

Dark photons and tachyonic instability induced by Barbero-Immirzi parameter and axion-torsion transmutation

Zhi-Fu Gao¹

Biaopeng Li¹²

L.C. Garcia de Andrade³

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate Holst gravity by examining two distinct examples. The first example involves minimal coupling to torsion, while the second explores non-minimal coupling. The motivation for the first example stems from the recent work by Dombriz et al. (Phys. Lett. B, 834:137488, 2022), which utilized a technique of imposing constraint constant coefficients to massive torsion in the model Lagrangian to determine parameters for the Einstein-Cartan-Holst gravity. We extend this methodology to investigate dark photons, where axial torsion transforms into axions. Interest in elucidating the abundance of dark photons within the framework of general relativity was sparked by Agrawal et al. (Phys. Lett. B, 801:135136, 2020). Building on the work of Barman et al. (Phys. Rev. D. 101: 075017, 2020), who explored minimal coupling of massive torsion mediated by dark matter (DM) with light torsion on the order of 1.7 TeV, we have derived a Barbero-Immirzi (BI) parameter of approximately 0.775. This value falls within the range established by Panza et al. at TeV scales, specifically $0 \leq \beta \leq 1.185$ (Phys. Rev. D. 90:125007, 2014). This seems to our knowledge the first time BI parameter is induced by dark photons on a minimal EC gravity. Very recently, implications of findings of BI parameter in cosmological bounces has appeared in the literature (Phys. Dark. Univ. 44:141078, 2024). For a smaller BI

¹Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, Xinjiang, 830011, China, zhifugao@xao.ac.cn;

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China;

³Cosmology and gravitation group, Departamento de Física Teórica - IF - UERJ - Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Maracanã, CEP:20550. Institute for Cosmology and philosophy of Nature, Croatia. e-mail:luizandra795@gmail.com

parameter a higher torsion mass of 1.51 TeV is obtained. Nevertheless, this figure is still a signature of light torsion which can be compatible with light dark photon masses. Magnetic helicity instability of dark photons is investigated. Axion oscillation frequency is shown to depend on the BI parameter and the BI spectra is determined by an histogram. This study not only broadens the understanding of Holst gravity but also provides crucial insights into the interplay between torsion, dark photons, and axions in the cosmological context.

1 Introduction

Previously Barman et al. [1], following results by Belayev et al. [2] and Almeida et al. [3], investigated the mediation of dark fermionic matter by torsion. They used data from hadron physics colliders in the channels of quarks and anti-quarks. More recently, Rufrano and Lambiase have shown that when the Barbero-Immirzi (BI) parameter [4] comes into play in the realm of Holst gravity⁴, it could potentially solve some physical issues related to matter and antimatter. Previous cosmological bounce solutions of the Holst gravity term in the Einstein-Cartan (EC) action with contact spin-spin four-fermion interactions have been considered, leading up to more recent bouncing solutions in fermion condensates by Tukhanashvilli and Steinhardt [5]. The BI parameter was previously found by Panza et al. [6] at TeV scale hadron colliders such as Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Garcia de Andrade has very recently discovered dark torsion oscillations in bouncing cosmological settings [7, 8].

In this paper, following the hadron collider data as in Barman et al. [1] and the findings of the BI parameter in several frameworks—such as matter-antimatter asymmetry [4] and as a dark torsion candidate in Einstein-Cartan-Holst (ECH) gravity [9] by Dombriz et al. [10], we show that the BI parameter can be constrained to small estimates of 0.803, which is shown to be compatible with the light torsion of 1.7 TeV found by Barman et al. [1]. To obtain this estimate, we utilize Shapiro’s [11] minimal coupling between fermions and torsion, which can be expressed in terms of Dirac matrices by the coupling rule

$$\partial_k \rightarrow [\nabla_k + i\eta_1\gamma^5 S_k + i\eta_2 T_k]. \quad (1)$$

In this new operator, ∇_k represents the covariant Riemannian derivative, η_A (where $(A = 1, 2)$) represents the coupling of the axial pseudo-vector $S_i = \epsilon_{ijkl} T^{jkl}$ ($i, j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and T_{ijk} represents the components of the Cartan torsion tensor. The other coupling is associated with the trace of torsion given by $T_k = T_{kj}^j$, and γ^5 is the gamma-5 matrix in Dirac algebra.

⁴Holst gravity is an extension of general relativity proposed by Peter Holst in 1971. It modifies the Palatini action by adding a topological term known as the Nieh-Yan term, resulting in a new dynamically equivalent form. It is dynamically equivalent to standard general relativity in the absence of torsion. Holst gravity is significant in quantum gravity theories, particularly in Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG). The Hamiltonian formulation of Holst gravity is related to Ashtekar variables, which reformulate general relativity as a special type of Yang-Mills gauge theory.

A fundamental ingredient in this paper is the investigation of dark photons, recently studied in terms of their abundance in the universe by Agrawal et al. [12]. Here, we show that their presence helps us to determine the coefficients in the Lagrangian density of the model. Since we are using Holst gravity, we have both terms of the torsion vector and pseudo-vector. By applying the operator (1) to the axial torsion S_k , one gets

$$\partial_k S^k \rightarrow [\nabla_k S^k + i\eta_1 \gamma^5 S^2 + i\eta_2 T_k S^k]. \quad (2)$$

Note that the third term on the right of the above equation, the Holst parity-violating term, appears naturally. Thus, it is clear that this operator helps us significantly in obtaining the estimates for the BI parameter induced by the dark photons. It is interesting to note that by Cartan equation the S^2 term is equivalent to the four-fermion interaction term J_5^2 of four-chiral fermion. This allows us to determine the BI parameters induced by the minimal torsion coupling to fermions. We shall review Holst gravity and obtain several coefficients from the Lagrangian. A similar expression will be obtained for torsion trace T and its respective mass as M_T . Holst term is given by: $\mathcal{H} = \epsilon^{ijkl} R_{ijkl}$. Throughout this paper, we use $i, k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and R_{ijkl} are the components of torsion. Throughout this paper, we use $i, j, k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and R_{ijkl} are the components of torsion. Recently, Karananas et al. [13] formulated a purely gravitational origin for axions in minimal EC, incorporating affine connection with torsion trace. In this paper, using Holst gravity, we derive simpler Lagrangians bounded by LHC hadron collider experiment proposals for torsion detection by Almeida et al. [3]. For this purpose, we assume the Riemannian metric $g_{ij} \approx \eta_{ij}$, where η_{ij} is the Minkowski 4-dimensional flat metric.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes a technique used to derive field Lagrangians and coefficients from field equations, which has been employed to study dark matter from Higgs inflation. By extending the Einstein-Cartan-Holst action to the Einstein-Cartan-Holst-Zanelli action, this approach addresses the coupling between the Z boson mass spectrum and torsion, offering potential insights for experimental detection at CERN-LHC. Section 3 explores the impact of axion transmutation into torsion in Holst gravity, specifically examining how torsion and axion masses influence magnetic helicity instability, and also derives equations for dark photon interactions, highlighting the role of torsion mass and BI parameter in axion oscillations and dark photon frequency. Section 4 is left for summary and outlook.

2 Einstein-Cartan-Holst Lagrangian for dark photons

The purpose of this section is to present a simple technique used by several authors to obtain field Lagrangians and determine coefficients from the corresponding field equations. This technique has been employed by Rigouzzo and Zell [14] to obtain dark matter from Higgs inflation. By extending the ECH action to Einstein-Cartan-Holst-Zanelli (ECHZ) action, it addresses problems such as finding the coupling constant between the Z boson's mass spectrum and torsion, potentially offering a way to detect observable parameters at CERN-LHC. Here, we assume a minimal coupling between the Z boson and torsion via the Holst term. Let us consider the ECHZ action given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{ECHZ} = \mathcal{L}_{EC} + \mathcal{L}_H + \mathcal{L}_{NY}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{ECHZ} is composed of the Einstein-Cartan Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{EC} , the Holst term \mathcal{L}_H , and the Nieh-Yan term \mathcal{L}_{NY} , which describes specific topological effects in the theory, often relating to the coupling of torsion and curvature in ways that are topologically invariant. Within this general framework, the Lagrangian for the dark photon γ' can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma'} \supset \frac{1}{2}(a_0 F^2 + a_2 a F \tilde{F} + \frac{a_3}{2}(Da)^2 + a_4 e^2 A^2), \quad (4)$$

where \tilde{F} is the dual of the electromagnetic form F . When we substitute the expression for covariant derivative D , which is

$$D = \partial - ieA - ig\gamma_5 - ifT, \quad (5)$$

where ∂ is the standard partial derivative representing differentiation in flat spacetime, $-ieA$ presents the interaction with the electromagnetic potential A , $-ig\gamma_5$ accounts for interaction with an axial vector field, $-ifT$ represents the interaction with the torsion field, g and f are the coupling constants, then we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma'} \sim -\frac{1}{2}a_1 F^2 + a_2 a F \tilde{F} + [\frac{a_3}{2} + a_5 g^2 + ia_1 g \gamma_5](\partial a)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_a^2 a^2. \quad (6)$$

where the first term represents the kinetic energy of the electromagnetic field F , describing its propagation and interactions in space; the second term illustrates the interaction between the scalar field a , the electromagnetic field,

and its dual, introducing effects that can break certain symmetries, the third term describes the kinetic energy of the scalar field, including modifications due to interactions with other fields, thereby explaining the field's dynamics, and the fourth term is the mass term for the scalar field, crucial for determining its mass properties and behavior. Now, considering the torsion Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \left[\frac{1}{\beta} (a_7 + ia_{11}fg)T + a_{10}egA\gamma^5 \right] \partial a + a_4 e^2 A^2 + a_6 f^2 T^2. \quad (7)$$

The axial torsion S is given, following Duncan et al. [16] by ∂a as a transmutation rule between axion and torsion. The variation of the torsion trace T of the sum of the Lagrangians is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_T + \mathcal{L}_{a\gamma'}. \quad (8)$$

The relation between the torsion trace and axion [15] is not given a priori, but derived from the field equations. From the variation of the Lagrangian, we derive the relationship between the torsion trace T and the axion field a ,

$$T = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{a_7 + ia_{11}fg}{a_6 f^2} \right] \partial a. \quad (9)$$

This equation shows that the torsion trace is proportional to the derivative of the axion field, with the proportionality factor involving the coupling constants β, a_7, a_{11}, f and a_6 . Assuming all coefficients a_A (where $A = 1, \dots, 11$) are real, the BI parameter is real, and if f and g are real numbers, we assume that a_{11} vanishes. Therefore, Equation (9) simplifies to

$$T = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{a_7}{a_6 f^2} \partial a. \quad (10)$$

This equation can be rewritten in terms of the oscillatory term as

$$\partial T = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{a_7}{a_6 f^2} \square a. \quad (11)$$

The step from $\partial(\partial a)$ to $\square a$ assumes that we are working within the context of field equations where the d'Alembertian operator is a common notation. The expression (11) will be substituted into the axion equation to derive an

expression dependent on the Immirzi parameter but independent of T . By varying δa , one gets

$$\left[1 + M_S^2 + a_5 g^2 + i a_1 g \gamma^5 - \frac{a_7}{2 a_6 \beta^2 f^2}\right] \square a + m_a^2 a = -a_2 F \tilde{F}, \quad (12)$$

where a_3 is taken as the square of the axial torsion pseudo-vector S . The term $i a_1 g \gamma^5$ includes an imaginary unit i , the coupling constant a_1 , another coupling constant g , and γ^5 , which is related to the axial vector field interaction, and $-\frac{a_7}{2 a_6 \beta^2 f^2}$ represents a correction to the interaction term.

Next, by varying the electromagnetic (EM) potential A , we obtain

$$\partial F - a_2 S \tilde{F} - 2 a_4 e^2 A - a_{10} e g \gamma_5 S = J^5, \quad (13)$$

where we consider the torsion coupling constant a_{10} to be zero to simplify the equation. The chiral current J_5 arises from the term $J_5 A$ in the interaction Lagrangian. From Equation (13), if we do not want torsion to couple directly with electromagnetic fields or only in a fermionic current, we must choose $a_{10} = 0$. However, this forces a_2 to vanish as well.

Now, let's determine the coefficients from the above equations. Starting with the EM equations, we notice that the coefficient

$$a_4 = -\frac{m_{\gamma'}^2}{e^2}. \quad (14)$$

This expression is interesting as it is analogous to the expressions for torsion-fermion couplings presented by arman et al. [1]. From the total Lagrangian, we can show that

$$a_2 = \frac{g a \gamma'}{f_a}, \quad (15)$$

where f_a is the axion decaying constant into photons. Additionally, we obtain the following coefficients

$$a_6 = \frac{M_T^2}{f^2}, \quad (16)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{M_S^2}{g^2}. \quad (17)$$

Another important coefficient can be determined from the equation for torsion oscillations, which is significant in dark torsion in bouncing cosmologies [5]. This coefficient is

$$a_7 = \frac{1(\text{TeV})}{\beta M_T^2}, \quad (18)$$

allowing us to estimate the loop quantum gravity-inspired BI parameter β provided we have a_7 . Inverting this equation yields

$$M_S^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\beta M_T^2}. \quad (19)$$

Now notice that Equation (19) can still be expressed as

$$(M_S M_T)^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\beta}, \quad (20)$$

where we take $a_7 = 1$. From this generalized ECH Lagrangian, assuming the average torsion trace and axial masses are approximately equal, we may express a final interesting formula case as

$$M^4 = \frac{1}{2\beta^2}, \quad (21)$$

which reduces to

$$M^2 \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}\beta}. \quad (22)$$

Here, M denotes the average mass, either for torsion trace or axial torsion masses. Using this expression, we can calculate the torsion mass from the BI parameter and vice-versa. For example, consider the case by Panza et al. [6], with an upper bound of the BI parameter equal to 1.185. Substituting this into Equation (21) yields $M = 0.775 \text{ TeV} = 775 \text{ GeV}$. Compared to the Higgs boson mass of around 125 GeV, this shows that the massive torsion is much heavier than the Higgs boson, yet 0.775 TeV is still considered light torsion. Using the result obtained by the author, we estimate 0.285 for the BI parameter, implying an average torsion mass of 1.51 TeV. Although heavier than the torsion produced by Panza et al. [6] at TeV scales with a quadratic Lagrangian in the Riemann-Cartan curvature, it is still considered light torsion. It is noteworthy that at the EC limit, when the BI parameter approaches infinity, there is no torsion mass in the original form of EC theory by Cartan, Trautman, Sciama, and Kibble. This constant is better understood by considering analogous expressions obtained by Barman et al. [1], taking into account the interaction Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\frac{\eta^2}{M_S^2} (\bar{f} \gamma^i \gamma^5 f)^2, \quad (23)$$

yielding

$$\frac{M_s}{\eta} \geq 1.7 \text{ TeV}, \quad (24)$$

where η is the torsion fermion coupling constant given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x (\bar{f} \gamma^i D_i f - m f \tilde{f}). \quad (25)$$

We also note that the four-dimensional curl S_{ij} is expressed as

$$S_{ij} = 2\partial_{[i} S_{j]}, \quad (26)$$

which vanishes when the axial torsion vector is identified with the gradient of the axion field. This is interesting because, according to Carroll et al. [15], this term cannot be present along the S^2 simultaneously in the Lagrangian density due to renormalization issues. The main results are summarized in the following table. The Barbero-Immirzi parameter can be computed from

Einstein-Cartan	$M(\text{TeV})$	BI(LQG)
Panza et al (2016)	0.775	1.185
de Andrade (2024)	1.51	0.285

Table 1: Barbero-Immirzi (BI) parameter from light torsion masses (M) in distinct physical backgrounds

Equation (18) by taking the $a_7 = 1 \text{ TeV}^2$. This results in $\beta = 0.285$, well within the range obtained by Panza et al. [6] using a quadratic curvature Lagrangian at TeV scales, where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1.185$. From the well-known value of the BI parameter for black hole entropy in quantum gravity, 0.273, our formula yields a torsion mass of 1.71 TeV.

