

Instanton-Induced Supersymmetry Breaking in Topological Semimetals

W. B. Rui,^{1,*} Y. X. Zhao,^{1,†} and Z. D. Wang^{1,‡}

¹*Department of Physics and HK Institute of Quantum Science & Technology,
The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China*

Supersymmetry (SUSY) proposed as an elementary symmetry for physics beyond the Standard Model has found important applications in various areas outside high-energy physics. Here, we systematically implement supersymmetric quantum mechanics—exhibiting fundamental SUSY properties in the simple setting of quantum mechanics—into a wide range of topological semimetals, where the broken translational symmetry, e.g., by a magnetic field, is effectively captured by a SUSY potential. We show that the dynamical SUSY breaking via the instanton effect over the SUSY potential valleys works as the underlying mechanism for the gap opening of the topological semimetallic phases, and the magnitude of the instanton effect is proportional to the energy gap. This instanton mechanism provides a simple criterion for determining whether the energy gap has been opened, without resorting to detailed calculations, i.e., a finite energy gap is opened if and only if the SUSY potential has an even number of zeros. Our theory leads to previously unexpected results: even an infinitesimal magnetic field can open a gap in topologically robust Dirac, Weyl, and nodal-line semimetallic phases due to the dynamical SUSY breaking. Overall, the revealed connection between SUSY quantum mechanics and non-uniform topological semimetals can elucidate previously ambiguous phenomena, provide guidance for future investigations, and open a new avenue for exploring topological semimetals.

Introduction.— Supersymmetry (SUSY) attracts significant attention due to its profound potential for extending the Standard Model [1–12]. To explain the absence of SUSY particles in current experiments, the spontaneous SUSY breaking at the low-energy regime is a necessary ingredient in SUSY quantum field theories [5–7]. To elucidate this core concept, Witten introduced supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY QM) [5], namely the (0+1)D SUSY field theories, where the instanton effect acts as a key mechanism of dynamical SUSY breaking. Since then, it was soon clear that SUSY QM was interesting in its own right, and has been developed to understand various solvable potential problems [13–16]. In this work, we shall develop a new horizon of SUSY quantum mechanics, through revealing the connection between SUSY QM and non-uniform topological semimetals. Before entering our topic, it would be noteworthy that SUSY theories have been realized or found applications in various fields outside of high-energy physics, such as optics, disordered systems, and condensed matters [17–41].

In condensed matter experiments, various non-uniform topological semimetals with translational symmetry breaking have been extensively studied. For instance, the translational symmetry may be violated under magnetic fields or strain, as actively being experimentally examined for topological Dirac, Weyl, and nodal-line semimetals [42–50]. A central issue in these studies is to determine whether a finite critical field or strain is required for gap opening or the destruction of topological zero modes. Despite significant experimental and theoretical efforts, this question remains unresolved. Particularly, due to the lack of translational symmetry, conventional theoretical methods become ambiguous in capturing the topological essence of such non-uniform systems.

In this work, we establish a rigorous theoretical characterization for such non-uniform topological semimetals in terms of SUSY QM. We systematically implement SUSY QM into a wide range of non-uniform topological semimetals possessing chiral symmetry, where broken translational symmetry, e.g., by a magnetic field, is effectively captured by a SUSY potential. Remarkably, we uncover that the dynamical SUSY breaking, mediated by the instanton effect, serves as the mechanism underlying the gap opening or destruction of these semimetallic phases. The magnitude of energy gap is proportional to instanton effect over the SUSY potential valleys, and can be determined by instanton calculations. Based on the instanton mechanism, we propose a straightforward criterion for determining whether an energy gap has been opened without requiring detailed calculations. Specifically, a finite energy gap is opened if and only if there are an even number of zeros in the SUSY potential.

Our theory yields several unexpected results. We find that the Dirac points in graphene become gapped under a magnetic field, even though the gap size is exponentially small. Furthermore, we demonstrate that pairs of Weyl points are annihilated regardless of the strength of magnetic field when oriented in a specific direction, clarifying that there is no threshold for the annihilation to occur [49, 50]. Finally, we find that even a weak magnetic field can destroy the topologically stable nodal-line semimetallic phases. These phenomena all result from dynamical SUSY breaking via instanton effect, as identified by our proposed method.

