e^{\underline{x}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n (z_{\ell_1} e_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (z_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |m|=k} P_m(x) \sum_{\sigma \in \pi'(1, \dots, k)} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{\ell_{\sigma(k)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is due to the distributivity and associativity property of the CK product, the third equality is due to the linearity on the right for the C-K product and the equation (17), moreover, the last sum runs over all distinguishable permutations of all of (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_k) and

$$\ell_{\sum_{r=1}^{i-j} m_r + 1} = \cdots = \ell_{\sum_{r=1}^i m_r} = i$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. We can also say that m_i is the number of i that appear in the ordered set: (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_k) . By the multinomial Newton formula, the number of addends in the sum

$$e'_m := \sum_{\sigma \in \pi'(1, \dots, k)} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{\ell_{\sigma(k)}} \quad (19)$$

is $\frac{k!}{m_1! \cdots m_n!}$.

Proposition 2.18. *The radius of convergence of the series that defines $E(x)$ is $+\infty$ and its order is 1.*

Proof. By (18) and the estimate

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |m|=k} 1 = \binom{n+k-1}{k} \leq (k+1)^{n-1}$$

(see for example [12] pag. 12), we have in particular that:

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |m|=k} P_m(x) e'_m \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n^k}{k!} \sum_{|m|=k} 1 \right) |x|^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n^k (k+1)^{n-1}}{k!} \right) |x|^k$$

and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n^k (k+1)^{n-1}}{k!} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n \left((k+1)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)^{n-1}}{k!^{\frac{1}{k}}} = 0,$$

where we used $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (k!)^{\frac{1}{k}} = +\infty$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (1+k)^{\frac{1}{k}} = 1$. This implies that the radius of convergence of the series that define $E(x)$ is $+\infty$.

Now, we prove that the order of $E(x)$ is 1. Indeed, by Theorem 1 in [37] it is sufficient to prove that

$$\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|a_m|}{c(n,m)}\right)} = 1$$

where $a_m := \frac{e'_m}{|m|!}$ and $\frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|a_m|}{c(n,m)}\right)} := 0$ if $|a_m| = 0$. We observe that

$$\left| \frac{a_m}{c(n,m)} \right| = \left| \frac{m! e'_m}{(n+|m|-1)! |m|!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(n+|m|-1)!}.$$

Thus we have, when $a_m \neq 0$

$$\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|a_m|}{c(n,m)}\right)} = \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log\left(\frac{c(n,m)}{|a_m|}\right)} \leq \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log((n+|m|-1)!)} = 1.$$

On the other hand we have that for the multi-indexes of the type $m = (m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $m_1 + 1$ be an odd number, the number of even permutations with repetitions of m is one bigger than the number of odd permutations with repetitions of m . Thus, for those multi-indexes m , we have

$$|e'_m| = \left| \sum_{\sigma \in \pi'(|m|)} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{\ell_{\sigma(|m|)}} \right| = \left| e_1^{m_1} \cdots e_n^{m_n} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \pi'(|m|), \text{even}} 1 - \sum_{\sigma \in \pi'(|m|), \text{odd}} 1 \right) \right| = 1.$$

We can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|am|}{c(n,m)}\right)} \\
 & \geq \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty, m=(m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ odd}} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{-\log\left(\frac{|am|}{c(n,m)}\right)} \\
 & = \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty, m=(m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ odd}} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log(c(n,m)|m|!)} \\
 & = \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty, m=(m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ odd}} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log\left(\frac{(n+|m|-1)!}{m!}\right)} \\
 & = \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty, m=(m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ odd}} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log((n+|m|-1)! + \log\left(\frac{|m|!}{m!}\right))} \\
 & \stackrel{(18)}{\geq} \limsup_{|m| \rightarrow +\infty, m=(m_1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \text{ odd}} \frac{|m| \log(|m|)}{\log((n+|m|-1)! + |m| \log(n))} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.19. *For any constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{c_k} \sum_{|\mathbf{m}|=k} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} \left(\sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n (\alpha z_{\ell_1} e_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (\alpha z_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_k}) \right) \Big|_{x=0} = \alpha^k$$

for

$$c_k := \begin{cases} (-n)^q & k = 2q \\ (-n)^q (e_1 + \cdots + e_n) & \text{if } k = 2q + 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{|\mathbf{m}|=s} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} \left(\sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n (\alpha z_{\ell_1} e_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (\alpha z_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_k}) \right) \Big|_{x=0} = 0$$

for $s \neq k$. In particular, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c_k} \sum_{|\mathbf{m}|=k} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} (E(\alpha x)) \Big|_{x=0} = \alpha^k.$$

Proof. By the definition of the left C-K product and the C-K extension we have that

$$(\alpha z_{\ell_1} e_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (\alpha z_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_k}) := \alpha^k \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^s \overline{D} \left[\frac{x_0^{2s+1}}{(2s+1)!} \Delta_n^s (x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_k} \cdot e_{\ell_1} \cdots e_{\ell_k}) \right]. \quad (20)$$

Thus if $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and m_i is the number of ℓ_j such that $\ell_j = i$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ then, differentiating by $\partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ both side of the equation (20) and evaluating it at 0, we have

$$\partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} (\alpha z_{\ell_1} e_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (\alpha z_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_k}) \Big|_{x=0} = \alpha^k \mathbf{m}! e_{\ell_1} \cdots e_{\ell_k}$$

and

$$\partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Perm}(k)} \alpha z_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \odot_L \cdots \odot_L \alpha z_{\ell_{\sigma(k)}} e_{\ell_{\sigma(k)}} \right) \Big|_{x=0} = \alpha^k \mathbf{m}! \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Perm}(k)} e_{\ell_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots e_{\ell_{\sigma(k)}}.$$

In conclusion we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\mathbf{m}|=k} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} \left(\sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n (\alpha z_{\ell_1} e_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (\alpha z_{\ell_k} e_{\ell_k}) \right) \Big|_{x=0} = \alpha^k \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n e_{\ell_1} \cdots e_{\ell_k} \\ & = \alpha^k \cdot \begin{cases} (-n)^q & k = 2q \\ (-n)^q (e_1 + \cdots + e_n) & \text{if } k = 2q + 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality can be found in [25] page 117. Moreover, if $k > s$ the number of derivatives exceed the degree of the polynomials thus the differentiation is zero and if $k < s$ when we evaluate at 0 we obtain zero again. Eventually, by the previous formulas we have

$$\frac{1}{c_k} \sum_{|\mathbf{m}|=k} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} (E(\alpha x)) \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{c_k} \sum_{|\mathbf{m}|=k} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}!} \partial_{\underline{x}}^{\mathbf{m}} \left(\sum_{\ell_1=0}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_s=0}^n (\alpha z_{\ell_1}) \odot_L \cdots \odot_L (\alpha z_{\ell_s}) \right) = \alpha^k.$$

□

We recall, as we observed in Section 3, that

$$e^{\underline{x}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} (\underline{x})^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} (\underline{x})^{2k+1} = \cosh(\underline{x}) + \sinh(\underline{x}),$$

thus, reasoning as for the definition of the function $E(x)$, we have that the C-K extensions of $\cosh(\underline{x})$ and $\sinh(\underline{x})$ are given by

$$\text{Cosh}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)!} (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L 2k}$$

and

$$\text{Sinh}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L 2k+1}.$$

We now need some estimates for the CK-extensions.

Proposition 2.20. *The following estimate holds*

$$\left| (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L k} \right| \leq (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)^k. \quad (21)$$

Moreover, let $f, g \in \mathcal{SH}_L(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ we consider $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ the CK-extensions of the restrictions:

$$f(\underline{x}) = f(x) \Big|_{x_0=0} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\underline{x}) = g(x) \Big|_{x_0=0}.$$

Then we have

$$|F(x) \odot_L G(x)| \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{F}(x) \tilde{G}(x). \quad (22)$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)^j |a_j| \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)^j |b_j|.$$

Proof. First we prove (21). We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L k} \right| & \leq \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n |z_{\ell_1} \odot_L \cdots \odot_L z_{\ell_k} (e_{\ell_1} \cdots e_{\ell_k})| \leq \sum_{\ell_1=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\ell_k=1}^n |z_{\ell_1}| \cdots |z_{\ell_k}| \\ & = (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)^k. \end{aligned}$$

We now observe that if $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j a_j$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j b_j$ then, by the uniqueness of the CK extension we obtain

$$F(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot L^j} a_j \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot L^j} b_j.$$

Thus, we get the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) \odot_L G(x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot L^{j+k}} a_j b_k \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| (z_1 e_1 + \cdots + z_n e_n)^{\odot L^{j+k}} a_j b_k \right| \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{\leq} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)^{i+j} |a_j| |b_k| \\ &= 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{F}(x) \tilde{G}(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.21. *The previous proposition can be applied when the functions f and g are $\sinh(x)$, $\cosh(x)$ or e^x . These are particular functions with positive real Taylor coefficients thus in this case we get*

$$|F(x) \odot_L G(x)| \leq \tilde{F}(x) \tilde{G}(x).$$

By the properties of the left slice hyperholomorphic exponential function:

$$e^{\underline{x}} e^{\underline{y}} = e^{\underline{x} + \underline{y}} = e^{\underline{y}} e^{\underline{x}} \quad \text{end} \quad \cosh(\underline{x}) = \frac{e^{\underline{x}} + e^{-\underline{x}}}{2}, \quad \sinh(\underline{x}) = \frac{e^{\underline{x}} - e^{-\underline{x}}}{2}$$

if $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ commute, thus we have by the first equalities

$$E(ax) \odot_L E(bx) = E((a+b)x) = E(bx) \odot_L E(ax)$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Another Lemma (see Lemma 3.13 in [26]) which will be useful in Section 4 is the following one:

