

# P-ADIC APPROXIMATION OF ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS AND RESIDUE CLASS RINGS OF RINGS OF INTEGER-VALUED POLYNOMIALS

SOPHIE FRISCH AND FRANZ HALTER-KOCH

ABSTRACT. Let  $F: K$  be a Galois extension of number fields and  $Q$  a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  lying over the prime  $P$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . By analyzing the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $\mathcal{O}_F$  we characterize those rings of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  for which every residue class ring of  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  modulo a non-zero prime ideal is  $\text{GE}_2$  (meaning that every unimodular pair can be transformed to  $(1, 0)$  by a series of elementary transformations).

## 1. P-ADIC APPROXIMATION OF ALGEBRAIC INTEGERS

For an algebraic number field  $K$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be its ring of integers and  $\mathbb{P}_K$  the set of all maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . For  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  we denote by  $K_P$  the  $P$ -adic completion of  $K$  and by  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P$  its valuation domain.

Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of algebraic number fields, and  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  such that  $Q \supset P$ , and suppose that  $K_P \subset L_Q$ . The greatest intermediate field  $Z$  of  $L/K$  satisfying  $Z_{Q \cap Z} = K_P$  is called the **decomposition field** of  $Q$  over  $K$ .

If  $L/K$  is a Galois extension and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  then the decomposition field  $Z$  of  $Q$  over  $K$  is the fixed field of the decomposition group  $G_Q = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma Q = Q\}$ , the local extension  $L_Q/K_P$  is Galois, and the restriction  $\tau \mapsto \tau \upharpoonright L$  defines an isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(L_Q/K_P) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_Q$  (see [7, §6.1.3] or [4, Def. 2.5.3]). We identify:  $G_Q = \text{Gal}(L_Q/K_P) \subset G$ .

In general, the existence of the decomposition field is provided by the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of algebraic number fields,  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  such that  $Q \supset P$ , and suppose that  $K_P \subset L_Q$ . Then  $K_P \cap L$  is the decomposition field of  $Q$  over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is an intermediate field of  $L/K$  such that  $M_{Q \cap M} = K_P$ , then clearly  $M \subset L \cap K_P$ , and it suffices to prove that  $(L \cap K_P)_{Q \cap (L \cap K_P)} = K_P$ . But  $K \subset L \cap K_P \subset K_P$ , and if we build the topological closure (in the  $Q$ -adic topology), we obtain that  $K_P \subset (L \cap K_P)_{Q \cap L \cap K_P} \subset K_P$ , and thus equality holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of algebraic number fields,  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  such that  $Q \supset P$ , and let  $Z$  be the decomposition field of  $Q$  over  $K$ .*

*Let  $\mathcal{O}_Z = Q \cap \mathcal{O}_Z$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{Z, Q_Z}$  is the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $L$ .*

---

S. Frisch is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P35788.

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_K}$  be the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . By definition,  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_K} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_P} \cap L$ , and thus we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L & \text{---} & L_Q & \text{---} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Q \\
 | & & | & & | \\
 Z & \text{---} & K_P = Z_{Q \cap Z} & \text{---} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q \cap Z} \\
 | & \diagup & & & | \\
 K & \text{---} & & & \mathcal{O}_K
 \end{array}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_K} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_P \cap L = (K_P \cap \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Q) \cap L = (K_P \cap L) \cap (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_Q \cap L) = Z \cap \mathcal{O}_{L,Q} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,Q_Z}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of algebraic number fields,  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  such that  $Q \supset P$ ,*

1.  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is dense in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  in the  $Q$ -adic topology if and only if  $P$  splits completely in  $L$ .
2.  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is relatively closed in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  in the  $Q$ -adic topology if and only if  $Q$  is the only maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  lying above  $P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be the decomposition field of  $Q$  over  $K$ . Then  $r = [Z:K]$  is the number of prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  lying above  $P$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  is the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . Consequently,

$$\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is dense in } \mathcal{O}_L \iff \mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_L \iff Z = L \iff r = [L:K].$$

$$\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{O}_L \iff \mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_K \iff Z = K \iff r = 1. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension of algebraic number fields, and let  $\mathcal{O}_K^\#$  be the intersection of all  $Q$ -adic closures of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $L$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_K^\# = \mathcal{O}_K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K'$  be the intersection of all decomposition fields of  $Q$ , where  $Q$  ranges through  $\mathbb{P}_L$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $\mathcal{O}_K^\# \subseteq K'$ . We show that  $K' = K$ .

