## **Twist-tuned quantum criticality in moire bilayer graphene ´**

Jan Biedermann and Lukas Janssen

*Institut fur Theoretische Physik and W ¨ urzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany ¨*

(Dated: December 20, 2024)

We argue that moiré bilayer graphene at charge neutrality hosts a continuous semimetal-to-insulator quantum phase transition that can be accessed experimentally by tuning the twist angle between the two layers. For small twist angles near the first magic angle, the system realizes a Kramers intervalley-coherent insulator, characterized by circulating currents and spontaneously broken time reversal and U(1) valley symmetries. For larger twist angles above a critical value, the spectrum remains gapless down to the lowest temperatures, with a fully symmetric Dirac semimetal ground state. Using self-consistent Hartree-Fock theory applied to a realistic model of twisted bilayer graphene, based on the Bistritzer-MacDonald Hamiltonian augmented by screened Coulomb interactions, we find that the twist-tuned quantum phase transition is continuous. We argue that the quantum critical behavior belongs to the relativistic Gross-Neveu-XY universality class, and we characterize it through an effective field theory analysis. Our theoretical predictions can be directly tested using current experimental setups incorporating the recently developed quantum twisting microscope.

Quantum critical points denote continuous phase transitions occurring at absolute zero temperature. They leave their imprints on finite-temperature physics through a broad quantum critical regime dominated by novel collective phenomena. The study of quantum critical points has been a central focus in the understanding of strongly-correlated quantum matter for decades, spanning various contexts such as unconventional superconductivity, heavy-fermion systems, and quantum magnetism  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ .

Nanoscale quantum systems composed of atomically-thin quantum materials provide an ideal platform to study quantum critical points. Relatively simple crystal structures enable the growth of high-quality samples and facilitate well-controlled theoretical modeling. Most importantly, nanoscale quantum systems are highly sensitive to geometric modifications, enabling the design of systems with tailored properties. In recent years, twisted bilayer graphene has emerged as a prototype for a new class of nanoscale systems known as moiré materials  $[4]$  $[4]$ . When the two graphene layers are twisted at an angle of around 1.1 ◦ , low-temperature transport experiments reveal a range of unconventional superconducting, strange metal, and correlated insulating phases as a function of electron band filling [\[5](#page-4-3)[–8\]](#page-4-4). Since the first demonstration of twisted bilayer graphene, several other moiré materials have been realized, including heterobilayers of transition metal dichalcogenides [\[9](#page-4-5)[–11\]](#page-4-6) and twisted multilayer systems  $[12-15]$  $[12-15]$ . Most quantum phase transitions in these systems [\[16\]](#page-4-9) involve electronic excitations across a range of momenta within the moiré Brillouin zone, a scenario that is still poorly understood theoretically [\[17–](#page-4-10)[21\]](#page-4-11).

In this work, we argue that moiré bilayer graphene at charge neutrality hosts a continuous semimetal-to-insulator quantum phase transition that allows a theoretical understanding and can be accessed experimentally by tuning the twist angle between the two layers, see Fig. [1.](#page-0-0) For small twist angles, we find that the system realizes a Kramers intervalley-coherent insulator (KIVC), in agreement with previous Hartree-Fock [\[22,](#page-4-12) [23\]](#page-5-0), quantum Monte Carlo [\[24\]](#page-5-1), and dynamical mean-field [\[25\]](#page-5-2) results. For larger twist angles above a critical value, the spectrum remains gapless down to the lowest temperatures, realizing a fully symmetric Dirac semimetal ground state. A key simplification for theoretical understanding of this twist-tuned transition is that the electronic excitations at low energy are restricted to momenta near isolated points in the moiré Brillouin zone, enabling controlled field theory approaches based on the  $1/N$  or  $\epsilon$  expansion [\[26–](#page-5-3)[28\]](#page-5-4). Using self-consistent Hartree-Fock theory applied to a realistic model of twisted bilayer graphene, combined with a complementary field-theory analysis, we demonstrate that the twist-tuned quantum phase transition is continuous and belongs to the relativistic Gross-Neveu-XY universality class. In the past, quantum critical points involving Dirac fermions have been extensively studied in the theoretical literature across various contexts, includ-