Lemma 2.22. *Let*

$$g_1(x) = \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} P_m(x) a_m \in A_{1, \tau_1} \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(x) = \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} P_m(x) b_m \in A_{1, \tau_2}.$$

Let δ be a positive constant then for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $C(n, \eta, \tau_1, \tau_2) > 0$ such that

$$\|g_1 \odot_L g_2\|_{A_{\rho, (1+\eta)(n+\delta+1)(\tau_1+\tau_2)}} \leq C(n, \eta, \tau_1, \tau_2) \|g_1\|_{\rho, \tau_1} \|g_2\|_{\rho, \tau_2}.$$

3. SUPEROSCILLATIONS IN THE SLICE HYPERHOLOMORPHIC SETTING

3.1. One Clifford variable in the slice hyperholomorphic setting. In this section we investigate superoscillations in the slice hyperholomorphic setting for functions with values in the Clifford algebras. We define, for all $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\underline{x} \neq 0$, $\omega(\underline{x}) := \frac{\underline{x}}{|\underline{x}|}$. We consider the function

$$F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a) := \left(\cos \left(\frac{|\underline{x}|}{N} \right) + \omega(\underline{x}) a \sin \left(\frac{|\underline{x}|}{N} \right) \right)^N,$$

where a is a real constant. Note that when $\underline{x} \rightarrow 0$ we have: $|\omega(\underline{x})|a \sin\left(\frac{\underline{x}}{N}\right) \rightarrow 0$, thus to preserve the continuity and the differentiability we define $F_N(\underline{0}, a) := 1$. Recalling that

$$\cos\left(\frac{|\underline{x}|}{N}\right) = \frac{e^{\omega(\underline{x})|\underline{x}|/N} + e^{-\omega(\underline{x})|\underline{x}|/N}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(\underline{x}) \sin\left(\frac{|\underline{x}|}{N}\right) = \frac{e^{\omega(\underline{x})|\underline{x}|/N} - e^{-\omega(\underline{x})|\underline{x}|/N}}{2}$$

and developing the N th-power of the binomial in the sum of F_N , we have

$$F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N C_j(N, a) e^{(1-2j/N)\underline{x}} \quad (23)$$

where

$$C_j(N, a) = \binom{N}{j} \left(\frac{1+a}{2}\right)^{N-j} \left(\frac{1-a}{2}\right)^j. \quad (24)$$

If we fix $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we let go N to infinity we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a) = e^{a\underline{x}},$$

this can be achieved as for the complex case because when $N \rightarrow \infty$ then $\frac{\underline{x}}{N} \rightarrow 0$ in the fixed complex slice $\mathbb{C}_{\omega(\underline{x})}$. This simple observation is significant because we now have a sequence of Clifford valued functions whose frequencies $(1 - 2j/N)$ are bounded by one, and yet their limit has the arbitrarily large frequency a . This explains why the sequence $\{F_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ is called superoscillatory looking at representation (23). We observe that the functions $F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a)$ admit a simple extension to an entire slice hyperholomorphic function (note that the coefficients $C_j(N, a)$ are real) that we denote by the same symbol

$$F_N(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N C_j(N, a) e^{(1-2j/N)x} = \left(\cosh\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \sinh\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right)^N, \quad (25)$$

where $e^x := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}$, $\cosh(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!}$ and $\sinh(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$. We prove that the sequence $\{F_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ is converging in A_1 to e^{ax} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha := \max(1, |a|)$. Then the sequence $\{F_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, defined in (25), is converging in A_1 to e^{ax} . In particular the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} |F_N(x, a)| &\leq \exp(|a||x| + |x_0|) \leq \exp((|a| + 1)|x|) \\ |F_N(x, a) - e^{ax}| &\leq \frac{2|a^2 - 1|}{3} \frac{|x|^2}{N} \exp((\alpha + 1)|x|). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.16, to prove the first part of the Lemma it is sufficient to prove the two inequalities in (26). We prove the inequalities in (26) along each slice. Thus, we fix $J \in \mathbb{S}$ and we consider the slice \mathbb{C}_J . If $z \in \mathbb{C}_J$ then we can write $F_N(z, a) = \left(\cosh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) + a \sinh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) \right)^N$. We observe that $\operatorname{sinhc}(z) := \frac{\sinh(z)}{z} = \int_0^1 \cosh(tz) dt$ and, by the Euler formula, we have the estimate $|\operatorname{sinhc}(z)| \leq e^{|\operatorname{Re}(z)|}$. Thus, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $z \in \mathbb{C}_J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_N(z, a)| &= \left| \cosh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) + a \sinh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) \right|^N \\ &= \left| \cosh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) + \frac{z}{N} a \operatorname{sinhc}\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) \right|^N \\ &\leq e^{|\operatorname{Re}(z)|} \left(1 + \frac{|az|}{N} \right)^N \leq \exp(|a||z| + |\operatorname{Re}(z)|) \leq \exp((|a| + 1)|z|), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which is the first chain of inequalities in (26). For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \cosh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) - \cosh\left(a\frac{z}{N}\right) \right| &\leq 2 \left| \sinh\left(\frac{(a-1)z}{2N}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{(a+1)z}{2N}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{2N^2} |z|^2 \exp\left(\frac{|a-1|+|a+1|}{2N} |z|\right) \leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{2N^2} |z|^2 \exp\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{N} |z|\right) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| a \sinh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) - \sinh\left(\frac{az}{N}\right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} (a - a^{2k+1}) \left(\frac{z}{N}\right)^{2k+1} \right| \\ &= \frac{|a^2-1|}{N^2} |z|^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} a^{2\ell+1} \right) \left(\frac{z}{N}\right)^{2k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{2N^2} |z|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!(2k+1)} \left(\frac{|z|}{N}\right)^{2k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{6N^2} |z|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \left(\frac{\alpha|z|}{N}\right)^{2k-1} \leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{6N^2} |z|^2 \exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{N} |z|\right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

It follows from the identity $A^N - B^N = (A - B) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A^j B^{N-1-j}$, together with estimates (27), (28) and (29), that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_J$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_N(z, a) - e^{az}| &= \left| \left(F_N^{\frac{1}{N}}(z, a) \right)^N - \left(e^{\frac{az}{N}} \right)^N \right| \\ &= \left| \cosh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) - \cosh\left(a\frac{z}{N}\right) + \left(a \sinh\left(\frac{z}{N}\right) - \sinh\left(a\frac{z}{N}\right) \right) \right| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |F_N(z, a)|^{k/N} \left| \exp\left(az \frac{N-1-k}{N} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{|a^2-1|}{N^2} |z|^2 \exp\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{N} |z|\right) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(k \left(\frac{|a|+1}{N} \right) |z| + \frac{N-1-k}{N} |a||z| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{|a^2-1|}{N} |z|^2 \exp((\alpha+1)|z|). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The second inequality in (26) is proved. \square

As we have mentioned above, the notion of superoscillation can be extended to more general functions than the exponential function. In this case, we have the notion of supershift, which includes superoscillations as a particular case.

Definition 3.2. Let $|a| > 1$ and $h_j(N) \in [-1, 1]$, $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $G(\lambda)$ be an entire left slice hyperholomorphic function. We say that the sequence

$$F_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(xh_j(N)),$$

where $\{Z_j(N, a)\}_{j, N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_n$, $j = 0, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, admits the supershift property if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = G(xa),$$

uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

We now show how we can form infinite-order differential operators in this setting, starting from an entire function. We will then use this result to demonstrate the supershift property in the slice hyperholomorphic setting.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be entire left slice hyperholomorphic function whose series expansion at zero is given by*

$$G(\lambda) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \lambda^s G_s.$$

then the operator

$$\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})f(y) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\partial_{y_0}^s f(y))(x)^s G_s$$

is a family of bounded operators from A_1 to A_1 depending on the parameters x . If x belongs to a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the family is uniformly continuous with respect to $x \in K$.

