
Autoregressive Speech Synthesis with Next-Distribution Prediction

Xinfa Zhu, Wenjie Tian, and Lei Xie

Audio, Speech and Language Processing Group (ASLP@NPU)
School of Computer Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China

Abstract

We introduce KALL-E¹, a novel autoregressive (AR) language modeling approach with next-distribution prediction for text-to-speech (TTS) synthesis. Unlike existing methods, KALL-E directly models and predicts the continuous speech distribution conditioned on text without relying on VAE- or diffusion-based components. Specifically, we use WaveVAE to extract continuous speech distributions from waveforms instead of using discrete speech tokens. A single AR language model predicts these continuous speech distributions from text, with a Kullback-Leibler divergence loss as the constraint. Experimental results show that KALL-E outperforms open-source implementations of YourTTS, VALL-E, NaturalSpeech 2, and CosyVoice in terms of naturalness and speaker similarity in zero-shot TTS scenarios. Moreover, KALL-E demonstrates exceptional zero-shot capabilities in emotion and accent cloning. Importantly, KALL-E presents a more straightforward and effective paradigm for using continuous speech representations in TTS. Audio samples are available at: <https://zxf-icpc.github.io/kalle/>.

1 Introduction

The past decade has seen remarkable advancements in speech synthesis driven by the development of neural networks [1, 2]. Early text-to-speech (TTS) systems employ cascaded pipelines, combining acoustic models and vocoders, with Mel spectrograms serving as intermediate representations [3–7]. Later innovations have shifted towards end-to-end TTS modeling, enabling high-quality speech synthesis [8–11]. However, due to the inherent one-to-many mapping nature of TTS, these systems continue to suffer from over-smoothing issues [12, 13]. Powered by large language models (LLMs) [14–21], diffusion models [22–28] and large-scale corpora [29–31], current state-of-the-art (SOTA) TTS systems have achieved unprecedented levels of naturalness and diversity, including capabilities for zero-shot voice cloning.

Typical LLM-based TTS frameworks [14, 16] rely on speech tokenizers [32, 33] to quantize continuous speech waveforms into discrete tokens, which are then modeled autoregressively. While significant efforts have been made to improve speech tokenizers [34–37], a fundamental trade-off persists between bitrate and the preservation of speech components [22, 38]. Some tokenizers [32–34] employing multiple discrete tokens per speech frame capture richer acoustic information but significantly increase sequence length, making language modeling challenging. Conversely, tokenizers [39, 19] producing low-bitrate sequences simplify language modeling but result in lossy representations lacking acoustic detail. Unlike text, speech waveforms are continuous in nature, which inherently makes it hard to achieve an ideal speech tokenizer that retains all acoustic nuances at a limited bit rate.

¹Following VALL-E, we name our approach as **KALL-E** and particularly highlight **K**ullback-Leibler divergence as it plays a crucial role.




Figure 1: The overview of KALL-E. Unlike discrete tokens-based language modeling approaches, KALL-E generates continuous speech distributions conditioned on input texts and acoustic prompts, using a single-stage decoder-only model as its foundational structure.

Recent works [40, 38, 41] have explored continuous speech representations within AR language modeling frameworks to overcome the limitations of speech tokenization. Continuous representations are considered nearly lossless carriers of speech information. However, as highlighted in MELLE [38], the key challenges of using continuous speech representations in AR language models lie in the *training objective* and *sampling mechanism*. MELLE addresses these challenges by introducing a VAE-like latent sampling module into an AR language model to predict Mel spectrograms, while other works [42, 43] leverage diffusion-based heads for continuous representation prediction in visual and multimodal generation tasks.

In this work, we propose KALL-E, a novel AR speech synthesis framework with *next-distribution prediction*. KALL-E first extracts continuous speech distributions via WaveVAE and predicts them directly through an AR language model, bypassing the need for VAE or diffusion heads and eliminating the inherent dilemma associated with speech tokenizers. To tackle the challenge of the training objective, we replace the traditional cross-entropy loss with a Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence loss for next-distribution prediction, supplemented by a binary cross-entropy (BCE) loss for stop prediction. For the sampling mechanism, we employ a straightforward reparameterization technique to sample from the predicted speech distributions, effectively addressing the challenge of the sampling mechanism.

We evaluate KALL-E on the LibriTTS [44] corpus and compare it with open-source implementations of several popular zero-shot TTS systems, including YourTTS [45], VALL-E [14], NaturalSpeech 2 [22], and CosyVoice [19]. Following established benchmarks, we use the LibriTTS test-clean set for zero-shot TTS evaluation, the ESD [46] corpus for zero-shot emotion cloning, and the VCTK [47] corpus for accent cloning. Experimental results demonstrate that KALL-E achieves competitive performance with these TTS systems on objective metrics while surpassing them on subjective metrics. Moreover, KALL-E exhibits exceptional capabilities in zero-shot emotion and accent cloning despite training on a modest 500-hour dataset.

2 KALL-E

2.1 Problem Formulation: Next-Distribution Prediction

KALL-E regards zero-shot TTS as a conditional language modeling task achieved through next-distribution prediction. As illustrated in Figure 1, KALL-E consists of a WaveVAE model and an AR language model. The WaveVAE model extracts continuous speech distributions from speech waveforms. Using well-established continuous distributions as the training targets, KALL-E directly predicts these distributions via the AR language model. Finally, the predicted speech distributions are converted back into waveforms by the WaveVAE decoder.

