# ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS AND PERFECT STATE TRANSFER

#### R. BAILEY

ABSTRACT. The aim of this review paper is to discuss some applications of orthogonal polynomials in quantum information processing. The hope is to keep the paper self contained so that someone wanting a brief introduction to the theory of orthogonal polynomials and continuous time quantum walks on graphs may find it in one place. In particular, we focus on the associated Jacobi operators and discuss how these can be used to detect perfect state transfer. We also discuss how orthogonal polynomials have been used to give results which are analogous to those given by Karlin and McGregor when studying classical birth and death processes. Finally, we show how these ideas have been extended to quantum walks with more than nearest neighbor interactions using exceptional orthogonal polynomials. We also provide a (non-exhaustive) list of related open questions.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of quantum computation has inspired much work both in applied physics and in mathematics. Quantum computers process information in a fundamentally different way than any classical device, and they promise an exponential speedup over the classical computers for solving certain types of problems, aiding in the development cryptography, machine learning and AI. They could also prove useful for modeling quantum physical systems. Understanding and managing the interactions of quantum particles is a key challenge of implementing quantum computation, and one can model the existence of physical interactions between particles as edges connecting vertices in a graph. This paper will focus on path graphs representing quantum spin chains, i.e. linear arrangements of quantum particles. Spin chains serve as simplified representations of more complex systems and thus we can gain a lot of insight into quantum behavior by studying these models. We will study an extension to a larger class of graphs in Section 6.

To begin, recall that a quantum bit (qubit) is the fundamental unit of information in quantum computing, similar to an ordinary bit except that where a bit takes on the value 0 or 1, a qubit can be in a superposition of both 0 and 1. We only can determine the probability that after observation, the qubit will be 0 or 1. The *state* of a qubit is then represented by a vector  $v = (c_0, c_1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^2$  where |v| = 1. Then  $|c_0|^2$  is the probability that after measuring, the qubit is 0, and  $|c_1|^2$  is the probability the qubit is 1. Putting *n* qubits together, the state of a spin chain with *n* qubits is likewise a unit vector in  $\mathbb{C}^{2^n}$  that encodes how the qubit systems are oriented.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33C45, 81P45; Secondary 47B36.

Key words and phrases. quantum information, orthogonal polynomials, Jacobi matrices, Krawtchouk polynomials.

To study the evolution of a quantum system over time, we consider the evolution operator,  $U(t) = e^{itH}$ , where H is the *Hamiltonian* of the system (a unitary operator representing the total energy of the system). For continuous time quantum walks on graphs, the Hamiltonian is taken to be the adjacency matrix or the graph Laplacian. We provide more detail in Section 4.

The subject of transferring a quantum state from one location to another within a quantum computer is an important task and has gained much interest from the mathematical community (see [11], [14], [17]...) In particular, it is desirable to say with probability one, that the state of one qubit is transferred to another after some time. This phenomenon is called *perfect state transfer* (PST) and in what follows, we will highlight how the theory of orthogonal polynomials has played an important role in the study of PST. We begin by reviewing important properties of orthogonal polynomials and Jacobi matrices.

# 2. Orthogonal Polynomials

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a complex valued linear functional on the vector space of all polynomials  $\mathbb{P}$ . A sequence of polynomials,  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , is an **orthogonal** polynomial sequence (OPS) with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$  provided for all m, n = 0, 1, 2...

(1)  $P_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree n,

(2)  $\mathcal{L}[P_n(x)P_m(x)] = 0$  for  $n \neq m$ ,

(3) 
$$\mathcal{L}[P_n^2(x)] \neq 0.$$

Often, the linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}[p] = \int_{a}^{b} p(x)w(x)\,dx$$

where a and b may be infinite and w(x) is a nonnegative function which is positive on a subset of (a, b) of positive Lebesgue measure. The function w(x) is called the *weight function*.

Because the purpose of this paper is to study applications of OPS in continuous time quantum walks on finite graphs, we will focus on finite sequences of orthogonal polynomials, which are families of polynomials  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  satisfying the above properties for all n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N.

A well known example of finite orthogonal polynomials are the Krawtchouk polynomials, introduced by Mykhailo Kravchuk when studying a discrete analogue of the Hermite polynomials (an OPS with respect to the Gaussian density function).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $0 and let <math>M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., M, the *n*-th degree monic Krawtchouk polynomial is defined by

(2.1)  

$$K_{n}(x; p, M) = (-M)_{n} p^{n} {}_{2}F_{1} \begin{bmatrix} -x & -n \\ -M \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_{j}(-M+j)_{n-j}}{j!} p^{n-j} (-x)_{j}$$

where  $(a)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol

$$(a)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & (n=0) \\ a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1) & (n=1,2,\dots) \end{cases}$$

The Krawtchouk polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the following linear functional,

(2.2) 
$$\mathcal{L}[p] = \sum_{x=0}^{M} \binom{M}{x} p^x (1-p)^{M-x} p(x).$$

Below are the first few monic Krawtchouk polynomials for M = 4 and p = 1/2.

$$K_0(x) = 1$$
  

$$K_1(x) = x - 2$$
  

$$K_2(x) = x^2 - 4x + 3$$
  

$$K_3(x) = x^3 - 6x^2 + \frac{19}{2}x - 3$$
  

$$K_4(x) = x^4 - 8x^3 + 20x^2 - 16x + \frac{3}{2}$$

It is evident from their weight function that the Krawtchouk polynomials have many applications in probability, and in particular, are linked to the binomial distribution and random walks. We will exploit this application in Section 5 and discuss how it has been used to study perfect state transfer in quantum walks on path graphs.

# 3. FINITE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS AND JACOBI MATRICES

Given an  $N \times N$  Jacobi matrix J,

$$(3.1) J = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & b_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{N-2} & a_{N-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{N-2} & b_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $a_n \neq 0, n \geq 0$ , one may associate to it a family of orthonormal polynomials,  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$ , defined via the following three-term recurrence relation:

$$(3.2) \quad a_n P_{n+1}(x) + b_n P_n(x) + a_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) = x P_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

with  $P_{-1}(x) := 0$  and  $P_0(x) := 1$  (see Favard's Theorem, [6]). Here,  $a_{N-1}$  can be chosen to be an arbitrary constant so we take it to be 1.

If  $a_n > 0$  for all  $n = 0, 1, ..., a_{N-2}$ , then the linear functional corresponding to  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  is positive-definite on span $(1, x, x^2, ..., x^{N-1})$  and takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}[p] = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} p(\lambda_k) w(\lambda_k)$$

for a weight function w(x) which is positive on the  $\lambda_k$ . In this case, we obtain the following important properties of the zeros of  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  (one may refer to [1] for more information on discrete orthogonal polynomials).

**Proposition 3.1.** The zeros of the  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  are real and simple.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $x_{n,k}$  denote the k-th zero of  $P_n(x)$ . Then the zeros of  $P_n(x)$  and  $P_{n+1}(x)$  interlace, i.e.

$$x_{n+1,1} < x_{n,1} < x_{n+1,2} < \dots < x_{n,n} < x_{n+1,n+1}$$

for all  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1$ .

Remark 3.3. We note that Favard's theorem, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 also hold in the case J is a semi-infinite Jacobi matrix, but we restrict ourselves to the finite case due to the nature of the application in quantum computing which we review.

As a consequence of the uniqueness of orthogonal polynomial sequences, it is often convenient to work with the monic polynomials  $p_n(x) := a_{-1}a_0a_1 \dots a_{n-1}P_n(x)$ where  $a_{-1} := 1$ . These polynomials satisfy

(3.3) 
$$xp_n(x) = p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + a_{n-1}^2 p_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

which corresponds to the following monic Jacobi matrix,

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_0^2 & b_1 & 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & a_1^2 & b_2 & 1 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{N-2}^2 & b_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $J_n$  be the truncated  $n \times n$  upper left sub-matrix of  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $I_n$  be the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Define polynomials of degree n by  $q_n(x) = \det(xI_n - J_n)$ . Then the  $q_n(x)$ satisfy (3.3) with  $q_1(x) = x - b_0 = p_1(x)$  and  $q_2(x) = (x - b_0)(x - b_1) - a_0^2 = p_2(x)$ , hence we must have that  $q_n(x) = p_n(x)$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N$ . Therefore, the OPS corresponding to  $\mathcal{J}$  may also be defined by

$$p_n(x) = \det(xI_n - J_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Using this view, it is clear that  $p_N(x)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathcal{J}$  and thus it's zeros (and hence the zeros of  $P_n(x)$ ) are the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{J}$ , which must be simple and real by Proposition 3.1.

Remark 3.4. We remark here that the realness of the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{J}$  also follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{J}$  is similar to J and J is Hermitian. Thus, J (and hence  $\mathcal{J}$ ) has real eigenvalues. However, the theory of orthogonal polynomials gives a quick proof as to the multiplicity of the eigenvalues.

