Article

Cosmic ray spectra and anisotropy under anisotropic propagation model with spiral galactic sources

Aifeng Li^{1,}, Zhaodong Lv¹, Wei Liu^{2,3,4,*}, Yiqing Guo^{2,3,4,*} and Fangheng Zhang¹

- ¹ College of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian 271018, China;
- ² Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China;
- ³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China;
- ⁴ TIANFU Cosmic Ray Research Center, Chengdu 610000, China;
- * Correspondence: liuwei@ihep.ac.cn (W.L.) and guoyq@ihep.ac.cn (Y.Q.)

Abstract: In our previous work, we have investigated Galactic cosmic ray (GCR) spectra and anisotropy from 100 GeV to PeV, under anisotropic propagation model with axisymmetric distributed galactic sources. Numerous observational evidence have indicated that the Milky Way is a typical spiral galaxy. In this work, we further utilize anisotropic propagation models with spiral galactic sources to investigate spectra and anisotropy of CRs. During the calculation process, we employ the spatially dependent diffusion (SDP) model with different diffusion coefficients for the inner and outer halo, while the background CR sources is spiral distribution. To better explain the anomalous observations of nuclear spectral hardening at $\mathcal{R} \sim 200$ GV and the complicated energy dependence of anisotropy from GeV to PeV, we introduce the contribution of the nearby Geminga source. Additionally, we incorporate the impact of the local regular magnetic field (LRMF) and the corresponding anisotropic diffusion on large-scale anisotropy within the SDP model. By comparing the spiral and axisymmetric distribution models of background sources, it is found that both of them can well reproduce the CR spectra and anisotropy from 100 GeV -PeV. However, there exist differences in their propagation parameters. The diffusion coefficient with spiral distribution of sources is larger than that with axisymmetric distribution, and its spectral indices are slightly harder. Future high-precision measurements of CR anisotropy, such as LHAASO experiment, will be crucial in evaluating the validity of our proposed model.

Keywords: Galatic cosmic rays; Spiral arm structure; Cosmic ray anisotropy; Cosmic ray spectra

0. Introduction

With the improvement of CR observation technology, the new generation of experiments have entered the era of high precision measurement and unveiled a series of unexpected phenomena. In recent years, multiple experiments such as ATIC-2[1] CREAM[2,3] PAMELA [4] and AMS-02 [5,6] DAMPLE[7] and calorimeter experiment CALET [8] have observed that the spectra of protons and helium nuclei become harder at $\mathcal{R}\sim$ 200 GV. Furthermore, DAMPE[9], CREAM[10] and NUCLEON[11] found spectra become soften at $\mathcal{R}\sim$ 14 TV. This subtle anomaly of spectra obviously deviates from the expected CR power law spectrum, and has emerged as a focal point of theoretical research in recent years. The main theoretical explanations for the anomaly are: the nearby sources near the solar system contribute to the "bulge" of the CR spectra[12,13]; interaction between CRs and accelerating shock waves[14,15]; CR propagation process effect[13,16]; multiple acceleration sources superimposed factors[17,18].

CRs, mostly charged particles, become isotropic as they travel through the Milky Way due to deflection by the Galactic magnetic field (GMF). However, subtle CR anisotropy with relative amplitudes in the order of $10^{-4} \sim 10^{-3}$ is observed at a wide energy range from 100 GeV to PeV by a large number of underground μ detectors and EAS array experiments. Tibet [19–21],Super-Kamiokande [22], Milagro [23,24], IceCube/Ice-Top [25–29], ARGO-YBJ [30,31], EASTOP[32], KASCADE[33,34] HWAC[35,36] have revealed the complex evolution of anisotropy with energy. Experimental results show that the amplitude of anisotropy





Copyright: © by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). increases first and then decreases with energy below 100 TeV, but gradually increases again above 100 TeV. At the same time the phase is reversed at about 100 TeV. It is clear that both amplitude and phase contradict the expectations of the conventional propagation model. In general, the origin of anisotropy may consist of the following reasons: nearby sources near the solar system[13,37], the deflection of local regular magnetic field[37–39], CR propagation[13] and Compton-Getting effect caused by the relative motion between Earth rotation and CRs[40].

CR spectra and anisotropy from GeV to ~ 100 TeV have some common anomalous characteristics, suggesting that they may have a common origin. In our previous work[37,41], based on the assumption that CR background sources follow the axisymmetric distribution, we used the SDP model to calculate the CR spectra and anisotropy. In SDP model, we innovatively introduce the significant contribution of nearby sources and the anisotropic diffusion effect of LRMF on CR particles. The hybrid model successfully reproduces the fine structure of the nuclear spectra and the complex characteristics of the anisotropy with energy. The current extensive experimental observations clearly reveal that the Milky Way is a typical spiral galaxy. The spiral arms, where high density gas accumulates, are hotspots for rapid star formation [42,43]. Therefore, there is a high correlation between the distribution pattern of CR sources (especially supernova remnants SNRs) and the spiral arm structure. Until recent years, the impact of the spiral distribution of CR sources has garnered attention in research. Several studies have demonstrated that this spiral distribution of CR sources can significantly influence the positron and electron spectra, which offer a more compelling explanation for the observed excess of positron and electron at 30 GeV[44,45]. Does the spiral distribution of CR background sources affect CR anisotropy? This work aims to analyze the CR spectra and anisotropy by applying SDP model with spiral distribution of CR background sources.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 1 introduces the model in detail, including the SDP model, the spiral structure of background sources, nearby sources, anisotropic diffusion and large-scale anisotropy. In Section 2, the results of CR spectra and anisotropy are presented and thoroughly discussed; Section 3 offers the summary.

