

Maximum principle for discrete-time control systems driven by fractional noises and related backward stochastic difference equations

Yuecai Han¹ and Yuhang Li^{1*†}

¹School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun, 130012, Jilin Province, China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): yuhangl22@mails.jlu.edu.cn;
Contributing authors: hanyc@jlu.edu.cn;

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

In this paper, the optimal control for discrete-time systems driven by fractional noises is studied. A stochastic maximum principle is obtained by introducing a backward stochastic difference equation contains both fractional noises and the constructed white noises. The solution of the backward stochastic difference equations is also investigated. As an application, the linear quadratic case is considered to illustrate the main results.

Keywords: Maximum principle, discrete-time system, fractional noise, backward stochastic difference equations

1 Introduction

From last century, stochastic maximum principle (SMP) and backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) are studied popularly to deal with optimal control problems of stochastic systems. For SMP, some original works refer to [1–3]. Right up to 1990, Peng [4] obtain the stochastic maximum principle for a general control system, where the control domain could be non-convex and the diffusion term contains control process. Then SMP for many different control problems are investigated, such as near-optimal control [5], doubly stochastic control systems [6, 7], mean-field optimal control [8, 9] and delayed control problems [10, 11]. For BSDEs, as the adjoint equation

in SMP, it is originated from Bismut [12]. In 1990, Pardoux and Peng [13] prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of nonlinear BSDEs. Then some related early works refer to [14, 15].

For SMP for discrete-time systems, the original work is by Lin and Zhang [16], a type of backward stochastic difference equations (BSΔEs) is introduced as adjoint equation. Based on this work, much progress has been made by researchers. Discrete-time stochastic games are studied by Wu and Zhang [17]. Dong et al. obtain the SMP for discrete-time systems with mean-field [18] and delay [19]. Ji and Zhang investigate the infinite horizon recursive optimal control and infinite horizon BSΔE. But as we known, there are poorly works consider the discrete-time control problems with fractional noises or other “colored noises”.

In this paper, motivated by stochastic optimal control of continuous systems driven by fractional Brownian motion (FBM), we concern the discrete-time optimal control for systems driven by fractional noises. Let $H \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed constant, which is called Hurst parameter. The m -dimensional FBM $B_t^H = (B_1^H(t), \dots, B_m^H(t))$, $t \in [0, T]$ is a continuous, mean 0 Gaussian process with the covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[B_i^H(t)B_j^H(s)] = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}),$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, the FBM could be generated by a standard Brownian motion through

$$B_j^H(t) = \int_0^t Z_H(t, s)dB_j(s), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

for some explicit functions $Z_H(\cdot, \cdot)$. For control problem of continuous systems driven by FBM, Han et al. [20] firstly obtain the maximum principle for general systems. Some other related works refer to [21–23].

For our problem, the state equation is

$$\begin{cases} X_{n+1} = X_n + b(n, X_n, u_n) + \sigma(n, X_n, u_n)\xi_n^H, & 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases}$$

to minimize the cost function

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} l(n, X_n, u_n) + \Phi(X_N) \right].$$

Here ξ^H is called a fractional noise describe by the increment of a FBM.

To obtain the stochastic maximum principle, the following BSΔE is introduced as adjoint equation:

$$\begin{cases} p_n + q_n \eta_n = p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1)\sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} \\ \quad + l_x^*(n+1) + \sigma_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H, \\ p_N = \Phi_x(X_N^*), \\ q_N = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where η is a Gaussian white noises constructed by ξ^H .

Remark 1. *Our techniques can also be used in control problem with some other noises ω , such as AR(p) or MA(q) model. Indeed, we just need the following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$(i): \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_1(n, k)\omega_k \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{l=0}^m a_2(m, l)\omega_l \right) \right] = 0.$$

$$(ii): \sum_{k=0}^n a_1(n, k)\omega_k \text{ and } \sum_{l=0}^m a_2(m, l)\omega_l \text{ are independent.}$$

For all determined functions a_1, a_2 and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

A difficulty is that it is hard to estimate the $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}$ -norm for X, p, q and the variation equation appears in section 3, because of the dependence of ξ^H and its coefficient. We deal with this with more complex calculations, then we prove the uniqueness of the state SΔE and adjoint BSΔE, and obtain the maximum principle.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the BSΔE driven by both fractional noise and white noise, and prove the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of this type of BSΔE. In section 3, we obtain the stochastic maximum principle by proving the existence and uniqueness of the state SΔE and showing the convergence of the variation equation. In section 4, the linear quadratic case is investigated to illustrate the main results.

2 Backward stochastic difference equations

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}, P)$ be a filtered probability space, $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ -algebra. $\{\xi_n^H\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ is a sequence of fractional noises described by the increment of a m -dimensional fractional Brownian motion, namely, $\xi_n^H = B^H(n+1) - B^H(n)$. Define the filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ by $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \sigma(\xi_0^H, \xi_1^H, \dots, \xi_{n-1}^H)$.

Denote by $L^\beta(\mathcal{F}_n; \mathbb{R}^n)$, or $L^\beta(\mathcal{F}_n)$ for simplify, the set of all \mathcal{F}_n -measurable random variables X taking values in \mathbb{R}^n , such that $\mathbb{E}\|X\|^\beta < +\infty$. Denote by $L^\beta_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$, or $L^\beta_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T)$ for simplify, the set of all \mathcal{F}_n -adapted process $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ such that

$$\|X\|_\beta = \left(\sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E}\|X_n\|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} < +\infty.$$

Then we consider the following BSΔE ,

$$\begin{cases} Y_n + Z_n \eta_n = Y_{n+1} + f(t, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) + g(t, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1})\xi_{n+1}^H, \\ Y_N = y, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $y \in L^{2a}(\mathcal{F}_N)$ for some $a > 1$, and $\eta_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$, which will be defined in the following lemma, is a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables generated by $\{\xi_n^H\}$. We also assume the following conditions for f, g :

- (H2.1) f and g are adapted processes: $f(\cdot, y, z), g(\cdot, y, z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(1, N)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.
- (H2.2) There are some constants $L > 0$, such that

$$|f(n, y_1, z_1) - f(n, y_2, z_2)| + |g(n, y_1, z_1) - g(n, y_2, z_2)| \leq L(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|),$$

$$\forall n \in [1, N], \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}.$$

- (H2.3) There exists a \mathcal{F}_N -measurable functions f_1, g_1 , such that $f(N, y, z) = f_1(y)$ and $g(N, y, z) = g_1(y)$ for all y, z .

Then we show the construction and properties of $\{\eta_n\}$.

Lemma 1. Let $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given by $a(i-1, j-1) = (B^{-1})_{ij}$, where $B = (b_{ij})$ is given by

$$b_{ij} = \mathbf{1}_{\{j \leq i\}} \frac{\rho(i, j) - \sum_{k=0}^{j-2} b_{i, k+1} b_{j, k+1}}{b_{jj}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $\eta_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a(n, k) \xi_m^H$ is a sequence of independent Gaussian random variables such that η_n is \mathcal{F}_{n+1} -measurable but independent with \mathcal{F}_n .

Proof. From the properties of fractional Brownian motion, we know

$$(\xi_0^H, \xi_1^H, \dots, \xi_{N-1}^H)^T \sim \mathcal{N}(0_{N \times 1}, \Sigma_{N \times N}),$$

where $\Sigma_{ij} = \rho(i-1, j-1)$. So that there exists invertible matrix A such that

$$(\eta_0^H, \eta_1^H, \dots, \eta_{N-1}^H)^T = A (\xi_0^H, \xi_1^H, \dots, \xi_{N-1}^H)^T \sim \mathcal{N}(0_{N \times 1}, I_{N \times N}). \quad (2.3)$$

Notice that A is not unique, we choose the lower triangular one since η_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable. But it is hard to obtain A directly, we determine $B = A^{-1}$ at first by checking b_{ij} satisfy equality (2.2).

Let $b(i-1, j-1) = b_{ij}$, rewrite (2.3) as

$$B (\eta_0^H, \eta_1^H, \dots, \eta_{N-1}^H)^T = (\xi_0^H, \xi_1^H, \dots, \xi_{N-1}^H)^T,$$

or

$$\xi_n^H = \sum_{j=1}^N b_{n+1, j} \eta_j = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b(n, k) \eta_k.$$

Since η_k is \mathcal{F}_{k+1} -measurable and independent with \mathcal{F}_k , $b(n, k) = 0$ for $k > n$. Then let $\mathbb{E}[\xi_n \xi_m] = \sum_{k=0}^m b(n, k) b(m, k) = \rho(n, m)$ for $m \leq n$, we obtain the induction formula

for $b(\cdot, \cdot)$:

$$b(n, m) = \frac{\rho(n, m) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b(n, k)b(m, k)}{b(m, m)},$$

which equally to (2.2). \square

Remark 2. It is clear that $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{\eta_n\}$ generate the same filtration, namely, $\sigma(\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \sigma(\eta_0, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$.

Then we show the solvability of BS ΔE (2.1).

Theorem 1. Assume that assumptions (H2.1)-(H2.3) hold. Then BS ΔE (2.1) has a unique solution.

Proof. We first define Y_{N-1} by constructing

$$M_n = \mathbb{E} [y + f_1(y) + g_1(y)\xi_N^H | \mathcal{F}_n].$$

Through the Lipschitz's condition, Hölder's inequality and the fact $y \in L(\mathcal{F}_N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|M_n|^{2a\delta} &\leq \mathbb{E} |y + f_1(y) + g_1(y)\xi_N^H|^{2a\delta} \\ &\leq C [\mathbb{E}|y|^{2a\delta} + \mathbb{E}|f(N, 0, 0)|^{2a\delta}] \\ &\quad + C [(\mathbb{E}|y|^{2a})^\delta + (\mathbb{E}|g(N, 0, 0)|^{2a})^\delta] (\mathbb{E}|\xi_N|^{2a\delta \frac{1}{1-\delta}})^{1-\delta} \\ &< +\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for $\delta \in (\frac{1}{a}, 1)$. Then it is a straightforward result that $\mathbb{E}|M_n|^2 \leq (\mathbb{E}|M_n|^{2a\delta})^{\frac{1}{a\delta}} < +\infty$, so that M_n is a square integrable martingale. Similar to formula (2.5) of [], there exists a unique adapted process Z , such that

$$M_n = M_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \eta_k, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