<span id="page-0-0"></span>FIG. 1. Quantum phase diagram of double-gated moiré bilayer graphene as function of twist angle  $\theta$  and relative substrate permittivity  $\epsilon$ . For small twist angles, a Kramers intervalley-coherent insulator (KIVC) is stabilized. This state breaks both time reversal and U(1) valley symmetry and features a fully gapped electronic spectrum, with the gap  $\Delta$  indicated by the color scale. Increasing the twist angle  $\theta$ beyond a critical value, a transition towards a fully symmetric Dirac semimetal (DSM) is found. The KIVC-to-DSM transition is continuous and falls into the relativistic Gross-Neveu-XY universality class.

ing toy models for aspects of high-energy physics [\[29](#page-5-5)[–33\]](#page-5-6), unconventional superconductors [\[34](#page-5-7)[–36\]](#page-5-8), monolayer [\[37–](#page-5-9)[41\]](#page-5-10) and Bernal-stacked bilayer [\[42](#page-5-11)[–44\]](#page-5-12) graphene, boundary effects in topological insulators [\[45,](#page-5-13) [46\]](#page-5-14), as well as frustrated quantum magnets  $[47-51]$  $[47-51]$ . We propose that twist tuning of moiré materials could enable the experimental realization of this intriguing physics for the first time.

*Model.* We employ a continuum description of moiré bilayer graphene at charge neutrality ( $v = 0$ ) based on the Bistritzer-MacDonald model [\[52\]](#page-5-17), augmented by screened Coulomb interactions. We project onto a subset of twelve moiré bands per spin species and assume empty (fully occupied) remote conduction (valence) bands [\[22\]](#page-4-12). In the band basis, the projected Hamiltonian reads

$$
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{mBZ}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} h(\mathbf{k}) c_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{2A} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{q}} : \rho_{\mathbf{q}} \rho_{-\mathbf{q}}:
$$
 (1)

where  $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$  $\vec{k} = (c_{\mathbf{k},n,\tau,s}^{\dagger})$  creates an electronic quasiparticle characterized by band  $n = 1, ..., 6$ , valley  $\tau = \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}'$ , and spin  $s =$ ↑, ↓ indices, and ℎ(**k**) corresponds to the single-particle Hamiltonian, which contains the twist-angle-dependent Bistritzer-MacDonald dispersion for nearest-neighbor intralayer hopping  $t_0$  = 2.8 eV and intra- and intersublattice interlayer hoppings  $w_0 = 80$  meV and  $w_1 = 110$  meV, respectively, and a subtraction term employed to avoid double counting of interaction effects [\[22,](#page-4-12) [53\]](#page-5-18). Details are given in the Appendix. The electron density is expressed as  $\rho_{\bf q} = \sum_{\bf k \in m BZ} c_{\bf k}^{\dagger}$  $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \Lambda(k,q) c_{k+q}$ , where  $\Lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) = \langle u_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}|u_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\beta} \rangle$  are the overlap matrix elements of the single-particle eigenstates  $u_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}$ , with collective index  $\alpha = (n, \tau, s)$ . In the interaction term in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), the colons denote normal ordering, and  $A = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8\sin^2{\theta/2}} a_0^2 L^2$  represents the total area of the sample, consisting of  $L \times L$  moiré unit cells, with  $a_0 = 0.142$  nm the intralayer nearest-neighbor distance. We use the convention that momenta **k** are restricted to the first moiré Brillouin zone, while  $q = G + k$  represents unrestricted momenta in the full reciprocal space, with  $G = m_1 G_1 + m_2 G_2$ denoting reciprocal lattice vectors spanned by the basis vectors  $G_1$ <sub>2</sub>.

We adopt a screened Coulomb potential suitable for a setup with top and bottom gates, given by

$$
V_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon\epsilon_0|\mathbf{q}|} \tanh(|\mathbf{q}|d),\tag{2}
$$

where *e* is the electron charge,  $\epsilon$  the relative permittivity of the substrate, and  $d$  the distance between the sample and the gates, assumed to be  $d = 20$  nm. To simplify the numerics, the potential  $V_q$  is truncated for large momenta  $q = G + k$  with  $|G| > 2|G_1|$ .