Given a $\langle \text{text}, \text{speech} \rangle$ pair, the text tokenizer encodes the input text into a sequence of text tokens, $x = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_L\}$, where L is the number of text tokens. The WaveVAE encoder extracts continuous speech distributions $z = \{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_T\}$ from the corresponding speech waveform, where T denotes the number of frames in continuous speech distributions, and z_i is sampled from $\mathcal{N}(Z_\mu^i, Z_\sigma^i)$. KALL-E is trained to predict z from x autoregressively. Specifically, at each AR step t , KALL-E predicts the next speech distribution z_t conditioned on the text prompt x and the previously generated speech distributions $z_{<t}$. This can be formulated as:

$$p(z|x; \theta) = \prod_{t=0}^T p(z_t|z_{<t}, x; \theta), \quad (1)$$

where $z_{<t}$ represents the previously predicted speech distributions $\{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}\}$ and θ represents the parameters of the AR language model.

2.2 WaveVAE

To enable the AR language model to predict continuous speech distributions, we first employ WaveVAE to learn these distributions in an unsupervised manner. Inspired by the Glow-WaveGAN series [9, 48, 49], WaveVAE is a variational auto-encoder (VAE) [50] and consists of an encoder and a decoder, where the encoder maps the inputs w into latent representations z , and the decoder reconstructs w from z . The process is formulated as follows:

$$z = \text{Enc}(w) \sim q(z|w), \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{w} = \text{Dec}(z) \sim p(w|z), \quad (3)$$

where w means the input waveform, and $q(z|w)$ represents the latent distribution of speech, which is used to reconstruct waveform \hat{w} from $p(w|z)$ via the decoder.

As for the detailed architecture of the proposed WaveVAE, the encoder consists of a stack of down-sampling dilated convolution layers with residual blocks, which effectively capture abstract features in the speech waveform. After encoding, the produced mean and variance are interpreted as the parameters of the learned latent distribution $q(z|w) = \mathcal{N}(Z_\mu, Z_\sigma)$. The decoder mirrors the encoder’s architecture but uses transposed convolution layers with residual blocks to up-sample the latent representation z back into the waveform \hat{w} . Additionally, we integrate advanced techniques, such as the Snake activation function from BigVGAN [51], to enhance the WaveVAE decoder’s performance.

To train WaveVAE, we use four loss functions: (1) a Kullback-Leibler divergence loss \mathcal{L}_{KL} between latent speech distribution z and prior Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$; (2) a reconstruction loss \mathcal{L}_{recon} between predicted mel-spectrogram and ground-truth mel-spectrogram; (3) a discriminator loss \mathcal{L}_{disc} including the multi-period discriminator loss [52] and multi-resolution discriminator loss [53]; (4) a feature matching loss \mathcal{L}_{fm} between the feature map extracted from intermediate layers in each discriminator [54]. These losses work collaboratively to optimize WaveVAE, and the overall loss raining objective is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{waave} = \lambda_{kl}\mathcal{L}_{KL} + \lambda_{recon}\mathcal{L}_{recon} + \lambda_{disc}\mathcal{L}_{disc} + \lambda_{fm}\mathcal{L}_{fm}, \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_{kl}, \lambda_{recon}, \lambda_{disc}, \lambda_{fm}$ are the respective weighting factors for each loss component.

2.3 Autoregressive Language Model

With the assistance of WaveVAE, KALL-E employs a causal transformer decoder as the language model to autoregressively predict the continuous speech distribution. Specifically, input text tokens x , augmented with an <EOS> token, are first converted into embeddings by the text embedding layer. Simultaneously, a linear layer projects the sampled speech distribution z into the dimension of the language model. The language model, consisting of blocks of multi-head self-attention and feed-forward layers, takes the concatenation of text and speech embeddings as input to model the dependency between semantic and acoustic information.

At each time step t , the output of the language model, o_t , is subsequently processed by a linear layer to predict the mean \hat{Z}_μ and variance \hat{Z}_σ of target speech distribution. These parameters are then used to sample the predicted speech distribution for the subsequent AR step.

The training objective for the AR language model consists of two components: (1) a Kullback-Leibler divergence loss \mathcal{L}_{KL} between predicted and ground-truth speech distributions; (2) a binary cross-entropy loss \mathcal{L}_{stop} for stop prediction. Following the design of Tacotron [3] and other AR TTS models [55, 56], we employ a linear layer to project the output of the LM to logits, which are then used to calculate the BCE loss for stop prediction. The overall training objective for the AR language model is formulated as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{LM} = \mathcal{L}_{KL} + \lambda_{stop}\mathcal{L}_{stop}, \quad (5)$$

where λ_{stop} is a hyperparameter that balances the stop prediction loss with the KL divergence loss.

2.4 Inference

During inference, KALL-E is capable of both unconditional and conditional text-to-speech synthesis. For unconditional TTS, KALL-E autoregressively generates the target speech distribution directly from the provided input text. Thanks to the reparameterization technique used in the sampling process, KALL-E can produce diverse speech outputs with varying speaker timbres and speaking styles for the same input text when performing batch inference.

