Beamspace-based Sensing in Dynamic Joint Communication and Sensing Systems

D.R. Raghavendra International Institute of Information Technology Bangalore, India Email: raghavendra.dr@iiitb.ac.in V.V. Reddy International Institute of Information Technology Bangalore, India Email: vinod.reddy@iiitb.ac.in

Abstract-Dual-function radar communication (DFRC) systems incorporate both radar and communication functions by sharing spectrum, hardware and RF signal processing chains. Future technologies, such as 6G, are envisioned to support multiple communication platforms along with radar sensing, thus leading to high dynamism and competition for the available resources. In such settings, whenever communication takes precedence, a likely scenario is dynamically changing RF chain and antenna availability for sensing. This necessitates real-time beam redesign to cover the field-of-view (FOV), solving which is intractable via computationally expensive optimization approaches. We propose that classic windowing techniques are still relevant and much more practical than optimization methods in such dynamic scenarios. Specifically, parametrized windows can be used in a strategic way to adapt to varying resource availability while sustaining sensing performance.

Index Terms—Radar, DFRC, antenna array, beamforming, adaptive beamspace windowing

I. INTRODUCTION

Future technologies such as 6G, autonomous driving, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and others require reliable, low-latency sensing and communication. Traditionally, sensing and communication have developed along different trajectories and a paradigm shift towards a unified solution has been of interest. This is accomplished by Dual-function radar communication (DFRC) systems in which sensing and communication coexist.

There are a number of DFRC design strategies based on different philosophies of coexistence [1] [2]. Coexistence involves the sharing of resources like spectrum, hardware, and RF signal processing chains. Spectral sharing studies primarily focus on waveform design techniques, which range from radar and communication operating on separate bands to methods where both systems use the same band, managing interference through either cancellation or cooperation [3] [4] [5]. Based on the designed waveforms, hardware resources such as RF chains and antennas are shared. Strategies such as digital, analog and hybrid beamforming [6] [7] [8] are developed considering the cost and design complexity that is associated with the increase in the no. of RF chains. Furthermore, configurations such as Single-Input Multiple-Output (SIMO), Multiple-Input Single Output (MISO) and Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) have been employed for both communication and radar to enhance data-rate and angular resolution respectively [9] [10].

Consider a DFRC base station that dynamically assigns or reassigns RF chains between radar and communication functions thus offering significant scalability. However, in a highly dynamic environment, if priority for communication takes precedence-due to large number of communication end-points or high SINR requirements-fewer RF chains will be available for sensing thereby adversely affecting performance. Existing methods to circumvent this problem include sequential sensing of various directions with the available RF chains [11] [12] and redesign of their beamforming weights using array pattern synthesis techniques [13] [14]. While sequential sensing over the entire field-ofview (FOV) introduces undesired sensing delay, array pattern synthesis techniques are inherently expensive for real-time applications. We therefore invoke well-known windowing techniques and apply them in an adaptive way for sensing the scene when the number of available RF chains is lesser than required.

In this paper, we focus on the design of transmit beamforming weights for sensing the scene with a limited number of RF chains/beams that can change in real-time. Towards this we assume separate, orthogonal waveforms for radar and communication. A MISO setup with multiple transmitters and a single co-located receiver in a hybrid transmit beamforming setting is considered as a specific case of MIMO sensing setup. A windowing-based transmit beamforming technique is proposed with adaptive beam width based on the available number of RF chains to sense the entire field-of-view (FOV). This windowing strategy integrates smoothly into the RF signal processing chain, circumventing the need for sequential sensing or costly beam pattern redesigns typically associated with optimization approaches. Simulation studies exhibit improved coverage across the FOV due to the widened beam width, thereby improving the sensing performance.

II. SYSTEM AND SIGNAL MODEL

A. System Model

Figure 1 illustrates a base station (BS) transmit array with R_T RF chains feeding to $N_T = LR_T$ antenna elements, where

L is the number of antenna elements fed by each RF chain. In a dynamic scenario, the number of RF chains allocated for communication $(R_c(t))$ and sensing $(R_s(t))$ change with time satisfying the constraint $R_T = R_c(t) + R_s(t)$. The RF chains allocated for sensing and communication, and the associated analog beamformer weights, are color coded in Fig. 1 for distinction.