It can also be seen that the eigenvectors of J are given in terms of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials in the following way. Let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$  be the zeros of  $P_N(x)$ . Then (3.3) gives (3.4)

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & b_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{N-2} & a_{N-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{N-2} & b_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_0(\lambda_k) \\ P_1(\lambda_k) \\ P_2(\lambda_k) \\ \vdots \\ P_{N-2}(\lambda_k) \\ P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ P_N(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_k \begin{pmatrix} P_0(\lambda_k) \\ P_1(\lambda_k) \\ P_2(\lambda_k) \\ \vdots \\ P_{N-2}(\lambda_k) \\ P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $P_N(\lambda_k) = 0$ , thus equation (3.4) is equivalent to

(3.5) 
$$Jv_k = \lambda_k v_k \text{ where } v_k = [P_0(\lambda_k), P_1(\lambda_k), \dots, P_{N-1}(\lambda_k)]^\top$$

where  $v^{\top}$  denotes the transpose of v. We highlight here that  $v_k$  is indeed an eigenvector since by Proposition 3.2,  $P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) \neq 0$  for any k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N-1.

4

*Example* 3.5. (Krawtchouk Jacobi Matrix) We recall the monic Krawtchouk polynomials given in Definition 2.2. They satisfy the following three-term recurrence relation (3.6)

$$xK_n(x;p,M) = K_{n+1}(x;p,M) + \frac{M}{2}K_n(x;p,M) + \frac{(M+1-n)n}{4}K_{n-1}(x;p,M).$$

For example, when M = 4 and p = 1/2, the associated monic Jacobi matrix is

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3/2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $K_5(x; 1/2, 4) = \det(xI - \mathcal{J}) = -x^5 + 10x^4 - 35x^3 + 50x^2 - 24x$  which has zeros at x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 hence the eigenvalues of J are 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 with corresponding eigenvectors  $v_k = (K_0(k), K_1(k), K_2(k), K_3(k), K_4(k))^\top$ , k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.

# 4. Perfect State Transfer

Recall that at path graph G with N vertices is a connected, undirected graph where two end vertices have degree 1 and the remaining N-2 vertices have degree 2. This means that G may be drawn so that its vertices all lie on a straight line and are only connected to their nearest neighbors. We can associate Jacobi matrices to path graphs in the following way. Label the vertices of G by  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$ , and let  $w_{jk}$  denote the weight of the edge connecting vertex j to vertex k. We will assume the weights satisfy  $w_{jk} > 0$  for  $j \neq k$ . Then the *adjacency matrix* of G is the  $N \times N$  matrix defined by  $J = (w_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{N-1}$ . One can see that since each vertex is connected to only its nearest neighbors, J will be tridiagonal and hence a Jacobi matrix. In the case of path graphs, G is undirected, hence  $w_{jk} = w_{kj}$  and therefore J is symmetric.

It is natural to use graph theory to model quantum communication by letting the vertices represent qubits and the edge weights represent couplings (how one qubit interacts with another). In the case of path graphs, J represents the Hamiltonian of a spin chain.

Let  $\mathbf{e}_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^\top$  be the standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  with 1 in the n + 1-th entry and zeros elsewhere,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ . For example,  $\mathbf{e}_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)^\top$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{N-1} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top$ .

**Definition 4.1** (Perfect State Transfer). Let j and k be vertices of a finite graph and J be its adjacency matrix. Then perfect state transfer (PST) from vertex n to vertex m occurs if there exists some time  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\left|\mathbf{e}_{m}^{\top}\exp(it_{0}J)\mathbf{e}_{n}\right|^{2}=1.$$

Remark 4.2. PST between vertices n and m means that an initial state where only qubit n is excited, evolves with probability 1 to a state where only qubit m is excited.

*Remark* 4.3. We also note that definition 4.1 is equivalent to

$$e^{it_0J}\mathbf{e}_n = e^{i\phi}\mathbf{e}_m$$

for some real number  $\phi$ .

Many results have been formed concerning quantum spin chains with N qubits. It was shown in [8] that for unweighted paths where the Hamiltonian is represented by the adjacency matrix, PST between endpoints can only occur for  $N \leq 3$ . Therefore, considering weighted paths became of interest and in 2005, Christandl et al showed that it is possible to achieve PST between endpoints in this case by allowing couplings between qubits which correspond to adding specific weights to the edges of the path (see [7]). Because the graph Hamiltonian for a path graph with positive weights is an  $N \times N$  Jacobi matrix with positive off-diagonal entries, there is a deep connection between PST and the theory of orthogonal polynomials. Below, we provide several examples of where OPSs have proven to be useful for studying PST in spin chains.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let G be a path graph on N vertices, J its weighted adjacency matrix and  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  be the OPS generated by J. Denote the zeros of  $P_N(x)$  by  $\lambda_k$ , k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1. If there is PST between vertices n and m at time  $t_0$ , then

$$P_m(\lambda_k) = e^{-i\phi} e^{it_0\lambda_k} P_n(\lambda_k)$$

for all k = 0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1 and some phase factor  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The proof utilizes the fact that J can be diagonalized as  $J = PDP^{\top}$ , where  $D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{N-1}\}$  is a diagonal matrix whose entries are the zeros of  $P_N(x)$ , and P is an  $N \times N$  matrix whose columns are orthonormal eigenvectors  $\tilde{v}_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|}$  corresponding to  $\lambda_k$ , where the  $v_k$  are as in (3.5) (see [17] or [20]).

Remark 4.5. In the case of PST between endpoints, the converse of the Lemma 4.4 is also true and thus PST occurs between nodes 0 and N-1 at time  $t_0$  if and only if  $P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) = e^{-i\phi}e^{it_0\lambda_k}$  (see Theorem 6.1 of [9] for a detailed proof).

The following well known theorem translates the question of PST into a question about the eigenvalues of J.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let J be the weighted adjacency matrix of a path graph on N vertices and let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$  denote the (distinct) eigenvalues of J. If J achieves PST at time  $t_0$  then the eigenvalues satisfy  $\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} = (2m_k + 1)\pi/t_0$  where  $m_k$  is a nonegative integer.

As in Lemma 4.4, the proof uses the fact that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of J can be given in terms of the corresponding orthogonal polynomials. It also uses the interlacing property of the zeros of  $P_n(x)$  and  $P_{n+1}(x)$  which is illustrated below.

*Proof.* Assume PST is realized at time  $t_0$ . Then by Remark 4.5,

(4.1) 
$$P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) = e^{-i\phi} e^{it_0\lambda_k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

Since the J is real, the corresponding OPS is real and therefore

$$(4.2) P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) = \pm 1$$

Recall that by proposition 3.2, the zeros of  $P_N(x)$  and  $P_{N-1}(x)$  interlace and thus (4.2) is equivalent to

(4.3)  $P_{N-1}(\lambda_k) = (-1)^{N-1+k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$ 

Hence, (4.1) implies

$$e^{-i\phi}e^{it_0\lambda_k} = (-1)^{N-1+k}$$

and thus

$$e^{it_0(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})} = -1$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., N - 1. Therefore,

$$t_0(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) = (2m_k + 1)\pi$$

for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ .

In [14], it was shown that the above behavior of consecutive eigenvalues along with mirror symmetry (symmetry about the anti-diagonal) of the Jacobi matrix actually characterize the spin chains with nearest neighbor interactions which exhibit PST.

**Theorem 4.7** (Kay [14]). For a spin chain on N vertices, PST between endpoints occurs at time  $t_0$  if and only if J is mirror symmetric and the eigenvalues satisfy  $\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} = (2m_k + 1)\pi/t_0.$ 

The proof relies on analysis of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of J, however it was shown in [20] that this characterization can also be stated explicitly in terms of the associated OPS.

**Lemma 4.8** (Vinet and Zhedanov 2012 [20]). Let J be the weighted adjacency matrix of a path graph with N vertices and let w(x) be the weight function of the corresponding OPS. Then J exhibits PST at time  $t_0$  if and only if  $\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1} = \frac{(2m_k+1)\pi}{t_0}$  and the orthogonality weights satisfy  $w(\lambda_k) = \frac{k_{N-1}}{\|P'_N(\lambda_k)\|}$  where  $P_N(x) = (x - \lambda_0)(x - \lambda_1) \dots (x - \lambda_{N-1})$  and  $k_{N-1}$  is a normalization constant so that  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} w(\lambda_k) = 1$ .

This equivalent condition is useful in multiple ways. First, it provides a way to construct a Jacobi matrix with the PST property when given a specific set of eigenvalues. Second, it helps provide a method to obtain new spin chains exhibiting PST from old ones by removing points from the spectrum (see "spectral surgery" in [20]). This is related to the well known Christoffel transformation, which provides conditions when one can modify the orthogonality measure of an OPS and obtain a new OPS with respect to this new measure (see [3] and [4] for information on discrete Darboux transformation).

Since conditions on the eigenvalues of J are crucial to determining if PST occurs, analyzing the behavior of eigenvalues has drawn interest. In [17], Kirkland et al. state that if a weighted path has no potentials (loops), then the graph must be bipartite. They then then use properties of bipartite graphs to conclude that the eigenvalues of the corresponding Jacobi matrix are symmetric about zero. One may come to the same conclusion by passing graph theory and using only facts about OPSs.

**Lemma 4.9.** Let J be an  $N \times N$  Jacobi matrix with positive off diagonal entries and zeros along the main diagonal. Then its eigenvalues are symmetric about zero.

