

THE CONTINUITY OF p -RATIONALITY OF CHARACTERS AND THE PRINCIPAL BLOCK

GUNTER MALLE, J. MIQUEL MARTÍNEZ, AND CAROLINA VALLEJO

Dedicated to Gabriel Navarro on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. We study rationality properties of irreducible characters of finite groups. We show that the continuity of 2-rationality is a phenomenon that can be detected in the principal 2-block, thus refining a recent result of N. N. Hung. We also propose a conjectural group theoretical criterion for the continuity gap at level 1 for all primes.

1. INTRODUCTION

For K an abelian number field, its *conductor* $c(K)$ is defined as the smallest positive integer n such that $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_n$, where \mathbb{Q}_n denotes the extension of \mathbb{Q} generated by a primitive n th root of unity. Given a finite group G and a complex irreducible character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, the *conductor of χ* , denoted $c(\chi)$, is defined as $c(\mathbb{Q}(\chi))$, the conductor of the field generated by the values of χ . For a prime p , the *p -rationality level* of $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is the non-negative integer α such that $c(\chi)_p = p^\alpha$. (Here, we write n_p for the exact power of p dividing an integer n .) Characters with specific p -rationality level have been extensively studied in the literature. For example, the *p -rational characters* are the characters with p -rationality level 0 and the *almost p -rational characters* are the ones with p -rationality level ≤ 1 . Both of these families have been shown to influence the (local) structure of G (see, for instance [12, 18, 10, 14]).

In [9], Hung conjectured that if a finite group G has some irreducible character χ of degree coprime to p with p -rationality level $\alpha \geq 2$, then $\text{Irr}(G)$ contains characters of degree coprime to p with p -rationality level β for any $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. We will refer to this phenomenon as the *continuity of p -rationality*. In the same paper, he has proven the above conjecture for $p = 2$. In the final section of [9], Hung suggests the existence of a principal block version of [9, Conj. 1.1]:

Date: December 23, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C15, 20C33.

Key words and phrases. p -rationality of characters, principal blocks, character conductors, character degrees.

The first author gratefully acknowledges support by the DFG – Project-ID 286237555 – TRR 195. The work of the second author is funded by the European Union – Next Generation EU, Missione 4 Componente 1, PRIN 2022-2022PSTWLB – Group Theory and Applications, CUP B53D23009410006 as well as the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Grant PID2022-137612NB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and “ERDF A way of making Europe”). The third author is supported by Rita Levi-Montalcini programme (bando 2019) of the Italian Ministero dell’Università e la Ricerca. She also acknowledges the support of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), as part of the GNSAGA. The authors wish to thank Gabriel Navarro, Noelia Rizo and Mandi Schaeffer Fry for their comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

Conjecture 1.1 (Hung). *Let G be a finite group and p be a prime. If the principal p -block $B_0(G)$ of G contains an irreducible character of degree coprime to p with $c(\chi)_p = p^\alpha$ then it contains irreducible characters of degree coprime to p and $c(\psi)_p = p^\beta$ for all $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$.*

In our first main result, we confirm Hung's prediction for the prime $p = 2$.

Theorem A. *Conjecture 1.1 holds for $p = 2$.*

Hung's Conjecture is implied by the celebrated Alperin–McKay–Navarro conjecture [16, Conj. B]. In particular, our Theorem A provides further evidence for the validity of the Alperin–McKay–Navarro conjecture (at least for principal blocks and the prime $p = 2$), one of the few global-local conjectures that remain unreduced at the time of this writing.

Assuming [20, Conj. A], if $\alpha \geq 2$ is the largest p -rationality level of characters of degree coprime to p of a finite group G then it is attained at an element of $\text{Irr}(B_0(G))$. Given that [20, Conj. A] has been proven for $p = 2$ in [13], Theorem A above refines the main result of [9]. Furthermore, using the fact that [20, Conj. A] has been reduced to a problem on simple groups, we are also able to reduce Conjecture 1.1 to a problem on simple groups (see Theorem 3.3) for all primes p .

Interestingly, the continuity of p -rationality stops at level 2. This is because there are groups that have an irreducible character of degree coprime to p and p -rationality level 2 but none of p -rationality level 1. That is to say, in these cases all the irreducible characters of degree coprime to p that are almost p -rational are in fact p -rational. An example was already given in [9, Rem. 1.6]. We offer here an explanation of this gap by conjecturally characterizing the finite groups all of whose almost p -rational characters of degree coprime to p are p -rational.

Conjecture B. *Let G be a finite group, p be a prime and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Write $K = \mathbf{N}_G(P)/\Phi(P)$ and $Q = P/\Phi(P)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *No irreducible character of G of degree coprime to p has p -rationality level 1.*
- (ii) *For every $1 \neq y \in Q$ we have:*
 - (a) $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(\langle y \rangle) \cong \text{Aut}(\langle y \rangle)$, and
 - (b) $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/Q$ acts trivially on the set of conjugacy classes of $\mathbf{C}_K(y)/Q$.

Notice that for $p = 2$ all three conditions above are trivially satisfied as, by definition, the conductor of a character cannot have 2-part equal to 2. In Theorem 5.5, we prove that Conjecture B holds for groups with a normal Sylow p -subgroup. As we will explain in Sections 2 and 5, Theorem 5.5 implies that Conjecture B would be a consequence of the McKay–Navarro conjecture [16, Conj. A]. In particular, we derive that Conjecture B holds for p -solvable, sporadic, symmetric and alternating groups, and simple groups of Lie type in defining characteristic by [25, 16, 2, 23].

