

EUCLIDEAN DISTANCE DISCRIMINANTS AND MORSE ATTRACTORS

CEZAR JOIȚA, DIRK SIERSMA, AND MIHAI TIBĂR

ABSTRACT. Our study concerns the Euclidean distance function in case of complex plane curves. We decompose the ED discriminant into 3 parts which are responsible for the 3 types of behavior of the Morse points, and we find the structure of each one. In particular we shed light on the “atypical discriminant” which is due to the loss of Morse points at infinity.

We find formulas for the number of Morse singularities which abut to the corresponding 3 types of attractors when moving the centre of the distance function toward a point of the discriminant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Early studies dedicated to the Euclidean distance emerged before 2000, with much older roots going back to the 19th century geometers. For instance, if one considers the particular case of a curve $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ given by a real equation $f(x, y) = 0$, the aim is to study the critical points of the Euclidean distance function:

$$D_u(x) = (x - u_1)^2 + (y - u_2)^2$$

from a centre $u := (u_1, u_2)$ to the variety X . In the case that X is compact and smooth, D_u is generically a Morse function, and the values u where D_u has degenerate critical points are called *discriminant*, or *caustic*, or *evolute*. These objects have been studied intensively in the past, see e.e. the recent study [PRS] with its multiple references including to Huygens in the 17th century, and to the ancient greek geometer Apollonius.

On each connected component of the complement of the caustic, the number of Morse critical points and their index is constant. Assuming now that (x, y) are complex coordinates, the number of those complex critical points is known as the *ED degree*, and it gives upper bounds for the real setting. The corresponding discriminant is called the *ED discriminant*. These notions have been introduced in [DHOST], and have been studied in many papers, see e.g. [Ho1], [DGS], [Ho2]. They have applications to computer vision e.g. [PST], numerical algebraic geometry, data science, and other optimization problems e.g. [HS], [NRS].

The earlier paper [CT] contains a study of the ED discriminant under a different name, with a particular definition and within a restricted class of (projective) varieties.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14N10, 14H50, 51M15, 58K05.

Key words and phrases. enumerative geometry, ED discriminant, number of Morse points, Euclidean distance degree.

The authors acknowledges support from the project “Singularities and Applications” - CF 132/31.07.2023 funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU - through Romania’s National Recovery and Resilience Plan, and support by the grant CNRS-INSMI-IEA-329.

From the topological side, more involved computation of $\text{EDdeg}(X)$ have been done in [MRW1], [MRW2] etc in terms of the key formula from [STV] for the *global Euler obstruction* $\text{Eu}(X)$, and in terms of vanishing cycles of a linear Morsification of a distance function where the data point is on the ED discriminant. In particular the same authors have proved in [MRW1] the *multiview conjecture* which had been stated in [DHOST].

This type of study based on Morsifications appears to be extendable to singular polynomial functions, see [MT1], [MT2]. The most recent paper [MT3] treats for the first time the case of Morse points disappearing at infinity, via a new principle of computation based on relative polar curves.

In this paper we consider the discriminant in the case of plane curves X , where several general striking phenomena already manifest. In particular, the "loss of Morse points at infinity" has a central place in our study. This phenomenon shows that the bifurcation locus encoded by the discriminant may be partly due to the non-properness of the projection $\pi_2 : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, see Definition 2.2. It occurs even in simple examples, and it is specific to the complex setting.

The contents of our study are as follows.

In §2 we recall two definitions of ED discriminants that one usually use, the total ED discriminant $\Delta_T(X)$, and the strict ED discriminant $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$. We explain the first step of a classification for low ED degree, equal to 0 and to 1. In §2.4 we introduce the 3 types of discriminants which compose the total discriminant: the atypical discriminant Δ^{atyp} responsible for the loss of Morse points at infinity, the singular discriminant Δ^{sing} due to the Morse points which move to singularities of X , and the regular discriminant Δ^{reg} due to the collision of Morse points on X_{reg} . We find the structure of each of them in the main sections §3.1, §4.2, §4.3.

It then follows that we have the equalities:

- $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X) = \Delta^{\text{reg}} \cup \Delta^{\text{atyp}}$.
- $\Delta_T(X) = \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X) \cup \Delta^{\text{sing}}$.

By Corollary 4.5, the regular discriminant Δ^{reg} may contain lines only if they are isotropic tangents¹ to flex points on X_{reg} . The atypical discriminant Δ^{atyp} consists of complex isotropic lines only (cf Theorem 3.3). In the real setting it then follows that the ED discriminant $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ does not contain lines.

For each type of complex discriminant, we compute in §3.2, §4.2, and §4.5, the number of Morse singularities which abut to attractors of Morse points (as defined at §4.1), respectively.

Several quite simple examples at §5 illustrate all these results and phenomena, with detailed computations.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. ED degree and ED discriminant	3
2.1. Two definitions of the ED discriminant	3

¹"Isotropic tangent line" means that it is parallel to one of the lines of equation $x^2 + y^2 = 0$. See §2.2.

2.2. Terminology and two simple examples	4
2.3. A first step of a classification	4
2.4. Three types of discriminants	5
3. The atypical discriminant	5
3.1. The structure of Δ^{atyp}	6
3.2. Morse numbers at infinity	8
4. Local behaviour at attractors, and the structure of discriminants	9
4.1. The attractors of Morse points	9
4.2. Structure of Δ^{sing} , and Morse numbers at the attractors of $\text{Sing } X$.	10
4.3. The regular discriminant Δ^{reg} , and Morse attractors on X_{reg} .	11
4.4. Structure of Δ^{reg}	12
4.5. Morse numbers at attractors on X_{reg} .	13
5. Examples	13
5.1. Isotropic coordinates	15
References	16

2. ED DEGREE AND ED DISCRIMINANT

2.1. Two definitions of the ED discriminant. We consider an algebraic curve $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, with reduced structure. Its singular set $\text{Sing } X$ consists of a finite subset of points. Let $D_u : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the complex ‘‘Euclidean distance’’ function on \mathbb{C}^2 with centre at a fixed origin u , namely:

$$D_u := (x_1 - u_1)^2 + (x_2 - u_2)^2.$$

For a generic centre u , D_u is a stratified Morse function; we will say that D_u is ‘‘generic’’.

DEFINITION 2.1. The *ED degree of X* , denoted by $\text{EDdeg}(X)$, is the number of Morse points $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$ of a generic distance function D_u , and this number is independent of the choice of the generic centre u in a Zariski-open subset of \mathbb{C}^2 .

The *total ED discriminant* $\Delta_T(X)$ is the set of points $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that the function D_u has less than $\text{EDdeg}(X)$ Morse points on X_{reg} , or that D_u is not a Morse function.²

Note that by definition $\Delta_T(X)$ is a closed set, as the complement of an open set.

