

# Young diagrams, deformed Calogero-Moser systems and Cayley graphs

Ian M. Musson  
 Department of Mathematical Sciences  
 University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee  
 email: musson@uwm.edu

December 24, 2024

## Abstract

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $n, m$  coprime positive integers. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$  with root system  $\Delta$ . Using  $\Delta$ , Sergeev and Veselov, [SV11] introduced an action of the Weyl groupoid  $\mathfrak{W}$ , in connection with their study of the the Grothendieck group of finite dimensional graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. We denote the subgroupoid of  $\mathfrak{W}$  with morphisms corresponding to isotropic roots by  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ .

Later, [SV17] the same authors defined an action of  $\mathfrak{W}$  on  $X = \mathbf{k}^{n|m}$  such that the invariant algebra  $\mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathfrak{W}}$  is isomorphic to the algebra of quantum integrals for the deformed Calogero-Moser system introduced in [SV04]. This completely integrable system depends on a non-zero parameter  $\kappa$ . When  $\kappa = -m/n$  we study a certain infinite  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ -orbit  $\mathbf{O}$  for this action. The Cayley graph for this orbit is isomorphic to the Cayley graphs for two other actions of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  which were studied in [Mus24b].

## 1 Introduction

For a set  $\mathbb{X}$ , the symmetric groupoid  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})$  on  $\mathbb{X}$  has base consisting of all subsets of  $\mathbb{X}$  and morphisms all bijections between subsets. The groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$  acts on  $\mathbb{X}$  if there is a functor  $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})$ . If  $X$  is an affine algebraic variety, we can study the invariant algebra  $\mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathcal{G}}$ , consisting of all polynomial functions on  $X$  that are constant on  $\mathcal{G}$ -orbits, [SV17], [Mus24a]. Let  $\mathfrak{W}$  be the Weyl groupoid in type  $A(n, m)$  from [SV11]. We denote the subgroupoid of  $\mathfrak{W}$  with morphisms corresponding to isotropic roots by  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ .

In [SV04] Sergeev and Veselov studied deformed quantum Calogero-Moser(CM) systems related to generalized root systems (in the sense of Serganova [Ser96]). For the classical types  $A(n, m)$  and  $BC(n, m)$ , the admissible deformations depend on a single parameter and are completely integrable. Later [SV17] in type A, they described the algebra of quantum integrals for the deformed CM system as the the invariant algebra  $\mathcal{O}(X)^{\mathfrak{W}}$  for an action of  $\mathfrak{W}$  on  $X = \mathbf{k}^{n|m}$ .

We consider a certain infinite  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ -orbit  $\mathbf{O}$  which appears in [SV17] Equation (14). Up to some inherent symmetries, this is the unique infinite orbit for the parameters we consider, see Conjecture 4.1. The Cayley graph for this orbit is isomorphic to the Cayley graphs for two other actions of

$\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ . The first arises from the set of Young diagrams  $X$  contained in a rectangle with  $n$  rows and  $m$  columns. We can define an equivalence relation on  $X \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  acts on the set of equivalence classes, see Subsection 2.4. Secondly  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  acts transitively, by odd reflections on a set of Borel subalgebras of the affinization  $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , see [Mus24b] for details.

## 1.1 Groupoids and Cayley graphs

Throughout  $[k]$  denotes the set of the first  $k$  positive integers and iff means if and only if. Suppose  $F$  is a functor  $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})$ . The *Cayley graph*  $\Gamma(F)$  of  $F$  is the directed graph with vertex set  $\mathbb{X}$  and an edge  $x \rightarrow F(s)x$  whenever  $s$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $F(s)x$  is defined. We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ , we refer to the (vertices in the) connected component of the Cayley graph as the *orbit* of  $x$ . We say that  $F$  acts *transitively* if  $\mathbb{X}$  is a single  $\mathcal{G}$ -orbit.

If  $\omega : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$  is a bijection of sets, there is an induced isomorphism  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Y})$  also denoted  $\omega$ . Now suppose we have a commutative diagram of groupoids and functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \omega \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Y}) \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

Thus  $\omega$  induces an isomorphism of Cayley graphs

$$\Gamma(F) \rightarrow \Gamma(B).$$

If  $F$  and  $B$  are transitive in (1.1), we say that  $\omega$  is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant bijection from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{Y}$ . The aim of this paper is to construct various commutative diagrams as in (1.1).

## 1.2 The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ and the Weyl groupoid

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$  with root system  $\Delta$ . The Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of diagonal matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is identified with  $\mathfrak{k}^{n|m}$ . For  $i \in [m+n]$ , define  $\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  so that  $\epsilon_i(h) = h_i$  where  $h = \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_{m+n}) \in \mathfrak{h}$ . For  $i \in [m]$ , set  $i' = i + n$  and  $\delta_i = \epsilon_{i'}$ . The bilinear form  $(\ , \ )$  is defined on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  is defined on the basis  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$  by

$$(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = \delta_{i,j} = -(\delta_i, \delta_j)$$

and  $(\epsilon_i, \delta_j) = 0$  for all relevant indices  $i, j$ . We write  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{k}^{n|m}$  in the form

$$\Lambda = (a_1, \dots, a_n | b_1, \dots, b_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \epsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \delta_j. \quad (1.2)$$

If  $\Lambda$  is as above we have

$$(\Lambda, \epsilon_i - \delta_j) = a_i - b_j. \quad (1.3)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  be the groupoid with base  $\Delta_{iso}$ , the set of all the isotropic roots in  $\Delta$  and non-identity morphisms  $\rho_\alpha : \alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta_{iso}$ . The Weyl group  $W$  acts on  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  in a natural way:  $\alpha \rightarrow w(\alpha)$ ,  $\rho_\alpha \rightarrow \rho_{w(\alpha)}$ . The *Weyl groupoid*  $\mathfrak{W}$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{W} = W \coprod W \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_{iso}, \quad (1.4)$$

the disjoint union of the group  $W$  considered as a groupoid with a single point base  $[W]$  and the semidirect product  $W \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ . If  $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$  we call  $\rho_\alpha$  a *positive morphism* and likewise for the morphisms  $t_\alpha = F(\rho_\alpha)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}(\rho_\alpha) = T_\alpha$  and  $\tau_\alpha = SV(\rho_\alpha)$  defined in (1.7), Theorem 2.9 and Subsection 3.1.

### 1.3 Partitions

A *partition*  $\lambda$  into  $n$  parts is a descending sequence

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \quad (1.5)$$

of non-negative integers. We call  $\lambda_i$  the  $i^{th}$  part of  $\lambda$ . To minimize notation, a partition is identified with the Young diagram it defines. Given positive integers  $m, n$ , let  $X$  be the set of Young diagrams that fit inside (the bottom left corner of) a rectangle  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $n$  rows and  $m$  columns. Boxes in  $\mathbf{R}$  correspond to root spaces in the upper triangular block  $\mathfrak{g}_1^+$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n|m)$ . Accordingly the rows of  $\mathbf{R}$  are indexed from the top down by  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  and columns of  $\mathbf{R}$  are indexed from left to right by  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$ . Thus the box in row  $\epsilon_i$  and column  $\delta_j$  corresponds to the root space  $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon_i - \delta_j}$  and we call this box  $\mathfrak{B}(\epsilon_i - \delta_j)$ , or often just  $\epsilon_i - \delta_j$ . The set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_1^+$  is  $\Delta_1^+ = \{\epsilon_i - \delta_j | i \in [n], j \in [m]\}$ .

We also write

$$\lambda = (m^{a_m}, \dots, i^{a_i}, \dots) \quad (1.6)$$

to indicate that the part  $i$  occurs with multiplicity  $a_i$  in  $\lambda$ . Set  $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ . For a partition  $\lambda$ , the *dual partition*  $\lambda'$  has  $j^{th}$  part  $\lambda'_j = |\{i | \lambda_i \geq j\}|$ . The Young diagram corresponding to  $\lambda$  has  $\lambda_i$  boxes in row  $\epsilon_{n+1-i}$  with the first box on the left edge of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Our convention differs from the usual labelling of rows in a Young diagram, however we will also write matrices in  $\mathbf{R}$ , and it agrees with the usual way of counting rows in a matrix. To resolve this minor issue we define the vector  $\overleftarrow{\lambda}$  in (3.5), reversing the entries in  $\lambda$ . Denote the empty Young diagram ( $0^n$ ) by  $\emptyset$ .