It is worth mentioning that Hung speculates that the gap on the continuity of p -rationality might depend on the action of $\mathbf{N}_G(P)$ on P/P' but does not know *the precise connection* [9, Rem. 1.6]. However, both local conditions in Conjecture B above are necessary to explain the gap, since the groups \mathbf{C}_3 and $\text{SmallGroup}(216, 128) \cong (\mathbf{C}_3 \times \mathbf{C}_3 \times \mathbf{C}_3) \rtimes \mathbf{D}_8$ possess irreducible characters of degree coprime to 3 and 3-rationality level 1.

Conjecture B can be seen as a new way of relating the local structure of a group with its character values. Unlike the phenomena observed in [20, Conj. A] and [9, Conj. 1.1],

we note that the absence of irreducible characters of degree coprime to p of p -rationality level 1 in a group G is not a property that can be detected in the principal block (see the end of Section 5). We also offer a version for principal blocks of Conjecture B in Conjecture 5.7.

Our paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we collect some preliminary results on the p -part of character conductors. In Section 3, we reduce Conjecture 1.1 to a problem on finite simple groups. This problem is settled for the prime 2 in Section 4, thus completing the proof of Theorem A. Section 5 contains the proof of Conjecture B (and its principal block version) for groups with a normal Sylow p -subgroup.

2. ON THE p -PART OF CHARACTER CONDUCTORS

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\sigma_\alpha \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}/\mathbb{Q})$ the Galois automorphism that fixes p -power order roots of unity and sends p' -roots of unity to their $1+p^\alpha$ th power. By a slight abuse of notation, we still denote by σ_α its restriction to the cyclotomic field \mathbb{Q}_n for any positive integer n . It is not difficult to see that $\langle \sigma_\alpha \rangle \leq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$ is a finite p -group for any α and any n . Moreover, if χ is not p -rational, then the p -rationality level of χ is precisely the smallest $\alpha \geq 1$ such that χ is σ_α -invariant (see [21, Lemma 4.1], for instance). While for $p = 2$ the odd-degree 2-rational characters of G are exactly those fixed by σ_1 by [26, Thm 2.5], for odd primes we cannot characterise the p -rational characters in terms of the σ_α simply because $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{mp^a}/\mathbb{Q}_m)$ is not a p -group.

The celebrated McKay–Navarro conjecture [16, Conj. A] implies that there should exist McKay bijections that preserve the p -part of character conductors. The following is a consequence (of the maximal defect case) of [16, Conj. B]. We state it in the weakest form that suffices for our purposes. Here, we denote by $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ the set of irreducible characters of G whose degree is not divisible by p and by $\text{Irr}(B_0(G))$ the set of irreducible characters that belong to the principal p -block of G .

Conjecture 2.1 (Navarro). *Let G be a finite group, p be a prime and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. There is a bijection*

$$* : \text{Irr}_{p'}(G) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{N}_G(P))$$

such that for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ we have

- (1) $c(\chi)_p = c(\chi^*)_p$, and
- (2) $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B_0(G))$ if and only if $\chi^* \in \text{Irr}(B_0(\mathbf{N}_G(P)))$.

It is not difficult to see that Conjecture 1.1 is a consequence of Conjecture 2.1 (and hence of [16, Conj. B]). We do so in Lemma 2.7 below. We will prove in Section 5 that Conjecture B (as well as its principal block version) also follows from Conjecture 2.1; however, this will require significantly more effort.

We next collect some useful results on the p -part of character conductors. Throughout, G denotes a finite group.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $H \leq G$ with $p \nmid |G : H|$ and $\mathbf{C}_G(P) \subseteq H$, and let $\alpha \geq 1$. If $\psi \in \text{Irr}_0(B_0(H))$ is fixed by σ_α then there is a σ_α -invariant constituent of ψ^G in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$. In other words, if $c(\psi)_p \geq p$ then there is a constituent χ of ψ^G with $c(\chi)_p \leq c(\psi)_p$.*

Proof. Mimic the proof of [14, Lemma 3.7] for σ_α instead of σ , using that σ_α also has p -power order. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(N))$ be P -invariant and with $c(\theta)_p \geq p^2$, and let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ lie over θ . Then $c(\chi)_p \geq p^2$.*

Proof. Assume that $c(\chi)_p \leq p$ so that χ is fixed by σ_1 . Then χ_N is fixed by σ_1 . This implies that σ_1 permutes the set of G -conjugates of θ , which has size not divisible by p . In particular, σ_1 fixes some G -conjugate of θ so it fixes θ , and $c(\theta)_p \leq p$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.4 (Alperin–Dade). *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $|G : N|$ not divisible by p . Assume that $G = \text{NC}_G(P)$ for $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Then restriction defines a bijection $\text{Irr}(B_0(G)) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(B_0(N))$. In particular, $c(\chi)_p = c(\chi_N)_p$ for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B_0(G))$.*

Proof. The first part was proved by Alperin [1] when G/N is solvable, and by Dade [4] without the solvability assumption. Since restriction commutes with Galois action, it follows that χ is fixed by σ_α if and only if χ_N is fixed by σ_α for any α . \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $|G : N|$ not divisible by p . Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$, $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\theta)$ and assume $c(\chi)_p \geq p$. Then $c(\theta)_p \leq c(\chi)_p$. If, moreover, $c(\chi)_p$ or $c(\theta)_p$ are $\geq p^2$, then $c(\chi)_p = c(\theta)_p$.*

Proof. See [9, Lemma 2.4(i) and (iii)]. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Assume $\chi := \varphi\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $c(\varphi)_p \leq c(\chi)_p$. Assume further that $G/\ker \psi$ is a p -group. Then*

- (a) $c(\psi)_p \leq c(\chi)_p$, and
- (b) either $c(\varphi)_p = c(\chi)_p$ or $c(\psi)_p = c(\chi)_p$.