SUSY QM in topological phases possessing chiral symmetry.— Let us begin by examining topological materials characterized by a chiral-symmetric Hamiltonian denoted as H . Chiral symmetry S demands that $\{H, S\} = 0$, where $S^2 = \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity matrix. In the ba-

sis where S is diagonal, the Hamiltonian takes the block off-diagonal form. Thus, we can write H as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ q^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger, \quad \mathcal{Q} = q \otimes \sigma_+, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_\pm = 1/2(\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)$ and σ_i 's with $i = 1, 2, 3$ are Pauli matrices.

The connection of (1) to SUSY QM is made by noting that the operator \mathcal{Q} satisfies

$$\mathcal{Q}^2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

which is identical to the algebra of supercharge in SUSY QM (See the Supplementary Material (SM) for an introduction [51]). By treating \mathcal{Q} as the supercharge, a SUSY Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} can be constructed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\} = H^2, \quad (3)$$

where $\{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\} = \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}^\dagger + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\mathcal{Q}$. Note that a different font is used for the SUSY Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} . Equations (2) and (3) together constitute the algebra of SUSY QM [17], confirming its emergence in the square of (1).

Correspondence between gapped/gapless topological phases and broken/unbroken SUSY.— From Eq. (3), eigenvalues E of H are linked to the SUSY eigenvalues \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{H} through the equation $E = \pm\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$, where SUSY energy \mathcal{E} is always non-negative. Hence, the SUSY ground-state energy \mathcal{E}_g is related to the band gap Δ of the chiral-symmetric Hamiltonian H as

$$\Delta = 2\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_g}. \quad (4)$$

Notably, the ground-state energy determines whether SUSY is broken or not: SUSY is *broken* when the ground-state energy is positive ($\mathcal{E}_g > 0$), and it is *unbroken* when the ground-state energy is zero ($\mathcal{E}_g = 0$). As a result, we can associate gapped topological phases ($\Delta \neq 0$) with broken SUSY ($\mathcal{E}_g > 0$), and gapless topological phases ($\Delta = 0$) with unbroken SUSY ($\mathcal{E}_g = 0$).

Moreover, broken or unbroken SUSY can be determined by the existence of zero-energy ground state $|0\rangle$. From the energy expectation value $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle = \langle \psi | \mathcal{H} | \psi \rangle = (|\mathcal{Q}|\psi\rangle|^2 + |\mathcal{Q}^\dagger|\psi\rangle|^2) \geq 0$, it is evident that if $|0\rangle$ exists, it must satisfy $|\mathcal{Q}|0\rangle|^2 = |\mathcal{Q}^\dagger|0\rangle|^2 = 0$, namely,

$$\mathcal{Q}|0\rangle = \mathcal{Q}^\dagger|0\rangle = 0. \quad (5)$$

It means that the ground states of unbroken SUSY shall be annihilated by supercharges.

Gap opening in topological semimetals by spontaneous SUSY breaking.— Spontaneous SUSY breaking happens from unbroken SUSY to broken SUSY. From the above discussion, evidently, spontaneous SUSY breaking can trigger novel gap-opening processes, which are crucial for topological materials. When applied to topological semimetallic phases, spontaneous SUSY breaking introduces new mechanisms that can destroy these phases.

Emergence of Witten's model in non-uniform topological semimetals.— We are interested in the destruction of topological semimetallic phases by spontaneous SUSY breaking mechanisms, for which Witten's model [5, 17] serves as a prominent and widely studied example. We will thus focus on this model in our work. The supercharges in Witten's model are defined by

$$\mathcal{Q} = [-i\partial_x - iw(x)] \otimes \sigma_+, \quad (6)$$

and the corresponding SUSY Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = [-\partial_x^2 + w(x)^2] \otimes \mathbb{1} + w'(x) \otimes \sigma_3. \quad (7)$$

Here, the SUSY potential $w(x)$ is an arbitrary real function of the position x , and $w'(x) = \partial_x w(x)$.

We find that Witten's model could emerge in topological semimetals that are effectively characterized by the following $k \cdot p$ model,

$$Q(\mathbf{k}) = [v_i k_i - ig(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})] \otimes \sigma_+, \quad (8)$$

where v_i is a real parameter, k_i is the momentum in the i -th direction, and $g(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ is a real function of the rest momenta $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}$ excluding k_i . Notably, this model is applicable to graphene [Eqs. (12,15)], Weyl [Eq. (18)], and topological nodal-line [Eq. (19)] semimetals.