1. Model Description

1.1. Spatially dependent diffusion

In recent years, the SDP model of CRs has been proposed and widely applied. It was initially introduced as a two-halo model to accoount for the excess of primary proton and helium fluxes at $\mathcal{R} \sim 200 \text{ GV}[46]$. Afterwards, it was further used to explain the excess of secondary and heavier components [44,47–49], diffuse gamma-ray distribution [50], and large-scale anisotropy [51–53]. The recent observation of halos around pulsars has revealed that CRs diffuse much slower than that inferred from B/C ratio, which strongly supports the assumption that diffusion could be spatially dependent[54,55].

In the SDP model, the galactic diffusive halo is delineated into two distinct zones: inner halo (IH) and outer halo (OH). The galactic disk and its surrounding area are referred to as the IH, while the extensive diffusive region outside IH is called OH. In IH region, where are more sources, the activity of supernova explosion will lead to more intense turbulence. Consequently, the diffusion of CRs in IH region is slowed down, and the diffusion coefficient exhibits a lesser dependence on rigidity. Whereas in OH region, the diffusion of CRs is less affected by stellar activity, so CRs diffuse faster. And diffusion coefficient is consistent with the conventional propagation model and only depends on rigidity.

In this work, we adopt SDP model and the diffusion coefficient is parameterized as[37,41]

$$D_{xx}(r,z,\mathcal{R}) = D_0 F(r,z) \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0}\right)^{\delta_0 F(r,z)}$$
(1)

where *r* and *z* are cylindrical coordinates, \mathcal{R} is particle's rigidity and D_0 is a constant. The reference rigidity \mathcal{R}_t is fixed to 4 GV. The parameterization of F(r, z) can be parameterized as

$$F(r,z) = \begin{cases} g(r,z) + [1 - g(r,z)](\frac{z}{\xi z_0})^n, & |z| \le \xi z_0 \\ 1, & |z| > \xi z_0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $g(r, z) = N_m / [1 + f(r, z)]$, and f(r, z) is the source density distribution. The total half thickness of the propagation halo is z_0 , and IH and OH are ξz_0 and $(1 - \xi)z_0$ respectively.

Some propagation codes can simulate the process of CR propagation, for example: GALPROP [56], DRAGON [57] and PICARD [58]. In this work, we adopt numerical package DRAGON to solve the CR transport equation .

1.2. Spiral distribution of CR sources

In view of the diffusion length of CRs is usually much longer than the characteristic spacing between the adjacent spiral arms, CR source are generally approximated as axisymmetric and parameterized to

$$f(r,z) = (r/r_{\odot})^{\alpha} \exp[-\beta(r-r_{\odot})/r_{\odot}] \exp(-|z|/z_{s}),$$
(3)

where $r_{\odot} = 8.5$ kpc represents the solar distance to the Galactic Center (GC). The parameters α and β are taken as 1.69 and 3.33 respectively[59]. Perpendicular to the Galactic plane, the density of CR sources descends as an exponential function, with a mean value $z_s = 0.2$ kpc.

However, a large number of observations have indicated that the Milky Way is a typical spiral galaxy[42,43]. The spiral arms where high-density gas accumulates are regions of rapid star formation. In order to more accurately describe the source distribution, the CR source f(r,z) adopts spiral distribution. In this work, a model established by Faucher-Giguere Kaspi was used to describe the spiral distribution [60]. The Galaxy consists of the four major spiral arms extending outward from the galactic center: Norma, Carina-Sagittarius, Perseus, and Crux Scutum. And the locus of the i-th arm centroid expressed as a logarithmic curve: $\theta(r) = k^i \ln(r/r_0^i) + \theta_0^i$, where *r* is the distance to the GC. The values of k^i , r_0^i and θ_0^i for each arm refer to [44]. Along each spiral arm, there is a spread in the normal direction which follows a Gaussian distribution, i.e.

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi 2}\sigma_i} \exp[-\frac{(r-r_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}, i \in [1, 2, 3, 4]$$
(4)

where r_i is the inverse function of the *i*-th spiral arm's locus and the standard deviation σ_i^2 is $0.07r_i$. The number density of SNRs at different radii is still consistent with the radial distribution in the axisymmetric case, i.e. equation (3).

The injection spectrum of background sources is assumed to be a power-law of rigidity with a high-energy exponential cutoff, $q(\mathcal{R}) \propto \mathcal{R}^{-\nu} \exp(-\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_c)$. The cutoff rigidity of each element could be either *Z*- or *A*-dependent.

1.3. Nearby source

In this work, we adopt the Green's function method to solve the time-varying propagation equation of CRs from nearby sources under spherical geometry conditions, assuming infinite boundary conditions[61,62].

As for the instantaneous and point-like injection, the CR density of a nearby source as a function of location, time, and rigidity, is computed using

$$\phi(r, \mathcal{R}, t) = \frac{q_{\text{inj}}(\mathcal{R})}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma})^3} \exp\left(-\frac{(r-r')^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),\tag{5}$$

where $q_{inj}(\mathcal{R})$ is parameterized as a power-law function of rigidity with an exponential cutoff.i.e, $q_{inj}(\mathcal{R}) = q_0 \mathcal{R}^{-\alpha} \exp(-\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}'_c)$, $\sigma(\mathcal{R},t) = \sqrt{2D(\mathcal{R})t}$ is the effective diffusion length within time t, $D(\mathcal{R})$ is the diffusion coefficient which is adopted as the value nearby the solar system.

In our previous works, the spectral anomaly at 200 GeV and dipole anisotropy below 100 TeV are attributed to the Geminga SNR. The Geminga SNR is located in the direction of $l = 194.3^{\circ}$, $b = -13^{\circ}$ and its distance to the solar system is $d \sim 330 pc$ [63]. Its explosion time was about $\tau = 3.4 \times 10^5$ years ago, which is inferred from the spin-down luminosity of the Geminga pulsar[64]. In this work, we also select the Geminga SNR as the optimal source.

1.4. Anisotropic Diffusion and Large-Scale Anisotropy

By observing neutral particles passing through the heliosphere boundary, the IBEX experiment has unveiled that the LRMF aligns with coordinates ($l, b = 210.5^{\circ}, -57.1^{\circ}$), within a 20 pc radius[65]. We have discovered that the direction of the LRMF is generally consistent with the CR anisotropy observed below 100 TeV. Some research has also revealed that the TeV cosmic ray anisotropy is associated with the LRMF[37–39,41].

When CRs are deflected by magnetic fields, they diffuse anisotropically. It is generally believed that CRs diffuse faster along the direction of the magnetic field than perpendicular to it. The corresponding dipole anisotropy is expected to be modified by LRMF. In this scenario, the diffusion coefficient D is replace of a tensor D_{ij} . The D_{ij} associated with the magnetic field is written as

$$D_{ij} \equiv D_{\perp}\delta_{ij} + (D_{\parallel} - D_{\perp})b_ib_j, \ b_i = \frac{B_i}{|\vec{B}|}$$
(6)

Where D_{\parallel} and D_{\perp} are the diffusion coefficients aligned parallel and perpendicular to the ordered magnetic field, b_i is the *i*-th component of the unit vector [63], respectively. The values D_{\parallel} and D_{\perp} is parameterized as a power-law function of rigidity,[41,66]

$$D_{\parallel} = D_{0\parallel} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0}\right)^{\delta_{\parallel}},\tag{7}$$

$$D_{\perp} = D_{0\perp} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0}\right)^{\delta_{\perp}} \equiv \varepsilon D_{0\parallel} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0}\right)^{\delta_{\perp}}, \qquad (8)$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{D_{0\perp}}{D_{0\parallel}}$ is the ratio between perpendicular and parallel diffusion coefficient at the reference rigidity \mathcal{R}_0 .

Under the anisotropic diffusion model, the dipole anisotropy can be written as,

$$\delta = \frac{3D}{v} \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} = \frac{3}{v\psi} D_{ij} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_j} \,. \tag{9}$$

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Proton and Helium spectra of nearby sources

Since the spatial scale of the LRMF is significantly smaller than the average propagation length of CRs deduced from the B/C ratio, LRMF doesn't have remarkable impact on the energy spectra. Therefore, in this work, the isotropic diffusion SDP model is used to calculate the nucleon energy spectra.

Firstly, the propagation parameters for the SDP model can be determined by fitting the B/C ratio. Figure 1 presents the fitting results of the B/C ratio, which align well with the experimental data from AMS-02[67]. The corresponding propagation parameters are respectively $D_0 = 9.0 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2$, $\mathcal{R}_0 = 4 \text{ GV}$, $\delta_0 = 0.58$, $N_m = 0.5$, $\xi = 0.12$. The Alfvénic velocity is $v_A = 6 \text{ km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, and the half thickness of the propagation halo is $z_0 = 5 \text{ kpc}$.

The normalization, power index, and cutoff rigidity for each element of the background source injection spectra are obtained by fitting with energy spectra of experimental observations. The cutoff rigidities of different compositions are regarded as the limits of acceleration in the sources and assumed to be Z dependent with high-energy exponential cutoff. Similarly, the injection spectra of the nearby source is also set using the same method.



Figure 1. Fitting to B/C ratio with the model prediction. The B/C data points are taken from AMS-02 experiment [67].

	Background			Geminga Source		
Element	Normalization ⁺	ν	\mathcal{R}_c	<i>q</i> 0	α	\mathcal{R}_c'
	$(m^2 srsGeV)^{-1}$		PV	GeV ⁻¹		TV
р	$4.36 imes10^{-2}$	2.30	5	$7.74 imes10^{52}$	2.16	22
Ĥe	$2.27 imes 10^{-3}$	2.21	5	$2.35 imes 10^{52}$	2.10	22
С	$1.0 imes10^{-4}$	2.24	5	$7.80 imes10^{50}$	2.13	22
Ν	$1.16 imes 10^{-5}$	2.20	5	$1.03 imes 10^{50}$	2.13	22
0	$1.24 imes10^{-4}$	2.25	5	$9.0 imes10^{50}$	2.13	22
Ne	$1.22 imes 10^{-5}$	2.20	5	$1.10 imes 10^{50}$	2.13	22
Mg	$1.83 imes10^{-5}$	2.23	5	$1.02 imes 10^{50}$	2.13	22
Si	$2.35 imes10^{-5}$	2.29	5	$1.02 imes 10^{50}$	2.13	22
Fe	$2.47 imes 10^{-5}$	2.26	5	$2.75 imes 10^{50}$	2.13	22

Table 1. Injection parameters of the background and nearby Geminga source.

⁺ The normalization is set at total energy E = 100 GeV.

Figure 2 shows the proton (left) and helium (right) spectra, in which red, blue, and black lines are the contributions from nearby Geminga source, background sources, and sum of all, respectively. The corresponding injection parameters of different nuclei in the background and nearby sources are shown in Table 1. The spectral indices of the nearby source component are assumed to be slightly harder than that of the background component, which helps fit the data better. In order to explain the softening observed at tens of TeV in the proton and helium spectra, the cutoff rigidity of the local source has been determined to be 22 TV. Additionally, to accurately depict the all-particle spectrum and the cutoffs of proton and helium at PeV energies, the cutoff rigidity of the background sources is set at 5 PV. It can be seen that the contribution by the nearby Geminga source can simultaneously account for both the spectral hardening features at $\mathcal{R} \sim 200$ GV and the softening features at $\mathcal{R} \sim 10$ TV. We have also presented the results of the all-particle spectrum of CRs, as shown in Figure 3. The results are in good agreement with the Horandel experiment and successfully reproduce the "knee" structure.



Figure 2. Energy spectra of protons (left) and helium nuclei (right). The blue and red lines are the background fluxes and the fluxes from a nearby Geminga SNR source, respectively. The black lines represent the total fluxes. The data points are taken from DAMPE[7,9], AMS-02 [5,6], CREAM-III [10], NUCLEON [68], KASCADE [69] and KASCADE-Grande [70] respectively.

2.2. Anisotropy

Unlike the energy spectra of CRs, the LRMF can disignificantly deflect the propagation direction of CRs, thereby influencing the dipole anisotropy. Therefore, in the process of calculating the anisotropy, we introduce the anisotropic diffusion effect of CRs induced by the LRMF. The parameters of parallel diffusion coefficient D_{\parallel} are set as those in *Section* 1.1. CRs from TeV to PeV energy region are thought to travel faster parallel to the magnetic field than perpendicular to it, therefore we set $D_{\parallel} > D_{\perp}$, $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and the difference between δ_{\perp} and δ_{\parallel} is 0.32.

Figure 4 presents the evolution of both amplitude and phase of anisotropy with the energy, incorporating contributions from Geimga SNR and LRMF, within the context of the spiral distribution of background sources. It is obvious that both phase and amplitude agree well with experimental data, which validates the reasonability of our model. Below 100 TeV, the phase points in the direction of the LRMF. The results indicate that Geminga source and the deflection of LRMF dominate the anisotropic phase, although the nearby flux is sub-dominant. Above 100 TeV, the phase points to GC indicates background sources dominate, since galactic CR sources are more abundant in the inner galaxy.

We compared the results of both spiral and axisymmetric distribution of background sources, which correspond to the results of this work and previous work[37,41]. It was found that the calculated energy spectra and anisotropies from both background source distribution models are in agreement with the experimental results well. However, their propagation parameters are different, which is attributed to the fact that the source distribution affects the propagation of CRs. The diffusion coefficient with spiral distribution of sources is larger than that with axisymmetric distribution, and its spectral indices are slightly harder.

In order to further understand the effects of background sources, nearby source and LRMF on anisotropy in this model, 2D anisotropy sky maps with the contribution of each factor are presented. Figure 5 shows the 2D anisotropic sky map at 10 TeV (top) and 3 PeV (bottom), where the left, middle and right are the results of background sources (BK), background sources + nearby Geminga source (BK + Geminga), and background sources + nearby Geminga source (BK + Geminga), and background sources + nearby Geminga source and LRMF), respectively. It can be seen that in the low energy region, the anisotropy points to the GC without considering the contributions of nearby Geminga source and LRMF, which is obviously contrary to the observation. When the contribution of nearby Geminga source is introduced, the anisotropic phase points towards Geminga, which is attributed to that the nearby source significantly



Figure 3. The all-particle spectra multiplied by $E^{2.6}$. The data points are taken from ref [71]. The solid lines with different colors are the model predictions of different mass groups, and the black solid line is the total contribution.



Figure 4. The amplitude (left) and phase (right) of anisotropy with the contribution from nearby Geminga source. The data points are taken from Marco [72], Super-Kamiokande [22], EAS-TOP [32, 73], Milagro [24], IceCube [25,27,29], Ice-Top [28], ARGO-YBJ [31], Tibet [20,21,74], KASCADE-Grande [33,34] HAWC [39], and HAWC-IceCube [39].

alters the gradient of CR intensity in its direction. The results of BK + Geminga model are closer to the observed results, but there are still some deviations from the experimental observations. When the contribution of LRMF is further introduced, the anisotropy points in the direction of LRMF, which is completely consistent with the experimental results. This is due to the anisotropic diffusion effect of LRMF on CR particles. However, in the high energy region, the results of anisotropy is relatively simple, and its phase always points to the GC. This indicates that the contribution of background sources is dominant, while the contribution of the nearby source is almost nonexistent in the high energy region.



Figure 5. Two-dimensional anisotropy maps at 10 TeV (up) and 3 PeV (bottom), respectively, i.e. BK (left), BK + Geminga (middle), BK + Geminga + LRMF (right).

3. Summary

In recent years, a large number of scientific observations have demonstrated that the Milky Way possesses a spiral arm structure. Our previous work only analyzed the energy spectra and anisotropy based on the assumption of axisymmetric galactic source distribution. The aim of this work is to explore the anisotropy and energy spectra by utilizing an anisotropic diffusion propagation model that incorporates a spiral distribution of background sources. Our model is based on the SDP model, while also accounting for the contribution of nearby Geminga source and the anisotropic diffusion effects of LRMF on CRs. The results show that our model can simultaneously explain spectral hardening at 200 GeV and the amplitude and phase variation of anisotropy with energy from 100 GeV to PeV. We also found that the energy spectra and anisotropy with spiral distribution of background sources are similar to those with axisymmetric distribution of sources. Nevertheless, their propagation parameters are different. Specifically, the diffusion coefficient associated with the spiral distribution of sources is larger than that of the axisymmetric distribution, and the spectral indices for the spiral distribution are slightly harder. This may be attributed to the influence of the source distribution on the propagation of CRs.

We also studied the two-dimensional anisotropy sky maps that incorporate the contributions of nearby sources and LRMF. Below the 100 TeV, it is clear that nearby Geminga source contribute to the spectral hardening observed at 200 GeV. Although the contribution of the nearby source to the CR flux is less significant compared to that of the background sources, its impact on the anisotropy is dominant. Under the isotropic diffusion model, the anisotropic phase is approximately oriented towards the nearby Geminga source. However, if the anisotropic diffusion effect of LRMF on CRs is taken into account, the anisotropic phase shifts to align with the direction of the LRMF. In the high energy region above 100 TeV, the contribution of background sources becomes dominant, and the anisotropic phase consistently directs towards the GC. Future measurements of CR spectra and anisotropies from higher-precision experiments, such as the LHAASO experiment, will provide valuable data to validate our model.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.L. and W.L.; methodology, A.L. and Y.G.; software, F.Z.; validation, A.L., Y.G. and Z.L.; formal analysis, W.L.; investigation, A.L.; resources, A.L. and Z.L.; data curation, W.L.; writing—original draft preparation, A.L.and Y.G; writing—review and editing, A.L. and W.L.; visualization, A.L. and Y.G.; supervision, A.L. and W.I.; project administration, W.L.; funding acquisition, A.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U2031110, 11963004, 12275279) and Shandong Province Natural Science Foundation (ZR2020MA095).

References

- Panov, A.D.; Adams, J.H.; Ahn, H.S.; Batkov, K.E.; Bashindzhagyan, G.L.; Watts, J.W.; Panov, A.D.; Adams, J.H.; Ahn, H.S.; Batkov, K.E.; Bashindzhagyan, G.L.; Watts, J.W.; et al. Elemental energy spectra of cosmic rays from the data of the ATIC-2 experiment. *Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci. Physics.* 2007, 71, 494–497.
- Ahn, H.S.; Allison, P.; Bagliesi, M. G.; Beatty, J.J.; Bigongiari, G.; Childers, J.T.; Conklin, N.B.; Coutu, S.; DuVernois, M.A.; Ganel, O.; et al. Discrepant Hardening Observed in Cosmic-ray Elemental Spectra. *Astrophys. J. Lett* 2010, 714, L89–L93.
- 3. Yoon, Y.S.; Ahn, H.S.; Allison, P.S.; Bagliesi, M.G.; Beatty, J.J.; Bigongiari, G.; Boyle, P.J.; Childers, J.T.; Conklin1, N.B.; Coutu, S.; et al. Cosmic-ray Proton and Helium Spectra from the First CREAM Flight. *Astrophys. J.* **2011**, *728*, 122.
- 4. Adriani, O.; Barbarino, G.C.; Bazilevskaya, G.A.; Bellotti, R.; Boezio, M.; Bogomolov, E. A.; Bonechi, L.; Bongi, M.; Bonvicini, V.; Borisov, S.; et al. PAMELA Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Proton and Helium Spectra. *Science* **2011**, *332*, 69.
- Aguilar, M.; Aisa, D.; Alpat, B.; Alvino, A.; Ambrosi, G.; Andeen, K.; Arruda, L.; Attig, N.; Azzarello, P.; Bachlechner, A.; et al. Precision Measurement of the Proton Flux in Primary Cosmic Rays from Rigidity 1 GV to 1.8 TV with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2015, *114*, 171103.
- Aguilar, M.; Aisa, D.; Alpat, B.; Alvino, A.; Ambrosi, G.; Andeen, K.; Arruda, L.; Attig, N.; Azzarello, P.; Bachlechner, A.; et al. Precision Measurement of the Helium Flux in Primary Cosmic Rays of Rigidities 1.9 GV to 3 TV with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2017, *119*, 251101.
- DAMPE Collaboration; An, Q.; Asfandiyarov, R.; Azzarello, P.; Bernardini, P.; Bi, X.J.; Cai, M.S.; Chang, J.; Chen, D.Y.; Chen, H.F.; et al. Measurement of the cosmic ray proton spectrum from 40 GeV to 100 TeV with the DAMPE satellite. *Science* 2019 5, eaax3793.
- Adriani, O.; Akaike, Y.; Asano, K.; Asaoka, Y.; Bagliesi, M.G.; Berti, E.; Bigongiari, G.; Binns, W.R.; Bonechi, S.; Bongi, M.; et al. Direct Measurement of the Cosmic-Ray Proton Spectrum from 50 GeV to 10 TeV with the Calorimetric Electron Telescope on the International Space Station. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2019, *112*, 181102.
- 9. Alemanno, F.; An, Q.; Azzarello, P.; Barbato, F.C.T.; Bernardini, P.; Bi, X.J.; Cai, M.S.; Catanzani, E.; Chang, J.; Chen, D.Y.; et al. Measurement of the Cosmic Ray Helium Energy Spectrum from 70 GeV to 80 TeV with the DAMPE Space Mission. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2021**, *126*, 201102.
- 10. Yoon, Y.S.; Anderson, T.; Barrau, A.; Conklin, N.B.; Coutu, S.; Derome, L.; Han, J.H.; Jeon, J.A.; Kim, K.C.; Kim, M.H.; et al. Proton and Helium Spectra from the CREAM-III Flight. *Astrophys. J.* 2017, 839, 5.
- Atkin, E.; Bulatov, V.; Dorokhov, V.; Gorbunov, N.; Filippov, S.; Grebenyuk, V.; Karmanov, D.; Kovalev, I.; Kudryashov, I.; Kurganov, A.; et al. New Universal Cosmic-Ray Knee near a Magnetic Rigidity of 10 TV with the NUCLEON Space Observatory. J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Lett. 2018, 108, 5–12.
- 12. Thoudam, S.; Hörandel, J.R. Nearby supernova remnants and the cosmic ray spectral hardening at high energies. *MNRAS* **2012**, *421*, 1209–1214.
- 13. Liu, W.; Guo, Y.Q.; Yuan, Q. Indication of nearby source signatures of cosmic rays from energy spectra and anisotropies. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **2019**, 2019, 010.
- 14. Biermann, P.L.; Becker, J.K.; Dreyer, J.; Meli, A.; Seo, E.S.; Stanev, T. The Origin of Cosmic Rays: Explosions of Massive Stars with Magnetic Winds and Their Supernova Mechanism. *Astrophys. J.* **2010**, 725,184–187.
- 15. Thoudam, S.; Rachen, J.P.; Van Vliet, A.; Achterberg, A.; Buitink, S.; Falcke, H.; Hörandel, J.R. Cosmic-ray energy spectrum and composition up to the ankle: The case for a second Galactic component. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2016**, *595*, A33.
- 16. Tomassetti, N. Origin of the Cosmic-Ray Spectral Hardening. Astrophys. J. Lett. 2012, 752, L13.

- 17. Yuan, Q.; Zhang, B.; Bi, X.J. Cosmic ray spectral hardening due to dispersion in the source injection spectra. *Phys. Rev. D.* 2011, *84*, 043002.
- 18. Zatsepin, V.I.; Sokolskaya, N.V. Three component model of cosmic ray spectra from 10 GeV to 100 PeV. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2006**, 458, 1–5.
- 19. Amenomori, M.; Ayabe, S.; Bi, X.J.; Chen, D.; Cui, S.W.; Danzengluobu; Ding, L.K.; Ding, X.H.; Feng, C.F.; Feng, Z.; et al. Anisotropy and Corotation of Galactic Cosmic Rays. *Science* **2006**, *314*, 439–443.
- 20. Amenomori, M.; Bi, X.J.; Chen, D.; Cui, S.W.; Ding, L.K.; Ding, X.H.; Fan, C.; Feng, C.F.; Feng, Z.; Feng, Z.Y.; et al. On Temporal Variations of the Multi-TeV Cosmic Ray Anisotropy using the Tibet III Air Shower Array. *Astrophys. J.* **2010**, *711*, 119–124.
- 21. Amenomori, M.; Bi, X. J.; Chen, D.; Chen, T. L.; Chen, W. Y.; Cui, S. W; et al. Northern Sky Galactic Cosmic Ray Anisotropy between 10 and 1000 TeV with the Tibet Air Shower Array *Astrophys. J.* **2017**, *836*, 153.
- Guillian, G.; Hosaka, J.; Ishihara, K.; Kameda, J.; Koshio, Y.; Minamino, A.; Mitsuda, C.; Miura, M.; Moriyama S.; Nakahata, M.; et al. Observation of the anisotropy of 10TeV primary cosmic ray nuclei flux with the Super-Kamiokande-I detector. *Phys. Rev.* D 2007, 75, 062003.
- 23. Abdo, A.A.; Allen, B.; Aune, T.; Berley, D.; Blaufuss, E.; Casanova, S.; Chen, C.; Dingus, B.L.; Ellsworth, R.W.; Fleysher, L.; et al. Discovery of Localized Regions of Excess 10-TeV Cosmic Rays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *101*, 221101.
- 24. Abdo, A.A.; Allen, B.T.; Aune, T.; Berley, D.; Casanova, S.; Chen, C.; Dingus, L.; Ellsworth, R.W.; Fleysher, L.; Fleysher, R.; et al. The Large-Scale Cosmic-Ray Anisotropy as Observed with Milagro. *Astrophys. J.* **2009**, *698*, 2121–2130.
- Abbasi, R.; Abdou, Y.; Abu-Zayyad, T.; Adams, J.; Aguilar, J.A.; Ahlers, M.; Andeen, K.; Auffenberg, J.; Bai, X.; Baker, M.; et al. Measurement of the Anisotropy of Cosmic-ray Arrival Directions with IceCube. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 2010, 718, L194–L198.
- Abbasi, R.; Abdou, Y.; Abu-Zayyad, T.; Adams, J.; Aguilar, J.A.; Ahlers, M.; Altmann, D.; Andeen, K.; Auffenberg, J.; Bai, X.; et al. Observation of Anisotropy in the Arrival Directions of Galactic Cosmic Rays at Multiple Angular Scales with IceCube. *Astrophys. J.* 2011, 740, 16.
- Abbasi, R.; Abdou, Y.; Abu-Zayyad, T.; Ackermann, M.; Adams, J.; Aguilar, J.A.; Ahlers, M.; Allen, M.M.; Altmann, D.; Andeen, K.; et al. Observation of Anisotropy in the Galactic Cosmic-Ray Arrival Directions at 400 TeV with IceCube. *Astrophys. J.* 2011, 746, 33.
- Aartsen, M.G.; Abbasi, R.; Abdou, Y.; Ackermann, M.; Adams, J.; Aguilar, J. A.; Ahlers, M.; Altmann, D.; Andeen, K.; Auffenberg, J.; et al. Observation of Cosmic-Ray Anisotropy with the IceTop Air Shower Array. *Astrophys. J.* 2013, 765, 55.
- 29. Aartsen, M.G.; Abraham, K.; Ackermann, M.; Adams, J.; Aguilar, J.A.; Ahlers, M.; Ahrens, M.; Altmann, D.; Anderson, T.; Ansseau, I.; et al. Anisotropy in Cosmic-Ray Arrival Directions in the Southern Hemisphere Based on Six Years of Data from the IceCube Detector. *Astrophys. J.* **2016**, *826*, 220.
- 30. Bartoli, B.; Bernardini, P.; Bi, X.J.; Bolognino, I.; Branchini, P.; Budano, A.; Calabrese Melcarne, A.K.; Camarri, P.; Cao, Z.; Cardarelli, R.; et al. Medium scale anisotropy in the TeV cosmic ray flux observed by ARGO-YBJ. *Phys. Rev. D* 2013, *88*, 082001.
- Bartoli, B.; Bernardini, P.; Bi, X.J.; Cao, Z.; Catalanotti, S.; Chen, S.Z.; Chen, T.L.; Cui, S.W.; Dai, B.Z.; D'Amone, A.; et al. ARGO-YBJ Observation of the Large-scale Cosmic Ray Anisotropy During the Solar Minimum between Cycles 23 and 24. Astrophys. J. 2015, 809, 90.
- 32. Aglietta, M.; Alekseenko, V.V.; Alessandro, B.; Antonioli, P.; Arneodo, F.; Bergamasco, L.; Bertaina, M.; Bonino, R.; Castellina, A.; Chiavassa, A.; et al. Evolution of the Cosmic-Ray Anisotropy Above 10¹⁴ eV. *Astrophys. J.* **2009**, *692*, L130–L133.
- 33. Chiavassa, A.; Apel, W.; Arteaga-Velazquez, J.; Bekk, K.; Bertaina, M.; Blümer, J.; Bozdog, H.; Brancus, I.M.; Cantoni, E.; Cossavella, F.; et al. A study of the first harmonic of the large scale anisotropies with the KASCADE-Grande experiment. In Proceedings of the 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2015) Den Haag, The Netherlands, 30 July–6 August 2015; Volume 34, p. 281.
- Apel, W.D.; Arteaga-Velázquez, J.C.; Bekk, K.; Bertaina, M.; Blümer, J.; Bonino, R.; Bozdog, H.; Brancus, I.M.; Cantoni1, E.; Chiavassa, A.; et al. Search for Large-scale Anisotropy in the Arrival Direction of Cosmic Rays with KASCADE-Grande. *Astrophys. J.* 2019, *870*,91.
- Abeysekara, A.U.; Alfaro, R.; Alvarez, C.; Álvarez, J. D.; Arceo, R.; Arteaga-Velázquez, J.C.; Solares, H.A.A.; Barber, A.S.; Baughman, B.M.; Bautista-Elivar, N.; et al. Observation of Small-scale Anisotropy in the Arrival Direction Distribution of TeV Cosmic Rays with HAWC. *Astrophys. J.* 2014, 796, 108.
- Abeysekara, A.U.; Alfaro, R.; Alvarez, C.; Álvarez, J. D.; Arceo, R.; Arteaga-Velázquez, J.C.; Rojas, D.A.; Solares, H.A.A.; Becerril, A.; Belmont-Moreno, E.; et al. Observation of Anisotropy of TeV Cosmic Rays with Two Years of HAWC. *Astrophys. J.* 2018, 865, 57.
- 37. Aifeng Li, Shiyu Yin, Maoyuan Liu, Hao Wang and Xiaoyu Li etal. Interpretation of the Spectra and Anisotropy of Galactic Cosmic Rays. *Universe*. **2022**, *8*, 307.
- Abeysekara, A.U.; Alfaro, R.; Alvarez, C.; Arceo, R.; Arteaga-Velázquez, J.C.; Rojas, D.A.;Belmont-Moreno, E.; BenZvi, S.Y.; Brisbois, C.; Capistrán, T.; et al. All-sky Measurement of the Anisotropy of Cosmic Rays at 10 TeV and Mapping of the Local Interstellar Magnetic Field. *Astrophys. J.* 2019, 871, 96.
- 39. Ahlers, M. Deciphering the Dipole Anisotropy of Galactic Cosmic Rays. Phys. Rev. 2016, 117, 151103.
- 40. Compton, A.H.; Getting, I.A. An Apparent Effect of Galactic Rotation on the Intensity of Cosmic Rays. Phys. Rev. 1935, 47,817-821.
- 41. Li Ai-feng, Yuan Qiang, Liu Wei and Guo Yi-qing. Large-scale Anisotropy of Galactic Cosmic Rays as a Probe of Local Cosmic-Ray Propagation. *apj.* **2024**, *962*: 43.

- 42. E. S. Levine , Leo Blitz, and Carl Heiles. The Spiral Structure of the Outer Milky Way in Hydrogen. *Science*. **2006**, *312*,578, 1773-1777.
- 43. Xu,Y.Hou, L.G, Bian,S.B, Hao,C.J, Liu,D.J, Li,J.J and Li,Y.J. Local spiral structure based on the Gaia EDR3 parallaxes. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **2021**, 645, L8, 4 pp.
- 44. Zhen Tian, Wei Liu, Bo Yang, Xue-Dong Fu, Hai-Bo Xu, Yu-Hua Yao and Yi-Qing Guo. Electron and positron spectra in three-dimensional spatial-dependent propagation mode *Chinese Physics C.* **2022**, *44*,*8*, 085102.
- 45. Pei-pei Zhang, Bing-qiang Qiao, Wei Liu, Shu-wang Cui, Qiang Yuan, and Yi-qing Guo.Possible bump structure of cosmic ray electrons unveiled by AMS-02 data and its common origin along with the nuclei and positron. *jcap.* **2021**, *2021*, *5*, 012.
- 46. Nicola Tomassetti, Origin of the Cosmic-Ray Spectral Hardening. The Astrophysical Journal Letters. 2012, 752:L13, 5pp.
- 47. Yi-Qing Guo, Zhen Tian, and Chao Jin. Spatial-dependent Propagation of Cosmic Rays Results in the Spectrum of Proton, Ratios of P/P, and B/C, and Anisotropy of Nuclei. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **2016**, *819:54*, 6pp.
- 48. Wei Liu, Yu-hua Yao, and Yi-Qing Guo. Revisiting the Spatially Dependent Propagation Model with the Latest Observations of Cosmic-Ray Nuclei. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **2016**, *869*,*2*, 176.
- 49. Qiang Yuan, Bing-Qiang Qiao, Yi-Qing Guo, Yi-Zhong Fan and Xiao-Jun Bi. Nearby source interpretation of differences among light and medium composition spectra in cosmic rays. *Frontiers of Physics* **2021**, *16*,*2*, 24501.
- 50. Yi-Qing Guo and Qiang Yuan. Understanding the spectral hardenings and radial distribution of Galactic cosmic rays and Fermi diffuse γ rays with spatially-dependent propagation. *Physical Review D* **2018**, *97*,*6*, 063008.
- 51. Bing-Qiang Qiao, Wei Liu, Yi-Qing Guo and Qiang Yuan. Anisotropies of different mass compositions of cosmic rays. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2019**, 2019, 007.
- 52. Bing Zhao, Wei Liu, Qiang Yuan, Hong-Bo Hu, Xiao-Jun Bi, Han-Rong Wu, Xun-Xiu Zhou, and Yi-Qing Guo, Geminga SNR: Possible Candidate of the Local Cosmic-Ray Factory. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **926**, **1**, 41
- 53. Abeysekara, A.U.; Alfaro, R.; Alvarez, C.; Arceo, R; et al. Extended gamma-ray sources around pulsars constrain the origin of the positron flux at Earth. *Science*, **2017**, *358*, *6365*, 911-914.
- 54. Abeysekara, A.U.; Alfaro, R.; Alvarez, C.; Arceo, R; et al. Extended gamma-ray sources around pulsars constrain the origin of the positron flux at Earth. *Science*, **2017**, *358*, *6365*, 911-914.
- F. Aharonian, Q. An, Axikegu, L.X.Bai, Y.X.Bai, Y.W.Bao, D.Bastieri, X.J.Bi and Y.J.Bi et al. (LHAASO Collaboration). Extended Very-High-Energy Gamma-Ray Emission Surrounding PSR J 0622 +3749 Observed by LHAASO-KM2A. *Physical Review Letters*,2021 126, 241103.
- 56. A.W. Strong, I.V. Moskalenko, Propagation of cosmic-ray nucleons in the galaxy, *The Astrophysical Journal* 1998, 509, 1, 212–228.
- 57. Carmelo Evoli, Daniele Gaggero, Andrea Vittino, Giuseppe Di Bernardo, and et al. Cosmic-ray propagation with DRAGON2: I. numerical solver and astrophysical ingredients, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2017**, *2017*, *2*, 015.
- 58. Kissmann, R, PICARD: A novel code for the Galactic Cosmic Ray propagation problem Astroparticle Physics 2014, 55, 37-50.