For conditional TTS, such as in the case of zero-shot TTS, KALL-E achieves this through an in-context learning mechanism, similar to VALL-E and MELLE. Specifically, given the text content x for synthesis, along with the text transcription \hat{x} and speech distribution \hat{z} of acoustic prompt, KALL-E autoregressively generates the target speech distribution z of the corresponding content while preserving the acoustic characteristics of the prompt speech. This process is formulated by maximizing the likelihood probability: $p(z|\hat{x}, x, \hat{z}; \theta)$.

3 Experiments

3.1 Experimental Setup

Dataset. We conduct experiments on the open-source LibriTTS corpus [44], a high-quality speech dataset derived from the LibriSpeech corpus [57]. LibriTTS contains approximately 585 hours of 24 kHz speech data from over 2,400 speakers, making it suitable for high-fidelity speech synthesis. For evaluation, we use the LibriTTS test-clean set for zero-shot TTS performance evaluation. Additionally, we utilize the ESD corpus [46] for zero-shot emotion cloning evaluation and the VCTK corpus [47] for zero-shot accent cloning evaluation.

Implement details. For WaveVAE, the encoder follows the architecture of Glow-WaveGAN [9], while the decoder refers to the settings of BigVGAN [51]. The latent dimension of the speech distribution z is set to 64, with a frame rate of 100 Hz. The AR language model comprises approximately 445M parameters and 24 LLaMA [58] layers, each with 16 attention heads. The input embedding dimension for the language model is set to 1024. The WaveVAE model is trained with a total batch size of 64 for 2,000k steps, while the AR language model is trained with a total batch size of 256 for 32k steps.

Comparison systems. To assess the performance of KALL-E, we compare it with the open-source implementation of several popular zero-shot TTS models. Note that the training data used for these models might be different.

- YourTTS [45]: A non-autoregressive TTS model based on VITS, enhanced with a pre-trained speaker encoder to improve zero-shot TTS capabilities. We use the released checkpoint².
- VALL-E [14]: A codec language model approach for zero-shot TTS synthesis that uses audio codec codes as intermediate representations. We use the open-source checkpoint from Amphion³ [59].
- NaturalSpeech 2 [22]: A zero-shot TTS system that incorporates a neural audio codec with continuous latent vectors and a latent diffusion model for non-autoregressive speech generation. We use the open-source checkpoint from Amphion⁴ [59].
- CosyVoice [19]: a scalable zero-shot speech synthesis system that integrates language and flow-matching models. We use their released checkpoint⁵.

Evaluation Metrics. We employ subjective and objective evaluations to assess the performance of synthetic speech. For subjective evaluation, we use the Mean Opinion Score (MOS) to evaluate the naturalness of the synthetic speech, Similarity Mean Opinion Score (SMOS) to assess speaker similarity between the synthetic and prompt speech, Comparative Mean Opinion Scores (CMOS) for emotion or accent preference according to reference speech. Each evaluation has at least 10 participants. The rating scale is as follows: bad = 1, poor = 2, fair = 3, good = 4, great = 5, with half-point increments. For objective evaluation, we follow Seed-TTS⁶ [18] and measure word error rate (WER) and speaker similarity (SIM). Specifically, we use Whisper-large-v3 [60] to compute the WER of synthetic speech. For SIM, we employ WavLM-large [61] fine-tuned on a speaker verification task to extract speaker embeddings and calculate the cosine similarity between the synthetic speech and reference clips.

3.2 Zero-shot TTS

As shown in Table 1, KALL-E outperforms YourTTS, VALL-E, NaturalSpeech 2, and CosyVoice in MOS, demonstrating its ability to synthesize highly natural speech in zero-shot scenarios. Moreover, KALL-E surpasses these systems by a notable margin in SMOS, highlighting its superior capability in cloning speaker timbre and other speech characteristics. This advantage can be attributed to the use of continuous speech distributions, which capture finer-grained acoustic details compared to discrete representations used in comparison systems.

In objective evaluations, CosyVoice achieves the best WER, with KALL-E obtaining a higher WER compared to CosyVoice and NaturalSpeech 2. This discrepancy is likely due to occasional issues in KALL-E’s generated speech, such as missed or duplicated words, pointing to areas where synthesis robustness can be further improved. Despite this, KALL-E achieves the highest SIM among all systems, corroborating its strength in zero-shot voice cloning and aligning with subjective evaluation results.

Table 1: Zero-shot TTS evaluation results for 10 speakers with 100 text transcripts from the LibriTTS test-clean set.