However, since Witten's model has no translational symmetry, external factors such as magnetic fields or strain shall be applied to make the topological semimetals non-uniform. We choose external factors that modify $g(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ to $g(x_i, \tilde{\mathbf{k}})$, making it to vary with respect to x_i in real space, thereby breaking the translational symmetry in the i -th direction. Hence, $Q(\mathbf{k})$ in (8) is modified by,

$$v_i k_i \rightarrow -iv_i \partial_i, \quad g(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow g(x_i, \tilde{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (9)$$

By treating $g(x_i, \tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ as the SUSY potential $w(x)$, we arrive at the Witten's model (6) up to a prefactor v_i . It is worth noting that the rich variety of $g(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$ in chiral-symmetric topological materials allows the realization of different types of Witten's model.

Criteria for determining gap opening.— We find that the novel gap-opening processes induced by spontaneous SUSY breaking can be identified using Witten's criteria. In Witten's model, assume the zero-energy state $|0\rangle$ takes a two-component form, i.e., $|0\rangle = (\psi_+(x), \psi_-(x))^T$ with T the matrix transposition. Plug it into Eq. (5), we find that $[-i\partial_x \pm iw(x)]\psi_\pm(x) = 0$. Solving these equations yields

$$\psi_\pm(x) = e^{\pm \int_0^x dx' w(x')}. \quad (10)$$

Hence, the zero-energy state exists if either $\psi_+(x)$ or $\psi_-(x)$ is normalizable. Note that we assume $|w(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, so that the SUSY spectrum is discrete.

Witten established straightforward criteria for determining whether SUSY is broken or not by merely counting the zeros of the SUSY potential $w(x)$:

1. Unbroken SUSY: $w(x)$ has an *odd number of zeros*. In this case, the signs of $w(x)$ are opposite for $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$, and one of $\psi_{\pm}(x)$ is normalizable.
2. Broken SUSY: $w(x)$ has an *even number of zeros*. Here, the signs of $w(x)$ are the same for $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$, and none of $\psi_{\pm}(x)$ is normalizable.

Notably, for non-zero even number of zeros, dynamical SUSY breaking occurs due to the instanton effect over the SUSY potential valleys [5], as exemplified in Fig. 1(d). Therefore, gap opening can be determined by simply counting the zeros: a finite energy gap is opened if and only if the SUSY potential has an even number of zeros.

Gapped Dirac points in Graphene under a magnetic field.— We begin by considering the tight-binding Hamiltonian for a monolayer graphene in momentum space, given by [52]

$$H_g(\mathbf{k}) = t \begin{pmatrix} v(\mathbf{k}) & v(\mathbf{k}) \\ v(\mathbf{k})^* & v(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where $t \approx 2.8$ eV is the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, $v(\mathbf{k}) = 1 + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a}_1} + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a}_2}$, and $\mathbf{a}_{1(2)} = a(3/2, \pm\sqrt{3}/2)$ are lattice vectors with $a \approx 1.42$ Å. The Hamiltonian respects the chiral symmetry $S = \sigma_3$. As shown Fig. 1(a), there are two Dirac points located at \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K}' .

Previous research primarily uses the $k \cdot p$ model for single Dirac points to study the effect of magnetic field. For example, the $k \cdot p$ model for Dirac point at \mathbf{K} reads

$$h_{\text{single}}(\mathbf{k}) = v_F(k_x\sigma_x - k_y\sigma_y), \quad (12)$$

where $v_F = 3at/2$. SUSY QM can also be employed to study these Hamiltonians [17]. Consider a uniform magnetic field applied perpendicular to the graphene sheet, i.e., $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$, whose vector potential is $\mathbf{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$ under the Landau gauge. The potential enters into the Hamiltonian via the replacement of $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow (-i\partial_x, k_y + eBx/\hbar, k_z)$. We can further denote the replacement as

$$\mathbf{k} \rightarrow (-i\partial_x, x/l_B^2, k_z), \quad (13)$$

by performing a change of variable of $x = x + k_y l_B^2$, where $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ is the magnetic length. After the replacement, the supercharge from Eq. (12) is identified as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{single}} = [-iv_F\partial_x - iw_{\text{single}}(x)] \otimes \sigma_+. \quad (14)$$

The square of SUSY potential $w_{\text{single}}(x) = -v_F/l_B^2 x$ is plotted in Fig. 1(c). Evidently, there is just one zero (odd) for a single Dirac point. Hence, using Witten's criteria, the spectrum is not gapped as SUSY is unbroken, in agreement with previous studies. The full spectrum is derived in the SM [51].