*Proof.* Suppose J has zeros along the diagonal and let  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  be the corresponding OPS. Then the associated recurrence relation becomes

 $xP_n(x) = a_n P_{n+1}(x) + a_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$ 

We claim that if n is odd (even), then  $P_n(x)$  is an odd (even) function. Let n = 1. Then  $P_1(x) = a_0^{-1}x$  hence is odd. Similarly,  $P_2(x) = a_2^{-1}[xP_1(x) - a_0P_0(x)]$  where  $P_0(x)$  and  $xP_1(x)$  are both even, hence  $P_2(x)$  is even.

Now assume the statement holds for all  $n \leq k$ . Then  $P_{k+1}(x) = xP_k(x) - a_{k-1}P_{k-1}(x)$ , thus if k is odd,  $xP_k(x)$  and  $P_{k-1}(x)$  are even, thus  $P_{k+1}(x)$  is even. A similar argument holds for k even.

Therefore, if  $x_0$  is a zero of  $P_k(x)$ , then  $P_k(-x_0) = \pm P_k(x_0)$  hence  $-x_0$  is also a zero of  $P_k(x)$ . Since the zeros of  $P_k(x)$  are real, we have that the zeros of  $P_k(x)$ are symmetric about 0. In particular, since the zeros of  $P_N(x)$  are the eigenvalues of J, we have that the eigenvalues of J are symmetric about zero.

Kirkland et. al also made the observation that PST between endpoints, implies PST between interior vertices.

**Proposition 4.10** (Kirkland et. al [17]). For a weighted path of length N with or without potentials, PST between vertices 1 and N implies PST between vertices j and N + 1 - j for each j = 2, ..., N - 1. If for some  $2 \le j \le N - 1$ , there is PST between vertices j and N + 1 - j, and if in addition none of the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian has a zero entry in the j-th position, then the converse holds.

The proof of the proposition 4.10 uses Lemma 4.4 and the realness of the OPS corresponding to a real Jacobi matrix. It also uses the fact that J must be mirror symmetric and hence the eigenvectors must be symmetric or antisymmetric, i.e. of the form  $(v_k)_j = \pm (v_k)_{N-j+1}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ .

# 5. TRANSITION AMPLITUDES

Recall that PST between vertices means that with probability one, an excitation at vertex j will transition to vertex k. Therefore, it is useful to have a formula to compute such transition probabilities. In [13], Karlin and McGregor obtained a seminal formula relating sequences of orthogonal polynomials to transition probabilities of birth and death processes. Since quantum walks are the quantum versions of random walks, it makes sense to study this formula.

Let  $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  be a Markov process on the state space  $S = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  with transition probabilities given by

$$P_{i,j}(t) = \mathbb{P}[X_{t+s} = j | X_s = i], \quad i, j \in S.$$

Note that since  $\{X_t\}$  is Markov, the transition probabilities do not depend on s. Assume that we have nearest-neighbor interactions given by

$$P_{i,i+1}(t) = \lambda_i t + o(t),$$
  

$$P_{i,i}(t) = 1 - (\lambda_i + \mu_i)t + o(t),$$
  

$$P_{i,i-1}(t) = \mu_i t + o(t),$$
  

$$P_{i,j}(t) = o(t) \text{ for } |i - j| > 1$$

as  $t \to 0$ . Then we can associate the transition probabilities with the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -(\lambda_0 + \mu_0) & \lambda_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \mu_1 & -(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) & \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -(\lambda_2 + \mu_2) & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix is a Jacobi matrix with positive upper and lower diagonal entries and thus generates a real OPS. We note that the matrix A satisfies P'(t) = P(t)A = AP(t), and P(0) = I. Karlin and McGregor obtained a formula for computing transition probabilities in terms of the OPS.

8

**Theorem 5.1** (Karlin and McGregor [13]). Let  $\{Q_n(x)\}$  be polynomials satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} -xQ_0(x) &= -(\lambda_0 + \mu_0)Q_0(x) + \lambda_0 Q_1(x) \\ -xQ_n(x) &= \mu_n Q_{n-1}(x) - (\lambda_n + \mu_n)Q_n(x) + \lambda_n Q_{n+1}(x) \quad n \ge 1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_0(x) \equiv 0$  and  $\mu_n > 0, n > 0, \mu_0 \ge 0$  and  $\lambda_n > 0, n \ge 0$ . Then there exists a positive measure  $\psi$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that the  $\{Q_n(x)\}$  are orthogonal with respect to  $\psi$  and the transition probabilities  $P_{i,j}(t)$  may be represented by

(5.1) 
$$P_{i,j}(t) = \frac{\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} Q_i(x) Q_j(x) d\psi(x)}{\int_0^\infty Q_j(x)^2 d\psi(x)}.$$

*Remark* 5.2. We note here that a remarkable part of the above theorem is the connection between birth and death processes and the Steiltjes moment problem.

