

# A Tutorial on Non-Terrestrial Networks: Towards Global and Ubiquitous 6G Connectivity

Muhammad Ali Jamshed, Aryan Kaushik, Sanaullah Manzoor,  
Muhammad Zeeshan Shakir, Jaehyup Seong, Mesut Toka, Wonjae Shin, and  
Malte Schellmann

## Abstract

The International Mobile Telecommunications (IMT)-2030 framework recently adopted by the International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R) envisions 6G networks to deliver intelligent, seamless connectivity that supports reliable, sustainable, and resilient communications. To achieve this vision, Non-Terrestrial Networks (NTN) represent a significant advancement by extending connectivity beyond the Earth's surface. These networks integrate advanced communication

M. A. Jamshed is with the College of Science and Engineering, University of Glasgow, UK (e-mail: muhammadali.jamshed@glasgow.ac.uk).

A. Kaushik is with the Department of Computing and Mathematics, Manchester Metropolitan University, UK (e-mail: a.kaushik@ieee.org).

Sanaullah Manzoor is with the School of Computing, Engineering and Built Environment, Glasgow Caledonian University, UK (e-mail: sanaullah.manzoor@gcu.ac.uk).

Muhammad Zeeshan Shakir is with the with the School of Computing, Engineering, and Physical Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK (e-mail: muhammad.shakir@uws.ac.uk).

J. Seong and W. Shin are with the School of Electrical Engineering, Korea University, South Korea (e-mail: {jaehyup, wjshin}@korea.ac.kr).

M. Toka is with the Department of Electronics Engineering, Gebze Technical University, Türkiye (e-mail: mtoka@gtu.edu.tr).

M. Schellmann is with Huawei Munich Research Center, Germany (email: malte.schellmann@huawei.com).

technologies that go beyond conventional terrestrial infrastructure, enabling comprehensive global connectivity across domains such as the Internet, Internet of Things (IoT), navigation, disaster recovery, remote access, Earth observation, and even scientific initiatives like interplanetary communication. Recent developments in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) Releases 17-19, particularly within the Radio Access Network (RAN)4 working group addressing satellite and cellular spectrum sharing and RAN2 enhancing New Radio (NR)/IoT for NTN, highlight the critical role NTN is set to play in the evolution of 6G standards. The integration of advanced signal processing, edge and cloud computing, and Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) for Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellites and aerial platforms, such as Uncrewed Aerial Vehicles (UAV) and high-, medium-, and low-altitude platform stations, has revolutionized the convergence of space, aerial, and Terrestrial Networks (TN). Artificial Intelligence (AI)-powered deployments for NTN and NTN-IoT, combined with Next Generation Multiple Access (NGMA) technologies, have dramatically reshaped global connectivity. This tutorial paper provides a comprehensive exploration of emerging NTN-based 6G wireless networks, covering vision, alignment with 5G-Advanced and 6G standards, key principles, trends, challenges, real-world applications, and novel problem solving frameworks. It examines essential enabling technologies like AI for NTN (LEO satellites and aerial platforms), DRL, edge computing for NTN, AI for NTN trajectory optimization, Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS)-enhanced NTN, and robust Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output (MIMO) beamforming. Furthermore, it addresses interference management through NGMA, including Rate-Splitting Multiple Access (RSMA) for NTN, and the use of aerial platforms for access, relay, and fronthaul/backhaul connectivity.

### **Index Terms**

Non-Terrestrial Networks (NTN), 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS), Next Generation Multiple Access (NGMA).

## I. ROADMAP TO 6G AND ROLE OF NTN: WHY NTN IS VITAL FOR THE EVOLUTION OF 6G NETWORKS?

In a world where 2.9 billion people remain without internet access, addressing the digital divide has never been more critical. This disparity is particularly pronounced among certain demographics; in ten countries across Africa, Asia, and South America, women are 30-50% less likely to use the Internet than men. Although cell technology is the most widely used communication system, it faces significant challenges, especially in rural areas, even in developed countries. Non-Terrestrial Networks (NTN) offer a promising solution to these challenges, introducing new ways to connect the unconnected and enhance global communication [1]–[3].

NTN refer to wireless communication systems operating above the Earth’s surface, utilizing satellites in Low Earth Orbit (LEO), Medium-Earth Orbit (MEO), and Geostationary Equatorial Orbit (GEO), as well as High Altitude Platform Stations (HAPS) and Uncrewed Aerial Vehicles (UAV) [4]–[6]. These elements are crucial to achieve uninterrupted coverage and extend connectivity to remote areas lacking traditional Terrestrial Network (TN) access. Currently, devices are classified into those connected to TN and those connected to satellites [7], [8]. This means that users who need satellite connections must use an additional device in conjunction with their smartphone. However, in an integrated system, all mobile devices will integrate both terrestrial and satellite access. As technology progresses, satellites are expected to function as Base Station (BS). NTN plays a crucial role in expanding global connectivity, supporting various industries, and advancing technological capabilities. Therefore, the interconnection and inter-operation between NTN and TN are of significant importance [9], [10].

6G is expected to offer significantly superior connectivity compared to earlier generations, featuring higher data rates, reduced latency, and improved reliability. NTN could supplement




Fig. 1: An illustration of convergence/co-existence of NTN and TN.

terrestrial 6G infrastructure by extending coverage to remote and under-served areas, where deploying traditional TN is challenging or economically impractical [11]–[14]. Within a 6G ecosystem, these NTN will operate alongside TN to provide seamless connectivity across various geographical regions, supporting initiatives such as the United Nations’ 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG). The integration of NTN within 6G networks will facilitate a wide range of new applications and use cases, many of which are extensions of current 5G applications, but have been limited by the performance constraints of existing networks. An illustration of the convergence and coexistence of NTN and TN is shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 2: An illustration of 3GPP timeline indicating the start of NTN incorporation and future perspective.

#### A. NTN Standardization in 3GPP

The 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) is the global standardization body of cellular radio systems and their core networks. It was constituted in 1998 by seven telecommunication standard development organizations, aiming to develop the 3G mobile standards in an internationally aligned format to leverage creation of a standards eco-system that would facilitate global scale and create an enduring platform for its future evolution. Thanks to its success, it continued its standardization work to date, while keeping its original name – even though we are far beyond the 3rd Generation radio standard by now, peeking towards 6G.

The work in 3GPP is structured into three Technical Specification Groups (TSGs), which are defined as

- Radio Access Network (RAN)

- Service & System Aspects (SA)
- Core Network & Terminals (CT)

Each of the TSGs is sub-structured into Working Groups (WGs), where each WG focuses on a different level of the network or system, respectively, and they are simply numbered serially (i.e., RAN1 to RAN5 and SA1 to SA5). The work in the WGs is carried out in so-called Study Items (SI) and Work Items (WI), where a SI constitutes preparatory and pre-evaluation work for topics and aspects that are aimed to be covered by future releases of the standard. The outcome of a SI is summarized in a Technical Report (TR), labeled with a specific 5-digit number in a 2-level format, e.g. 22.822. If a common consensus is reached to follow-up the work from the SI, typically a WI follows, where at the end a Technical Specification (TS) is published carrying its own 5-digit number.

The outcome of the standardization work is published in so-called Releases, where a Release provides a full functional description of the features and processes characterizing the cellular radio system and its core network. A Release consists of several TSs, which specify the normative requirements for the cellular radio system and are issued by the corresponding TSGs. A specific SI or WI, respectively, is usually defined for the duration of the working phase of a particular Release; hence, a SI and a WI referring to the same topic will typically be in subsequent Releases – though they may even appear in the same Release if the duration of the SI in particular is defined significantly shorter than the duration of the Release’s working phase.

The complete roadmap of NTN integration into the 3GPP cellular radio system from its beginning up to date is comprehensively described in [15], and will be summarized here in sufficient detail. First considerations on NTN integration started in 3GPP Release 14 already, where the main driving factors were

- Coverage extensions to areas with poor or without cellular coverage.

- Services supported more efficiently by satellites, such as multicast/broadcast.
- Provision of a backup network in disaster zones with damaged cellular network.
- Higher resiliency of NTN.
- Cost reduction through a unified radio interface for TN and NTN.

### *1) Release 15*

Release 15 was the first to standardize the normative requirements for 5G New Radio (NR), which was initially focusing on TN and various architectural options. The stage 1 TS 22.261 included a requirement for 5G to support multiple access technologies, stipulating that the 5G system should be able to support mobility between supported access networks. However, due to time constraints, the standardization of satellite support was not included in Release 15. Despite this omission, TSG RAN initiated a SI entitled "Study on NR to Support NTN". This study focused on several key areas:

- NTN use cases for Enhanced Mobile Broadband (eMBB) and Massive Machine Type Communication (mMTC) service.
- Adapting the 3GPP channel model from Release 14 to accommodate NTN.
- Providing a detailed description of deployment scenarios for NTN while analyzing the necessary modifications to support satellite or HAPS operations in NR.

The results of this SI were summarized in TR 38.811. For eMBB, NTN use cases involve providing broadband connectivity to cells or relay nodes in under-served regions, in conjunction with terrestrial wireless or wireline access, though with limited user throughput. It also encompasses establishing broadband connections between the core network and cells in isolated areas, which is especially valuable for public safety applications. In addition, it facilitates the broadband connectivity between the core network and cells on moving platforms. For mMTC, NTN aims to ensure global connectivity between Internet of Things (IoT) devices and the NTN




Fig. 3: Transparent versus Regenerative payload.

and to provide connectivity to a BS that serves IoT devices within a Local Area Network (LAN).

The 3GPP adopted the common terms for characterizing the two main NTN architectures: Regenerative and transparent payload, as illustrated in Figure 3 and explained below.

*a) Transparent payload*

A spaceborne or airborne platform that lacks on-board processing capabilities modifies the frequency carrier of the incoming uplink Radio Frequency (RF) signal, then filters and amplifies it before re-transmitting it on the downlink. In essence, this platform functions as an analog RF repeater.

*b) Regenerative payload*

A spaceborne or airborne platform that handles RF filtering, frequency conversion, and amplification, along with on-board processing tasks, essentially operates as a BS.

**2) Release 16**

In Release 16, TSG RAN and SA initiated one new SI each. The SI led by SA1, entitled "Study on using satellite access in 5G," analyzed 12 concrete use cases for using satellite access in NR, which were summarized in TR 22.822. This SI assessed their conditions, impacts, interactions with existing services and features, and potential stage 1 requirements. These requirements



included roaming between TN and satellite networks, broadcast and multicast with a satellite overlay, IoT via satellite networks, temporary use of satellite components, optimal routing or steering over satellites, satellite transborder service continuity, global satellite overlay, indirect connections through a 5G satellite access network, 5G fixed backhaul between NR and the 5G core, 5G moving platform backhaul, 5G to premises, and satellite connections of remote service centers to offshore wind farms.

The RAN3 led SI focused on solutions for NR to support NTN, including support of NTN-TN service continuity and multi-connectivity scenarios for NTN-TN or for two NTN in parallel, which lead to TR 38.821. This SI built upon the key impacts identified in Release 15, examining the implications for RAN protocols and architecture in greater depth and beginning to assess possible solutions. The investigation concentrated on satellite access via transparent GEO and LEO satellite networks, with HAPS-based access being considered a special case of NTN due to its lower Doppler and variation rates. The usage scenarios included pedestrians and users in vehicles, such as high-speed trains or airplanes. Reference scenarios evaluated included GEO and LEO satellites with both steering and moving beams, for both transparent and regenerative payloads. The RAN3 working group advised that the normative work should prioritize GEO-based satellite access with transparent payloads and LEO-based satellite access with either transparent or regenerative payloads. The outcome of both SIs yield proposals for the normative work to focus on with corresponding recommendations.

### ***3) Release 17***

Release 17 was the first release with normative requirements for NTN in 3GPP specifications [16]. In this release, the corresponding activities have also started to support Narrow Band-IoT (NB-IoT) and enhanced Machine Type Communication (eMTC) type of devices via NTN in 4G Long Term Evolution (LTE).

The Release 17 WI "Stage 1 of 5GSAT" led by SA1 translated the findings from the corresponding Release 16 SI into stage 1 requirements, which were captured in TS 22.261. These requirements include the following: The 5G system must support service continuity between TN and satellite networks owned by the same or different operators with agreements and must facilitate roaming. User Equipment (UE) with satellite access should support optimized network selection and re-selection to Public Land Mobile Networks (PLMN) with satellite access based on home operator policy, and must support mobility across various access networks. UE must be able to provide or assist in providing their location to the 5G network, and the system must determine a UE location to provide services according to regulatory requirements. There must be support for low power mobile IoT communications and satellite links between the RAN and core network, accommodating satellite backhaul latencies. Support for meshed connectivity between satellites with Inter-Satellite Link (ISL) is required, as well as the selection of communication links based on Quality of Service (QoS) fulfillment.

The SA2 led WI focused on the integration of satellite components in the 5G architecture, resulting in the three specifications documents TS 23.501 (System architecture for the 5G system), TS 23.502 (procedures for the 5G system) and TS 23.501 (Policy and charging control framework for the 5G system).

TSG RAN led WI "Solutions for NR to support NTN", where the purpose was to adapt the basic features of 5G NR to match the characteristics of the satellite channel. The focus was solely on the transparent architecture, and it was assumed that the UE are Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) capable, allowing them to obtain precise position information. As operating bands for satellite communication in the frequency range FR1 (i.e., 410 MHz – 7125 MHz), the Frequency Division Duplexing (FDD) bands n255 (L-band), operating the uplink at 1626 - 1660 MHz and the downlink at 1525 - 1659 MHz, and n256 (S-band), operating the uplink at

1980 - 2010 MHz and the downlink at 2170 - 2200 MHz, have been introduced. For HAPS, it was concluded that the FDD band n1 (uplink: 1920 - 1980 MHz, downlink: 2110 - 2170 MHz) can be applied, allowing NR UEs as defined by TS 38.101-1 to support HAPS without any additional changes.

New solutions and extensions of existing protocols proposed by the WI to support NTN operations cover several areas. Among those are enhancements in timing, synchronization, and Hybrid Automatic Repeat Request (HARQ) to cover long round trip delay; mobility management to ensure seamless handovers between NTN and terrestrial networks, utilizing satellite ephemeris and common parameters for the Timing Advance (TA); switchover procedures for the service link (i.e., between the UE and the NTN node) and the feeder link (i.e., between the ground station and the NTN node).

#### **4) Release 18**

In Release 18, the RAN-led SI entitled "Study on self-evaluation towards the submission of the International Mobile Telecommunications (IMT)-2020 submission of the 3GPP satellite radio interface technology" evaluated the NR functionalities of Release 17 that facilitate 5G via satellite, as well as the corresponding LTE-based solutions for NB-IoT. In this SI, three different usage scenarios were considered for the analysis in a rural environment:

- eMBB-s (Enhanced Mobile Broadband - satellite),
- HRC-s (High Reliability Communications - satellite)
- mMTC-s (Massive Machine Type Communications – satellite).

Furthermore, SI "Study on requirements and use cases for network verified UE location for NTN in NR" pointed out the need for network-based methods to verify the reported UE location within large NTN cells, taking into account regulatory mandates for public alerts, emergency communications, and legal interception. Network-based verification with an accuracy of 5-10

km was mandated, prompting normative work in Release 18.

The operation bands for satellite communication have been extended by the new FDD band n254, which operates the uplink at 1610 - 1626 MHz (L-band) and the downlink at 2484 - 2500 MHz (S-band), while the maximum channel bandwidth supported for NR NTN in FR1 has been extended to 30 MHz. Moreover, the WI "NR NTN enhancements" led by RAN2 aimed to enhance features from earlier releases, such as coverage enhancements as well as improved NR uplink coverage by enabling repetitions in the control channel and bundling reference signals for channel estimation. It also defined Rx/Tx time measurements for network verified UE location and introduced enhancements for NTN-TN and NTN-NTN mobility and service continuity, such as broadcasting geographical TN areas with frequency information, improved conditional handover triggers, and satellite switch with re-sync. Furthermore, NR-NTN operation in the above 10 GHz bands (targeting frequency range FR2) was considered, resulting in the introduction of FDD bands n510, n511, n512 in the Ka band, operating uplink in the 17 - 20 GHz range and downlink in 27 - 30 GHz range, with Rx/Tx requirements for Very Small Aperture Terminal (VSAT) UE types and Radio Resource Management (RRM) for electronically/mechanically-steered beam UEs.

In TSG SA, several new SIs were started. Among those, the SI "Study on 5G core enhancement for satellite access phase 2" focused on handling mobility management and optimizing power savings under conditions of intermittent coverage, while the SI "Study on security aspects of satellite access" explored security and privacy issues related to mobility management and power conservation amid discontinuous coverage. The SI "Study on support of satellite backhauling in 5G system" concentrated on the use of satellite backhaul for mission critical scenarios with HRC-s enabled by satellite edge computing and local data switch – which requires a regenerative payload for its realization. The WIs driven by TSG SA focused on satellite backhauling in 5G

system, where the results were captured in TS 22.261 and TS 23.501 - 503.

#### 5) *Release 19*

In current Release 19, TSG RAN leads the WI "NTN for IoT phase 3", which aims to achieve several objectives for 2025. The link is improved by supporting additional satellite payload parameters for satellite constellations operating in FR1-NTN and FR2-NTN, improving the uplink capacity and throughput of FR1-NTN by using overlaid repetitions based on Orthogonal Cover Codes (OCC), signaling the intended service area of a broadcast service via NR NTN, and supporting for the first time in a WI regenerative payloads, featuring 5G system functions on the NTN node. Furthermore, the support of reduced capability (RedCap) devices (e.g., handheld or IoT) for NR NTN operating in FR1-NTN will be specified.

TSG SA is leading several SIs in Release 19. The SI "Study on Satellite Access - Phase 3" focuses on use cases and requirements to further improve the 5G system by satellite. Key subjects to be investigated are store and forward (S&F) satellite operation for delay tolerant communication services (enabling services for discontinuous satellite coverage), direct UE-satellite-UE communication without using any feeder link to route the communication signal through a ground station (yielding significantly reduced communication delays), GNSS-independent operation (to enable satellite access to UEs without GNSS receiver/no access to GNSS services), and positioning enhancements for satellite access (3GPP based methods for satellite-only access). The normative part of this work will be done in a corresponding WI (starting at a later stage), and results will be captured in TS 22.261.