Proof. See [9, Lemma 3.3]. \square

If G is a p -group and $\lambda \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$, then $G/\ker \lambda$ is cyclic and $c(\lambda)_p = |G/\ker \lambda|$. In particular, Conjecture 1.1 trivially holds for p -groups as these have a unique p -block, namely the principal one. We prove below that Conjecture 1.1 follows from Conjecture 2.1.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that G satisfies the conclusion of Conjecture 2.1 for p . Then if the principal p -block $B_0(G)$ of G contains irreducible characters of degree coprime to p with $c(\chi)_p = p^\alpha$ then it contains irreducible characters of degree coprime to p and $c(\psi)_p = p^\beta$ for all $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$.*

Proof. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. By hypothesis, we can assume that $P \trianglelefteq G$. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ with $c(\chi)_p = p^\alpha$, and let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(P)$ be under χ . Since $\alpha \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.5, we have that $c(\lambda) = c(\chi)_p$. Now, P has a linear character λ_β of conductor p^β for every $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$, as noticed just before the statement of this lemma. We can take $\chi_\beta \in \text{Irr}(B_0(G))$ over λ_β by [15, Thm 9.4]. Then χ_β has degree coprime to p and $c(\chi_\beta) = p^\beta$ using again Lemma 2.5. \square

3. A REDUCTION THEOREM FOR HUNG'S CONJECTURE

The purpose of this section is to show that if certain conditions hold for almost/quasi-simple groups and a prime p , then Conjecture 1.1 holds for all finite groups with respect to the prime p . More specifically, our reduction theorem depends on the following conjecture concerning the representation theory of the finite non-abelian simple groups.

Conjecture 3.1. *Let p be a prime and let S be a finite non-abelian simple group of order divisible by p . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *Let X be a quasi-simple group with $X/\mathbf{Z}(X) \cong S$ and $\mathbf{Z}(X)$ of order coprime to p . Let Y be almost quasi-simple with component $F^*(Y) = X$ and Y/X a p -group. If $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(Y)$ and $\exp(Q/Q') = p^e$, then every character in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(Y)$ is σ_e -invariant (or equivalently, it has p -rationality level at most e).*
- (b) *Let A be an almost simple group with socle $F^*(A) = S$ such that A/S is a p -group. Assume $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(S))$ contains A -invariant characters with p -rationality level α . Then $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(S))$ contains A -invariant characters with p -rationality level β for every $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$.*

We recall that a group S is said to be involved in a group G if there exist $N, K \leq G$ with $N \trianglelefteq K$ and $K/N \cong S$.

Remark 3.2. Let G be a finite group, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and assume $\exp(P/P') \geq p^2$. By work of Navarro and Tiep in [20, Thms B and 5.7], if Conjecture 3.1(a) holds for every finite simple group involved in G , then $\exp(P/P')$ is the largest p -rationality level in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$, and such largest p -rationality level is attained at $\text{Irr}(B_0(G))$. Note that Conjecture 3.1(a) easily implies [20, Conj. 5.4]. In particular, this has been shown to hold for $p = 2$ by the main result of [13].

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a finite group, p a prime. Assume that Conjecture 3.1 holds for every non-abelian simple group involved in G . If $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ contains a character of p -rationality level $\alpha \geq 2$, then $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ contains characters of p -rationality level β for every $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $|G|$. We may assume α is the largest p -rationality level among the p' -degree characters in the principal block. The rest of the proof is divided into steps.

Step 1: We may assume that any minimal normal subgroup of G is semisimple of order divisible by p .

We may assume that $\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G) = 1$ by induction since $\text{Irr}(B_0(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))) \subseteq \text{Irr}(B_0(G))$. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Assume that N is a p -group, so $N \leq P$. By Remark 3.2 and since we are assuming Conjecture 3.1 we have $\exp(P/P') = p^\alpha$. Since $\exp(N) = p$ we have

$$\exp(P/P') = \exp((P/N)/(P/N)') \quad \text{or} \quad \exp(P/P') = p \cdot \exp((P/N)/(P/N)').$$

In either case, we are done because $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G/N))$ contains characters of every p -rationality level $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1$ by induction. The claim follows.

Step 2: Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . We may assume G/N is a p -group. In particular, $B_0(G)$ is the unique block covering $B_0(N)$.

Since we are assuming Conjecture 3.1(a), the largest p -parts of the character conductors in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ and $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(PN))$ coincide (see Remark 3.2). Therefore it suffices to prove that if $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(PN))$ contains a character of p -rationality level $\beta \geq 2$ then so does $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$.

For this, let $\psi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(PN))$ with $c(\psi)_p = p^\beta$ and write $H = PNC_G(P)$. By Theorem 2.4, ψ extends to a character $\hat{\psi} \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(H))$ with $c(\hat{\psi})_p = p^\beta$. By Lemma 2.2

there is some $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ lying over $\hat{\psi}$ with $c(\chi)_p \leq p^\beta$. Notice that χ lies over $\theta := \psi_N \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(N))$. Also notice that $\psi = \rho\hat{\theta}$ for some $\rho \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(PN/N)$ by Gallagher's theorem, where $\hat{\theta} \in \text{Irr}(PN)$ is the canonical extension of θ given by [17, Cor. 6.2 and 6.4], with $c(\theta) = c(\hat{\theta})$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $c(\rho)_p \leq c(\psi)_p = p^\beta$ and either (1) $c(\hat{\theta})_p = c(\psi)_p$ or (2) $c(\rho)_p = c(\psi)_p$.