A second definition goes as follows, cf [DHOST]. Consider the following incidence variety, a variant of the conormal of X , where $(u - x)$ is viewed as a 1-form:

$$\mathcal{E}_X := \text{closure}\{(x, u) \in X_{\text{reg}} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \mid (u - x)|T_x X_{\text{reg}} = 0\} \subset X \times \mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2,$$

and let us remark that $\dim \mathcal{E}_X = 2$. Let $\pi_1 : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be the projections on the factors. The projection π_2 is generically finite, and the degree of this finite map is the *ED degree of X* , like also defined above at Definition 2.1.

DEFINITION 2.2. The bifurcation set of π_2 is called *the (strict) ED discriminant*, and will be denoted here by $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$.

²In particular $u \in \Delta_T(X)$ if D_u has non-isolated singularities.

By the above definitions, we have the inclusion $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X) \subset \Delta_T(X)$, which may not be an equality, see e.g. Examples 2.3 and 2.4.

We will also use the following:

2.2. Terminology and two simple examples. We say that a line is *isotropic* if it verifies the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 0$. We say that a line K is *normal* to a line L at some point $p \in L$ if the Hermitian product $\langle \overline{q - p}, r - p \rangle$ is equal to 0, for any $q \in K$ and any $r \in L$.

EXAMPLE 2.3 (Lines). Lines in \mathbb{C}^2 do not have all the same ED degree, see Theorem 2.5(a-b). Let X be the union of two non-isotropic lines intersecting at a point p . The ED degree is then $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 2$. According to its definition, the ED discriminant $\Delta_T(X)$ contains the two normal lines at p . As for $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$, according to its definition, it reduces to the point p .

EXAMPLE 2.4 (Cusp). The plane cusp $X := \{x^3 = y^2\}$ has $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 4$. The ED discriminant $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ is a smooth curve of degree 4 passing through the origin. If $u \in \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ is a point different from the origin, then the distance function D_u has precisely one non-Morse critical point on X_{reg} produced by the merging of two of the Morse points.

The origin is a special point of $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$: the distance function from the origin, denoted by D_0 , has only two Morse points on X_{reg} while two other Morse points had merged in the origin.

We have $\Delta_T(X) = \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X) \cup \{x = 0\}$. At some point $p \in \{x = 0\}$ different from the origin, the distance function D_p has only 3 Morse points on X_{reg} while the 4th Morse point had merged with the singular point of X .

2.3. A first step of a classification.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be an irreducible reduced curve. Then*

- (a) $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 0 \iff X$ is a line parallel to one of the two lines $\{x \pm iy = 0\}$. In this case $\Delta_T(X) = X$.
- (b) $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 1 \iff X$ is a line, different from the two lines at (a). In this case $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ is empty.
- (c) The discriminant $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ contains some point u such that $\dim \pi_2(u) > 0 \iff$ either $X = \{(x - u_1)^2 + (y - u_2)^2 = \alpha\}$ for a certain $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ is a single point, or X is one of the two lines $\{(x - u_1)^2 + (y - u_2)^2 = 0\}$. In this case $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ is empty.

We need the following general classical result.

Lemma 2.6 (Genericity of Morse functions). *Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus X$ be a fixed point. There exists a Zariski open subset $\Omega_u \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1}$ of linear functions $\ell = \sum_i a_i x_i$ such that, for any $\ell \in \Omega_u$, the distance function $D_{u+t\ell}$ is a stratified Morse function for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$ except finitely many values. \square*

Proof of Theorem 2.5. In (a) and (b) the implications “ \Leftarrow ” are both clear by straightforward computation; we will therefore show “ \Rightarrow ” only.

(a). $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 0$ implies that the normal to the tangent space $T_p X_{\text{reg}}$ is this space itself. If $T_p X_{\text{reg}} = \mathbb{C}\langle(a, b)\rangle$, then the only vectors (a, b) which have this property are those verifying the equation $a^2 + b^2 = 0$. This means that for any $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$, one has either $T_p X_{\text{reg}} = \mathbb{C}\langle(x, ix)\rangle$ or $T_p X_{\text{reg}} = \mathbb{C}\langle(x, -ix)\rangle$. This implies that X_{reg} is one of the lines $\{x \pm iy = \alpha\}$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

(b). By Lemma 2.6 we have a dense set \mathcal{D} of points $u \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus X$ such that the distance function D_u is a stratified Morse function. Let us now assume $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 1$. This implies that there exists a unique line L_u passing through $u \in \mathcal{D}$ which is normal to X_{reg} . It also follows from the condition $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 1$ that, for $u \in \mathcal{D}$, the lines L_u do not mutually intersect. These lines are thus parallel, dense in \mathbb{C}^2 , and normal to X_{reg} . This implies that X_{reg} is contained in a line.

(c). The hypothesis implies that for some point $u \in \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$, the function D_u has non-isolated singularity on X . Since this is necessarily contained in a single level of D_u , it follows that X contains $\{(x - u_1)^2 + (y^2 - u_2)^2 = \alpha\}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and since X is irreducible, it is equal to it. \square

2.4. Three types of discriminants. The total discriminant Δ_T is the union of 3 types of discriminants that will be discussed in the following:

(1). *The atypical discriminant* Δ^{atyp} , due to the Morse points which are “lost” at infinity. See §3.

(2). *The singular discriminant* Δ^{sing} , due to the Morse points which move to singularities of X . See §4.2.

(3.) *The regular discriminant* Δ^{reg} , due to the collision of Morse points on X_{reg} . See §4.3.

We will see that the first two types are lines only, whereas the 3rd type may contain components of higher degree. These discriminants may intersect, and may also have common components, which should then be lines. Several examples at the end will illustrate these notions and other phenomena, see §5.

3. THE ATYPICAL DISCRIMINANT

We define the discriminant Δ^{atyp} as the subset of $\Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ which is due to the loss of Morse points to infinity, and we find its structure.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let \overline{X} denote the closure of X in \mathbb{P}^2 . For some point $\xi \in X^\infty := \overline{X} \cap H^\infty$, let Γ be a local branch of \overline{X} at ξ .

We denote by $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) \subset \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ the set of all points $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that there are a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with $u_n \rightarrow u$, and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset (\Gamma \setminus H^\infty)$ with $x_n \rightarrow \xi$, such that $(u_n - x_n)|T_x X_{\text{reg}} = 0$. The *atypical discriminant* is then defined as follows:

$$\Delta^{\text{atyp}} := \bigcup_{\Gamma} \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$$

where the union runs over all local branches Γ of \overline{X} at all points at infinity.

