

PDFxTMDLib: a parton distribution library for both transverse and collinear parton distribution functions

*R. Kord Valeshabadi**

Independent researcher, Tehran, Iran.

(Dated: December 24, 2024)

arXiv:2412.16680v1 [hep-ph] 21 Dec 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we present PDFxTMD, a novel library for parton distribution functions (PDFs) which integrates both collinear PDFs (cPDFs) and transverse momentum-dependent PDFs (TMDs). Designed with modern C++ principles, including the Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP) and type erasure, PDFxTMD achieves high performance while ensuring extensibility. The library offers well-defined interfaces for reading PDF grid files, as well as for interpolation and extrapolation, enabling users to implement tailored solutions for their specific needs. PDFxTMD supports standard file formats from LHAPDF and TMDLib, while also allowing for adaptability to non-standard formats through its extensible architecture. By providing a unified and efficient framework for PDF computations, PDFxTMD aims to enhance the toolkit available to the high-energy physics community for cross-section calculations in both collinear and k_t -factorization frameworks.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	3
II. Library Architecture	5
A. Reader Interface	5
B. Interpolation Interfaces	6
C. Collinear and TMD Extrapolation Interfaces	8
D. Generic PDF Class	9
E. ITMD and ICPDF Interfaces	11
F. Factory Classes	12
1. cPDF Implementations	12
2. TMD Implementations	13
G. PDF Set File Format	13
III. Benchmarking and Validation	14
A. Numerical Validation	14

* Corresponding author, Email: raminkord92@gmail.com

B. Performance Analysis	16
IV. Conclusion	19
References	21

I. INTRODUCTION

Collinear parton distribution functions (cPDFs) and transverse momentum-dependent distribution functions (TMDs)[1] are crucial components in the calculation of cross sections within the frameworks of collinear and k_t -factorizations, respectively. In high-energy physics, collinear factorization assumes that partons inside the hadron move parallel to the hadron's momentum while neglecting transverse motion. In contrast, k_t -factorization extends this framework by allowing partons to carry nonzero transverse momentum relative to the parent hadron. This generalization is crucial for processes involving small- x physics or high-energy collisions. In both approaches, hadronic cross sections are expressed as convolutions of partonic cross sections and parton distribution functions (PDFs). In collinear factorization, the cross section is given by:

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j \in q,g} \int \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} f_i(x_1, \mu^2) f_j(x_2, \mu^2) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \quad (1)$$

where $f_{i(j)}$ are collinear PDFs (cPDFs) depending on the longitudinal momentum fractions $x_{1,2}$ and the factorization scale μ .

In k_t -factorization, the cross section takes the more general form:

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j \in q,g} \int \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{dx_2} \frac{dk_{1,t}^2}{k_{1,t}^2} \frac{dk_{2,t}^2}{k_{2,t}^2} f_i(x_1, k_{1,t}^2, \mu^2) f_j(x_2, k_{2,t}^2, \mu^2) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}^*, \quad (2)$$

where $f_{i(j)}$ now represents transverse-momentum-dependent PDFs (TMDs), which additionally depend on the transverse momenta $k_{1,t}$ and $k_{2,t}$. The partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}^*$ is off-shell due to the transverse momenta of the incoming partons.

The evolution of collinear parton distribution functions (cPDFs) is governed by the Dokshitzer–Gribov–Lipatov–Altarelli–Parisi (DGLAP) equations [2–4], which describe the scale dependence of parton distributions within the framework of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD). These equations account for logarithmic corrections from parton emis-

sions, enabling the evolution of cPDFs from a specified initial scale to higher momentum transfers. To determine the initial distributions, global fits are performed by comparing theoretical predictions with experimental data from processes such as deep inelastic scattering (DIS), Drell-Yan production, and hadronic collisions [5, 6]. This fitting procedure yields precise extractions of cPDFs, facilitating reliable predictions across a wide range of energy scales.