Model	MOS \uparrow	SMOS \uparrow	WER(%) \downarrow	SIM \uparrow
YourTTS [45]	3.08 \pm 0.14	3.17 \pm 0.08	7.1	0.45
VALL-E [14]	3.12 \pm 0.19	3.36 \pm 0.12	9.6	0.52
NaturalSpeech 2 [22]	3.44 \pm 0.15	3.58 \pm 0.11	6.1	0.56
CosyVoice [19]	3.80 \pm 0.10	3.75 \pm 0.08	7.4	0.62
KALL-E	3.87 \pm 0.12	3.91 \pm 0.07	8.5	0.67

3.3 Zero-shot Emotion and Accent Cloning

To further assess the zero-shot capabilities of KALL-E, we conduct experiments on emotion cloning and accent cloning. As shown in Table 2, all comparison models exhibit performance degradation

²<https://github.com/Edresson/YourTTS>

³<https://github.com/open-mmlab/Amphion/tree/main/egs/tts/VALLE>

⁴<https://github.com/open-mmlab/Amphion/tree/main/egs/tts/NaturalSpeech2>

⁵<https://github.com/FunAudioLLM/CosyVoice>

⁶<https://github.com/BytedanceSpeech/seed-tts-eval/tree/main>

in zero-shot emotional voice cloning, underscoring the inherent challenge of this task. However, KALL-E demonstrates superior performance compared to its results on the LibriTTS test-clean set. This observation highlights the richness of continuous speech distributions in capturing acoustic details. Notably, the CMOS results indicate that KALL-E achieves the best performance in zero-shot emotion cloning among all models.

Table 2: Zero-shot emotion cloning TTS evaluation results on the ESD corpus.

Model	CMOS \uparrow	WER(%) \downarrow	SIM \uparrow
YourTTS [45]	-0.23	7.7	0.36
VALL-E [14]	-0.09	9.7	0.47
NaturalSpeech 2 [22]	-0.39	9.1	0.44
CosyVoice [19]	-0.07	7.5	0.59
KALL-E	0.00	7.9	0.69

In zero-shot accent cloning, as depicted in Table 3, NaturalSpeech 2 and CosyVoice achieve low WER, whereas YourTTS, VALL-E, and KALL-E exhibit relatively higher WER. We find that accented pronunciation adversely affects the clarity of synthetic speech produced by YourTTS, VALL-E, and KALL-E. Despite this, KALL-E achieves the best results in accent preference as reflected in CMOS evaluations. Furthermore, KALL-E records the highest SIM score, affirming its effectiveness in preserving speaker timbre during zero-shot accent cloning.

Table 3: Zero-shot accent cloning TTS evaluation results on the VCTK corpus.

Model	CMOS \uparrow	WER(%) \downarrow	SIM \uparrow
YourTTS [45]	-0.18	9.2	0.38
VALL-E [14]	-0.12	12.8	0.46
NaturalSpeech 2 [22]	-0.35	6.6	0.41
CosyVoice [19]	-0.04	5.9	0.56
KALL-E	0.00	9.0	0.66

Additionally, KALL-E can generate diverse speech with various speaker timbres and speaking styles in an unconditional TTS manner. We show this ability on our online demo page.

4 Conclusion and Limitations

In this study, we propose KALL-E, an AR language model approach for TTS with next-distribution prediction. By leveraging WaveVAE to extract continuous speech distributions, KALL-E predicts these distributions conditioned on text input through an AR language model. We demonstrate the superior in-context learning capability of KALL-E in zero-shot scenarios. Additionally, KALL-E excels in cloning the emotion and accent during synthesis, offering diverse outputs in sampling-based inference.

Despite these advancements, KALL-E has several limitations. First, like other AR TTS systems, it still faces challenges in synthesis robustness. Specifically, some words may be unclear, missed, or duplicated in the generated speech. Second, the inference efficiency can be improved. Although the current system operates at a frame rate of 100 Hz, reducing the frame rate to a lower frame rate, such as 12.5 Hz, could improve computational efficiency. Lastly, the LibriTTS corpus used for training is relatively small, and the performance of KALL-E could benefit from leveraging larger datasets, such as LibriLight[29], WenetSpeech4TTS [30] or Emilia [31].

5 Future Work

Scaling law. We observe that when KALL-E is trained on a 10-hour corpus, the generated speech lacks intelligibility. However, when scaled to a 500-hour corpus, such as LibriTTS, the intelligibility and naturalness of the synthesized speech improve significantly. We believe that by scaling up to

tens of thousands of hours of speech data, the performance of KALL-E could be further enhanced, enabling more realistic and expressive speech synthesis.

Sampling mechanism. In discrete token-based language models, the sampling mechanism significantly affects the quality, diversity, and coherence of the generated token sequence. Standard techniques, such as top-k sampling, top-p sampling, and additional parameters like temperature and repetition penalty, can be adjusted to optimize performance. However, there is limited research on effective sampling from continuous speech representations. Investigating and developing new sampling strategies for continuous latent distributions will be key to improving KALL-E’s generation capabilities.

Spoken dialogue system. According to WavChat [62], most speech comprehension systems rely on continuous speech representations for capturing fine-grained speech details, whereas most speech generation systems typically use discrete speech representations due to next-token prediction. However, in AR language models, the output is intrinsically equal to the input, meaning the output and input representations should be the same type. KALL-E’s success in using continuous speech distributions for speech generation suggests a potential pathway for integrating continuous representations into spoken dialogue systems, addressing the current divide between comprehension and generation models.

References

- [1] Xu Tan. *Neural Text-to-Speech Synthesis*. Artificial Intelligence: Foundations, Theory, and Algorithms. Springer, 2023.
- [2] Tianxin Xie, Yan Rong, Pengfei Zhang, and Li Liu. Towards controllable speech synthesis in the era of large language models: A survey, 2024.
- [3] Yuxuan Wang, R. J. Skerry-Ryan, Daisy Stanton, Yonghui Wu, Ron J. Weiss, Navdeep Jaitly, Zongheng Yang, Ying Xiao, Zhifeng Chen, Samy Bengio, Quoc V. Le, Yannis Agiomyrgianakis, Rob Clark, and Rif A. Saurous. Tacotron: Towards end-to-end speech synthesis. In Francisco Lacerda, editor, *Interspeech 2017, 18th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Stockholm, Sweden, August 20-24, 2017*, pages 4006–4010. ISCA, 2017.
- [4] Yi Ren, Yangjun Ruan, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. FastSpeech: Fast, robust and controllable text to speech. In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d’Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 3165–3174, 2019.
- [5] Chengzhu Yu, Heng Lu, Na Hu, Meng Yu, Chao Weng, Kun Xu, Peng Liu, Deyi Tuo, Shiyin Kang, Guangzhi Lei, Dan Su, and Dong Yu. Durian: Duration informed attention network for multimodal synthesis. *CoRR*, abs/1909.01700, 2019.
- [6] Yi Ren, Chenxu Hu, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. FastSpeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net, 2021.
- [7] Isaac Elias, Heiga Zen, Jonathan Shen, Yu Zhang, Ye Jia, Ron J. Weiss, and Yonghui Wu. Parallel tacotron: Non-autoregressive and controllable TTS. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2021, Toronto, ON, Canada, June 6-11, 2021*, pages 5709–5713. IEEE, 2021.
- [8] Jaehyeon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Juhee Son. Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech. In Marina Meila and Tong Zhang, editors, *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event, volume 139 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5530–5540. PMLR, 2021.

- [9] Jian Cong, Shan Yang, Lei Xie, and Dan Su. Glow-wavegan: Learning speech representations from gan-based variational auto-encoder for high fidelity flow-based speech synthesis. In Hynek Hermansky, Honza Cernocký, Lukás Burget, Lori Lamel, Odette Scharenborg, and Petr Motlíček, editors, *22nd Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech 2021, Brno, Czechia, August 30 - September 3, 2021*, pages 2182–2186. ISCA, 2021.
- [10] Zhengxi Liu, Qiao Tian, Chenxu Hu, Xudong Liu, Menglin Wu, Yuping Wang, Hang Zhao, and Yuxuan Wang. Controllable and lossless non-autoregressive end-to-end text-to-speech. *CoRR*, abs/2207.06088, 2022.
- [11] Jungil Kong, Jihoon Park, Beomjeong Kim, Jeongmin Kim, Dohee Kong, and Sangjin Kim. VITS2: improving quality and efficiency of single-stage text-to-speech with adversarial learning and architecture design. In Naomi Harte, Julie Carson-Berndsen, and Gareth Jones, editors, *24th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech 2023, Dublin, Ireland, August 20-24, 2023*, pages 4374–4378. ISCA, 2023.
- [12] Yi Ren, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Zhou Zhao, and Tie-Yan Liu. Revisiting over-smoothness in text to speech. In Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio, editors, *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022*, pages 8197–8213. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022.
- [13] Rongjie Huang, Yi Ren, Jinglin Liu, Chenye Cui, and Zhou Zhao. Generspeech: Towards style transfer for generalizable out-of-domain text-to-speech. In Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*, 2022.
- [14] Chengyi Wang, Sanyuan Chen, Yu Wu, Ziqiang Zhang, Long Zhou, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Yanqing Liu, Huaming Wang, Jinyu Li, Lei He, Sheng Zhao, and Furu Wei. Neural codec language models are zero-shot text to speech synthesizers. *CoRR*, abs/2301.02111, 2023.
- [15] Eugene Kharitonov, Damien Vincent, Zalán Borsos, Raphaël Marinier, Sertan Girgin, Olivier Pietquin, Matt Sharifi, Marco Tagliasacchi, and Neil Zeghidour. Speak, read and prompt: High-fidelity text-to-speech with minimal supervision. *Trans. Assoc. Comput. Linguistics*, 11:1703–1718, 2023.
- [16] Dongchao Yang, Jinchuan Tian, Xu Tan, Rongjie Huang, Songxiang Liu, Xuankai Chang, Jiatong Shi, Sheng Zhao, Jiang Bian, Xixin Wu, Zhou Zhao, Shinji Watanabe, and Helen Meng. Uniaudio: An audio foundation model toward universal audio generation. *CoRR*, abs/2310.00704, 2023.
- [17] Xinfu Zhu, Wenjie Tian, Xinsheng Wang, Lei He, Yujia Xiao, Xi Wang, Xu Tan, Sheng Zhao, and Lei Xie. Unistyle: Unified style modeling for speaking style captioning and stylistic speech synthesis. In Jianfei Cai, Mohan S. Kankanhalli, Balakrishnan Prabhakaran, Susanne Boll, Ramanathan Subramanian, Liang Zheng, Vivek K. Singh, Pablo César, Lexing Xie, and Dong Xu, editors, *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM 2024, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 28 October 2024 - 1 November 2024*, pages 7513–7522. ACM, 2024.
- [18] Philip Anastassiou, Jiawei Chen, Jitong Chen, Yuanzhe Chen, Zhuo Chen, Ziyi Chen, Jian Cong, Lelai Deng, Chuang Ding, Lu Gao, Mingqing Gong, Peisong Huang, Qingqing Huang, Zhiying Huang, Yuanyuan Huo, Dongya Jia, Chumin Li, Feiya Li, Hui Li, Jiaxin Li, Xiaoyang Li, Xingxing Li, Lin Liu, Shouda Liu, Sichao Liu, Xudong Liu, Yuchen Liu, Zhengxi Liu, Lu Lu, Junjie Pan, Xin Wang, Yuping Wang, Yuxuan Wang, Zhen Wei, Jian Wu, Chao Yao, Yifeng Yang, Yuanhao Yi, Junteng Zhang, Qidi Zhang, Shuo Zhang, Wenjie Zhang, Yang Zhang, Zilin Zhao, Dejian Zhong, and Xiaobin Zhuang. Seed-tts: A family of high-quality versatile speech generation models. *CoRR*, abs/2406.02430, 2024.
- [19] Zhihao Du, Qian Chen, Shiliang Zhang, Kai Hu, Heng Lu, Yexin Yang, Hangrui Hu, Siqi Zheng, Yue Gu, Ziyang Ma, Zhifu Gao, and Zhijie Yan. Cosyvoice: A scalable multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech synthesizer based on supervised semantic tokens. *CoRR*, abs/2407.05407, 2024.