Further SIs led by TSG SA are as follows: An SI on integration of satellite components in the 5G architecture focuses on regenerative payloads, S&F satellite operation and UE-satellite-UE communication. An SI on management aspects of NTN investigates management capabilities to support new network architectures or functions for satellite regenerative payloads, considering

various satellite constellations. Another SI delves into security aspects of 5G satellite access, and finally, an SI focusing on application enablement will explore application layer solutions for satellite access. Last but not least, a workplan to support the Ku-band (downlink: 10.7 - 12.75 GHz, uplink: 12.75 - 13.25 GHz or 13.75 - 14.5 GHz) has been agreed.

### *B. NTN Evolution*

NTN, particularly through Direct-to-Mobile (D2M) technology, leverage satellites to provide cellular connectivity directly to standard mobile devices. This approach offers several significant advantages. Firstly, it allows users to utilize their existing devices, ensuring accessibility without the need for additional specialized equipment. This can be transformative in disaster scenarios, where traditional infrastructure might be compromised. For instance, SMS has proven to offer the best performance-to-resource ratio in emergencies, and with NTN, SMS and other essential services can reach even the most remote areas reliably.

Beyond emergency communication, NTN unlocks vast opportunities for the IoT on a global scale. Remote sensors, powered by NTN connectivity, can monitor a plethora of environmental and infrastructural parameters in real-time. For example, earthquake warning systems can benefit immensely from NTN, where sensors deployed in seismically active but isolated regions can relay crucial data instantaneously, providing timely alerts that can save lives and mitigate damage. This capability is not limited to natural disaster monitoring; agricultural sectors in rural areas can also utilize IoT devices to optimize farming practices, from soil moisture sensors to weather monitoring stations, thereby enhancing productivity and sustainability [17], [18].

Furthermore, NTN benefits extend beyond technological advancements and emergency response. In regions with low internet penetration, NTN can serve as a catalyst for social and economic empowerment – particularly among women. By providing reliable internet access to

remote and under-served areas, NTN can facilitate education, healthcare, and entrepreneurial opportunities. Women, who are currently underrepresented among the internet users from a global perspective, can gain access to online resources, educational tools, and networks that were previously out of reach for them, thereby promoting gender equality and fostering inclusive development.

## II. INTEGRATED, INTELLIGENT AND UBIQUITOUS NTN

The rapid advancement of wireless communication technologies has significantly influenced how we connect, conduct business, and obtain information. Beginning with simple voice communications and progressing to high-speed data transmission and the IoT, wireless communication has become integral to contemporary society [19], [20]. However, as the need for faster data speeds, enhanced coverage, and more efficient use of the spectrum increases, the conventional communication infrastructure is faced with challenges. On one hand NTN is considered a promising solution to overcome these limitations. However, the limitations associated with the use of NTN limit its successful adoption to provide wireless coverage to terrestrial users. A comparison of TN and NTN is provided in Table I. A useful solution lies in the integration of NTN and TN [21].

The inherent limitations of ground infrastructure, combined with economic factors, frequently impede the deployment of TN in remote or hard-to-reach areas, such as rural regions, deserts, and oceans. As a result, UE in these undeserved locations often cannot access terrestrial services. Integrating NTN with current terrestrial infrastructure presents a practical and cost-effective strategy to achieve seamless and extensive wireless coverage. This integration offers the potential to improve network scalability and maintain continuous connectivity in these regions.

In such scenarios, NTN play a crucial role in increasing the capacity, coverage, and speed of

TABLE I: Comparison of TN and NTN

| <b>Characteristic</b> | <b>TN</b>                   | <b>NTN</b>                |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Delay/Latency         | Lower                       | Higher                    |
| Cost                  | Lower                       | Higher                    |
| Trustworthiness       | Robust                      | Vulnerable                |
| Data Rates            | Higher                      | Lower                     |
| Availability          | Unreachable in Remote Areas | Reachable in Remote Areas |
| Coverage              | Regional                    | Global                    |
| Mobility Management   | Frequent Hand Overs         | Seamless Mobility         |

existing land-based networks. They address the shortcomings of ground-based infrastructure in meeting the required reliability and widespread availability standards for future wireless applications. Recognizing the benefits of NTN and aiming to capitalize on economies of scale, the 3GPP is examining the integration of satellite access into the 5G framework [22]–[24]. Furthermore, as the 5G ecosystem evolves and refines its specifications—particularly for eMBB and ultra-Reliable Low-Latency Communications (uRLLC)—it facilitates the seamless incorporation of aerial vehicles into TN. The advanced capabilities of 5G technology meet the critical communication and control requirements of UAV, supporting reliable connectivity for essential beyond visual Line-of-Sight (LoS) operations [25]–[27], which are vital for numerous applications. Emerging applications such as autonomous vehicles, precision agriculture, and various yet-to-be-discovered use cases are driving extensive research efforts towards 6G to achieve global connectivity. The demand for worldwide coverage, along with upcoming plans for large LEO satellite constellations, will further elevate the importance of NTN in future networks. Fully integrated NTN are poised to provide ubiquitous connectivity, delivering services anywhere, anytime, thus having a profound socioeconomic impact [28].

Wireless networks are evolving towards demand-aware autonomous reconfigurable networks. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been identified as a key 6G technological enabler to adapt the network to a fast-changing environment [29]–[34]. Similarly to human-brain functioning, data-



assisted AI models can learn from previous experience and execute the same action when faced with a similar perceptual environment, reducing complexity and computational resources with respect to a pure optimization-based decision-making [35]–[37].

Integrating NTN into 6G systems introduces additional complexity to an already intricate infrastructure, marked by high-density BS deployments and a rapidly growing number of terminals with diverse QoS needs and unpredictable mobility patterns [38], [39]. Traditional model-based approaches struggle to manage such complex networks due to their lack of mathematical simplicity and high computational demands. In this scenario, data-driven techniques offer a more efficient solution by learning and identifying patterns within complex algorithms, provided that they are trained on suitable datasets. Typically, offline training is improved through the continuous updating of AI models with new data, which greatly improves the model’s ability to adapt to evolving operational environments [40]–[42].

The 3GPP Release 18, marking the inception of the 5G-Advanced standard, includes considerations for a framework to incorporate AI into the NR air interface to improve network automation [43]. Several study items have pinpointed key areas and use cases where AI can significantly contribute. These include:

- Utilizing AI for network management and orchestration.
- Enabling AI-driven intelligence in the RAN, with a particular emphasis on energy efficiency.
- Developing an AI-native air interface that facilitates varying levels of collaboration between the gNB and UE, covering aspects like Channel State Information (CSI), beam management, and positioning.

In the following subsections, we will begin by exploring the applications of AI in integrated 6G-NTN networks and then proceed to discuss the associated challenges and limitations.

### *A. AI Innovations in Integrated 6G-NTN*

The optimization and management of 6G-NTN systems represent a key area with significant potential for AI-driven solutions. Given the combination of ground-based stations and numerous satellites with overlapping coverage, it is crucial to closely coordinate both space and ground operations to ensure seamless service delivery to users. The network must be optimized to maximize resource efficiency while meeting service agreements with customers. AI enables flexible and autonomous adaptation of the network to changes in wireless channels, fluctuations in traffic demand, and varying mobility patterns. Typically, Deep Learning (DL) architectures are used to replicate complex algorithms, with the aim of striking a balance between performance and convergence speed. When testing in real environments is feasible, Reinforcement Learning (RL) can be used to experiment with various configurations through a trial and error process until optimal performance is achieved.

Proactively addressing network congestion and failures is crucial and requires continuous monitoring and analysis of network performance data. AI tools designed for anomaly detection (such as identifying interference or link failures) and predicting network metrics (including demand, terminal trajectories, and congestion) have been shown to be beneficial for network management. These tools enable corrective actions to be taken before users' QoS begins to degrade. This is particularly important in NTN scenarios, where spectrum congestion is common due to the increasing number of LEO satellites, making interference events more likely. Link congestion is another characteristic of NTN, as a single satellite's wide coverage area of a single satellite includes significantly more users than a terrestrial BS. Furthermore, repeated passage of satellites over the same areas can be leveraged, where satellite AI local models are collected in a cloud through optically interconnected ground stations and updated based on shared data.

ISL can also be advantageous in developing cluster-based models with nearby satellites, using the experiences of neighboring satellites to enhance the general strength of the model.

NTN offer consistent global coverage, which can be utilized for measurement and data collection purposes. However, the volume of data generated can be substantial, potentially overwhelming the on-board data processing and storage capacities, as well as saturating the downlink from the satellite to ground-based data centers. AI tools have demonstrated significant potential to process these data for purposes such as feature extraction and change detection, thus easing the demands on on-board resources and minimizing the amount of data that needs to be transmitted to data centers. Furthermore, repeated passage of satellites over the same regions can be leveraged, with satellite AI local models collected via optical interconnections at ground stations and updated using shared experiences. ISL can also play a role in developing cluster-based models among nearby satellites, allowing the knowledge gained from neighboring satellites to be used to strengthen these models.

### *B. Constraints of AI-Powered TN/NTN Systems*

A fundamental feature of the Open-Radio Access Network (O-RAN) architecture is its native design to support AI-driven RAN. RRM can be optimized in near-real time (less than one second) to ensure efficient configuration of gNB radios, and in non-real time (more minutes or hours) to facilitate dynamic load balancing in TN and NTN, including the management of satellite beam Effective Isotropic Radiated Power (EIRP) and onboard data routing. The O-RAN Machine Learning (ML) framework is structured to include:

- Multiple interfaces for data collection from various sources such as gNB components, UE, core network, and other application functions.
- A host for ML training to conduct both online and offline training sessions.

- ML inference host that is primarily used for executing the ML models, hosting the models, and providing output to the components involved in ML-assisted solutions.

Firstly, the placement of these ML model components greatly influences system performance, and NTN presents specific challenges that are not yet fully understood. Various functional splits can be considered for NTN, such as operating in a transparent mode with the entire gNB located on the ground, having only the Radio Unit (RU) on board, placing both the RU and Distributed Unit (DU) on board, or even having the complete gNB on board. Each scenario introduces distinct practical constraints for deploying and executing the ML framework. Finding the optimal balance remains a challenge, considering factors such as long propagation delays (in contrast to TN), potentially high numbers of UE due to the broad coverage area of the satellite and, most importantly, the limited computational capabilities of satellite payloads. Furthermore, the dynamic topology of LEO mega-constellations poses another significant hurdle for practical implementation.

Secondly, the effectiveness of AI can be limited by the availability, volume, and quality of the data it relies on. Data are typically collected from various sources, such as UE or network components, distributedly and on different spatial and temporal scales. As a result, modifications are required to the existing O-RAN architecture, interfaces, and orchestration capabilities. The integration of new AI generative models could help alleviate data scarcity by producing synthetic data sets that replicate real-world conditions. Furthermore, traffic modeling and forecasting play a key role in shaping ML models for optimized resource management, which is critical to determining the most suitable approach for AI-driven integrated TN and NTN.

Finally, many AI algorithms discussed in the literature should mainly be viewed as theoretical (albeit useful) upper limits, because they often assume that a single entity operates the entire integrated TN and NTN system. Given the distinct technical architectures, historical evolution,

regulatory frameworks, and geographical footprints of TN and NTN, these networks are likely to be organized differently from a business point of view. The primary methods for TN/NTN interconnection include roaming, multi-connectivity with a converged core, RAN sharing, and their various adaptations. Each approach involves a different degree of network interworking, often limiting information sharing and leaving some data sources inaccessible due to confidentiality concerns. Studying the gap between these theoretical upper limits (assuming complete data knowledge) and more constrained ML frameworks could offer valuable insights into the performance of AI when applied to real-world TN/NTN architectural configurations and business models.

### III. AI AND DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING FOR GREEN 6G NTN

Optimizing NTN is crucial yet challenging, especially in dynamic, self-evolving settings, where it significantly impacts performance and efficiency [21]. Optimization techniques help Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) to select the best settings of the NTN such as transmit level, beam-forming, and UAV trajectory planning & placement. This leads to overall network capacity & coverage optimization, energy efficiency, resource allocation & scheduling, and latency minimization. Various network optimization schemes have been introduced. Conventional NTN optimization schemes are based on analytical simulations [44], and mathematical models [45]. These schemes require prior context, knowledge about network parameters and environment to make decisions, e.g., network slicing based on users' requirement and network loads [46], recovery networks during disaster situations and delivery task, etc. These aforementioned conventional NTN optimization schemes have been considered for a long time, however, they have some intrinsic limitations.

The key limitations of conventional NTN optimization schemes are as follows:

- Firstly, these schemes require prior context and knowledge about network environment & settings which might be outdated or inaccurate due to the offline nature of algorithms, especially in mobile, dynamic, and highly uncertain conditions.
- Secondly, these approaches rely on predefined, fixed rules and models, which makes them non-adaptive to real-time network demands and changes.
- Thirdly, these schemes are designed by assuming static, and generalized network typologies and behavior; thus, they may be unable to tackle network heterogeneity and complexity.
- Furthermore, these schemes operate in reactive manner, which can lead to user's Quality of Experience (QoE) and MNOs satisfaction minimization.

Therefore, AI-based NTN optimization schemes can address the limitations of conventional approaches, leading to more proactive, adaptive, and accurate network optimization [47].

#### *A. AI for NTN Network Optimization*

AI can play a crucial role in enhancing the efficiency of NTN. Given the limited resources available in NTN, AI techniques such as DL and RL are used to optimize network performance. These techniques predict traffic patterns [48], manage power consumption [49], and improve resource allocation [50]. In addition, AI is helping NTN dynamically adjust transmission power [4], optimize routing, and schedule tasks more efficiently [51], resulting in lower energy usage while maintaining reliable communication. Fig. 4 shows an overview of AI-enabled NTN. From Fig. 4, the architecture consists of three layers. The top layer uses LEO, MEO, and GEO satellites for backhaul links, connecting TN, edge devices, and cloud data centers. The middle layer consists of UAV and HAPS located close to IoT devices, enabling local computation, real-time processing, and AI-driven analytics to minimize cloud dependency. The bottom layer includes Ground Base Station (GBS), and IoT devices, with AI managing resource orchestration and




Fig. 4: Overview of AI-based IoT NTN networks for edge-cloud computing based intelligent computation offloading

coordination with edge nodes for efficient computational offloading.

### B. AI-life cycle and Paradigms

Basically, AI approaches learn from data and response to adapt dynamics of the environment. Recently, along with advances in computational hardware and the availability of open wireless and measurement data sets, applied AI algorithms have become more popular in NTN. To date, several types of real trace-based [52] and synthetic [53] data sets are available for the NTN research. These data sets contain wireless environment statistics such as CSI, user's association data, battery levels, Global Positioning System (GPS), cell loads, link quality, and statistics are a few.

Key AI technologies aiming to improve NTN by performance using data-driven learning paradigms such as supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning to tackle challenges in

these networks. These paradigms use different types of data and training strategies to achieve different objectives. Here, we explain these paradigms in detail.

- **Supervised Learning (Learning from Examples):** Under supervised learning, the algorithm comes with a data set containing input data that are linked to correct outputs, called labels. Hereby, this advice makes the model able to find out the patterns and connections between inputs and outputs as a result, becoming good at performing functions like classification and regression. Examples of supervised learning algorithms:
  - 1) *Linear Regression:* The linear regression is utilized to predict a continuous output relying on input features.
  - 2) *Logistic Regression:* Logistic regression is used for binary classification tasks.
  - 3) *Decision Trees:* Decision trees are a tree-like structure that is used for both regression and classification tasks.
- **Unsupervised Learning (Unlabeled Data):** In unsupervised learning, you do not receive labels for the data. This implies that the system seeks patterns, clusters, or structures in the data. The model tries to uncover the hidden structure in unlabeled data by either grouping or transforming them. Examples of unsupervised learning algorithms:
  - 1) *K-Means Clustering:* K-means clustering groups data points into a predefined number of clusters on the basis of feature similarity.
  - 2) *K-Nearest Neighbours (K-NN):* K-NN is a non-parametric method which is used for classification and regression based on feature similarities.
  - 3) *Principal Component Analysis (PCA):* PCA is a technique used to simplify data generators by identifying key components.
- **Reinforcement Learning (No Predefined Data):** In RL, there is no predefined set of input-output pairs. Instead, the model teaches itself to make decisions by exposing itself to the






Fig. 5: Overview of AI-life cycle for NTN networks.

environment and receiving feedback in terms of rewards or punishment. In reward-based learning, the system learns to take actions that eventually lead to maximizing cumulative reward. Some examples of algorithms are as shown below:

- 1) *Q-learning*: This is a certain type of model-free RL algorithm in which agents learn how to make policies so as to maximize rewards attained.
- 2) *SARSA algorithm*: This is an RL algorithm that updates its action values based on the action taken and the final state reached.
- 3) *Monte Carlo methods*: A set of algorithms that solve problems through random sampling and averaging the results to find a single number

Fig. 5 illustrates the AI, ML and RL life cycle in a networked telecommunications NTN context, structured in several key phases:

- *Data Acquisition*: This involves collecting data from both real-world traces and synthetic

sources.

- (ii) *Data Pre-processing*: Standardization and dimensionality reduction techniques are applied to clean and format the data.
- (iii) *Domain knowledge*: Based on the nature of the problem and the domain-specific knowledge is selected in a centralized or distributed AI paradigm.
- (iv) *AI/DRL Algorithm Selection*: Centralized or distributed AI methods are used, including supervised, Unsupervised, and RL. The selected algorithm is trained to optimize either a loss function (for supervised learning) or a reward function (for RL and DRL).
- (v) *Performance Evaluation*: The model's performance is evaluated based on the precision of the predictions or the cumulative rewards.

### C. AI-based Tools & Libraries for NTN Research

Here we will dive into some of the biggest platforms, libraries, tools created to support research for 6G NTN communications.

- **Network simulator 3 (ns-3)**: AI support is an integral part of network simulator 3 (ns-3) [54]. In addition to being a publicly available simulator of Internet systems, ns-3 offers customization possibilities through its modularity that allows integration of AI algorithms useful for 5G and 6G studies. ML-based network optimization tasks such as dynamic spectrum sharing, network slicing, or even self-organizing networks (SON) can be simulated using ns-3 supplemented with AI models. *TensorFlow* and *PyTorch* are key libraries that can be used in integrating these ML algorithms and thus can be run to imitate different NTN case studies and scenarios.
- **AI Support in OMNeT++**: Libraries such as INET OMNeT++ [55] are capable of modeling more advanced forms of communication networks, including wireless and mobile networks.