In case (1) we have that $c(\theta)_p = p^\beta \geq p^2$. By Lemma 2.3 this yields that $c(\chi)_p \geq p^2$ and by using Lemma 2.5 we conclude $c(\chi)_p = p^\beta$, as desired.

In case (2), we have that $c(\rho)_p = p^\beta$, so $\exp(PN/(PN)') \geq p^\beta$ because $PN/\ker \rho$ is cyclic and $(PN)' = P'N \subseteq \ker \rho$ (recall that N is perfect by Step 1). If all characters in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G/N))$ have p -rationality level strictly smaller than β then Remark 3.2 implies $\exp(PN/(PN)') < p^\beta$, which is a contradiction. Hence some character in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G/N))$ has p -rationality level at least β and the inductive hypothesis guarantees the existence of a character in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G/N)) \subseteq \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ of p -rationality level exactly β .

The last claim follows from [15, Cor. 9.6].

Final step: Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ with $c(\chi)_p = p^\alpha \geq p^2$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . By Step 1, we can write $N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_t$ where the S_i are isomorphic non-abelian simple groups of order divisible by p permuted transitively by G . By Step 2, G/N is a p -group. In particular, $\chi_N = \theta \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(N))$ is irreducible with $c(\theta)_p = p^\gamma \leq p^\alpha$.

Arguing as in the second paragraph of Step 2, we can write $\chi = \hat{\theta}\rho$ where $\hat{\theta}$ is the canonical extension of θ to G and $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G/N)$ with $p^\alpha = c(\rho)_p$ or $p^\alpha = c(\theta)_p$. If $p^\alpha = c(\rho)_p$ then, using that the result is true for p -groups we are done. Otherwise, $p^\alpha = c(\theta)_p$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ be a transversal of $\mathbf{N}_G(S_1)$ in G , chosen so that $S_i = (S_1)^{x_i}$. Then we can write $\theta = \theta_1^{x_1} \times \cdots \times \theta_t^{x_t}$, where $\theta_i \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(S_1))$. Since θ is G -invariant, θ_i and θ_1 are $\text{Aut}(S_1)$ -conjugate, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. In particular, $c(\theta_i)_p = c(\theta_1)_p$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and actually $c(\theta_1) = c(\theta)_p = p^\alpha$.

As θ is G -invariant, θ_1 is $\mathbf{N}_G(S_1)$ -invariant. Since we may view $\mathbf{N}_G(S_1)/\mathbf{C}_G(S_1) \leq \text{Aut}(S_1)$ and $\mathbf{N}_G(S_1)/S_1\mathbf{C}_G(S_1)$ is a p -group, it follows from Conjecture 3.1(b) that S_1 has $\mathbf{N}_G(S_1)$ -invariant characters $\eta_\beta \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(S_1))$ with $c(\eta_\beta)_p = p^\beta$ for every $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\theta_\beta := \eta_\beta^{x_1} \times \cdots \times \eta_\beta^{x_t}$$

is G -invariant and has degree coprime to p . Further, θ_β lies in $B_0(N)$ by [22, Lemma 2.1(b)]. Notice also that $c(\theta_\beta)_p = c(\eta_\beta)_p = p^\beta$. By [17, Cor. 6.2 and 6.4] there is a canonical extension $\hat{\theta}_\beta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ of θ_β with $c(\hat{\theta}_\beta) = c(\theta_\beta)$, so $c(\hat{\theta}_\beta)_p = p^\beta$. Since G/N is a p -group, using [15, Cor. 9.6] we conclude that $\hat{\theta}_\beta$ lies in the principal p -block, as desired. \square

4. ON ALMOST SIMPLE GROUPS AND THEOREM A

The purpose of this Section is to show that Conjecture 3.1(b) holds for every finite simple group when $p = 2$. Our first observation is for arbitrary primes p :

Proposition 4.1. *Conjecture 3.1(b) holds for any prime p whenever S is a sporadic simple group, the Tits group, an alternating group \mathfrak{A}_n with $n \geq 5$, or a simple group of Lie type in characteristic p .*

Proof. As argued in the proof of [9, Prop. 5.1], alternating groups, sporadic simple groups and groups of Lie type in characteristic p have $c(\chi)_p \leq p^2$ for all of their irreducible characters χ . \square

To deal with the groups of Lie type in non-defining characteristic we use the following setup. Let \mathbf{G} be a simple algebraic group of simply connected type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic r and $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism, with finite group of fixed points $G = \mathbf{G}^F$. By Proposition 4.1, to show Conjecture 3.1(b) it remains to consider the non-abelian simple quotients S of groups G as just described, for primes $p \neq r$. Assume $S = G/\mathbf{Z}(G)$. Now the irreducible characters $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(S))$ are the deflations of characters $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ containing $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ in their kernel, and all automorphisms of S are induced by automorphisms of G , so to prove the validity of Conjecture 3.1(b) we can argue using characters of G . We refer to [6] for notation and background on characters of finite reductive groups.

The following observation might be of independent interest:

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be as above. Then any unipotent character of G of odd degree is rational valued.*

Proof. By [6, Prop. 4.5.5] the character fields of unipotent characters are generated by their Frobenius eigenvalues, except for the principal series characters of E_7 and E_8 lying in exceptional families. Now for classical types all Frobenius eigenvalues are ± 1 , and for the exceptional types a quick inspection shows that all irrational unipotent characters have even degree. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Conjecture 3.1 holds for $p = 2$.*

Proof. As pointed out in Remark 3.2, Part (a) has been shown in [13]. For Part (b), by Proposition 4.1 we only need to consider S a simple group of Lie type in odd characteristic r . Let \mathbf{G}, F and $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ be as above such that $S = G/\mathbf{Z}(G)$, and let $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. By [20, Prop. 3.9], P/P' has exponent 2 and thus by [13, Thm 1] all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B_0(S))$ have 2-rationality level $\alpha \leq 2$ and there is nothing to prove, unless G is either $E_6(\epsilon q)_{\text{sc}}$ or $\text{SL}_{2n}(\epsilon q)$ with $q \equiv \epsilon \pmod{4}$ and n not a 2-power. It remains to discuss the latter two families of groups.

We first make some general observations. By Broué–Michel [3, Thm 9.12], the principal 2-block of G lies in the union of Lusztig series

$$\text{Irr}(B_0(G)) \subseteq \bigsqcup_t \mathcal{E}(G, t)$$

where t runs over 2-elements in a group G^* dual to G . Furthermore, by Lusztig's character degree formula (Jordan decomposition, [6, Thm 2.6.22]), for $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, t)$ to have odd degree, t has to be 2-central in G^* , that is to say, it must lie in the centre of some Sylow 2-subgroup of G^* . By Proposition 4.2 the claim is vacuous for unipotent characters. Let A be such that $G \trianglelefteq A$.

Now first assume $G = E_6(\epsilon q)_{\text{sc}}$ with $4|(q - \epsilon)$. By [13, Tab. 1] the centre Z of a Sylow 2-subgroup of G is a cyclic group of order $(q - \epsilon)_2$ and is centralised by a (Levi) subgroup $D_5(\epsilon q)(q - \epsilon)$. Now note that all non-trivial elements $1 \neq t \in Z$ have connected centraliser (of type D_5). Thus, by [9, Thm 5.6] the 2-rationality level α_t of all $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, t)$ is the

same and given by $|t| = 2^{\alpha_t}$. Now, as $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{G}^*}(t)$ is connected, for each t there is a unique semisimple character $\chi_t \in \mathcal{E}(G, t)$ [6, Def. 2.6.9, Cor. 2.6.18]. By [5, Thm B] all χ_t lie in the principal 2-block of G . If $\mathcal{E}(G, t)$ contains an A -invariant character, then in particular $\mathcal{E}(G, t)$ is A -stable, and hence χ_t is A -invariant. But then also all $\mathcal{E}(G, t^k)$ are A -stable for any $k \geq 1$ by [24, Prop. 7.2]. Since χ_{t^k} is the unique semisimple character in $\mathcal{E}(G, t^k)$ this forces χ_{t^k} to be A -invariant. Thus any $2 \leq \beta \leq \alpha_t$ occurs as 2-rationality level of some $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{2'}(B_0(S))$, as desired.

Finally, assume $G = \text{SL}_{2n}(\epsilon q)$ with $4|(q - \epsilon)$ and n not a 2-power. In this case, by [8, Tab. 4.5.1], all centralisers of 2-central 2-elements of $G^* \cong \text{PGL}_{2n}(\epsilon q)$ are connected, and they are Levi subgroups. Moreover, by [3, Thm 21.14] all Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(G, t)$, for 2-elements $t \in G^*$ lie in the principal 2-block. But then we can argue exactly as in the previous case. \square

5. ON THE p -RATIONALITY GAP

The purpose of this section is to show that Conjecture B of the introduction holds for groups with a normal Sylow p -subgroup. In order to do so we need to recall the concept of semi-inertia subgroup and some of its properties.

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$. The *semi-inertia subgroup* of $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ is defined as

$$G_\theta^* := \{g \in G \mid \theta^g = \theta^\tau \text{ for some } \tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\theta)/\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

Notice that $G_\theta \leq G_\theta^*$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$. Let G_θ^* be the semi-inertia subgroup of θ in G .*

- (a) *If $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G_\theta|\theta)$ then $\mathbb{Q}(\psi^{G_\theta^*}) = \mathbb{Q}(\psi^G)$.*
- (b) *The map $g \mapsto \tau$ where $\theta^g = \theta^\tau$ is a well-defined group homomorphism*

$$G_\theta^* \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\theta)/\mathbb{Q}(\theta^G))$$

with kernel G_θ , so

$$G_\theta^*/G_\theta \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\theta)/\mathbb{Q}(\theta^G)).$$

Proof. See [19, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3]. Note that if $g \mapsto \tau$, then $(\theta^G)^\tau = (\theta^\tau)^G = (\theta^g)^G = \theta^G$, so τ fixes θ^G , and it follows that the map is well-defined. \square

We fix a prime p and set $\mathcal{G} := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{p-1}\}$ where τ_j takes a fixed primitive p th root of unity to its j th power. If P is an elementary abelian p -group, then \mathcal{G} acts on P by automorphisms as $\tau_j(y) = y^j$ for every $y \in P$ and $\tau_j \in \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let P be an elementary abelian p -group. Suppose that X acts coprimely by automorphisms on P . Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q})$ and write $A = X \times \mathcal{G}$. Then A acts by automorphisms and coprimely on P (where the action of \mathcal{G} is as in the previous paragraph) and the actions of A on P and $\text{Irr}(P)$ are permutation isomorphic. Set $G = P \rtimes X$. If $y \mapsto \lambda_y$ is an A -equivariant bijection $P \rightarrow \text{Irr}(P)$, then*

$$\mathbf{N}_G(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_G(y) = G_{\lambda_y}^*/G_{\lambda_y} \quad \text{for all } y \in P.$$