In contrast, the k_t -factorization formalism employs evolution equations such as the Ciafaloni-Catani-Fiorani-Marchesini (CCFM)[7–9] and Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) [10, 11] equations, which govern the scale dependence of transverse momentum-dependent distributions (TMDs). However, these equations are primarily limited to gluons, posing challenges in obtaining TMDs for all parton species. While CCFM-based TMDs have been extended to include valence quarks [12], a complete set covering all quark flavors remains unavailable, limiting their comprehensive applicability. However, modern approaches are introduced like parton branching (PB)[13], and Kimber-Martin-Rysking (KMR) [14], or Martin-Ryskin-Watt (MRW)[15] which use DGLAP evolution equations, and provide mechanisms to obtain TMDs for both quarks, and gluons. Using these TMDs also has already made cross section calculations popular in the k_t -factorization frameworks.

Typically, the LHAPDF library [16] is widely used for accessing collinear PDFs (cPDFs) to calculate cross sections within the collinear factorization framework, as shown in eq. (1). Similarly, TMDLib [17] is commonly employed for transverse-momentum-dependent PDFs (TMDs) in cross section calculations within the k_t -factorization framework, as in eq. (2). These libraries primarily facilitate interpolation-based access, enabling efficient retrieval of cPDFs through two-dimensional interpolations and TMDs through three-dimensional interpolations.

In this work, we introduce PDFxTMD, a library designed to handle both collinear and transverse momentum-dependent parton distribution functions.[18]

PDFxTMD aims to provide a unified framework that integrates modern C++ design principles, ensuring high performance and extensibility. The library supports various interpolation and extrapolation techniques, allowing users to efficiently compute parton distribution functions across a wide range of kinematic variables.

By leveraging established standards from libraries like LHAPDF and TMDLib, PDFxTMD facilitates seamless access to parton distribution data while also accommodating custom

formats. This flexibility makes it suitable for a diverse set of applications in high-energy physics, including cross-section calculations and phenomenological studies.

The library is designed with modularity in mind, enabling users to implement custom readers, interpolators, and extrapolators tailored to their specific needs. With its robust architecture, PDFxTMD aims to enhance the toolkit available to researchers, providing a reliable and efficient solution for parton distribution function computations in both theoretical and experimental contexts.

II. LIBRARY ARCHITECTURE

Generally, the library consists of different interfaces, i.e. reader, interpolation, and extrapolation interfaces. The reader interface has the responsibility of reading the data grid file, storing the shape of data stored in the grid file. The interpolation class depends on the reader class due to the need to have the shape of data in order to do the interpolation. The extrapolation interface depends on reader and also sometimes on interpolation interface, due to the fact that some extrapolation approaches such as MSTW approach [19], depends on the interpolation routine. It should be mentioned that all these interfaces follow CRTP design pattern to avoid performance penalty of runtime polymorphism. By defining the implementation for each of these interfaces, a user can obtain cPDFs and TMDs. If the provided, x , k_t^2 , and μ^2 was in range of the provided PDF grids, one should be able to obtain PDF with interpolation, while when it was not in range, extrapolation routine should give the PDF for both cPDFs and TMDs. In the subsequent subsections we describe each interfaces in more details.

A. Reader Interface

As it is mentioned before, the reader interface has the responsibility of storing data in provided grid files of PDF sets. The reader interface is defined as below:

```
template <typename Derived>
class IReader : public CRTPBase<Derived>
{
public:
void read(const std::string &pdfName, int setNumber)
{
```

```

    this->derived().read(pdfName, setNumber);
}

auto getData() const
{
    return this->derived().getData();
}

std::vector<double> getValues(PhaseSpaceComponent comp) const
{
    return this->derived().getValues(comp);
}

std::pair<double, double>
getBoundaryValues(PhaseSpaceComponent comp) const
{
    return this->derived().getBoundaryValues(comp);
}
};

```

in this interface, the `read` member variable accepts the PDF set name, and set member. The `getData` member function enables classes that depend on the `ReaderInterface` to access the data obtained by this class. Since users may require different data formats, the return type of this member function is specified as `auto` to support flexible data structures.

Moreover, the `getBoundaryValues` and `getValues` member functions allow users to inspect boundary values in the x , k_t^2 , and μ^2 phase space components and retrieve `std::vector` values for each phase space component.

Finally, by defining the reader as an interface, we provide users with the flexibility to implement custom readers tailored to their specific needs, even if the grid files do not follow the conventional LHAPDF or TMDLib file format.