In what follows we view a Young diagram  $\lambda$  as a set of boxes in  $\mathbf{R}$ . If  $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$ , we say the box  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(\alpha)$  is an *outer corner* of  $\lambda$  if  $\mathfrak{B} \notin \lambda$  and the addition of  $\mathfrak{B}$  to  $\lambda$  creates a new Young diagram  $t_\alpha(\lambda)$ . Similarly box  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an *inner corner* of  $\lambda$  if  $\mathfrak{B} \in \lambda$  and the removal of  $\mathfrak{B}$  from  $\lambda$  creates a new Young diagram  $t_{-\alpha}(\lambda)$ . Let

$$X_\alpha = \{\lambda \in X | \mathfrak{B}(\alpha) \text{ is an outer corner of } \lambda\}$$

and

$$X_{-\alpha} = \{\lambda \in X | \mathfrak{B}(\alpha) \text{ is an inner corner of } \lambda\}.$$

Then  $t_{\pm\alpha}$  define mutually inverse bijections  $X_\alpha \xleftrightarrow{\quad} X_{-\alpha}$  and we have a functor  $F : \mathfrak{T}_{iso} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$  given by

$$F(\alpha) = X_\alpha \text{ and } F(\rho_\alpha) = t_\alpha. \quad (1.7)$$

## 1.4 The equivalence relation on $X \times \mathbb{Z}$

We say that  $\lambda \in X$  is *row empty* if the highest row of  $\lambda$  is empty and *row full* if the lowest row of  $\lambda$  contains  $m$  boxes. The terms *column empty* and *column full* are defined similarly. More formally

$$X(\text{r. full}) = \{\lambda \in X \mid \lambda_1 = m\}, \quad X(\text{r. empty}) = \{\lambda \in X \mid \lambda_n = 0\}. \quad (1.8)$$

$$X(\text{c. full}) = \{\lambda \in X \mid \lambda'_1 = n\}, \quad X(\text{c. empty}) = \{\lambda \in X \mid \lambda'_m = 0\}. \quad (1.9)$$

If  $\lambda \in X(\text{r. full})$ , let  $\lambda^{-r}$  be the Young diagram with row  $\epsilon_1$  empty and such that for  $i \in [n-1]$  row  $\epsilon_{i+1}$  of  $\lambda^{-r}$  equals row  $\epsilon_i$  of  $\lambda$ . There is a bijection  $X(\text{r. full}) \rightarrow X(\text{r. empty})$  given by  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-r}$  whose inverse we denote by  $\mu \rightarrow \mu^{+r}$ . Similarly, if  $\lambda \in X(\text{c. full})$ , let  $\lambda^{-c}$  be the Young diagram obtained from  $\lambda$  by deleting the first column. We have inverse bijections  $X(\text{c. full}) \leftarrow X(\text{c. empty})$  given by  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-c}$  and  $\mu^{+c} \leftarrow \mu$ . Let  $\sim$  be the smallest equivalence relation on  $X \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$(\lambda, k) \sim (\lambda^{-r}, k + m) \text{ if } \lambda \in X(\text{r. full}) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$(\lambda, k) \sim (\lambda^{-c}, k + n) \text{ if } \lambda \in X(\text{c. full}). \quad (1.11)$$

Denote the equivalence class of  $(\lambda, k) \in X \times \mathbb{Z}$  under  $\sim$  by  $[\lambda, k]$ . The action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  can be extended to the set of equivalence classes  $[X \times \mathbb{Z}]$ , see Subsection 2.4.

## 1.5 Main result

We reformulate the definition of action of the  $\mathfrak{W}$  on  $\mathbf{k}^{n|m}$  from [SV17] to obtain a functor

$$SV : \mathfrak{T}_{iso} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^{n|m}). \quad (1.12)$$

For  $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j \in \Delta_{iso}^+$ , define  $v_\alpha = n\epsilon_i - m\delta_j$  and

$$\Pi_\alpha = \{\Lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{n|m} \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) = 0\}, \quad \Pi_{-\alpha} = \{\Lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{n|m} \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) = n - m\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Next define  $\tau_{\pm\alpha} : \Pi_{\pm\alpha} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mp\alpha}$  by

$$\tau_{\pm\alpha}(\Lambda) = \Lambda \pm v_\alpha. \quad (1.14)$$

The functor  $SV$  from (1.12) is given by  $SV(\pm\alpha) = \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$ , and  $SV(\rho_{\pm\alpha}) = \tau_{\pm\alpha}$ . Using the permutation action of  $W$ , we obtain an action of  $\mathfrak{W}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  (and also on  $\mathbf{k}^{n|m}$ ). More details are given in Subsection 3.1. Let  $\mathbf{O}$  be the  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ -orbit of  $\Lambda_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  from (3.1).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $m, n$  are coprime. The map  $\hat{x}$  from Theorem 3.19 is a  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ -equivariant bijection  $\hat{x} : [X \times \mathbb{Z}] \rightarrow \mathbf{O}$  such that  $\hat{x}[\emptyset, 0] = \Lambda_0$ .*

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminary results on Young diagrams and related combinatorics. Orbits for the action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  are studied in Section 3. We end with some concluding remarks in Section 4. In Examples 3.20 we illustrate many of our results in the case where  $(n, m) = (2, 3)$ .

## 2 Background Results.

### 2.1 Rotation operators

Define the permutation  $\nu$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  by  $\nu(k) = k - 1 \pmod n$ . We extend  $\nu$  to an operator on  $\mathbb{k}^{n|m}$  by setting

$$\nu(a_1, \dots, a_n | b_1, \dots, b_m) = (a_{\nu(1)}, \dots, a_{\nu(n)} | b_1, \dots, b_m) \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly define the permutation  $\eta$  of  $\{1', \dots, m'\}$  by  $\eta(k') = (k + 1)' \pmod m$  and set

$$\eta(a_1, \dots, a_n | b_1, \dots, b_m) = (a_1, \dots, a_n | b_{\eta(1)}, \dots, b_{\eta(m)}) \quad (2.2)$$

Now (2.2) implies

$$\nu(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon_{i+1} \text{ for } i \in [n], \quad \eta(\delta_{j+1}) = \delta_j \text{ for } j \in [m]. \quad (2.3)$$

where the subscripts are read mod  $n$  on the left and mod  $m$  on the right, and

$$\nu(\delta_j) = \delta_j, \quad \eta(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon_i \text{ for } j \in [m], \quad i \in [n].$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\beta = \eta^i \nu^j \alpha$  and  $\Lambda \in \Pi_{\pm\beta}$  then  $\eta^{-i} \nu^{-j} \Lambda \in \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$  and*

$$\tau_{\pm\alpha} \eta^{-i} \nu^{-j} \Lambda = \eta^{-i} \nu^{-j} \tau_{\pm\beta} \Lambda. \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = \epsilon_r - \delta_s$  and  $\beta = \epsilon_{r+j} - \delta_{s-i}$ . For positive morphisms the result follows from the display below in which the first row gives the positions of the entries in the lower rows. We assume that  $r + j \leq n$  and  $s - i \geq 1$ . Otherwise since the indices on  $\epsilon_{r+j}$  and  $\delta_{s-i}$  are read mod  $n$  and  $m$  respectively, the order of the entries in the rows is changed, but the proof is essentially the same.