In order to focus on transmit beamforming specifically for sensing, we employ waveforms with good autocorrelation properties transmitted through the $R_s(t)$ beams. Each RF chain/beam can be designed to steer in a specific direction with desired beamwidth by appropriate analog beamformer weights.



Fig. 1. System model with hybrid beamforming and switchable sensing and communication RF chains. In this particular instance, only two RF chains/beams are assigned to sensing and the rest to communication.

B. Signal Model

Let M RF chains be allocated to sensing at a particular instant of time T, i.e, $R_s(T) = M$. Let $\mathbf{s}(t) = [s_1(t), s_2(t), \ldots, s_M(t)]^T$ be the M radar waveforms, where $s_i(t)$ is the i^{th} waveform. M analog beamformers are designed such that they cover the entire FOV. The i^{th} beamformer can be constructed as

$$\mathbf{b}(\theta_i) = \left[1, e^{-j2\pi \frac{dsin\theta_i}{\lambda}}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d2sin\theta_i}{\lambda}}, ..., e^{-j2\pi \frac{d(L-1)sin\theta_i}{\lambda}}\right]^T,$$
(1)

where $\theta_i = \left(-90 + (i+1)\frac{180}{(M+1)}\right)$ degrees is the *i*th beam direction, λ is the wavelength and *d* is the element spacing.

The signal transmitted by the m^{th} analog beamformer, $\mathbf{b}(\theta_m)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_m(t) = \mathbf{b}(\theta_m) s_m(t),\tag{2}$$

and the signal transmitted by all the beams together can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{BS}(t), \in \mathbb{C}^{LM \times 1}$$
(3)

where \mathbf{B} is the beamforming matrix given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{diag}([\mathbf{b}(\theta_1))^T, \dots, \mathbf{b}(\theta_M)^T)]^T)$$
(4)

and

$$\mathbf{S}(t) = \left(\mathbf{s}(t) \otimes \mathbf{1}_L\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{ML \times 1},\tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_L$ is a $L \times 1$ vector of ones and \otimes is the Kronecker product.

The signal received by the receiver antenna in the presence of K targets is given by

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k \mathbf{a}^H(\phi_k) \mathbf{x}(t - \tau_k),$$
(6)

where α_k is the strength of the k^{th} target response, $\mathbf{a}(\phi_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{ML \times 1}$ is the steering vector for the k^{th} target at an angle ϕ_k as seen from the radar transmit array and τ_k is the time delay associated with k^{th} target.

C. Problem Formulation

As noted above, number of RF chains, or equivalently number of beams, is the primary currency for dynamically scaling between communication and sensing in our system model. In instances where the base station gives precedence to communication over sensing, i.e $R_c >> R_s$, the number of available beams for sensing is insufficient to cover the entire FOV. To illustrate such a scenario, we consider an example where $R_T = 30$ RF chains are available in the system. At some time instant, suppose $R_s = 11$ RF chains are allocated for radar sensing and $R_c = 19$ for communication. Each RF chain in turn feeds L = 11 antenna elements through the analog beamformer. The 11 sensing beams can initially cover the entire FOV as shown in Fig. 2 (a).

When communication takes precedence at a different time instant, if the number of RF chains allocated for sensing is reduced to $R_s = 5$, the resulting beam patterns, as shown in Fig. 2 (b) are insufficient to cover the FOV and nulls emerge in some directions. The entire FOV is covered when the elements per RF chain is comparable with the number of beams. The decrease in R_s , with L unchanged, gives rise to beam nulls.

Targets present in those null directions either go undetected, or the radar has to sense these directions in the next time slot using time division multiplexing. Alternatively, the beam patterns have to be redesigned. Array synthesis techniques for redesigning the beam patterns involve solving expensive optimization problems and is not suitable in a dynamic scenario.



Fig. 2. Beam pattern over the entire FOV for (a) $R_s = 11$ and L = 11, (b) $R_s = 5$ and L = 11. Beam nulls observed at approximately $\pm 10 \text{ deg}, \pm 20 \text{ deg}$ and $\pm 43 \text{ deg}$.

III. PROPOSED TRANSMIT BEAMFORMING

With the coverage over the entire FOV being the priority when the number of available beams is reduced, widening the beamwidth of each RF chain is needed. We propose to employ well-known windowing techniques on the analog beamformer. Analogous to the broadening of the frequency spectrum due to temporal windowing, the proposed window on the analog beamformer widens the beamwidth per RF chain, thus enabling the coverage of the entire FOV.