The effective model defined in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) features an emergent  $U(2)_K \times U(2)_K' \simeq U(1)_{charge} \times U(1)_{valley} \times SU(2)_K \times SU(2)_K'$ symmetry. Here,  $U(1)_{charge}$  and  $U(1)_{valley}$  represent charge and valley charge conservation, generated by  $\mathbb{1}$  and  $\tau_z$ , respectively, with the Pauli matrix  $\tau_z$  acting in valley space, and  $SU(2)_K$ and  $SU(2)<sub>K'</sub>$  correspond to independent spin rotations in the



<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>FIG. 2. (a) Electronic spectrum along a high-symmetry path in the moiré Brillouin zone for  $\epsilon = 10$  and twist angle  $\theta = 1.05^\circ$  in the KIVC phase near the first magic angle, from Hartree-Fock theory for  $L = 18$ (solid blue lines). At charge neutrality, the Fermi level is located at  $\varepsilon = 0$ . The spectrum is fully gapped, with the minimal gap occurring close to the center  $\gamma$  of the moiré Brillouin zone. For comparison, the corresponding noninteracting bands are also shown (dashed gray lines). (b) Same as (a), but for twist angle  $\theta = 1.4^{\circ}$  still within the KIVC phase, but closer to the transition. The minimal gap now occurs at the corners  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  of the moiré Brillouin zone. (c) Same as (a), but for twist angle  $\theta = 2.0^{\circ}$  in the Dirac semimetal phase. The spectrum is gapless, with four bands per spin species crossing at the  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  points. The inset indicates the high-symmetry path in the moiré Brillouin zone along which the dispersions are plotted.

two valleys. At the lattice scale, these symmetries are present only in the limit of small twist angles. For finite twist angles,  $SU(2)_K \times SU(2)_K$ <sup>'</sup> reduces to global  $SU(2)$  spin rotations and  $U(1)_{\text{vallev}}$  breaks down to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  [\[22,](#page-4-12) [54\]](#page-5-19).

*Electronic spectrum.* We use self-consistent Hartree-Fock theory [\[22,](#page-4-12) [23\]](#page-5-0) to compute the ground state of the Hamilto-nian in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) at charge neutrality for various twist angles  $\theta$ . Technical details of the method are provided in the Appendix. Figure [2](#page-1-1) shows the electronic spectrum (solid blue lines) along a high-symmetry path in the moire Brillouin zone for a fixed ´ value of the substrate permittivity  $\epsilon = 10$  and different twist angles  $\theta$ . For comparison, the corresponding bands in the noninteracting limit are also shown (dashed gray lines). At a small twist angle of  $\theta = 1.05^{\circ}$ , near the first magic angle [Fig. [2\(](#page-1-1)a)], the noninteracting spectrum features four flat bands per spin species. Including interactions, the flat bands split into two valence bands at negative energy and two conduction bands at positive energy, separated by a full spectral gap. For this twist angle, the minimal gap occurs close to the center  $\gamma$  of the moiré Brillouin zone. At a slightly larger twist angle of  $\theta = 1.4^{\circ}$  [Fig. [2\(](#page-1-1)b)], the width of the noninteracting bands at low energy increases, but the interacting electronic spectrum remains fully gapped. The minimal gap, however, now occurs at the corners  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  of the moiré Brillouin zone, which correspond to the crossing points of the low-energy bands in the noninteracting limit. At an even larger twist angle of  $\theta = 2.0^{\circ}$ [Fig.  $2(c)$  $2(c)$ ], the interaction-induced band renormalization is largely suppressed, causing the interacting and noninteracting spectra to nearly coincide. In particular, the interacting