3.1. The structure of Δ^{atyp} .

Let $\gamma : B \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a local holomorphic parametrisation of Γ at ξ , where B is some disk in \mathbb{C} centred at 0 of small enough radius, and $\gamma(0) = \xi$. If x and y denote the coordinates of \mathbb{C}^2 , then for $t \in B$, we write $x(t) = x(\gamma(t))$ and $y(t) = y(\gamma(t))$. It follows that the functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are meromorphic on B and holomorphic on $B \setminus \{0\}$. We thus may write them as follows:

$$x(t) = \frac{P(t)}{t^k}, \quad y(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{t^k},$$

where $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are holomorphic, and $P(0)$ and $Q(0)$ are not both equal to zero.

Under these notations, $\xi = [P(0); Q(0)] \in H^\infty$. For $t \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we have: $((x(t), y(t)), u) \in \mathcal{E}_X$ if and only if

$$\frac{(tP'(t) - kP(t))}{t^{k+1}} \left(\frac{P(t)}{t^k} - u_1 \right) + \frac{(tQ'(t) - kQ(t))}{t^{k+1}} \left(\frac{Q(t)}{t^k} - u_2 \right) = 0.$$

This yields a holomorphic function $h : B \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as:

$$h(t, u) = (tP'(t) - kP(t))(P(t) - u_1 t^k) + (tQ'(t) - kQ(t))(Q(t) - u_2 t^k)$$

which is linear in the coordinates (u_1, u_2) .

For $t \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we then obtain the equivalence:

$$(3.1) \quad ((x(t), y(t)), u) \in \mathcal{E}_X \iff h(t, u) = 0.$$

If we write $h(t, u) = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_j(u) t^j$, then we have:

- For any $j \leq k - 1$, $h_j(u) = h_j \in \mathbb{C}$, for all $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$,
- The function $h_k(u)$ is linear, and more precisely $h_k(u) = kP(0)u_1 + kQ(0)u_2 + \text{constant}$. Since $P(0)$ and $Q(0)$ are not both zero by our assumption, we deduce that the function $h_k(u)$ is not constant.
- For any $i > k$, the function $h_i(u)$ is a (possibly constant) linear function.

Let us point out the geometric interpretation of the integer k , and the role of the isotropic points at infinity:

Lemma 3.2.

- (a) If $\xi \in X^\infty$ and if Γ is a branch of \overline{X} at ξ , then $k = \text{mult}_\xi(\Gamma, H^\infty)$.
- (b) Let $Q^\infty := \{x^2 + y^2 = 0\} \subset H^\infty$. If $X^\infty \cap Q^\infty = \emptyset$ then $\Delta^{\text{atyp}} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (a). Up to a choice, we may assume that $P(0) \neq 0$. In coordinates at $\xi \in H^\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ we then have $z = \frac{1}{x}$ and $w = \frac{y}{x}$. Composing with the parametrisation of Γ we get $z(t) = \frac{1}{x(t)} = t^k r(t)$ where r is holomorphic and $r(0) \neq 0$. We therefore get $\text{mult}_\xi(\Gamma, H^\infty) = \text{ord}_0 z(t) = k$.

(b). If $X^\infty \cap Q^\infty = \emptyset$ then, for any branch Γ of \overline{X} at some point ξ at infinity, we have $P(0)^2 + Q(0)^2 \neq 0$, hence $h_0 \neq 0$. This shows that the equation $h(t, u) = 0$ has no solutions in a small enough neighbourhood of ξ . \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\xi \in X^\infty \cap Q^\infty$, and let Γ be a branch of \overline{X} at ξ . Then:*

- (a) $u \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$ if and only if $\text{ord}_t h(t, u) \geq 1 + \text{mult}_\xi(\Gamma, H^\infty)$.

(b) If $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$, then $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$ is the line $\{u \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid h_k(u) = 0\}$.

In particular, Δ^{atyp} is a finite union of affine lines parallel to the isotropic lines.

Proof. (a). We have to show that $u \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$ if and only if $h_0 = \dots = h_{k-1} = 0$ in $h(t, u)$, and $h_k(u) = 0$. If h_0, \dots, h_{k-1} are not all equal to 0, then let $0 \leq j_1 \leq k-1$ be the first index such that $h_{j_1} \neq 0$. We then have:

$$h(t, u) = t^{j_1} \left(h_{j_1} + \sum_{j > j_1} h_j(u) t^{j-j_1} \right).$$

Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^2 containing a neighbourhood of some point $u_0 \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$. Then, since $(t, u) \rightarrow \sum_{j > j_1} h_j(u) t^{j-j_1}$ is holomorphic, we get $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j > j_1} h_j(u) t^{j-j_1} = 0$ uniformly for $u \in K$. This implies that $h(t, u) \neq 0$, for $|t| \neq 0$ small enough, and for all $u \in K$, which contradicts the assumption that $u_0 \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$. We conclude that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$. The continuation and the reciprocal will be proved in (b).

(b). Let us assume now that $h_0 = \dots = h_{k-1} = 0$. We then write $h(t, u) = t^k \tilde{h}(t, u)$ where

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{h}(t, u) = h_k(u) + \sum_{j > k} h_j(u) t^{j-k}.$$

We have to show that $u \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$ if and only if $h_k(u) = 0$.

“ \Rightarrow ”: If $h_k(u) \neq 0$, the same argument as at (a) shows that $u \notin \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Let $h_k(u_1, u_2) = 0$. We have to show that for every neighborhood V of u and every disc $D_\rho \subset \mathbb{C}$ centred at the origin, $\rho < r$, there exist $v \in V$ and $t \in D_\rho \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{h}(t, v) = 0$.

Suppose that this is not the case. Denoting by $Z(\tilde{h})$ the zero-set of \tilde{h} , we would then have

$$(Z(\tilde{h}) \cap (V \times D_\rho)) \subset V \times \{0\}.$$

We also have the equality $Z(\tilde{h}) \cap (V \times \{0\}) = Z(h_k) \times \{0\}$. It would follow the inclusion:

$$(3.3) \quad (Z(\tilde{h}) \cap (V \times D_\rho)) \subset Z(h_k) \times \{0\}.$$

The set $Z(h_k) \times \{0\}$ has dimension 1, while $Z(\tilde{h}) \cap (V \times D_\rho)$ has dimension 2 since it cannot be empty, as $\tilde{h}(u, 0) = 0$. We obtain in this way a contradiction to the inclusion (3.3).

We finally note that Δ^{atyp} is the union of $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$ over all branches at infinity of \bar{X} , thus Δ^{atyp} is a union of lines, all of which are parallel to the isotropic lines. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let Γ be a branch of \bar{X} at $\xi \in X^\infty \cap Q^\infty$.*

Then $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if H^∞ is not tangent to Γ at ξ .