However, graphene possesses not one but two Dirac points, which means that its physical properties cannot




Fig. 1. (a) The momentum-space location of Dirac points in graphene. (b) Comparison of spectrum between the tight-binding model (11) and the $k \cdot p$ model for double Dirac points (15). (c) and (d) show the square of SUSY potential for single Dirac points in Eq. (12), and double Dirac points in Eq. (14). Red arrows indicate the zeros of SUSY potential.

be adequately described by models for single Dirac points like (12). For this reason, we construct a new $k \cdot p$ model capable of describing both Dirac points as

$$H_{\text{double}}(\mathbf{k}) = v_x k_x \sigma_1 + \mu_y (K^2 - k_y^2) \sigma_2, \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{k} here is relative to the \mathbf{M} point. Here, $v_x = v_F$, $\mu_y = v_F/(2K)$, and $K = |\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K}'|/2$. This Hamiltonian reduces to those for single Dirac points in the vicinity of \mathbf{K} or \mathbf{K}' , and agrees well with the lattice model of (11) as compared in Fig. 1(b).

Similarly, the magnetic field enters into Eq. (15) via the replacement of Eq. (13). We identify the supercharge as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{double}} = [-iv_x\partial_x - iw_{\text{double}}(x)] \otimes \sigma_+, \quad (16)$$

where $w_{\text{double}}(x) = \mu_y (K^2 - x^2/l_B^4)$. As shown in Fig. 1(d), the SUSY potential exhibits two zeros (even) in this case, due to the two Dirac points. Hence, using the Witten's criteria, the spectrum is gapped due to spontaneous SUSY breaking, in stark contrast with previous studies. We emphasize that the two Dirac points are gapped no matter how weak the field is.

Gap opening due to dynamical SUSY breaking via instanton effect.— Remarkably, the gap opening is due to dynamical SUSY breaking, namely, very small quantum corrections that break SUSY at a low energy scale [5, 7].

As shown in Fig. 1(d), the square of SUSY potential features two valleys around the zeros, allowing for quantum tunneling between one and the other, a phenomenon known as the instanton effect. It is this non-perturbative effect that breaks SUSY and results in a non-zero ground-state energy. The ground-state energy can be derived




Fig. 2. (a) The pair of Weyl points along the y -direction. (b) The band gap obtained by the lattice simulation (blue) and instanton calculation (red). The insets show two representative energy spectra. (c) LDOS of $\rho(n_x, n_y)$ at $E = 0$ under three different magnetic fields. The sample is periodic in the z -direction and has dimensions of 40 and 80 unit cells (labeled by n_x and n_y) in the x - and y -directions, respectively. The parameters are $v_x = 0.2 \text{ eV}\cdot\text{nm}^{-1}$, $\mu_y = 0.5 \text{ eV}\cdot\text{nm}^{-2}$, $v_z = 0.1 \text{ eV}\cdot\text{nm}^{-1}$, and $K = 0.3 \text{ nm}^{-1}$.

through the instanton calculation [53, 54] (See the SM for details [51]), from which the band gap is determined as

$$\Delta = \Delta_0 \sqrt{K \cdot B} \exp \left[-\lambda \frac{K^3}{B} \right], \quad (17)$$

where $\Delta_0 = \sqrt{8ev_x\mu_y/\hbar\pi}$, and $\lambda = 4\hbar\mu_y/3ev_x$. Evidently, when $B \neq 0$, no matter how small it is, the Dirac points are gapped, aligning with Witten's criteria. We find that due to the large separation of Dirac points ($K = 8.5 \text{ nm}^{-1}$), the gap size is exponentially small, e.g., $\Delta = 10 \text{ meV}$ for $B = 10^4 \text{ T}$.

Pair annihilation of Weyl points under a weak magnetic field.— In experiments [49, 50], it was observed that pairs of Weyl points with opposite chiralities are annihilated when a magnetic field is applied perpendicular to their separation direction. Furthermore, these studies suggested that the field strength must exceed a certain threshold to observe the annihilation. Here, we clarify that no such threshold exists for pair annihilation: the annihilation occurs as long as the magnetic field is present, due to dynamical SUSY breaking.