<span id="page-2-0"></span>FIG. 3. (a) Order parameter for intervalley coherence  $\varphi_{IVC}$  as a function of twist angle  $\theta$  for fixed  $\epsilon = 10$  and different system sizes L. For  $\theta$  approaching  $\theta_c$  from below, the order parameter vanishes continuously. The extrapolation towards the thermodynamic limit (dashed red line) suggests a quantum critical point at  $\theta_c \approx 1.7^\circ$ , in agreement with the value obtained from the crossing point analysis presented in Fig. [4](#page-3-0) (gray dashed line). The two different ground states are characterized by different single-electron density matrices  $P(\mathbf{k}) = [\mathbb{1} + Q(\mathbf{k})]/2$ , with  $Q_{\gamma} \equiv Q(\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0})$  shown in the valley-sublattice basis ( $\tau = \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}', \sigma = A, B$ ) for  $\theta = 1.05^{\circ}$  (KIVC insulator) and  $\theta = 2^{\circ}$  (Dirac semimetal) in the insets. Here, the radii (colors) of the dots indicate the magnitudes (phases) of the corresponding matrix entries. (b) Schematic representation of the KIVC state across multiple real-space moire unit cells. Arrows indicate the alternating circulating currents for a single spin species. The ´ colored plaquettes show the associated magnetic flux pattern, which triples the monolayer unit cell, visible in the close-up view shown in the inset. The magnitude of the magnetization density  $m$  is maximal (minimal) at AA (AB) regions in the moire unit cell.

spectrum is now gapless (up to finite-size corrections), and the system realizes a Dirac semimetal ground state, with four bands per spin species crossing at the corners  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  of the moiré Brillouin zone.

The size of the spectral gap  $\Delta$  and the critical value of  $\theta$ , at which the gap closes, depend on the relative substrate permittivity  $\epsilon$ . However, we have confirmed that the same qualitative behavior persists across a range of  $\epsilon$  values from 7 to 12. The spectral gap  $\Delta$  as a function of  $\theta$  and  $\epsilon$  is depicted using a color scale in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0)

*Ground state.* To characterize the ground state as a function of twist angle, we analyze the density matrix  $P_{n,\tau,s;n',\tau',s'}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle c_{\mathbf{k},n',\tau',s'}^{\dagger}, c_{\mathbf{k},n,\tau,s} \rangle$ . This enables the construction of the order parameter for intervalley coherence as  $\varphi_{\text{IVC}} = L^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\text{Tr}[P_{\text{IVC}}(\mathbf{k})^2]}$ , where  $P_{\text{IVC}}$  is the  $U(1)_{valley}$  breaking part of the density matrix, defined as  $P_{\text{IVC }n,\tau,s;n',\tau',s'}(\mathbf{k}) = (1 - \delta_{\tau\tau'}) P_{n,\tau,s;n',\tau',s'}(\mathbf{k})$  [\[22\]](#page-4-12). Fig-ure [3\(](#page-2-0)a) shows  $\varphi$ <sub>IVC</sub> as a function of twist angle  $\theta$  for fixed  $\epsilon = 10$  and different system sizes L. For each value of  $\theta$ , we perform a linear extrapolation of  $\varphi$ <sub>IVC</sub> as a function of  $1/L$ towards the thermodynamic limit  $1/L \rightarrow 0$ , resulting in the red crosses shown in Fig. [3\(](#page-2-0)a). The behavior of  $\varphi$ <sub>IVC</sub> as a function of  $\theta$  in the thermodynamic limit demonstrates that the U(1)<sub>valley</sub> symmetry is spontaneously broken for  $\theta < \theta_c$ and remains intact for  $\theta > \theta_c$ , with  $\theta_c \approx 1.7^\circ$  for  $\epsilon = 10$ .

For twelve spin-degenerate bands in the Hartree-Fock calculation, the density matrix  $P(\mathbf{k})$  is a  $12 \times 12$  matrix for each spin species. To determine the nature of the symmetry-broken state, we examine the off-diagonal part  $Q(\mathbf{k})$  of the density matrix, defined implicitly as  $P(\mathbf{k}) = [\mathbb{1} + Q(\mathbf{k})]/2$ , within the

subspace of the four low-energy bands. For interpretation, it is convenient to rotate the basis such that the sublattice operator  $\sigma_{nn'} = \langle u_n(\mathbf{k}) | \sigma_z | u_{n'}(\mathbf{k}) \rangle$ , where  $\sigma_z$  is the Pauli matrix acting in sublattice space, becomes diagonal.