Proof. The group A acts by automorphisms on P because the actions of X and \mathcal{G} commute. The actions of A on P and $\text{Irr}(P)$ are permutation isomorphic by [11, Thm 18.10]. Let $\alpha : P \rightarrow \text{Irr}(P)$ be an A -equivariant bijection. Write $\alpha(y) = \lambda$. Notice that $G_\lambda = P \rtimes X_\lambda$. Now $x \in X_\lambda$ if, and only if, $\alpha(y)^x = \alpha(y)$ if, and only if, $x \in \mathbf{C}_X(y)$. Also, $G_\lambda^* = P \rtimes X_\lambda^*$

and $x \in X_\lambda^*$ if, and only if, there is some $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$ for which $\alpha(y)^x = \alpha(y)^\tau$ if, and only if, $y^x = y^\tau = y^j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ if, and only if, $x \in \mathbf{N}_X(\langle y \rangle)$. \square

We denote by $\text{Irr}_{p', \sigma_1}(G)$ the subset of characters in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ fixed by the Galois automorphism σ_1 , that is, the set of almost p -rational characters of degree coprime to p . We mention that there is no standard notation yet for this set in the literature (see [22, 10]), we use the notation from [18].

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a finite group, $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and assume $P \trianglelefteq G$. Then $\text{Irr}_{p', \sigma_1}(G) = \text{Irr}(G/\Phi(P))$.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p', \sigma_1}(G)$. Then by Clifford's theorem $\chi_P = e(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_t)$ for some $e \geq 1$, where $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$ is the set of G -conjugates of some linear $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(P/P')$. Since $\chi^{\sigma_1} = \chi$ the p -group $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ acts on $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$, but $t = |G : G_\lambda|$ divides $|G : P|$ and thus is prime to p . This shows σ_1 fixes some λ_i and therefore it fixes all of them. Thus $\lambda^{\sigma_1} = \lambda^{p+1} = \lambda$ so λ has order p as an element of $\text{Irr}(P/P')$. We conclude that $\Phi(P) \subseteq \ker \lambda$ so $\text{Irr}_{p', \sigma_1}(G) \subseteq \text{Irr}(G/\Phi(P))$. For the reverse inclusion, notice that $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(P/\Phi(P))$ is σ_1 -fixed, and so is every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\lambda)$ by [20, Lemma 5.1]. \square

We recall the statement of Conjecture B below for the reader's convenience.

Conjecture 5.4. *Let G be a finite group, p be a prime and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Write $K = \mathbf{N}_G(P)/\Phi(P)$ and $Q = P/\Phi(P)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every almost p -rational $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ is p -rational.*
- (ii) *The following hold for all $1 \neq y \in Q$:*
 - (a) $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(y) \cong \text{Aut}(\langle y \rangle)$, and
 - (b) $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/Q$ acts trivially on the set of conjugacy classes of $\mathbf{C}_K(y)/Q$.

Recall that if $p = 2$, the conditions in Conjecture 5.4 are vacuous. If p is odd, then a character χ is almost p -rational if, and only if, χ is σ_1 -fixed.

Theorem 5.5. *Let G be a finite group, p be a prime and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Suppose that $P \trianglelefteq G$. Then Conjecture 5.4 holds for G and p .*

Proof. Conjecture 5.4 trivially holds when $p = 2$, so we may assume that p is odd. We begin the proof with a few observations. Let $Q = P/\Phi(P)$ and write $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q})$. If $m = |G|_{p'}$ then restriction yields an isomorphism $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{mp}/\mathbb{Q}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and by abuse of notation, we identify the elements $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{mp}/\mathbb{Q}_m)$ with their restriction $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$. Consider the action by automorphisms of \mathcal{G} on Q described before Lemma 5.2. Write $K = Q \rtimes X$ for some complement X of Q in $G/\Phi(P)$. By Lemma 5.3 we have $\text{Irr}_{p', \sigma_1}(G) = \text{Irr}(K)$. Write also $A = X \times \mathcal{G}$. Notice that every $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(Q)$ extends to a canonical $\hat{\lambda} \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda)$ by [17, Cor. 6.2 and 6.4], and $\mathbb{Q}(\hat{\lambda}) = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}_p$. If $H = K_\lambda^*$ then it follows that $H_{\hat{\lambda}} = H_\lambda = K_\lambda$ and that $H_{\hat{\lambda}}^* = H$. By combining Clifford's and Gallagher's theorem we have

$$\text{Irr}(K|\lambda) = \{(\rho\hat{\lambda})^K \mid \rho \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda/Q)\}.$$

Furthermore if $\chi \in \text{Irr}(K|\lambda)$ has Clifford correspondent $\psi \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda|\lambda)$ then we denote by $\psi^* = \psi^{K_\lambda^*} \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda^*|\lambda)$ so that $\mathbb{Q}(\psi^*) = \mathbb{Q}(\chi)$, where $\chi = (\psi^*)^G$, by Lemma 5.1.