B. Interpolation Interfaces

The Collinear and Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) interpolation interfaces handle the computation of collinear PDFs (cPDFs) and TMDs by interpolating the requested values of x , k_t^2 , and μ^2 , provided they lie within the supported range. The implementation achieves this using the concrete methods defined within these interfaces, which are structured as follows:

```
#define KT_T double
```

```

#define X_T double
#define MU_T double

template <typename Derived, typename Reader, typename... InterpolateArgs>
class IInterpolator : public CRTPBase<Derived> {
public:
    void initialize(const IReader<Reader> *reader) {
        this->derived().initialize(reader);
    }

    double interpolate(InterpolateArgs... args) const {
        return this->derived().interpolate(args...);
    }
};

template <typename Reader, typename Derived>
class IcPDFInterpolator :
public IInterpolator<Derived, Reader, PartonFlavor, X_T, MU_T> {
};

template <typename Reader, typename Derived>
class ITMDInterpolator :
public IInterpolator<Derived, Reader, PartonFlavor, X_T, KT_T, MU_T> {
};

```

The code above defines a generic interface, `IInterpolator`, with two key methods: `initialize` and `interpolate`. The `initialize` method accepts an `IReader` object as input, allowing it to access grid file data. The `interpolate` method facilitates interpolation by leveraging the known structure of the underlying data.

To simplify implementation, two convenient CRTP (Curiously Recurring Template Pattern) interfaces are defined for cPDFs and TMDs:

- `IcPDFInterpolator`: Designed for cPDF interpolation, using the parameter pack `PartonFlavor`, `X_T`, and `MU_T`.
- `ITMDInterpolator`: Designed for TMD interpolation, using the parameter pack `PartonFlavor`, `X_T`, `KT_T`, and `MU_T`.

Concrete classes for cPDFs and TMDs can be easily implemented by inheriting from `IcPDFInterpolator` and `ITMDInterpolator`, respectively.

C. Collinear and TMD Extrapolation Interfaces

The extrapolation interfaces extend the interpolation framework by addressing cases where x , k_t^2 , or μ^2 lie outside the range of the grid file. The extrapolation interfaces are defined as:

```
template <typename Derived, typename Reader, typename... ExtrapolateArgs>
class IExtrapolator : public CRTPBase<Derived> {
public:
    double extrapolate(const IReader<Reader> *reader, ExtrapolateArgs... args) const {
        return this->derived().extrapolate(reader, args...);
    }
};

template <typename Derived, typename Reader, typename Interpolator, typename... ExtrapolateArgs>
class IAdvancedExtrapolator : public IExtrapolator<Derived, Reader, ExtrapolateArgs...> {
public:
    void setInterpolator(const Interpolator *interpolator) {
        m_interpolator = interpolator;
    }

protected:
    const Interpolator *m_interpolator{nullptr};
};

template <typename Derived, typename Reader>
class IcPDFExtrapolator : public IExtrapolator<Derived, Reader, PartonFlavor, X_T, MU_T> {
};

template <typename Derived, typename Reader, typename Interpolator>
class IcAdvancedPDFExtrapolator :
public IAdvancedExtrapolator<Derived, Reader, Interpolator, PartonFlavor, X_T, MU_T> {
};

template <typename Derived, typename Reader>
class ITMDExtrapolator : public IExtrapolator<Derived, Reader, PartonFlavor, X_T, KT_T, MU_T> {
};

template <typename Derived, typename Reader, typename Interpolator>
class IcAdvancedTMDExtrapolator :
public IAdvancedExtrapolator<Derived, Reader, Interpolator, PartonFlavor, X_T, KT_T, MU_T> {
};
```

The interfaces are organized as follows:

1. **IExtrapolator**: A core interface for extrapolation that operates independently of

interpolation.

2. `IAdvancedExtrapolator`: Extends `IExtrapolator` and includes an optional `setInterpolator` method to incorporate interpolation routines when needed.

While derived classes are used for specific applications of cPDFs and TMDs:

1. `IcPDFExtrapolator` and `ITMDExtrapolator` are designed for straightforward extrapolation, such as throwing exception, returning zero.
2. `IcAdvancedPDFExtrapolator` and `IcAdvancedTMDExtrapolator` integrate interpolation routines for advanced extrapolation scenarios.

D. Generic PDF Class

The Generic PDF class is the core C++ class that integrates reader, interpolation, and extrapolation interfaces to generate either cPDFs or TMDs. It accepts two tags: `TMDPDFTag` and `CollinearPDFTag`. By specifying one of these tags, users can obtain either cPDFs or TMDs, respectively.