For a fully symmetric Dirac semimetal ground state, the  $4 \times 4$  matrix  $(Q_{\tau,\sigma;\tau',\sigma'})$  is of the form [\[53\]](#page-5-18)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
Q_{\text{DSM}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sigma_x e^{i\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_z \tau_z} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}} \qquad (3)
$$

where the Pauli matrices  $\vec{\sigma}$  and  $\vec{\tau}$  act in sublattice space and valley space, respectively. The phase  $\alpha_k$  winds around the gapless points by  $\pm 2\pi$ . In the graphical representation of  $Q_{DSM}$ , we have set  $\alpha_{k=0} = \pi$  for ease of comparison with the numerical result discussed below, with the color code provided in the inset of Fig.  $3(a)$  $3(a)$ .

In the strong-coupling limit, the KIVC state is characterized by the  $4 \times 4$  matrix

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
Q_{\text{KIVC}} = \sigma_{\text{y}} (\tau_{\text{x}} \cos \vartheta + \tau_{\text{y}} \sin \vartheta) \stackrel{\vartheta = 0}{\longrightarrow} , \quad (4)
$$

where we have set  $\vartheta = 0$  in the graphical representation. Away from the strong-coupling limit, the density matrix will receive finite semimetallic contributions parallel to  $Q_{DSM}$ , arising from the band dispersion. The KIVC order breaks time reversal  $\mathcal{T} = \tau_x \mathcal{K}$  and U(1)<sub>valley</sub> symmetry, but preserves a Kramers time reversal defined as  $T' = \tau_y \mathcal{K}$ , with  $\mathcal{K}$  corresponding to complex conjugation. In real space, the KIVC



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 4. (a) Crossing-point analysis of the order-parameter renormalization group invariant  $R_{\varphi} = L \cdot \varphi_{\text{IVC}}$  as function of twist angle  $\theta$  for fixed  $\epsilon = 10$  and different system sizes L. The crossing at  $\theta_c = 1.75(3)^\circ$  (dashed gray line) indicates the location the quantum critical point between the KIVC insulator for  $\theta < \theta_c$  and the Dirac semimetal for  $\theta > \theta_c$ . (b) Same as (a), but for the gap renormalization group invariant  $R_{\Delta} = \Delta/(L t_0)$ , which reveals a consistent crossing point location.

order features alternating circulating currents around the elemental plaquettes of the graphene unit cell, with the currents reaching their maximum (minimum) at the AA (AB) regions within the moiré unit cell  $[22]$  $[22]$ . The resulting magnetization pattern is schematically illustrated in Fig. [3\(](#page-2-0)b).

The insets of Fig. [3\(](#page-2-0)a) show the off-diagonal parts  $Q_{\gamma}$  =  $Q(\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0})$  of the density matrices obtained from self-consistent Hartree-Fock calculations restricted to the four low-energy bands per spin species, for a fixed  $\epsilon = 10$  and two different values of the twist angle  $\theta$ . At  $\theta = 1.05^{\circ}$ ,  $Q_{\gamma}$  is consistent with the KIVC form described in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-1), demonstrating that the gap observed in the electronic spectrum for  $\theta < \theta_c$  originates from a KIVC ground state. This result agrees with previous Hartree-Fock [\[22,](#page-4-12) [23\]](#page-5-0), quantum Monte Carlo [\[24\]](#page-5-1), and dy-namical mean-field [\[25\]](#page-5-2) calculations. At  $\theta = 2^{\circ}$ , however, the  $U(1)_{\text{valley}}$ -symmetry-breaking matrix entries of  $Q_{\gamma}$  are negligibly small and further diminish with increasing system size  $L$ , consistent with the Dirac semimetal form described in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-2). This confirms that the ground state for  $\theta > \theta_c$  is a fully symmetric Dirac semimetal, in agreement with our conclusion based on the behavior of the order parameter  $\varphi_{\text{IVC}}$ .