Let us consider a pair of Weyl points separated along the y -direction, as shown in Fig. 2(a), with the Hamiltonian given by

$$H_w(\mathbf{k}) = v_x k_x \sigma_1 + \mu_y (K^2 - k_y^2) \sigma_2 + v_z k_z \sigma_3, \quad (18)$$

where the separation K can be small in Weyl semimetals [49, 50]. To determine the gap opening upon applying




Fig. 3. (a) Spectrum of the topological nodal-line semimetal at $k_x = 0$ (left). The nodal line is located on the (k_y, k_z) -plane (right). (b) The squared SUSY potential $w_{k_z}^2(z)$ for different k_z values. Red arrows highlight the zeros.

a perpendicular magnetic field, i.e., in the z -direction, it suffices to consider the Hamiltonian at $k_z = 0$, as the system must be gapped elsewhere [55]. This brings us back to the same scenario for the double Dirac points, since the Hamiltonian reduces to Eq. (15) with chiral symmetry. Given the two zeros in the SUSY potential [Fig. 1(d)], the presence of a magnetic field, regardless of its strength, results in pair annihilation due to the gap opening by instanton effect.

The gap size obtained by instanton calculations in Fig. 2(b) is extremely small for field strength below a certain value due to the nature of the exponential function, which is consistent with the experimental observation of a threshold [56]. However, our analytical results of Eq. (17) unambiguously clarify that as long as the magnetic field exists, the gap opens and the annihilation occurs. Our results are further confirmed by numerical calculations based on the lattice model corresponding to (18), as shown in Fig. 2(b).

Furthermore, we propose an alternative method for probing the pair annihilation, since determining the band gap through transport experiments may be challenging. As shown in Fig. 2(c), we compute the local density of states (LDOS) at zero energy under different magnetic fields. At $B = 0$, the Fermi-arc surface states are localized at the two boundaries in the x -direction. As the magnetic field increases to $B = 20 \text{ T}$, a noticeable reduction in the boundary LDOS is observed, and at $B = 40 \text{ T}$, the localization is completely destroyed. Therefore, the pair annihilation is also detectable by LDOS, which can be observed in STM experiments [57].

Destruction of topological nodal-line semimetallic phase by spontaneous SUSY breaking.— Finally, we ap-

ply our theory to topological nodal-line semimetals, with a typical $k \cdot p$ model given by [58–60]

$$H_{\text{nl}}(\mathbf{k}) = v_x k_x \sigma_1 + t [r^2 - (k_y^2 + k_z^2)] \sigma_2, \quad (19)$$

which has the chiral symmetry $S = \sigma_3$. Here, v_x , t , and r are model parameters. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the nodal points form a ring with radius r .

Upon applying a magnetic field in the z -direction, the supercharge is obtained by the replacement of Eq. (13) in H_{nl} , namely,

$$Q_{\text{nl}} = [-iv_x \partial_x - iw_{k_z}(x)] \otimes \sigma_+, \quad (20)$$

where $w_{k_z}(x) = t [r^2(k_z) - x^2/l_B^4]$ and $r^2(k_z) = r^2 - k_z^2$. Unlike previous cases, the SUSY potential $w_{k_z}(x)$ now depends on k_z . As shown in Fig. 3(b), within the nodal ring ($|k_z| < r$), the SUSY potential exhibits two zeros, whereas no zeros are found outside the ring ($|k_z| > r$). Given that SUSY potential features two zeros within the nodal ring region, the spectrum is gapped throughout this region due to dynamical SUSY breaking. The full spectrum is calculated in the SM [51].

Summary and discussion.— We have applied SUSY QM, particularly its core concepts, to a wide range of non-uniform topological semimetals possessing chiral symmetry. We have uncovered the underlying mechanism of a novel kind of gap opening in these materials as dynamical SUSY breaking via the instanton effect, which can be straightforwardly determined using our proposed method. While we focus on topological semimetals, the general framework can be extended to other topological materials in chiral symmetry classes, which constitute half of the ten classifications [61]. Our theory could also find applications in systems where chiral-symmetric models serve as effective descriptions, such as the chiral approximation of twisted bilayer graphene [62, 63].

W.B.R. is grateful to Prof. Shaojie Ma for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, the Quantum Science Center of Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Greater Bay Area, the NSFC/RGC JRS grant (RGC Grant No. N_HKU774/21, NSFC Grant No. 12161160315), the GRF of Hong Kong (Grant Nos. 17310622 and 17303023), and the CRF of Hong Kong (Grant No. C6009-20G). W.B.R. was supported by the RGC Postdoctoral Fellowship (Ref. No. PDFS2223-7S05).