The `GenericPDF` class is a template C++ class with the following template parameters:

```
template <typename Tag, typename Reader, typename Interpolator, typename Extrapolator>
```

By selecting the appropriate combination of reader, interpolator, and extrapolator, users can generate both cPDFs and TMDs. The constructor of the `GenericPDF` class accepts the PDF set name and set number as input arguments. The class also exposes three public member functions:

```
double pdf(PartonFlavor flavor, double x, double mu2)
{
    if constexpr (std::is_same_v<Tag, CollinearPDFTag>)
    {
        return computeCollinearPDF(flavor, x, mu2);
    }
    else
    {
        throw LogicError("Collinear PDF is not defined for k_t-dependent PDFs.");
    }
}

double tmd(PartonFlavor flavor, double x, double kt2, double mu2)
```

```

{
  if constexpr (std::is_same_v<Tag, TMDPDFTag>)
  {
    return computeTMD(flavor, x, kt2, mu2);
  }
  else
  {
    throw LogicError("TMD is not defined for collinear PDFs.");
  }
}

YamlStandardTMDInfo getStdPDFInfo() const
{
  return m_stdInfo;
}

```

In the above listing, the `pdf` and `tmd` methods provide cPDFs and TMDs, respectively, within the ranges of x , μ , and k_t . The `getStdPDFInfo` method returns standard PDF set information, which is read from a YAML file associated with each PDF set.

The `YamlStandardTMDInfo` structure is defined as follows:

```

struct YamlStandardPDFInfo
{
  OrderQCD orderQCD;
  std::string Format;
  double XMin;
  double XMax;
  double QMin;
  double QMax;
  int NumMembers;
  std::vector<int> Flavors;
};

struct YamlStandardTMDInfo : YamlStandardPDFInfo
{
  double KtMin;
  double KtMax;
  std::string TMDScheme;
};

```

From the above structures, the meaning of each member variable is self-explanatory. It is important to note that if the PDF set is collinear, only the base class `YamlStandardPDFInfo` (and not `YamlStandardTMDInfo`) is relevant.

E. ITMD and ICPDF Interfaces

To enhance the usability of this library for a diverse applications, we provide ICPDF, and ITMD interfaces with polymorphic behavior. The polymorphism is achieved through the Boost `te` library [20], a type-erasure library that implements the type-erasure design pattern. This pattern is a value-based, non-intrusive abstraction. Unlike conventional C++ where polymorphism achieved by subclassing from a base class, type erasure allows any class with methods matching the required interface to benefit from polymorphic behavior without needing to derive from a base class. For further details on the type-erasure design pattern, see Klaus Iglberger’s book [21].

In the following listings, ITMD and ICPDF represent interfaces for TMDs and cPDFs, respectively:

```
struct ITMD
{
    ITMD() = default;
    double tmd(PartonFlavor flavor, double x, double kt2, double mu2)
    {
        return te::call<double><[]>((auto &self, auto flavor, auto x, double kt2, auto mu2) {
            self->tmd(flavor, x, kt2, mu2);
        },
        *this, flavor, x, kt2, mu2);
    }
};

struct ICPDF
{
    ICPDF() = default;
    double pdf(PartonFlavor flavor, double x, double mu2)
    {
        return te::call<double><[]>((auto &self, auto flavor, auto x, auto mu2) {
            self->pdf(flavor, x, mu2);
        }, *this, flavor, x, mu2);
    }
};
```

To utilize the polymorphic behavior of TMDs, users only need to provide a class with a method matching the following declaration:

```
double tmd(PartonFlavor flavor, double x, double kt2, double mu2)
```

Similarly, for cPDFs, the class must include the following method:

```
double pdf(PartonFlavor flavor, double x, double mu2)
```

The `te::poly<ICPDF>` and `te::poly<ITMD>` constructs provide the required polymorphic behavior for cPDFs and TMDs, respectively.