Proof. Let $f \in A_1$. We consider its Taylor series $f(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} x^\ell a_\ell$. By Lemma 2.17, we have that there exist positive constants C_f and b_f such that

$$|a_\ell| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

Moreover, let $\{f_m\}$ be a sequence in A_1 . If $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 then we can choose C_{f_m} and b_{f_m} so that $C_{f_m} \rightarrow 0$ and b_{f_m} is bounded. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})(f(y))| &= \left| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\partial_{y_0}^s f(y))(x)^s G_s \right| \\ &\leq 2^{n/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=s}^{\infty} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-s)!} |a_\ell| |y|^{\ell-s} |x|^s |G_s| \\ &= 2^{n/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+s)!}{\ell!} |a_{\ell+s}| |y|^\ell |x|^s |G_s| \\ &\leq 2^{n/2} C_f \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+s)!}{(\ell+s)! \ell!} b_f^{\ell+s} |y|^\ell |x|^s |G_s| \\ &= 2^{n/2} C_f \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^\ell |y|^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |b_f x|^s |G_s| \end{aligned}$$

which implies $(\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})f_m(y)) \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 since the last series is convergent due to the function G being entire. Thus the uniform continuity with respect to $x \in K$ of the family of operators $\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})$ is proved by the estimates

$$|\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})(f(y))| \leq 2^{n/2} C_f e^{b_f |y|} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |b_f x|^s |G_s|.$$

□

We now start from a superoscillatory function and associate a suitable function to it. We will show that for such a function, the supershift property holds by using the infinite-order differential operators obtained in the previous result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Z_j(n, a) \in \mathbb{R}_n$ be coefficients such that the sequence*

$$f_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)x} Z_j(N, a), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

converges to e^{ax} in the space $A_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Let moreover G be an entire left slice hyperholomorphic function. The sequence of functions

$$F_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a)G(h_j(N)x)$$

converges to $G(xa)$ uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. Let $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \lambda^s G_s$ be the Taylor series of G . First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x, a) &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a)G(xh_j(N)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (xh_j(N))^s G_s \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (h_j(N))^s Z_j(N, a)(x)^s G_s \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \partial_{y_0}^s \left(e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} (x)^s G_s. \end{aligned}$$

We define the operator

$$\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})f(y) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\partial_{y_0}^s f(y))(x)^s g_s$$

and, by Theorem 3.3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a)G(xh_j(N)) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})(e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a)) \Big|_{y=0} \\ &= \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0}) \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} = \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})(e^{ay}) \Big|_{y=0} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a^s ((x)^s g_s) = G(xa), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on $x \in K$ for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. □

3.2. Several variables in the slice hyperholomorphic setting. By several variables in the slice hyperholomorphic setting, we mean that we encode in the paravector several functions that correspond to different frequencies. We will investigate what happens with this natural modification of the paravector.

Definition 3.5 (Superoscillating sequence in the slice hyperholomorphic setting). *A sequence of the type*

$$F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{e_0 x_0 h_{j,0}(N) + e_1 x_1 h_{j,1}(N) + \dots + e_n x_n h_{j,n}(N)},$$

where $\{Z_j(N, a)\}_{j,N}$, for $j = 0, \dots, N$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, is a Cliffordian-valued sequence, is said to be a superoscillating sequence if

$$\sup_{j=0, \dots, N} |h_{j,\ell}(N)| \leq 1, \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, \dots, n,$$

and $F_n(x_0, \dots, x_n, a)$ converges uniformly on x in any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to

$$e^{e_0 x_0 g_0(a) + e_1 x_1 g_1(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a)},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, g_ℓ 's are continuous functions of a real variable whose domain is \mathbb{R} and $|g_\ell(a)| > 1$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, d$.

Remark 3.6. We can study a special case of Definition 3.5 where $g_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$ are real analytic functions such that $|g_k(a)| \leq 1$ if $|a| \leq 1$, their Taylor series are:

$$g_k(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lambda^\ell g_{k,\ell}, \quad h_{j,k}(N) = g_k(h_j(N))$$

with $h_j(N) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|h_j(N)| \leq 1$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $j = 0, \dots, N$. We say that

$$F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{e_0 x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N))}, \quad (31)$$

is a superoscillating sequence if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) = e^{e_0 x_0 g_0(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a)}$$

uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and $|g_k(a)| > |g_k(h_j(N))|$ for any $k = 0, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, \dots, N$. Moreover, we observe that using the Taylor series of g_k 's we can rewrite $F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a)$ in the following way

$$F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^n e_k x_k g_{k,\ell}) (h_j(N))^\ell}.$$

We also define

$$u_\ell(x_0, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{k=0}^n e_k x_k g_{k,\ell}.$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $\{u_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} such that

$$\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} |u_\ell|^{1/\ell} = 0,$$

then the operator

$$\mathcal{U}(\partial_{x_0})(f(x)) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \partial_{x_0}^s (f(x)) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t_1 + \dots + t_k = s} u_{t_1} \cdots u_{t_k}$$

is a continuous operator from A_1 to A_1 .

Proof. Let $f \in A_1$. We consider its Taylor series: $f(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} x^\ell a_\ell$. In particular, by Lemma 2.13 in [27] we have that there exist constants C_f and b_f such that

$$|a_\ell| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

Moreover, if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 then $C_{f_m} \rightarrow 0$ and b_{f_m} is bounded. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{U}(\partial_{x_0})(f(x))| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\partial_{x_0}^s f(x)) \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} u_{t_1} \cdots u_{t_k} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=s}^{\infty} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-s)!} |a_{\ell}| |x|^{\ell-s} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} |u_{t_1}| \cdots |u_{t_k}| \right) \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+s)!}{\ell!} |a_{\ell+s}| |x|^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} |u_{t_1}| \cdots |u_{t_k}| \right) \\
 &\leq C_f \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+s)!}{(\ell+s)! \ell!} b_f^{\ell+s} |x|^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} |u_{t_1}| \cdots |u_{t_k}| \right) \\
 &= C_f \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^{\ell} |x|^{\ell}}{\ell!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} b_f^{t_1} |u_{t_1}| \cdots b_f^{t_k} |u_{t_k}| \\
 &= C_f e^{b_f |x|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} b_f^t |u_t| \right)^k \\
 &= C_f e^{b_f |x|} e^{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} b_f^t |u_t|}
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by the Weierstrass criterion, that if $f \in A_1$ then $\mathcal{U}(\partial_{x_0})(f(x))$ is a series of slice hyperholomorphic functions converging with respect the sup-norm on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to a slice hyperholomorphic function. Moreover, the same estimate implies that if $\{f_m\}$ is a sequence in A_1 converging to zero then $\mathcal{U}(\partial_{x_0})(f_m(x)) \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 . \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\{u_{\ell} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of continuous functions such that*

$$\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in K} |u_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})| \right)^{1/\ell} = 0 \quad \text{for any compact subsets } K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$f_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)x} Z_j(N, a), \tag{32}$$

be a sequence of function such that $|h_j(N)| \leq 1$ and it converges to e^{ax} in A_1 . Let also

$$F_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) h_j(N)^{\ell}}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) a^{\ell}},$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} .

Proof. We want to prove that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) h_j(N)^{\ell}} = e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) a^{\ell}},$$

uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . We fix a compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . We observe that for any $(x, a) \in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) h_j(N)^{\ell}} &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) h_j(N)^{\ell})^k}{k!} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} u_{t_1}(x) \cdots u_{t_k}(x) \right) h_j(N)^s \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} h_j(N)^s Z_j(N, a) \left(\sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} u_{t_1}(x) \cdots u_{t_k}(x) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \partial_{y_0}^s \left(e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} \left(\sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} u_{t_1}(x) \cdots u_{t_k}(x) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We define the operator

$$\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{y_0}) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (\partial_{y_0}^s) \left(\sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} u_{t_1}(x) \cdots u_{t_k}(x) \right)$$

and, since by Theorem 3.7 the operator $\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{y_0})$ is a uniformly bounded operator from A_1 to A_1 with respect to $(x, a) \in K$ because of the estimate:

$$|\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{y_0})(f(y))| \leq C_f e^{b_f|y|} e^{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} b_f|u_t(x)|},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) h_j(N)^{\ell}} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{y_0})(e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a)) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{y_0}) \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{y_0})(e^{ay}) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a^s \left(\sum_{t_1+\dots+t_k=s} u_{t_1}(x) \cdots u_{t_k}(x) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) a^{\ell} \right)^k \\
&= e^{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) a^{\ell}}
\end{aligned}$$

where the convergence of the last series to the exponential function is uniform on the compact subset K . \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $g_k(a) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} g_{k,\ell} a^{\ell}$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$ be real analytic functions of real variable such that $|g_k(a)| > 1$ for $|a| > 1$ and $|g_k(a)| \leq 1$ for $|a| \leq 1$, if we suppose that the sequence*

$$f_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)x} Z_j(N, a)$$

converges to e^{ax} in A_1 , then the sequence

$$F_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) e^{e_0 x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + e_1 x_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N))}$$

converges uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} to $e^{e_0 x_0 g_0(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a)}$ and thus it is a super-oscillating sequence according to Definition 3.5.

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of the Theorem 3.8 since the functions g_k are entire functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |u_\ell(x)|^{1/\ell} &\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^{1/\ell} |g_{k,\ell}|^{1/\ell} \\ &\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^{1/\ell} \right) \sum_{k=0}^n |g_{k,\ell}|^{1/\ell} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. □

Definition 3.10 (Supershifts in the slice hyperholomorphic setting). *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\{h_{j,\ell}(N)\}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be real-valued sequences for $\ell = 0, \dots, n$ such that*

$$\sup_{j=0, \dots, N, N \in \mathbb{N}} |h_{j,\ell}(N)| \leq 1, \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, \dots, n.$$

Let $G(\lambda)$, be an entire left slice hyperholomorphic function. We say that the sequence

$$F_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(x_0 h_{j,0}(N) + e_1 x_1 h_{j,1}(N) + \dots + e_n x_n h_{j,n}(N)), \quad (33)$$

where $\{Z_j(N, a)\}_{j,N}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Clifford-valued sequence, admits the supershift property if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = G(x_0 g_0(a) + e_1 x_1 g_1(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a)),$$

where g_0, \dots, g_n are continuous functions such that $|g_j(a)| > 1$, uniformly on x in any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let G be an entire left slice hyperholomorphic functions whose series expansions at zero is given by*

$$G(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m G_m.$$

and g_0, \dots, g_n be real analytic on \mathbb{R} and real valued functions then the operators

$$\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0}) f(y) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \partial_{y_0}^t (f(y)) \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \dots u_{\ell_s}(x) \right) G_s$$

where $u_\ell(x) := x_0 g_{0,\ell} + e_1 x_1 g_{1,\ell} + \dots + e_n x_n g_{n,\ell}$ and $g_{j,\ell}$ are the Taylor coefficients of G_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, form a family of bounded operators from A_1 to A_1 depending on the parameters x . If x belongs to a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the family is uniformly bounded with respect to $x \in K$.