We begin by proving that (i) implies (ii.a), so we are assuming that every element of $\text{Irr}(K)$ is p -rational. Suppose that for some $1 \neq y \in Q$ we have that $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(y)$ is not isomorphic to \mathcal{G} ; in other words, that

$$|\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(y)| < p - 1.$$

Let $1_Q \neq \lambda \in \text{Irr}(Q)$ correspond to y under an A -equivariant bijection as in Lemma 5.2, so that $K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda = \mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(y)$. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ be over λ . By hypothesis χ is p -rational. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda|\lambda)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ . As explained in the first paragraph, then $\psi^* = \psi^{K_\lambda^*}$ is also p -rational. In particular we have

$$\psi^*(g) = \psi(1) \sum_{k \in [K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda]} \lambda^k(g) \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{for every } g \in Q,$$

where $[K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda]$ is a complete set of representatives of the K_λ -cosets in K_λ^* . Let $\mathcal{K} \leq \mathcal{G}$ be the image of K_λ^*/K_λ under the homomorphism from Lemma 5.1, and notice that $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{G}$. Then $\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda^\tau$ is rational. But for $\sigma \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{K}$, the equality $\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda^\tau = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda^{\tau\sigma}$ contradicts the linear independence of characters of Q . This proves that (i) implies (ii.a).

Now we prove (i) implies (ii.b). Let $1_Q \neq \lambda \in \text{Irr}(Q)$. Notice that the previous proof shows $K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda \cong \mathcal{G}$. Now $\text{Irr}(K|\lambda)$ are all p -rational by hypothesis. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(K|\lambda)$, let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda|\lambda)$ be its Clifford correspondent and let $\psi^* = \psi^{K_\lambda^*}$ be its correspondent in K_λ^* and write $\psi = \rho \hat{\lambda}$ as in the first paragraph of this proof. Now, ψ has values contained in \mathbb{Q}_{pm} where $m = |G|_{p'}$. Since χ is p -rational, if $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{pm}/\mathbb{Q}_m) \cong \mathcal{G}$ we have $\chi^\tau = \chi$ so $(\psi^*)^\tau = \psi^*$. Further, by the first comment of this paragraph, there is $x \in K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda$ with $\lambda^\tau = \lambda^x$. This implies that both ψ^τ and ψ^x are the Clifford correspondents of ψ^* in K_λ over λ^τ , and therefore $\psi^\tau = \psi^x$. Using that $\rho^\tau = \rho$,

$$\rho \hat{\lambda}^\tau = \rho^\tau \hat{\lambda}^\tau = \psi^\tau = \psi^x = \rho^x \hat{\lambda}^x = \rho^x \hat{\lambda}^\tau$$

which implies $\rho = \rho^x$. Since this holds for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda|\lambda)$ and any $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$, we conclude that $\rho^x = \rho$ for any $\rho \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda/Q)$ and any $x \in K_\lambda^*$. By Brauer's Lemma on character tables (see [17, Thm 2.3]) we have that K_λ^*/Q acts trivially on the set of conjugacy classes of K_λ/Q , and this concludes the proof of (ii.b) assuming (i).

We finally prove that (ii) implies (i). By Lemma 5.3 we need to show that every character of K is p -rational. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ and let $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(Q)$ be under χ . If λ is trivial, then χ can be seen as a character of the p' -group K/Q and hence χ is p -rational, as wanted. We can therefore assume that λ is nontrivial. By Lemma 5.2 there is some $1 \neq y \in Q$ such that $K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda = \mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(y)$. By hypothesis and Lemma 5.1(b), we have $K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda \cong \mathcal{G}$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(K_\lambda|\lambda)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ and write $\psi^* = \psi^{K_\lambda^*}$. We have that $\mathbb{Q}(\chi) = \mathbb{Q}(\psi^*)$ by Lemma 5.1(a), so we want to show that ψ^* is p -rational.

By definition, for all $x \in K_\lambda^*$ there is $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\lambda^\tau = \lambda^x$. Since $|K_\lambda^*/K_\lambda| = |\mathcal{G}|$, the converse is also true. So, fixing some $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$ we have $\lambda^\tau = \lambda^x$ for $x \in K_\lambda^*$. Now, using the notation established at the beginning of this proof, we have $\hat{\lambda}^x = \hat{\lambda}^\tau$, where $\hat{\lambda}$ is the canonical extension of λ to K_λ . Thus

$$\psi^\tau = \rho^\tau \hat{\lambda}^\tau = \rho \hat{\lambda}^x = \rho^x \hat{\lambda}^x = \psi^x$$

where we have used in the second to last equality that $\rho^x = \rho$ by (ii.b) and Brauer's permutation lemma. Thus $\psi^\tau = \psi^x$ and therefore

$$(\psi^*)^\tau = (\psi^\tau)^{K_\lambda^*} = (\psi^x)^{K_\lambda^*} = \psi^*$$

and we conclude that ψ^* is τ -invariant for any $\tau \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus ψ^* is p -rational and we are done. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let G be a finite group, p a prime. If G satisfies the McKay–Navarro conjecture for the prime p then Conjecture 5.4 holds for G and p .*

Proof. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. As explained at the beginning of Section 2, if [16, Conj. A] holds for G and p then there is a bijection $*$: $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G) \rightarrow \text{Irr}_{p'}(\mathbf{N}_G(P))$ with $c(\chi)_p = c(\chi^*)_p$ for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$. It follows that the numbers of p -rational and almost p -rational characters in both G and $\mathbf{N}_G(P)$ coincide. Therefore we may work in $\mathbf{N}_G(P)$ and then we can apply Theorem 5.5. \square

As already mentioned in the Introduction, thanks to Corollary 5.6 and [2, 16, 23, 25], Conjecture 5.4 (Conjecture B from the Introduction) holds for G and p whenever G is p -solvable group, a group of Lie type in characteristic p , a sporadic, a symmetric or and alternating group.

There is a natural version of Conjecture 5.4 for principal blocks.