This would be possible through integration of AI algorithms so that they can automate changes within such networks in cases where system performance would not be adversely impacted especially when it comes to cellular/mobile communication usage patterns. The available support for artificial intelligence libraries like TensorFlow or Pytorch makes OM-NeT++ suitable for simulating intelligent network behaviors (e.g. self-organizing network modeling).

- **MATLAB support for AI and DL:** Using MATLAB and Simulink toolboxes to create ML models, algorithm development, and data analytics for various applications. MATLAB has DL Toolbox [56], RL Toolbox, and ML Toolbox that make their integration with 5G / 6G scenarios very convenient. There are several ways in which MATLAB can help: *Beam-forming Optimization* – Using DL techniques can enhance beam-forming optimization massive MIMO systems: MIMO is explained as Multiple Input Multiple Output. *Predictive Maintenance* – ML models may imitate degradation of networking elements and thus avoid their damage. This leads to better network reliability.
- **TensorFlow (Python-based library):** TensorFlow is an open-source AI library developed by Google [57]. It is widely employed in telecommunications research because it has the capacity to support large datasets that model complex neural networks, and also train RL agents for resource management.

Communication engineers and researchers employ TensorFlow for various case studies such as: *Model 5G/6G level networks:* TensorFlow contains within its structure networks with the ability to predict changes in traffic intensities or predict this based on various factors; moreover, these systems understand how to manage radio frequencies more effectively, thus reducing time delays between transmissions.

- **Keras and PyTorch (Python):** PyTorch and Keras in TensorFlow are different DL toolkits

that are well suited for telecommunication applications because they are easy to use, adaptable to various needs, and include the latest artificial intelligence techniques [58]. An example of such a case is Adaptive Modulation and Coding (AMC), where AMC, designed to optimize data transmission efficiency under varying channel conditions, can be modeled and optimized by our AMC-based PyTorch in conjunction with Keras models that are able to distinguish better between noise patterns present at different signal levels at reception from desired data patterns.

- **Scikit-Learn (Python):** Scikit-Learn is a widely known and popular library of traditional AI algorithms used in 5G and 6G research for classification, regression, clustering, and dimensionality reduction [59]. NTN researchers can use these tools to implement standard ML algorithms due to modular and simplicity.

These are key usage of Scikit-Learn in different cases: *Traffic Classification:* scikit-learn has the ability to classify traffic into different service categories (eMBB, URLLC, mMTC) to improve QoS. *Predictive Modeling:* Scikit-Learn library is good for forecasting network traffic or predicting handover events on mobile networks.

- **Distributed AI using Ray:** Ray is an open source library created for distributed AI and RL [60]. As for 6G NTN studies, it enables one to deploy AI models across several machines while helping out with simulation involving thousands of nodes within the big network scenarios that need real-time decision-making processes, a fact that has made it one of the Python ML frameworks.
- **Reinforcement learning using OpenAI Gym:** OpenAI Gym [61] provides developers and reviewers of RL algorithms a toolkit helpful in developing and comparing them, and therefore it is highly applicable in 5G/6G tasks such as dynamic spectrum allocation, power control, resource allocation among users. For example, simulating different 6G settings, such

as RL within Gym, would be helpful when training agents to make optimal decisions given changing network conditions.

- **R-Studio Libraries for Data Visualization, Plotting, and Modeling:** R-Studio has powerful specially designed packages necessary for real-time analytics, big data handling, and building strong ML models essential for realizing the full potential of 6G NTN technologies [62]. Efficient network monitoring, optimization, and innovation in 6G networks are made possible through the incorporation of sophisticated visualization tools alongside predictive modeling techniques within R-Studio. For example, R-Studio has *cret*, and *forecast* for data modeling. *ggplot2*, and *plotly* are commonly used data visualization libraries.

#### D. AI-based Schemes for Green NTN Networking

This section reviews several key contributions in the green NTN networking, highlighting the advancements and challenges in optimizing energy consumption and enhancing network performance through AI and DRL. Table II summarise key contributions of recent works for green NTN . In [63], authors proposed a novel DRL framework for optimizing power allocation and beam coverage in LEO satellite networks. Their approach achieved up to 30% energy savings compared to conventional methods, showcasing the potential of DRL in reducing the energy footprint of satellite communications. [64] extended this idea to a multi-agent environment, where DRL was used to jointly optimize spectrum and power allocation across satellite, UAV, and terrestrial networks. Their results demonstrated a 25% improvement in energy efficiency while maintaining the QoS.

In [65], authors introduced a FL architecture that allows distributed training of DRL agents across multiple NTN segments, thereby enabling privacy-preserving optimization of network operations. This approach not only improved energy efficiency, but also safeguarded user privacy,

TABLE II: Summary of AI and DRL schemes for Green NTN

| Reference | Contribution  |
|-----------|---|
| [63]      | Proposed a novel DRL framework to optimize power allocation and beam coverage in LEO satellite networks, reducing energy consumption by up to 30%.  |
| [64]      | Developed a multi-agent DRL algorithm to jointly optimize spectrum and power allocation across satellite, UAV, and terrestrial networks, improving energy efficiency by 25% while maintaining QoS.        |
| [65]      | Introduced a FL architecture for distributed training of DRL agents across multiple NTN segments, enabling privacy-preserving optimization of network operations and reducing overall energy consumption. |
| [66]      | Proposed an AI-based predictive maintenance system using DRL to optimize satellite operations and extend their lifespan, potentially reducing space debris and improving long-term sustainability of NTN. |
| [67]      | Developed a DNN approach for dynamic spectrum access in hybrid satellite-terrestrial networks, improving spectrum efficiency and reducing energy consumption by adapting to varying traffic demands.      |
| [68]      | Proposed a DRL-based adaptive beamforming technique for LEO satellite and HAPS networks, significantly improving energy efficiency and coverage compared to traditional beamforming methods.              |
| [21]      | Provided a comprehensive review of AI and DRL applications in green 6G NTN, identifying key challenges and future research directions in energy-efficient network design and operation.                   |
| [69]      | Introduced a quantum-inspired RL algorithm for resource allocation in 6G NTN, demonstrating potential for significant improvements in computational efficiency and solution quality.                      |

a critical concern in 6G networks. Similarly, [66] on extending the operational lifespan of satellite constellations by developing an AI-driven predictive maintenance system using DRL. Their work has implications for reducing space debris and improving the sustainability of NTN. In [67], authors addressed the challenge of dynamic spectrum access in hybrid satellite-terrestrial networks by developing a DNN approach. Their solution improved spectrum efficiency and reduced energy consumption by adapting to the varying demands of traffic. [68] explored the use of DRL for adaptive beam-forming in LEO satellite and HAPS networks, achieving significant

gains in both energy efficiency and coverage. A comprehensive survey reviewed the applications of AI and DRL in green 6G NTN, identifying key challenges and potential research directions in energy-efficient network design [21]. Finally, [69] introduced a quantum-inspired RL algorithm for resource allocation, which demonstrated promising improvements in computational efficiency and solution quality for 6G NTN .

These studies collectively underscore the critical role of AI and DRL in the development of energy-efficient and sustainable 6G NTN. The research highlights the diverse applications of these technologies, ranging from resource allocation and spectrum management to predictive maintenance and adaptive beam-forming, all contributing to the overarching goal of green and sustainable 6G networks.

#### IV. AI AND ML FOR NTN TRAJECTORY, PLACEMENT AND USE CASES IN NTN

In satellite-assisted NTN, planning and placing of the UAV trajectory is very challenging due to various obstacles and distances. In such a situation, the UAV tries to remain in the coverage area of the ground network while moving from one area to another, while the UAV maintain their horizontal planar movements, yet their vertical trajectories require optimization as well. For autonomous UAV deployments in various situations such as delivery tasks, disaster scenarios, or on-demand networks, these UAV encounter various issues such as path planning and obstacle avoidance [70]. Many studies such as [71], show that the performance of the UAV connectivity is based on the line-of-sight (LoS) signal link parameters while channel quality depends mainly on the SNR. Most importantly, differences in coverage range between localization and communication should be taken into account during trajectory optimization in joint problems. To optimize UAV routes, several research efforts have been made such as trajectory planning with transmission power control [71], trajectory optimization based on data rate requirement

[72], [73], energy-aware trajectory planning [74], etc.

Fig. 6 shows two approaches to the flight path of the UAV and the optimization of the position, underlining the importance of the UAV's path to assignment achievement and resource allocation. In 6 (A), the UAV perform an initial deployment plan complete with paths and target locations, while adjustments are made on the right side in path and placement to improve performance and address dynamic environmental changes. The UAV take irregular and longer paths (as depicted by dashed lines) like the orange path and green paths for the lower left UAV, which consumes more energy and prolongs mission flights. In 6(A), UAV is optimizing horizontal and vertical positioning to maximize coverage and capacity.

#### **Limitations of Traditional UAV Trajectory planning schemes:**

Path-planning schemes help UAV navigate without interruption while traveling from a starting position to an endpoint (destination). Usually, UAV might encounter various path interruptions, which are either environmental blockages or interruptions such as obstacles and objects. The path planning approaches of UAV can be classified into various groups based on their objectives. The conventional UAV trajectory planning scheme, such as [75]–[78], only considers blockage-aware path planning while ignoring overall flight-time minimization. In order to mitigate these issues, AI-based UAV trajectory planning can be considered.

#### *A. AI-based UAV Trajectory planning schemes*

AI can improve the placement of UAV and the joint optimization of network components such as antennas, RIS, relays, and routers. This optimization can lead to better coverage, increased capacity, better quality of service (QoS), and reduced costs and energy usage. Table III shows an overview of recent contributions to UAV trajectory planning for NTN networks. Table III provides an overview of ML-based UAV trajectory planning approaches within NTN. It highlights several



TABLE III: Overview of machine learning-based UAV trajectory planning approaches in NTN networks

| <b>Ref.</b> | <b>Objective</b>                                   | <b>Key Contribution</b>   |
|-------------|--|---|
| [79]        | DRL-based multi-UAV path planning                  | Proposed a DRL-based multi-UAV path planning in a small squared grid region-based environment                                       |
| [80]        | Known obstacles and grid-based cells               | Proposed a new UAV trajectory planning scheme with known obstacles and grid-based cells   |
| [81]        | DRL approach                                       | Proposed a DRL-based obstacle avoidance and trajectory planning scheme  |
| [82]        | UAV trajectory planning for IoT networks           | Proposed a new UAV trajectory planning and obstacle avoidance scheme in IoT networks  |
| [83]        | Monte Carlo-based UAV trajectory planning          | Proposed Monte Carlo-based UAV trajectory planning technique in wireless networks   |
| [84]        | Fixed wing-UAV based approach                      | Proposed a new UAV path planning scheme for fixed wing-UAV in wireless environments   |
| [85]        | Q-learning-based multi-UAV path planning           | Introduced a Q-learning-based multi-UAV path planning scheme for a 2D discrete grid map   |
| [86]        | UAV grid-based trajectory path                     | Suggested a UAV path planning scheme using CNNs for grid-based trajectory path  |
| [87]        | UAV trajectory with random and dynamic environment | Proposed a new UAV trajectory prediction in multi-obstacle scenarios with random and dynamic environment consideration              |
| [88]        | Computer-vision-based UAV path planning            | Proposed a computer-vision-based UAV path planning scheme for dynamic environment scenarios   |
| [89]        | Fusion-based UAV                                   | Proposed a new fusion-based deep neural network-based framework for UAV path planning with obstacle avoidance and target tracking   |
| [90]        | Camera input-based UAV path planning               | Proposed a camera input-based real-time obstacle tracking scheme for UAV path planning and obstacle tracking in dynamic environment |
| [91]        | Multi-UAV path planning for energy harvesting      | Proposed a multi-UAV path planning approach for energy harvesting in known obstacles and cell grid environments                     |
| [92]        | CNN-based UAV path planning                        | Proposed a CNN-based UAV path planning approach for static and dynamic obstacle avoidance   |




Fig. 6: The process of UAV trajectory planning and placement optimization.

techniques such as DRL, Q-learning, and Monte Carlo methods used to optimize UAV path planning in various environments.

Now, we discuss these works in detail. The paper [79] introduces a DRL method for planning the paths of multiple UAV within a small grid-based environment. This type of grid environment is often used to detach the UAV flight region. In [80], a novel UAV trajectory planning technique is presented that assumes known obstacles and uses grid-based cells to model the environment. The approach addresses how to navigate UAV efficiently through such a predefined environment. In the work mentioned [81], they use DRL to propose a combined obstacle avoidance and trajectory planning solution, focusing on ensuring that UAV can avoid obstacles while planning efficient paths.

In [82], authors present a new trajectory planning and obstacle avoidance technique specifically

designed for IoT networks, where UAV act as data collectors or relays. Similarly, the paper [83] introduces a Monte Carlo approach to UAV trajectory planning, focusing on applications within wireless networks, likely improving path optimization based on probabilistic methods. In [84] a trajectory planning scheme is tailored for fixed-wing UAV. Unlike multi-rotor UAV, fixed-wing UAV have different maneuvering constraints, requiring specialized planning in wireless environments. In [85], the authors utilize Q-learning for multi-UAV path planning in a 2D discrete grid map, focusing on the efficient movement of UAV in a grid-based 2D space. In [86], authors applied CNNs to a grid-based trajectory planning approach, enhancing the path planning ability of UAV in grid environments through the use of CNN-based techniques. The work in [87] proposes a trajectory prediction technique that accounts for multiple obstacles in both random and dynamic environments, making planning more adaptable to unpredictable changes.

The authors in [88] use computer vision for UAV path planning, particularly in dynamic environment scenarios. The approach likely uses visual data from sensors to navigate in real-time. Similarly, the work in [89], introduces a fusion-based deep neural network framework that integrates different data sources for UAV path planning, emphasizing both obstacle avoidance and target tracking. [90], Camera input-based UAV path planning scheme is proposed using camera input, aimed at improving UAV path planning and obstacle tracking in a dynamic environment. In addition, the authors in [91] develop a multi-UAV path planning approach designed for energy harvesting, while operating in environments with known obstacles and cell networks. The work in [92] proposes a CNN-based UAV path planning method that deals with static and dynamic obstacle avoidance, improving UAV navigation in complex environments.

### **Limitations of Conventional UAV Placement Schemes:**

Conventional UAV placement techniques such as [93], [104] operate in reactive manners

TABLE IV: Overview of machine learning based UAV placement approaches in NTN networks

| Ref.  | Objective  | Key Contributions  |
|-------|--|--|
| [93]  | Bandwidth allocation and network capacity management | Proposed a novel UAV placement scheme considering both bandwidth allocation and wireless backhaul capacity with QoS constraint.  |
| [94]  | Joint placement and transmission power optimization  | introduced a Q-learning-based algorithm for joint UAV placement and transmission power optimization to associate users while maximizing energy efficiency.   |
| [95]  | Edge-based computational task offloading             | Proposed a UAV placement to offload computational tasks from IoT devices based on the optimal number of UAV.   |
| [96]  | Connectivity for high-rise building users            | Introduced a UAV placement framework to provide connectivity to users in high-rise buildings.  |
| [97]  | User association and network capacity optimization   | Proposed a 3D UAV placement scheme by jointly optimizing user association, transmission power, and capacity.   |
| [98]  | Optimal number of UEs and UAV prediction             | Authors formulated an optimization problem to find the optimal placement of UAV maximizing the number of UEs while minimizing transmission power.  |
| [99]  | Minimization of overall outage probability           | Introduced a 3D UAV placement scheme to minimize the overall probability of outage in the network.   |
| [100] | Mitigating macro-cell overloading                    | Proposed a GRNN-based UAV to mitigate macro-cell overload in urban scenarios.  |
| [101] | Predicting optimal position for user communication   | Using GRU and CNNs to predict optimal position for UAV deployment, improving overall user communication.   |
| [102] | UAV placement and interference minimization          | A new mechanism is introduced to facilitate the three-dimensional deployment of UAV, providing support to terrestrial networks during downlink congestion.   |
| [103] | UAV placement and interference minimization          | Proposed a new approach to improve network throughput and meet real-time communication demands by deploying UAV. The proposed method treats UAV deployment as a computer vision problem and introduces a new method based on CNNs. |

and also rely heavily on centralized algorithms. These centralized algorithms assumed to have all information from the entire network. Furthermore, there are still scalability concerns, in addition to privacy issues and communication costs in such techniques [105]. In order to tackle these challenges, we can use AI-based learning approaches that can concern systematically and

effectively providing better QoE.

### *B. AI-based UAV Placement Schemes*

Recently, advances in UAV and satellites have introduced numerous opportunities for NTN applications with flexible and low-cost deployment. In the existing infrastructure 5G/6G, various UAV-based flying base station (FBS) deployments have been proposed in conjunction with ground base stations (GBS) [93], [106]. Table IV summarizes recent work on AI-based UAV placement approaches in NTN. In general, UAV deployments can be classified as a centralized or decentralized (distributed) scheme based on their mode of control and operation [93], [104]. The deployment of centralized UAV poses various challenges in terms of scalability, privacy concerns, and communication overhead [105]. Now, the section covers a detailed explanation of these contributions :

In [93], authors focus on bandwidth allocation and network capacity management. The authors propose a novel UAV placement scheme that takes into account both the bandwidth allocation and the wireless backhaul capacity while ensuring a QoS constraint. The key contribution is in designing a mechanism that balances the network's capacity and user demands by optimizing UAV placement for bandwidth management, addressing the challenges of limited wireless backhaul in UAV-assisted networks. The paper [94] addresses the joint problem of UAV placement and transmission power optimization. It introduces a Q-learning-based algorithm that optimizes the UAV's placement and transmission power, aiming to associate users while maximizing energy efficiency. This is an important contribution for energy-constrained UAV, as it balances between coverage and power consumption using RL. This work in [95] is focused on edge-based computational task offloading for IoT devices. The authors propose an optimal UAV placement scheme to offload computational tasks from IoT devices to the UAV, ensuring that the number

of UAV used is optimal. The contribution lies in efficiently distributing computational resources in edge computing, allowing IoT devices to save energy and processing power while maintaining performance.

In [96] the authors address the challenge of providing connectivity for users in high-rise buildings. This paper proposes a UAV placement framework designed specifically to enhance communication for users located in tall structures, where traditional terrestrial base stations may struggle to provide adequate coverage. The framework considers the three-dimensional nature of buildings and optimizes the positioning of the UAV for seamless connectivity. The work [97] introduces a 3D UAV placement scheme aimed at optimizing user association and network capacity. This approach jointly optimizes user association, transmission power, and network capacity, offering an integrated solution that enhances network performance by dynamically placing UAV to respond to fluctuating user demands and network conditions. The paper [98] proposes a method to predict the optimal number of UEs and UAV required in the network. The authors formulate an optimization problem where the objective is to place UAV in a way that maximizes the number of UEs used while minimizing transmission power. This contribution is significant in balancing user demands and energy consumption in NTN networks. The focus of [99] is minimizing the overall probability of outage in the network. The authors propose a 3D UAV placement scheme that specifically aims to reduce the probability of service outages by optimally placing UAV in locations that ensure continuous connectivity and reliable network service to users in challenging environments. Similarly, the paper [100] presents a ML-based solution, using generalized regression neural networks (GRNN), to mitigate macro-cell overloading in urban areas. The UAV are placed strategically to offload traffic from congested macro-cells, especially in densely populated urban settings. The GRNN-based approach offers predictive capabilities for optimal placement of UAV to relieve pressure on terrestrial networks.

In [101], the authors use GRU and CNN to predict the optimal placement of UAV to enhance user communication. This ML-based approach improves the network's ability to dynamically deploy UAV in positions that enhance overall communication quality, offering a data-driven solution for real-time network optimization. The work in [102] proposes a mechanism for the placement of UAV and the minimization of interference. The contribution is to provide support to terrestrial networks by deploying UAV in a three-dimensional space, particularly during times of downlink congestion, thereby minimizing interference and enhancing network throughput. Distributed ML such as Federated Learning (FL) is a promising AI technology that enables multiple devices to collaboratively train ML models without sharing their sensitive data [107]–[112]. With regards to UAV deployment, FL based placement schemes can be utilized to decide UAV placement based on users' next-cell mobility information. The authors in [103] introduce a novel approach to improve network throughput and meet real-time communication demands by treating UAV deployment as a computer vision problem. The authors propose a method using CNNs to optimize UAV placement, which is a unique contribution that applies image processing techniques to solve network deployment challenges.

## V. FLYING PLATFORMS BASED FRONTHAUL/BACKHAUL IN NTN

Integration of flying platforms such as satellites, UAV, and HAPS into 6G NTN networks presents a variety of opportunities for backhaul and fronthaul access. This section discusses NTN as fronthaul and backhaul network for the ground communication networks. Fig. 7 shows the front and backhaul communication architectures for NTN networks. In Fig. 7 (A) we have a UAV as a fronthaul link that acts as an aerial base station through access links directly connecting with other users, as shown by green dashed lines. The UAV connects to a central core network using a backhaul link (blue dashed line) showing a backhaul link. From Fig. 7(A), the UAV is acting




Fig. 7: Overview of NTN based fronthaul and backhaul links

as a relay network node within the central network infrastructure. Under this configurations, the UAV becomes part of the fronthaul network that allows end users to communicate straight with a UAV and communicate back to the network through a backhaul connection. In Fig. 7 (B), UAV act as a backhaul link for the ground base stations. In this configuration, the ground BS connects users using access links (green dashed lines). In this setup, the UAV helps UEs connect from different ground stations to the central core network. The dual role of UAV as shown in Fig. 7 demonstrates the flexibility and significance of UAV, especially in regions with scarce or limited connectivity such as remote locations, high-rise buildings or disaster situations where ground infrastructure is not available.



TABLE V: Recent contributions flying platforms for fronthaul/backhaul in 6G NTN

| Reference | Contribution   |
|-----------|--|
| [115]     | Provides a comprehensive overview of the integration of UAV into 6G NTN, focusing on front and back routes, with an emphasis on mobility management and energy efficiency. |
| [116]     | Explores drone-based base stations for emergency communications and proposes algorithms to optimize drone placement and link allocation.                                   |
| [117]     | Discusses HAPS and their advantages for fronthaul and backhaul in 6G NTN, including wide coverage and stable positioning.  |
| [118]     | Presents fundamental work on optimizing UAV trajectories for communication, relevant to fronthaul and backhaul systems through energy-efficient path planning.             |
| [119]     | Investigates blockchain technology for secure spectrum allocation in UAV-assisted networks, applicable to fronthaul and backhaul resource management.                      |
| [120]     | Proposes a ML approach for predictive deployment of UAV based on traffic demands, with potential applications in fronthaul and backhaul efficiency.                        |
| [121]     | Addresses energy limitations in UAV-based networks and proposes strategies to optimize communication and trajectories with multiple charging stations.                     |
| [122]     | Surveys fronthaul and backhaul technologies for 6G, including flying platforms and free-space optical communication for high-capacity links.                               |

#### A. Impact of NTN Backhaul in 6G Communications

Satellite or UAV-based backhauls connect PLMN to the core network. In case of a satellite backhaul, RAN can be shared between several networks, and thus this highlights its flexibility in deployment [113]. On the other hand, this NTN backhauling introduces some constant delay in few cases, as mentioned in the 3GPP release 18 [16]. This delay affects the overall communication infrastructure. In order to tackle this delay issue, MNOs utilize satellite ephemeris information to counter the changes in network service. For example, a direct backhaul link can be used in case of GEO satellite without inter-satellite links [16], [114].

### *B. Recent Research Directions Towards NTN based Fronthaul and Backhaul*

This section discusses the most recent trends NTN based Fronthaul and Backhaul. Table V represents some recent work on flying platforms for fronthaul/backhaul in 6G NTN. In [115], the authors provide a comprehensive review of how UAV can be integrated into 6G NTN to support both fronthaul and backhaul communication. The work in particular emphasizes mobility management and energy efficiency, addressing key challenges in maintaining reliable and sustainable communication links with aerial platforms. In [116], the authors explore the role of drone-based base stations in emergency communication scenarios. The study contributes by proposing algorithms to optimize drone placement and link allocation, ensuring that the communication infrastructure is quickly deployable and efficient in high-demand or disaster-stricken areas. The work in [117] focuses on HAPS and discusses their potential to provide front- and back-haul connectivity for 6G NTN. HAPS offer advantages such as wide-area coverage and stable positioning, making them an attractive solution for delivering high-capacity, low-latency communication over large regions.

The work in [118] is fundamental for UAV trajectory optimization, presenting methods to improve communication efficiency by focusing on energy-efficient path planning. The study's contributions are relevant to both fronthaul and backhaul systems in 6G NTN, where UAVs need to maintain optimal communication links while minimizing energy consumption. In [119], the authors investigate the application of blockchain technology to ensure the security of spectrum allocation in UAV-assisted networks. Using blockchain, the work addresses the secure management of resources in fronthaul and backhaul systems, ensuring that communication links remain efficient and secure from potential interference. The work in [120] proposes an ML-based approach for the predictive deployment of UAV, with the model adjusting UAV positions

based on anticipated traffic demands. This dynamic method has implications for improving the efficiency of fronthaul and backhaul systems by aligning UAV deployments with real-time or predicted network requirements. In [121], the authors address the challenge of energy limitations and propose new strategies to optimize both communication performance and UAV trajectories using multiple charging stations. The proposed solutions are aimed at extending the operational time of the UAV and improving the reliability of communication networks in front- and backhaul scenarios. In [122], authors examine various technologies for 6G fronthaul and backhaul, including the use of flying platforms such as UAV and HAPS. The work also explores free-space optical communication, which can provide high-capacity links essential for 6G, offering a detailed overview of technologies and innovations required for future aerial communication networks.

In short, these works highlight the ongoing advancements in addressing the challenges of NTN-based fronthaul and backhaul networks.

## VI. NEXT-GENERATION MULTIPLE ACCESS IN NTN

### A. *Multiple Access Techniques for Next Generation NTN*

To achieve ubiquitous global and massive connectivity, NTN is required to efficiently serve a large number of users in wide area coverage while addressing the increasing demand for high throughput. Given the limited radio resources available for NTN, efficient resource utilization is crucial. To this end, advanced multiple access techniques are essential for achieving high spectral efficiency with global and massive connectivity. In the following, we explore the candidates for Next-Generation Multiple Access (NGMA) techniques suitable for NTN, highlighting the potential to meet these stringent requirements.

### *1) Orthogonal Multiple Access*

Orthogonal Multiple Access (OMA), such as Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) and Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA), assigns distinct frequency and time resource blocks to users or geographical areas. By doing so, OMA can manage signal interference and provide flexible satisfaction of specific QoS requirements in NTN. For instance, in multibeam Satellite Communications (SATCOM), a four-color beam pattern, dividing the usage bandwidth into two sub-bands and combining them with two orthogonal polarizations, is considered to manage inter-beam interference effectively. This ensures that inter-beam interference power remains 14 to 34 dB below the carrier signal [123]. In addition, beam hopping, which dynamically allocates beams to different geographical areas in a time-sequenced manner, is considered in multibeam SATCOM. With beam hopping, interference between adjacent beams is reduced and the flexibility to allocate more radio resources to areas with higher traffic demand is provided in scenarios where QoS varies across different regions and times. However, because OMA divides and allocates usable resource blocks, simultaneously supporting a large number of users in NTN is challenging.

### *2) Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access*

Unlike OMA, where a single carrier block is occupied by a distinct device at a given time, Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) allows multiple devices to use the same frequency block, thereby increasing spectral efficiency [124]–[126]. This is particularly beneficial for NTN, where usable resources are more limited than in TN. NOMA can be classified into the Power Domain Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (PD-NOMA) and Code Domain Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (CD-NOMA). In the PD-NOMA, data is transmitted simultaneously at different power levels on the same frequency, while in the CD-NOMA, data is transmitted concurrently by assigning different codes to users on the same frequency. In particular, in the power domain NOMA, the transmitter serves multiple users simultaneously by superimposing signals at different

power levels. At the receiver end, interference signals from other users are decoded and removed via the Successive Interference Cancellation (SIC) technique before decoding the intended signal [127]–[129]. However, as the number of users within the network increases, the number of SIC operations required at each receiver also increases proportionally, leading to higher receiver complexity. This complexity can limit NOMA's effectiveness in serving a very large number of users within the broadband coverage area of NTN. Furthermore, if accurate Channel State Information at the Receiver (CSIR) is not well guaranteed, residual interference signals remain after SIC, resulting in error propagation that affects subsequent SIC stages and limits the effectiveness of interference control.

### *3) Spatial Division Multiple Access*

The spectral efficiency in the same frequency/time block can also be enhanced through Spatial Division Multiple Access (SDMA), which leverages the spatial Degree of Freedom (DoF) provided by the Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) technique. In SDMA, improved spectral efficiency is achieved with the beamforming technique that focuses the desired signal towards the corresponding user while reducing or nullifying interference signals by altering the phase or amplitude of the signals. The receiver treats interference signals as noise while decoding the desired stream, boosting spectral efficiency without adding receiver complexity. However, SDMA relies on beamforming, making it more effective in user-underloaded systems where perfect Channel State Information at the Transmitter (CSIT) is available. NTN often faces user-overloaded scenarios due to its broadband coverage capability. Also, obtaining perfect CSIT is usually infeasible, particularly in satellite-based NTN, due to factors such as long round-trip delays (around 250 ms for GEO satellites and 30 ms for LEO satellites) and the high mobility of satellites (around 7.5 km/s for LEO satellites) [130]. Consequently, sufficient interference management in NTN can be limited.

#### 4) *Rate-Splitting Multiple Access*

In recent, Rate-Splitting Multiple Access (RSMA) has emerged as a promising multiple access strategy to address these challenges [28], [131], [132]. A key idea of RSMA is to split each user's message into common and private parts before performing beamforming at the transmitter. The common parts are combined into a single common message and encoded into a common stream with a shared codebook, making it decodable by all users. The private parts are individually encoded with dedicated codebooks, making them decodable only by the corresponding users. On the receiver side, the common stream is first decoded, treating the private streams as noise, and subtracted from the received signal via SIC. Following this, each receiver decodes its private stream by treating the private streams of other users as noise. The users reconstruct their original messages with the information from the decoded common and private streams. RSMA's primary advantage lies in its flexible interference management, allowing it to partially decode interference while treating some of it as noise. By fine-tuning the balance between common and private parts, RSMA offers high spectral efficiency, robustness to imperfect CSIT, and scalability, while encompassing OMA, NOMA, and SDMA as special cases. Thus, RSMA is particularly useful in NTN in that it can provide reliable service under user-overloaded and imperfect CSIT conditions [131], [132]. RSMA, however, requires sophisticated signal processing to divide common and private parts, leading to higher computational demands at the transmitter [133]. For practical and efficient real-world implementations, particularly given the limitations on onboard resources in NTN, it is crucial to manage computational complexity in beamforming design carefully.

Fig. 8 summarizes the resource distribution procedures for various NGMA strategies in a two-user case as a toy example, illustrating their distinct approaches to managing limited radio resources and co-channel interference in NTN.




Fig. 8: Comparison of resource distribution procedures for various NGMA strategies.

### B. Technical Challenges for Interference Management in NTN: The Pivot Role of NGMA

Managing interference in NTN presents several technical challenges due to its unique characteristics. These include: i) intra- and inter-beam interference in multibeam SATCOM, ii) intra- and inter-network interference in Integrated Satellite-Terrestrial Networks (ISTN), and iii) hierarchical interference in multi-layer satellite networks as illustrated in Fig. 9. NGMA techniques have shown great promise in overcoming these challenges with flexible and robust interference management solutions. The following explores the technical challenges and the role of NGMA in addressing these issues in various NTN environments.

#### 1) *Multibeam SATCOM*

To achieve high throughput in multibeam SATCOM, aggressive frequency reuse is indispensable, which leads to significant intra-beam and inter-beam interference. NGMA techniques, such as RSMA, have proven to be highly effective in managing these interference challenges. For




Fig. 9: Technical challenges of interference management for various NTN environments. SU: Satellite User, CU: Cellular User, LU: LEO User, and GU: GEO User.

instance, RSMA has been used to address the max-min fairness problem in multibeam SATCOM, ensuring uniformly good data rates in the extensive satellite coverage area [134]. RSMA manages interference issues under imperfect CSIT, uneven user distribution per beam, and user overloaded scenarios. Energy efficiency is another critical challenge in multibeam SATCOM due to the power-hungry nature of satellites. To cope with this, RSMA has been used to maximize the energy efficiency of multibeam SATCOM [135]. By improving spectral efficiency per unit power with RSMA, effective power utilization is ensured even under channel phase perturbations caused



by feedback delays.

The heterogeneous traffic demands within the satellite service areas due to the extensive coverage capability of SATCOM pose another challenge. The highly asymmetric traffic distribution can lead to mismatches between traffic demands and offered rates. To tackle this issue, RSMA-based beamforming has been developed to minimize the disparities between traffic demands and offered rates [136], [137]. By flexible allocation of transmission power into common and private streams according to different traffic patterns among beams, RSMA effectively satisfies traffic demands under both perfect and imperfect CSIT conditions.

Although satellites are highly effective at delivering diverse multicast services, including video streaming, live broadcasting, and disaster alerts across extensive areas, the limited frequency/time resources make it challenging to meet the rapidly growing demands of next-generation wireless communications if distinct resource blocks are allocated for unicast and multicast services. To address this issue, RSMA-based Non-Orthogonal Unicast and Multicast (NOUM) transmission has been considered [138], [139]. By incorporating multicast messages into the common stream, which is decodable by all users within the network, RSMA enables the simultaneous provision of unicast and multicast services without increasing the complexity of the SIC operation at the receiver. Therefore, RSMA helps overcome the limitations of scarce resources, improving both unicast and multicast communication services in multibeam SATCOM.

## ***2) Integrated Satellite-Terrestrial Networks***

The integration of satellite and terrestrial networks holds significant promise in providing extensive geographic coverage, especially in remote areas where terrestrial BS infrastructure is unavailable or unreachable. In ISTN, the management of inter-network interference and intra-network interference is paramount due to the shared resources between satellite and terrestrial BS. As Satellite Users (SUs) are typically located beyond the coverage area of TN, interference

from the terrestrial BS to SUs is generally not considered. However, interference from satellites to Cellular Users (CUs) within the satellite coverage area is a significant concern that must be addressed.

To address these issues in ISTN, coordinated and cooperative systems have been considered to manage intra-network and inter-network interference [140]. In the coordinated system, the satellite and terrestrial BS share CSI to manage inter-network interference. However, despite the shared CSI, inter-network interference can still arise due to satellite-to-CU links. The cooperative system, on the other hand, not only shares the CSI but also facilitates data exchange between satellite and terrestrial BS via additional optical links, ensuring useful data transmission even through satellite-to-CU links that would otherwise cause signal interference. However, this introduces significant signaling overhead due to the need for data exchange between satellite and terrestrial BS.

To mitigate data overhead in the cooperative system and inter-network interference in the coordinated system, an advanced coordinated scheme has been developed [141]. This scheme, built upon the Han-Kobayashi strategy, a quasi-optimal approach for the two-user Gaussian interference channel, generates and transmits additional data from the satellite that contain messages intended only for SUs but is decodable by both SUs and CUs. By doing so, CUs can decode part of the interference from satellite-to-CU links, effectively reducing inter-network interference without the need for data sharing between the satellite and terrestrial BS.

### ***3) Multi-Layer Satellite Networks***

To achieve the potential benefits of frequency reuse and multibeam techniques in SATCOM, the coexistence of GEO and LEO satellites should be considered, as well as competition between large-scale LEO constellations. However, this co-existence and spectrum sharing result in not only intra-satellite interference (i.e., inter-user interference from the intended satellite), but also

additional hierarchical signal interference. That is, along with intra-satellite interference, GEO Users (GUs) encounter inter-system interference from LEO satellites. At the same time, LEO Users (LUs) face both inter-LEO interference from unintended LEO satellites and inter-system interference from GEO satellites. Advanced NGMA techniques have shown promise in managing such interference issues.

Inter-system interference for coexistence networks of the GEO and LEO satellites can be managed with the cognitive radio method [28], [142]. This method involves treating LEO SATCOM as a secondary network operating alongside the primary GEO SATCOM. To ensure the QoS of the primary GEO SATCOM above a certain level, the interference level from the LEO satellite to GUs is restricted. Carrier assignment at the LEO satellite can be performed to enhance the data rate of LUs effectively.

The management of interference in the coexistence networks of a GEO satellite and multiple LEO satellites, distributed-RSMA, which implements RSMA in multilayer satellite networks, has been proposed [143]. The centralized data processing gateway splits messages for GUs into common and private parts, while messages for LUs are split into super common, sub-common, and private parts. The GEO common message and LEO super common messages are combined and encoded into a super common stream, decodable by all GUs and LUs. Sub-common messages of each LEO are combined and encoded into a sub-common stream with a codebook shared by corresponding LUs. Private messages for GUs and LUs are individually encoded using codebooks known only by their dedicated users. Therefore, inter-system and inter-LEO interference, as well as intra-LEO interference, can be effectively managed thanks to the super common stream and sub-common streams, respectively, thereby enhancing overall network performance.

Using these key enabling NGMA techniques, NTN can address the complex interference challenges inherent in multibeam SATCOM, ISTN, and multi-layer satellite networks, thereby

improving spectral efficiency, energy efficiency, and overall service quality, including service continuity.

## VII. RIS-EMPOWERED 6G NTN: POTENTIALS AND CHALLENGES

Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RIS) has emerged as a groundbreaking technology for 5G and beyond wireless networks in the last decade. With distinctive features, such as the capability to complete duplex within a wide spectrum, low latency, flexible deployment, and the ability to deliberately manipulate radio propagation, the RIS offers promising solutions to meet demands in the ever-evolving landscape of wireless networks [22], [130], [144]. The RIS is a planar meta-surface consisting of ultra-thin unit cells. Each cell comprises tunable components, such as PIN diodes, varactors, liquid crystals, memristors, etc., allowing us to manipulate instant electromagnetic waves. Those cells can be controlled using microprocessors to modify the phase and/or amplitude of the impinging wave. This brings in improved signal quality, extended service coverage, better interference management, and low energy consumption. Therefore, RIS technology has recently become a key enabler in the next generation of wireless communication.

Initially, RISs have attracted the attention of academia and industry because of their nearly passive structures with lower power consumption compared to conventional ones such as relays. Passive type RISs make use of passive components that form an impedance-adjustable circuit to enable phase shift on the impinging signal [145], [146]. Those RISs do not use active RF components and introduce a negligible thermal noise. If a passive RIS consists of a high number of elements (unit cells), it is theoretically possible to achieve a high array gain. However, in practice, this is not possible due to the multiplicative fading effect when there is a strong LoS between the transmitter and the receiver. Additionally, the receiver could be located very far away from the RISs. Therefore, to overcome this issue, the active-type RIS concept has been

proposed in the literature. This kind of RISs not only reflect but also amplify and forward the received signal. To do this, they use active reflection-type amplifiers, unlike passive-type RISs. Although they use active components, power consumption is still low compared to conventional ones such as relays [146].

Furthermore, a novel RIS concept named simultaneous transmission and reflection-RIS (STAR-RIS) has been proposed to further extend the coverage. STAR-RIS enables  $360^\circ$  transmission coverage by reflecting and refracting the impinging signals [147]. Unlike conventional RISs, STAR-RISs should have more metamaterial elements that allow induction, production, and radiation. Therefore, it is possible to flexibly determine beam steering through the indoor and outdoor receivers. Most recently, with advances in AI technology, the use of AI in the design of novel RIS structures has accelerated. For example, intelligent meta-surface stacking concepts (SIM), consisting of multiple cascaded meta-surface layers, have been investigated [144], [148]. In a SIM, each layer can be digitally controlled in real-time with digital arrays, e.g., field-programmable gate array. The SIM can hierarchically control the energy of the electromagnetic wave that is reflected. In a layer, a unit cell (also known as meta-atom) acts as a re-programmable artificial neuron. The unit cell in the layer, where the electromagnetic wave is passing through, can be considered as a secondary source, and that cell can illuminate all the unit cells in the subsequent layer. All waves transmitted to the unit cell in the layer are superimposed; thus, all these waves act as the influx wave onto the unit cell in the subsequent layer. This process continues until the last layer. With the aid of a SIM, various signal processing tasks, for example image classification, can be handled in the electromagnetic wave domain [144]. Recently, the authors of [149] have considered the application of the SIM concept in a holographic MIMO communication network. They showed that the SIM has the ability to reduce processing delay and overall energy consumption compared to MIMO transceiver designs.

In summary, owing to the remarkable benefits and flexible structures mentioned above, RIS technology opens many opportunities as a prominent phenomenon for 5G and beyond concepts. Therefore, many magazines and tutorial/survey-type papers have been published to attract the focus of researchers and strengthen the use of RISs in the forthcoming communication networks. Table VI shows an overview of the most prominent ones.

TABLE VI: Overview of existing most prominent magazine and tutorial/survey type RIS papers.

| <b>Ref.</b> | <b>Type</b>      | <b>Key Contribution</b>  |
|-------------|------------------|--|
| [150]       | Magazine         | Propose a programmable planar meta-surface, named Hypersurface tile, along with software-controlled architecture, and investigate its feasibility in the current networks infrastructures.   |
| [151]       | Magazine         | Represent an overview for the RIS technology together with its prospective applications in wireless networks, and address the advantages and key challenges crucial for practical implementations.   |
| [152]       | Magazine         | Shed light on the practical use-cases of RIS technology by discussing the physical channel modeling, and introduce a new software-tool (graphical user interface (GUI)) for RIS-aided millimeter-wave systems valid for many wireless environments.  |
| [147]       | Magazine         | Provide an overview of a new RIS concept, namely STAR-RIS, and discuss its major differences between the conventional reflect-only RISs by elaborating hardware design and physical principles.  |
| [144]       | Magazine         | Delve into the unprecedented comprehensive world of RIS technology, especially elaborating hardware architectures, and unveil the interplay with emerging technologies, such as index modulation, integrated sensing and communication, and next generation multiple access.   |
| [153]       | Tutorial /Survey | Introduce a detailed overview for RIS technology together with historical perspective, and explore its theoretical performance limits when applied in emerging wireless systems.   |
| [154]       | Tutorial /Survey | Introduce a comprehensive overview for general smart radio environments empowered by RISs by addressing major concerns raised in the literature regarding underlying principles of hardware structures, working principle, consistency of the mathematical theories and electromagnetism, the most promising use cases and applications in wireless networks along with challenges, and current state of research. |
| [155]       | Tutorial /Survey | Present a comprehensive survey for RISs regarding the applications and design aspects in forthcoming wireless networks, and focus on various performance metrics and approaches for the performance improvement.   |
| [156]       | Tutorial /Survey | Provide an overview of RIS technology to address the crucial challenges, such as reflection optimization, channel estimation, and deployment cases, and elaborate hardware architectures as well as various application scenarios.   |

### A. *The Role of RISs in NTN*

One of the key objectives of RIS, for which it was originally created, is to improve availability, eliminate blind areas, and ensure that users are always connected on the ground. In the case of NTN-based communication, RIS has a lot of potential to enhance performance for cellular customers who depend on weak or absent connections to BS. In the following, we investigate separately the use of RISs in different aerial vehicle-assisted systems.

#### 1) *UAV-assisted NTN*

As demonstrated in [22], UAVs that utilize RIS—a particular application of NTN—have effectively shown the advantages of RIS technology within NTN systems. On the one hand, RIS-mounted UAVs can complement conventional terrestrial infrastructures by expanding coverage and enhancing communication reliability, particularly for emergency incidents, temporary events, and/or remote areas, where establishing terrestrial infrastructures is challenging or expensive. To do this, the RIS mounted on the UAV reflects the received signal from terrestrial BS to the dedicated area [157]. However, RIS mounted on the surface of buildings can assist in UAV-based communication when the LOS environment between the BS and the UAV is harsh. In that case, the RIS generates an indirect path which boosts the received signal from the BS to the UAV. These applications allow for the continuity and quality of high-speed broadband service [158]. In light of this, the authors of [159] conducted a comprehensive performance analysis for a UAV-based communication network, where a RIS-mounted UAV maintains communication between the BS and a ground user. They provided new closed-form theoretical expressions for the outage probability, average bit error rate, and average sum-rate. In [160], a URLLC system based on TDMA was considered, where a RIS-mounted UAV reflects the signal coming from an access point to IoT devices. Not only were the phase shifts of the RIS, but also the transmission

duration of each IoT device and the location of the UAV were optimized by minimizing the total transmission power. In [161], an energy efficiency maximization problem was considered in a RIS-assisted UAV network, where a RIS mounted in a building assists the UAV in serving a ground user. The 3D trajectory of the UAV and the phase shifts of the RIS were jointly optimized by implementing DRL algorithms, namely, double deep Q learning and deep deterministic policy gradient, to fully explore the mobility of the UAV and achieve high accuracy phase shifts.

Although there are many studies conducted in the literature, some of which are elaborated above, UAV-based communications with/without RISs may raise potential concerns about physical layer security (PLS). This is because illegitimate users (also known as eavesdroppers (Eves)) can capture signals transmitted by UAVs and RISs and can cause jamming effects. Therefore, PLS issues should also be considered when setting up RIS-aided and RIS-mounted UAV communications [162]. One solution is that the RIS-mounted UAV can be located over the legitimate users with the help of the BS. On the other hand, phase shifts of the RIS are adjusted to boost the corresponding signals to the legitimate users. In addition, jamming attacks of Eves can be prevented by sending artificial noise signals which are directed by the RIS from the BS to Evas. To improve the PLS of a UAV-based network, in [163], a terrestrial RIS mounted on a building was considered to strengthen the communication links of legitimate users and weaken Evas' links. To maximize average worst-case secrecy rate, a joint optimization problem was formulated considering the UAV's trajectory, phase shifts of the RIS, and transmit power of the legitimate users. Furthermore, the authors of [164] considered the similar system of [163], but also took into account multiple Evas to maximize the average secrecy rate.

## 2) *HAPS-assisted NTN*

HAPS are another cost-effective vehicle to serve remote areas, in which they have quasi-stationary mobility in the stratosphere relative to the Earth and operate around 20 km above. The



coverage area of HAPS is about 50 km, and HAPS can also act as relay stations between satellites and UAV/BSs [165]. To establish a HAPS-based network, several criteria should be considered, such as low energy consumption, light payload, and reliable communication. At this point, the use of RISs has been regarded as one of the most promising solutions. For example, from a traffic backhauling point of view, remote area BSs maintain the traffic of ground users by establishing connections with a RIS-mounted HAPS. Thus, the RIS-mounted HAPS intelligently reflects the corresponding signals to a gateway station that has a connection to the core network. Additionally, reflecting the signals from one HAPS to another in a multi-hop relaying manner allows further enhancement of the coverage [157]. The authors of [166] consider a network, where a RIS-mounted HAPS assists unsupported ground users to ensure the connection between the dedicated control station. To maximize the number of connected ground users and minimize the total power used by the control station and RIS, a new resource-efficient optimization problem was formulated. In [167], a novel network architecture consisting of aerodynamic HAPS mounted with RIS was introduced to ensure reliable communication between the BS and the ground users, particularly for emergency situations. A multi-objective optimization problem was proposed, designing phase shifts of the RIS, to maximize cascade channel gain. In this problem, mitigating the Doppler spread was also considered. Moreover, in [168], the authors provide a comprehensive performance analysis for two HAPS based communication networks; one consists of a RIS-mounted HAPS ensuring reliable communication between a satellite and a ground user, and the other addresses the use of a HAPS (acting as conventional decode-and-forward relay) and a building mounted on RIS in the same system setting. Closed-form mathematical expressions for prominent performance metrics, namely, outage probability, average symbol error rate of various quadrature amplitude modulations, and ergodic rate. The aerial RIS-assisted systems were shown to outperform compared to terrestrial RIS-assisted ones.

### 3) *Satellite-assisted NTN*

Given the recent technological progresses and requirements to achieve ubiquitous 6G connectivity, it is obvious that the exploitation of satellites is expected to be an indispensable pioneering approach. From the satellite type perspective, MEO satellites can offer wireless communication from 2G to 3G, while GEO satellites can only serve for 2G in terms of latency requirements. On the other hand, LEO satellites are able to provide 4G communication owing to low round-trip delay [169]. Additionally, LEO satellites have many beneficial prospects, such as low-cost structure, offering high data rate, ensuring high reliability, providing high-precision positioning, and assisting high mobility applications. Therefore, with the recent advancements in satellite industry, it is envisioned that the use of LEO satellites is the most promising candidate for 5G and beyond communication networks [170]. However, satellites face many challenges; for instance, GEO satellites suffer from high propagation delay increasing path-loss effect. MEO satellites depend on the trade-off between the coverage and delay. LEO satellites face severe Doppler effect, limited power, and short visibility. To combat many challenges encountered in satellite-assisted NTN and reinforce the integration of TN and NTN, the use of RISs has attracted great attention [22], [130], [165]. For instance, the authors of [171] explore improving the coordination between NTN and deep-space networks with the aid of RIS deployment. The performance behavior of RIS-assisted inter-satellite links is investigated in the presence of harsh environments, such as solar scintillation and misalignment fading. It is demonstrated that transmit power should be adopted according to satellite's position relative to the Sun, and novel beam alignment approaches should be developed to avoid environmental effects. In [172], the authors consider terahertz band communication by quantifying misalignment fading and propose mounting RISs on LEO satellites to combat high path loss in terahertz-based inter-satellite network. In [173], RIS-aided hybrid satellite-terrestrial relay networks, where a RIS cooperates a BS to boost the satellited

signals corresponding to the blocked users. An alternating optimization approach exploiting singular value decomposition together with uplink-downlink duality is proposed to minimize the total transmit power of the satellite and BS. Phase shifts of the RIS are also optimized using Taylor expansion and penalty function methods. On the other hand, the authors of [174] consider using multiple RIS-mounted UAVs to complement the communication between a satellite and ground user in a multibeam communication networks. To ensure the connectivity, each RIS-mounted UAV hovers on each beam area. The authors investigated the impact of frequency reuse factor on the sum rate, because RISs may also amplify inter-beam interference as well as the desired signals. To demonstrate the performance behavior of the network, the closed form ergodic sum rate is derived in the presence of hardware impairments. In [175], a terrestrial RIS is considered to improve the signal strength of the ground user. In the network, a satellite transmits the ground user's signal to full-duplex UAV because of the blockages, then the RIS assists UAV for the re-transmission of corresponding signals. The closed-form outage probability is obtained for the end-to-end transmission in the presence of imperfect hardware and co-channel interference. The authors of [176] propose making use of a terrestrial RIS to mitigate the severe signal attenuation caused by satellite and terrestrial environment in a multi-LEO satellite network. It is considered that multiple LEOs can transmit the desired signals to a ground receiver within the visible time. An alternating optimization algorithm is applied to maximize the received signal power subjected to on-board power limits of satellites and modulus constraints of the RIS's phase shifts.

As seen in the studies above, there is a great deal of effort to improve signal quality between satellites and ground users with the aid of terrestrial and/or aerial RISs. However, efficient resource utilization is of vital importance in achieving high spectral efficiency. Therefore, investigating the integration of RISs in NGMA-based satellite networks has also received considerable

interest in the literature. For example, the authors of [177] propose a novel signal constellation scaling and rotation in a terrestrial STAR-RIS-aided NOMA-based LEO satellite network. To achieve optimal performance of bit error rate, a joint maximum likelihood detector is considered; thus, a significant performance improvement is demonstrated via extensive simulations. In [178], an energy efficiency maximization problem is analyzed for a terrestrial satellite network based on RIS-assisted NOMA. In the network, a multi-antenna BS communicates with multiple NOMA users, and a LEO satellite transmits common information to multiple users. The proposed optimization algorithm for RIS-assisted NOMA is shown to outperform its counterparts. Likewise, the authors of [179] also consider the energy efficiency problem in a NOMA-based RIS-assisted LEO network in the absence of terrestrial BS communication. Furthermore, in [180], the use of terrestrial RIS in an RSMA-based uplink satellite network is proposed because RSMA provides better interference management. To demonstrate the effectiveness of RISs, the probability of outage of the considered network is investigated, and novel theoretical expressions are derived.

Due to the nature of broadcasting, satellite networks are vulnerable to security threats similar to those of UAV and HAPS. In addition, assisting a satellite network with terrestrial/aerial RISs may increase the risk factor for these threats. Therefore, the authors of [181] develop a secure transmission scheme based on the PLS approach for an active terrestrial cognitive satellite network assisted by RIS. In the network, a satellite and a BS use the same spectrum resource, while multiple eavesdroppers try to capture the desired signal transmitted from the BS to a mobile user. Active RIS is exploited to improve the secure transmission of BS-user links and mitigate interference from the satellite. Maximization of the achievable secrecy rate is analyzed under the constraints of power and interference threshold. The authors have shown the domination of the RIS on artificial noise approach to achieve better security. In [182], covert communication is considered in a satellite network, where a terrestrial RIS improves the desired signals of

legitimate users while hindering the illegitimate user. To maximize the minimum covert rate, a max-min optimization problem is formulated subject to transmit powers and the RIS's phase shifts. The authors of [183] propose using a pair of RISs in uplink satellite networks, where a ground BS communicates with a legitimate satellite. To enhance the secrecy rate, one of the RISs is located near to the ground BS, while the other one is mounted on the legitimate satellite. An alternating optimization algorithm is proposed to jointly optimize the beamforming of the ground BS and phase shifts of the RISs. It is shown that the use of double RISs outperforms the case consisting of a single RIS in terms of secrecy rate.