Assume first that  $L/K$  is Galois and let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . If  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  is unramified in  $L$  and  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  such that  $Q \supset P$ , then  $G_Q = \langle F_Q \rangle$  is a cyclic group generated by the Frobenius automorphism  $F_Q$  of  $Q$  over  $K$ . Therefore the fixed field  $L^{\langle F_Q \rangle}$  is the decomposition field of  $Q$  over  $K$ .

For  $\sigma \in G$  we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(L/K, \sigma)$  the set of all  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  such that  $F_Q = \sigma$  for some  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  lying above  $P$ . By Chebotarev's density theorem (see [7, Theorem 7.30], [4, Theorem 4.4.6], or [5, Theorem 7.9.2]), the set  $\mathcal{P}(L/K, \sigma)$  has positive Dirichlet density. Therefore  $\{L^{(\sigma)} \mid \sigma \in G\}$  is a subset of the set of all decomposition fields, and hence

$$K \subseteq K' \subseteq \bigcap_{\sigma \in G} L^{(\sigma)} = K,$$

which implies  $K' = K$ .

Now consider any  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$  and some  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  lying above  $P$ . Let  $Z$  be the decomposition field of  $Q$  and  $Q_Z = Q \cap \mathcal{O}_Z$ . Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\# \subseteq K \cap \mathcal{O}_{Z,Q_Z} = \mathcal{O}_{K,P}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K^\# \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in \mathbb{P}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K,P} = \mathcal{O}_K,$$

so that  $\mathcal{O}_K^\# = \mathcal{O}_K$ .

If  $L/K$  is an arbitrary finite extension, let  $L^*/K$  be a finite Galois extension such that  $L \subset L^*$ .

If  $P \in \mathbb{P}_K$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_L$  and  $Q^* \in \mathbb{P}_{L^*}$  are such that  $P \subset Q \subset Q^*$ , let  $C_Q$  be the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $L$  and  $C_{Q^*}$  the  $Q^*$ -adic closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $L^*$ . Then  $C_Q = C_{Q^*} \cap L$ . Hence, as the intersection of all  $Q^*$ -adic closures already equals  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , all the more this holds for the intersection of all  $Q$ -adic closures.  $\square$

## 2. AN APPLICATION TO INTEGER-VALUED POLYNOMIALS

For an integral domain  $D$  with quotient field  $K$ , the “ring of integer-valued polynomials over  $D$ ” consists of the polynomials with coefficients in  $K$  that map elements of  $D$  (when substituted for the variable) to elements of  $D$ :

$$\text{Int}(D) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(D) \subseteq D\}.$$

$P$ -adic closure turns out to be useful for describing the image of an element of a number field under the ring of integer-valued polynomials of the ring of algebraic integers in a subfield. (A different description of the same image has been given by McQuillan [6]).

Before showing this, we recall in gory detail, by request of the referee, a standard argument for evaluating  $v(f(c))$  when  $c$  is an element of a discrete valuation ring  $D$  and  $f$  a product of monic linear factors in  $D[x]$ :

**Remark 2.1.** Consider Legendre’s formula for the exponent of a prime  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $n!$ :

$$v_p(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor.$$

A priori,  $v_p(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_p(j)$ , but alternatively we can add up, for  $k \geq 1$ , the number of those  $j$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  that are divisible by  $p^k$ , because in that way every  $j$  with  $v_p(j) = m$  is counted exactly  $m$  times.

By the same token, for elements  $c, a_1, \dots, a_n$  in a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal  $M$ , valuation  $v$ , and valuation group  $e\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e > 0$ ,

$$v\left(\prod_{j=1}^n (c - a_j)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n v(c - a_j) = e \sum_{k \geq 1} |\{1 \leq j \leq n \mid a_j \in c + M^k\}|.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $D$  be a Dedekind domain with finite residue fields, and  $K$  its quotient field.*

*Let  $c$  algebraic over  $K$ ,  $F = K[c]$  and  $E$  the integral closure of  $D$  in  $F$ .*

*Then, for any maximal ideal  $Q$  of  $E$ , the image of  $c$  under  $\text{Int}(D)$  is contained in  $E_Q$  if and only if  $c$  is in the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $D$  in  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P = Q \cap D$ , and  $PE = Q^e Q_1^{e_1} \dots Q_{r-1}^{e_{r-1}}$  the prime factorization of  $P$  in  $E$ . Let  $v_Q$  the valuation on  $F$  associated to  $Q$ , normalized so that its value group is  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and, consequently,  $e\mathbb{Z}$  the value group of its restriction to  $K$ , which we write as  $v_P$ .