3 Dark photons and magnetic helicity instabilities in Holst gravity

Recently, Agrawal et al. [12] investigated the abundance of tachyonic instability and the production of dark photon dark matter in the universe as the axion oscillates. In this section, we consider the relation of axion transmutation into torsion in Holst gravity, as discussed by Duncan et al. [16], to study

the influence of torsion mass and axion mass on magnetic helicity instability. We start with the interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} \supset \frac{\phi}{4f_a} F_D \tilde{F}_D, \quad (27)$$

where f_a is the decay coupling of the axion to photons, and the subscript D on the electromagnetic tensor F indicates that we are talking about dark photons. To derive the dark photon equation with torsion masses in Holst gravity with non-minimal coupling, we write the Lagrangian with the Holst term and dark massive photon term as

$$\mathcal{L}_{Holst-\gamma'} \supset a(\partial\phi)^2 + bTS + cT^2 + dS^2 + e\phi F_D \tilde{F}_D + fA^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4}F^2, \quad (28)$$

where $a(\partial\phi)^2$ represents the kinetic energy of the axion field ϕ , bTS is the mixed Holst term, indicating the coupling between the torsion trace T and the axial torsion S , cT^2 describes the self-interaction of the torsion trace, representing the energy density of the torsion trace, $e\phi F_D \tilde{F}_D$ describes the self-interaction of the axial torsion, representing the energy density of the axial torsion, $e\phi F_D \tilde{F}_D$ represents the interaction between the axion field and the dark photon field⁵, fA^2 represents the mass term for the dark photon field, indicating the mass energy of the dark photon field, $-V(\phi)$ is the potential energy term for the axion field, and $\frac{1}{4}F^2$ represents the kinetic energy of the standard electromagnetic field. The coefficients are defined as

$$a = \frac{1}{2}; b = -\frac{m_P^2}{2\beta}; c = \frac{m_T^2}{2}; d = \frac{m_S^2}{2}; e = \frac{1}{4f_\phi}, \quad (29)$$

whereas the potential $V(\phi)$ is approximated by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{m_\phi^2}{2}. \quad (30)$$

Of course we also use Duncan et al.'s assumption in QED that $S = \partial\phi$ [16]. By analogy what we did in the last section we perform the variation of the last Lagrangian to T trace torsion vector to check the relationship between the trace torsion vector and axion ϕ . This results in

$$T = -\frac{b}{c}\partial\phi. \quad (31)$$

⁵Here, F_D is the electromagnetic tensor of the dark photon, and \tilde{F}_D is its dual tensor. This term indicates the coupling between the axion field and the dark photon field.

Equation (31) shows that the torsion trace four-vector is given by the gradient of the axion, similar to Duncan et al. [16], but now the coefficient is proportional to $\frac{m_P^2}{m_T^2}$. It is important to note that the vector T was not present in Duncan et al. [16]. Substituting Equation (31) into the Lagrangian, the mixed Holst term TS is replaced by a term proportional to the axion gradient. The presence of torsion in the field equations is represented solely by their mass spectrum as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma'\phi A} \supset g(\partial\phi)^2 + e\phi F_D \tilde{F}_D + fA_D^2 - \frac{m_\phi^2}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{1}{4}F^2, \quad (32)$$

where g constant now is expressed in terms of other constants by

$$g = [a(1 + \frac{1}{c}) + d - \frac{1}{2}\frac{b^2}{c}]. \quad (33)$$

The method used by Dombriz et al. [10] to compute the coefficient g of the final Lagrangian in terms of physical parameters can be applied here

$$g \approx \frac{1}{2}[1 - m_S^2 + \frac{m_P^4}{2\beta^2 m_T^2}]. \quad (34)$$

This expression can be further approximated to

$$g \approx \frac{1}{2}[-m_S^2 + \frac{m_P^4}{2\beta^2 m_T^2}]. \quad (35)$$

From Equation (34), the BI parameter of loop quantum gravity can be obtained by setting the sum of the second and third terms in g to zero. This will reduce the coefficient g to 1, as in the regular Klein-Gordon equation. The BI parameter then becomes

$$\sqrt{2\beta} = \frac{m_P^2}{m_T^2}, \quad (36)$$

where we have used the approximation $m_T \approx m_S$. Using the LHC table of axial torsion by Almeida et al. [3], we can create a histogram (Figure 1) for the BI parameter and the trace torsion mass in GeV.

Now let us build the both equations for coupling system of dark photon and axions which induce axion oscillations from tachyonic instability. By varying the Lagrangian with respect to ϕ , one obtains the axion equation

$$\square\phi + \frac{m_\phi^2}{g}\phi = \frac{e\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{g}. \quad (37)$$




Figure 1: The relation between the true BI parameter and the trace torsion mass. Here $\gamma = \beta^{-1}$.

Here, $\square\phi$ represents the d'Alembertian operator applied to the axion field ϕ , $\frac{m_\phi^2}{g}\phi$ is the mass term, and $\frac{e\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{B}}{g}$ represents the interaction term with the electromagnetic fields.

In the chirality-free case where the chiral term $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ vanishes, we can simplify and obtain

$$\omega_\phi = \frac{m_\phi}{\sqrt{g}}. \quad (38)$$

Here, ω_ϕ is the oscillation frequency of the axion. Since g can be expressed in terms of the BI parameter and torsion trace masses, the axion oscillation depends on the BI parameter and torsion mass. To obtain this solution, we use the following ansatz

$$\phi = \phi_0 \exp[i\omega_\phi t]. \quad (39)$$

This ansatz characterizes the oscillation of the axion. Next, we find the second part of the solution by approximating the equation as

$$\phi = \frac{\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{4m_\phi^2 f_\phi}. \quad (40)$$

From this expression, it is clear that to obtain the second axion solution, we need to explicitly determine the vector potential A , since the electric and

magnetic vector fields can be obtained as

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\psi - \partial_t\mathbf{A}, \quad (41)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}. \quad (42)$$

Here, ψ is the electric scalar potential and should not be confused with the axion field. The helicity parameter λ shall be given by the equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \lambda\mathbf{A}$. Therefore by substitution of these expressions into the right-hand side of Equation (39) one gets

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{4f_\phi m_\phi^2} \left[h + \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{A}^2 \right], \quad (43)$$

where $h = \mathbf{E}_0 \cdot \mathbf{A}$ is the magnetic helicity, and $\mathbf{E}_0 = -\nabla\psi$ is the electrostatic field. Since the chirality term is written in terms of the magnetic potential, to solve the magnetic wave field, we use the following equation

$$\partial_i F^{ij} + (\partial_i \phi) \tilde{F}^{ij} = m_{\gamma'}^2 A^i. \quad (44)$$

From this Maxwell-like equation one obtains

$$\ddot{\mathbf{A}} + \left[k^2 + m_{\gamma'}^2 - \frac{\dot{\phi}\lambda}{f_\phi} \right] \mathbf{A} = 0. \quad (45)$$

A simple solution can be obtained by using the approximation $\mathcal{O}(A^3) \approx 0$. With this approximation the time derivative of the axion scalar reduces to

$$\dot{\phi} = -\frac{\dot{h}}{4f_\phi m_\phi^2}. \quad (46)$$

Substitution of this expression into the magnetic wave equation yields

$$\ddot{\mathbf{A}} + \left[k^2 + m_\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda\dot{h}}{16f_\phi^2 m_\phi^4} \right] \mathbf{A} = 0. \quad (47)$$

From this expression we immediately derive the dark photon frequency as

$$\omega_D^2 = \left[k^2 + m_{\gamma'}^2 - \frac{\lambda\dot{h}}{16f_\phi^2 m_\phi^4} \right]. \quad (48)$$

From this expression one may notice that the dark photon frequency is severely damped if both helicities, h and λ have the same sign, and is enhanced in the other case. This relationship highlights the intricate interplay between the axion field and electromagnetic fields in influencing the properties of dark photons. Understanding this behavior is crucial for predicting observable effects in experiments aimed at detecting dark matter candidates, such as axions and dark photons. Future research may explore how variations in the BI parameter and torsion mass could provide deeper insights into the fundamental interactions within Holst gravity and their implications for cosmology and particle physics.

4 Summary and Outlook

In this paper, we introduced a new probe for the BI parameter in the context of Holst gravity with a portal to dark photons. Frequencies of axion and dark photons reveal that in Holst gravity, axions induced by torsion may be produced by the damping of dark photons. We notice that in our example, there seems to be a decoupling between torsion and axions, as torsion does not explicitly appear in the field equations, but this was masked by the constraints on the BI parameter, which appears in terms of Planck and torsion masses. Our findings reveal that if we do not assume the constraint chosen for the BI parameter, torsion masses would appear in the dark photon field equations. Moreover, the relationship between axion and torsion suggests a correlation between their masses, similar to the relationship between dark photon and axion masses, thereby implying a link between dark photon and torsion masses. This relationship has also been investigated by Gao et al. [17] in a recent study. This study may broaden the understanding of Holst gravity, as well as provide crucial insights into the interplay between torsion, dark photons, and axions in the cosmological context.

Future research should further explore these interactions to enhance our understanding of the complex behaviors within Holst gravity and their implications for cosmology and particle physics. Specifically, investigating the coupling between the Z boson mass spectrum and torsion within the extended Einstein-Cartan-Holst-Zanelli action could offer potential insights for experimental detection at CERN-LHC. Additionally, examining how torsion and axion masses influence magnetic helicity instability and deriving equations for dark photon interactions could provide valuable information on the

role of torsion mass and BI parameter in axion oscillations and dark photon frequency.

5 Acknowledgments

I thank S. Zell, M. Shaposhnikov and A. Nepomuceno for helpful discussions on the subject of this paper. Financial support from Universidade do Estado of Rio de Janeiro (UERJ) is gratefully acknowledged. This work was performed under the auspices of Major Science and Technology Program of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region through No.2022A03013-1.

References

- [1] B. Barman, T. Bhanja, D. Das, D. Maity, A minimal model of torsion mediated dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D.* 101, 075017 (2017).
- [2] A. S. Belayev, I. L. Shapiro, Torsion action and its possible observables, *Nuclear Phys B.* 543, 20 (1999).
- [3] de Almeida, F. M. L. and Nepomuceno, A. A. and do Vale, M. A. B., Torsion discovery potential and its discrimination at CERN LHC, *Phys. Rev. D.* 79, 01429 (2009).
- [4] S. Rufrano and G. Lambiase, Matter-antimatter asymmetry induced by Barbero-Immirzi parameter, *Phys. Lett. B.* 846, 138184 (2023).
- [5] G. Tukhanashvili and P. J. Steinhardt, Cosmological bounce induced by fermion condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 131, 091001 (2023).
- [6] Panza, N. and Rodrigues, H. and Cocuroci, D. and Helayël-Neto, J. A., Discussion on possible effects of the Barbero-Immirzi parameter at the TeV-scale particle physics, *Phys. Rev. D.* 90, 125007 (2014).
- [7] L. C. Garcia de Andrade, Einstein–Cartan–Holst–Proca dynamo massive photons as dark matter and GWs, *Canadian. J. Phys.* 101, 2 (2023).
- [8] L. C. Garcia de Andrade, Dark torsion oscillations and bounces induced by BI and quantum corrections on EC gravity with very light inflatons, 2024. *Phys. Dark Univ.* 44, 141078 (2024).

- [9] S. Holst, Barbero's Hamiltonian derived from a generalized Hilbert-Palatini action, *Phys. Rev. D.* 53, 5966 (1996).
- [10] A. Dombritz, F. Torralba, D. F. Mota, Dark matter candidate from torsion, *Phys. Lett. B.* 834, 137488 (2022).
- [11] I. L. Shapiro, Physical aspects of spacetime torsion, *Phys. Rep.* 357, 113 (2002).
- [12] S. Agrawal, N. Kitajima, M. Reece, T. Sekiguchi, Relic Abundance of Dark Photon Dark Matter, *Phys. Lett. B.* 801, 135136 (2020).
- [13] G. Karananas, M. Shaposhnikov and S. Zell. Weyl-invariant Einstein-Cartan gravity: Unifying the strong CP and hierarchy puzzles, *JHEP.* 11, 146 (2024).
- [14] C. Rigouzzo and S. Zell, Coupling metric-affine gravity to the standard model and dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D.* 108, 124067 (2023).
- [15] C. Carroll and G. B. Field, Consequences of propagation torsion in connection dynamical theory of gravity, *Phys. Rev. D.* 50, 3867 (1994).
- [16] M. Duncan, N. Kaloper and K. Olive, Axion hair and dynamical torsion from anomalies, *Nucl. Phys. B.* 387, 215 (1992).
- [17] Z. F. Gao and L. C. Garcia de Andrade, Axion-photon-mixing dark matter conversion mediated by torsion mass constrained by the Barbero-Immirzi parameter, *arXiv:2412.05982*, (2024).