Rewrite the above equality as

$$M_{N-1} + Z_{N-1}\eta_{N-1} = y + f_1(y) + g_1(y)\xi_N^H,$$

which shows $Y_{N-1} = M_{N-1}$. Multiply η_{N-1} on both side and take $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}]$, we obtain Z_{N-1} by

$$Z_{N-1} = \mathbb{E} [\eta_{N-1}[y + f_1(y) + g_1(y)\xi_N^H] | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}].$$

Similar to formula (2.4), we have $\mathbb{E}|Z_{N-1}|^{2a\delta} < +\infty$. Up to now, we obtain the unique pair $(Y_{N-1}, Z_{N-1}) \in L^{2a\delta}(\mathcal{F}_{T-1}) \times L^{2a\delta}(\mathcal{F}_{T-1})$.

Then by induction, for all $0 \leq n \leq N-2$, if $(Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) \in L^{2b}(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}) \times L^{2b}(\mathcal{F}_{n+1})$ for some $b > 1$. Define

$$\tilde{M}_k = \mathbb{E} \left[Y_{n+1} + f(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) + g(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) \xi_{n+1}^H \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right]$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n+1$. Then we show $\tilde{M}_k \in L^{2b\delta}(\mathcal{F}_k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |\tilde{M}_k|^{2b\delta} &= \mathbb{E} \left| Y_{n+1} + f(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) + g(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) \xi_{n+1}^H \right|^{2b\delta} \\ &\leq C \left[\mathbb{E} |Y_{n+1}|^{2b\delta} + \mathbb{E} |Z_{n+1}|^{2b\delta} + \mathbb{E} |f(n+1, 0, 0)|^{2b\delta} \right] \\ &\quad + C \left[\mathbb{E} |Y_{n+1}|^{2b\delta} + \mathbb{E} |Z_{n+1}|^{2b\delta} + \mathbb{E} |g(n+1, 0, 0)|^{2b\delta} \right] \left(\mathbb{E} |\xi_{N-1}^H|^{2b\delta \frac{1}{1-\delta}} \right)^{1-\delta} \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Y_n = \tilde{M}_n$ and

$$Z_n = \mathbb{E} \left[\eta_n \left[Y_{n+1} + f(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) + g(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) \xi_{n+1}^H \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_n \right],$$

which implies

$$Y_n + Z_n \eta_n = Y_{n+1} + f(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) + g(n+1, Y_{n+1}, Z_{n+1}) \xi_{n+1}^H.$$

Choosing $\delta \in [a^{-1/N}, 1)$, we obtain the unique process pair $(Y, Z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, N) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, N-1)$. \square

3 A maximum principle

In this section, we study the optimal control problem for discrete-time systems driven by fractional noises. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}, P)$ be a filtered probability space, $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ be a sub σ -algebra and $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N}$ be the filtration defined by $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \sigma(\xi_0^H, \xi_1^H, \dots, \xi_{n-1}^H)$. The state equation is

$$\begin{cases} X_{n+1} = X_n + b(n, X_n, u_n) + \sigma(n, X_n, u_n) \xi_n^H, & 0 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with the cost function

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} l(n, X_n, u_n) + \Phi(X_N) \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $x \in L^{2a}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ is independent with $\{\xi_n\}$, $b(n, x, u)$ and $\sigma(n, x, u)$ are measurable functions on $[0, N-1] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^k$ with values in \mathbf{R}^d and $\mathbf{R}^{d \times m}$, respectively. $l(n, x, u)$ and $\Phi(x)$ be measurable functions on $[0, N-1] \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^k$ and \mathbf{R}^d , respectively, with values in \mathbf{R} .

Denote by \mathbb{U} the set of progressively measurable process $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)_{0 \leq n \leq N-1}$ taking values in a given closed-convex set $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and satisfying $\mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_n|^{2a} < +\infty$. The problem is to find an optimal control $u^* \in \mathbb{U}$ to minimized the cost function, i.e.,

$$J(u^*) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{U}} J(u).$$

To simplify the notation without losing the generality, we assume that $d = k = m = 1$. We give the following assumptions:

(H3.1) b and σ are adapted processes: $b(\cdot, x, u), \sigma(\cdot, y, z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, N-1)$ for all $x, u \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H3.2) $b(n, x, u), \sigma(n, x, u)$ are differential w.r.t (x, u) and there exists some constants $L > 0$, such that

$$|\phi(n, x_1, u_1) - \phi(n, x_2, u_2)| \leq L(|x_1 - x_2| + |u_1 - u_2|), \\ \forall n \in [0, N-1], \quad \forall x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

for $\phi = b, \sigma, b_x, \sigma_x, b_u, \sigma_u$.