Suppose  $c$  is in the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $D$  in  $F$ , and  $f \in \text{Int}(D)$ . Write  $f = g/d$  with  $g \in D[x]$  and  $d \in D$ . Let  $m = v_Q(d)$  and let  $c' \in D$  such that  $v_Q(c - c') \geq m$ . Since  $v_Q(g(c')) \geq m$  and  $g(c') \equiv g(c)$  modulo  $Q^m$ , we see  $v_Q(g(c)) \geq m$  and hence  $f(c) \in E_Q$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $c$  is not in the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $D$  in  $F$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(c + Q^{em}) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Let  $[D: P] = p$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_{p^m}$  a complete system of residues of  $D$  modulo  $P^m$ . Let  $\beta = (1 - p^m)/(1 - p)$ , and  $d \in K$  such that  $v_P(d) = -e\beta$  and  $v(d) \geq 0$  for all other essential valuations of  $D$ .

Set  $g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{p^m} (x - a_j)$ , and  $f = dg$ . Then, by Remark 2.1,  $\min_{r \in D} v_P(g(r)) = e(1 + p + \dots + p^{(m-1)}) = e\beta$ , the minimum being attained by those  $r$  with  $v_P(r - a_j) = m$  for the unique  $j$  such that  $r \equiv a_j$  modulo  $P^m$ . Also,  $f \in D_{P'}[x]$  for all maximal ideals  $P' \neq P$  of  $D$ , whence  $f \in \text{Int}(D)$ .

At the same time,  $f(c) \notin E_Q$  since  $v_Q(g(c)) < e\beta$ : To see this, calculate  $v_Q(g(c))$  according to Remark 2.1: If  $c \notin E_Q$  then already  $v_Q(g(c)) < 0$ . So, assume  $c \in E_Q$ . then  $v_Q(g(c)) = \sum_{k \geq 1} n_k(c)$ , where

$$n_k(c) = |\{1 \leq j \leq p^m \mid v_Q(c - a_j) \geq k\}| = |\{1 \leq j \leq p^m \mid a_j \in c + Q^k\}|.$$

Now, the intersection of a residue class of  $Q^k$  in  $E$  with  $D$  is either empty or a residue class of  $P^{\lfloor \frac{k}{e} \rfloor}$  in  $D$ , and  $(c + Q^k) \cap D$  is empty for all  $k \geq em$  by assumption.

For  $1 \leq s \leq m$ , each residue class of  $P^s$  is represented among the  $a_j$  exactly  $p^{m-s}$  times, so that

$$v_Q(g(c)) = \sum_{k=1}^{em-1} n_k(c) = \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^e n_{(s-1)e+r}(c) + \sum_{r=1}^{e-1} n_{(m-1)e+r}(c)$$

implies

$$v_Q(g(c)) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{r=1}^e p^{m-s} + \sum_{r=1}^{e-1} 1 = \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} ep^{m-s} + e - 1 = e\beta - 1$$

□

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $D = \mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $K$ ,  $c$  algebraic over  $K$ ,  $F = K[c]$ , and  $E = \mathcal{O}_F$  the integral closure of  $D$  in  $F$ .*

*Then the image of  $c$  under  $\text{Int}(D)$  is*

$$\text{Int}(D)[c] = \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}(c)} E_Q,$$

*where  $\mathcal{P}(c)$  is the set of those  $Q \in \text{Spec}(E)$  such that  $c$  is in the  $Q$ -adic closure of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $D[c] \subseteq \text{Int}(D)[c] \subseteq K[c] = F$ . Since  $\text{Int}(D)$  is Prüfer, so is  $\text{Int}(D)[c]$ , as a homomorphic image of a Prüfer domain. In particular,  $\text{Int}(D)[c]$  is integrally closed in its quotient field  $F$ , and, therefore, contains  $E$ . As an overring of the Dedekind ring  $E$ ,  $\text{Int}(D)[c]$  is necessarily an intersection of localizations of  $E$  at maximal ideals, and, therefore, equal to the intersection of all  $E_Q$  containing it. Proposition 2.2 tells us which ones these are. □

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $D = \mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $K$ ,  $F$  a finite extension of  $K$ , and  $E = \mathcal{O}_F$  the integral closure of  $D$  in  $F$ . Let  $c \in F$ .*

Then  $\text{Int}(D)[c]$ , the image of  $c$  under  $\text{Int}(D)$ , is

$$\text{Int}(D)[c] = \begin{cases} D & \text{if } c \in D \\ a \text{ strict overring of } D & \text{if } c \in K \setminus D \\ a \text{ strict overring of } E & \text{if } c \in F \setminus K \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The first two cases are trivial; the third follows from Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 2.3.  $\square$

The relevance of the above corollary is this: it allows us, by applying a result of Vaserstein [9], to conclude that the residue class rings of  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  modulo non-zero prime ideals are  $\text{GE}_2$ , for those rings of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  that are themselves  $\text{GE}_2$ . (We are interested in showing this as residue class rings that are  $\text{GE}_2$  can be used as a step towards determining the stable rank of  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .)