F. Factory Classes

To simplify the process of creating objects and enhance code flexibility, the library includes two factory classes: `GenericCPDFFactory` for cPDFs and `GenericTMDFactory` for TMDs. The following listing shows their implementation:

```
class GenericTMDFactory
{
public:
    GenericTMDFactory(std::string pdfSetName, int setMember)
        : m_pdfSetName(pdfSetName), m_setMember(setMember) {};
    te::poly mkTMD();

private:
    std::string m_pdfSetName;
    int m_setMember;
};

class GenericCPDFFactory
{
public:
    GenericCPDFFactory(std::string pdfSetName, int setMember)
        : m_pdfSetName(pdfSetName), m_setMember(setMember) {};
    te::poly mkCPDF();

private:
    std::string m_pdfSetName;
    int m_setMember;
};
```

The core methods, `mkCPDF` and `mkTMD`, return polymorphic instances of `ICPDF` and `ITMD`, respectively. The following sections outline the available default implementations:

1. *cPDF Implementations*

- **IReader**: `CDefaultLHAPDFFileReader`
- **IInterpolator**: `CBilinearInterpolator`, `CGSLBilinearInterpolator` [22],
`CGSLBicubicInterpolator`[22]

- **IExtrapolator**: CContinuationExtrapolator, CErrExtrapolator, CNearestPointExtrapolator

2. TMD Implementations

- **IReader**: TDefaultAllFlavorReader
- **IInterpolator**: TTrilinearInterpolator
- **IExtrapolator**: TZeroExtrapolator, TErrExtrapolator

To override default implementations, specify them in the YAML PDF set info file as follows:

Reader: CDefaultLHAPDFFileReader

Interpolator: CBilinearInterpolator

Extrapolator: CContinuationExtrapolator

If the user does not provide this information in the PDF set info file, the following default implementations are used: CDefaultLHAPDFFileReader, CBilinearInterpolator, and CContinuationExtrapolator for cPDFs; and TDefaultAllFlavorReader, TTrilinearInterpolator, and TZeroExtrapolator for TMDs. Users can also extend the corresponding factory classes to incorporate custom reader, interpolation, and extrapolation implementations.

G. PDF Set File Format

This paper does not introduce any new file format for PDF sets. Instead, it adopts the conventions of two widely used libraries: LHAPDF (for collinear PDFs, cPDFs) and TMDLib (for transverse momentum-dependent PDFs, TMDs). PDF sets that conform to these libraries' conventions are supported natively. However, it is worth noting that while LHAPDF is a well-established standard for cPDFs, TMDLib lacks the same level of standardization. Some newer PDF sets adhere more to the LHAPDF conventions, while others deviate.

To accommodate such variations, contributors can define custom concrete reader classes tailored to their specific PDF sets. The default folder structure for grid and info files is as follows:

PDFxTMD_PATH/<setname>/<setname>_<nnnn>.dat

PDFxTMD_PATH/<setname>/<setname>.info

Here, <nnnn> represents a four-digit number, where, for example, CT18NLO_0003.dat denotes the third member of the PDF set named CT18NLO. The PDFxTMD_PATH is an environment variable that users can configure. Additionally, the library searches the current binary directory (where the executable resides), and /usr/local/share/PDFxTMD_PATH for the specified PDF set.

If a PDF set does not conform to this default structure, users have two options: either adjust the set to align with the standard convention or implement a custom reader class to handle the non-standard format. While the library strives to remain non-intrusive and avoid imposing unnecessary restrictions, it primarily supports the standard formats for convenience and compatibility.

TMD grid file structures can also vary significantly. For example, the PB-NLO-HERAI+II-2023-set2 follows the allflavorUpdf format, where a single grid file contains the TMDs for all parton flavors. In contrast, the BHKS set uses a separate grid file for each flavor. For such cases, users can either convert the data into a standard format (e.g., allflavorUpdf) or implement a custom reader class specific to the set.

By adopting this flexible approach, the library balances compatibility with established standards and adaptability for custom use cases.

III. BENCHMARKING AND VALIDATION

To demonstrate the performance and reliability of PDFxTMD, we conducted benchmarking tests comparing our library against established solutions, namely LHAPDF and TMDLib. Our validation process focused on two key aspects: numerical accuracy and computational performance.

A. Numerical Validation

We validated our implementation by comparing PDF values computed by PDFxTMD with those from LHAPDF for collinear PDFs and TMDLib for TMD distributions. We first compare our results for TMDs at three different values of $x = 0.001, 0.1, 0.5$, and

for $k_t^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $\mu^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}^2$ using the PB-NLO-HERAI+II-2023-set2-qs=0.74 TMD set. It should be noted that both TMDLib and PDFxTMD use trilinear interpolation techniques, so we expect the results to be identical, as shown in Tables I, II, and III.