(H3.3) $l(n, x, u), \Phi(x)$ are differential w.r.t (x, u) and

$$|l_x(n, x, u)| + |\Phi_x(x)| \leq L(1 + |x| + |u|), \quad \forall n \in [0, N-1], x, u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H3.4) $b(N, x, u) = \sigma(N, x, u) = l(N, x, u) = 0$, for all (x, u) .

Then we show the solvability of the state equation.

Lemma 2. Assume that assumptions (H3.1)-(H3.4) hold and $u \in \mathbb{U}$, then SDE (3.1) has a unique solution $X \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, N)$.

Proof. Since $x \in L^{2a}(\mathcal{F}_0)$, by assumptions (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|X_1|^{2a\delta} \leq C\mathbb{E} \left[|x|^{2a\delta} + |f(0, 0, 0)|^{2a\delta} + |g(0, 0, 0)|^{2a\delta} |\xi_0^H|^{2a\delta} + |x|^{2a\delta} |\xi_0^H|^{2a\delta} \right] \\ \leq C \left[(\mathbb{E}|x|^{2a})^\delta + (\mathbb{E}|f(0, 0, 0)|^{2a})^\delta \right] \\ + C \left[(\mathbb{E}|x|^{2a})^\delta + (\mathbb{E}|g(0, 0, 0)|^{2a})^\delta \right] \times \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi_0^H|^{\frac{2a\delta}{1-\delta}} \right)^{1-\delta} \\ < +\infty.$$

for some $C > 0$ and $\delta \in [a^{-1/N}, 1)$.

Then, by induction, if $X_n \in L^{2a\delta^n}(\mathcal{F}_n)$, we show $X_n \in L^{2a\delta^{n+1}}(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}) \subset L^{2a}(\mathcal{F}_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$:

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \leq C\mathbb{E} \left[|X_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + |f(n, 0, 0)|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + |g(n, 0, 0)|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} |\xi_0^H|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \right] \\ + C\mathbb{E} \left[|X_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} |\xi_0^H|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \right] \\ \leq C\mathbb{E} \left[|X_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + |f(0, 0, 0)|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \left[\left(\mathbb{E}|x|^{2a\delta^n} \right)^\delta + \left(\mathbb{E}|g(0,0,0)|^{2a\delta^n} \right)^\delta \right] \times \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi_0^H|^{\frac{2a\delta^{n+1}}{1-\delta}} \right)^{1-\delta} \\
& < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the solution of (3.1) in $L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, N)$.

Uniqueness. Let \tilde{X} and X be two solutions of SΔE (3.1), so that $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_0 - X_0|^{2a} = 0$. If $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_n - X_n|^{2a\delta^n} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_{n+1} - X_{n+1}|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[|\tilde{X}_n - X_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + |\tilde{X}_n - X_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} |\xi_n^H|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_n - X_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + C \left(\mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_n - X_n|^{2a\delta^n} \right)^\delta \times \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi_n^H|^{\frac{2a\delta^{n+1}}{1-\delta}} \right)^{1-\delta} \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. Then we conclude $\sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E}|\tilde{X}_n - X_n|^2 = 0$, which shows the solution is unique. \square

Remark 3. *Indeed, we could obtain the unique solution of SΔE (3.1) in $L_{\mathcal{F}}^\beta(0, N)$ for $\beta \in (2, 2a)$ as long as we take $\delta \in \left(\sqrt[\beta]{\frac{\beta}{2a}}, 1 \right)$.*

For any $u \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, let

$$u_n^\varepsilon = (1 - \varepsilon)u_n^* + \varepsilon u_n := u_n^* + \varepsilon v_n.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^*(n) & = \phi(n, X_n^*, u_n^*), \\
\phi^\varepsilon(n) & = \phi(n, X_n^\varepsilon, u_n^\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\phi = b, \sigma, l, b_x, \sigma_x, l_x, b_u, \sigma_u, l_u$.

Define the variation equation by

$$\begin{cases} V_{n+1} = V_n + b_x^*(n)V_n + b_u^*v_n + [\sigma_x^*(n)V_n + \sigma_u^*(n)v_n] \xi_n^H, & 0 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ V_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following convergence result.