A. Windowed Transmit Beamforming

We first define a window function $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1}$ that will be applied to each analog beamformer. The windowed beamforming weights are given by $\overline{\mathbf{b}}(\theta_i) = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{b}(\theta_i)$. The signal received by the MISO radar receiver (that can be generalized to all receivers of MIMO radar) is subject to M matched filters corresponding to the signals transmitted in the M beams. The output of the m^{th} matched filter is given by

$$z_{m}(t) = s_{m}^{*}(-t) * y(t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{a}^{H}(\phi_{k}) \overline{\mathbf{b}}_{m} u_{m}(t - \tau_{k})$$

$$= \overline{\mathbf{b}}_{m}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{a}^{*}(\phi_{k}) u_{m}(t - \tau_{k}),$$

$$= \overline{\mathbf{b}}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{*}(\Phi) \mathbf{u}(t),$$
(7)

where $\mathbf{A}(\Phi) = [\mathbf{a}(\phi_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}(\phi_K)]$ is the array manifold matrix with $\phi_{kk=1}^K \in \Phi, u_m(t - \tau_k) = \gamma_m \ \delta(t - \tau_k)$ if correlation with m^{th} source signal is good or 0 otherwise and $\mathbf{u}(t) = [u_m(t - \tau_1), \dots, u_m(t - \tau_K)]^T$.

Since all source signals have similar correlation properties, the output of the M matched filters can be compactly written as

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \mathbf{B}_w^T \mathbf{A}^*(\Phi) \mathbf{u}(t), \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_w = [\overline{\mathbf{b}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{b}}_M]$ is the beamspace transmit matrix.

From $\mathbf{z}(t)$ acquired over multiple pulse responses, the covariance matrix $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{zz}$ can be estimated. Conventional techniques from Capon's estimator to subspace techniques [15] [16] [17] can be employed for direction-of-arrival (DOA) estimation. In this study, we employ Capon's estimator whose angular spectrum is given by

$$\mathbf{P}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}^{H}(\theta)\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{zz}^{-1}\mathbf{a}(\theta)}.$$
(9)

The peaks in this spectrum provide the target directions.

B. Effect of Windowing

The use of a window in the time domain is well known to widen the signal bandwidth in the frequency domain. Likewise, when the element-space signal across an array is windowed, the beamwidth of the same in the angular domain widens. Therefore, the output of the analog beamformer in (2) is modified to

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_m(t) = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{x}_m(t) = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{b}(\theta_m)s_m(t).$$
(10)

We illustrate the effect of this windowing in the angular space by considering a scenario with 5 RF chains available to cover the entire FOV and each RF chain feeding 11 antenna elements through the beamformer. Fig. 3 shows the coverage of beams over the FOV without and with windowing. The beams constructed without a window in Fig. 3(a) exhibit nulls in some directions and sidelobes in between the beams. On the contrary, the beams constructed with windowing in Fig. 3(b) have wider beamwidth avoiding the sidelobes and the nulls.



Fig. 3. Beam pattern over the entire FOV for $R_s = 5$ and L = 11. (a) Without Windowing (b) With Windowing.

C. Window Choices

The design of window is important since it has to balance the beam pattern width and the beamforming gain. Among the several available choices, we enlist only two windows here:

1) Dolph Chebyshev window: The Dolph Chebyshev window minimizes the Chebyshev norm of the side lobes for a given main lobe width. The frequency domain Dolph Chebyshev window with the parameter β , is given by

$$W[k] = \frac{T_N\left(\beta \cos\left(\frac{\pi k}{N+1}\right)\right)}{T_N\left(\beta\right)}, \quad 0 \le k \le N, \qquad (11)$$

where $T_N(x)$ is the N^{th} order Chebyshev polynomial evaluated at x.

2) *Kaiser window:* The Kaiser window, with the parameter β , is given by

$$w[n] = \frac{I_0 \left(\beta \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{n - \frac{N}{2}}{\frac{N}{2}}\right)^2}\right)}{I_0\left(\beta\right)}, \quad 0 \le n \le N, \qquad (12)$$

where I_0 is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function of the first kind. β controls the relative sidelobe attenuation and can be used as the controllable parameter for adjusting the window.

For both these windows, beam patterns with different beamwidths and sidelobe attenuation can be obtained by varying β alone.

D. Window Application

Currently available beamforming chips permit programming both the amplitude and phase of the beamforming weights. In a dynamic scenario, the number of RF chains available for sensing can vary from 1 to R_T , and accordingly, the beam directions are set. In practice, the required SNR is also typically discrete. For all combinations of the number of RF chains and SNR or equivalently beam width, the windowed beamformer weights can be pre-constructed with appropriate choice of window parameter. These weights can be saved in the chip memory as a look-up table. Based on the number of RF chains assigned for sensing at a given time, the DFRC system fetches the windowed weights from the appropriate memory location and applies to each RF chain in real-time.

IV. SIMULATION STUDIES

In order to evaluate the proposed beamspace sensing strategy for DFRC systems, we consider the earlier setup with five RF chains allocated to sensing at the base station transmitter. Each RF chain is connected to 11 antenna elements through an analog beamformer.

To study the cumulative effect of all the five beams, we first observe the SNR at the receiver for a target positioned in each direction within the FOV of [-60, 60] deg. The sensing performance of the analog beamformer weights without and with the proposed windowing technique are compared. Kaiser window is employed for this study with $\beta = 0.5, 7.0, 9.0$ and 11.0. The plot of SNR against azimuth direction without and with windowing is shown in Fig. 4.

For $\beta = 0.5$, we note that the received SNR from all directions is almost identical with the non-window case since the window almost resembles a rectangular window. As β is increased, it is observed that the SNR in the directions between the beams also increase, but with a compromise on the received SNR along the beam directions.

For $\beta = 7.0, 9.0$ and 11.0, we note that the SNR in beamnull directions is greater than in the case without window. This indicates that the target detection probability and the estimation accuracy for these cases will be superior to the case without using a window. This is verified with a Monte-Carlo simulation run over 200 iterations. The probability of



Fig. 4. SNR (dB) plotted against directions for non-windowed and windowed cases with various β values.

detection (PD) and the root mean-squared error (RMSE) of the target estimates are studied over the entire FOV. When the target angular estimate differs by more than 10 deg, we declare not detected. The PD and RMSE are plotted against the FOV in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.



Fig. 5. Probability of Detection plotted against directions for nonwindowed and windowed cases with various β values.



Fig. 6. RMSE plotted against directions for non-windowed and windowed cases with various β values.

From Fig. 5 we note that the beamformer without window fails to detect targets in some directions. These directions cor-

respond to the positions of the nulls in the corresponding plot in Fig. 4. The RMSE in these directions is observed in Fig. 6 to be high for the beamformer without window. The proposed technique with $\beta = 7.0$ has superior probability of detection with a marginal compromise in the RMSE. The performance of the windowed beamformer with $\beta \ge 9.0$ outperforms the rest with superior PD and RMSE. This confirms that the use of windowed analog beamformer enables sensing in all directions in a single search with appropriate choice of β . As β increases, the beampattern approaches that of an omni-directional array pattern.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we considered a system and signal model in which RF chains, or equivalently, beams can be dynamically allocated to communication and sensing. In such a system, when communication is prioritized over sensing, suboptimal beam allocation for sensing can lead to beam nulls within the field-of-view (FOV). We proposed that well-known windowing techniques can be used on the beamformer to widen the beams thus ensuring coverage of the entire FOV. Additionally, we demonstrated that parameterized window provides an easy handle for controlling the beam width based on operating SNR threshold requirements over the FOV and they can be easily realized in practice using pre-constructed lookup tables.

REFERENCES

- L. Zheng, M. Lops, Y. C. Eldar, and X. Wang, "Radar and communication coexistence: An overview: A review of recent methods," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 85–99, 2019.
- [2] A. Hassanien, M. G. Amin, E. Aboutanios, and B. Himed, "Dualfunction radar communication systems: A solution to the spectrum congestion problem," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 36, pp. 115–126, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://api.semanticscholar.org/ CorpusID:202560640
- [3] F. Liu, C. Masouros, A. P. Petropulu, H. Griffiths, and L. Hanzo, "Joint radar and communication design: Applications, state-of-the-art, and the road ahead," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 3834–3862, 2020.
- [4] F. Liu, L. Zhou, C. Masouros, A. Li, W. Luo, and A. P. Petropulu, "Toward dual-functional radar-communication systems: Optimal waveform design," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 66, pp. 4264–4279, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://api. semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:4139491
- [5] C. Sturm and W. Wiesbeck, "Waveform design and signal processing aspects for fusion of wireless communications and radar sensing," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 1236–1259, 2011.
- [6] F. Sohrabi and W. Yu, "Hybrid digital and analog beamforming design for large-scale antenna arrays," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 501–513, 2016.
- [7] A. F. Molisch, V. V. Ratnam, S. Han, Z. Li, S. L. H. Nguyen, L. Li, and K. Haneda, "Hybrid beamforming for massive mimo - a survey," 2017. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05078
- [8] S. S. Ioushua and Y. C. Eldar, "Hybrid analog-digital beamforming for massive mimo systems," arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.03485, 2017.
- [9] D. Bliss and K. Forsythe, "Multiple-input multiple-output (mimo) radar and imaging: degrees of freedom and resolution," in *The Thrity-Seventh Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems & Computers, 2003*, vol. 1, 2003, pp. 54–59 Vol.1.
- [10] J. Li and P. Stoica, "Mimo radar with colocated antennas," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 106–114, 2007.
- [11] F. Belfiori, W. van Rossum, and P. Hoogeboom, "Random transmission scheme approach for a fmcw tdma coherent mimo radar," in 2012 IEEE Radar Conference. IEEE, 2012, pp. 0178–0183.
- [12] E. Billam, "The problem of time in phased array radar," in Radar 97 (Conf. Publ. No. 449), 1997, pp. 563–575.
- [13] A. B. Gershman, "Robust adaptive beamforming in sensor arrays," Int. J. Electron. Commun., vol. 53, pp. 305–314, 1999.
- [14] S. E. Nai, W. Ser, Z. L. Yu, and H. Chen, "Beampattern synthesis for linear and planar arrays with antenna selection by convex optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 58, no. 12, pp. 3923–3930, 2010.
- [15] V. Pisarenko, "On the estimation of spectra by means of non-linear functions of the covariance matrix," *Geophysical Journal International*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 511–531, 1972.
- [16] R. Schmidt, "Multiple emitter location and signal parameter estimation," *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 276–280, 1986.
- [17] P. Stoica, P. Händel, and T. Söderström, "Study of capon method for array signal processing," *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 749–770, 1995.
- [18] T. Jeffrey and T. Jeffrey, *Phased-Array Radar Design: Application of Radar Fundamentals*, ser. Electromagnetics and Radar. Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2009.
- [19] H. L. Van Trees, Optimum array processing: Part IV of detection, estimation, and modulation theory. John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- [20] D. R. Fuhrmann and G. San Antonio, "Transmit beamforming for mimo radar systems using signal cross-correlation," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 171–186, 2008.
- [21] P. Stoica, J. Li, and Y. Xie, "On probing signal design for mimo radar," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 4151–4161, 2007.
- [22] X. Liu, T. Huang, N. Shlezinger, Y. Liu, J. Zhou, and Y. C. Eldar, "Joint transmit beamforming for multiuser mimo communications and mimo radar," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 68, pp. 3929–3944, 2020.
- [23] C. Kim, T. Kim, and J.-Y. Seol, "Multi-beam transmission diversity with hybrid beamforming for mimo-ofdm systems," in 2013 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps). IEEE, 2013, pp. 61–65.

- [24] M. A. Richards, *Fundamentals of radar signal processing*. McGraw-Hill Education, 2014.
- [25] F. Harris, "On the use of windows for harmonic analysis with the discrete fourier transform," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 51–83, 1978.
- [26] K. M. M. Prabhu, Window functions and their applications in signal processing. Taylor & Francis, 2014.
- [27] J. F. Kaiser, "Nonrecursive digital filter design using window function," in *Proceedings of the 1974 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits* and Systems. IEEE, 1974, pp. 20–23.
- [28] C. Dolph, "A current distribution for broadside arrays which optimizes the relationship between beam width and side-lobe level," *Proceedings* of the IRE, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 335 – 348, 1946.
- [29] A. M. Elbir, K. V. Mishra, S. A. Vorobyov, and R. W. Heath, "Twentyfive years of advances in beamforming: From convex and nonconvex optimization to learning techniques," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 118–131, 2023.