Proof. Let us show our claim for $\xi = [i; 1]$, since a similar proof works for the other point. We suppose that H^∞ is not tangent to Γ at ξ .

Let (w, z) be local coordinates of \mathbb{P}^2 at ξ , such that $H^\infty = \{z = 0\}$ and we have:

$$x = \frac{w}{z}, \quad y = \frac{1}{z}.$$

Our hypotheses H^∞ is not tangent to Γ at ξ imply that we may choose a parametrisation for Γ of the form $z(t) = t^k$, $w(t) = i + t^k P_1(t)$, P_1 being a holomorphic function on a neighborhood of the origin.

Under the preceding notations, $Q(t) \equiv 1$, $P(t) = i + t^k P_1(t)$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, u) &= (t^k P_1'(t) - ki)(i + t^k P_1(t) - u_1 t^k) - k + ku_2 t^k \\ &= t^k \left[P_1'(t)(i + t^k P_1(t) - u_1 t^k) - ki P_1(t) + ki u_1 + ku_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Applying now Theorem 3.3(a), we deduce that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$.

Reciprocally, let us assume now that H^∞ is tangent to Γ at ξ . With the same choice of coordinates, we choose a parametrisation for Γ of the form $z(t) = t^k$, $w(t) = i + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j t^j$. Let a_r be the first nonzero coefficient in $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j t^j$. Our assumption that H^∞ is tangent to Γ at ξ implies that $r < k$.

As before, we have $Q(t) \equiv 1$ and $P(t) = i + a_r t^r + \text{h.o.t.}$ where h.o.t. means as usual ‘‘higher order terms’’, meaning here that the order is higher than r . We get:

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, u) &= (tP'(t) - kP(t))(P(t) - u_1 t^k) + (tQ'(t) - kQ(t))(Q(t) - u_2 t^k) \\ &= (ra_r t^r - ki - ka_r t^r + \text{h.o.t.})(i + a_r t^r + \text{h.o.t.}) - k + \text{h.o.t.} \\ &= k + ia_r(r - 2k)t^r - k + \text{h.o.t.} = ia_r(r - 2k)t^r + \text{h.o.t.} \end{aligned}$$

We have $a_r \neq 0$, $r - 2k \neq 0$ since $r < k$, hence we obtain $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ by Theorem 3.3(a). \square

3.2. Morse numbers at infinity. We have shown in §3.1 that Δ^{atyp} is a union of lines. Our purpose is now to fix a point $\xi \in \overline{X} \cap Q^\infty$ and find the number of Morse singularities of D_u which abut to it when the centre u moves from outside Δ^{atyp} toward some $u_0 \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}$. We will in fact do much more than that.

Let Γ be a local branch of \overline{X} at ξ . We assume that $u_0 \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma) \subset \Delta^{\text{atyp}}$, as defined in §3.1. Let $B \in \mathbb{C}$ denote a small disk centred at the origin, and let $u : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a continuous path such that $u(0) = u_0$, and that $h_k(u(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \neq 0$.

We will now prove the formula for the number of Morse points which are lost at infinity.

Theorem 3.5. *For $u_0 \in \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(\Gamma)$, the number of Morse points of $D_{u(s)}$, which abut to ξ along Γ when $s \rightarrow 0$ is:*

$$m_\Gamma(u_0) := \text{ord}_0 \left(\sum_{j > k} h_j(u_0) t^j \right) - \text{mult}_\xi(\Gamma, H^\infty),$$

if $\text{ord}_0 \sum_{j > k} h_j(u_0) t^j$ is finite. In this case the integer $m_\Gamma(u_0) > 0$ is independent of the choice of the path $u(s)$ at u_0 .

REMARK 3.6. The order $\text{ord}_0 \sum_{j>k} h_j(u_0)t^j$ is infinite if and only if the series is identically zero, and this is equivalent to $X = \{(x - u_{0,1})^2 + (y - u_{0,2})^2\} = \alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We use Theorem 3.3, its preliminaries and its proof with all notations and details. Replacing u by $u(s)$ in (3.2) yields:

$$\tilde{h}(t, u(s)) = h_k(u(s)) + \sum_{j>k} h_j(u(s))t^{j-k}.$$

Note that by our choice of the path $u(s)$ we have that $h_k(u(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \neq 0$ close enough to 0.

The number of Morse points which abut to ξ is precisely the number of solutions in variable t of the equation $\tilde{h}(t, u(s)) = 0$ which converge to 0 when $s \rightarrow 0$. This is precisely equal to $\text{ord}_t \sum_{j>k} h_j(u_0)t^{j-k}$. In particular, this result is independent of the choice of the path $u(s)$. \square

REMARK 3.7. For $j \geq k$, the set $L_j := \{h_j(u) = 0\}$ is a line if $h_j(u) \not\equiv 0$. The number of Morse points $m_\Gamma(u)$ interprets as the first index $j > k$ such that L_j is a nonempty line. Then $L_j \cap L_k$ consists of at most one point.

It then follows that the number $m_\Gamma(u)$ is constant for all points $u \in L_k$, except possibly at one single point $\hat{u} = L_j \cap L_k$, where the number is higher, or where $D_{\hat{u}}$ has non-isolated singularities. The generic number will be denoted by m_Γ^{gen} . See Examples 5.1 and 5.3.

This justifies:

DEFINITION 3.8. We call m_Γ^{gen} the *generic Morse number at ξ along Γ* . The number:

$$m_\xi^{\text{gen}} := \sum_{\Gamma} m_\Gamma^{\text{gen}}$$

will be called the *generic Morse number at ξ* .

We say that $m_\Gamma(\hat{u})$ is the *exceptional Morse number at ξ along Γ* , whenever this point \hat{u} exists and the associated number is finite. See Example 5.1 where \hat{u} exists but the number $m_\Gamma(\hat{u})$ is not defined because the order is infinite (cf Theorem 3.5).

4. LOCAL BEHAVIOUR AT ATTRACTORS, AND THE STRUCTURE OF DISCRIMINANTS

4.1. The attractors of Morse points. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a reduced affine variety of dimension 1. Let $u_0 \in \Delta_T$. A point $p \in \overline{X}$ will be called *attractor* for D_{u_0} if there are Morse points of $D_{u(s)}$ which abut to p when $s \rightarrow 0$, where $u(s)$ is some path at $u(0) := u_0$. The attractors fall into 3 types, which correspond to the 3 types of discriminants defined at §2.4:

(1). One or both points of $\overline{X} \cap Q^\infty$ may be attractors, as shown in Lemma 3.2. See §3.2 for all details, and Examples 5.1 and 5.3.

(2). Any $p \in \text{Sing } X$ is an attractor, since at least one Morse point of $D_{u(s)}$ abuts to it. See §4.2 for all details.