Conjecture 5.7. *Let G be a finite group, p be a prime and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Write $K = \mathbf{N}_G(P)/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(\mathbf{N}_G(P))\Phi(P)$ and $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(K)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every almost p -rational $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{p'}(B_0(G))$ is p -rational.*
- (ii) *The following hold for all $1 \neq y \in Q$:*
 - (a) $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/\mathbf{C}_K(y) \cong \text{Aut}(\langle y \rangle)$, and
 - (b) $\mathbf{N}_K(\langle y \rangle)/Q$ acts trivially on the set of conjugacy classes of $\mathbf{C}_K(y)/Q$.

As explained at the beginning of Section 2, the Alperin–McKay–Navarro Conjecture [16, Conj. B] implies Conjecture 2.1.

Corollary 5.8. *Let G be a finite group and p a prime. If G satisfies Conjecture 2.1 for p then Conjecture 5.7 holds for G and p .*

Proof. By Conjecture 2.1 we may assume $P \trianglelefteq G$. By [15, Thm 10.20], in this case $\text{Irr}(B_0(G)) = \text{Irr}(G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G))$. We apply Theorem 5.5 to $G/\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G)$ to conclude. \square

By Corollary 5.8 and [16, 25, 7, 2], Conjecture 5.7 holds for G and p whenever G is p -solvable, sporadic, a symmetric or an alternating group.

We close by mentioning that the dihedral group $G = D_{24}$ possesses irreducible characters of degree coprime to 3 and of 3-rationality level 1, but none of them lie in the principal 3-block. Notice that in fact $G/\mathbf{O}_{3'}(G) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$ so the conclusion of Conjecture 5.7(ii.b) is satisfied, but not the one of Conjecture 5.4(ii.b).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. ALPERIN, Isomorphic blocks. *J. Algebra* **43** (1976), 694–698.
- [2] O. BRUNAT AND R. NATH, The Navarro conjecture for the alternating groups. *Algebra Number Theory* **15** (2021), 821–862.

- [3] M. CABANES AND M. ENGUEHARD, *Representation Theory of Finite Reductive Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] E. C. DADE, Remarks on isomorphic blocks. *J. Algebra* **45** (1977), 254–258.
- [5] M. ENGUEHARD, Sur les l -blocs unipotents des groupes réductifs finis quand l est mauvais. *J. Algebra* **230** (2000), 334–377.
- [6] M. GECK AND G. MALLE, *The Character Theory of Finite Groups of Lie Type: A Guided Tour*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 187, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020.
- [7] E. GIANNELLI, McKay bijections for symmetric and alternating groups. *Algebra Number Theory* **15** (2021), 1809–1835.
- [8] D. GORENSTEIN, R. LYONS, AND R. SOLOMON. *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups*. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [9] N. N. HUNG, The continuity of p -rationality and a lower bound for p' -degree characters of finite groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **377** (2024), 323–344.
- [10] N. N. HUNG, G. MALLE, AND A. MARÓTI, On almost p -rational characters of p' -degree. *Forum Math.* **34** (2022), 1475–1496.
- [11] B. HUPPERT, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 25, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998.
- [12] I. M. ISAACS, G. NAVARRO, AND J. SANGRONIZ, p -Groups having few almost-rational irreducible characters. *Israel J. Math.* **189** (2012), 65–96.
- [13] G. MALLE, The Navarro–Tiep Galois conjecture for $p = 2$. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **112** (2019), 449–457.
- [14] A. MARÓTI, J. M. MARTÍNEZ, A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY, AND C. VALLEJO, On almost p -rational characters in principal blocks. *Publ. Mat.*, to appear, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.09224>.
- [15] G. NAVARRO, *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 250, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [16] G. NAVARRO, The McKay conjecture and Galois automorphisms. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **160** (2004), 1129–1140.
- [17] G. NAVARRO, *Character Theory and the McKay Conjecture*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 175, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [18] G. NAVARRO, N. RIZO, A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY, AND C. VALLEJO, Characters and generation of Sylow 2-subgroups. *Represent. Theory* **25** (2021), 142–165.
- [19] G. NAVARRO AND J. TENT, Rationality and Sylow 2-subgroups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **53** (2010), 787–798.
- [20] G. NAVARRO AND P. H. TIEP, Sylow subgroups, exponents, and character values. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), 4263–4291.
- [21] G. NAVARRO AND P. H. TIEP, The fields of values of characters of degree not divisible by p . *Forum Math. Pi* **9** (2021), Paper No. e2, 28.
- [22] N. RIZO, A. A. SCHAEFFER FRY, AND C. VALLEJO, Galois action on the principal block and cyclic Sylow subgroups. *Algebra Number Theory* **14** (2020), 1953–1979.
- [23] L. RUHSTORFER, The Navarro refinement of the McKay conjecture for finite groups of Lie type in defining characteristic. *J. Algebra* **582** (2021), 177–205.
- [24] J. TAYLOR, Action of automorphisms on irreducible characters of symplectic groups. *J. Algebra* **505** (2018), 211–246.
- [25] A. TURULL, The strengthened Alperin–McKay conjecture for p -solvable groups. *J. Algebra* **394** (2013), 79–91.
- [26] C. VALLEJO RODRÍGUEZ, A lower bound on the number of generators of a defect group. *Vietnam J. Math.* **51** (2023), 571–576.

(G. Malle) FB MATHEMATIK, RPTU KAISERSLAUTERN, 67653 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY.

Email address: malle@mathematik.uni-kl.de

(J. M. Martínez) DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, 46100 BURJASOT, VALÈNCIA.

Email address: josep.m.martinez@uv.es

(C. Vallejo) DIPARTAMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA 'ULISSE DINI', 50134 FIRENZE, ITALY

Email address: carolina.vallelorodriguez@unifi.it