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} & r & r+j & (s-i)' & s' \\ \Lambda & = & (\dots, \dots, \dots, a, \dots & | & \dots, a, \dots, \dots, \dots) \\ \eta^{-i} \nu^{-j} \Lambda & = & (\dots, a, \dots, \dots, \dots & | & \dots, \dots, \dots, a, \dots) \\ \tau_\beta \Lambda & = & (\dots, \dots, \dots, a+n, \dots & | & \dots, a+m, \dots, \dots) \\ \tau_\alpha \eta^{-i} \nu^{-j} \Lambda = \eta^{-i} \nu^{-j} \tau_\beta \Lambda & = & (\dots, a+n, \dots, \dots, \dots & | & \dots, \dots, \dots, a+m, \dots). \end{array}$$

□

### 2.2 Young diagrams, partitions and corners

The next two Lemmas are proved in [Mus24b].

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j$ , the following are equivalent*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{B}(\alpha)$  is an inner corner of  $\lambda$ , that is  $\lambda \in X_{-\alpha}$ .
- (b)  $\lambda_{n+1-i} = j$  and  $\lambda'_j = n + 1 - i$ .

*If these conditions hold then*

$$t_{-\alpha}(\lambda) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-i}, \lambda_{n+1-i} - 1, \lambda_{n+2-i}, \dots, \lambda_n). \quad (2.5)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j$ , the following are equivalent*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{B}(\alpha)$  is an outer corner of  $\lambda$ , that is  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$ .
- (b)  $\lambda_{n+1-i} = j - 1$  and  $\lambda'_j = n - i$ .

If these conditions hold then

$$t_\alpha(\lambda) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-i}, \lambda_{n+1-i} + 1, \lambda_{n+2-i}, \dots, \lambda_n). \quad (2.6)$$

The partition  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (m, 1^{n-1})$  plays an important role. The set of *reduced diagrams* is

$$X^{\text{red}} = \{\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R} \mid \lambda_n = \lambda'_m = 0\}.$$

<sup>1</sup> If  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \subseteq \lambda$ , we call  $\epsilon_n - \delta_1$  a *outer pseudo-corner* of  $\lambda$ , and if  $\lambda \in X^{\text{red}}$  we call  $\epsilon_1 - \delta_m$  a *inner pseudo-corner* of  $\lambda$ . These are not outer or inner corners, but behave in some ways as if they were. Pseudo-corners play an important role in Section 3, see Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7. It is easy to see the following.

**Lemma 2.4.**

- (a) If  $\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \subseteq \lambda$ , then  $\alpha$  is not an outer corner of  $\lambda$ , but  $\nu\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1$  is an outer corner of  $\lambda^{-\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\eta\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_m$  is an outer corner of  $\lambda^{-\mathbf{c}}$ .
- (b) If  $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_m$  and  $\lambda \in X^{\text{red}}$ , then  $\alpha$  is not an inner corner of  $\lambda$ , but  $\nu^{-1}\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_m$  is an inner corner of  $\lambda^{+\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\eta^{-1}\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1$  is an inner corner of  $\lambda^{+\mathbf{c}}$ .

## 2.3 Words

### 2.3.1 Young diagrams and words

To a Young diagram  $\lambda$  in  $X$ , we associate a word  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}(\lambda)$  of length  $m + n$  in the alphabet  $\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{d}\}$ . The upper border of  $\lambda$  in  $X$  is a connected sequence of  $m + n$  line segments of unit length starting at the top left corner and ending at the bottom right corner of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Each line segment carries the label  $\mathbf{r}$  or  $\mathbf{d}$ , according to whether it moves to the right or down. Then  $\mathbf{w}$  is the sequence of labels. Let  $\mathbb{W}$  be the set of words with  $m$   $\mathbf{r}$ 's and  $n$   $\mathbf{d}$ 's. There is a bijection  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  given by  $\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{w}(\lambda)$ .

### 2.3.2 The equivalence relation

Using words it is easy to describe the equivalence class of  $(w_0, k_0)$  under  $\sim$ , where  $w_0 = \mathbf{w}(\lambda)$ . We recall some results from [Mus24b]. Inductively define words  $w_i$  ( $i > 0$ ) using the following rules

- (a) If  $w_i = \mathbf{d}x$ , then  $w_{i+1} = x\mathbf{d}$  and  $k_{i+1} = k_i - m$ .
- (b) If  $w_i = \mathbf{r}x$ , then  $w_{i+1} = x\mathbf{r}$  and  $k_{i+1} = k_i + n$ .

Define  $\lambda_i \in X$  by  $w_i = \mathbf{w}(\lambda_i)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**

---

<sup>1</sup>If  $\lambda \in X$  let  $\bar{\lambda} \in X$  be the complement of the boxes obtained from  $\lambda$  by rotating  $X$  through angle  $\pi$ . The involution  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \bar{\lambda}$  interchanges  $X^{\text{red}}$  and  $\{\lambda \in X \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} \subseteq \lambda\}$ . This remark can be used to explain the existence of many parallel results for inner and outer (psuedo-corners).

- (a) If the first letter in  $w_i$  is  $\mathbf{r}$ , then  $\lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i^{-c}$ .  
(b) If the first letter in  $w_i$  is  $\mathbf{d}$ , then  $\lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i^{+r}$ .

Now each word contains  $m$  symbols  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $n$  symbols  $\mathbf{d}$ . Therefore  $w_{m+n} = w_0$ . To find a closed formula for  $k_i$  set

$$\begin{aligned} c_j = 1, d_j = 0 & \text{ if the } j^{\text{th}} \text{ letter of } \mathbf{w} \text{ is } \mathbf{r} \\ c_j = 0, d_j = 1 & \text{ if the } j^{\text{th}} \text{ letter of } \mathbf{w} \text{ is } \mathbf{d} \end{aligned}$$

then define

$$k_i = k_0 + n \sum_{j=1}^i c_j - m \sum_{j=1}^i d_j. \quad (2.7)$$


**Lemma 2.6.**

- (a) The set  $E := \{(w_i, k_i) | 0 \leq i < m + n\}$ , is the equivalence class of  $(w_0, k_0)$  in  $[\mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{Z}]$ .  
(b) The equivalence class of  $(\lambda_0, k_0)$  in  $[X \times \mathbb{Z}]$  is  $\{(\lambda_i, k_i) | 0 \leq i < m + n\}$ .

**2.4 Extending the action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$**

We study the interaction between adding (or deleting) rows and corners. We can see what to expect by looking at small examples.

**Example 2.7.** Below a full row consists of  $m = 3$  boxes,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon_{n-1} - \delta_2$  and  $\alpha = \nu\beta = \epsilon_n - \delta_2$ .



More generally this shows part (a) of the following result.

**Lemma 2.8.**

- (a) If  $\lambda \in X(\text{r. empty}) \cap X_{\nu\beta}$ , then  $\lambda^{+r} \in X_\beta$ ,  $t_{\nu\beta}(\lambda) \in X(\text{r. empty})$  and

$$t_\beta(\lambda^{+r}) = t_{\nu\beta}(\lambda)^{+r}. \quad (2.8)$$

- (b) If  $\lambda \in X(\text{c. empty}) \cap X_{\eta\beta}$ , then  $\lambda^{+c} \in X_\beta$ ,  $t_{\eta\beta}(\lambda) \in X(\text{c. empty})$  and

$$t_\beta(\lambda^{+c}) = t_{\eta\beta}(\lambda)^{+c}. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof.* For a proof without diagrams see [Mus24b]. □

Assume  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  acts on  $X$  as in (1.7) and that  $n, m$  are coprime. We define an action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  on the set of equivalence classes  $\mathcal{X} = [X \times Z]$  under the equivalence relation generated by (1.10) and (1.11). This was done in greater generality in [Mus24b]. Here we can make certain simplifications since we do not consider Borel subalgebras. First set

$$F_{in+jm}(\alpha) = (X_{\eta^i \nu^j \alpha}, in + jm) \quad (2.10)$$

and let  $\mathcal{X}_\alpha$  be the set of equivalence classes of elements in  $\bigcup_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{in+jm}(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *There is a functor  $\mathbb{F} : \mathfrak{T}_{iso} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[X \times Z]$  given by  $\mathbb{F}(\alpha) = \mathcal{X}_\alpha$  and*

$$\mathbb{F}(\rho_\alpha)[\lambda, in + jm] = [t_{\eta^i \nu^j \alpha}(\lambda), in + jm] \text{ for } (\lambda, in + jm) \in F_{in+jm}(\alpha). \quad (2.11)$$

Set  $\mathbb{F}(\rho_\alpha) = T_\alpha$ . Note that  $(\lambda^{+\tau}, in + jm) \sim (\lambda, in + (j+1)m)$ . Thus to show (2.11) is well-defined we need to show.