Flavor	TMDLib	TTrilinearInterpolator
gluon	3.842080e-01	3.842080e-01
up	2.121600e-02	2.121600e-02
down	2.062780e-02	2.062780e-02

TABLE I: Results for $x = 0.001$, $k_t^2 = 10.0$, $\mu^2 = 100.0$

Flavor	TMDLib	TTrilinearInterpolator
gluon	2.305670e-02	2.305670e-02
up	6.273790e-03	6.273790e-03
down	3.358590e-03	3.358590e-03

TABLE II: Results for $x = 0.1$, $k_t^2 = 10.0$, $\mu^2 = 100.0$

Flavor	TMDLib	TTrilinearInterpolator
gluon	1.067790e-04	1.067790e-04
up	1.247750e-04	1.247750e-04
down	4.662450e-05	4.662450e-05

TABLE III: Results for $x = 0.5$, $k_t^2 = 10.0$, $\mu^2 = 100.0$

As evident from the results, PDFxTMD matches the results of TMDLib precisely, thereby validating our TMD calculations.

Next, we compare our results for cPDFs using the CT18NLO set at $x = 0.001, 0.1, 0.5$, and $\mu^2 = 100 \text{ GeV}^2$. We calculate our results using the `CBilinearInterpolator` and `CGSLBicubicInterpolator` (based on the bicubic interpolation technique) interpolation classes with the `CDefaultLHAPDFFileReader` reader.

Flavor	LHAPDF	CBilinearInterpolator	CGSLBicubicInterpolator
gluon	1.698730e+01	1.693520e+01	1.698690e+01
up	9.619390e-01	9.597680e-01	9.620220e-01
down	9.199310e-01	9.177550e-01	9.200140e-01

TABLE IV: Results for $x = 0.001$, $\mu^2 = 100.0$

Flavor	LHAPDF	CBilinearInterpolator	CGSLBicubicInterpolator
gluon	1.133530e+00	1.147560e+00	1.133260e+00
up	6.382750e-01	6.377420e-01	6.382440e-01
down	4.082340e-01	4.082870e-01	4.082360e-01

TABLE V: Results for $x = 0.1$, $\mu^2 = 100.0$

Flavor	LHAPDF	CBilinearInterpolator	CGSLBicubicInterpolator
gluon	2.849320e-02	2.910850e-02	2.848020e-02
up	1.704290e-01	1.716600e-01	1.704210e-01
down	4.639650e-02	4.693610e-02	4.639150e-02

TABLE VI: Results for $x = 0.5$, $\mu^2 = 100.0$

From Tables IV, V, and VI, it is evident that both bilinear and bicubic (using GSL) interpolations closely match the results of LHAPDF. Therefore, it can be concluded that PDFxTMD is numerically validated against the LHAPDF and TMDLib libraries.

B. Performance Analysis

Before discussing the performance benchmark of PDFxTMD against LHAPDF and TMDLib libraries, it should be noted that our results in this section were conducted on a system with the following specifications:

- **CPU Model:** Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-6200U CPU @ 2.30GHz
- **Number of CPU Cores:** 4
- **Operating System:** Linux version 5.15.167.4-microsoft-standard-WSL2
- **Compiler:** GCC version 11.2.0
- **Linker:** GNU ld (GNU Binutils) version 2.37

The performance analysis for the comparison of TMDLib and PDFxTMD using PB-NLO-HERAI+II-2023-set2-qs=0.74 was conducted on a comprehensive grid of kinematic variables covering the physically relevant phase space. The test grid consisted of 14 points in x ranging from 10^{-5} to 0.8, 14 points in k_T^2 from 1 to 5000 GeV^2 , and 12 points in μ^2 from 1.0 to 5000.0 GeV^2 . At each grid point, all 12 parton flavors (gluon, up, down, strange, charm, bottom quarks, their antiquarks, and photon) were evaluated.