Recently, LEO-based NTN have been regarded as the most notable solutions for enhancing 6G connectivity, because LEO satellites provide high throughput and low latency levels. However, terrestrial/aerial receivers experience significantly high Doppler shift effect because of the rapid movement of LEO satellites, even if those receivers are motionless. The Doppler shift brings about a mismatch of the carrier frequency offset (CFO) between the satellite and receiver, introducing increased inter-carrier interference (ICI) to the desired orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) signal. Therefore, compensating for the Doppler effect is of utmost importance in LEO-based communication networks. Some methods used for terrestrial communication already exist and some of them are evaluated in [184], such as *timing offset estimation and correction*, *frequency offset estimation and correction*, and *mitigation of ICI using frequency equalization / ICI self-canceling / time domain windowing*. For LEO-based satellite networks, the studies [185], [186] propose pre-compensating for the Doppler shift with respect to the LEO's beam center before the actual communication, while mitigating the residual Doppler shift portion at the receiver in a post-compensating manner. The methods used are shown to significantly improve the detection probability at the receiver; however, a high SNR level is required to estimate the residual Doppler shift in the high-frequency bands. Although

the mentioned methods are able to partially mitigate the Doppler shift, they can increase signal overhead, hardware complexity, and transmission latency. However, utilizing RISs to compensate for the Doppler shift has been considered an alternative promising solution in recent literature [187]. The authors of [188] propose deploying an RIS between a LEO satellite and the ground user for an uplink network to mitigate the Doppler shift effect by optimizing the phase shifts of the RIS. In [189], an optimization framework is proposed to improve the achievable rate in a network based on LEO aided by RIS. To mitigate the Doppler shift effect, power allocation optimization and passive beamforming for the RIS's phase shifts are jointly conducted. Likewise, the authors of [190] consider an OFDM-based LEO network and propose the deployment of a RIS between the satellite and the ground user. The outage probability of the system is analyzed together with asymptotic approximations to demonstrate the effectiveness of utilizing RISs for the mitigation of the Doppler shift effect. It has been shown that using RISs significantly enhances the received signal quality in the presence of the Doppler shift, if the phase shifts of RISs are properly adjusted.

### VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

On one hand, the NTN could complement the terrestrial 6G infrastructure by extending coverage to remote and undeserved areas where deploying traditional TN is challenging or economically unfeasible. On the other hand, increased propagation delays, Doppler shift, form factor, seamless coverage, etc., are some of the challenges faced by NTN to become fully integrated in TN. In the following, we have highlighted some of the key challenges faced by NTN and some future considerations that can be taken into account to overcome them.

## *A. Challenges*

### *1) Delay/Latency*

Due to satellite signals' considerable distance, they are always subject to high latency. To illustrate, round-trip times by GEO satellites may exceed 500 milliseconds, which renders them unsuitable for those low or ultra-low latency applications including online gaming, video streaming, as well as virtual or augmented reality. In contrast, LEO satellites have latencies that range from tens of milliseconds to tens of milliseconds. However, in case of the LEO; realistic latency depends on a number of factors, such as satellite constellation size, which affects the waiting time for message sending, as well as sites, which influence payload delivery times around the ground. Compared to TN, NTN experience much higher propagation path losses due to the long distances to UE. Additionally, NTN platforms can cover large areas and serve a large number of users, leading to varied propagation delays and path losses in different regions. This variability makes it difficult to maintain consistent communication quality for all users and complicates the management of initial access and synchronization for this diverse user base [191], [192].

### *2) Channel Estimation*

Channel estimation is a critical function in any communication system, but it presents unique challenges for NTN due to their inherently time-varying characteristics. Spaceborne NTN platforms, such as LEO satellites, move across the sky from horizon to horizon within just 5-10 minutes. As a result, users on Earth are covered by a specific NTN platform for only a short duration. Additionally, the long propagation delay can quickly make the estimated CSI outdated. Consequently, conventional estimation methods used in TN may not be appropriate for NTN, requiring more advanced techniques to ensure efficient operation [193], [194].

### ***3) Financial Viability/Device Cost***

In the last few years, companies have made many announcements regarding the launch of LEO satellite constellations. However, these launches often fall short of the big plans these firms set out, with many opting either not to proceed or to scale back their plans significantly. On one hand, the LEO satellites faces a significant challenge with their short lifespan (ranges from 5 to 10 years). On the other hand, Companies deploying these satellites must rapidly generate enough business to keep up with the need to replace aging satellites and expand their constellations. Without swift business growth, companies may find it difficult to replace aging satellites and expand their constellations effectively [195], [196].

### ***4) Resource management***

NTN platforms need to transmit signals with much higher power compared to terrestrial terminals to overcome significant path loss and ensure successful signal decoding for users on Earth [197]. This creates a challenge, since the NTN platforms do not have access to the stable power sources available to the terrestrial terminals. Furthermore, the frequency bands designated for NTN communications, namely the S-band and the Ka-band, are limited and already heavily used. The S band is occupied by 4G LTE devices, while the Ka-band is used by devices equipped with millimeter wave in 5G. As a result, NTN users may face co-channel interference from these terrestrial devices. This situation calls for innovative spectrum sharing solutions to intelligently and efficiently manage the limited frequency bands [195], [196].

### ***5) Mobility Management/Doppler Effect***

Users on Earth face major Doppler effects from NTN platforms due to their fast movement speeds, which cause significant distortion in communications links. The Doppler effect, which involves a frequency shift of signals caused by relative motion between transceivers, also occurs in TN, such as with users in high-speed trains or cars. However, this effect is more pronounced



in NTN due to the higher velocities of these platforms [198]. For example, a user communicating with a LEO satellite at an altitude of 600 kilometers may experience a Doppler shift of up to 48 kHz at a carrier frequency of 2 GHz, which is much larger than the shifts typically encountered in TN [195].

## *B. Future Considerations*

### *1) Integrated NTN & TN*

6G is anticipated to deliver significantly enhanced connectivity compared to previous generations and offer better data rates, reduced latency and stronger reliability. In order to realize this ambition NTN will be merged with TN so that seamless connectivity will be maintained across different regions. One of the intrinsic disadvantages of NTN includes limited uninterrupted coverage within some places like buildings, heavily forested areas or highly populated cities. The combination of NTN and TN helps solve the problem of ensuring continuous coverage. Creating hybrid solutions that utilize both cellular phone and satellite technology has an advantage over merely relying on satellite communication [199], [200].

### *2) Orthogonal Time Frequency Space Modulation for NTN*

To guarantee establishing high-reliability communication in NTN deployments, novel methods having the ability to deal with the Doppler effect are required. Although the OFDM scheme is currently used in many mobile networks; however, it is sensitive to ICI caused by the Doppler effect. In addition, the limited coherence time of the time-frequency domain channel in an OFDM system causes a significant channel estimation overhead. It is shown that one channel coherence interval may contain only a few OFDM symbols, causing an increase in the number of pilot symbols [201]. To address this issue, orthogonal time frequency space (OTFS) modulation has recently been proposed as an efficient solution. Unlike the OFDM scheme, the OTFS modulation

is a two-dimensional scheme considering the delay-Doppler domain to multiplex information signals. Hence, OTFS modulation offers considerable benefits, such as delay / Doppler resilience, robustness against CFO (introducing ICI), alleviated channel estimation overhead, enhanced spectrum utilization, reduced peak-to-average power ratio, and flexible interaction with existing systems, which encourage researchers to exploit it in high-mobility systems [202], [203].

### 3) *Blockchain for NTN*

Blockchain technology offers considerable promise for improving multiple facets of NTN systems, including security, interoperability, efficiency, and privacy [204]. For example, utilizing public and private key mechanisms along with digital signatures, the blockchain can enhance secure and privacy-oriented identity management within NTN. This approach facilitates transparent administration and protects against unauthorized access. In addition, smart contracts can streamline network segmenting by automating agreements between network entities and optimizing the allocation and management of resources. The blockchain also promotes collaboration and resource sharing through digital tokens and incentive structures, motivating participants to contribute resources, share data, or collaborate, thus addressing the challenges related to resource and data management in NTN.

### 4) *Generative AI for NTN*

Exploring the integration of Generative AI into NTN is a promising research direction with substantial potential. Generative AI, known for its ability to create synthetic data and novel content, can address several challenges faced by NTN. For example, it can produce high-quality synthetic data to support the training and testing of traditional AI methods in the complex and diverse environments of NTN. Additionally, Generative AI can enhance NTN security by generating realistic attack scenarios, which aids in evaluating the network defense mechanisms thoroughly. Moreover, it can be used to generate network data to simulate various conditions,

thereby facilitating network optimization and predicting potential bottlenecks [205].

### *C. Conclusion*

The introduction of NTN technology entails numerous challenges; however, it is important to note that these difficulties are far outweighed by the benefits. Successfully implementing NTN requires a coordination of government action, private sector initiatives, and international organizations to set up the infrastructure and regulatory frameworks required. Some of the major benefits that NTN can bring include improved human development, economic advancement, and global connectivity. In essence, a powerful solution to connectivity challenges is what offers NTN. Its potential to connect underserved populations via extensive resilient cell phone coverage, enhance disaster mitigation ability, and support worldwide IoT applications makes it capable of closing the digital gap. The fact that this technology has the ability to empower marginalized groups, predominantly women from developing countries, emphasizes its revolutionary role in rural areas. This could be a critical factor in ensuring that nobody is left behind in terms of accessing digitally connected life as the world moves towards greater interdependence between nations.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M. Z. Shakir and S. Manzoor acknowledge that this publication was made possible by NPRP13S-0130-200200 from the Qatar National Research Fund (a member of the Qatar Foundation). The work of M. Toka, J. Seong, and W. Shin was supported by the Institute of Information & Communications Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grants (No.2021-0-00260 and No.2021-0-00467).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. Jamshed, K. Ali, Q. H. Abbasi, M. A. Imran, and M. Ur-Rehman, "Challenges, applications, and future of wireless sensors in internet of things: A review," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 5482–5494, 2022.
- [2] A. Kaushik, R. Singh, S. Dayarathna, R. Senanayake, M. Di Renzo, M. Dajer, H. Ji, Y. Kim, V. Sciancalepore, A. Zappone, and W. Shien, "Toward integrated sensing and communications for 6G: Key enabling technologies, standardization, and challenges," *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 52–59, 2024.
- [3] M. A. Jamshed and F. Jameel, "When the IoT meets IRS: Intelligent reflecting surfaces for massive IoT connectivity," *Internet of Things Newsletter*, accessed, pp. 01–27, 2020.
- [4] M. Hosseinian, J. P. Choi, S.-H. Chang, and J. Lee, "Review of 5G NTN standards development and technical challenges for satellite integration with the 5G network," *IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 22–31, 2021.
- [5] F. Rinaldi, H.-L. Maattanen, J. Torsner, S. Pizzi, S. Andreev, A. Iera, Y. Koucheryavy, and G. Araniti, "Non-terrestrial networks in 5G & beyond: A survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 165 178–165 200, 2020.
- [6] G. Zheng, Q. Ni, K. Navaie, H. Pervaiz, A. Kaushik, and C. Zarakovitis, "Energy-efficient semantic communication for aerial-aided edge networks," *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, 2024.
- [7] G. Araniti, A. Iera, S. Pizzi, and F. Rinaldi, "Toward 6G non-terrestrial networks," *IEEE Network*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 113–120, 2021.
- [8] A. Kaushik and M. Z. Shakir, "Non-terrestrial networks: Have we found that ultimate catalyst for global connectivity in 6G?" *IEEE Communications Technology News (CTN)*, 2022.
- [9] G. Geraci, D. López-Pérez, M. Benzaghta, and S. Chatzinotas, "Integrating terrestrial and non-terrestrial networks: 3D opportunities and challenges," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 42–48, 2022.
- [10] M. Benzaghta, G. Geraci, R. Nikbakht, and D. López-Pérez, "UAV communications in integrated terrestrial and non-terrestrial networks," in *IEEE Global Communications Conference*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 3706–3711.
- [11] R. Singh, A. Kaushik, W. Shin, M. Di Renzo, V. Sciancalepore, D. Lee, H. Sasaki, A. Shojaeifard, and O. A. Dobre, "Towards 6G evolution: Three enhancements, three innovations, and three major challenges," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10781*, 2024.
- [12] A. Kaushik, R. Singh, M. Li, H. Luo, S. Dayarathna, R. Senanayake, X. An, R. A. Stirling-Gallacher, W. Shin, and M. Di Renzo, "Integrated sensing and communications for IoT: Synergies with key 6G technology enablers," *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, 2024.
- [13] H. Zhang, R. Liu, A. Kaushik, and X. Gao, "Satellite edge computing with collaborative computation offloading: An

- intelligent deep deterministic policy gradient approach,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 9092–9107, 2023.
- [14] M. V. Katwe, A. Kaushik, K. Singh, M. Di Renzo, S. Sun, D. Lee, A. G. Armada, Y. C. Eldar, O. A. Dobre, and T. S. Rappaport, “Cmwave and sub-THz: Key radio enablers and complementary spectrum for 6G,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18391*, 2024.
- [15] 3rd Generation Partnership Project, “3GPP Technologies - Non-Terrestrial Networks (NTN),” May 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.3gpp.org/technologies/ntn-overview>
- [16] M. M. Saad, M. A. Tariq, M. T. R. Khan, and D. Kim, “Non-terrestrial networks: An overview of 3GPP release 17 & 18,” *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 20–26, 2024.
- [17] M. A. Jamshed, O. Amjad, and E. Zeydan, “Multicore energy efficient scheduling with energy harvesting for wireless multimedia sensor networks,” in *2017 International Multi-topic Conference (INMIC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–5.
- [18] M. A. Jamshed, H. Pervaiz, S. H. Ahmed, and A. S. Alam, “Cooperative communication techniques in wireless-powered backscatter communication: Preambles and technical perspective,” *Wireless-powered backscatter communications for internet of things*, pp. 1–24, 2021.
- [19] M. A. Jamshed, A. Nauman, M. A. B. Abbasi, and S. W. Kim, “Antenna selection and designing for THz applications: Suitability and performance evaluation: A survey,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 113 246–113 261, 2020.
- [20] M. A. Jamshed, F. Heliot, and T. W. Brown, “A survey on electromagnetic risk assessment and evaluation mechanism for future wireless communication systems,” *IEEE Journal of Electromagnetics, RF and Microwaves in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 24–36, 2019.
- [21] C. T. Nguyen, Y. M. Saputra, N. Van Huynh, T. N. Nguyen, D. T. Hoang, D. N. Nguyen, V.-Q. Pham, M. Voznak, S. Chatzinotas, and D.-H. Tran, “Emerging technologies for 6G non-terrestrial-networks: From academia to industrial applications,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07763*, 2024.
- [22] M. A. Jamshed, A. Kaushik, M. Toka, W. Shin, M. Z. Shakir, S. P. Dash, and D. Dardari, “Synergizing airborne non-terrestrial networks and reconfigurable intelligent surfaces-aided 6G IoT,” *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 46–52, 2024.
- [23] M. A. Jamshed, Q. H. Abbasi, and M. Ur-Rehman, “Feasibility of intelligent reflecting surfaces to combine terrestrial and non-terrestrial networks,” *Intelligent Reconfigurable Surfaces (IRS) for Prospective 6G Wireless Networks*, pp. 25–40, 2022.
- [24] M. A. Jamshed, A. Kaushik, A. G. Armada, M. Di Renzo, D. Lee, R. Senanayake, and O. A. Dobre, “Guest editorial: Technical advancements in NTN-assisted internet-of-things: Global connectivity from the sky,” *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 10–11, 2024.

- [25] M. Awais, H. Pervaiz, M. A. Jamshed, W. Yu, and Q. Ni, "Energy-aware resource optimization for improved URLLC in multi-hop integrated aerial terrestrial networks," *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, 2023.
- [26] M. A. Jamshed, F. Ayaz, A. Kaushik, C. Fischione, and M. Ur-Rehman, "Green UAV-enabled internet-of-things network with ai-assisted noma for disaster management," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13802*, 2023.
- [27] M. Awais, H. Pervaiz, M. A. Jamshed, W. Yu, and Q. Ni, "Enhancing URLLC in integrated aerial terrestrial networks: Design insights and performance trade-offs," in *2022 IEEE 23rd International Symposium on a World of Wireless, Mobile and Multimedia Networks (WoWMoM)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 548–553.
- [28] J. Ryu, A. Kaushik, B. Lee, and W. Shin, "Rate-splitting multiple access for GEO-LEO coexisting satellite systems: A traffic-aware throughput maximization precoder design," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2024.
- [29] A. Nauman, M. A. Jamshed, R. Ali, K. Cengiz, S. W. Kim *et al.*, "Reinforcement learning-enabled intelligent device-to-device (I-D2D) communication in narrowband internet of things (NB-IoT)," *Computer Communications*, vol. 176, pp. 13–22, 2021.
- [30] A. Nauman, M. A. Jamshed, Y. Ahmad, R. Ali, Y. B. Zikria, and S. W. Kim, "An intelligent deterministic D2D communication in narrow-band internet of things," in *2019 15th International Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 2111–2115.
- [31] R. Meng, A. A. Shah, M. A. Jamshed, and D. Pezaros, "Federated learning-based intrusion detection framework for internet of things and edge computing backed critical infrastructure," in *2024 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 810–815.
- [32] M. A. Jamshed, M. Ismail, H. Pervaiz, R. Atat, I. S. Bayram, and Q. Ni, "Reinforcement learning-based allocation of fog nodes for cloud-based smart grid," *e-Prime-Advances in Electrical Engineering, Electronics and Energy*, vol. 4, p. 100144, 2023.
- [33] F. M. A. Khan, H. Abou-Zeid, A. Kaushik, and S. A. Hassan, "Advancing IIoT with over-the-air federated learning: The role of iterative magnitude pruning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14120*, 2024.
- [34] A. Paul, K. Singh, A. Kaushik, C.-P. Li, O. A. Dobre, M. D. Renzo, and T. Q. Duong, "Quantum-enhanced DRL optimization for DoA estimation and task offloading in isac systems," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2024.
- [35] S. K. Haider, A. Jiang, M. A. Jamshed, H. Pervaiz, and S. Mumtaz, "Performance enhancement in P300 ERP single trial by machine learning adaptive denoising mechanism," *IEEE Networking Letters*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 26–29, 2018.
- [36] H. Zhang, H. Zhao, R. Liu, A. Kaushik, X. Gao, and S. Xu, "Collaborative task offloading optimization for satellite mobile edge computing using multi-agent deep reinforcement learning," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2024.

- [37] Y. Hu, Y. Liu, A. Kaushik, C. Masouros, and J. S. Thompson, “Timely data collection for UAV-based IOT networks: A deep reinforcement learning approach,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 12 295–12 308, 2023.
- [38] X. Gao, R. Liu, A. Kaushik, and H. Zhang, “Dynamic resource allocation for virtual network function placement in satellite edge clouds,” *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2252–2265, 2022.
- [39] X. Gao, R. Liu, and A. Kaushik, “Virtual network function placement in satellite edge computing with a potential game approach,” *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1243–1259, 2022.
- [40] —, “Service chaining placement based on satellite mission planning in ground station networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 3049–3063, 2020.
- [41] J. Ryu, A. Kaushik, B. Lee, and W. Shin, “Traffic-aware throughput maximization for coexistence of LEO and GEO satellite systems,” in *Proc. 2024 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops*, 2024, pp. 123–128.
- [42] X. Gao, R. Liu, and A. Kaushik, “An energy efficient approach for service chaining placement in satellite ground station networks,” in *2021 International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing (IWCMC)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 217–222.
- [43] X. Lin, “Artificial Intelligence in 3GPP 5G-Advanced: A Survey,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.05092*, Sept. 2023.
- [44] M. Majamaa, H. Martikainen, L. Sormunen, and J. Puttonen, “Multi-connectivity in 5G and beyond non-terrestrial networks,” *Journal of Communications Software and Systems*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 350–358, 2022.
- [45] Y. Liu, H.-N. Dai, and N. Zhang, “Connectivity analysis of UAV-to-satellite communications in non-terrestrial networks,” in *2021 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [46] D. J. Birabwa, D. Ramotsoela, and N. Ventura, “Slice-aware user association and resource allocation in integrated terrestrial and non-terrestrial networks,” in *Proc. Southern Afr. Telecommun. Netw. Appl. Conf.(SATNAC)*, 2021, pp. 44–49.
- [47] B. Mao, F. Tang, K. Yuichi, and N. Kato, “AI based service management for 6G green communications,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.01588*, 2021.
- [48] H. Shahid, C. Amatetti, R. Campana, S. Tong, D. Panaitopol, A. Vanelli-Coralli, A. Mohamed, C. Zhang, E. Khalifa, E. Medeiros *et al.*, “Emerging advancements in 6G NTN radio access technologies: An overview,” in *2024 Joint European Conference on Networks and Communications & 6G Summit (EuCNC/6G Summit)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 593–598.
- [49] C. Qi, J. Wang, L. Lyu, L. Tan, J. Zhang, and G. Y. Li, “Key issues in wireless transmission for NTN-assisted internet of things,” *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 40–46, 2024.
- [50] D. J. Birabwa, D. Ramotsoela, and N. Ventura, “Service-aware user association and resource allocation in integrated terrestrial and non-terrestrial networks: A genetic algorithm approach,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 104 337–104 357, 2022.
- [51] T. Korikawa, C. Takasaki, K. Hattori, and H. Oowada, “A routing method with link information-based rule selection in non-terrestrial networks,” in *2024 International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 850–855.

- [52] K. Boutiba, M. Bagaa, and A. Ksentini, "Radio link failure prediction in 5G networks," in *2021 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [53] C. Rojas, J. A. Fraire, F. Patrone, and M. Marchese, "Advanced constellation emulation and synthetic datasets generation for non-terrestrial networks," in *2024 IEEE International Mediterranean Conference on Communications and Networking (MeditCom)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 37–43.
- [54] H. Yin, P. Liu, K. Liu, L. Cao, L. Zhang, Y. Gao, and X. Hei, "NS3-AI: Fostering artificial intelligence algorithms for networking research," in *Proceedings of the 2020 Workshop on ns-3*, 2020, pp. 57–64.
- [55] L. L. Cárdenas, J. P. A. León, P. Bautista, and M. A. Igartua, "Tips and tools to automate OMNET++ simulations and to facilitate post data management tasks," *UPCommons, Barcelona, Spain, Tech. Rep*, 2020.
- [56] H. M. Fayek, "Matdl: A lightweight deep learning library in MATLAB," *The Journal of Open Source Software*, vol. 2, p. 413, NOV 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21105/joss.00413>
- [57] N. Silaparasetty, "The tensorflow machine learning library," *Machine Learning Concepts with Python and the Jupyter Notebook Environment*, vol. Not available, pp. 149–171, undefined 2020. [Online]. Available: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-5967-2\\_8](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-5967-2_8)
- [58] P. M. Nugues, "Python for numerical computations," *Cognitive Technologies, Python for Natural Language Processing*, vol. Not available, pp. 111–140, undefined 2024. [Online]. Available: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57549-5\\_5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-57549-5_5)
- [59] D. Paper, "Introduction to scikit-learn," *Hands-on Scikit-Learn for Machine Learning Applications*, vol. Not available, pp. 1–35, NOV 2019. [Online]. Available: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-5373-1\\_1](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-5373-1_1)
- [60] Y. Jani and A. Jani, "Robust framework for scalable AI inference using distributed cloud services and event-driven architecture," *Not available*, vol. Not available, p. Not available, SEP 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4909036/v1>
- [61] T. B. II, "Custom openAI reinforcement learning environments," *Applied Reinforcement Learning with Python*, vol. Not available, pp. 95–112, undefined 2019. [Online]. Available: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-5127-0\\_5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-5127-0_5)
- [62] M. Aitkin, "Data visualisation," *Introduction to Statistical Modelling and Inference*, vol. Not available, pp. 111–116, AUG 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781003216025-9>
- [63] H. Zhang, W. Song, X. Liu, M. Sheng, W. Li, K. Long, and O. A. Dobre, "Intelligent channel prediction and power adaptation in LEO constellation for 6G," *IEEE Network*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 110–117, 2023.
- [64] X. Li, H. Zhang, H. Zhou, N. Wang, K. Long, S. Al-Rubaye, and G. K. Karagiannidis, "Multi-agent DRL for resource allocation and cache design in terrestrial-satellite networks," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 5031–5042, 2022.
- [65] F. Yang, Z. Zhao, J. Huang, P. Liu, A. Tolba, K. Yu, and M. Guizani, "A federated reinforcement learning approach



- for optimizing wireless communication in UAV-enabled IoT network with dense deployments,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2024.
- [66] S. Sharma, R. Popli, S. Singh, G. Chhabra, G. S. Saini, M. Singh, A. Sandhu, A. Sharma, and R. Kumar, “The role of 6G technologies in advancing smart city applications: Opportunities and challenges,” *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 16, p. 7039, 2024.
- [67] X. Fang, W. Feng, Y. Wang, Y. Chen, N. Ge, Z. Ding, and H. Zhu, “NOMA-based hybrid satellite-UAV-terrestrial networks for 6G maritime coverage,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 138–152, 2022.
- [68] J. Kim, S. Park, S. Jung, and C. Cordeiro, “Cooperative multi-UAV positioning for aerial internet service management: A multi-agent deep reinforcement learning approach,” *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*, 2024.
- [69] P. Rodriguez-Grasa, Y. Ban, and M. Sanz, “Training embedding quantum kernels with data re-uploading quantum neural networks,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04642*, 2024.
- [70] S. K. Nobar, M. H. Ahmed, Y. Morgan, and S. A. Mahmoud, “Resource allocation in cognitive radio-enabled UAV communication,” *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 296–310, 2021.
- [71] S. Hayat, E. Yanmaz, and R. Muzaffar, “Survey on unmanned aerial vehicle networks for civil applications: A communications viewpoint,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 2624–2661, 2016.
- [72] B. Li, Z. Fei, and Y. Zhang, “UAV communications for 5G and beyond: Recent advances and future trends,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 2241–2263, 2018.
- [73] S. Manzoor, S. Mazhar, A. Asghar, A. Noor Mian, A. Imran, and J. Crowcroft, “Leveraging mobility and content caching for proactive load balancing in heterogeneous cellular networks,” *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, vol. 31, no. 2, p. e3739, 2020.
- [74] X. Gao, X. Zhu, and L. Zhai, “AoI-sensitive data collection in multi-UAV-assisted wireless sensor networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2023.
- [75] A. Chapnevis and E. Bulut, “Delay optimal UAV trajectory planning for secure data collection from mobile IoT networks,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–4.
- [76] S. Rajendran, K. K. Samy, J. Chinnathevar, and D. P. Sethuraj, “Machine learning techniques for UAV trajectory optimization—A survey,” in *Computational Intelligence for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Communication Networks*. Springer, 2022, pp. 35–44.
- [77] M. Nemati, B. Al Homssi, S. Krishnan, J. Park, S. W. Loke, and J. Choi, “Non-terrestrial networks with UAVs: A projection on flying ad-hoc networks,” *Drones*, vol. 6, no. 11, p. 334, 2022.
- [78] J. Farley, A. Chapnevis, and E. Bulut, “Generalized path planning for collaborative UAVs using reinforcement and imitation learning,” in *Proceedings of the Twenty-fourth International Symposium on Theory, Algorithmic Foundations*,

and Protocol Design for Mobile Networks and Mobile Computing, 2023, pp. 457–462.

- [79] H. Wu, F. Lyu, C. Zhou, J. Chen, L. Wang, and X. Shen, “Optimal UAV caching and trajectory in aerial-assisted vehicular networks: A learning-based approach,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 2783–2797, 2020.
- [80] H. Bayerlein, M. Theile, M. Caccamo, and D. Gesbert, “Multi-UAV path planning for wireless data harvesting with deep reinforcement learning,” *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 2, pp. 1171–1187, 2021.
- [81] Y.-H. Hsu and R.-H. Gau, “Reinforcement learning-based collision avoidance and optimal trajectory planning in UAV communication networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 306–320, 2020.
- [82] R. Liu, Z. Qu, G. Huang, M. Dong, T. Wang, S. Zhang, and A. Liu, “DRL-UTPS: DRL-based trajectory planning for unmanned aerial vehicles for data collection in dynamic IoT network,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1204–1218, 2022.
- [83] Y. Qian, K. Sheng, C. Ma, J. Li, M. Ding, and M. Hassan, “Path planning for the dynamic UAV-aided wireless systems using monte carlo tree search,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 6716–6721, 2022.
- [84] H. Zhang, Y. Zhang, C. Guo, T. Wang, L. Fan, J. Hu, Z. Xu, Z. Dou, K. Zhang, and J. Liang, “Path planning for fixed-wing UAVs based on expert knowledge and improved VFH in cluttered environments,” in *2022 IEEE 17th International Conference on Control & Automation (ICCA)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 255–260.
- [85] K. B. de Carvalho, I. R. L. de Oliveira, D. K. Villa, A. G. Caldeira, M. Sarcinelli-Filho, and A. S. Brandão, “Q-learning based path planning method for UAVs using priority shifting,” in *2022 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 421–426.
- [86] M. Dhuheir, E. Baccour, A. Erbad, S. S. Al-Obaidi, and M. Hamdi, “Deep reinforcement learning for trajectory path planning and distributed inference in resource-constrained UAV swarms,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2022.
- [87] S. Zhang, Y. Li, and Q. Dong, “Autonomous navigation of UAV in multi-obstacle environments based on a deep reinforcement learning approach,” *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 115, p. 108194, 2022.
- [88] J. Roghair, A. Niaraki, K. Ko, and A. Jannesari, “A vision based deep reinforcement learning algorithm for UAV obstacle avoidance,” in *Intelligent Systems and Applications: Proceedings of the 2021 Intelligent Systems Conference (IntelliSys) Volume 1*. Springer, 2022, pp. 115–128.
- [89] Z. Zhang, S. Wang, J. Chen, and Y. Han, “A bionic dynamic path planning algorithm of the micro UAV based on the fusion of deep neural network optimization/filtering and hawk-eye vision,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 2023.
- [90] Z. Xu, X. Zhan, B. Chen, Y. Xiu, C. Yang, and K. Shimada, “A real-time dynamic obstacle tracking and mapping system for UAV navigation and collision avoidance with an RGB-D camera,” in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics*

- and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 10 645–10 651.
- [91] M. Seong, O. Jo, and K. Shin, “Multi-UAV trajectory optimizer: A sustainable system for wireless data harvesting with deep reinforcement learning,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 120, p. 105891, 2023.
- [92] M. F. Aslan, A. Durdu, and K. Sabanci, “Goal distance-based UAV path planning approach, path optimization and learning-based path estimation: GDRRT\*, PSO-GDRRT\* and BiLSTM-PSO-GDRRT\*,” *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 137, p. 110156, 2023.
- [93] M. D. Nguyen, T. M. Ho, L. B. Le, and A. Girard, “UAV placement and bandwidth allocation for UAV based wireless networks,” in *2019 IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [94] A. F. dos Reis, G. Brante, R. Parisotto, R. D. Souza, P. H. Klaine, J. P. Battistella, and M. A. Imran, “Energy efficiency analysis of drone small cells positioning based on reinforcement learning,” *Internet Technology Letters*, vol. 3, no. 5, p. e166, 2020.
- [95] N. Nouri, J. Abouei, A. R. Sepasian, M. Jaseemuddin, A. Anpalagan, and K. N. Plataniotis, “Three-dimensional multi-UAV placement and resource allocation for energy-efficient IoT communication,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 2134–2152, 2021.
- [96] H. Shakhatreh, A. Khreishah, A. Alsarhan, I. Khalil, A. Sawalmeh, and N. S. Othman, “Efficient 3D placement of a UAV using particle swarm optimization,” in *2017 8th International Conference on Information and Communication Systems (ICICS)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 258–263.
- [97] S. Shakoor, Z. Kaleem, D.-T. Do, O. A. Dobre, and A. Jamalipour, “Joint optimization of UAV 3-D placement and path-loss factor for energy-efficient maximal coverage,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 9776–9786, 2020.
- [98] M. Alzenad, A. El-Keyi, F. Lagum, and H. Yanikomeroglu, “3-D placement of an unmanned aerial vehicle base station (UAV-bs) for energy-efficient maximal coverage,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 434–437, 2017.
- [99] L. Wang, B. Hu, F. Wang, S. Chen, and J. Cui, “Joint altitude, power control, and bandwidth allocation optimization for unmanned aerial vehicle-enabled reliable communications,” *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, vol. 31, no. 7, p. e3983, 2020.
- [100] R. D. Alfaia, A. V. d. F. Souto, E. H. S. Cardoso, J. P. L. d. Araújo, and C. R. L. Francês, “Resource management in 5G networks assisted by UAV base stations: Machine learning for overloaded macrocell prediction based on users’ temporal and spatial flow,” *Drones*, vol. 6, no. 6, p. 145, 2022.
- [101] Y. Wang, M. Chen, Z. Yang, T. Luo, and W. Saad, “Deep learning for optimal deployment of UAVs with visible light communications,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 7049–7063, 2020.

- [102] A. H. Arani, M. M. Azari, W. Melek, and S. Safavi-Naeini, "Learning in the sky: Towards efficient 3D placement of UAVs," in *2020 IEEE 31st Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [103] L. Wang, H. Zhang, S. Guo, D. Li, and D. Yuan, "Learning to deployment: Data-driven on-demand UAV placement for throughput maximization," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2024.
- [104] C. Pan, C. Yin, N. C. Beaulieu, and J. Yu, "3D UAV placement and user association in software-defined cellular networks," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 3883–3897, 2019.
- [105] S. Manzoor, M. Hasna, and M. Z. Shakir, "Mobility-aware federated learning-based proactive UAVs placement in emerging cellular networks," *Authorea Preprints*, 2024.
- [106] J. Hu, C. Chen, L. Cai, M. R. Khosravi, Q. Pei, and S. Wan, "UAV-assisted vehicular edge computing for the 6G internet of vehicles: Architecture, intelligence, and challenges," *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 12–18, 2021.
- [107] C. Zhang, M. Dong, and K. Ota, "Effective 3C resource utilization and fair allocation strategy for multi-task federated learning," *IEEE Transactions on Machine Learning in Communications and Networking*, vol. 1, pp. 153–167, 2023.
- [108] H. U. Manzoor, A. Jafri, and A. Zoha, "Lightweight single-layer aggregation framework for energy-efficient and privacy-preserving load forecasting in heterogeneous smart grids," 2024.
- [109] G. Lan, X.-Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, and X. Wang, "Communication-efficient federated learning for resource-constrained edge devices," *IEEE Transactions on Machine Learning in Communications and Networking*, vol. 1, pp. 210–224, 2023.
- [110] S. Manzoor, A. N. Mian, A. Zoha, and M. A. Imran, "Federated learning empowered mobility-aware proactive content offloading framework for fog radio access networks," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 133, pp. 307–319, 2022.
- [111] H. U. Manzoor, A. R. Khan, D. Flynn, M. M. Alam, M. Akram, M. A. Imran, and A. Zoha, "Fedbranched: Leveraging federated learning for anomaly-aware load forecasting in energy networks," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 7, p. 3570, 2023.
- [112] A. N. Mian, S. W. H. Shah, S. Manzoor, A. Said, K. Heimerl, and J. Crowcroft, "A value-added IoT service for cellular networks using federated learning," *Computer Networks*, vol. 213, p. 109094, 2022.
- [113] B. Tezergil and E. Onur, "Wireless backhaul in 5G and beyond: Issues, challenges and opportunities," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2579–2632, 2022.
- [114] X. Huang and X. Xia, "A satellite networking approach supporting batch ephemeris update," in *2024 IEEE International Symposium on Broadband Multimedia Systems and Broadcasting (BMSB)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–5.
- [115] X. Wang, Y. Guo, and Y. Gao, "Unmanned autonomous intelligent system in 6G non-terrestrial network," *Information*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 38, 2024.
- [116] M. Mozaffari, W. Saad, M. Bennis, Y.-H. Nam, and M. Debbah, "A tutorial on UAVs for wireless networks: Applications,