Proof. Let $f \in A_1$. We consider its Taylor series: $f(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} x^\ell a_\ell$. In particular, by Lemma 2.17 we have that there exist constants C_f and b_f such that

$$|a_\ell| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

Moreover, if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 then we can choose C_{f_m} and b_{f_m} so that $C_{f_m} \rightarrow 0$ and b_{f_m} is bounded. Since the functions g_j 's are real analytic in all the real line and the function G is entire slice hyperholomorphic, for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |u_\ell(x)|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} &\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \left(|x_0 g_0, \ell|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} + |x_1 e_1 g_1, \ell|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} + \cdots + |x_n e_n g_n, \ell|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{x \in K} |x|)^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \left(|g_0, \ell|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} + |e_1 g_1, \ell|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} + \cdots + |e_n g_n, \ell|^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} |G_s|^{\frac{1}{s}} = 0. \quad (35)$$

We observe that we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})(f(y))| &= \left| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \partial_{y_0}^t (f(y)) \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) \right) G_s \right| \\ &\leq 2^{n/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=t}^{\infty} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-t)!} |a_\ell| |y|^{\ell-t} \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} |u_{\ell_1}(x)| \cdots |u_{\ell_s}(x)| \right) |G_s| \\ &= 2^{n/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+t)!}{\ell!} |a_{\ell+t}| |y|^\ell \left(\sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} |u_{\ell_1}(x)| \cdots |u_{\ell_s}(x)| \right) \right) |G_s| \\ &\leq 2^{n/2} C_f \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell+t)!}{(\ell+t)! \ell!} b_f^{\ell+t} |y|^\ell \left(\sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} |u_{\ell_1}(x)| \cdots |u_{\ell_s}(x)| \right) \right) |G_s| \\ &= 2^{n/2} C_f \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^\ell |y|^\ell}{\ell!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} |(b_f)^{\ell_1} u_{\ell_1}(x)| \cdots |(b_f)^{\ell_n} u_{\ell_n}(x)| \right) |G_s| \\ &= 2^{n/2} C_f e^{b_f |y|} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |b_f|^\ell |u_\ell(x)| \right)^s |G_s| \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

by (34) and (35), we can conclude that all the series in the last term are convergent and, moreover, $(\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})f_m(y)) \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 . In particular, if we fix a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, by the estimate (36), we can also deduce that the family of continuous operators $\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})$ parametrized by $x \in K$ is indeed uniformly continuous. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and let*

$$f_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)x} Z_j(N, a), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

be a sequence of slice hyperholomorphic functions converging to e^{ax} in the space A_1 . Let G be an entire left slice hyperholomorphic functions. Let g_0, \dots, g_n be real analytic functions on \mathbb{R} . We define

$$F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + e_1 x_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \cdots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N))).$$

If $|g_j(a)| > 1$ for $|a| > 1$ and $|g_j(a)| \leq 1$ for $|a| \leq 1$ then $F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a)$ admits the supershift property that is

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = G(x_0 g_0(a) + e_1 x_1 g_1(a) + \cdots + e_n x_n g_n(a)),$$

uniformly on any compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. The Taylor series of G is given by

$$G(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m G_m.$$

First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x, a) &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + e_1 x_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \cdots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N))) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + e_1 x_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \cdots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N)))^s G_s \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Z_j(N, a) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (x_0 g_{0,\ell} + e_1 x_1 g_{1,\ell} + \cdots + e_n x_n g_{n,\ell}) h_j(N)^\ell \right)^s G_s \end{aligned}$$

We define $u_\ell(x) := x_0 g_{0,\ell} + e_1 x_1 g_{1,\ell} + \cdots + e_n x_n g_{n,\ell}$ and we observe that

$$\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_\ell(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right)^s = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) (h_j(N))^t.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x, a) &= \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Z_j(N, a) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) (h_j(N))^t \right) G_s \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^N (h_j(N))^t Z_j(N, a) \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) \right) G_s \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \partial_{y_0}^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) \right) G_s. \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator

$$\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0}) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \partial_{y_0}^t (\cdot) \sum_{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) \right) G_s$$

is bounded from A_1 to A_1 , by Theorem 3.11 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + e_1 x_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \cdots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N))) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0})(e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a)) \Big|_{y=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0}) \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)y} Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} = \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{y_0}) (e^{ay}) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} a^t \sum_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_s = t} u_{\ell_1}(x) \cdots u_{\ell_s}(x) \right) G_s \\
&= G(x_0 g_0(a) + e_1 x_1 g_1(a) + \cdots + e_n x_n g_n(a)),
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . \square

4. MONOGENIC SETTING

We now consider the monogenic setting, where we start with the prototype of a superoscillating sequence in one Clifford variable. Then we examine the supershift in one Clifford variable and conclude with the case of several Clifford variables.

4.1. The prototype of a superoscillating sequence in one Clifford variable. The prototype of a superoscillating sequence is given by

$$F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a) := (\cosh(\underline{x}/N) + a \sinh(\underline{x}/N))^N = \sum_{j=0}^N C_j(N, a) e^{(1-(2j)/N)\underline{x}}.$$

Observe that, as for the slice hyperholomorphic case, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a) = e^{a\underline{x}}.$$

In a similar way, as we did for the slice hyperholomorphic case, we can extend $F_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a)$ to an entire monogenic function in the following way

$$F_N(x, a) := (\text{Cosh}(x/N) + a \text{Sinh}(x/N))^{\odot LN} = \sum_{j=0}^N C_j(N, a) E((1 - (2j)/N)x). \quad (37)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha := \max(1, |a|)$ and, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let $F_N(x, a)$ be defined as in (37). For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_N(x, a)| &\leq \exp((\alpha + 1)n|x|) \\
F_N(x, a) &\rightarrow E(xa) \text{ in } A_1 \text{ when } N \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\text{sinhc}(|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|) := \frac{\sinh(|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)}{|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|} = \int_0^1 \cosh(t(|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)) dt$$

and, we have the estimate $|\text{sinhc}(|z_1| + \cdots + |z_n|)| \leq e^{n|x|}$. Thus, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we have by (22)

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_N(x, a)| &= \left| \left(\text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right)^{\odot LN} \right| \\
&\leq \left(\cosh\left(\frac{|z'_1| + \cdots + |z'_n|}{N}\right) - \frac{|z'_1| + \cdots + |z'_n|}{N} a \text{sinhc}\left(\frac{|z'_1| + \cdots + |z'_n|}{N}\right) \right)^N \\
&\leq e^{n|x|} \left(1 + \frac{|a|(|z'_1| + \cdots + |z'_n|)}{N} \right)^N \leq \exp((|a| + 1)n|x|),
\end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which is the first inequality in (38). Note that, for any positive real values $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ we have, by the uniqueness of the CK-extension and since the next equality easily holds true for x replaced by \underline{x} :

$$\text{Cosh}(ax) + \text{Cosh}(bx) = 2 \text{Sinh} \left((a-b) \frac{x}{2} \right) \odot_L \text{Sinh} \left((a+b) \frac{x}{2} \right).$$

Thus for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{Cosh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) - \text{Cosh} \left(a \frac{x}{N} \right) \right| = 2 \left| \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{(a-1)x}{2N} \right) \odot_L \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{(a+1)x}{2N} \right) \right| \\ &= 2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a-1)^{2k+1} (a+1)^{2j+1} (z_1 e_1 + \dots + z_n e_n)^{\odot_L 2(j+k)+2}}{(2j+1)! (2k+1)! (2N)^{2j+2k+2}} \right| \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{\leq} 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a-1|^{2k+1} |a+1|^{2j+1} (|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|)^{2(j+k)+2}}{(2j+1)! (2k+1)! (2N)^{2j+2k+2}} \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{2N^2} (|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|)^2 \exp \left(\frac{|a-1| + |a+1|}{2N} (|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|) \right) \\ &\leq n^2 \frac{|a^2-1|}{2N^2} |x|^2 \exp \left(n \frac{\alpha+1}{N} |x| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) - \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{ax}{N} \right) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a-a^{2k+1})}{(2k+1)!} \left(\frac{z_1 e_1 + \dots + z_n e_n}{N} \right)^{\odot_L 2k+1} \right| \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{\leq} \frac{|a^2-1|}{N^2} (|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|)^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} a^{2\ell+1} \right) \left(\frac{|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|}{N} \right)^{2k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{2N^2} (|z'_1| + \dots + |z'_n|)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)! (2k+1)} \left(\frac{|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|}{N} \right)^{2k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{6N^2} (|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)!} \left(\frac{\alpha(|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|)}{N} \right)^{2k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{6N^2} n^2 |x|^2 \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{N} (|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|) \right) \leq \frac{|a^2-1|}{6N^2} n^2 |x|^2 \exp \left(n \frac{\alpha}{N} |x| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