**Lemma 2.10.** *If  $\lambda$  and  $\beta = \eta^i \nu^j \alpha$  satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.8 (a), then*

$$T_\alpha(\lambda^{+\tau}, in + jm) \sim T_\alpha(\lambda, in + (j+1)m).$$

*Proof.* This follows easily from (1.10) and (2.8). □

In addition we need a version of the Lemma for column addition. We leave it to the reader to fill in the details using (1.11) and (2.9).

## 2.5 $[X \times Z]$ as a graded poset

We can regard  $[X \times Z]$  as a poset where  $[\mu, \ell] \leq [\lambda, k]$  means that  $[\lambda, k]$  can be obtained from  $[\mu, \ell]$  by applying a sequence of positive morphisms. We give the pair  $(\lambda, k)$  a degree by setting

$$\deg(\lambda, k) = |\lambda| + k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.12)$$

It is easily seen that this degree passes to equivalence classes. Thus  $[X \times Z] = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} [X \times Z]_d$  is a graded poset where  $[X \times Z]_d = \{[\lambda, k] \mid \deg[\lambda, k] = d\}$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *For any  $[\lambda, k] \in [X \times Z]$  we have  $[\lambda, k] \leq [\lambda, k+m]$  and  $[\lambda, k] \leq [\lambda, k+n]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , define the partition  $\mu$  by  $\mu_1 = m$ ,  $\mu_i = \lambda_i$  for  $i > 1$ . Since  $\mu$  is obtained from  $\lambda$  by adding boxes to the lowest row, we obtain  $[\lambda, k] \leq [\mu, k] = [\mu^{-\tau}, k+m]$ . By adding  $\lambda_n$  to the last part of  $\mu^{-\tau}$  and  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$  to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  part for  $i < n$ , we see that  $[\lambda, k] \leq [\lambda, k+m]$ . The other statement is similar. □

The poset  $[X \times Z]$  has an archimedean property.

**Corollary 2.12.** *For any  $[\lambda, in + jm] \in [X \times Z]$ , we can find  $K \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $[\lambda, in + jm] \leq [\emptyset, Kmn]$ .*

*Proof.* Choose integers  $k_0, k_1$  such that  $i \leq k_0 m$  and  $j \leq k_1 n$  and set  $K = k_0 + k_1 + 1$ . Since

$$in + jm + (k_0 m - i)n + (k_1 n - j)n = (k_0 + k_1)mn,$$

we have

$$[\lambda, in + jm] \leq [(m^n), in + jm] \leq [\emptyset, Kmn].$$

□

### 3 The Sergeev-Veselov Functor

#### 3.1 The action on $\mathbf{k}^{n|m}$

In [SV17] Sergeev and Veselov defined an action of the Weyl groupoid  $\mathfrak{W}$  on  $\mathbf{k}^{n|m}$  depending on a non-zero parameter  $\kappa$ . We say  $\kappa$  is *special* if  $\kappa = \pm p/q$ , where  $p \in [m]$  and  $q \in [n]$  with  $p, q$  coprime. If  $\kappa = -1$  all orbits are described in [Mus24a] Theorem 5.7. By [SV17] Theorem 3.2,  $\mathfrak{W}$  has an infinite orbit if (and only if)  $\kappa$  is negative special. The proof of this statement reduces to the case  $\kappa = -m/n$ . We consider only the case  $\kappa = -m/n$  and our notation is slightly different from [SV17]. This will allow us to consider vectors and matrices with integer entries. The connection with the original notation is explained in Subsection 4.1. Starting in Subsection 3.4 we assume that  $m, n$  are coprime. The *Sergeev-Veselov Functor*  $SV : \mathfrak{T}_{iso} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^{n|m})$  has already been defined in Subsection 1.5. For  $\Lambda$  as in (1.2), set

$${}^L\Lambda = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^{\text{transpose}}, \quad {}^R\Lambda = (b_1, \dots, b_m).$$

We consider the  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ -orbit  $\mathbf{O}$  of

$$\Lambda_0 = (m(n-1), \dots, m, 0|0, n, \dots, n(m-1)) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(n-i)\epsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^m n(j-1)\delta_j. \quad (3.1)$$

under the action from (1.12).

#### 3.2 The augmented matrix $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)$

Let  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$  be the matrix with entry in row  $\epsilon_i$  and column  $\delta_j$  given by

$$\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)_{i,j} = (\Lambda, \epsilon_i - \delta_j) = a_i - b_j. \quad (3.2)$$

For  $a \in \mathbf{k}$ , set  $\mathbf{a} = (a, \dots, a|a, \dots, a)$ . Suppose  $\Lambda$  is as in (1.2) and

$$\Lambda' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_n|b'_1, \dots, b'_m). \quad (3.3)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *We have  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{A}(\Lambda')$  iff  $\Lambda = \Lambda' + \mathbf{a}$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{k}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{A}(\Lambda')$ , then  $a = a_i - a'_i = b_j - b'_j$  is independent of  $i, j$ .  $\square$

Since some information is lost in passing from  $\Lambda$  to  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$ , we also use the (doubly) augmented matrix.

$$\widehat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & {}^R\Lambda \\ \hline {}^L\Lambda & \mathbb{A}(\Lambda) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\Lambda$  has integer entries and the entries in  ${}^L\Lambda$  (resp.  ${}^R\Lambda$ ) form a complete set of representatives mod  $n$  (resp. mod  $m$ ), then the same is true for the entire  $\mathfrak{W}$ -orbit of  $\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* The condition is invariant under permutations of the entries in  $\Lambda$ , so the result holds by (1.14).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{O}$ , then  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$  has at most one zero entry in each row and at most one zero entry in each column.*

In Example 3.20, when  $(n, m) = (2, 3)$ , we give several matrices  $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)$ .

### 3.3 Construction of $\Lambda = x(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$ from $\Lambda_0$ and $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$

We use  $\Lambda_0$  as in (3.1), and  $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , to construct certain elements  $x(\lambda) \in \mathbf{O}$ . However we point out that instead of  $\Lambda_0$ , we could have used

$$\Lambda_0^{(k)} = \Lambda_0 + k\mathbf{m} \quad (3.4)$$

as a starting point for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Passing from  $\Lambda_0$  to  $\Lambda_0^{(k)}$ , the entries of  $\Lambda_0$  all increase by the same integer, so the difference between entries is unchanged. Thus  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda_0^{(k)}) = \mathbb{A}(\Lambda_0)$  and the key Equations (3.9) and (3.10) still hold when  $\Lambda_0$  is replaced by  $\Lambda_0^{(k)}$ . In addition  $\Lambda_0^{(kn)} \in \mathbf{O}$  by Corollary 3.5.