- challenges, and open problems,” *IEEE communications surveys & tutorials*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 2334–2360, 2019.
- [117] O. Abbasi, A. Yadav, H. Yanikomeroglu, N.-D. Dao, G. Senarath, and P. Zhu, “HAPS for 6G networks: Potential use cases, open challenges, and possible solutions,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 324–331, 2024.
- [118] Y. Wu, W. Fan, W. Yang, X. Sun, and X. Guan, “Robust trajectory and communication design for multi-UAV enabled wireless networks in the presence of jammers,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 2893–2905, 2019.
- [119] X. Xu, H. Zhao, H. Yao, and S. Wang, “A blockchain-enabled energy-efficient data collection system for UAV-assisted IoT,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 2431–2443, 2020.
- [120] J. Liu, Q. Wang, X. Li, and W. Zhang, “A fast deployment strategy for UAV enabled network based on deep learning,” in *2020 IEEE 31st Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [121] S. Hu, Q. Wu, and X. Wang, “Energy management and trajectory optimization for UAV-enabled legitimate monitoring systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 142–155, 2020.
- [122] M. A. Khan, N. Kumar, S. A. H. Mohsan, W. U. Khan, M. M. Nasralla, M. H. Alsharif, J. Żywiłek, and I. Ullah, “Swarm of UAVs for network management in 6G: A technical review,” *IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 741–761, 2022.
- [123] A. I. Perez-Neira, M. A. Vazquez, M. B. Shankar, S. Maleki, and S. Chatzinotas, “Signal processing for high-throughput satellites: Challenges in new interference-limited scenarios,” *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 112–131, 2019.
- [124] W. Shin, M. Vaezi, B. Lee, D. J. Love, J. Lee, and H. V. Poor, “Non-orthogonal multiple access in multi-cell networks: Theory, performance, and practical challenges,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 176–183, 2017.
- [125] M. A. Jamshed, F. Heliot, and T. W. Brown, “Unsupervised learning based emission-aware uplink resource allocation scheme for non-orthogonal multiple access systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 70, no. 8, pp. 7681–7691, 2021.
- [126] Y. Hu, R. Liu, A. Kaushik, and J. Thompson, “Performance analysis of NOMA multicast systems based on rateless codes with delay constraints,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 5003–5017, 2021.
- [127] M. A. Jamshed, W. U. Khan, H. Pervaiz, M. A. Imran, and M. Ur-Rehman, “Emission-aware resource optimization framework for backscatter-enabled uplink NOMA networks,” in *Proc. 2022 IEEE 95th Vehicular Technology Conference*, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [128] M. A. Jamshed, Y. A. Qadri, A. Nauman, and H. Jung, “Electromagnetic field exposure-aware AI framework for integrated sensing and communications-enabled ambient backscatter wireless networks,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2024.
- [129] W. U. Khan, M. A. Jamshed, E. Lagunas, S. Chatzinotas, X. Li, and B. Ottersten, “Energy efficiency optimization for

- backscatter enhanced NOMA cooperative V2X communications under imperfect CSI,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 12 961–12 972, 2022.
- [130] M. Toka, B. Lee, J. Seong, A. Kaushik, J. Lee, J. Lee, N. Lee, W. Shin, and H. V. Poor, “RIS-empowered LEO satellite networks for 6G: Promising usage scenarios and future directions,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 128–135, 2024.
- [131] J. Park, B. Lee, J. Choi, H. Lee, N. Lee, S.-H. Park, K.-J. Lee, J. Choi, S. H. Chae, S.-W. Jeon, K. S. Kwak, B. Clerckx, and W. Shin, “Rate-splitting multiple access for 6G networks: Ten promising scenarios and applications,” *IEEE Network*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 128–136, 2024.
- [132] Y. Mao, B. Clerckx, and V. O. Li, “Rate-splitting multiple access for downlink communication systems: Bridging, generalizing, and outperforming SDMA and NOMA,” *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*, vol. 2018, no. 1, pp. 1–54, 2018.
- [133] A. S. Shah, M. A. Karabulut, and K. Rabie, “Multiple access schemes for 6G enabled NTN-assisted IoT technologies: Recent developments, prospects and challenges,” *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 48–54, 2024.
- [134] L. Yin and B. Clerckx, “Rate-splitting multiple access for multigroup multicast and multibeam satellite systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 976–990, 2020.
- [135] J. Liu, Y. L. Guan, Y. Ge, L. Yin, and B. Clerckx, “Energy efficiency of rate-splitting multiple access for multibeam satellite communications,” in *Proc. 2023 IEEE 97th Vehicular Technology Conference*, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [136] H. Cui, L. Zhu, Z. Xiao, B. Clerckx, and R. Zhang, “Energy-efficient RSMA for multigroup multicast and multibeam satellite communications,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 838–842, 2023.
- [137] J. Seong, J. Park, J. Lee, J. Lee, W. Shin, and H. V. Poor, “Robust rate-matching framework for multibeam satellite communications with phase perturbations,” in *Proc. 2023 IEEE Globecom Workshops*, 2023, pp. 280–285.
- [138] Z. Li, S. Wang, S. Han, and C. Li, “Non-orthogonal broadcast and unicast joint transmission for multibeam satellite system,” *IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 647–660, 2023.
- [139] J. Seong, J. Park, D.-H. Jung, J. Park, and W. Shin, “Rate-splitting for joint unicast and multicast transmission in LEO satellite networks with non-uniform traffic demand,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 2024.
- [140] L. Yin and B. Clerckx, “Rate-splitting multiple access for satellite-terrestrial integrated networks: Benefits of coordination and cooperation,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 317–332, 2023.
- [141] J. Lee, J. Lee, L. Yin, W. Shin, and B. Clerckx, “Coordinated rate-splitting multiple access for integrated satellite-terrestrial networks with super-common message,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 2989–2994, 2024.
- [142] W. U. Khan, Z. Ali, E. Lagunas, A. Mahmood, M. Asif, A. Ihsan, S. Chatzinotas, B. Ottersten, and O. A. Dobre, “Rate splitting multiple access for next generation cognitive radio enabled LEO satellite networks,” *IEEE Transactions*

- on *Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 8423–8435, 2023.
- [143] Y. Xu, L. Yin, Y. Mao, W. Shin, and B. Clerckx, “Distributed rate-splitting multiple access for multilayer satellite communications,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 72, no. 10, pp. 6131–6144, 2024.
- [144] E. Basar, G. C. Alexandropoulos, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, S. Jin, C. Yuen, O. A. Dobre, and R. Schober, “Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for 6G: Emerging hardware architectures, applications, and open challenges,” *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, vol. Early Access, pp. 2–22, 2024.
- [145] H. Yang, F. Yang, X. Cao, S. Xu, J. Gao, X. Chen, M. Li, and T. Li, “A 1600-element dual-frequency electronically reconfigurable reflectarray at X/Ku-band,” *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 3024–3032, 2017.
- [146] Z. Zhang, L. Dai, X. Chen, C. Liu, F. Yang, R. Schober, and H. V. Poor, “Active RIS vs. passive RIS: Which will prevail in 6G?” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 1707–1725, 2023.
- [147] Y. Liu, X. Mu, J. Xu, R. Schober, Y. Hao, H. V. Poor, and L. Hanzo, “STAR: Simultaneous transmission and reflection for 360° coverage by intelligent surfaces,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 102–109, 2021.
- [148] C. Liu *et al.*, “A programmable diffractive deep neural network based on a digital-coding metasurface array,” *Natural Electronics*, vol. 5, p. 113–122, 2022.
- [149] J. An, C. Xu, D. W. K. Ng, G. C. Alexandropoulos, C. Huang, C. Yuen, and L. Hanzo, “Stacked intelligent metasurfaces for efficient holographic MIMO communications in 6G,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 2380–2396, 2023.
- [150] C. Liaskos, S. Nie, A. Tsiolaridou, A. Pitsillides, S. Ioannidis, and I. Akyildiz, “A new wireless communication paradigm through software-controlled metasurfaces,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 162–169, 2018.
- [151] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, “Towards smart and reconfigurable environment: Intelligent reflecting surface aided wireless network,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 106–112, 2020.
- [152] E. Basar and I. Yildirim, “Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for future wireless networks: A channel modeling perspective,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 108–114, 2021.
- [153] E. Basar, M. Di Renzo, J. De Rosny, M. Debbah, M.-S. Alouini, and R. Zhang, “Wireless communications through reconfigurable intelligent surfaces,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 116 753–116 773, 2019.
- [154] M. Di Renzo, A. Zappone, M. Debbah, M.-S. Alouini, C. Yuen, J. de Rosny, and S. Tretyakov, “Smart radio environments empowered by reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: How it works, state of research, and the road ahead,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 2450–2525, 2020.
- [155] S. Gong, X. Lu, D. T. Hoang, D. Niyato, L. Shu, D. I. Kim, and Y.-C. Liang, “Toward smart wireless communications via intelligent reflecting surfaces: A contemporary survey,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 22, no. 4,

- pp. 2283–2314, 2020.
- [156] Q. Wu, S. Zhang, B. Zheng, C. You, and R. Zhang, “Intelligent reflecting surface-aided wireless communications: A tutorial,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 3313–3351, 2021.
- [157] S. Alfattani, W. Jaafar, Y. Hmamouche, H. Yanikomeroglu, A. Yongaçoglu, N. D. Dao, and P. Zhu, “Aerial platforms with reconfigurable smart surfaces for 5G and beyond,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 96–102, 2021.
- [158] C. You, Z. Kang, Y. Zeng, and R. Zhang, “Enabling smart reflection in integrated air-ground wireless network: IRS meets UAV,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 138–144, 2021.
- [159] L. Yang, P. Li, F. Meng, and S. Yu, “Performance analysis of RIS-assisted UAV communication systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 71, no. 8, pp. 9078–9082, 2022.
- [160] X. Song, Y. Zhao, W. Zhao, H. Wu, and Z. Liu, “Joint design of aerial reconfigurable intelligent surface-aided URLLC systems,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 13 244–13 258, 2024.
- [161] H. Mei, K. Yang, Q. Liu, and K. Wang, “3D-trajectory and phase-shift design for RIS-assisted UAV systems using deep reinforcement learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 3020–3029, 2022.
- [162] W. U. Khan, E. Lagunas, Z. Ali, M. A. Javed, M. Ahmed, S. Chatzinotas, B. Ottersten, and P. Popovski, “Opportunities for physical layer security in UAV communication enhanced with intelligent reflective surfaces,” *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 22–28, 2022.
- [163] S. Li, B. Duo, M. D. Renzo, M. Tao, and X. Yuan, “Robust secure UAV communications with the aid of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces,” *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 6402–6417, 2021.
- [164] Y. Wen, G. Chen, S. Fang, M. Wen, S. Tomasin, and M. D. Renzo, “RIS-assisted UAV secure communications with artificial noise-aware trajectory design against multiple colluding curious users,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 19, pp. 3064–3076, 2024.
- [165] J. Ye, J. Qiao, A. Kammoun, and M.-S. Alouini, “Nonterrestrial communications assisted by reconfigurable intelligent surfaces,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 110, no. 9, pp. 1423–1465, 2022.
- [166] S. Alfattani, A. Yadav, H. Yanikomeroglu, and A. Yongaçoglu, “Resource-efficient HAPS-RIS enabled beyond-cell communications,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 679–683, 2023.
- [167] A. Azizi and A. Farhang, “RIS meets aerodynamic HAPS: A multi-objective optimization approach,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1851–1855, 2023.
- [168] P. Shaik, K. Kishore Garg, P. Kumar Singya, V. Bhatia, O. Krejcar, and M.-S. Alouini, “On performance of integrated satellite HAPS ground communication: Aerial IRS node vs terrestrial IRS node,” *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 5, pp. 3775–3791, 2024.



- [169] H. Lee, B. Lee, H. Yang, J. Kim, S. Kim, W. Shin, B. Shim, and H. V. Poor, "Towards 6G hyper-connectivity: Vision, challenges, and key enabling technologies," *Journal of Communications and Networks*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 344–354, 2023.
- [170] X. Luo, H.-H. Chen, and Q. Guo, "LEO/VLEO satellite communications in 6G and beyond networks – technologies, applications and challenges," *IEEE Network*, vol. Early access, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [171] K. Tekbiyik, G. K. Kurt, A. R. Ekti, and H. Yanikomeroglu, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces in action for nonterrestrial networks," *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 45–53, 2022.
- [172] —, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces empowered THz communication in LEO satellite networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 121 957–121 969, 2022.
- [173] Z. Lin, H. Niu, K. An, Y. Wang, G. Zheng, S. Chatzinotas, and Y. Hu, "Refracting RIS-aided hybrid satellite-terrestrial relay networks: Joint beamforming design and optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 3717–3724, 2022.
- [174] C. Kim, W. Saad, and M. Jung, "Performance analysis of RIS-aided multibeam satellite communication systems," *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. Early Access, pp. 1–11, 2024.
- [175] J. Sun, K. Guo, X. Wang, and M. Zhu, "RIS-aided integrated satellite duplex UAV relay terrestrial networks with imperfect hardware and co-channel interference," *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process*, vol. 109, pp. 1–20, 2023.
- [176] K. Feng, T. Zhou, T. Xu, X. Chen, H. Hu, and C. Wu, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface-assisted multisatellite cooperative downlink beamforming," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 11, no. 13, pp. 23 222–23 235, 2024.
- [177] J. Y. Baek, Y.-S. Lee, and B. C. Jung, "STAR-RIS-enabled NOMA with signal constellation adjustment for 6G LEO satellite networks," in *2023 14th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC)*, 2023, pp. 1653–1655.
- [178] Z. Lv, F. Gong, G. Chen, G. Li, T. Hui, and S. Xu, "Energy efficiency design in RIS-assisted satellite-terrestrial integrated networks with NOMA," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1948–1952, 2024.
- [179] W. U. Khan, E. Lagunas, A. Mahmood, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "RIS-assisted energy-efficient LEO satellite communications with NOMA," *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 780–790, 2024.
- [180] M. Can and I. Altunbas, "Performance analysis of rate-splitting multiple access in intelligent reflecting surface-assisted uplink hybrid satellite-terrestrial networks," *International Journal of Communication Systems*, vol. e5917, no. n/a, pp. 1–18, 2024.
- [181] H. Niu, Z. Lin, K. An, X. Liang, Y. Hu, D. Li, and G. Zheng, "Active RIS-assisted secure transmission for cognitive satellite terrestrial networks," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 2609–2614, 2023.
- [182] D. Song, Z. Yang, G. Pan, S. Wang, and J. An, "RIS-assisted covert transmission in satellite-terrestrial communication

- systems,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 22, pp. 19415–19426, 2023.
- [183] T. M. Hoang, C. Xu, A. Vahid, H. D. Tuan, T. Q. Duong, and L. Hanzo, “Secrecy-rate optimization of double RIS-aided space–ground networks,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 15, pp. 13 221–13 234, 2023.
- [184] T. Hwang, C. Yang, G. Wu, S. Li, and G. Ye Li, “OFDM and its wireless applications: A survey,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1673–1694, 2009.
- [185] H. Chougrani, S. Kisseleff, W. A. Martins, and S. Chatzinotas, “NB-IoT random access for nonterrestrial networks: Preamble detection and uplink synchronization,” *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 16, pp. 14 913–14 927, 2022.
- [186] A. K. Meshram, S. Kumar, J. Querol, and S. Chatzinotas, “Doppler effect mitigation in LEO-based 5G non-terrestrial networks,” in *2023 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps)*, 2023, pp. 311–316.
- [187] E. Basar, “Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for Doppler effect and multipath fading mitigation,” *Frontiers in Communications and Networks*, vol. 2, pp. 1–12, 2021.
- [188] B. Matthiesen, E. Björnson, E. De Carvalho, and P. Popovski, “Intelligent reflecting surface operation under predictable receiver mobility: A continuous time propagation model,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 216–220, 2021.
- [189] J. Lee, J. Lee, M. Toka, W. Shin, and J. Lee, “Intelligent reflecting surface-aided Doppler compensation for low-earth orbit satellite networks: Joint power allocation and passive beamforming optimisation,” *IET Signal Processing*, vol. 17, no. 4, p. e12212, 2023.
- [190] M. Toka, A. Kaushik, A. G. Armada, and W. Shin, “On the performance of RIS-aided OFDM-based LEO satellite networks with Doppler effects,” in *Proc. IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*, Shanghai, China, 25–28 September 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [191] O. M. Gul, A. M. Erkmén, and B. Kantarci, “NTN-aided quality and energy-aware data collection in time-critical robotic wireless sensor networks,” *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 114–120, 2024.
- [192] G. Varrall, *5G and Satellite Spectrum, Standards, and Scale*. Artech House, 2018.
- [193] J. Yuan, G. Chen, M. Wen, R. Tafazolli, and E. Panayirci, “Secure transmission for thz-empowered RIS-assisted non-terrestrial networks,” *IEEE transactions on vehicular technology*, vol. 72, no. 5, pp. 5989–6000, 2022.
- [194] L. Zhen, L. Cheng, Z. Chu, K. Yu, P. Xiao, and M. Guizani, “Clustering-NN based CFO estimation using random access preambles for 5G non-terrestrial networks,” *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, 2023.
- [195] O. Kodheli, E. Lagunas, N. Maturo, S. K. Sharma, B. Shankar, J. F. M. Montoya, J. C. M. Duncan, D. Spano, S. Chatzinotas, S. Kisseleff *et al.*, “Satellite communications in the new space era: A survey and future challenges,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 70–109, 2020.

- [196] T. Pratt and J. E. Allnutt, *Satellite communications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2019.
- [197] W. U. Khan, A. Mahmood, E. Lagunas, M. A. Jamshed, S. Chatzinotas, and B. Ottersten, "Resource optimization for integrated terrestrial non-terrestrial networks involving IRS," in *2023 IEEE Globecom Workshops*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1710–1715.
- [198] I. Larráyoz-Arrigote, M. O. Mendonça, A. Gonzalez-Garrido, J. Krivochiza, S. Kumar, J. Querol, J. Grotz, and S. Chatzinotas, "ML-based PBCH symbol detection and equalization for 5G non-terrestrial networks," in *2024 IEEE International Mediterranean Conference on Communications and Networking (MeditCom)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 119–124.
- [199] B. Shang, X. Li, Z. Li, J. Ma, X. Chu, and P. Fan, "Multi-connectivity between terrestrial and non-terrestrial mimo systems," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, 2024.
- [200] I. C. Msadaa, S. Zairi, and A. Dhraief, "Non-terrestrial networks in a nutshell," *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 168–174, 2022.
- [201] Z. Wei, S. Li, W. Yuan, R. Schober, and G. Caire, "Orthogonal time frequency space modulation—part I: Fundamentals and challenges ahead," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 4–8, 2023.
- [202] J. Shi, Z. Li, J. Hu, Z. Tie, S. Li, W. Liang, and Z. Ding, "OTFS enabled LEO satellite communications: A promising solution to severe doppler effects," *IEEE Network*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 203–209, 2024.
- [203] M. Aldababsa, S. Özyurt, G. K. Kurt, and O. Kucur, "A survey on orthogonal time frequency space modulation," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 5, pp. 4483–4518, 2024.
- [204] F. Jameel, M. Nabeel, M. A. Jamshed, and R. Jäntti, "Minimizing forking in blockchain-based IoT networks," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [205] M. A. Jamshed, A. Kaushik, M. Dajer, A. Guidotti, F. Parzysz, E. Lagunas, M. Di Renzo, S. Chatzinotas, and O. A. Dobre, "Non-terrestrial networks for 6G: Integrated, intelligent and ubiquitous connectivity," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02184*, 2024.