(3). Points $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$ to which more than one Morse singularities of $D_{u(s)}$ abut. Such a point appears to be a non-Morse singularity of $\text{Sing } D_{u_0}$, and it varies with $u_0 \in \Delta_T$. See §4.3, and Example 5.2.

4.2. Structure of Δ^{sing} , and Morse numbers at the attractors of $\text{Sing } X$.

We consider X with reduced structure; consequently X has isolated singularities only. We recall from §2.4 that Δ^{sing} is the subset of points $u \in \Delta_1(X)$ such that, when $u(s) \rightarrow u_0$, at least a Morse point of $D_{u(s)}$ abuts to a singularity of X .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p \in \text{Sing } X$. Then p is an attractor, and:*

- (a) *The singular discriminant is the union*

$$\Delta^{\text{sing}} = \cup_{p \in \text{Sing } X} \mathcal{N} \text{Cone}_p X,$$

where $\mathcal{N} \text{Cone}_p X$ denotes the union of all the normal lines at p to the tangent cone $\text{Cone}_p X$.

- (b) *The number of Morse points of $D_{u(s)}$, which abut to p along Γ when $s \rightarrow 0$, is:*

$$m_{\Gamma}(u_0) := 1 - \text{mult}_p \Gamma + \text{ord}_t \sum_{j \geq \text{mult}_p \Gamma} h_j(u_0) t^j$$

This number is independent of the choice of the path $u(s)$.

Proof. (a). Let us consider a local branch Γ of X at $p \in \text{Sing } X$. Let $\gamma : B \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the Puiseux parametrisation of Γ at p , with $\gamma(0) = p$, where B denotes a small enough disk in \mathbb{C} centred at 0. For $t \in B$, and up to a switch of coordinates, we have the presentation:

$$x(t) := x(\gamma(t)) = p_1 + t^{\alpha} \text{ and } y(t) := y(\gamma(t)) = p_2 + ct^{\beta} + \text{h.o.t.},$$

where $c \neq 0$, and (α, β) are the first Puiseux exponents of γ , with $\beta \geq \alpha = \text{mult}_p \Gamma > 1$.

We then have the equivalence:

$$(4.1) \quad ((x(t), y(t)), u) \in \mathcal{E}_X \iff x'(t)(x(t) - u_1) + y'(t)(y(t) - u_2) = 0.$$

This equivalence (4.1) means that the number of Morse points which abut to p when $t \rightarrow 0$ is precisely the maximal number of solutions in t of the equation:

$$(4.2) \quad h(t, u) := x'(t)(x(t) - u_1) + y'(t)(y(t) - u_2) = 0$$

which converge to 0 when $s \rightarrow 0$.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t)(x(t) - u_1) &= \alpha(p_1 - u_1)t^{\alpha-1} + \alpha t^{2\alpha-1}, \\ y'(t)(y(t) - u_2) &= c\beta(p_2 - u_2)t^{\beta-1} + c\beta t^{2\beta-1} + \text{h.o.t.}, \end{aligned}$$

We write $h(t, u) = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_j(u) t^j$ as a holomorphic function of variable t with coefficients depending on u . For any $j \geq 0$, the coefficient $h_j(u)$ is a linear function in u_1, u_2 , possibly identically zero. Let $h(t, u) = t^{\alpha-1} \tilde{h}(t, u)$, where $\tilde{h}(t, u) := \sum_{j \geq \alpha-1} h_j(u) t^{j-\alpha+1}$.

Note that the constant term in $\tilde{h}(t, u)$ as a series in variable t is $h_{\alpha-1}(u) = \alpha(p_1 - u_1)$ if $\alpha > \beta$, or $h_{\alpha-1}(u) = \alpha(p_1 - u_1) + c\beta(p_2 - u_2)$ if $\alpha = \beta$.

It follows that either the line $L := \{u_1 - p_1 = 0\}$, or the line $L := \{\alpha(p_1 - u_1) + c\beta(p_2 - u_2) = 0\}$, respectively, is included in the discriminant Δ^{sing} . Note that this line is the normal at p to the tangent cone of the branch Γ in the coordinate system that we have set in the beginning. This proves the point (a) of our statement.

(b). Let us fix now a point $u_0 \in L \subset \Delta_T$. In order to compute how many Morse points abut to p along Γ when approaching u_0 , let us consider a small disk $B \in \mathbb{C}$ centred at the origin and a continuous path $u : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $u(0) = u_0$, and that $h_{\alpha-1}(u(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Let $B \in \mathbb{C}$ denote a small disk centred at the origin, and let $u : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a continuous path such that $u(0) = u_0$, and that $h_k(u(s)) \neq 0$ for all $s \neq 0$.

Replacing u by $u(s)$ yields:

$$\tilde{h}(t, u(s)) = \sum_{j \geq \alpha-1} h_j(u(s)) t^{j-\alpha+1}.$$

The number of Morse points which abut to p is then the number of solutions in variable t of the equation $\tilde{h}(t, u(s)) = 0$ which converge to 0 when $s \rightarrow 0$. This is precisely equal to $\text{ord}_t \sum_{j \geq \alpha} h_j(u_0) t^{j-\alpha+1}$. In particular, this result is independent of the choice of the path $u(s)$. \square

REMARK 4.2. There is a generic Morse number $m_{p,\Gamma}(u)$ for all $u \in L$, except possibly a unique exceptional point \tilde{u} of L for which the number is higher, or $D_{\tilde{u}}$ has a non-isolated singularity. See Example 2.4, $X := \{x^3 = y^2\}$, where L is the axis $u_1 = 0$. The generic Morse number $m_{(0,0),X}(u)$ is 1, and the exceptional point of this line is $\tilde{u} = (0, 0)$, where the Morse number is 2.

4.3. The regular discriminant Δ^{reg} , and Morse attractors on X_{reg} .

We recall from §2.4 that Δ^{reg} denotes the subset of points $u \in \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$ such that D_u has a degenerate critical point³ on X_{reg} . Such a singularity is an attractor for at least 2 Morse points of some generic small deformation of D_u .

Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be a local parametrisation of X at some point $p := (x(t_0), y(t_0)) \in X_{\text{reg}}$.

DEFINITION 4.3. A point $p = (x(t_0), y(t_0)) \in X_{\text{reg}}$ is called a *flex point* if $x'(t_0)y''(t_0) - y'(t_0)x''(t_0) = 0$. We say that the tangent line to X_{reg} at p is *isotropic* if it verifies the condition $(x'(t_0))^2 + (y'(t_0))^2 = 0$.

These two definitions do not depend on the chosen parametrisation.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $p = (p_1, p_2) \in X_{\text{reg}}$ and $(x(t), y(t))$ be a local parametrisation for X at p .*

- (a) *If p is not a flex point, then there exists a unique $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that p is an attractor for D_u .*
- (b) *If p is a flex point, and if the tangent to X at p is isotropic, then p is an attractor for D_u for every point u on the line tangent to X at p .*
- (c) *If p is a flex point, and if the tangent to X at p is not isotropic, then p is not an attractor for D_u , $\forall u \in \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X)$.*

Proof. We recall that:

$$h(t, u) := x'(t)(x(t) - u_1) + y'(t)(y(t) - u_2).$$

³In the classical real setting, this is known as an *evolute*, or a *caustic*, see e.g. [Mi], [Tr],[CT].

Let t_0 be the point in the domain of the parametrisation $(x(t), y(t))$ such that $(x(t_0), y(t_0)) = p$. The Taylor series around t_0 is:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = p_1 + x'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \frac{x''(t_0)}{2} \cdot (t - t_0)^2 + \text{h.o.t.} \\ y(t) = p_2 + y'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \frac{y''(t_0)}{2} \cdot (t - t_0)^2 + \text{h.o.t.} \end{cases}$$

and therefore:

$$h(t, u) = [x'(t_0)(p_1 - u_1) + y'(t_0)(p_2 - u_2)] + [(x'(t_0))^2 + x''(t_0)(p_1 - u_1) + (y'(t_0))^2 + y''(t_0)(p_2 - u_2)](t - t_0) + \text{h.o.t.}$$

The point $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$ is an attractor (i.e. for at least 2 Morse points) if and only if $\text{ord}_{t_0} h(t, u) > 1$, thus if and only if $u = (u_1, u_2)$ is a solution of the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t_0)(p_1 - u_1) + y'(t_0)(p_2 - u_2) = 0 \\ x''(t_0)(p_1 - u_1) + y''(t_0)(p_2 - u_2) = -(x'(t_0))^2 - (y'(t_0))^2 \end{cases}$$

If its determinant $D = x'(t_0)y''(t_0) - y'(t_0)x''(t_0)$ is not 0, then the system has a unique solution⁴. If $D = 0$, then the system has solutions if and only if $(x'(t_0))^2 + (y'(t_0))^2 = 0$, and in this case the set of solutions is the line passing through p , normal to X , and thus tangent to X because it is parallel to one of the lines $\{x \pm iy = 0\}$. \square

4.4. Structure of Δ^{reg} .

As we have seen, Δ^{reg} may have line components due to Theorem 4.4(b), see also Example 5.2. Let us exhibit a local parametrisation of its unique component which is not a line.

Corollary 4.5. *If X is irreducible and is not a line, then Δ^{reg} has a unique component which is not a line.*

Proof. Since the non-flex points are dense in X whenever X is not a line, let $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$ be a non-flex point, and we consider a local parametrisation $(x(t), y(t))$ at $p := (x(0), y(0))$. Then the system:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t)(x(t) - u_1) + y'(t)(y(t) - u_2) = 0 \\ x''(t)(x(t) - u_1) + y''(t)(y(t) - u_2) = -(x'(t))^2 - (y'(t))^2 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t))$ for t close enough to 0. We therefore obtain a parametrisation for the germ of Δ^{reg} at $\tilde{u} = u(0)$, exactly like in the classical real setting (see e.g. “evolute” in Wikipedia [\[Wiki\]](#)):

$$\begin{cases} u_1(t) = x(t) - \frac{y'(t)((x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2)}{x'(t)y''(t) - y'(t)x''(t)} \\ u_2(t) = y(t) + \frac{x'(t)((x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2)}{x'(t)y''(t) - y'(t)x''(t)}. \end{cases}$$

This germ of Δ^{reg} at p cannot be a line. Indeed, by taking the derivative with respect to t of the first equation of the system, we get:

$$x''(t)(x(t) - u_1(t)) + (x'(t))^2 - x'(t)u_1'(t) + y''(t)(y(t) - u_2(t)) + (y'(t))^2 - y'(t)u_2'(t) = 0.$$

⁴This corresponds in the real geometry to the familiar fact that for every non-flex point there is a unique focal centre on the normal line to X through p .

By using the second equation of the system we deduce:

$$x'(t)u_1'(t) + y'(t)u_2'(t) = 0.$$

The germ Δ^{reg} at $u(0)$ is a line if and only if $u_1'(t)/u_2'(t)$ is constant for all t close enough to 0, which by the above equation is equivalent to $x'(t)/y'(t) = \text{const}$. This implies that X is a line at p , thus it is an affine line, contradicting our assumption. \square

4.5. Morse numbers at attractors on X_{reg} . Let $u_0 \in \Delta^{\text{reg}}(X)$ and let $p \in \text{Sing } D_{u_0|X} \cap X_{\text{reg}}$. We call *Morse number at $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$* , and denote it by m_p , the number of Morse points which abut to p when $s \rightarrow 0$ in a general (Morse) deformation $D_{u(s)}$ with $u(0) = u_0$.

A point $p \in \text{Sing } D_{u_0|X}$ is an *attractor* if $m_p \geq 2$, see §4.1 point (1). An attractor is therefore a singularity of $D_{u_0|X}$ at p which is not Morse.

Theorem 4.6 (Morse number at an attractor on X_{reg}).

The Morse number at $p \in \text{Sing } D_{u_0|X}$ is:

$$m_p = \text{mult}_p(X, \{D_{u_0} = D_{u_0}(p)\}) - 1$$

Proof. This is a corollary of a very general classical results, as follows. The Milnor number of a holomorphic function germ $f : (X, p) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with isolated singularity at a smooth point $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$ is equal to the number of Morse points in some Morsification f_s which abut to p when $s \rightarrow 0$, cf Brieskorn [Br], and see also [Ti] for a more general statement.

On the other hand the Milnor number of f at $p \in X_{\text{reg}}$, in case $\dim_p X = 1$, is equal to the multiplicity of f at p minus 1. In our case, this multiplicity is the intersection multiplicity $\text{mult}_p(X, \{D_{u_0} = D_{u_0}(p)\})$. \square

5. EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 5.1 (The “complex circle”).

Let $X := \{x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, and $D_u := (x - u_1)^2 + (y - u_2)^2$. We have $X^\infty \cap Q^\infty = Q^\infty = \{[1; i], [i; 1]\}$, and $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 2$. We will see that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}} \neq \emptyset$.

A parametrisation of the unique branch of X at $[1; i]$, which we will denote by $X_{[1; i]}$, is $\gamma : x = \frac{1+t^2}{2t}$, $y = \frac{(1-t^2)i}{2t}$, for $s \rightarrow 0$. We get, in the notations of §3.1: $k = 1$, $P(t) = \frac{1+t^2}{2}$, $P'(t) = t$, and $Q(t) = \frac{(1-t^2)i}{2}$, $Q'(t) = it$. After all simplifications, we obtain:

$$h(t, u) = (u_1 + iu_2)t + (-u_1 + iu_2)t^3$$

which yields $\tilde{h}(t, u) = (u_1 + iu_2) + (-u_1 + iu_2)t^2$.

This shows that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(X_{[1; i]}) = \{u_1 + iu_2 = 0\}$, and that $m_{X_{[1; i]}}^{\text{gen}} = 2$ in the notations of Remark 3.7, which means that there are 2 Morse points which abut to $[1; i]$. The exceptional point on the line is $(0, 0)$, for which we get $\tilde{h}(t, u) \equiv 0$, which means that $\dim \text{Sing } D_{(0,0)} > 0$, in other words $D_{(0,0)}$ has non-isolated singularities on X .

The study at the other point at infinity $[i; 1]$ is similar. By the symmetry, we get: $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(X_{[i; 1]}) = \{u_1 - iu_2 = 0\}$ and $m_{X_{[i; 1]}}^{\text{gen}} = 2$, with the same exceptional point $(0, 0)$.

We get $\Delta^{\text{atyp}} = \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(X_{[i; 1]}) \cup \Delta^{\text{atyp}}(X_{[1; i]}) = \{u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 0\}$, and we actually have:

$$\Delta_T(X) = \Delta_{\text{ED}}(X) = \Delta^{\text{atyp}}.$$

EXAMPLE 5.2 (where Δ^{atyp} is a line component of Δ^{reg}).

Let

$$X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : xy^4 = iy^5 + y^3 - 3y^2 + 3y - 1\}.$$

We have $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 10$. We will first find Δ^{atyp} . Let us observe that $\bar{X} \cap H^\infty = \{[1; 0], [i; 1]\}$, and that $Q^\infty \cap H^\infty = \{[i; 1]\}$, thus in order to find Δ^{atyp} we have to focus at the point $[i; 1]$ only. A local parametrisation of X at $[i; 1]$, which is actually global, is given by:

$$t \in \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow (x(t), y(t)); \quad x(t) = \frac{i + t^2 - 3t^3 + 3t^4 - t^5}{t}, \quad y(t) = \frac{1}{t}.$$

By our study of the structure of Δ^{atyp} in §3.1, we get: $k = 1$, $P(t) = i + t^2 - 3t^3 + 3t^4 - t^5$ and $Q(t) = 1$. Thus:

$$h(t, u) = [(-i)(i - u_1) + (-1)(1 - u_2)]t + t^3(-3i - u_1) + \text{h.o.t.} = (iu_1 + u_2)t + t^3(-3i - u_1) + \text{h.o.t.}$$

and therefore Δ^{atyp} is the line $L := \{iu_1 + u_2 = 0\}$. By Theorem 3.5 and Definition 3.5, the generic Morse number at infinity of is then $m_{[i; 1]}^{\text{gen}} = 3 - 1 = 2$.

We claim that the inclusion $\Delta^{\text{atyp}} \subset \Delta^{\text{reg}}$ holds. To prove it, we will use Theorem 4.4 at the point $p = (i, 1)$ and the same global parametrisation, thus at the value $t_0 = 1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= -\frac{i}{t^2} + 1 - 6t + 9t^2 - 4t^3, & x''(t) &= \frac{2i}{t^3} - 6 + 18t - 12t^2, \\ y'(t) &= -\frac{1}{t^2}, & y''(t) &= \frac{2}{t^3}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $t_0 = 1$, we get:

$$x(1) = i, \quad y(1) = 1, \quad x'(1) = -i, \quad x''(1) = 2i, \quad y'(1) = -1, \quad y''(1) = 2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x'(1)y''(1) - y'(1)x''(1) &= (-i)2 - (-1)(2i) = 0, \\ (x'(1))^2 + (y'(1))^2 &= (-i)^2 + (-1)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.4(b), every point (u_1, u_2) which satisfies the equation

$$x'(1)(x(1) - u_1) + y'(1)(y(1) - u_2) = 0$$

is in Δ^{reg} . In our case we have:

$$x'(1)(x(1) - u_1) + y'(1)(y(1) - u_2) = (-i)(i - u_1) + (-1)(1 - u_2) = iu_1 + u_2,$$

thus our claim is proved.

By §4.4 it follows that Δ^{reg} does not contain any other line component.

5.1. Isotropic coordinates. The examples with atypical discriminant do not occur in the real setting. Indeed, the isotropic points at infinity Q^∞ are not real, and the atypical discriminant is not real either (since consist of lines parallel to the isotropic lines). We obtain real coefficients when we use “isotropic coordinates”, as follows: $z := x + iy$, $w := x - iy$. The data points also become: $v_1 := u_1 + iv_2$, $v_2 := u_1 - iv_2$, and the Euclidean distance function takes the following hyperbolic shape:

$$D_v(z, w) = (z - v_1)(w - v_2).$$

In isotropic coordinates, Q^∞ reads $\{zw = 0\}$, thus two points: $[0; 1]$ and $[1; 0]$. In order to study what happens with the Morse points in the neighbourhood of these points at infinity $[0; 1]$ and $[1; 0]$, we need to change the variables in the formulas of §3.1. So we recall and adapt as follows:

Let $\gamma : D \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a local holomorphic parametrisation of Γ at $\xi \in Q^\infty$, where D is some small enough disk in \mathbb{C} centred at 0, and $\gamma(0) = \xi$. For $t \in D$, we write $z_1(t) := z_1(\gamma(t))$ and $z_2(t) := z_2(\gamma(t))$, where $z_1(t)$ and $z_2(t)$ are meromorphic on D . Then there exists a unique positive integer k such that:

$$z_1(t) = \frac{P(t)}{t^k}, \quad z_2(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{t^k},$$

and $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are holomorphic on D , where $P(0)$ and $Q(0)$ are not both equal to zero. Note that under these notations we have $\xi = [P(0); Q(0)] \in H^\infty$.

For $t \in D \setminus \{0\}$ and $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we then have the equivalence $((z_1(t), z_2(t)), v) \in \mathcal{E}_X \iff h(t, v) = 0$, where:

$$(5.1) \quad h(t, v) = (tP'(t) - kP(t))(Q(t) - v_2t^k) + (tQ'(t) - kQ(t))(P(t) - v_1t^k),$$

and note that $h : D \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function.

EXAMPLE 5.3. $X = \{z_1^2 z_2 - z_1 = 1\}$ in isotropic coordinates. Then $X^\infty \cap Q^\infty = Q^\infty$ consist of the two isotropic points, $[0; 1]$ and $[1; 0]$. On computes that $\text{EDdeg}(X) = 3$.