Given  $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , consider

$$\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_m) \text{ and } \overleftarrow{\lambda} = (\lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_1)^{\text{transpose}} \quad (3.5)$$

as vectors. Reversing the entries in  $\lambda$  means that when written as a column vector alongside  $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  entry of  $\overleftarrow{\lambda}$  gives the number of entries,  $\lambda_{n+1-i}$  in row  $\epsilon_i$  of  $\lambda$ . Define  $\Lambda = x(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  by

$${}^L\Lambda = {}^L\Lambda_0 + n\overleftarrow{\lambda} \text{ and } {}^R\Lambda = {}^R\Lambda_0 + m\lambda'. \quad (3.6)$$

If  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\Lambda$  are as in (3.1) and (1.2) respectively, it follows that

$$a_i = m(n - i) + n\lambda_{n+1-i} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$b_j = n(j - 1) + m\lambda'_j. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus

$$a_i - a_k = m(k - i) + n(\lambda_{n+1-i} - \lambda_{n+1-k}) \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$b_j - b_k = n(j - k) + m(\lambda'_j - \lambda'_k). \quad (3.10)$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\Lambda = x(\lambda)$  is as in (1.2) and  $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j$  is a positive root,*

(a) *If  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$  then  $\Lambda \in \Pi_\alpha$ .*

(b) *If  $\lambda \in X_{-\alpha}$  then  $\Lambda \in \Pi_{-\alpha}$ .*

(c) *If  $\lambda \in X_{\pm\alpha}$ , then*

$$x(t_{\pm\alpha}(\lambda)) = \tau_{\pm\alpha}(\Lambda). \quad (3.11)$$

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$ , then  $\lambda_{n+1-i} = j - 1$  and  $\lambda'_j = n - i$  by Lemma 2.3. Hence  $a_i = b_j$  by Equations (3.7) and (3.8), that is  $x(\lambda) \in \Pi_\alpha$  by (1.13). The proof of (b) is similar. Finally, if  $\lambda \in X_{-\alpha}$ , and  $\mu = t_{-\alpha}(\lambda)$ , then by (2.5)

$$\mu_k = \begin{cases} \lambda_k - 1 & \text{if } k = n + 1 - i \\ \lambda_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly

$$\mu'_k = \begin{cases} \lambda'_k - 1 & \text{if } k = j \\ \lambda'_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that  $x(\mu) = \tau_{-\alpha}(\Lambda)$ . The proof of the other statement is similar.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** (a) If  $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , then  $x(\lambda) \in \mathbf{O}$ .

(b) With the notation of (3.4),  $\Lambda_0^{(kn)} \in \mathbf{O}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* For (a) we use induction on  $|\lambda|$ . The statement is trivial if  $\lambda = \emptyset$ . If  $\mu = t_\alpha(\lambda)$  and  $x(\lambda) \in \mathbf{O}$ , we obtain  $x(\mu) \in \mathbf{O}$  by (3.11). For (b), if  $\lambda = (m^n)$  then by (3.7) and (3.8),  $x(\lambda) = \Lambda_0 + \mathbf{mn}$ , and this gives the result if  $k = 1$ . Now take any sequence of morphisms in  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  that move  $\Lambda_0 \in \mathbf{O}$  to  $\Lambda_0^{(n)} \in \mathbf{O}$  and apply their inverses in the reverse order to  $\Lambda_0$  reach  $\Lambda_0^{(-n)}$ . For  $|k| > 1$  the result follows by induction.  $\square$

### 3.4 The coprime case

#### 3.4.1 Converse to Lemma 3.4 and exceptional cases

We assume  $m, n$  are coprime and obtain partial converses to the statements in Lemma 3.4. Suppose  $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j$ . Exceptional cases arise when  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda$  or  $\lambda \in X^{\text{red}}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** If  $\Lambda = x(\lambda) \in \Pi_\alpha$ , then  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$  unless  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda$  and  $\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda \in \Pi_\alpha$ , then  $a_i = b_j$ . Thus by (3.7) and (3.8)

$$n(\lambda_{n+1-i} + 1 - j) = m(\lambda'_j + i - n). \quad (3.12)$$

Since  $i \in [m]$ ,  $j \in [n]$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda'_j$  and  $\lambda_{n+1-i} \leq m$ , we have

$$\lambda_{n+1-i} + 1 - j \leq \lambda_{n+1-i} \leq m \quad \text{and} \quad -n \leq \lambda'_j - n < \lambda'_j + i - n.$$

Thus, because  $m, n$  are coprime either both sides of (3.12) are zero, or both equal  $mn$ . In the former case we have

$$\lambda_{n+1-i} = j - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda'_j = n - i. \quad (3.13)$$

Thus  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$  by Lemma 2.3. In the latter case  $i = n$ ,  $j = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = m$  and  $\lambda'_1 = n$ . This means  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda$  and  $\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** If  $\Lambda \in \Pi_{-\alpha}$ , then  $\lambda \in X_{-\alpha}$  unless  $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_m$  and  $\lambda_n = \lambda'_m = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda \in \Pi_{-\alpha}$  then  $a_i - b_j = (n - m)$ , which by Equations (3.7) and (3.8) is equivalent to

$$n(\lambda_{n+1-i} - j) = m(\lambda'_j + i - n - 1). \quad (3.14)$$

Both sides of (3.14) equal 0 or  $-mn$ . In the first case we have  $\lambda_{n+1-i} = j$  and  $\lambda'_j = n + 1 - i$ , so  $\lambda \in X_{-\alpha}$  by Lemma 2.2. In the second, we deduce  $i = 1, j = m$  and  $\lambda_n = \lambda'_m = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 3.4.2 Comparison of $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda^*)$

Until the end of Subsection 3.4, we assume

$$\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j, \quad \lambda \in X_\alpha, \quad \lambda^* = t_\alpha(\lambda), \quad \Lambda = x(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^* = \tau_\alpha(\Lambda). \quad (3.15)$$

Thus  $\Lambda^* = x(\lambda^*)$  by (3.11). Note that  $\Lambda^* = \Lambda + n\epsilon_i - m\delta_j$  by (1.14). Also  $a_i - b_j = 0$ , see (1.2) and (1.3). Equation (3.13) holds by Lemma 2.3, In Lemma 3.8 (resp. 3.9) we consider the possibility

that  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = 0$  where  $\beta$  is in the same row (resp. column) of  $\mathbf{R}$  as  $\alpha$ . Consider the roots  $\alpha^a, \alpha^r$  which label the boxes immediately above and to the right of box  $\alpha$ . These are given by

$$\alpha^a = \epsilon_{i-1} - \delta_j, \quad \alpha^r = \epsilon_i - \delta_{j+1}.$$

Of course  $\alpha^a, \alpha^r$  are only defined if  $i > 1$  and  $j < m$  respectively. These conditions will be assumed whenever the notation is used.

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = 0$ , for  $\beta = \epsilon_i - \delta_k$  and  $k \neq j$ , then one of the following holds,*

- (a)  $k = j + 1$ ,  $\lambda'_j = \lambda'_{j+1}$  and  $\beta = \alpha^r$ .
- (b)  $\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_m$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ ,  $\lambda'_1 = n$ ,  $\lambda'_m = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \subseteq \lambda^*$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$ , we have  $(\Lambda, \beta) = (\Lambda, \delta_j - \delta_k) = b_j - b_k = n(j - k) + m(\lambda'_j - \lambda'_k)$  by (3.10). Thus as  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = (\Lambda, \beta) + n$ , we have  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = 0$  iff

$$n(j - k + 1) = m(\lambda'_k - \lambda'_j). \quad (3.16)$$

First we show that  $k \leq j + 1$ . Otherwise (3.16) implies that  $k \geq m + j + 1$ , which is impossible since  $j, k \in [m]$ . If  $k = j + 1$ , then (3.16) is zero and we obtain the conclusion in (a). Finally if  $k \leq j$ , then  $j + 1 = k + m$ , which implies that  $j = m, k = 1, \lambda'_1 = n$  and  $\lambda'_m = 0$ . Since  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$ , we deduce that  $i = n$ . Since  $\lambda'_1 = n$ , and  $\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_m$ ,  $\lambda^* = t_\alpha(\lambda)$  contains  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *If  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = 0$ , for  $\beta = \epsilon_k - \delta_j$  and  $k \neq i$ , then one of the following holds,*

- (a)  $k = i - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{n+1-i} = \lambda_{n+2-i}$  and  $\beta = \alpha^a$ .
- (b)  $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = m$ ,  $\lambda_n = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \subseteq \lambda^*$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$ , we have  $(\Lambda, \beta) = (\Lambda, \epsilon_k - \epsilon_i) = a_k - a_i = m(i - k) + n(\lambda_{n+1-k} - \lambda_{n+1-i})$  by (3.9). Thus as  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = (\Lambda, \beta) - m$ , we have  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = 0$  iff

$$m(k + 1 - i) = n(\lambda_{n+1-k} - \lambda_{n+1-i}). \quad (3.17)$$

If  $k + 1 < i$  we obtain  $i \geq k + 1 + n$ , which is impossible since  $i, k \in [n]$ . If  $i = k + 1$ , then (3.17) is zero and we obtain the conclusion in (a). Finally if  $k + 1 > i$ , then  $k + 1 = i + n$ , which implies that  $i = 1, k = n, \lambda_1 = m$  and  $\lambda_n = 0$ . Since  $\lambda \in X_\alpha$ , we deduce that  $j = 1$ . The conclusion in (b) follows.  $\square$

### 3.4.3 A left inverse to $x$

Let  $Z$  be a set of zero entries in  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$ . We say a descending path  $p$  *supports* the entries in  $Z$  if

- (a) All the entries in  $Z$  are above, to the right and adjacent to  $p$ .
- (b) Any other path with the property in (a) lies below and to the left of  $p$ .

There is always a unique path which supports more zero entries in  $Z$  than any other. The entries under the path determine a partition  $a(\Lambda)$ . The corresponding set of zeroes is denoted  $Z(\Lambda)$ .

Assume (3.15) holds and set  $Z(\Lambda)^\alpha = Z(\Lambda) \setminus \{\alpha\}$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *The set  $Z(\Lambda^*)$  satisfies*

$$Z(\Lambda)^\alpha \subseteq Z(\Lambda^*) \subseteq Z(\Lambda)^\alpha \cup \{\alpha^a, \alpha^r\}. \quad (3.18)$$

and is determined by (3.18) and the conditions

- (a)  $\alpha^r \in Z(\Lambda^*)$  iff  $\lambda'_{j+1} = n - i$ .
- (b)  $\alpha^a \in Z(\Lambda^*)$  iff  $\lambda_{n+2-i} = j - 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $k \neq i$  and  $\ell \neq j$ , then  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)_{k,\ell} = \mathbb{A}(\Lambda^*)_{k,\ell}$ . Also since  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)_{i,j} = 0$ , no other entry in row  $i$  or column  $j$  of  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$  can be zero by Corollary 3.3. This implies  $Z(\Lambda)^\alpha \subseteq Z(\Lambda^*)$ . It remains to consider roots  $\beta \neq \alpha$  in the same row or column as  $\alpha$  such that  $(\Lambda^*, \beta) = 0$ . We use (3.13). If  $\beta = \epsilon_i - \delta_k$ , then by Lemma 3.8, either  $k = j + 1$ ,  $n - i = \lambda'_j = \lambda'_{j+1}$  and  $\beta = \alpha^r$  or  $\beta = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ . However in the latter case, the zero of  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda^*)$  in box  $\beta$  lies under the path defining  $\lambda^*$ , so  $\beta \notin Z(\Lambda^*)$ . In the terminology of Subsection 1.3  $\beta$  is an outer pseudo-corner of  $\lambda^*$ .

If  $\beta = \epsilon_k - \delta_j$ , then by Lemma 3.9 either  $k = i - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{n+1-i} = \lambda_{n+2-i}$  and  $\beta = \alpha^a$  or  $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1$ ,  $\beta = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = m$ ,  $\lambda_n = 0$  and  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda^*$ . In the latter case, we can show as above that  $\beta \notin Z(\Lambda^*)$ .  $\square$

Set  $z(\lambda) = \{\beta \in \Delta_1^+ \mid \lambda \in X_\beta\}$  and  $z(\Lambda)^\alpha = z(\Lambda) \setminus \{\alpha\}$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *The set  $z(\lambda^*)$  satisfies*

$$z(\lambda)^\alpha \subseteq z(\lambda^*) \subseteq z(\lambda)^\alpha \cup \{\alpha^a, \alpha^r\}. \quad (3.19)$$

and is determined by (3.19) and the conditions

- (a)  $\alpha^r \in z(\lambda^*)$  iff  $\lambda'_{j+1} = n - i$ .
- (b)  $\alpha^a \in z(\lambda^*)$  iff  $\lambda_{n+2-i} = j - 1$ .

*Proof.* The upper boundary of a Young diagram in  $\mathbf{R}$  determines a path. When  $\alpha$  is an outer corner of  $\lambda$  we consider four consecutive steps in the paths for  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^*$ . For example if  $\lambda'_{j+1} = n - i$  and  $\lambda_{n+2-i} = j - 1$  we have the paths below with outer corners as shown.



The portion of the path on the left (resp. right) will be denoted  $\underline{d} \underline{d} \underline{r} \underline{r}$  (resp.  $\underline{d} \underline{r} \underline{d} \underline{r}$ ). The underlined terms are edges of  $\mathfrak{B}(\alpha)$ . Passing from the path for  $\lambda$  to the path in  $\lambda^*$ , the order of the underlined terms is reversed. There are four possibilities and they determine  $z(\lambda^*)$  as in the table below.

Path in $\lambda$	$r \underline{d} \underline{r} \underline{d}$	$r \underline{d} \underline{r} r$	$d \underline{d} \underline{r} \underline{d}$	$d \underline{d} \underline{r} r$
Path in $\lambda^*$	$r \underline{r} \underline{d} \underline{d}$	$r \underline{r} \underline{d} r$	$d \underline{r} \underline{d} \underline{d}$	$d \underline{r} \underline{d} r$
$z(\lambda^*) \setminus z(\lambda)^\alpha$	$\emptyset$	$\{\alpha^r\}$	$\{\alpha^a\}$	$\{\alpha^r, \alpha^a\}$

The result follows from this.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *If  $a(\Lambda) = \lambda$ , then  $\lambda^* = a(\Lambda^*)$ .*

*Proof.* The definition of  $\lambda = a(\Lambda)$  depends on the set  $Z(\Lambda)$  which satisfies the recurrence in Lemma 3.10. On the other hand, the set  $z(\lambda)$  from Lemma 3.11 satisfies the same recurrence.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *If  $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and  $\Lambda = x(\lambda)$ , then  $a(\Lambda) = \lambda$ . Thus  $ax$  is the identity map on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly the result holds if  $|\lambda| = 0$ . So the result follows by induction on  $|\lambda|$  and Lemma 3.12.  $\square$

### 3.5 Comparison of $x(\lambda)$ , $x(\lambda^{-r})$ and $x(\lambda^{-c})$

If  $\lambda \in X$  and  $\Lambda = x(\lambda)$ , then the outer corners of  $\lambda$  occur in lower rows (moving from left to right). By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 the same is true for the zero entries in  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$  unless  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda$  and  $\alpha = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$ . However in the latter case, there is a unique descending path supporting all the zeroes in  $\mathbb{A}(\nu(\Lambda))$  (resp.  $\mathbb{A}(\eta(\Lambda))$ ). The partition determined by this path is  $\lambda^{-r}$  (resp.  $\lambda^{-c}$ ). In this Subsection we note a simple relation between  $x(\lambda)$ ,  $x(\lambda^{-r})$  and  $x(\lambda^{-c})$ . See also Example 3.20.

Suppose  $\lambda \in X(r.\text{full})$ . If  $a_i(\lambda)$ ,  $b_j(\lambda)$  are the expressions in (3.7) and (3.8), then  $a_i(\lambda^{-r})$ ,  $b_j(\lambda^{-r})$  are given by

$$a_i(\lambda^{-r}) = m(n - i) + n\lambda_{n+1-i}^{-r} = a_{\nu(i)}(\lambda) - m \text{ for } i \in [n], i \neq 1. \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$b_j(\lambda^{-r}) = b_j(\lambda) - m \text{ for } j \in [m].$$

If  $i = 1$ , then since  $\lambda_{\nu(1)}^{-r} = \lambda_n^{-r} = 0$ , (3.20) holds in this case also. Hence

$$x(\lambda^{-r}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\lambda^{-r})\epsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(\lambda^{-r})\delta_j = -\mathbf{m} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{\nu(i)}(\lambda)\epsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j(\lambda)\delta_j. \quad (3.21)$$

Now (3.21) can be written in the form

$$x(\lambda^{-r}) + \mathbf{m} = \nu(x(\lambda)). \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly we have

$$x(\lambda^{-c}) + \mathbf{n} = \eta(x(\lambda)). \quad (3.23)$$

### 3.6 The map $\hat{x} : [X \times \mathbb{Z}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$

We extend the map  $x : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  from Subsection 3.3. Define a map  $x : X \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  by

$$x(\lambda, in + jm) = \eta^{-i}\nu^{-j}x(\lambda) + i\mathbf{n} + j\mathbf{m}. \quad (3.24)$$

Since the latter map has two arguments and the map  $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  has only one, there should be no danger of confusion with this notation. If  $\lambda \in X(\text{r. full})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x(\lambda^{-\text{r}}, in + (j+1)m) &= \eta^{-i}\nu^{-j-1}x(\lambda^{-\text{r}}) + i\mathbf{n} + (j+1)\mathbf{m} \\ &= \eta^{-i}\nu^{-j}x(\lambda) + i\mathbf{n} + j\mathbf{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

using (3.22). Thus  $x(\lambda^{-\text{r}}, k+m) = x(\lambda, k)$ . Similarly since  $x(\lambda^{-\text{c}}, k+n) = x(\lambda, k)$  if  $\lambda \in X(\text{c. full})$ , we see that  $x$  induces a map on equivalence classes,  $\hat{x} : [X \times \mathbb{Z}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$ ,  $\hat{x}[\lambda, in + jm] = x(\lambda, in + jm)$ .

We consider analogs of Lemmas 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7 for the map  $\hat{x}$ . In this situation there are no exceptional cases.

**Lemma 3.14.** *If  $\alpha \in \Delta_1^+$  and  $s = [\lambda, in + jm]$ , then  $s \in \mathcal{X}_{\pm\alpha}$  iff  $\hat{x}(s) \in \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\beta = \eta^i\nu^j\alpha$ . First note that  $\hat{x}(s) \in \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$  iff  $x(\lambda) \in \Pi_{\pm\beta}$ , by (3.24) and  $W$ -invariance of  $(\ , \ )$ . If  $s \in \mathcal{X}_{\pm\alpha}$  it follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $\hat{x}(s) \in \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$ . We prove the converse for positive roots. If  $x(\lambda) \in \Pi_{\beta}$ , then by Lemma 3.6, either  $\lambda \in X_{\beta}$  or  $\beta = \epsilon_n - \delta_1$  and  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda$ . In the former case  $s \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha}$ . In the latter, by Lemma 2.4,  $\nu\beta$  is an outer corner of  $\lambda^{-\text{r}}$ . It follows that  $s = [\lambda^{-\text{r}}, in + (j+1)m] \in \mathcal{X}_{\alpha}$ . For negative roots we can argue similarly using Lemma 3.7,  $\square$

The next result is crucial.

**Lemma 3.15.** *Set  $\beta = \eta^i\nu^j\alpha$ . If  $\lambda \in X_{\pm\beta}$ , then  $\hat{x}(\lambda, in + jm) \in \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$  and*

$$\hat{x}(T_{\pm\alpha}[\lambda, in + jm]) = \tau_{\pm\alpha}\hat{x}[\lambda, in + jm]. \quad (3.26)$$

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \in X_{\pm\beta}$ , then  $\hat{x}[\lambda, in + jm] \in \Pi_{\pm\alpha}$  by Lemma 3.4 and (3.24). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\pm\alpha}\hat{x}[\lambda, in + jm] &= \tau_{\pm\alpha}[\eta^{-i}\nu^{-j}x(\lambda) + i\mathbf{n} + j\mathbf{m}] \\ &= \tau_{\pm\alpha}\eta^{-i}\nu^{-j}x(\lambda) + i\mathbf{n} + j\mathbf{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

On the other hand by (2.11), (3.24) and then (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}(T_{\pm\alpha}[\lambda, in + jm]) &= \hat{x}(t_{\pm\beta}(\lambda), in + jm). \\ &= \eta^{-i}\nu^{-j}x(t_{\pm\beta}(\lambda)) + i\mathbf{n} + j\mathbf{m}. \\ &= \eta^{-i}\nu^{-j}\tau_{\pm\beta}x(\lambda) + i\mathbf{n} + j\mathbf{m}. \end{aligned}$$

and (2.4) with  $\Lambda = x(\lambda)$  shows that this is equal to (3.27).  $\square$

Fix  $\lambda \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and set  $\Lambda = \hat{x}(\lambda, kmn)$  and set

$$Y(\lambda) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+ | \lambda \in X_{\alpha}\}, \quad Y(\Lambda) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+ | \Lambda \in \Pi_{\alpha}\}. \quad (3.28)$$

**Lemma 3.16.**

- (a) If  $\lambda = (m^r, 0^{n-r})$  with  $0 \leq r < n$  then  $Y(\lambda) = Y(\Lambda) = \{\epsilon_{n-r} - \delta_1\}$ .
- (b) If  $\lambda = (s^n)$  with  $0 < s < m$ , then  $Y(\lambda) = Y(\Lambda) = \{\epsilon_n - \delta_{s+1}\}$ .
- (c) If  $\lambda = (m^n)$  then  $Y(\lambda) = \emptyset$  and  $Y(\Lambda) = \{\epsilon_n - \delta_1\}$ .
- (d) In all other cases  $|Y(\lambda)| > 1$  and  $|Y(\Lambda)| > 1$ .
- (e) If  $|Y(\lambda)| = 1$  then  $m$  divides  $|\lambda|$  or  $n$  divides  $|\lambda|$ .

*Proof.* The statements about  $Y(\lambda)$  are straightforward. Since  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{A}(\Lambda - k\mathbf{m}\mathbf{n})$ , we can assume  $k = 0$ . Then  $Y(\lambda) \subseteq Y(\Lambda)$  by Lemma 3.4. The rest follows from Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.17.** If  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + k\mathbf{m}\mathbf{n}$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $|\hat{x}^{-1}(\Lambda)| = 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x(\emptyset, kmn) = \Lambda$ ,  $\hat{x}^{-1}(\Lambda)$  is non-empty. Using (3.24) suppose that

$$\Lambda = x(\lambda, in + jm) = \eta^i \nu^j x(\lambda) + in + jm.$$

By a consideration of degrees, see (2.12)

$$|\lambda| + in + jm = kmn. \tag{3.29}$$

We may assume that  $0 \leq j < n$ . From the above  $|Y(\Lambda)| = |Y(x(\lambda))| = 1$ . Thus by Lemma 3.16 (e) and (3.29) either  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  or  $j = 0$  and there are only  $m + n$  possibilities for the pair  $(i, j)$ . But  $x^{-1}(\Lambda) \subset X \times Z$  is a union of equivalence classes as in Corollary 2.6 and every equivalence class has cardinality  $m + n$ . This proves the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.18.** Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{X}_{-\alpha}$ , and  $u = T_{-\alpha}(s)$ . If  $|\hat{x}\hat{x}^{-1}(s)| = 1$  then  $|\hat{x}\hat{x}^{-1}(u)| = 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $\hat{x}(u') = \hat{x}(u)$ , then  $u, u' \in \mathcal{X}_\alpha$ , by Lemma 3.14. So  $u' = T_{-\alpha}(s')$ , for some  $s' \in \mathcal{X}_{-\alpha}$ . Thus by Lemma 3.15  $\tau_{-\alpha}\hat{x}(s) = \tau_{-\alpha}\hat{x}(s')$ . Since  $\tau_{-\alpha}$  is bijective, we obtain  $s = s'$  by hypothesis. Therefore  $u = u'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** The map  $\hat{x} : [X \times \mathbb{Z}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  from (3.24) is injective with image  $\mathbf{O}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda = \hat{x}(\lambda, k) \in \mathbf{O} \cap \Pi_\beta$ , then  $\tau_\beta(\Lambda) \in \text{Im } \hat{x}$  by Lemma 3.15. This shows  $\mathbf{O} \subseteq \text{Im } \hat{x}$ . Next set

$$S = \{s \in [X \times \mathbb{Z}] | \hat{x}(s) \in \mathbf{O}\}.$$

If  $s \in S \cap [X \times \mathbb{Z}](\beta)$ , then by Lemma 3.15,  $T_\beta(s) \in S$ . Since acts  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  transitively on  $[X \times \mathbb{Z}]$ , we have  $S = [X \times \mathbb{Z}]$ . Thus  $\text{Im } \hat{x} \subseteq \mathbf{O}$ .