* wbrui@hku.hk

† yuxinphy@hku.hk

‡ zwang@hku.hk

[1] J. L. Gervais and B. Sakita, Field theory interpretation of supergauges in dual models, *Nuclear Physics B* **34**, 632 (1971).

- [2] Y. A. Golfand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the algebra of Poincaré group generators and violation of P invariance, *JETP Letters* [translation of *Pisma v Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki*] **13**, 323 (1971).
- [3] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, Possible universal neutrino interaction, in *Supersymmetry and Quantum Field Theory*, edited by J. Wess and V. P. Akulov (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998) pp. 383–385.
- [4] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, Softly broken supersymmetry and SU(5), *Nuclear Physics B* **193**, 150 (1981).
- [5] E. Witten, Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry, *Nuclear Physics B* **188**, 513 (1981).
- [6] E. Witten, Constraints on supersymmetry breaking, *Nuclear Physics B* **202**, 253 (1982).
- [7] Y. Shadmi and Y. Shirman, Dynamical supersymmetry breaking, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **72**, 25 (2000).
- [8] H. P. Nilles, Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics, *Physics Reports* **110**, 1 (1984).
- [9] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, The search for supersymmetry: Probing physics beyond the standard model, *Physics Reports* **117**, 75 (1985).
- [10] S. P. Martin, A supersymmetry primer, in *Perspectives on Supersymmetry*, Advanced Series on Directions in High Energy Physics, Vol. Volume 18 (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 1998) pp. 1–98.
- [11] H. Baer and X. Tata, *Weak Scale Supersymmetry: From Superfields to Scattering Events* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [12] A. Djouadi, The anatomy of electroweak symmetry breaking Tome II: The Higgs bosons in the Minimal Supersymmetric Model, *Physics Reports* **459**, 1 (2008).
- [13] R. Dutt, A. Khare, and U. P. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry, shape invariance, and exactly solvable potentials, *American Journal of Physics* **56**, 163 (1988).
- [14] A. Arai, Exactly solvable supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **158**, 63 (1991).
- [15] F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics, *Physics Reports* **251**, 267 (1995).
- [16] A. Gangopadhyaya, J. V. Mallow, and C. Rasinariu, *Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics* (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2010) <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/7788>.
- [17] G. Junker, *Supersymmetric Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996).
- [18] F. Wegner, *Supermathematics and its Applications in Statistical Physics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 920 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016).
- [19] K. Efetov, *Supersymmetry in Disorder and Chaos* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- [20] M.-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Supersymmetric optical structures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 233902 (2013).
- [21] M. Heinrich, M.-A. Miri, S. Stützer, R. El-Ganainy, S. Nolte, A. Szameit, and D. N. Christodoulides, Supersymmetric mode converters, *Nature Communications* **5**, 3698 (2014).
- [22] M.-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Supersymmetric Optical Structures, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 233902 (2013).
- [23] C. García-Meca, A. M. Ortiz, and R. L. Sáez, Supersymmetry in the time domain and its applications in optics, *Nature Communications* **11**, 813 (2020).

- [24] Y. Yu and K. Yang, Simulating the Wess-Zumino Supersymmetry Model in Optical Lattices, *Physical Review Letters* **105**, 150605 (2010).
- [25] M. P. Hokmabadi, N. S. Nye, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, Supersymmetric laser arrays, *Science* **363**, 623 (2019).
- [26] S. Ma, H. Jia, Y. Bi, S. Ning, F. Guan, H. Liu, C. Wang, and S. Zhang, Gauge Field Induced Chiral Zero Mode in Five-Dimensional Yang Monopole Metamaterials, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 243801 (2023).
- [27] Y. Yu and K. Yang, Supersymmetry and the Goldstino-Like Mode in Bose-Fermi Mixtures, *Physical Review Letters* **100**, 090404 (2008).
- [28] M.-L. Cai, Y.-K. Wu, Q.-X. Mei, W.-D. Zhao, Y. Jiang, L. Yao, L. He, Z.-C. Zhou, and L.-M. Duan, Observation of supersymmetry and its spontaneous breaking in a trapped ion quantum simulator, *Nature Communications* **13**, 3412 (2022).
- [29] J. Minář, B. van Voorden, and K. Schoutens, Kink Dynamics and Quantum Simulation of Supersymmetric Lattice Hamiltonians, *Physical Review Letters* **128**, 050504 (2022).
- [30] T. Grover, D. N. Sheng, and A. Vishwanath, Emergent Space-Time Supersymmetry at the Boundary of a Topological Phase, *Science* **344**, 280 (2014).
- [31] S.-K. Jian, Y.-F. Jiang, and H. Yao, Emergent Spacetime Supersymmetry in 3D Weyl Semimetals and 2D Dirac Semimetals, *Physical Review Letters* **114**, 237001 (2015).
- [32] Z.-X. Li, Y.-F. Jiang, and H. Yao, Edge Quantum Criticality and Emergent Supersymmetry in Topological Phases, *Physical Review Letters* **119**, 107202 (2017).
- [33] C. S. Weber, M. Pletyukhov, Z. Hou, D. M. Kennes, J. Klinovaja, D. Loss, and H. Schoeller, Second-order topology and supersymmetry in two-dimensional topological insulators, *Physical Review B* **107**, 235402 (2023).
- [34] P. Ponte and S.-S. Lee, Emergence of supersymmetry on the surface of three-dimensional topological insulators, *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 013044 (2014).
- [35] X.-J. Yu, P.-L. Zhao, S.-K. Jian, and Z. Pan, Emergent space-time supersymmetry at disordered quantum critical points, *Physical Review B* **105**, 205140 (2022).
- [36] K. K. W. Ma, R. Wang, and K. Yang, Realization of Supersymmetry and Its Spontaneous Breaking in Quantum Hall Edges, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 206801 (2021).
- [37] M. Ezawa, N. Ishida, Y. Ota, and S. Iwamoto, Supersymmetric non-Hermitian topological interface laser, *Physical Review B* **107**, 085302 (2023).
- [38] A. Prakash and J. Wang, Boundary Supersymmetry of (1+1)d Fermionic Symmetry-Protected Topological Phases, *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 236802 (2021).
- [39] A. Turzillo and M. You, Supersymmetric Boundaries of One-Dimensional Phases of Fermions beyond Symmetry-Protected Topological States, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 026402 (2021).
- [40] J. Behrends and B. Béri, Supersymmetry in the Standard Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 236804 (2020).
- [41] M. M. Nayga, S. Rachel, and M. Vojta, Magnon Landau Levels and Emergent Supersymmetry in Strained Antiferromagnets, *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 207204 (2019).
- [42] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene, *Nature* **438**, 201 (2005).
- [43] Q. Li, D. E. Kharzeev, C. Zhang, Y. Huang, I. Pletikosić, A. V. Fedorov, R. D. Zhong, J. A. Schneeloch, G. D. Gu, and T. Valla, Chiral magnetic effect in ZrTe₅, *Nature Physics* **12**, 550 (2016).
- [44] X. Huang, L. Zhao, Y. Long, P. Wang, D. Chen, Z. Yang, H. Liang, M. Xue, H. Weng, Z. Fang, X. Dai, and G. Chen, Observation of the chiral-anomaly-induced negative magnetoresistance in 3d weyl semimetal taas, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031023 (2015).
- [45] Y. Zhao, H. Liu, C. Zhang, H. Wang, J. Wang, Z. Lin, Y. Xing, H. Lu, J. Liu, Y. Wang, S. M. Brombosz, Z. Xiao, S. Jia, X. C. Xie, and J. Wang, Anisotropic fermi surface and quantum limit transport in high mobility three-dimensional dirac semimetal cd₃as₂, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031037 (2015).
- [46] C. Shekhar, A. K. Nayak, Y. Sun, M. Schmidt, M. Nicklas, I. Leermakers, U. Zeitler, Y. Skourski, J. Wosnitza, Z. Liu, Y. Chen, W. Schnelle, H. Borrmann, Y. Grin, C. Felser, and B. Yan, Extremely large magnetoresistance and ultrahigh mobility in the topological Weyl semimetal candidate NbP, *Nature Physics* **11**, 645 (2015).
- [47] X. Yuan, Z. Yan, C. Song, M. Zhang, Z. Li, C. Zhang, Y. Liu, W. Wang, M. Zhao, Z. Lin, T. Xie, J. Ludwig, Y. Jiang, X. Zhang, C. Shang, Z. Ye, J. Wang, F. Chen, Z. Xia, D. Smirnov, X. Chen, Z. Wang, H. Yan, and F. Xiu, Chiral Landau levels in Weyl semimetal NbAs with multiple topological carriers, *Nature Communications* **9**, 1854 (2018).
- [48] K. Kim, J. Seo, E. Lee, K.-T. Ko, B. S. Kim, B. G. Jang, J. M. Ok, J. Lee, Y. J. Jo, W. Kang, J. H. Shim, C. Kim, H. W. Yeom, B. Il Min, B.-J. Yang, and J. S. Kim, Large anomalous Hall current induced by topological nodal lines in a ferromagnetic van der Waals semimetal, *Nature Materials* **17**, 794 (2018).
- [49] C.-L. Zhang, S.-Y. Xu, C. M. Wang, Z. Lin, Z. Z. Du, C. Guo, C.-C. Lee, H. Lu, Y. Feng, S.-M. Huang, G. Chang, C.-H. Hsu, H. Liu, H. Lin, L. Li, C. Zhang, J. Zhang, X.-C. Xie, T. Neupert, M. Z. Hasan, H.-Z. Lu, J. Wang, and S. Jia, Magnetic-tunnelling-induced Weyl node annihilation in TaP, *Nature Physics* **13**, 979 (2017).
- [50] B. J. Ramshaw, K. A. Modic, A. Shekhter, Y. Zhang, E.-A. Kim, P. J. W. Moll, M. D. Bachmann, M. K. Chan, J. B. Betts, F. Balakirev, A. Migliori, N. J. Ghimire, E. D. Bauer, F. Ronning, and R. D. McDonald, Quantum limit transport and destruction of the Weyl nodes in TaAs, *Nature Communications* **9**, 2217 (2018).
- [51] See the Supplementary Material for details.
- [52] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, The electronic properties of graphene, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **81**, 109 (2009).
- [53] M. Mariño, *Instantons and Large N: An Introduction to Non-Perturbative Methods in Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015).
- [54] J. W. van Holten, Instantons in Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics, in *Unification of Fundamental Particle Interactions II*, Ettore Majorana International Science Series, edited by J. Ellis and S. Ferrara (Springer US, Boston, MA, 1983) pp. 505–513.
- [55] When the perpendicular magnetic field is applied in the z -direction, using the same replacement as in Eq. (13), the Hamiltonian becomes $H_w = -iv_x\partial_x\sigma_1 + w_{\text{double}}(x)\sigma_2 + v_zk_z\sigma_3$. The spectrum must be gapped when $k_z \neq 0$, since the k_z term anticommutes with others.