The quantum analogue of a transition probability is called a *transition amplitude*.

**Definition 5.3.** The transition amplitude,  $c_{nm}(t)$ , for a state at vertex n to be found at vertex m at time t, and is given by

 $c_{nm}(t) = \mathbf{e}_m^{\top} e^{itJ} \mathbf{e}_n, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$ 

The probability of a state at vertex n to be found at vertex m is given by  $|c_{nm}(t)|^2$ . Because J may be diagonalized using the corresponding OPS, it is quick to derive the following formula for transition amplitudes.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let G be a path graph on N vertices and let  $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^N$  be the orthonormal OPS corresponding to the weighted adjacency matrix J with weight function w(x). Let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$  be the zeros of  $P_N(x)$ . Then the transition amplitudes are given by

(5.2) 
$$c_{nm}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_k) P_m(\lambda_k) w(\lambda_k) e^{i\lambda_k t}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2..., N-1.$$

We illustrate this idea with an explicit example utilizing the Krawtchouk polynomials.

*Example* 5.5. Consider a spin chain with 5 qubits and let the Hamiltonian be represented by the following Jacobi matrix:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & \sqrt{3/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3/2} & 2 & \sqrt{3/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3/2} & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then J generates the orthonormal Krawtchouk polynomials

$$\tilde{K}_n(x;1/2,4) := \frac{K_n(x;1/2,4)}{a_0a_1\dots a_{n-1}}$$

where  $a_n = \sqrt{\frac{(4-n)(n+1)}{4}}$  and  $K_n(x; 1/2, 4)$  is defined as in (2.2). Recall from Example 3.5 that  $K_5(x; 1/2, 4)$  has zeros at x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and thus so does  $\tilde{K}(x; 1/2, 4)$ , hence the eigenvalues of J are 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4.

The corresponding orthogonality weight function is  $w(x) = \frac{1}{2^4} {4 \choose x}$  and thus the transition amplitudes of this system are given by

$$c_{nm}(t) = \sum_{x=0}^{4} \frac{1}{2^4} {4 \choose x} \tilde{K}_n(x) \tilde{K}_m(x) e^{ixt}$$

Using the fact that  $\tilde{K}_0(x) = 1$  and  $\tilde{K}_4(x) = \frac{2}{3} \left(x^4 - 8x^3 + 20x^2 - 16x + 3/2\right)$ , one can see that  $c_{04}(\pi) = 1$  and thus the quantum system corresponding to the Krawtchouk polynomials exhibits PST at  $t = \pi$ . This is consistent with the fact that J is mirror-symmetric and the eigenvalues satisfy  $(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1}) \pi = \pi$ .

Remark 5.6. It is not too difficult to see that this result holds for all  $N + 1 \times N + 1$  Jacobi matrices corresponding to the orthonormal Krawtchouk polynomials with M = N and p = 1/2.

### 6. EXCEPTIONAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

There has been recent activity in the study of Exceptional Orthogonal Polynomials (XOPs) which are generalizations of classical orthogonal polynomials but the sequences are missing finitely many degrees (see [5], [10], [12], or [19] for recent discussion and applications of XOPs). One of the properties that XOPs share with OPSs is that they also satisfy recurrence relations, however, these are of order  $n \geq 4$ , and thus the corresponding operator is represented by an *n*-diagonal matrix with  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore, it is natural to ask if there is a relationship between XOPs and quantum walks on graphs which exhibit interactions with more than just nearest-neighbors. In [18], the authors explore an explicit application of X-Krawtchouk polynomials to this larger class of graphs, which we recall below.

**Definition 6.1.** Let N be a positive integer and let  $S = \{0, 1, 2, ..., N, N+3\}$ . Then define the X<sub>2</sub>- Krawtchouk Polynomials  $\{\hat{K}_n^{(2)}(x; p, N)\}_{n \in S}$  by

$$\hat{K}_{n}^{(2)}(x;p,N) = \frac{1}{N+3-n} \begin{vmatrix} (N-x)K_{2}(x-N+1;p,-N-2)(x) & K_{n}(x) \\ -(1-x)K_{2}(x-N+2;p,-N-2)(x) & K_{n}(x+1) \end{vmatrix}$$

for n = 0, 1, 2, ... N and

(6.1)  

$$\hat{K}_{N+3}^{(2)}(x;p,N) = \sum_{0 \le k,j \le 2} \frac{(-2)_j (-2)_k (p-1)^{2-k} p^{2-j}}{j!k!} (-N-3)_{2-j} (-N-3)_{2-k} \times K_{N+k+j+1} (x+k+1;p,N+k+j+1)(x).$$

