

# $2^{++}$ Di-gluonium from LSR at higher order<sup>\*</sup>

Siyuan Li<sup>a</sup>, Stephan Narison<sup>b</sup>, Davidson Rabetiariivony<sup>c,1</sup>, Tom Steele<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics & Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, SK, S7N 5E2, Canada*

<sup>b</sup>*Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier (LUPM), CNRS-IN2P3, Case 070, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 - Montpellier, France*

<sup>c</sup>*Institute of High-Energy Physics of Madagascar (iHEPMAD), University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar*

---

## Abstract

We improve the determination of the mass and coupling of the  $2^{++}$  tensor di-gluonium by using relativistic QCD Laplace sum rules (LSR). In so doing, we evaluate the next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections to the perturbative (PT) and  $\langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle$  condensate and the lowest order (LO)  $\langle G^3\rangle$  contributions to the  $2^{++}$  di-gluonium two-point correlator. Within a vacuum saturation estimate ( $k_G = 1$ ) of the dimension-eight gluon condensates, we obtain:  $M_T = 3028(287)$  MeV and the renormalization group invariant (RGI) coupling  $\hat{f}_T = 224(33)$  MeV. Assuming that the factorization hypothesis can be violated, we study the effect of the violation factor  $k_G$  on the results and obtain:  $M_T = 3188(337)$  MeV and  $\hat{f}_T = 245(32)$  MeV for  $k_G = (3 \pm 2)$ . Our estimation does not favour the interpretation of the observed  $f_2(2010)$ ,  $f_2(2300)$  and  $f_2(2340)$  as pure glueball state.

*Keywords:* QCD Spectral Sum Rules, Exotic hadrons, Light quark masses, Chiral symmetry

---

<sup>\*</sup>Talk given at the 14th International Conference in High-Energy Physics - HEPMAD24 (21-26th October 2024, Antananarivo-Madagascar).

*Email addresses:* [siyuan.li@usask.ca](mailto:siyuan.li@usask.ca) (Siyuan Li), [snarison@yahoo.fr](mailto:snarison@yahoo.fr) (Stephan Narison), [rd.bidds@gmail.com](mailto:rd.bidds@gmail.com) (Davidson Rabetiariivony), [tom.steele@usask.ca](mailto:tom.steele@usask.ca) (Tom Steele)

<sup>1</sup>Speaker

## 1. Introduction

In earlier papers [1–4], we have used the inverse Laplace Transform of QCD spectral sum rules (QSSR) [5, 6] to estimate the masses and decay constants of some light, heavy-light and doubly heavy molecule and tetraquark states.

In this talk, based on the paper in Ref [7], we improve the LO estimated mass and coupling of the  $2^{++}$  tensor di-gluonium [6, 8–10] by including NLO corrections to the PT and  $\langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle$  contributions to the correlation function and studying the effect of the violation of factorization hypothesis for dimension  $D = 8$  gluon condensates [11] on the results.

## 2. The two-point correlation function

We shall work with the two-point function:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_T^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(q^2) &\equiv i \int d^4x e^{iqx} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \theta_G^{\mu\nu}(x) (\theta_G^{\rho\sigma}(0))^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\ &= \left( P^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \equiv \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\rho} - \frac{2}{n-1} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} \right) \psi_T(q^2),\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

built from the gluon component of the energy momentum tensor:

$$\theta_G^{\mu\nu} = \alpha_s \left[ -G_\alpha^{\mu,a} G_a^{\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{4} G_{\alpha\beta}^a G_a^{\alpha\beta} \right],\quad (2)$$

with:

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - q^\mu q^\nu / q^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} P^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 2(n^2 - n - 2),\quad (3)$$

where:  $n = 4 + 2\epsilon$  is the space time dimension used for dimensional regularization and renormalization.

## 3. QCD expression of the two-point function

### 3.1. Lowest Order contribution

The PT and gluon condensates up to dimension  $D = 8$  contributions to the correlation function reads:

$$\psi_T|_{LO}(Q^2) = a_s^2 \left[ -\frac{Q^4}{20} \log\left(\frac{Q^2}{\nu^2}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{6\alpha_s} \langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle + \frac{5}{3Q^4} \pi^3 \alpha_s \langle 2O_1 - O_2 \rangle \right],\quad (4)$$

with:  $a_s = \alpha_s/\pi$  and  $O_1 = (f_{abc}G_{\mu\alpha}G_{\nu\alpha})^2$ ,  $O_2 = (f_{abc}G_{\mu\nu}G_{\alpha\beta})^2$ . Assuming vacuum saturation hypothesis ( $k_G = 1$ ), the  $D = 8$  gluon condensates can be expressed as:

$$\langle 2O_1 - O_2 \rangle \simeq -k_G \left( \frac{3}{16} \right) \langle G^2 \rangle^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $k_G$  is the violation factor.