- [20] Dake Guo, Jixun Yao, Xinfu Zhu, Kangxiang Xia, Zhao Guo, Ziyu Zhang, Yao Wang, Jie Liu, and Lei Xie. The NPU-HWC system for the ISCSLP 2024 inspirational and convincing audio generation challenge. *CoRR*, abs/2410.23815, 2024.
- [21] Xingchen Song, Mengtao Xing, Changwei Ma, Shengqiang Li, Di Wu, Binbin Zhang, Fuping Pan, Dinghao Zhou, Yuekai Zhang, Shun Lei, Zhendong Peng, and Zhiyong Wu. Touchtts: An embarrassingly simple tts framework that everyone can touch, 2024.
- [22] Kai Shen, Zeqian Ju, Xu Tan, Eric Liu, Yichong Leng, Lei He, Tao Qin, Sheng Zhao, and Jiang Bian. Naturalspeech 2: Latent diffusion models are natural and zero-shot speech and singing synthesizers. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- [23] Zeqian Ju, Yuancheng Wang, Kai Shen, Xu Tan, Detai Xin, Dongchao Yang, Eric Liu, Yichong Leng, Kaitao Song, Siliang Tang, Zhizheng Wu, Tao Qin, Xiangyang Li, Wei Ye, Shikun Zhang, Jiang Bian, Lei He, Jinyu Li, and Sheng Zhao. Naturalspeech 3: Zero-shot speech synthesis with factorized codec and diffusion models. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2024, Vienna, Austria, July 21-27, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- [24] Zhen Ye, Zeqian Ju, Haohe Liu, Xu Tan, Jianyi Chen, Yiwen Lu, Peiwen Sun, Jiahao Pan, Weizhen Bian, Shulin He, Wei Xue, Qifeng Liu, and Yike Guo. Flashspeech: Efficient zero-shot speech synthesis. In Jianfei Cai, Mohan S. Kankanhalli, Balakrishnan Prabhakaran, Susanne Boll, Ramanathan Subramanian, Liang Zheng, Vivek K. Singh, Pablo César, Lexing Xie, and Dong Xu, editors, *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM 2024, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 28 October 2024 - 1 November 2024*, pages 6998–7007. ACM, 2024.
- [25] Dongchao Yang, Dingdong Wang, Haohan Guo, Xueyuan Chen, Xixin Wu, and Helen Meng. SimpleSpeech: Towards simple and efficient text-to-speech with scalar latent transformer diffusion models. *CoRR*, abs/2406.02328, 2024.
- [26] Sefik Emre Eskimez, Xiaofei Wang, Manthan Thakker, Canrun Li, Chung-Hsien Tsai, Zhen Xiao, Hemin Yang, Zirun Zhu, Min Tang, Xu Tan, Yanqing Liu, Sheng Zhao, and Naoyuki Kanda. E2 TTS: embarrassingly easy fully non-autoregressive zero-shot TTS. *CoRR*, abs/2406.18009, 2024.
- [27] Yuan Gao, Nobuyuki Morioka, Yu Zhang, and Nanxin Chen. E3 TTS: easy end-to-end diffusion-based text to speech. In *IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop, ASRU 2023, Taipei, Taiwan, December 16-20, 2023*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2023.
- [28] Yuancheng Wang, Haoyue Zhan, Liwei Liu, Ruihong Zeng, Haotian Guo, Jiachen Zheng, Qiang Zhang, Shunsi Zhang, and Zhizheng Wu. Maskgct: Zero-shot text-to-speech with masked generative codec transformer. *CoRR*, abs/2409.00750, 2024.
- [29] Jacob Kahn, Morgane Rivière, Weiyi Zheng, Evgeny Kharitonov, Qiantong Xu, Pierre-Emmanuel Mazaré, Julien Karadayi, Vitaliy Liptchinsky, Ronan Collobert, Christian Fuegen, Tatiana Likhomanenko, Gabriel Synnaeve, Armand Joulin, Abdelrahman Mohamed, and Emmanuel Dupoux. Libri-light: A benchmark for ASR with limited or no supervision. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2020, Barcelona, Spain, May 4-8, 2020*, pages 7669–7673. IEEE, 2020.
- [30] Linhan Ma, Dake Guo, Kun Song, Yuepeng Jiang, Shuai Wang, Liumeng Xue, Weiming Xu, Huan Zhao, Binbin Zhang, and Lei Xie. Wenetspeech4tts: A 12,800-hour mandarin tts corpus for large speech generation model benchmark, 2024.
- [31] Haorui He, Zengqiang Shang, Chaoren Wang, Xuyuan Li, Yicheng Gu, Hua Hua, Liwei Liu, Chen Yang, Jiaqi Li, Peiyang Shi, Yuancheng Wang, Kai Chen, Pengyuan Zhang, and Zhizheng Wu. Emilia: An extensive, multilingual, and diverse speech dataset for large-scale speech generation. *CoRR*, abs/2407.05361, 2024.
- [32] Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Yossi Adi. High fidelity neural audio compression. *CoRR*, abs/2210.13438, 2022.

- [33] Neil Zeghidour, Alejandro Luebs, Ahmed Omran, Jan Skoglund, and Marco Tagliasacchi. Soundstream: An end-to-end neural audio codec. *IEEE ACM Trans. Audio Speech Lang. Process.*, 30:495–507, 2022.
- [34] Xin Zhang, Dong Zhang, Shimin Li, Yaqian Zhou, and Xipeng Qiu. Speeche tokenizer: Unified speech tokenizer for speech language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- [35] Hanzhao Li, Liumeng Xue, Haohan Guo, Xinfa Zhu, Yuanjun Lv, Lei Xie, Yunlin Chen, Hao Yin, and Zhifei Li. Single-codec: Single-codebook speech codec towards high-performance speech generation. In *Interspeech 2024*, pages 3390–3394, 2024.
- [36] Zhen Ye, Peiwen Sun, Jiahe Lei, Hongzhan Lin, Xu Tan, Zheqi Dai, Qiuqiang Kong, Jianyi Chen, Jiahao Pan, Qifeng Liu, Yike Guo, and Wei Xue. Codec does matter: Exploring the semantic shortcoming of codec for audio language model. *CoRR*, abs/2408.17175, 2024.
- [37] Shengpeng Ji, Ziyue Jiang, Xize Cheng, Yifu Chen, Minghui Fang, Jialong Zuo, Qian Yang, Ruiqi Li, Ziang Zhang, Xiaoda Yang, Rongjie Huang, Yidi Jiang, Qian Chen, Siqi Zheng, Wen Wang, and Zhou Zhao. Wavtokenizer: an efficient acoustic discrete codec tokenizer for audio language modeling. *CoRR*, abs/2408.16532, 2024.
- [38] Lingwei Meng, Long Zhou, Shujie Liu, Sanyuan Chen, Bing Han, Shujie Hu, Yanqing Liu, Jinyu Li, Sheng Zhao, Xixin Wu, Helen Meng, and Furu Wei. Autoregressive speech synthesis without vector quantization. *CoRR*, abs/2407.08551, 2024.
- [39] Xinfa Zhu, Yuanjun Lv, Yi Lei, Tao Li, Wendi He, Hongbin Zhou, Heng Lu, and Lei Xie. Vec-tok speech: speech vectorization and tokenization for neural speech generation. *CoRR*, abs/2310.07246, 2023.
- [40] Eliya Nachmani, Alon Levkovitch, Roy Hirsch, Julian Salazar, Chulayuth Asawaroengchai, Soroosh Mariooryad, Ehud Rivlin, R. J. Skerry-Ryan, and Michelle Tadmor Ramanovich. Spoken question answering and speech continuation using spectrogram-powered LLM. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024.
- [41] Yixing Li, Ruobing Xie, Xingwu Sun, Yu Cheng, and Zhanhui Kang. Continuous speech tokenizer in text to speech. *CoRR*, abs/2410.17081, 2024.
- [42] Tianhong Li, Yonglong Tian, He Li, Mingyang Deng, and Kaiming He. Autoregressive image generation without vector quantization. *CoRR*, abs/2406.11838, 2024.
- [43] Yutao Sun, Hangbo Bao, Wenhui Wang, Zhiliang Peng, Li Dong, Shaohan Huang, Jianyong Wang, and Furu Wei. Multimodal latent language modeling with next-token diffusion, 2024.
- [44] Heiga Zen, Viet Dang, Rob Clark, Yu Zhang, Ron J. Weiss, Ye Jia, Zhifeng Chen, and Yonghui Wu. Libritts: A corpus derived from librispeech for text-to-speech. In Gernot Kubin and Zdravko Kacic, editors, *20th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech 2019, Graz, Austria, September 15-19, 2019*, pages 1526–1530. ISCA, 2019.
- [45] Edresson Casanova, Julian Weber, Christopher Dane Shulby, Arnaldo Cândido Júnior, Eren Gölge, and Moacir A. Ponti. Yourtts: Towards zero-shot multi-speaker TTS and zero-shot voice conversion for everyone. In Kamalika Chaudhuri, Stefanie Jegelka, Le Song, Csaba Szepesvári, Gang Niu, and Sivan Sabato, editors, *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2022, 17-23 July 2022, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2709–2720. PMLR, 2022.
- [46] Kun Zhou, Berrak Sisman, Rui Liu, and Haizhou Li. Emotional voice conversion: Theory, databases and ESD. *Speech Commun.*, 137:1–18, 2022.
- [47] Christophe Veaux, Junichi Yamagishi, and Kirsten MacDonald. Superseded - cstr vctk corpus: English multi-speaker corpus for cstr voice cloning toolkit. 2016.

- [48] Yi Lei, Shan Yang, Jian Cong, Lei Xie, and Dan Su. Glow-wavegan 2: High-quality zero-shot text-to-speech synthesis and any-to-any voice conversion. In Hanseok Ko and John H. L. Hansen, editors, *23rd Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech 2022, Incheon, Korea, September 18-22, 2022*, pages 2563–2567. ISCA, 2022.
- [49] Liumeng Xue, Shan Yang, Na Hu, Dan Su, and Lei Xie. Learning noise-independent speech representation for high-quality voice conversion for noisy target speakers. In Hanseok Ko and John H. L. Hansen, editors, *23rd Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech 2022, Incheon, Korea, September 18-22, 2022*, pages 2548–2552. ISCA, 2022.
- [50] Diederik P. Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. In Yoshua Bengio and Yann LeCun, editors, *2nd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2014, Banff, AB, Canada, April 14-16, 2014, Conference Track Proceedings*, 2014.
- [51] Sang-gil Lee, Wei Ping, Boris Ginsburg, Bryan Catanzaro, and Sungroh Yoon. Bigvgan: A universal neural vocoder with large-scale training. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- [52] Jungil Kong, Jaehyeon Kim, and Jaekyoung Bae. Hifi-gan: Generative adversarial networks for efficient and high fidelity speech synthesis. In Hugo Larochelle, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, Raia Hadsell, Maria-Florina Balcan, and Hsuan-Tien Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6-12, 2020, virtual*, 2020.
- [53] Won Jang, Dan Lim, Jaesam Yoon, Bongwan Kim, and Juntae Kim. Univnet: A neural vocoder with multi-resolution spectrogram discriminators for high-fidelity waveform generation. In Hynek Hermansky, Honza Cernocký, Lukás Burget, Lori Lamel, Odette Scharenborg, and Petr Motlíček, editors, *22nd Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech 2021, Brno, Czechia, August 30 - September 3, 2021*, pages 2207–2211. ISCA, 2021.
- [54] Kundan Kumar, Rithesh Kumar, Thibault de Boissiere, Lucas Gestin, Wei Zhen Teoh, Jose Sotelo, Alexandre de Brébisson, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron C. Courville. Melgan: Generative adversarial networks for conditional waveform synthesis. In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d’Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 14881–14892, 2019.
- [55] Naihan Li, Shujie Liu, Yanqing Liu, Sheng Zhao, and Ming Liu. Neural speech synthesis with transformer network. In *The Thirty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2019, The Thirty-First Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2019, The Ninth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2019, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, January 27 - February 1, 2019*, pages 6706–6713. AAAI Press, 2019.
- [56] Junyi Ao, Rui Wang, Long Zhou, Chengyi Wang, Shuo Ren, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Tom Ko, Qing Li, Yu Zhang, Zhihua Wei, Yao Qian, Jinyu Li, and Furu Wei. Speecho5: Unified-modal encoder-decoder pre-training for spoken language processing. In Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio, editors, *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022*, pages 5723–5738. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2022.
- [57] Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. Librispeech: An ASR corpus based on public domain audio books. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2015, South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, April 19-24, 2015*, pages 5206–5210. IEEE, 2015.
- [58] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurélien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *CoRR*, abs/2302.13971, 2023.

- [59] Xueyao Zhang, Liumeng Xue, Yuancheng Wang, Yicheng Gu, Xi Chen, Zihao Fang, Haopeng Chen, Lexiao Zou, Chaoren Wang, Jun Han, Kai Chen, Haizhou Li, and Zhizheng Wu. Amphion: An open-source audio, music and speech generation toolkit. *CoRR*, abs/2312.09911, 2023.
- [60] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett, editors, *International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2023, 23-29 July 2023, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 28492–28518. PMLR, 2023.
- [61] Sanyuan Chen, Chengyi Wang, Zhengyang Chen, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Jinyu Li, Naoyuki Kanda, Takuya Yoshioka, Xiong Xiao, Jian Wu, Long Zhou, Shuo Ren, Yanmin Qian, Yao Qian, Jian Wu, Michael Zeng, Xiangzhan Yu, and Furu Wei. Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.*, 16(6):1505–1518, 2022.
- [62] Shengpeng Ji, Yifu Chen, Minghui Fang, Jialong Zuo, Jingyu Lu, Hanting Wang, Ziyue Jiang, Long Zhou, Shujie Liu, Xize Cheng, Xiaoda Yang, Zehan Wang, Qian Yang, Jian Li, Yidi Jiang, Jingzhen He, Yunfei Chu, Jin Xu, and Zhou Zhao. Wavchat: A survey of spoken dialogue models, 2024.