- [56] The reported "threshold" is when the inverse of magnetic length, i.e., l_B^{-1} , is comparable to the separation $\Delta K = 2K$. It can also be explained by our instanton calculation. Express the energy gap as $\Delta \propto \exp[-\mu_y/(6v_x)\Delta k^3 l_B^2]$. The region for the gap to be not exponentially small occurs when the exponent is comparable to -1. It leads to $l_B^{-1} = \sqrt{\mu_y/(6v_x)}\Delta K^{3/2}$, which roughly agrees with the observation $l_B^{-1} \sim \Delta K$.
- [57] J. Schwenk, S. Kim, J. Berwanger, F. Ghahari, D. Walkup, M. R. Slot, S. T. Le, W. G. Cullen, S. R. Blankenship, S. Vranjkovic, H. J. Hug, Y. Kuk, F. J. Giessibl, and J. A. Stroscio, Achieving μeV tunneling resolution in an in-operando scanning tunneling microscopy, atomic force microscopy, and magnetotransport system for quantum materials research, *Review of Scientific Instruments* **91**, 071101 (2020).
- [58] C. Fang, Y. Chen, H.-Y. Kee, and L. Fu, Topological nodal line semimetals with and without spin-orbital coupling, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 081201 (2015).
- [59] R. Li, H. Ma, X. Cheng, S. Wang, D. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, and X.-Q. Chen, Dirac node lines in pure alkali earth metals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 096401 (2016).
- [60] W. B. Rui, Y. X. Zhao, and A. P. Schnyder, Topological transport in Dirac nodal-line semimetals, *Physical Review B* **97**, 161113 (2018).
- [61] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035005 (2016).
- [62] G. Tarnopolsky, A. J. Kruchkov, and A. Vishwanath, Origin of magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 106405 (2019).
- [63] J. Wang, Y. Zheng, A. J. Millis, and J. Cano, Chiral approximation to twisted bilayer graphene: Exact intravalley inversion symmetry, nodal structure, and implications for higher magic angles, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 023155 (2021).