Lemma 3. *Let X^ε, X^* be the corresponding state equation to u^ε, u^* , respectively. Then we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E}|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^2 \leq O(\varepsilon^2), \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*}{\varepsilon} - V_n \right|^2 = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. The proof of (3.3) follows lemma 2, if $\mathbb{E}|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^{2a\delta^n} = O(\varepsilon^{2a\delta^n})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}^\varepsilon - X_{n+1}^*|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left[|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + |X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}|\xi_n^H|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}\right] \\ &\leq C\left(\mathbb{E}|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^{2a\delta^n}\right)^\delta + C\left(\mathbb{E}|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^{2a\delta^n}\right)^\delta \times \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi_n^H|^{\frac{2a\delta^{n+1}}{1-\delta}}\right)^{1-\delta} \\ &= O\left(\varepsilon^{2a\delta^{n+1}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{E}|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\mathbb{E}|X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*|^{2a\delta^n}\right)^{\frac{1}{a\delta^n}} = O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Denote $\hat{X}^\varepsilon = \frac{X^\varepsilon - X^*}{\varepsilon} - V$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_{n+1}^\varepsilon &= \hat{X}_n^\varepsilon + \frac{b^\varepsilon(n) - b^*(n)}{\varepsilon} - b_x^*(n)V_n - b_u^*(n)v_n \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{\sigma^\varepsilon(n) - \sigma^*(n)}{\varepsilon} - \sigma_x^*(n)V_n - \sigma_u^*(n)v_n\right] \xi_n^H \\ &= [1 + b_x^*(n)]\hat{X}_n^\varepsilon + \frac{\tilde{b}_x^\varepsilon(n) - b_x^*(n)}{\varepsilon}[X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*] + [\tilde{b}_u^\varepsilon(n) - b_u^*(n)]v_n \\ &\quad + \left[\sigma_x^*(n)\hat{X}_n^\varepsilon + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_x^\varepsilon(n) - \sigma_x^*(n)}{\varepsilon}[X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*] + [\tilde{\sigma}_u^\varepsilon(n) - \sigma_u^*(n)]v_n\right] \xi_n^H,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}^\varepsilon(n) = \int_0^1 \phi(n, X_n^* + \theta(X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*), u_n^* + \theta\varepsilon v_n) d\theta,$$

for $\phi = b_x, b_u, \sigma_x, \sigma_u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{X}_{n+1}^\varepsilon\right|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{X}_n^\varepsilon\right|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + C\mathbb{E}\|\tilde{b}_u^\varepsilon(n) - b_u^*(n)\|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}|v_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\|\tilde{b}_x^\varepsilon(n) - b_x^*(n)\|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}|\varepsilon^{-1}(X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*)|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \\ &\quad + C\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\hat{X}_n^\varepsilon\right|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} + \|\tilde{\sigma}_u^\varepsilon(n) - \sigma_u^*(n)\|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}|v_n|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\tilde{\sigma}_x^\varepsilon(n) - \sigma_x^*(n)\|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}|\varepsilon^{-1}(X_n^\varepsilon - X_n^*)|^{2a\delta^{n+1}}\right]|\xi_n^H|^{2a\delta^{n+1}} \\ &\leq C\left[\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{X}_n^\varepsilon\right|^{2a\delta^n}\right)^\delta + o(1)\right] \times \left[1 + \left(\mathbb{E}|\xi_n^H|^{\frac{2a\delta^{n+1}}{1-\delta}}\right)^{1-\delta}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}|\hat{X}_0^\varepsilon|^{2a} = 0$, by induction, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \hat{X}_n^\varepsilon \right|^{2a\delta^n} = 0, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

which complete the proof of (3.4). \square

Then we give the stochastic maximum principle for control system (3.1), (3.2).

Theorem 2. *Let assumptions (3.1)-(3.3) hold, u^* , X^* be the optimal control and the corresponding state process. Let (p, q) be the solution to the following BSΔE:*

$$\begin{cases} p_n + q_n \eta_n = p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1)\sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} \\ \quad + l_x^*(n+1) + \sigma_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H, \\ p_N = \Phi_x(X_N^*), \\ q_N = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Then the following inequality holds:

$$\left[b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) \right] \cdot (u_n - u_n^*) \geq 0,$$

a.s., for all $n \in [0, N-1]$, $u \in \mathbb{U}$. Here $c(n, k) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} b(n, l)a(l, k)$ and $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ are given by lemma 1.

Proof. According to the assumptions (H3.1)-(H3.2) and remark 3, it is easy to check BSΔE (3.5) satisfies (H2.1)-(H2.3), so that BSΔE (3.5) has a unique solution.

Trough lemma 3, it is easy to show the directional derivative of J is

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} J(u^* + \varepsilon v) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [l_x^*(n)V_n + l_u^*(n)v_n] + \Phi_x(X_N^*)V_N \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(p_n V_n) &= p_{n+1} V_{n+1} - p_n V_n \\ &= -V_{n+1} [b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1)\sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} \\ &\quad + l_x^*(n+1) + \sigma_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H] \\ &\quad + V_{n+1}q_n \eta_n + p_n [b_x^*(n)V_n + b_u^*(n)v_n] \\ &\quad + p_n [\sigma_x^*(n)V_n + \sigma_u^*(n)v_n] \xi_n^H \\ &= -[b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}V_{n+1} - b_x^*(n)p_n V_n] \\ &\quad - [\sigma_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}V_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H - \sigma_x^*(n)p_n V_n \xi_n^H] \\ &\quad - [b(n+1, n+1)\sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1}V_{n+1} - \sigma_x^*(n)q_n V_n \eta_n \xi_n^H] \\ &\quad + [V_n + b^*(n)V_n]q_n \eta_n + \sigma_u^*(n)q_n v_n \eta_n \xi_n^H \\ &\quad - l_x^*(n+1)V_{n+1} + b_u^*(n)p_n v_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n v_n \xi_n^H. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}([V_n + b^*(n)V_n]q_n\eta_n) = \mathbb{E}([V_n + b^*(n)V_n]q_n\mathbb{E}[\eta_n|\mathcal{F}_n]) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\sigma_x^*(n)q_nV_n\eta_n\xi_n^H] &= \mathbb{E}[\sigma_x^*(n)q_nV_n\mathbb{E}(\eta_n\xi_n^H|\mathcal{F}_n)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_x^*(n)q_nV_n\mathbb{E}\left(\eta_n\sum_{k=0}^n b(n,k)\eta_k|\mathcal{F}_n\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_x^*(n)q_nV_n\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b(n,k)\eta_k\mathbb{E}(\eta_n|\mathcal{F}_n)\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[\sigma_x^*(n)q_nV_n\mathbb{E}(b(n,n)\eta_n^2|\mathcal{F}_n)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[b(n,n)\sigma_x^*(n)q_nV_n], \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

so take summation and expectation of equation (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[g_x(X_N^*)V_N] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Delta(p_nV_n) \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[b_x^*(N)p_NV_N - b_x^*(0)p_0V_0] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}[\sigma_x^*(N)p_NV_N\xi_N^H - \sigma_x^*(0)p_0V_0\xi_0^H] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}[b(N,N)\sigma_x^*(N)q_NV_N - \sigma_x^*(0)q_0V_0\xi_0^H] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=1}^N l_x^*(n)V_n + \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} b_u^*(n)p_nv_n \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\sigma_u^*(n)p_nv_n\xi_n^H] + \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\sigma_u^*(n)q_nv_n\eta_n\xi_n^H] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} l_x^*(n)V_n + \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} b_u^*(n)p_nv_n \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\sigma_u^*(n)p_nv_n\xi_n^H] + \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\sigma_u^*(n)q_nv_n\eta_n\xi_n^H], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

since $b_x^*(N) = \sigma_x^*(N) = l_x^*(N) = V_0 = 0$. □

Substitute equation (3.9) to (3.6), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}J(u^* + \varepsilon v)\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n\xi_n^H + \sigma_u^*(n)q_n\eta_n\xi_n^H + l_u^*(n)] \cdot v_n \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Notice that, similar to equation (3.8),

$$\mathbb{E} [\sigma_u^*(n)q_n\eta_n\xi_n^H] = \mathbb{E} [b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\sigma_u^*(n)p_n\xi_n^H] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma_u^*(n)p_n \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{l=0}^n b(n, l)\eta_l^H | \mathcal{F}_n \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma_u^*(n)p_n \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^l b(n, l)a(l, k)\xi_k^H | \mathcal{F}_n \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} [\sigma_u^*(n)p_nb(n, n)\mathbb{E}(\eta_n | \mathcal{F}_n)] \\ &:= \mathbb{E} \left[\sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H \right], \end{aligned}$$

through equation (3.10) and the fact $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} J(u^* + \varepsilon v) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \geq 0$, we conclude

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) \right] \cdot v_n \geq 0.$$

By the arbitrary of v , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \left[b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) \right] \cdot v_n \right\} \geq 0,$$

for all $n \in [0, N-1]$, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, which implies

$$\left[b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) \right] \cdot (u_n - u_n^*) \geq 0.$$

Remark 4. If the optimal control process $(u_n^*)_{0 \leq n \leq N-1}$ takes values in the interior of the \mathbb{U} , it implies

$$b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) = 0, \quad a.s.$$

for all $n \in [0, N-1]$. Thus, we obtain the optimal system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_{n+1} = X_n + b(n, X_n, u_n) + \sigma(n, X_n, u_n)\xi_n^H, \\ p_n + q_n \eta_n = p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1)\sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} \\ \quad + l_x^*(n+1) + \sigma_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H, \\ X_0 = x, \\ p_N = \Phi_x(X_N^*), \\ q_N = 0, \\ b_x^*(N) = \sigma_x^*(N) = l_x^*(N) = 0, \\ b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)\sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.11)$$

Corollary 1. If ξ^H is white noise ($H = 1/2$), then it is easy to check

$$a(n, k) = b(n, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = k, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq k, \end{cases}$$

so that $c(n, k) = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Moreover, consider the adjoint BS Δ E, p and q are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= \mathbb{E} \left[p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1)\sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + l_x^*(n+1) + \sigma_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H | \mathcal{F}_n \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + \sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} + l_x^*(n+1) | \mathcal{F}_n \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q_n = \mathbb{E} \left[\eta_n [p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + \sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} + l_x^*(n+1)] | \mathcal{F}_n \right].$$

We can also write the adjoint equation (p, q) as

$$\begin{cases} p_n + q_n \eta_n = p_{n+1} + b_x^*(n+1)p_{n+1} + \sigma_x^*(n+1)q_{n+1} + l_x^*(n+1), \\ p_N = \Phi_x(X_N^*), \\ q_N = 0. \end{cases}$$

The condition for optimal control is

$$b_u^*(n)p_n + \sigma_u^*(n)q_n + l_u^*(n) = 0, \quad a.s. \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

which is same to the results obtained in [16]

4 Application to linear quadratic control

In this section, we consider the following linear quadratic (LQ) optimal control problem, the state equation is

$$\begin{cases} X_{n+1} = X_n + A_n X_n + B_n u_n + [C_n X_n + D_n u_n] \xi_n^H, & 0 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with the cost function

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (Q_n X_n^2 + R_n u_n^2) + G X_N^2 \right], \quad (4.2)$$

where $Q_n, G \geq 0$ and $R_n > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

According to the optimal system (3.11), the adjoint equation is

$$\begin{cases} p_n + q_n \eta_n = p_{n+1} + A_{n+1} p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1) C_{n+1} q_{n+1} \\ \quad + Q_{n+1} X_{n+1}^* + C_{n+1} p_{n+1} \xi_{n+1}^H, \\ p_N = G X_N^*, \\ q_N = 0, \\ A_N = C_N = Q_N = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

The optimal control should satisfy

$$B_n p_n + D_n p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k) \xi_k^H + b(n, n) D_n q_n + R_n u_n^* = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$u_n^* = -R_n^{-1} \left[B_n p_n + D_n p_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k) \xi_k^H + b(n, n) D_n q_n \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

Theorem 3. Let (p, q) be the solution of BSDE (4.3). Then function (4.4) is the unique optimal control for control problem (4.5), (4.2).

Proof. Let us show the sufficiency of the optimal control first. Assume that $u \in \mathbb{U}$ is any other admissible control, $\{X_n\}$ is the corresponding state process, denote $\Delta X_n = X_n - X_n^*$ and $\Delta u_n = u_n - u_n^*$, then

$$\begin{cases} \Delta X_{n+1} = \Delta X_n + A_n \Delta X_n + B_n \Delta u_n + [C_n \Delta X_n + D_n \Delta u_n] \xi_n^H \\ \Delta X_0 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}\Delta X_{n+1} - p_n\Delta X_n \\
= & -\Delta X_{n+1} [A_{n+1}p_{n+1} + b(n+1, n+1)C_{n+1}q_{n+1} \\
& \quad + Q_{n+1}X_{n+1}^* + C_{n+1}p_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H] \\
& + \Delta X_{n+1}q_n\eta_n + p_n [A_n\Delta X_n + B_n\Delta u_n] \\
& + p_n [C_n\Delta X_n + D_n\Delta u_n] \xi_n^H \\
= & - [A_{n+1}p_{n+1}\Delta X_{n+1} - A_n p_n \Delta X_n] \\
& - [C_{n+1}p_{n+1}\Delta X_{n+1}\xi_{n+1}^H - C_n p_n \Delta X_n \xi_n^H] \\
& - [b(n+1, n+1)C_{n+1}q_{n+1}\Delta X_{n+1} - C_n q_n \Delta X_n \eta_n \xi_n^H] \\
& - Q_{n+1}X_{n+1}^*\Delta X_{n+1} + B_n p_n \Delta u_n + D_n p_n \Delta u_n \xi_n^H \\
& + D_n q_n \Delta u_n \eta_n \xi_n^H.
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}(GX_N^*\Delta X_N) \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[-Q_{n+1}X_{n+1}^*\Delta X_{n+1} + B_n p_n \Delta u_n + D_n p_n \Delta u_n \xi_n^H + D_n q_n \Delta u_n \eta_n \xi_n^H \right] \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[-Q_{n+1}X_{n+1}^*\Delta X_{n+1} + B_n p_n \Delta u_n \right. \\
& \quad \left. + D_n p_n \Delta u_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c(n, k)\xi_k^H + b(n, n)D_n q_n \Delta u_n \eta_n \right] \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[-Q_n X_n^* \Delta X_n - R_n u_n^* \Delta u_n \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
J(u) - J(u^*) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (Q_n(X_n^2 - X_n^{*2}) + R_n(u_n^2 - u_n^{*2})) + G(X_N^2 - X_N^{*2}) \right] \\
&\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (Q_n X_n^* \Delta X_n + R_n u_n^* \Delta u_n) + GX_N^* \Delta X_N \right] \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows u^* is an optimal control.

Then we show uniqueness of the optimal control. Let both $u_t^{*,1}$ and $u_t^{*,2}$ are optimal control processes, X_t^1 and X_t^2 are corresponding state processes, respectively. It is easy to check $\frac{X_t^1 + X_t^2}{2}$ is the corresponding state process to $\frac{u_t^{*,1} + u_t^{*,2}}{2}$. Assume that there

exists constants $\theta > 0, \alpha \geq 0$, such that $R_t \geq \theta$ and

$$J(u_t^{*,1}) = J(u_t^{*,2}) = \alpha.$$

Using $a^2 + b^2 = 2 \left[\left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a-b}{2} \right)^2 \right]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha &= J(u^{*,1}) + J(u^{*,2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[Q_n (X_n^1 X_n^1 + X_n^2 X_n^2) + R_n (u_n^{*,1} u_n^{*,1} + u_n^{*,2} u_n^{*,2}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} G (X_N^1 X_N^1 + X_N^2 X_N^2) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[Q_n \left(\frac{X_n^1 + X_n^2}{2} \right)^2 + R_n \left(\frac{u_n^{*,1} + u_n^{*,2}}{2} \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} G \left(\frac{X_N^1 + X_N^2}{2} \right)^2 + \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} R_n \left(\frac{u_n^{*,1} - u_n^{*,2}}{2} \right)^2 \\ &= 2J \left(\frac{u^{*,1} + u^{*,2}}{2} \right) + \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} R_n \left(\frac{u_n^{*,1} - u_n^{*,2}}{2} \right)^2 \\ &\geq 2\alpha + \frac{\theta}{4} \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_t^{*,1} - u_t^{*,2}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_t^{*,1} - u_t^{*,2}|^2 = 0,$$

which shows the uniqueness of the optimal control. \square

5 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (Grant number 2023YFA1009200) and National Science Foundation of China (Grant numbers 12471417).

References

- [1] Kushner, H.: Necessary conditions for continuous parameter stochastic optimization problems. *SIAM Journal on Control* **10**(3), 550–565 (1972)

- [2] Bismut, J.-M.: An introductory approach to duality in optimal stochastic control. *SIAM review* **20**(1), 62–78 (1978)
- [3] Bensoussan, A.: Lectures on stochastic control. In: *Nonlinear Filtering and Stochastic Control: Proceedings of the 3rd 1981 Session of the Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo (CIME)*, Held at Cortona, July 1–10, 1981, pp. 1–62. Springer, ??? (2006)
- [4] Peng, S.: A general stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problems. *SIAM Journal on control and optimization* **28**(4), 966–979 (1990)
- [5] Zhou, X.Y.: Stochastic near-optimal controls: necessary and sufficient conditions for near-optimality. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* **36**(3), 929–947 (1998)
- [6] Han, Y., Peng, S., Wu, Z.: Maximum principle for backward doubly stochastic control systems with applications. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* **48**(7), 4224–4241 (2010)
- [7] Zhang, L., Shi, Y.: Maximum principle for forward-backward doubly stochastic control systems and applications. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations* **17**(4), 1174–1197 (2011)
- [8] Li, J.: Stochastic maximum principle in the mean-field controls. *Automatica* **48**(2), 366–373 (2012)
- [9] Yong, J.: Linear-quadratic optimal control problems for mean-field stochastic differential equations. *SIAM journal on Control and Optimization* **51**(4), 2809–2838 (2013)
- [10] Chen, L., Wu, Z.: Maximum principle for the stochastic optimal control problem with delay and application. *Automatica* **46**(6), 1074–1080 (2010)
- [11] Yu, Z.: The stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problems of delay systems involving continuous and impulse controls. *Automatica* **48**(10), 2420–2432 (2012)
- [12] Bismut, J.-M.: Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **44**(2), 384–404 (1973)
- [13] Pardoux, E., Peng, S.: Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Systems & control letters* **14**(1), 55–61 (1990)
- [14] Peng, S.: Backward stochastic differential equations and applications to optimal control. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization* **27**(2), 125–144 (1993)
- [15] El Karoui, N., Peng, S., Quenez, M.C.: Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. *Mathematical finance* **7**(1), 1–71 (1997)

- [16] Lin, X., Zhang, W.: A maximum principle for optimal control of discrete-time stochastic systems with multiplicative noise. *IEEE Transactions on automatic control* **60**(4), 1121–1126 (2014)
- [17] Wu, Z., Zhang, F.: Maximum principle for discrete-time stochastic optimal control problem and stochastic game. *Mathematical Control & Related fields* **12**(2) (2022)
- [18] Dong, B., Nie, T., Wu, Z.: Maximum principle for discrete-time stochastic control problem of mean-field type. *Automatica* **144**, 110497 (2022)
- [19] Dong, B., Nie, T., Wu, Z.: A maximum principle for discrete-time stochastic optimal control problem with delay. *Systems & Control Letters* **181**, 105631 (2023)
- [20] Han, Y., Hu, Y., Song, J.: Maximum principle for general controlled systems driven by fractional brownian motions. *Applied Mathematics & Optimization* **67**(2), 279–322 (2013)
- [21] Hu, Y., Zhou, X.: Stochastic control for linear systems driven by fractional noises. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* **43**(6), 2245–2277 (2005)
- [22] Hu, Y., Peng, S.: Backward stochastic differential equation driven by fractional brownian motion. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization* **48**(3), 1675–1700 (2009)
- [23] Sun, Y.: A stochastic maximum principle for general controlled systems driven by fractional Brownian motions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **497**(1), 124854 (2021)