To ensure statistical significance and minimize timing fluctuations, each measurement was repeated 10^5 times at every grid point. The execution time per PDF call was calculated by averaging over all iterations and flavors, providing a robust measure of the computational performance. This extensive testing approach, covering 2,352 distinct kinematic points ($14 \times 14 \times 12$) with multiple iterations, allows for a comprehensive evaluation of the implementation's performance across the entire phase space relevant for phenomenological applications. The high number of iterations ensures that the timing measurements are statistically significant and properly account for any system-level fluctuations. Additionally, we ignore the first call for calculating TMDs in our calculation. because in the first call, it also reads the grid file, and we do not want to let it has the effect in our calculations.

In Table VII, Raw Interpolator refers to creating objects of `TDefaultAllFlavorReader` and `TTrilinearInterpolator` and performing direct interpolations without using `GenericTMDFactory` and `GenericPDF` convenience classes. This means we do not check if the TMDs need extrapolations, resulting in fewer function call redirections. Hence, it is naturally faster than other approaches. Another important point is that `GenericTMDFactory` and `GenericPDF` have relatively the same performance, indicating that the type erasure design pattern is indeed a superior approach compared to traditional runtime polymorphism.

It should also be mentioned that TMDLib, for each call of x , k_t^2 , and μ^2 , interpolates for all parton flavors, even if you do not need that TMD. This makes it very inconvenient to use.

Method	Mean	Std	Median
Raw Interpolator (TTrilinearInterpolator)	98.902314	13.818006	95.9921
GenericPDF (TTrilinearInterpolator)	129.591662	15.547797	125.7705
GenericTMDFactory (TTrilinearInterpolator)	130.873565	13.569760	127.6300
TMDLib	220.757132	71.622738	209.0955

TABLE VII: Comparison of average performance (fastest to slowest) for TMDs using PB-NLO-HERAI+II-2023-set2-qs=0.74 PDF set.

However, to obtain fair results for comparison, we also calculate all flavors by a single call for each flavor. Hence, it means more function redirection. Despite this, it is quite evident that our results using different mechanisms surpass the speed for obtaining TMD with the same precision using TMDLib. Hopefully, in future versions, we will add support for batch parton flavor TMD calculations, leading to even better performance results.

Finally, in fig. 1, we depict the performance distribution for TMDs, which shows this comparison more clearly.

Now, we perform the same analysis for LHAPDF, comparing it with raw interpolation, `GenericTMDFactory`, and `GenericPDF` classes. We conduct all the analysis with `CBilinearInterpolator`, but we also add `CGSLBicubicInterpolator` to ensure a fair comparison with LHAPDF, which uses bicubic interpolation.

Method	Mean	Std	Median
Raw Interpolator	49.379541	6.148053	49.39245
GenericPDF	52.780929	5.956818	53.03405
GenericCPDFFactory	55.931377	6.102333	56.11510
GenericPDF-GSLBicubic	96.008881	7.997295	93.74055
LHAPDF	96.442655	8.707050	93.59290

TABLE VIII: Comparison of average performance (fastest to slowest) for cPDFs using CT18NLO PDF set using different PDFxTMD interpolation approaches with LHAPDF.

As seen in Table VIII, it is evident that bilinear interpolation using Raw Interpolat-




FIG. 1: Execution time comparison between TMDLib, GenericTMDFactory, Raw Interpolator, and GenericPDF

tor and `GenericPDF` is significantly faster than obtaining the same cPDFs using LHAPDF and `GenericPDF-GSLBicubic`. While the performance between LHAPDF and `GenericPDF-GSLBicubic` does not differ significantly, we can also see the performance distribution comparisons between LHAPDF and PDFxTMD using different interpolation classes in Figure 2.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we introduced PDFxTMD, a library designed to handle both collinear and transverse momentum-dependent parton distribution functions. By leveraging modern C++ design patterns, such as the Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP) and type erasure, PDFxTMD aims to provide high performance and flexibility, making it a useful tool for the high-energy physics community.

Our benchmarking and validation efforts demonstrate that PDFxTMD matches the nu-




FIG. 2: Execution time comparison between LHAPDF, GenericCPDFFactory (bilinear interpolation), Raw interpolator (bilinear interpolation), GenericPDF (bilinear interpolation), and GenericPDF (bicubic GSL interpolation)

merical accuracy of established libraries like LHAPDF and TMDLib while also showing competitive computational efficiency. The library’s modular architecture allows users to customize and extend its functionality, accommodating a wide range of PDF set formats and interpolation/extrapolation techniques.