Compared to the expression obtained in Ref. [8], we found a non-zero  $\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle$  term. However, this term does not affect the analysis as it will disappear when one takes the derivatives of the two-point function.

### 3.2. Next-to-Leading Order contribution

- *PT expression*

We shall be concerned with the bare diagrams listed in Table 1 of Ref. [7]. We perform the calculation in two ways: diagrammatic renormalization and conventional operator renormalization methods. The diagrammatic renormalization for QCD sum rules correlation functions has been initiated and developed in Ref. [12]. The conventional renormalization approach uses the standard Feynman approach [5, 6] by considering the renormalization of the gluonic current  $\theta_G^{\mu\nu}/\alpha_s$  with the renormalization constant in Ref. [13]. The diagrammatic and conventional renormalization approaches lead to the same result. The  $\overline{MS}$  renormalized two-point correlation function corrected to NLO for  $n_f$  flavours reads:

$$\psi_T^{pert}|_{NLO}^R(Q^2) = \psi_T^{pert}|_{LO} \left[ 1 + a_s \left( \frac{n_f}{6} \log \left( \frac{Q^2}{\nu^2} \right) - \frac{101n_f + 150}{90} \right) \right], \quad (6)$$

where the PT LO term ( $\psi_T^{pert}|_{LO}$ ) can be deduced from Eq. 4. In the case of gluodynamics, we reproduce the results of Ref. [14].

- *Dimension-four gluon condensate*

We use the renormalization group equation (RGE) to evaluate the leading-log term of  $\langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle$  at NLO [15, 16]. One obtains [7]:

$$\psi_T^{G^2}|_{NLO}(Q^2) = \frac{1}{24\pi} \alpha_s^2 \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \left( 11 + \frac{2n_f}{3} \right) \log \left( \frac{Q^2}{\nu^2} \right). \quad (7)$$

#### 4. $2^{++}$ Di-gluonium mass and coupling at NLO

- *The Laplace sum rules*

To estimate the mass and decay constant, we shall use the finite energy version of Laplace sum rule and their ratios:

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,1}^c(\tau, \nu) \equiv \int_{t_>}^{t_c} dt t^{(0,1)} e^{-t\tau} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \tilde{\psi}_T(t, \nu) ; \quad \mathcal{R}_{10}^c(\tau) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{L}_1^c}{\mathcal{L}_0^c}. \quad (8)$$

The contribution of the di-gluonium state to the spectral function can be introduced within the Minimal Duality Ansatz (MDA):

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \tilde{\psi}_T(t) = f_T^2 M_T^4 \delta(t - M_T^2) + \theta(t - t_c) \text{''} QCD \text{ continuum''}, \quad (9)$$

where  $f_T$  is normalized as  $f_\pi = 132 \text{ MeV}$ . In the MDA:

$$\mathcal{R}_{10}^c \simeq M_T^2. \quad (10)$$

Combining Eqs. 4, 6 and 7, one can deduce the  $\text{LO} \oplus \text{NLO}$  QCD expression of the correlator up to  $D = 8$  gluon condensates and normalized to  $\alpha_s^2$ :  $\tilde{\psi}_T(Q^2)$ . The moment  $\mathcal{L}_0^c$  is evaluated by taking the third (3rd) derivative of the correlator  $\tilde{\psi}_T(Q^2)$  while the  $\mathcal{L}_1^c$  moment is obtained by taking the forth (4th) derivative of  $Q^2 \tilde{\psi}_T(Q^2)$ . The expressions of the moments  $\mathcal{L}_{0,1}^c$  for  $n_f = 3$  flavours to order  $\alpha_s$  and up to dimension-8 condensates are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0^c &= \frac{\tau^{-3}}{10\pi^2} \left\{ \left[ 1 - a_s \left( \frac{53}{15} + \gamma_E \right) \right] \rho_2^c - \frac{65\pi}{12} \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \tau^2 \rho_0^c \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\pi^2}{a_s} \left( \frac{25}{8} \right) k_G \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle^2 \tau^4 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1^c &= \frac{3\tau^{-4}}{10\pi^2} \left\{ \left[ 1 - a_s \left( \frac{16}{5} + \gamma_E \right) \right] \rho_3^c - \frac{65\pi}{36} \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle \tau^2 \rho_1^c \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\pi^2}{a_s} \left( \frac{25}{24} \right) k_G \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle^2 \tau^4 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with  $\gamma_E = 0.5772 \dots$  is the Euler constant and

$$\rho_n^c = 1 - e^{-t_c \tau} \left( 1 + (t_c \tau) + \dots + \frac{(t_c \tau)^n}{n!} \right). \quad (13)$$

- *Optimization criteria*

We shall use stability criteria on the external variables  $\tau$  and  $t_c$  for extracting the optimal results from the sum rules. The stability regions manifest either as minimum or inflexion point in  $\tau$  while we shall take  $t_c$  in a conservative region from the beginning of  $\tau$ -stability until the  $t_c$ -stability. The subtraction constant  $\nu$  is eliminated when one takes the different derivatives of the correlator to obtain the inverse Laplace transform and working with the running QCD parameters.

- *Mass at NLO*

– *Vacuum saturation hypothesis*




Figure 1: a)  $\tau$ -behaviour of the  $2^{++}$  di-gluonium mass at NLO for different values of  $t_c$  where the factorization of  $D = 8$  gluon condensates is assumed. b) The same caption as a) but for the coupling  $\hat{f}_T$ .

The behaviour of the mass at NLO and assuming factorization ( $k_G = 1$ ) of the dimension-8 gluon condensates is shown in Fig.1-a). The optimal values of the mass are extracted for the set  $(\tau, t_c) = (0.12, 9.5) \sim (0.36, 20)$  ( $\text{GeV}^{-2}, \text{GeV}^2$ ) where one obtains respectively: 2746 and 3309 MeV. These values lead to the mean:

$$\langle M_T \rangle = 3028(287) \text{ MeV} \rightsquigarrow t_c \simeq 12.6 \text{ GeV}^2. \quad (14)$$

The optimal upper bound from the positivity of the ratio of moments is:

$$M_T \leq [3376(26)_\Lambda(42)_{G^2} = 3376(49)] \text{ MeV}. \quad (15)$$

– *Violation of vacuum saturation hypothesis*

Assuming that the vacuum saturation hypothesis can be violated ( $k_G \neq 1$ ), we study the effect of the dimension  $D = 8$  gluon condensates on the mass determination. Varying the value of the violation factor  $k_G$  from 1 to 5, the mass increases slightly of about +319 MeV. Like in the case of the four-quark condensate, we shall use the conservative range  $k_G = (3 \pm 2)$  to extract the optimal value of the mass. We obtain:

$$\langle M_T \rangle = 3188(337) \text{ MeV}. \quad (16)$$

• *Coupling at NLO*

Noting that, the current  $\theta_G^{\mu\nu}$  acquires an anomalous dimension due to its renormalization [7]; thus we have to introduce the renormalization group invariant (RGI) coupling  $\hat{f}_T$  which is related to the running coupling  $f_T(\nu)$  as:

$$f_T(\nu) = \frac{\hat{f}_T}{\left(\log\left(\frac{\nu}{\Lambda}\right)\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_1}{2\beta_1}}}; \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{n_f}{3}. \quad (17)$$

We shall extract the coupling from the first moment  $\mathcal{L}_0^c$ .

– *Factorization of  $D = 8$  gluon condensates ( $k_G = 1$ )*

At NLO, as shown in Fig.1-**b**), the minimum and inflexion point of the curves are more pronounced. The optimal values are extracted at the  $\tau$ -minimum which are respectively 201 and 246 MeV for the set  $(\tau, t_c) = (0.1, 12)$  to  $(0.34, 17)$  ( $\text{GeV}^{-2}, \text{GeV}^2$ ). We obtain the mean:

$$\hat{f}_T|_{NLO} = 224(33) \text{ MeV} \rightsquigarrow t_c \simeq 13.5 \text{ GeV}^2. \quad (18)$$

The optimal upper bound is extracted at the minimum  $\tau = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ :

$$\hat{f}_T|_{NLO} \leq 253(1)_\Lambda(2)_{G^2}(32)_{M_T} = 253(32) \text{ MeV}. \quad (19)$$

– *Violation of factorization of  $D = 8$  gluon condensates*

We use the value of  $k_G = (3 \pm 2)$  to perform the analysis. The behaviour of the curves are similar to the ones in Fig.1-**b**). The optimal values 225 (resp. 265) MeV are obtained for the set of  $(\tau, t_c)$ :  $(0.14, 14)$  (resp.  $(0.36, 22)$ ) ( $\text{GeV}^{-2}, \text{GeV}^2$ ). They lead to the mean:

$$\hat{f}_T|_{NLO} = 245(32) \text{ MeV} \rightsquigarrow t_c \simeq 7.5 \text{ GeV}^2. \quad (20)$$

At the inflexion point  $\tau = 0.36 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ , we extract the optimal upper bound:

$$\hat{f}_T|_{NLO} \leq 268(3)_\Lambda(1.5)_{G^2}(30)_{M_T} = 268(32) \text{ MeV}. \quad (21)$$

## 5. Comments and conclusion

We have presented improved predictions of QSSR of the mass and coupling of  $2^{++}$  tensor di-gluonium state using LSR method. We have evaluated the PT and  $D = 4$  gluon condensate NLO corrections and tested the effect of the estimate of the  $D = 8$  gluon condensates on the results.

- The PT (resp.  $\langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle$ ) NLO corrections increase the mass by about 561 (resp. 376) MeV from its LO value: 2091 MeV [7].
- Moving the mass from its LO value to the NLO one: 3028 MeV, the  $\alpha_s$  corrections increase the value of the coupling by about 84 MeV. However, if one fixes the mass at its LO value, the coupling is slightly affected by the NLO corrections.
- Our results for NLO  $\langle\alpha_s G^2\rangle$  and LO  $\langle G^3\rangle$  condensates term do not agree with the ones in Ref. [17]. The discrepancy may come from the different current used by the authors in [17].
- Compared to other approaches, the predicted mass of lattice QCD which is expected to be in the range (2.27  $\sim$  2.67) GeV [18–20] is slightly lower than ours and the one of instanton liquid model (1525 MeV) is too low. However, our estimated mass goes in line within the errors with the ones of ADS/QCD [21] and some constituent models [22].
- Confronted to experimental data, our prediction does not favour the interpretation of  $f_2(2010)$ ,  $f_2(2300)$  and  $f_2(2340)$  states as pure glueball or meson-gluonium mixing [13] candidates.

## References

- [1] R. M. Albuquerque, S. Narison and D. Rabetiarivony, *Nucl. Phys. A* 1039 (2023) 122743; A 1034 (2023) 122637.
- [2] R. M. Albuquerque, S. Narison and D. Rabetiarivony, *Phys. Rev. D* 103, 074015 (2021); D 105, 114035 (2022).
- [3] R. M. Albuquerque et al., *Nucl. Phys. A* 1007 (2021) 122113.
- [4] R. Albuquerque et al., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 33 (2018), 1850082; A 31 (2016) no.17, 1650093; A 31 (2016) no. 36, 1650196.
- [5] For a review, see e.g.: S. Narison, *QCD as a theory of hadrons, Cambridge Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol.* **17** (2004) 1-778 [arXiv: hep-ph/0205006].

- [6] S. Narison, *QCD Spectral Sum Rules, World Sci. Lect. Notes Phys.*, vol. 26, ISBN 9780521037310, 1989, p. 1.
- [7] S. Li, S. Narison, T.G. Steele and D. Rabetiarivony, *Phys. Lett. B* 849 (2024) 138454.
- [8] V.A. Novikov, M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 174 (1980) 378; *Nucl. Phys. B* 191 (1981) 301.
- [9] S. Narison, *Z. Phys. C* **22** (1984) 161.
- [10] S. Narison, *Nucl. Phys. B* 509 (1998) 312/; *ibid*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* 64 (1998) 210.
- [11] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 147 (1979) 385, 448.
- [12] T. de Oliveira, D. Harnett, A. Palameta, T.G. Steele, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (2022) 114023.
- [13] E. Bagan, A. Bramon, S. Narison, *Phys. Lett. B* 196 (1987) 203.
- [14] A.A. Pivovarov, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **63** (2000) 1646; *ibid* *Yad. Fiz.* **63N9** (2000) 1734.
- [15] S. Narison, *Phys. Reports* **84** 4 (1982) 263.
- [16] D. Asner, R.B. Mann, J.L. Murison, T.G. Steele, *Phys. Lett. B* 296 (1992) 171.
- [17] H.-X. Chen, W. Chen, S.-L. Zhu, *Nucl.Part.Phys.Proc.* **318-323** (2022) 122; *Phys. Rev. D* 104 (2021) 9, 094050.
- [18] Y. Chen et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 014516.
- [19] E. Gregory et al., *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 170 and references therein.
- [20] A. Athenodorou, M. Teper. *JHEP* **11** (2020) 172.
- [21] L. Zhang, C. Chen, Y. Chen, M. Huang, arXiv:2106.10748 [hep-ph].
- [22] For a review, see e.g. V. Mathieu, N. Kochelev, V. Vento, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* 18 (2009) 1.