- 59. Case, G.; Bhattacharya, D. Revisiting the galactic supernova remnant distribution. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 1996, 120, 437-440.
- 60. Faucher-Giguère, Claude-André and Kaspi, Victoria M. Birth and Evolution of Isolated Radio Pulsars. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2006**, *643*, *1*, 332-355.
- 61. Blasi, P.; Amato, E. Diffusive propagation of cosmic rays from supernova remnants in the Galaxy. II: Anisotropy. J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 2012, 1, 11.
- 62. Sveshnikova, L.G.; Strelnikova, O.N.; Ptuskin, V.S. Spectrum and anisotropy of cosmic rays at TeV-PeV-energies and contribution of nearby sources. *Astropart. Phys.* **2013**, *50*, 33–46.
- 63. Faherty, J., Walter, F.M., Anderson, J. The trigonometric parallax of the neutron star Geminga. *Astrophysics and Space Science* 2007, 308, 1-4, 225-230.
- R.N.Manchester, G.B.Hobbs, A.Teoh, and M.Hobbs. The Australia Telescope National Facility Pulsar Catalogue. *The Astrophysical Journal* 2005, 129, 4, 1993-2006.
- Funsten, H.O.; DeMajistre, R.; Frisch, P.C.; Heerikhuisen, J.; Higdon, D.M.; Janzen, P.; Larsen, B.A.; Livadiotis, G.; McComas, D.J.; Möbius, E.; et al. Circularity of the Interstellar Boundary Explorer Ribbon of Enhanced Energetic Neutral Atom (ENA) Flux. *Astrophys. J.* 2013, 776, 30.
- 66. Cerri, S.S.; Gaggero, D.; Vittino, A.; Evoli, C.; Grasso, D. A signature of anisotropic cosmic-ray transport in the gamma-ray sky. J. *Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2017**, *10*, 019.
- 67. Aguilar, M.; Cavasonza, L.A.; Ambrosi, G.; Arruda, L.; Attig, N.; Aupetit, S.; Azzarello, P.; Bachlechner, A.; Barao, F.; Barrau, A.; et al. Precision Measurement of the Boron to Carbon Flux Ratio in Cosmic Rays from 1.9 GV to 2.6 TV with the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer on the International Space Station. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2016**, *117*, 231102.
- 68. Atkin, E.; Bulatov, V.; Dorokhov, V.; Gorbunov, N.; Filippov, S.; Grebenyuk, V.; Karmanov, D.; Kovalev, I.; Kudryashov, I.; Kurganov, A.; et al. First results of the cosmic ray NUCLEON experiment. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2017**, 2017, 020.
- Antoni, T.; Apel, W.D.; Badea, A.F.; Bekk, K.; Bercuci, A.; Blümer, J.; Bozdog, H.; Brancus, I.M.; Chilingarian, A.; Daumiller, K.; et al. KASCADE measurements of energy spectra for elemental groups of cosmic rays: Results and open problems. *Astropart. Physics.* 2005, 24, 1–25.
- Apel, W.D.; Arteaga-Velázquez, J.C.; Bekk, K.; Bertaina, M.; Blümer, J.; Bozdog, H.; Brancus, I.M.; Cantoni, E.; Chiavassa, A.; Cossavella, F.; et al. KASCADE-Grande measurements of energy spectra for elemental groups of cosmic rays. *Astropart. Physics.* 2013, 47, 54–66.

- 71. Hörandel, J. On the knee in the energy spectrum of cosmic rays. Astropart. Phys. 2003, 19, 193–220.
- 72. Ambrosio, M.; Antolini, R.; Baldini, A.; Barbarino, G.C.; Barish, B.C.; Battistoni, G.;Becherini, Y.; Bellotti, R.; Bemporad, C.; Bernardini, P.; et al. Search for the sidereal and solar diurnal modulations in the total MACRO muon data set. *Phys. Rev. D* 2003, 67, 042002.
- 73. Aglietta, M.; Alessandro, B.; Antonioli, P.; Arneodo, F.; Bergamasco, L.; Bertaina, M. Study of the Cosmic Ray Anisotropy at Eo ~100 TeV from EAS-TOP: 1992–1994. *Int, Cosmic Ray Conf.* **1995**, *2*, 800.
- 74. Amenomori, M. ; Ayabe, S. ; Cui, S. W. ; Danzengluobu; Ding, L. K. et al. Large-Scale Sidereal Anisotropy of Galactic Cosmic-Ray Intensity Observed by the Tibet Air Shower Array. *Astrophys. J.* **2005**, *626*, L29–L32.