The performance analysis highlights the benefits of PDFxTMD’s design, particularly in terms of speed and adaptability. The library’s ability to efficiently handle large-scale computations across diverse kinematic ranges makes it suitable for modern high-energy physics applications.

Looking ahead, we plan to enhance PDFxTMD by incorporating additional features such as batch processing capabilities and support for emerging PDF set formats. By continuing to refine and expand the library, we hope to provide researchers with a robust and versatile framework for parton distribution function computations.

In conclusion, PDFxTMD offers a unified, efficient, and extensible solution for both collinear and transverse momentum-dependent PDFs. The code for PDFxTMD is available

at <https://github.com/Raminkord92/PDFxTMD>, and we hope it will serve as a valuable component of the computational toolkit for high-energy physics research.

- [1] TMDs are sometimes referred to as Unintegrated Parton Distribution Functions (UPDFs).
- [2] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, “Deep inelastic $e p$ scattering in perturbation theory,” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, vol. 15, pp. 438–450, 1972.
- [3] Y. L. Dokshitzer, “Calculation of the Structure Functions for Deep Inelastic Scattering and $e^+ e^-$ Annihilation by Perturbation Theory in Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Sov. Phys. JETP*, vol. 46, pp. 641–653, 1977.
- [4] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, “Asymptotic Freedom in Parton Language,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 126, pp. 298–318, 1977.
- [5] S. Alekhin *et al.*, “Parton Distributions from LHC and HERA Data,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 96, p. 014011, 2017.
- [6] NNPDF Collaboration, “Unbiased Global Determination of Parton Distributions and Their Uncertainties,” *JHEP*, vol. 04, p. 040, 2015.
- [7] M. Ciafaloni, “Coherence Effects in Initial Jets at Small q^2 / s ,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 296, pp. 49–74, 1988.
- [8] S. Catani, F. Fiorani, and G. Marchesini, “Small x Behavior of Initial State Radiation in Perturbative QCD,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 336, pp. 18–85, 1990.
- [9] S. Catani, F. Fiorani, and G. Marchesini, “Qcd coherence in initial state radiation,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 234, no. 3, pp. 339–345, 1990.
- [10] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov, and V. S. Fadin, “The pomeranchuk singularity in nonabelian gauge theories,” *Sov. Phys. JETP*, vol. 45, pp. 199–204, 1977.
- [11] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, “The pomeranchuk singularity in quantum chromodynamics,” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, vol. 28, pp. 822–829, 1978.
- [12] M. Deak, F. Hautmann, H. Jung, and K. Kutak, “Forward Jets and Energy Flow in Hadronic Collisions,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 72, p. 1982, 2012.
- [13] F. Hautmann, H. Jung, A. Lelek, V. Radescu, and R. Zlebcik, “Collinear and TMD Quark and Gluon Densities from Parton Branching Solution of QCD Evolution Equations,” *JHEP*, vol. 01, p. 070, 2018.

- [14] M. A. Kimber, A. D. Martin, and M. G. Ryskin, “Unintegrated parton distributions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 63, p. 114027, 2001.
- [15] A. D. Martin, M. G. Ryskin, and G. Watt, “NLO prescription for unintegrated parton distributions,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 66, pp. 163–172, 2010.
- [16] A. Buckley, J. Ferrando, S. Lloyd, K. Nordström, B. Page, M. Rüfenacht, M. Schönherr, and G. Watt, “LHAPDF6: parton density access in the LHC precision era,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 75, p. 132, 2015.
- [17] F. Hautmann, H. Jung, M. Krämer, P. J. Mulders, E. R. Nocera, T. C. Rogers, and A. Signori, “TMDlib and TMDplotter: library and plotting tools for transverse-momentum-dependent parton distributions,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 74, p. 3220, 2014.
- [18] The PDFxTMD library is available at <https://github.com/Raminkord92/PDFxTMD>.
- [19] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne, and G. Watt, “Parton distributions for the LHC,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 63, pp. 189–285, 2009.
- [20] B. community, “Boost.ext te library.” <https://github.com/boost-ext/te>, 2022. Accessed: 2024-12-19.
- [21] K. Iglberger, *C++ Software Design*. O’Reilly Media, 2022.
- [22] Galassi *et al.*, “Gnu scientific library reference manual (3rd ed.)”