Generalized Euclidean rings were introduced by Cohn in a seminal paper [3] in 1966. A commutative ring  $R$  is  $\text{GE}_2$  if any unimodular pair  $(a, b) \in R^2$  (that is, any pair such that  $aR + bR = R$ ) can be transformed to  $(1, 0)$  by a series of elementary transformations, where an elementary transformation consists of replacing  $(a, b)$  by  $(a, b + ra)$  or by  $(a + rb, b)$  for some  $r \in R$ . Likewise,  $R$  is called  $\text{GE}_n$  if every unimodular  $n$ -tuple can be transformed to  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  by a series of elementary transformations (consisting of adding a scalar multiple of one entry to a different entry), and  $R$  is called generalized Euclidean if it is  $\text{GE}_n$  for all  $n > 0$ . By the Euclidean algorithm, Euclidean rings are generalized Euclidean.

Vaserstein showed for the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in a number field  $K$  that firstly, every strict overring of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $\text{GE}_2$ , and, secondly, when  $K$  is not imaginary quadratic then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  itself is  $\text{GE}_2$ .

Cohn [3] had already shown that in an imaginary quadratic number field  $K$ , the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is not  $\text{GE}_2$  unless it is actually Euclidean. Since the Euclidean imaginary quadratic  $\mathcal{O}_K$  are known, we have in the results of Cohn [3] and Vaserstein [9] a complete classification of those rings of integers in number fields (and their overrings) that are  $\text{GE}_2$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $K$  be one of those number fields for which  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $\text{GE}_2$ . Then  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)/P$  is  $\text{GE}_2$  for every non-zero prime ideal  $P$  of  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .*

*In particular,  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)/P$  is  $\text{GE}_2$  for every non-zero prime ideal  $P$  of  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  whenever  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is Euclidean or  $K$  not imaginary quadratic.*

*Proof.* If  $P$  is maximal then  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)/P$  is a field, and hence Euclidean. Any non-zero non-maximal prime ideal of  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is of the form  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K) \cap f(x)K[x]$  for an irreducible polynomial  $f \in K[x]$ . Let  $c$  be a root of  $f$  in the splitting field of  $f$  over  $K$ . Clearly then  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)/P$  is isomorphic to the image of  $c$  under  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ , which is  $\text{GE}_2$  by Corollary 2.4 and the hypothesis.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment:** The surviving author wishes to thank the referee for graciously correcting some inaccuracies due to Prof. Halter-Koch's sudden death in the drafting stage of the paper, before proofreading.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS, *Libération des modules projectifs sur certains anneaux de polynômes*, in Séminaire Bourbaki, 26e année (1973/1974), Exp. No. 448, Springer, Berlin, 1975, 228–354. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 431.
- [2] S. BOURBAKI, *Vol. 1973/1974, 26e année: Exposés Nos. 436–452*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 431, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [3] P. M. COHN, *On the structure of the  $GL_2$  of a ring*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), 5–53.
- [4] F. HALTER-KOCH, *An Invitation to Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2020.
- [5] F. HALTER-KOCH, *Class Field Theory and L-Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2022.
- [6] D. MCQUILLAN, *Split primes and integer-valued polynomials*, J. Number Theory 43 (1993), 216–219.
- [7] J. NEUKIRCH, *Algebraische Zahlentheorie*, Springer, Berlin, 1992. English translation, see [8].
- [8] J. NEUKIRCH, *Algebraic number theory*, vol. 322 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer, Berlin, 1999. English translation of [7].
- [9] L. N. VASERŠTEĪN, *The group  $SL_2$  over Dedekind rings of arithmetic type*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 89(131) (1972), 313–322, 351.
- [10] L. N. VASERŠTEĪN AND A. A. SUSLIN, *Serre’s problem on projective modules over polynomial rings, and algebraic K-theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 40 (1976), 993–1054, 1199.

INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS UND ZAHLENTHEORIE, GRAZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, KOPERNIKUS-  
GASSE 24, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* frisch@math.tugraz.at

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND WISSENSCHAFTLICHES RECHNEN, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, HEIN-  
RICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA