

ANTIASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA IN R: INTRODUCING THE evitaicossa PACKAGE

A PREPRINT

 **Robin K. S. Hankin***
University of Stirling
hankin.robin@gmail.com

December 24, 2024

Abstract

In this short article I introduce the `evitaicossa` package which provides functionality for antiassociative algebras in the R programming language; it is available on CRAN at <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=evitaicossa>.

1 Introduction

Here I introduce the `evitaicossa` R package for antiassociative algebras. An *algebra* is a vector space in which the vectors possess a well-behaved bilinear product. Formally, a vector space is a set V of vectors which form an Abelian group under addition and also satisfy the following axioms:

- Compatibility, $a(b\mathbf{v}) = (ab)\mathbf{v}$
- Identity, $1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$
- Distributivity of vector addition, $a(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = a\mathbf{u} + a\mathbf{v}$
- Distributivity of field addition, $(a + b)\mathbf{u} = a\mathbf{u} + b\mathbf{u}$

Above, $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V$ are vectors, a, b are scalars [here the real numbers], and 1 is the multiplicative identity. We also require a bilinear vector product, mapping pairs of vectors to vectors; vector multiplication is denoted using juxtaposition, as in $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}$, which satisfies the following axioms:

- Right distributivity, $(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}$
- Left distributivity, $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{v}$
- Compatibility, $(a\mathbf{u})(b\mathbf{v}) = (ab)(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})$

Note the absence of commutativity and associativity. Associative algebras seem to be the most common, and examples would include multivariate polynomials [4, 7], Clifford algebras [2], Weyl algebras [6], and free algebras [5]. Non-associative algebras would include the octonions [1] and Jordan algebras [8]. Here I consider *antiassociative* algebras in which the usual associativity relation $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}$ is replaced by the relation $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}) = -(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}$.

2 Antiassociative algebras

Algebras satisfying $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}) = -(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})\mathbf{w}$ exhibit some startling behaviour. Firstly, in the vector space there are no scalars except for $0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Proof: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x^3 = x(xx) = -(xx)x = -x^3$; thus $x^3 = -x^3$, so $x = 0$. Secondly, antiassociative algebras are nilpotent of order 4:

*work; play



$$(\mathbf{ab})(\mathbf{cd}) = -\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{cd})) = \mathbf{a}((\mathbf{bc})\mathbf{d}) = -(\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{bc}))\mathbf{d} = ((\mathbf{ab})\mathbf{c})\mathbf{d} = -(\mathbf{ab})(\mathbf{cd})$$

We see that $(\mathbf{ab})(\mathbf{cd}) = -(\mathbf{ab})(\mathbf{cd})$ so \mathbf{abcd} (however bracketed) must be zero.

2.1 The free antiassociative algebra

We consider vector spaces generated by a finite alphabet of symbols $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$. These will be denoted generally by a single letter, as in $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \dots, \mathbf{z}$. We now consider the algebra spanned by products of linear combinations of these symbols, subject only to the axioms of an algebra [and the antiassociative relation $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{vw}) = -(\mathbf{uv})\mathbf{w}$]. Given an alphabet $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$, the general form of an element of an antiassociative algebra will be

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_{ijk} (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k$$

(see [9] for a proof, but note that she uses $\mathbf{x}_i(\mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_k)$ rather than $(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k$ for the triple products; a brief discussion is given in the appendix). In the package, the components of the first term $\sum_i \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i$ are known as “single-symbol” terms $[\mathbf{x}_i]$ and coefficients $[\alpha_i]$ respectively. Similarly, the components of $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j$ are known as the “double-symbol” terms and coefficients; and the components of $\sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_{ijk} (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k$ are the “triple-symbol” terms and coefficients.

Addition is performed elementwise among the single-, double-, and triple- components; the result is the (formal) composition of the three results. Given

$$A = \sum_i \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_{ijk} (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$B = \sum_i \beta_i \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i,j,k} \beta_{ijk} (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k$$

(where the sums run from 1 to n), we define the sum $A + B$ to be

$$\sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i,j} (\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij}) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i,j,k} (\alpha_{ijk} + \beta_{ijk}) (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k$$

Multiplication is slightly more involved. We define the product AB to be

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_{ij} \beta_k (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k - \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha_i \beta_{jk} (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{x}_k.$$

The minus sign in front of the third term embodies antiassociativity.

3 The `evitaicossa` package

The `evitaicossa` package implements these relations in the context of an R-centric suite of software. I give some examples of the package in use. A good place to start is function `raaa()`, which returns a simple random element of the free antiassociative algebra:

```
> raaa()
free antiassociative algebra element:
+1a +3b +2d +3a.b +1c.b +1c.c +1(a.b)a +1(b.b)c +1(b.c)a
```

(the default alphabet for this command is $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$). We see the print method for the package which shows some of the structure of the object. This one has some single-symbol elements, some double-symbol and some triple-symbol elements.

It is possible to create elements using the `aaa()` or `as.aaa()` functions:

```

> x <- as.aaa(c("p", "q", "r"))
> x1 <- aaa(s1 = c("p", "r", "x"), c(-1, 5, 6))
> y <- aaa(d1 = letters[1:3], d2 = c("foo", "bar", "baz"), dc=1:3)
> z <- aaa(
+   t1 = c("bar", "bar", "bar"),
+   t2 = c("q", "r", "s"),
+   t3 = c("foo", "foo", "bar"),
+   tc = 5:7)

```

And then apply arithmetic operations to these objects:

```

> x
free antiassociative algebra element:
+1p +1q +1r

> x1
free antiassociative algebra element:
-1p +5r +6x

> x+x1
free antiassociative algebra element:
+1q +6r +6x

```

(above, note the cancellation in `x+x1`). Multiplication is also implemented (package idiom is to use an asterisk):

```

> x*(x1+y)
free antiassociative algebra element:
-1p.p +5p.r +6p.x -1q.p +5q.r +6q.x -1r.p +5r.r +6r.x -1(p.a)foo -2(p.b)bar
-3(p.c)baz -1(q.a)foo -2(q.b)bar -3(q.c)baz -1(r.a)foo -2(r.b)bar -3(r.c)baz

```

Check:

```

> x*(x1+y) == x*x1 + x*y
[1] TRUE

```

We end with a remarkable identity:

$$(a + ax)(b + xb) = ab$$

Numerically:

```

> a <- raaa()
> b <- raaa()
> x <- raaa()
> (a+a*x)*(b+x*b) == a*b
[1] TRUE

```

4 Extract and replace methods

Because of the tripartite nature of antiassociative algebra, the package provides three families of extraction methods: `single()`, `double()` and `triple()`, which return the different components of an object:

```

> a

```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+4a +2b +2a.a +2c.c +2d.d +2(b.d)d +1(c.d)a +4(d.b)c
```

```
> single(a)
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+4a +2b
```

```
> double(a)
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+2a.a +2c.c +2d.d
```

```
> triple(a)
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+2(b.d)d +1(c.d)a +4(d.b)c
```

The corresponding replacement methods are also implemented:

```
> single(a) <- 0
> a
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+2a.a +2c.c +2d.d +2(b.d)d +1(c.d)a +4(d.b)c
```

```
> double(a) <- double(b) * 1000
> a
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+1000b.d +2000c.b +2000c.d +2(b.d)d +1(c.d)a +4(d.b)c
```

Square bracket extraction and replacement is also implemented:

```
> (a <- raaa(s=5))
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+4a +3b +6c +3b.c +1b.d +2c.c +3d.a +2d.c +2(a.d)d +3(b.a)a +4(b.b)c +1(c.d)d
+3(d.c)b
```

```
> a[s1=c("c", "e"), t1="c", t2="d", t3="d"]
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+6c +1(c.d)d
```

Above we pass named arguments (*s1 et seq.*) and the appropriate *aaa* object is returned. Zero coefficients are discarded. This mode also implements replacement methods:

```
> (a <- raaa(s=5))
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+8a +2b +2c +1a.b +4a.c +3b.c +4c.c +2(a.c)c +4(b.c)a +4(b.c)d +1(c.d)d
+3(d.c)a
```

```
> a[s1="a", d1=c("c", "w"), d2=c("d", "w")] <- 888
> a
```

```
free antiassociative algebra element:
+888a +2b +2c +1a.b +4a.c +3b.c +4c.c +888c.d +888w.w +2(a.c)c +4(b.c)a
+4(b.c)d +1(c.d)d +3(d.c)a
```

The other square bracket method is to pass an (unnamed) character vector:

```
> (a <- raaa())
free antiassociative algebra element:
+1a +2c +4d +3b.a +3b.b +3b.c +2(b.c)a +4(c.a)d +4(c.d)b
```

5 Note on `disordR` discipline

If we try to access the symbols or coefficients of an `aaa` object [functions `s1()` and `sc()` respectively], we get a `disord` object [3]. Suppose we wish to extract the single-symbol terms and the single-symbol coefficients:

```
> x
free antiassociative algebra element:
+3b +4c +1d +3a.c +2a.d +4d.a +1(a.a)d +1(a.b)d +3(d.d)a
> s1(x)
A disord object with hash b3ead18cdb285c1bd26ea0d9faf1dfcff2debd81 and elements
[1] "b" "c" "d"
(in some order)
> sc(x)
A disord object with hash b3ead18cdb285c1bd26ea0d9faf1dfcff2debd81 and elements
[1] 3 4 1
(in some order)
```

See how the hash codes of the symbols and coefficients match. However, the double-symbol terms and coefficients, while internally matching, differ from the single-symbol stuff:

```
> list(d1(x),d2(x),dc(x))
[[1]]
A disord object with hash eeb24e0f65a9b27e547fc0c7be14e7b33be06cd0 and elements
[1] "a" "a" "d"
(in some order)
[[2]]
A disord object with hash eeb24e0f65a9b27e547fc0c7be14e7b33be06cd0 and elements
[1] "c" "d" "a"
(in some order)
[[3]]
A disord object with hash eeb24e0f65a9b27e547fc0c7be14e7b33be06cd0 and elements
[1] 3 2 4
(in some order)
```

Above, see how the double-symbol terms and double-symbol coefficients have consistent hashes, but do not match the single-symbol objects (or indeed the triple-symbol objects).

5.1 Matrix index extraction

If square bracket extraction is given an index that is a matrix, it is interpreted rowwise:

```
> l <- letters[1:3]
> (a <- aaa(s1=l,sc=1:3, d1=l,d2=rev(l),dc=3:1,t1=l,t2=l,t3=rev(l),tc=1:3))
free antiassociative algebra element:
+1a +2b +3c +3a.c +2b.b +1c.a +1(a.a)c +2(b.b)b +3(c.c)a
```

```
> a[cbind(1,1)]

free antiassociative algebra element:
+2b.b

> a[cbind(rev(1),1,1)] <- 88
> a

free antiassociative algebra element:
+1a +2b +3c +3a.c +2b.b +1c.a +1(a.a)c +88(a.c)c +88(b.b)b +88(c.a)a +3(c.c)a
```

6 Note on generalized antiassociativity

We may generalize antiassociativity to $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{bc}) = k(\mathbf{ab})\mathbf{c}$. Thus associativity is recovered if $k = 1$ and antiassociativity if $k = -1$. Then the nilpotence argument becomes:

$$(\mathbf{ab})(\mathbf{cd}) = k^{-1}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{cd})) = \mathbf{a}((\mathbf{bc})\mathbf{d}) = k(\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{bc}))\mathbf{d} = k^2((\mathbf{ab})\mathbf{c})\mathbf{d} = k(\mathbf{ab})(\mathbf{cd})$$

The value of k may be set at compile-time by editing file `src/anti.h`. The line in question reads:

```
#define K -1 // a(bc) == K(ab)c
```

but it is possible to change the value of `K`. Note that this will cause `test_aac.R`, one of the `testthat` suite, to fail `R CMD check`.

As noted above, [9] uses $\mathbf{x}_i(\mathbf{x}_j\mathbf{x}_k)$ rather than $(\mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_j)\mathbf{x}_k$ for the triple products. I chose the latter because R idiom for multiplication is left associative:

```
> x <- 3
> class(x) <- "foo"
> `*.foo` <- function(x,y){x + y + x}
> print.foo <- function(x){print(unclass(x))}
> c(`(x*x)*x` = (x*x)*x, `x*(x*x)` = x*(x*x), `x*x*x` = x*x*x)

(x*x)*x x*(x*x) x*x*x
      21      15      21
```

Above we see that `x*x*x` is interpreted as `(x*x)*x`, which is why the sign convention in the package was adopted.

References

- [1] Hankin, R. K. S. (2006). Normed division algebras with R: introducing the onion package. *R News*, 6(2):49–52.
- [2] Hankin, R. K. S. (2022a). Clifford algebra in R. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.13659>.
- [3] Hankin, R. K. S. (2022b). Disordered vectors in R: introducing the `disordR` package. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.03856>.
- [4] Hankin, R. K. S. (2022c). Fast multivariate polynomials in R: the `mvp` package. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.15991>.
- [5] Hankin, R. K. S. (2022d). The free algebra in R. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.04002>.
- [6] Hankin, R. K. S. (2022e). Quantum algebra in R: the `weyl` package. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09230>.
- [7] Hankin, R. K. S. (2022f). Sparse arrays in R: the `spray` package. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.03856>.
- [8] Hankin, R. K. S. (2023). Jordan algebra in R. <https://arxiv.org/2303.06062>.
- [9] Remm, E. (2024). Anti-associative algebras. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.10812>.