To show injectivity we have to show  $|\hat{x}\hat{x}^{-1}(u)| = 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{X}$ . By Corollary 2.12 and Lemma 3.17 we know  $|\hat{x}\hat{x}^{-1}(s)| = 1$  for some  $s \geq u$ . Thus the result follows from Lemma 3.18 by induction on  $\text{deg } u - \text{deg } s$ .  $\square$

Since  $SV(\rho_{\pm\alpha}) = \tau_{\pm\alpha}$  and  $\mathbb{F}(\rho_{\pm\alpha}) = T_{\pm\alpha}$ , we can write (3.26) in the form

$$SV(\rho_{\pm\alpha})\hat{x}[\lambda, k] = \hat{x}(\mathbb{F}(\rho_{\pm\alpha})[\lambda, k]).$$

Thus  $\hat{x} : [X \times \mathbb{Z}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{O}$  is a  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$ -equivariant bijection as claimed in Theorem 1.1.

**Example 3.20.** Take  $(n, m) = (2, 3)$ . We only indicate the zero entries in  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda)$ . We have  $\Lambda_0 = (3.0|0, 2, 4)$  and the matrix  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda_0)$  is shown on the left below. On the right is the matrix  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda_1)$  arising from the morphism corresponding to the unique zero entry in  $\mathbb{A}(\Lambda_0)$ .

	0	2	4
3			
0	0		

	3	2	4
3	0		
2		0	

Given  $\lambda \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  as in Subsection 3.3 we can use (3.6) to find  $\Lambda = x(\lambda) \in \mathbf{O}$  as in Corollary 3.5. If  $\lambda = (3, 1)$ , the vectors  $\lambda', \overleftarrow{\lambda}$  from (3.5) are given by  $\lambda' = (2, 1, 1)$  and  $\overleftarrow{\lambda}^{\text{transpose}} = (1, 3)$ . Therefore  $\Lambda = (5, 6|6, 5, 7)$ . The matrix  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)$  is given on the left below. The analysis in Subsection 3.4.3 depended on the unique descending path that supports the maximum number of zero entries in the augmented matrix. Note for this matrix  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)$ , there is no descending path supporting *all* the zeroes, compare Lemma 3.6. The descending path supporting the upper zero corresponds to the partition  $\lambda$ . We have  $\lambda^{-\mathbf{r}} = (1, 0)$ . After rotating rows in the diagram on the left, we obtain the diagram on the right where the path corresponds to  $\lambda^{-\mathbf{r}}$ . Note that all entries in this last matrix are the same as those in  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda_1)$ , except that the entries in  ${}^L\Lambda_1$  and  ${}^R\Lambda_1$  have been increased by  $m = 3$ . In the notation of (3.22)

$$x(1, 0) + \mathbf{3} = \nu(x(3, 1)). \tag{3.30}$$

	6	5	7
5		0	
6	0		

	6	5	7
6	0		
5		0	

For an analog of (3.30) involving  $\eta$  and where a column is deleted, note that,

$$x(2, 0) + \mathbf{2} = \eta(x(3, 1)).$$

## 4 A conjecture on infinite orbits

The orbit representative  $\Lambda_0$  from (3.1) has some special properties that enable us to relate the orbit  $\mathbf{O}$  to Young diagrams. We conjecture that  $\mathbf{O}$  is the unique infinite orbit up to some inherent symmetries.

**Conjecture 4.1.** *Up to a translation by a suitable vector  $\mathbf{a}$  any infinite orbit for the  $\mathfrak{W}$  action on  $\mathbf{k}^{n|m}$  has a representative  $\Lambda_0$  of the form (3.1).*

### 4.1 Motivation

First we explain how our notation related to [SV17]. We say  $\kappa$  is *special* if  $\kappa = \pm p/q$ , where  $p \in [m]$  and  $q \in [n]$  with  $p, q$  coprime. The following result is contained in [SV17] Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *All the orbits are finite if and only if  $\kappa$  is not negative special.*

Since we are interested in infinite orbit we assume  $\kappa = -p/q$  is rational. The action from [SV17] Equation (9) is given as follows. We assume that  $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \delta_j$  and omit the functor. Then on the hyperplane  $x_i = y_j$  we have

$$\rho_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) = (x_1, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_j - \kappa, \dots, y_m).$$

We leave it to the reader to determine the inverse morphism. Introduce new coordinates  $X_i = qx_i, Y_j = qy_j$ . Then the action is given by

$$\rho_\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m) = (X_1, \dots, X_i + q, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_j + p, \dots, Y_m).$$

If  $p = m$  and  $q = n$  we have the action defined by the functor  $SV$  from (1.12)- (1.14). In general let  $v_\alpha^{p,q} = q\epsilon_i - p\delta_j$  and

$$\Pi_\alpha^{p,q} = \{\Lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{n|m} \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) = 0\}, \quad \Pi_{-\alpha}^{p,q} = \{\Lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{n|m} \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) = q - p\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then we have a functor  $SV^{p,q} : \mathfrak{T}_{iso} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^{n|m})$  given by  $SV^{p,q}(\pm\alpha) = \Pi_{\pm\alpha}^{p,q}$ , and

$$SV^{p,q}(\rho_{\pm\alpha})(\Lambda) = \Lambda \pm v_\alpha^{p,q}. \quad (4.2)$$

Now we change our point of view. Fix  $n' \leq n$  and  $m' \leq m$ , with  $n', m'$  coprime and let  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}^{n',m'}$  be the subgroupoid of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}$  with base  $\{\epsilon_i - \delta_j \mid i \in [n'], j \in [m']\}$  and restrict the action  $SV : \mathfrak{T}_{iso} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^{n|m})$  given by (1.12) to  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}^{n',m'}$ . Then from Theorem 4.2 we have

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $n' < n$  or  $m' < m$ , then all orbits of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}^{n',m'}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^{n|m}$  are finite.*

*Proof.* The parameter  $-n/m$  is not negative special for the action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}^{n',m'}$ . □

The parameter governing the situation considered in Section 3 is thus on the borderline for the existence of an infinite orbit. On the other hand it is also borderline for Conjecture 4.1: if we consider the action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}^{n|m+1}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^{n|m+1})$  with parameter  $-n/m$ , then we obtain an infinite orbit using only the first  $m$  columns (and all  $n$  rows). The entries in the last column can be arbitrary. Similar remarks apply to the the action of  $\mathfrak{T}_{iso}^{n+1|m}$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}^{n+1|m})$  with parameter  $-n/m$

## References

- [Mus12] I. M. Musson, *Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 131, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. MR2906817
- [Mus24a] ———, *On the geometry of some algebras related to the Weyl groupoid*, Recent advances in noncommutative algebra and geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 801, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, 2024, pp. 155–177, DOI 10.1090/conm/801/16039. MR4756383
- [Mus24b] ———, *Young diagrams, Borel subalgebras and Cayley graphs*, *arXiv:2412.12141* (2024).
- [Ser96] V. Serganova, *On generalizations of root systems*, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), no. 13, 4281–4299, DOI 10.1080/00927879608825814. MR1414584
- [SV04] A. N. Sergeev and A. P. Veselov, *Deformed quantum Calogero-Moser problems and Lie superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **245** (2004), no. 2, 249–278, DOI 10.1007/s00220-003-1012-4. MR2039697
- [SV11] ———, *Grothendieck rings of basic classical Lie superalgebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 2, 663–703.
- [SV17] ———, *Orbits and invariants of super Weyl groupoid*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **20** (2017), 6149–6167, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnw182. MR3712194
- [Wei96] A. Weinstein, *Groupoids: unifying internal and external symmetry. A tour through some examples*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1996), no. 7, 744–752. MR1394388