From the identity:

$$(f(x))^{\odot_L N} - (g(x))^{\odot_L N} = (f(x) - g(x)) \odot_L \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (f(x))^{\odot_L k} (g(x))^{\odot_L N-1-k}$$

when $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are entire monogenic functions that commute we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x, a) - E(ax) &= \left(\text{Cosh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) + a \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \right)^{\odot_L N} - \left(E \left(a \frac{x}{N} \right) \right)^{\odot_L N} \\ &= \left(\text{Cosh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) - \text{Cosh} \left(a \frac{x}{N} \right) + a \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{Sinh} \left(a \frac{x}{N} \right) \right) \odot_L \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\text{Cosh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) + a \text{Sinh} \left(\frac{x}{N} \right) \right)^{\odot_L k} \odot_L E \left(ax \frac{N-1-k}{N} \right) \end{aligned}$$

. Moreover, by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x, a) \odot_L E(ax) &= E(ax) \odot_L F_N(x, a), \\ \text{Sinh}(x) \odot_L E(ax) &= E(ax) \odot_L \text{Sinh}(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Cosh}(x) \odot_L E(ax) = E(ax) \odot_L \text{Cosh}(x)$$

(that is true because of the uniqueness of the CK-extension and the fact that $F_N(\underline{x}, a)$, $e^{a\underline{x}}$, $\text{Sinh}(x)$ and $\text{Cosh}(x)$ commute with each other), and Lemma 2.22 that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta > 0$, $\delta > 0$, there exists $C(n, \delta, \eta) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F_N(x, a) - E(xa)\|_{1, n(1+\eta)(n+\delta+1)(2n(\alpha+1)+1)} \leq C(n, \delta, \eta) \left\| \left(\text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Cosh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Sinh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) \right) \odot_L \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F_N(z, a)^{\odot_L k/N} \odot_L E\left(ax\frac{N-1-k}{N}\right) \right\|_{1, (1+\eta)(n+\delta+1)(2n(\alpha+1)+1)} \\ & \leq C(n, \delta, \eta) \left\| \text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Cosh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Sinh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) \right\|_{1,1} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right)^{\odot_L k} \odot_L E\left(ax\frac{N-1-k}{N}\right) \right\|_{1, 2n(\alpha+1)} \quad (42) \\ & \leq C(n, \delta, \eta) \left\| \text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Cosh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Sinh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) \right\|_{1,1} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\left\| \left(\text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) \right)^{\odot_L k} \odot_L E\left(ax\frac{N-1-k}{N}\right) \right\| \exp(-2n(\alpha+1)|x|) \right) \\ & \stackrel{(22)}{\leq} C(n, \delta, \eta) \left\| \text{Cosh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Cosh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) + a \text{Sinh}\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) - \text{Sinh}\left(a\frac{x}{N}\right) \right\|_{1,1} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\left(\cosh\left(\frac{|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|}{N}\right) + a \sinh\left(\frac{|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|}{N}\right) \right)^k \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \exp\left(\left(a(|z_1| + \dots + |z_n|)\frac{N-1-k}{N}\right)\right) \exp(-2n(\alpha+1)|x|) \right) \\ & \stackrel{(39), (40), (41)}{\leq} C(n, \delta, \eta) \frac{2}{3} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\frac{n|\alpha^2-1|}{N^2} |x|^2 \exp\left(n\frac{\alpha+1}{N}|x| - |x|\right) \right) \\ & \quad \times \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(kn\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{N}\right)|x| + an\frac{N-1-k}{N}|x| - 2n(\alpha+1)|x|\right) \right) \\ & \leq C(n, \delta, \eta) \frac{2}{3} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\frac{n|\alpha^2-1|}{N} |x|^2 \exp\left(n\frac{\alpha+1}{N}|x| - |x|\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate is due to the fact that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(kn\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{N}\right)|x| + an\frac{N-1-k}{N}|x| - 2n(\alpha+1)|x|\right) \right) \leq N$$

for N big enough. The estimate (42) implies that $F_N(x, a) \rightarrow E(xa)$ in A_1 when $N \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

4.2. The supershift in one Clifford variable. Similarly, as we did in the slice hyperholomorphic setting, we now study the monogenic case using what we have proved above.

Definition 4.2. Let $|a| > 1$. Let $\{h_j(N)\}_{j \leq N, N \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a real-valued sequence such that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, N \in \mathbb{N}} |h_j(N)| \leq 1.$$

Let $G(\lambda)$, be an entire left monogenic function. We say that the sequence

$$F_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(xh_j(N)),$$

where $\{Z_j(N, a)\}_{j, N}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Clifford-valued sequence, admits the supershift property if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = G(xa),$$

uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be an entire left monogenic function whose series expansion at zero is given by*

$$G(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(x)G_m.$$

then the operators

$$\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})f(y) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{|t|=s} \frac{1}{t!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^t (f(y)) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_n^{i_n} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} G_m$$

is a family of continuous operators from A_1 to A_1 depending on the parameters x where

$$\partial_{\underline{y}}^m = \partial_{y_1}^{m_1} \cdots \partial_{y_n}^{m_n},$$

$c_s = (-n)^s$ if s is even or $c_s = (-n)^s(e_1 + \cdots + e_n)$ if s is odd. If x belongs to a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the family is uniformly continuous with respect to $x \in K$.

Proof. Let $f \in A_1$. We consider its Taylor series:

$$f(q) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_{\ell}(x) a_{\ell}.$$

In particular, by Lemma 2.17 we have that there exist positive constants C_f and b_f such that

$$|a_{\ell}| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^{|\ell|} c(n, \ell)}{|\ell|!}.$$

Moreover, if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 then $C_{f_m} \rightarrow 0$ and b_{f_m} is bounded. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(f(y)) \right| &= \left| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{|t|=s} \frac{1}{t!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^t (f(y)) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_n^{i_n} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} G_m \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{|t|=s} \frac{1}{t!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^t \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_{\ell}(y) a_{\ell} \right) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_n^{i_n} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} G_m \right| \\ &\leq 2^{n/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \sum_{|t|=s} \frac{1}{t!} \left(\sum_{\ell \geq t} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-t)!} |P_{\ell-t}(y)| |a_{\ell}| \right) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} |x_0^{|i|} |x_1|^{j_1} \cdots |x_n|^{j_n} |G_m| \quad (43) \\ &= 2^{n/2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \sum_{|t|=s} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{1}{t!} \frac{(\ell+t)!}{\ell!} |P_{\ell}(y)| |a_{\ell+t}| \right) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} |x_0^{|i|} |x_1|^{j_1} \cdots |x_n|^{j_n} |G_m| \\ &\leq 2^{n/2} C_f \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \sum_{|t|=s} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{(\ell+t)!}{\ell!} |P_{\ell}(y)| \frac{c(n, \ell+t) b_f^{|\ell+t|}}{|\ell+t|!} \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} |x_0^{|i|} |x_1|^{j_1} \cdots |x_n|^{j_n} |G_m|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the estimate

$$c(n, \ell+t) \leq (n-1)! 2^{2n+|\ell|+|t|-2} c(n, \ell) c(n, t).$$

Indeed, by the estimate

$$(j+k)! \leq 2^{|j|+|k|} j! k!$$

for $j = n + |\ell| - 1$ and $k = n + |t| - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(n, \ell + t) &= \frac{(n + |\ell| + |t| - 1)!}{(n - 1)!(\ell + t)!} \leq (n - 1)! 2^{2n + |\ell| + |t| - 2} \frac{(n + |\ell| - 1)!}{(n - 1)! \ell!} \frac{(n + |t| - 1)!}{(n - 1)! t!} \\ &\leq (n - 1)! 2^{2n + |\ell| + |t| - 2} c(n, \ell) c(n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this estimate in (43) and observing that $\frac{(\ell+t)!}{|\ell+t|!} \leq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(f(y)) \right| &\leq C_f (n - 1)! 2^{2n-2} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, \ell) (2b_f)^{|\ell|}}{\ell!} |P_\ell(y)| \right) \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \left(\sum_{|t|=s} \frac{c(n, t)}{t!} \right) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} |x_0^i| \frac{|e_i|}{|i|!} |x_1|^{j_1} \dots |x_n|^{j_n} c(n, m) (2b_f)^s |G_m| \\ &\leq C_f (n - 1)! 2^{2n-2} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, \ell) (2b_f)^{|\ell|}}{\ell!} |P_\ell(y)| \right) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \left(\sum_{|t|=s} \frac{c(n, t)}{t!} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} |x_0^i| \frac{|e_i|}{|i|!} |x_1|^{j_1} \dots |x_n|^{j_n} c(n, m) (2b_f)^s |G_m|. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Now we observe that $|P_\ell(y)| \leq P_\ell(y')$ for $y = y_0 + y_1 e_1 + \dots + y_n e_n$ and

$$y' = (\sqrt{y_0^2 + y_1^2}) e_1 + \dots + (\sqrt{y_0^2 + y_n^2}) e_n.$$

This is true because, if we define $z_i = y_i - y_0 e_i$

$$|P_\ell(y)| \leq |z_1|^{\ell_1} \dots |z_n|^{\ell_n} = P_\ell(y').$$

By the fact that

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{|m|=p} c(n, m) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = n$$

(see Lemma 1 [37]) we can deduce that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $p_\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $p \geq p_\epsilon$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{|m|=p} c(n, m) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq n + \epsilon.$$

Let $C_\epsilon > 1$ be such that $\sum_{|m|=p} c(n, m) \leq C_\epsilon (n + \epsilon)^p$ for any $0 \leq p \leq p_\epsilon$. Thus we can conclude that for any $p > 0$ and any multi-index $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|m| = p$ we have:

$$c(n, m) \leq \sum_{|m|=p} c(n, m) \leq C_\epsilon (n + \epsilon)^p.$$

Moreover, $|c_s| \geq n^s$ because when s is odd $|e_1 + \dots + e_n| = \sqrt{n}$. Plugging these two estimates in (44) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(f(y)) \right| &\leq C_\epsilon C_f (n - 1)! 2^{2n-2} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, \ell) (2b_f)^{|\ell|}}{\ell!} P_\ell(y') \right) \left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, t)}{t! n^{|\ell|}} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} \left| \frac{(n + \epsilon)}{n} b_f 2x_0 \right|^{|i|} \left| \frac{(n + \epsilon)}{n} b_f 2x_1 \right|^{j_1} \dots \left| \frac{(n + \epsilon)}{n} b_f 2x_n \right|^{j_n} |G_m|. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

By Lemma 2.15 we have

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} 2^{|\ell|} P_\ell(b_f y') = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} P_\ell(2b_f y') \leq e^{2b_f |y'|} \leq e^{2nb_f |x|},$$

and

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{c(n, t)}{t! n^{|t|}} \leq e^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

so the property (V) in Theorem 2.10 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} \left| \frac{(n+\epsilon)}{n} b_f 2x_0 \right|^{|i|} \left| \frac{(n+\epsilon)}{n} b_f 2x_1 \right|^{|j_1|} \cdots \left| \frac{(n+\epsilon)}{n} b_f 2x_n \right|^{|j_n|} \\ &= P_m \left(\frac{(n+\epsilon)}{n} 2b_f ((|x_0| + |x_1|)e_1 + (|x_0| + |x_2|)e_2 + \cdots + (|x_0| + |x_n|)e_n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the estimate (45) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(f(y)) \right| \leq C_\epsilon C_f (n-1)! 2^{n-2} e^{2nb_f |x| + \frac{1}{n}} \\ & \times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=s} P_m \left(\frac{(n+\epsilon)}{n} 2b_f ((|x_0| + |x_1|)e_1 + \cdots + (|x_0| + |x_n|)e_n) \right) |G_m|. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The previous estimate implies that $(\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})f(y)) \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 because the series

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=s} P_m \left(\frac{(n+\epsilon)}{n} 2b_f ((|x_0| + |x_1|)e_1 + (|x_0| + |x_2|)e_2 + \cdots + (|x_0| + |x_n|)e_n) \right) |G_m|$$

is convergent due to the fact that the Taylor series of G is absolutely convergent. Thus the uniform continuity with respect to $x \in K$ of the family of operators $\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})$ is proved because of the continuity in x of the right hand side of (46). \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $|a| > 1$ and let*

$$f_N(x_1, \dots, x_n, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N e^{h_j(N)x} Z_j(N, a), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

be a superoscillating function as in Definition 1.1 and assume that the sequence

$$f_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)x) Z_j(N, a)$$

converges to $E(ax)$ in the space A_1 . Let G be entire left monogenic functions. We define

$$F_N(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(h_j(N)x),$$

Then, $F_N(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, a)$ admits the supershift property that is

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, a) = G(xa)$$

uniformly on any compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. Let

$$\sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} P_m(\lambda) G_m.$$

be the Taylor series of $G(\lambda)$. First we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x, a) &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(xh_j(N)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} P_m(xh_j(N)) G_m = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} P_m(x_0h_j(N) + \underline{x}h_j(N)) G_m \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} P_i(x_0h_j(N)) P_j(\underline{x}h_j(N)) G_m \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_n^{i_n} h_j(N)^{|i|} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} h_j(N)^{|j|} G_m \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{j=0}^N (h_j(N))^s Z_j(N, a) \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_n^{i_n} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} G_m \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|t|=s} \frac{1}{c_s t!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)y) Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} e_1^{i_1} \cdots e_n^{i_n} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} G_m \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we used Proposition 2.19. We define the operator

$$(\mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})f(y)) := \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|t|=s} \partial_{\underline{y}}^t (f(y)) \sum_{|m|=s} \sum_{i+j=s} \frac{m!}{i!j!} x_0^{|i|} \frac{e_i}{|i|!} (x_1)^{j_1} \cdots (x_n)^{j_n} g_m$$

and, by Theorem 4.3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(xh_j(N)) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(E(h_j(N)y) Z_j(N, a)) \Big|_{y=0} \\ &= \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)y) Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} = \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(E(ay)) \Big|_{y=0} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a^s ((x)^s g_s) = G(xa), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on $x \in K$ for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. \square

4.3. Superscillating and supershift sequences in several Clifford variables. A similar remark has to be made here as we did for several variables in the slice hyperholomorphic setting. Here, we mean that we encode different frequencies in the Clifford variables, and we will investigate what happens with this natural modification.

Definition 4.5 (Superoscillating sequence in several Clifford variables). *A sequence of the type*

$$F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E(e_0 x_0 h_{j,0}(N) + e_1 x_1 h_{j,1}(N) + \dots + e_n x_n h_{j,n}(N)), \quad (47)$$

where $\{Z_j(N, a)\}_{j,N}$, for $j = 0, \dots, N$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, is a Cliffordian-valued sequence, is said to be a superoscillating sequence if

$$\sup_{j=0, \dots, N} |h_{j,\ell}(N)| \leq 1, \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, \dots, n,$$

and $F_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a)$ converges uniformly on x in any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to

$$E(e_0 x_0 g_0(a) + e_1 x_1 g_1(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a)),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, g_ℓ 's are continuous functions of a real variable whose domain is \mathbb{R} and $|g_\ell(a)| > 1$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, d$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $u_\ell : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a sequence of continuous functions for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$*

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |u_\ell(x)|^{1/\ell} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_\ell(x) = u_{\ell,0}(x) + u_{\ell,1}(x)e_1 + \dots + u_{\ell,n}(x)e_n,$$

where $u_{\ell,i} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are C^0 functions. Then the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'} (f(y)) \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\ &\times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{|i|} = z_0} u_{s_1,0}(x) \dots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{r_1} = z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \dots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \dots \\ &\times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{r_n} = z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \dots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous operator from A_1 to A_1 where

$$\partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'} = \partial_{y_1}^{z_0+z_1} \dots \partial_{y_n}^{z_n},$$

$c_s = (-n)^s$ if s is even or $c_s = (-n)^s(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$ if s is odd, e_i is defined for any multi-index $i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as in (16) and e'_t is defined as in (19) for any multi-index $t \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Proof. Let $f \in A_1$. We consider its Taylor series: $f(q) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_\ell(x) a_\ell$. In particular, by Lemma 2.13 in [27] we have that there exist constants C_f and b_f such that

$$|a_\ell| \leq C_f \frac{b_f^{|\ell|} c(n, \ell)}{|\ell|!},$$

where

$$c(n, \ell) = \frac{n(n+1) \dots (n+|\ell|-1)}{\ell!} = \frac{(n+|\ell|-1)!}{(n-1)! \ell!}.$$

Moreover, if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 then $C_{f_m} \rightarrow 0$ and b_{f_m} is bounded. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'} (f(y)) \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|i|}=z_0} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t \Big| \\
&= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_{\ell}(y) a_{\ell} \right) \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|i|}=z_0} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t \Big| \\
&\leq 2^{n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \left(\sum_{\ell \geq z'} \frac{\ell!}{(\ell-z')!} |a_{\ell}| |P_{\ell-z'}(y)| \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|i|}=z_0} |u_{s_1,0}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{|i|},0}(x)| \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} |u_{s_1,1}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_1},1}(x)| \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} |u_{s_1,n}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_n},n}(x)| \right) |e'_t| \\
&= 2^{n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{(\ell+z')!}{\ell!} |a_{\ell+z'}| |P_{\ell}(y)| \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|i|}=z_0} |u_{s_1,0}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{|i|},0}(x)| \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} |u_{s_1,1}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_1},1}(x)| \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} |u_{s_1,n}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_n},n}(x)| \right) |e'_t|.
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we also use that $\frac{|e_i|}{|i|!} \leq 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right| &\leq 2^{n/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|c_s|} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{(\ell+z')!}{\ell!} \frac{b_f^{|\ell+z'|} c(n, \ell+z')}{|\ell+z'|!} |P_{\ell}(y)| \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|i|}=z_0} |u_{s_1,0}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{|i|},0}(x)| \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} |u_{s_1,1}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_1},1}(x)| \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} |u_{s_1,n}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_n},n}(x)| \right) |e'_t|,
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$c(n, \ell + z') \leq (n-1)! 2^{n+|\ell|+|z'|-2} c(n, \ell) c(n, z'),$$

as we proved in Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right| &\leq C_f (n-1)! 2^{(3n-4)/2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{|\ell|} \frac{b_f^{|\ell|} c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} |P_\ell(y)| \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^s 2^s}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |z'|=s} \frac{c(n, z')}{z'!} \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|z|}=z_0} |u_{s_1,0}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{|z|},0}(x)| \right) \\ &\times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} |u_{s_1,1}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_1},1}(x)| \right) \cdots \\ &\times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} |u_{s_1,n}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_n},n}(x)| \right) |e'_t|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|P(y)| \leq P(y')$ for $y' = (\sqrt{y_0^2 + y_1^2})e_1 + \cdots + (\sqrt{y_0^2 + y_n^2})e_n$, as we proved in Theorem 4.3, and

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{|z'|=p} c(n, z') \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = n$$

(see Lemma 1 [37]) which in particular implies that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $p > 0$: $\sum_{|z'|=p} c(n, z') \leq C_\epsilon (n + \epsilon)^p$ and $|c_s| \geq n^s$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right| &\leq C_\epsilon C_f (n-1)! 2^{(3n-4)/2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{|\ell|} \frac{b_f^{|\ell|} c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} P_\ell(y') \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i! r!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^s 2^s}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |z'|=s} \frac{c(n, z')}{z'!} \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|z|}=z_0} |u_{s_1,0}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{|z|},0}(x)| \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} |u_{s_1,1}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_1},1}(x)| \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} |u_{s_1,n}(x)| \cdots |u_{s_{r_n},n}(x)| \right) |e'_t| \\ &\leq C_\epsilon C_f (n-1)! 2^{(3n-4)/2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{|\ell|} \frac{b_f^{|\ell|} c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} P_\ell(y') \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^s 2^s}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |z'|=s} \frac{c(n, z')}{z'!} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i! r!} P_i \left(\sum_{v=0}^n e_v \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |u_{\ell,0}(x)| \right) \right) P_r \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |u_{\ell,v}(x)| \right) e_v \right) |e'_t| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_\epsilon C_f (n-1)! 2^{(3n-4)/2} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{|\ell|} \frac{b_f^{|\ell|} c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} P_\ell(y') \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^s 2^s}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |z'|=s} \frac{c(n, z')}{z'!} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} P_t \left(\sum_{v=1}^n e_v \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |u_{\ell,0}(x)| + |u_{\ell,v}(x)| \right) \right) |e'_t|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.15 we have

$$\sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\ell|=s_1} \frac{c(n, \ell)}{\ell!} P_\ell(2b_f y') \leq e^{2b_f(|y'|+1/n)}$$

and

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_f^s 2^s}{|c_s|} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |z'|=s} \frac{c(n, z')}{z'!} \leq e^{2b_f/n},$$

thus we can obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right| \leq C_f (n-1)! 2^{n-2} C_\epsilon e^{2b_f(|y'|+1/n)} \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} P_t \left(\sum_{v=1}^n e_v \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |u_{\ell,0}(x)| + |u_{\ell,v}(x)| \right) \right) |e'_t| \\ &\stackrel{|y'| \leq \sqrt{n}|y|}{\leq} C_f (n-1)! 2^{n-2} C_\epsilon e^{2\sqrt{n}b_f(|y|+1/n^{3/2})} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} P_t \left(\sum_{v=1}^n e_v \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |u_{\ell,0}(x)| + |u_{\ell,v}(x)| \right) \right) |e'_t|, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where the uniform convergence on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} of the last series is justified by Proposition 2.18. Thus we proved $\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(f_m) \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 if $f_m \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 and the family of operators $\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})$ is uniformly continuous with respect to $x \in K$ for any compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\{u_\ell : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions such that for any compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \left(|u_\ell(x)|^{1/\ell} \right) = 0.$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose also that the sequence

$$f_N(x_0, \dots, x_n, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)x) Z_j(N, a),$$

converges to $E(ax)$ in A_1 with in addition $h_j(N) \in [-1, 1]$ for any $0 \leq j \leq N$. Let also

$$F_N(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_\ell(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right).$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, a) = E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_\ell(x) a^\ell \right),$$

uniformly on any compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. We observe that $u_\ell(x) = u_{\ell,0}(x) + \sum_{v=1}^n e_v u_{\ell,v}(x)$ where $u_{\ell,0}$ is the real part of $u_\ell(x)$ and $u_{\ell,v}(x)$ are the real coefficients of the imaginary component of e_v in $u_\ell(x)$. Let t, r, i, z, z', z'' be multi-indexes in \mathbb{N}_0^n and e'_t defined as in (19). We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_\ell(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} P_t \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (u_{\ell,0}(x) + \sum_{v=1}^n e_v u_{\ell,v}(x)) h_j(N)^\ell \right) e'_t \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!r!} P_i \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell,0}(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right) \\
&\quad \times P_r \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{v=1}^n e_v u_{\ell,v}(x) \right) h_j(N)^\ell \right) e'_t \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 2.10, Rem. 2.11}}{=} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell,0}(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right)^{|i|} \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \quad (49) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell,1}(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right)^{r_1} \cdots \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell,n}(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right)^{r_n} e'_t \\
&\quad = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{z_0=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{z_n=0}^{\infty} h_j(N)^{z_0+\cdots+z_n} \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \left(\sum_{z_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{|i|=z_0}} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) h_j(N)^{z_0} \right) \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{z_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) h_j(N)^{z_1} \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{z_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) h_j(N)^{z_n} \right) e'_t \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{z_0=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{z_n=0}^{\infty} h_j(N)^{z_0+\cdots+z_n} \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{|i|=z_0}} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.19, equation (49) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_\ell(x) h_j(N)^\ell \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'} \left(\sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)y) Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{|i|=z_0}} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the operator

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) f(y) \right) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'} (f(y)) \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{|i|=z_0}} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \cdots \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t.
\end{aligned}$$

and, since in the proof of Theorem 4.6 we proved the estimate (48), the operators $\mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})$ is a family of uniformly continuous operators from A_1 to A_1 , depending on the parameters $x \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ where K is a compact subset. Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) h_j(N)^{\ell} \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) (E(h_j(N)y) Z_j(n, a)) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) \left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)x) Z_j(N, a) \right) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \mathcal{U}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}}) (E(ay)) \Big|_{y=0} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z_0=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z'=(z_0+z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |z'|=s} a^s \\
&\times \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{|i|}=z_0} u_{s_1,0}(x) \cdots u_{s_{|i|},0}(x) \right) \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_1}=z_1} u_{s_1,1}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_1},1}(x) \right) \cdots \\
&\times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1+\dots+s_{r_n}=z_n} u_{s_1,n}(x) \cdots u_{s_{r_n},n}(x) \right) e'_t \\
&= E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) a^{\ell} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the chain of equalities in (49), read in inverse sense, and the convergence of the last series to the exponential function is uniform on any compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let $g_k(a) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} g_{k,\ell} a^{\ell}$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$ be real analytic functions such that $|g_k(a)| > 1$ for $|a| > 1$ and $|g_k(a)| \leq 1$ for $|a| \leq 1$, if we suppose $u_{\ell}(x) = e_0 x_0 g_{0,\ell} + \dots + e_n x_n g_{n,\ell}$ and the sequence $f_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)x) Z_j(N, a)$ converges to $x \mapsto E(ax)$ in A_1 , then the sequence*

$$\begin{aligned}
F_N(x, a) &= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} u_{\ell}(x) (h_j(N))^{\ell} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) E (x_0 e_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + x_1 e_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \dots + x_n e_n g_n(h_j(N)))
\end{aligned}$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to $E(e_0 x_0 g_0(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a))$ and thus it is a superoscillating sequence according to Definition 4.5.

Proof. The proof is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.7, once it is observed that, since the functions g_k 's are entire functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |u_{\ell}(x)|^{1/\ell} &\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^{1/\ell} |g_{k,\ell}|^{1/\ell} \\
&\leq \limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |x_k|^{1/\ell} \right) \sum_{k=0}^n |g_{k,\ell}|^{1/\ell} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. \square

Definition 4.9 (Supershift sequences in several Clifford variables). *Let $|a| > 1$. Let $\{h_{j,\ell}(N)\}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be real-valued sequences for $\ell = 0, \dots, n$ such that*

$$\sup_{j=0, \dots, N, N \in \mathbb{N}} |h_{j,\ell}(N)| \leq 1, \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, \dots, n.$$

Let $G(\lambda)$, be an entire left monogenic function. We say that the sequence

$$F_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(x_0 h_{j,0}(N) + e_1 x_1 h_{j,1}(N) + \dots + e_n x_n h_{j,n}(N)), \quad (50)$$

where $\{Z_j(N, a)\}_{j,N}$, $j = 0, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Clifford-valued sequence, admits the supershift property if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = G(x_0 a + e_1 x_1 a + \dots + e_n x_n a),$$

uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

We now study the continuity of a suitable infinite-order differential operator that we will use in the sequel to examine the supershift property in the monogenic case.

Theorem 4.10. *Let G be entire left monogenic function whose series expansions at zero is given by whose series expansions at zero is given by*

$$G(\lambda) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} P_m(\lambda) G_m,$$

and g_0, \dots, g_n be real analytic on \mathbb{R} and real valued functions then the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(x, \partial_{\underline{y}})(f(y)) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{|t|=k} \sum_{i+r=t} \frac{t!}{i!} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_s} \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{N}^n, |z'|=s} \frac{1}{z'^!} \partial_{\underline{y}}^{z'}(f(y)) \frac{e_i}{|i|!} \\ &\times \sum_{z \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, |z|=s} \left(\sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{|z|} = z_0} x_0 g_{s_1,0} \dots x_0 g_{s_{|z|},0} \right) \frac{1}{r_1!} \left(\sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{r_1} = z_1} x_1 g_{s_1,1} \dots x_1 g_{s_{r_1},1} \right) \dots \\ &\times \frac{1}{r_n!} \left(\sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_{r_n} = z_n} x_n g_{s_1,n} \dots x_n g_{s_{r_n},n} \right) G_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{j,\ell}$ are the Taylor coefficients of g_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, form a family of continuous operators from A_1 to A_1 depending on the parameters x . If x belongs to a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the family is uniformly continuous with respect to $x \in K$.

Proof. The proof follows strictly the one of Theorem 4.6 where we replace e'_t with G_t , and $u_\ell(x)$ with $e_0 x_0 g_{\ell,0} + e_1 x_1 g_{\ell,1} + \dots + e_n x_n g_{\ell,n}$. \square

Theorem 4.11. *Let $|a| > 1$ and assume that the sequence*

$$f_N(x, a) := \sum_{j=0}^N E(h_j(N)x) Z_j(N, a)$$

converges to $E(ax)$ in the space A_1 . Let G be an entire left monogenic function. Let g_0, \dots, g_n be analytic on \mathbb{R} and real valued functions. We define

$$F_N(x, a) = \sum_{j=0}^N Z_j(N, a) G(e_0 x_0 g_0(h_j(N)) + e_1 x_1 g_1(h_j(N)) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(h_j(N))).$$

Then, $F_N(x, a)$ admits the supershift property that is

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(x, a) = G(e_0 x_0 g_0(a) + e_1 x_1 g_1(a) + \dots + e_n x_n g_n(a)),$$

uniformly on any compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. The proof follows strictly the one of Theorem 4.7 where we replace e'_t with G_t , and $u_\ell(x)$ with $e_0x_0g_{\ell,0} + e_1x_1g_{\ell,1} + \dots + e_nx_ng_{\ell,n}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aharonov, D. Albert, L. Vaidman, *How the result of a measurement of a component of the spin of a spin-1/2 particle can turn out to be 100*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **60** (1988), 1351-1354.
- [2] Y. Aharonov, J. Behrndt, F. Colombo, P. Schlosser, *Schrödinger evolution of superoscillations with δ - and δ' -potentials*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **7** (2020), no. 3, 293–305.
- [3] Y. Aharonov, J. Behrndt, F. Colombo, P. Schlosser, *Green's function for the Schrödinger Equation with a Generalized Point Interaction and Stability of Superoscillations*, J. Differential Equations, **277** (2021), 153–190.
- [4] Y. Aharonov, J. Behrndt, F. Colombo, P. Schlosser, *A unified approach to Schrödinger evolution of superoscillations and supershifts*, J. Evol. Equ., **22** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 26.
- [5] Y. Aharonov, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, J. Tollaksen, *Evolution of superoscillations in the Klein–Gordon field*, Milan J. Math., **88** (2020), no. 1, 171–189.
- [6] Y. Aharonov, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, J. Tollaksen, *Superoscillating sequences in several variables*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **22** (2016), 751–767.
- [7] Y. Aharonov, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, J. Tollaksen, *The mathematics of superoscillations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **247** (2017), no. 1174, v+107 pp.
- [8] Y. Aharonov, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, T. Shushi, D. C. Struppa, J. Tollaksen, *A new method to generate superoscillating functions and supershifts*, Proc. A., **477** (2021), no. 2249, Paper No. 20210020, 12 pp.
- [9] Y. Aharonov, F. Colombo, A. N. Jordan, I. Sabadini, T. Shushi, D. C. Struppa, J. Tollaksen, *On superoscillations and supershifts in several variables*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **9** (2022), no. 4, 417–433.
- [10] Y. Aharonov, I. Sabadini, J. Tollaksen, A. Yger, *Classes of superoscillating functions*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **5** (2018), 439–454.
- [11] Y. Aharonov, T. Shushi, *A new class of superoscillatory functions based on a generalized polar coordinate system*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **7** (2020), 307–313.
- [12] Aoki T., Ishimura R., Okada Y., *A Differential Operator Representation of Continuous Homomorphisms Between the Spaces of Entire Functions of Given Proximate Orders*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, **14** (2020), no. 8, Paper No. 75, 22 pp.
- [13] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *Aharonov-Berry superoscillations in the radial harmonic oscillator potential*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **7** (2020), 269–283.
- [14] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, K. Diki, I. Sabadini, D. C. Struppa, *Superoscillations and Fock spaces*. J. Math. Phys. **64** (2023), no. 9, Paper No. 093505, 20 pp.
- [15] D. Alpay, A. De Martino, K. Diki, D.C. Struppa, *Short-time Fourier transform and superoscillations*. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **73** (2024), Paper No. 101689, 31 pp.
- [16] T. Aoki, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *Continuity theorems for a class of convolution operators and applications to superoscillations*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **197** (2018), 1533–1545.
- [17] T. Aoki, R. Ishimura, Y. Okada, D. C. Struppa, S. Uchida, *Characterisation of continuous endomorphisms of the space of entire functions of a given order*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., **66** (2021), 1439–1450.
- [18] J. Behrndt, F. Colombo, P. Schlosser, *Evolution of Aharonov–Berry superoscillations in Dirac δ -potential*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **6** (2019), 279–293.
- [19] J. Behrndt, F. Colombo, P. Schlosser, D.C. Struppa, *Integral representation of superoscillations via Borel measures and their convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **376** (2023), 6315–6340.
- [20] C. A. Berenstein, R. Gay, *Complex Analysis and Special Topics in Harmonic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995
- [21] M. Berry et al, *Roadmap on superoscillations*, 2019, Journal of Optics **21** 053002.
- [22] M. V. Berry, *Faster than Fourier*, in Quantum Coherence and Reality; in celebration of the 60th Birthday of Yakir Aharonov ed. J. S. Anandan and J. L. Safko, World Scientific, Singapore, (1994), pp. 55-65.
- [23] M. V. Berry, *Representing superoscillations and narrow Gaussians with elementary functions*, Milan J. Math., **84** (2016), 217–230.
- [24] M. V. Berry, S. Popescu, *Evolution of quantum superoscillations, and optical superresolution without evanescent waves*, J. Phys. A, **39** (2006), 6965–6977.
- [25] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, F. Sommen, *Clifford Analysis* (1982, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program)
- [26] F. Colombo, R. S. Krausshar, S. Pinton, I. Sabadini, *Entire monogenic functions of given proximate order and continuous homomorphisms*, Mediterr. J. Math. **21** (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 44, 28 pp.

- [27] F. Colombo, S. Pinton, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *Infinite-order differential operators acting on entire hyperholomorphic functions*, J. Geom. Anal. 31(2021), no.10, 9768–9799
- [28] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, *Michele Sce's Works in Hypercomplex Analysis. A Translation with Commentaries*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2020.
- [29] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D. C. Struppa, *Noncommutative functional calculus*, Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions Progr. Math., 289 Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. vi+221 pp.
- [30] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Gauss sums, superoscillations and the Talbot carpet*, J. Math. Pures Appl., (9) **147** (2021), 163–178.
- [31] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Superoscillating sequences and hyperfunctions*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **55** (2019), no. 4, 665–688.
- [32] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Superoscillating Sequences and Supershifts for Families of Generalized Functions*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, **16** (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 34, 37 pp..
- [33] F. Colombo, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Superoscillating sequences towards approximation in S or S' -type spaces and extrapolation*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **25** (2019), no. 1, 242–266.
- [34] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Analyticity and supershift with irregular sampling*, Complex Anal. Synerg. **10** (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 10, 13 pp.
- [35] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D.C. Struppa, A. Yger, *Superoscillating functions and the super-shift for generalized functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **16** (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 34, 37 pp.
- [36] F. Colombo, G. Valente, *Evolution of Superoscillations in the Dirac Field*, Found. Phys., **50** (2020), 1356–1375.
- [37] D. Constales, R. De Almeida, R.S. Kraussnar, *On the relation between the growth and the Taylor coefficients of entire solutions to the higher-dimensional Cauchy-Riemann system in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **327** (2007) 763 – 775.
- [38] P. J. S. G. Ferreira, A. Kempf, *Unusual properties of superoscillating particles*, J. Phys. A, **37** (2004), 12067-76.
- [39] P. J. S. G. Ferreira, A. Kempf, M. J. C. S. Reis, *Construction of Aharonov-Berry's superoscillations*, J. Phys. A **40** (2007), 5141–5147.
- [40] Klaus Gürlebeck, Klaus Habetha, Wolfgang Sprössig, *Holomorphic functions in the plane and n -dimensional space* (2008, Birkhäuser Springer).
- [41] S. Pinton and P. Schlosser, *Characterization of continuous homomorphisms on entire slice hyperholomorphic functions*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 67 (2024), no. 3, 892-920.
- [42] E. Pozzi, B. D. Wick, *Persistence of superoscillations under the Schrödinger equation*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory, **11** (2022), 869–894.
- [43] P. Schlosser, *Time evolution of superoscillations for the Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$* , Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **9** (2022), 343–366.
- [44] B. Šoda, A. Kempf, *Efficient method to create superoscillations with generic target behavior*, Quantum Stud. Math. Found., **7** (2020), no. 3, 347–353.
- [45] G. Toraldo di Francia, *Super-Gain Antennas and Optical Resolving Power*, Nuovo Cimento Suppl., **9** (1952), 426–438.

(FC) POLITECNICO DI MILANO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. BONARDI 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
Email address: fabrizio.colombo@polimi.it

(FM) POLITECNICO DI MILANO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. BONARDI 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
Email address: francesco.mantovani@polimi.it

(SP) POLITECNICO DI MILANO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. BONARDI 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
Email address: stefano.pinton@polimi.it

(PS) GRAZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, STEYRERGASSE 30,
 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA
Email address: pschlosser@math.tugraz.at