At the point $[0; 1] \in Q^\infty$, the curve \bar{X} has a single local branch, which we denote by $X_{[0;1]}$. We use the parametrisation: $z_1 = t = \frac{t^3}{t^2}$, $z_2 = \frac{1+t}{t^2}$.

Thus $k = 2$ and $P = t^3$, $Q = 1 + t$, for $t \rightarrow 0$

The condition (5.1) becomes: $h(t, v) = 2v_1t^2 + (v_1 - 1)t^3 - v_2t^5$.

In the notations of §3.1, we get: $h_0 = h_1 = 0$, and therefore, by Theorem 3.3, we have that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(X_{[0;1]}) = \{v_1 = 0\}$. By Theorem 3.5, the Morse number is $m_{X_{[0;1]}}^{\text{gen}} = 3 - 2 = 1$ at every point of this line.

At the other isotropic point $[1; 0]$, we also have a single branch of X , which we denote by $X_{[1;0]}$. Using the parametrisation $z_1 = \frac{1}{t}$, $z_2 = \frac{t^3+t^2}{t}$, we get $k = 1$, and $P = 1$, $Q = t^2 + t^3$. This yields: $h(t, v) = v_2t + (1 - v_1)t^3 - 2v_1t^4$.

Since $h_0 = 0$, by Theorem 3.3, we have that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}}(X_{[1;0]}) = \{v_2 = 0\}$. By Theorem 3.5, the Morse number is $m_{X_{[1;0]}}^{\text{gen}} = 3 - 1 = 2$ at all points of this line except of the point of intersection $(1, 0) = \{v_2 = 0 = 1 - v_1\}$, where the Morse number is $m_{X_{[0;1]}}((1, 0)) = 4 - 1 = 3$. At this exceptional point, all the 3 Morse points abut to infinity at $[1; 0]$.

Here are some more conclusions:

- $\Delta^{\text{atyp}} = \{v_1 v_2 = 0\}$: two lines trough the isotropic points,
- $\Delta^{\text{reg}} = \{-v_1^3 + 27v_1^2v_2 + 3v_1^2 - 3v_1 + 1 = 0\}$, as computed with Mathematica [Wo].




FIGURE 1. In blue Δ^{reg} ; in brown Δ^{atyp} ; in green a real picture of X .

- $\Delta^{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$.

Notice that $\Delta^{\text{atyp}} \cap \Delta^{\text{reg}} \ni (1, 0)$. We have seen above that when moving the data point from outside the discriminant Δ_T to the point $(1, 0)$, the 3 Morse points go to infinity at $[1; 0]$. In case we move the data point inside Δ^{reg} , then both the Morse point and the non-Morse singular point go to infinity. Moving the data point along $\{v_2 = 0\}$, the single Morse point goes to infinity at $[1; 0]$.

REFERENCES

- [Br] E. Brieskorn, *Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, Manuscripta Math. (1970), no. 2, 103-161. [13](#)
- [CT] F. Catanese, C. Trifogli, *Focal loci of algebraic varieties. I*. Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), no. 12, 6017-6057. [1](#), [11](#)
- [DGS] S. Di Rocco, L. Gustafsson, L. Sodomaco. *Conditional Euclidean distance optimization via relative tangency*. preprint arXiv:2310.16766 (2023). [1](#)
- [DHOST] J. Draisma, E. Horobeț, G. Ottaviani, B. Sturmfels and R. R. Thomas. *The Euclidean distance degree of an algebraic variety*. Found. Comput. Math. 16 (2016), no 1, 99-149. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [GM] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 14. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [Ho1] E. Horobeț, *The data singular and the data isotropic loci for affine cones*. Communications in Algebra, 45 (2017), no. 3, 1177-1186. [1](#)
- [Ho2] E. Horobeț, *The critical curvature degree of an algebraic variety*. Journal of Symbolic Computation 121 (2024) 102259. [1](#)
- [HS] J. Huh and B. Sturmfels, *Likelihood geometry*. In: Combinatorial algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 2108, pag. 63-117. Springer, Cham, 2014. [1](#)
- [MRW1] L. G. Maxim, J. I. Rodriguez and B. Wang. *Euclidean distance degree of the multiview variety*. SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom. 4 (2020), no. 1, 28-48. [2](#)
- [MRW2] L.G. Maxim, J.I. Rodriguez and B. Wang. *A Morse theoretic approach to non-isolated singularities and applications to optimization*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 226 (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 106865, 23 pp. [2](#)
- [MT1] L. Maxim, M. Tibăr, *Euclidean distance degree and limit points in a Morsification*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 152 (2024), Paper No. 102597, 20 pp. [2](#)

- [MT2] L. Maxim, M. Tibăr, *Morse numbers of function germs with isolated singularities*, Q. J. Math. 74 (2023), no. 4, 1535–1544. [2](#)
- [MT3] L. Maxim, M. Tibăr, *Morse numbers of complex polynomials*. J. Topology 17 (2024), no. 4, Paper e12362. [2](#)
- [Mi] J.W. Milnor, *Morse theory*. Ann. of Math. Stud., No. 51 Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1963, vi+153 pp. [11](#)
- [NRS] J. Nie, K. Ranestad, and B. Sturmfels. *The algebraic degree of semidefinite programming*. Math. Program. 2 Ser. A, 122 (2010), 379-405. [1](#)
- [PST] J. Ponce, B. Sturmfels, and M. Trager, *Congruences and concurrent lines in multi-view geometry*. Adv. in Appl. Math 88 (2017), 62-91. [1](#)
- [PRS] R. Piene, C. Riener, B. Shapiro, *Return of the plane evolute*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.11691> [1](#)
- [STV] J. Seade, M. Tibăr, A. Verjovsky, *Global Euler obstruction and polar invariants*, Math. Ann. 333 (2005), no.2, 393-403. [2](#)
- [Ti] M. Tibăr, *Local linear Morsifications*. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 69 (2024), no. 2, 295-303. [13](#)
- [Tr] C. Trifogli, *Focal loci of algebraic hypersurfaces: a general theory*. Geom. Dedicata 70 (1998), no. 1, 1-26. [11](#)
- [Wiki] Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Evolute> [12](#)
- [Wo] Mathematica 14.1. Wolfram Mathematica free software, <https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica/> [15](#)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1-764, 014700 BUCHAREST,
ROMANIA AND LABORATOIRE EUROPÉEN ASSOCIÉ CNRS FRANCO-ROUMAIN MATH-MODE
Email address: Cezar.Joita@imar.ro

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 80010, 3508 TA UTRECHT, THE
NETHERLANDS.
Email address: D.Siersma@uu.nl

UNIV. LILLE, CNRS, UMR 8524 – LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, F-59000 LILLE, FRANCE
Email address: mihai-marius.tibar@univ-lille.fr