The  $X_2$ -Krawtchouk polynomials form an orthogonal sequence with respect to

$$\sum_{x=-1}^{N} \hat{K}_{n}^{(2)}(x;p,N)\hat{K}_{m}^{(2)}(x;p,N)\hat{w}(x) = \hat{h}_{n}\delta_{n,m}$$

where

(6.2) 
$$\hat{w}(x) = \frac{w(x+1; p, N+1)}{K_2(x-N-1; p, -N-2)K_2(x-N; p, -N-2)}$$

where  $w(x) = {\binom{N}{x}} p^x (1-p)^{N-x}$  is the weight function of the ordinary Krawtchouk polynomials defined in (2.1) and and  $\hat{h}_n$  are constants.

The X-Krawtchouk polynomials are formed by performing Darboux transformation to the ordinary Krawtchouk difference operator L which is given by

$$L[f(x)] := p(N-x) \left[ f(x+1) - f(x) \right] + x(1-p) \left[ f(x-1) - f(x) \right].$$

They satisfy a 7-term recurrence relation,

(6.3) 
$$\lambda_x \hat{K}_n^{(2)}(x;p,N) = \sum_{k=-3}^3 \beta_{n,k} \hat{K}_{n+k}^{(2)}(x;p,N), \quad x \in \{-1,0,1,2,\dots,N\}$$

where

$$\lambda_x = -K_3(x - N; p, -N - 1)$$

is given in terms of the ordinary Krawtchouk polynomial of degree 3. Formulas for the coefficients  $\beta_{n,k}$  may be found in [18] but we note that  $\beta_{N+3,k} = 0$  for k = -2, -2, 1, 2 and 3. Thus, one may associate the  $X_2$ -Krawtchouk polynomials with a quantum walk on N+2 vertices, labeled  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, N, N+3$ , where transition occurs amongst the three nearest-neighbors except for vertex N + 3 which only interacts with vertex N.

**Theorem 6.2** (Miki, Tsujimoto and Vinet [18]). The transition amplitude of a quantum walk with Jacobi matrix corresponding to the  $X_2$ -Krawtchouk polynomials is given by

(6.4) 
$$c_{nm}(t) = \sum_{x=-1}^{N} T_n(x;p) T_m(x;p) e^{-i\lambda_x t}$$

The proof uses the fact that the matrix associated with the orthonormal  $X_2$ -Krawtchouk polynomials,  $\tilde{K}_n^{(2)}(x; p, N)$ , is Hermitian, and thus may be diagonalized using the eigenvectors  $v_x = (T_1(x; p), T_2(x; p), \ldots, T_{N+2}(x; p))^T$ , which are given in terms of the  $X_2$ -Krawtchouk functions:

$$T_n(x;p) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\hat{w}(x)} \tilde{K}_{n-1}^{(2)}(x;p,N) & n = 1, 2, \dots, N+1 \\ \sqrt{\hat{w}(x)} \tilde{K}_{N+3}^{(2)}(x;p,N) & n = N+2. \end{cases}$$

As a corollary to Theorem 6.2, it can be shown that for p = 1/2, perfect return occurs with probability one, but there is no PST between the end vertices. This is contrary to the model associated to the ordinary Krawtchouk polynomials as stated in Remark 5.6.

### 7. Open Questions

It is shown in [15] that given an unweighted path graph of length  $n \ge 4$ , there does not exist a potential which induces PST between the endpoints. It has also been shown that unweighted complete graphs with  $n \ge 3$  vertices do not have PST. Tamon and Kendon provide a discussion in [16] of results regarding PST for complete graphs, path graphs, hypercubic graphs, Hamming graphs and integral circulants, but this list is not finished, thus the search to characterize all graphs with PST remains.

As mentioned in Section 4, the authors of [20] describe a process called "spectral surgery" which allows one to construct new Jacobi matrices from old ones exhibiting PST so that the new system also exhibits PST. The procedure involves removing points from the spectrum and looking at new weight functions of the form  $\hat{w}(x) = \prod_{k=j}^{n} (x - \lambda_k) w(x)$ , with  $0 \leq j, n \leq N - 1$ . However, there is a

restriction that the spectral points must be chosen so that the new weights are positive. Therefore, investigation into performing spectral surgery on arbitrary points of the spectrum is warranted. We remark that this corresponds to performing the Christoffel transformation on a family of orthogonal polynomials at points within the support of the orthogonality measure.

In reference to [18], a possible direction is to come up with more explicit examples of quantum walks on graphs related to other families of XOPs. The authors suggest looking at the dual Hahn polynomials which is another example of a finite OPS. It could also be useful to study how to extend the applications of XOPs to higher dimensional graphs. Thus, exploration of multivariate XOPs is a challenging but exciting path to take.

Recall Proposition 4.10, which states that PST between end vertices implies PST between opposite interior vertices. The converse to the statement is only proven for graphs with Hamiltonians which have eigenvectors of a specific type. Thus, it remains an open question as to if the converse holds for arbitrary Jacobi matrices.

Finally, in [2], the authors study Jacobi matrices which exhibit PST at time  $T_0$  as well as have the property that there exists some time  $t < T_0$  such that the first component of  $e^{itJ}\mathbf{e_0}$  is 0, while the last component is not 1. The authors call this phenomena *Early State Exclusion* (ESE) and show the existence of an  $N \times N$  Jacobi matrix with ESE for any even  $N \ge 4$ . The case for odd  $N \ge 5$  is still to be determined.

While this is far from a complete list of open questions regarding PST in quantum walks on graphs, we hope that it demonstrates the many directions of the topic and possibly inspires readers to explore further paths.

Acknowledgments. I am extremely grateful to Prof. Michael Nathanson for the helpful discussions and comments which have strengthened this paper.

#### References

- J. Baik, T. Kriecherbauer, K. McLaughlin, and P. Miller. Discrete orthogonal polynomials: Asymptotics and applications. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 164, 01 2007.
- [2] R. Bailey, S. Costa, M. Derevyagin, C. Findley, and K. Zuang. Jacobi matrices that realize perfect quantum state transfer and early state exclusion, 2024.
- [3] R. Bailey and M. Derevyagin. Complex jacobi matrices generated by darboux transformations. Journal of Approximation Theory, 288:105876, 2023.
- [4] M. Bueno and F. Marcellán. Darboux transformation and perturbation of linear functionals. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 384:215–242, 2004.
- [5] M.M. Castro and F.A. Grünbaum. A new property of exceptional orthogonal polynomials, 2022.
- [6] T.S. Chihara. An introduction to orthogonal polynomials. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [7] M. Christandl, N. Datta, T.C Dorlas, A. Ekert, A. Kay, and A.J. Landahl. Perfect transfer of arbitrary states in quantum spin networks. *Physical Review A*, 71(3), March 2005.
- [8] M. Christandl, N. Datta, A. Ekert, and A.J. Landahl. Perfect state transfer in quantum spin networks. *Physical Review Letters*, 92(18), May 2004.
- [9] M. Derevyagin, A. Minenkova, and N. Sun. A theorem of joseph-alfred serret and its relation to perfect quantum state transfer. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 39(3):480–499, 2021.
- [10] A.J. Durán. Exceptional orthogonal polynomials via Krall discrete polynomials. In Lectures on orthogonal polynomials and special functions, volume 464 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 1–75. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [11] C. Godsil. State transfer on graphs, 2017.

- [12] D. Gómez-Ullate, Y. Grandati, and R. Milson. Spectral theory of exceptional Hermite polynomials. In From operator theory to orthogonal polynomials, combinatorics, and number theory—a volume in honor of Lance Littlejohn's 70th birthday, volume 285 of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 173–196. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [13] S. Karlin and J. McGregor. Representation of a class of stochastic processes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 41(6):387–391, 1955.
- [14] A. Kay. Perfect, efficient, state transfer and its application as a constructive tool. International Journal of Quantum Information, 08(04):641–676, 2010.
- [15] M. Kempton, G. Lippner, and S. Yau. Perfect state transfer on graphs with a potential, 2016.
- [16] V.M. Kendon and C. Tamon. Perfect state transfer in quantum walks on graphs. Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, 8(3):422–433, March 2011.
- [17] S. Kirkland, D. McLaren, R. Pereira, S. Plosker, and X. Zhang. Perfect quantum state transfer in weighted paths with potentials (loops) using orthogonal polynomials. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 67(5):1043–1061, 2019.
- [18] H. Miki, S. Tsujimoto, and L. Vinet. Classical and quantum walks on paths associated with exceptional krawtchouk polynomials. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 63(10):103502, 10 2022.
- [19] H. Miki, S. Tsujimoto, and L. Vinet. The single-indexed exceptional Krawtchouk polynomials. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 29(3):344–365, 2023.
- [20] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov. How to construct spin chains with perfect state transfer. Phys. Rev. A, 85:012323, 2012.

RB, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Bentley University, 175 Forest Street, Waltham, MA 02452, USA

Email address: rbailey@bentley.edu