*Twist-tuned transition.* As shown in Fig. [3\(](#page-2-0)a), the order parameter  $\varphi_{\text{IVC}}$  vanishes continuously as  $\theta$  approaches  $\theta_c$  from below. This suggests a continuous twist-tuned quantum phase transition between a symmetry-broken KIVC insulator and a symmetric Dirac semimetal. This scenario can be corroborated through a finite-size scaling analysis [\[55\]](#page-5-20). To this end, we construct dimensionless renormalization group invariants  $R_{\varphi} = L \cdot \varphi_{\text{IVC}}$  and  $R_{\Delta} = \Delta/(L t_0)$  from the order parameter

 $\varphi$ <sub>IVC</sub> and the electronic spectral gap  $\Delta$ . For a continuous twisttuned transition, the curves for  $R_{\varphi}$  and  $R_{\Delta}$  as functions of  $\theta$ for different fixed system sizes  $L$  will cross at the critical twist angle  $\theta_c$ , provided that corrections to scaling can be neglected. Figure [4](#page-3-0) shows the crossing-point analysis for fixed  $\epsilon = 10$ . The curves clearly exhibit a single crossing at  $\theta_c = 1.75(3)^\circ$ , further supporting the continuous nature of the transition.

*Quantum critical behavior.* The existence of a single divergent length scale near the quantum critical point enables us to describe the low-energy behavior by expanding around momenta near the  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  points in the moiré Brillouin zone, where the noninteracting bands cross [\[56,](#page-5-21) [57\]](#page-5-22). This way, we obtain a low-energy field theory for the 16-component Dirac spinor  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}} = (c_{n,\tau,s}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}), c_{n,\tau,s}(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}))$  and the twocomponent real order-parameter field  $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2)$ , with Euclidean action

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
S = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} d\tau \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi + \varphi_1 i \bar{\psi} \gamma_3 \psi + \varphi_2 i \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi \right). \tag{5}
$$

Here,  $\gamma_{\mu}$  with  $\mu = 0, 1, 2$  denote 16×16 Dirac matrices satisfying the Clifford algebra  $\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$ , and we have assumed summation convention over repeated space-time indices. The matrices  $\gamma_3$  and  $\gamma_5$  square to one and anticommute with  $\gamma_u$  and with each other. They are chosen such that the Hermitian product  $\gamma_{35} = i\gamma_3\gamma_5$  generates U(1)<sub>valley</sub> transformations in spinor space. As a consequence, the order parameter  $\vec{\varphi}$  transforms as a vector under  $U(1)_{valley}$  transformations, and a nonvanishing expectation value  $\varphi_{\text{IVC}} \propto |\langle \vec{\varphi} \rangle|$  signals intervalley coherence and spontaneous  $U(1)_{\text{valley}}$  symmetry breaking.

The quantum field theory given in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-1) features a critical point that falls into the Gross-Neveu-XY universality class [\[58–](#page-5-23)[60\]](#page-5-24). It can be accessed within the  $1/N$  expansion, where  $N$  corresponds to the number of four-component Dirac spinors  $[26, 61]$  $[26, 61]$  $[26, 61]$ . For the exponent  $\nu$ , governing the divergence of the correlation length  $\xi \propto |\theta - \theta_c|^{-\nu}$ , we find [\[62\]](#page-5-26)

$$
1/\nu = 1 - \frac{16}{3\pi^2 N} + \frac{216\pi^2 + 2912}{27\pi^4 N^2} + O(1/N^3) \simeq 0.985, \tag{6}
$$

where we have set  $N = 4$ , relevant for the present case. Similarly, for the order-parameter anomalous dimension  $\eta_{\varphi}$ , governing the form of the dynamical structure factor  $S$  (**k**,  $\omega$ )  $\propto$  $1/(\omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2)^{1-\eta_{\varphi}/2}$  at the critical point  $\theta = \theta_c$ , we obtain

$$
\eta_{\varphi} = 1 - \frac{8}{3\pi^2 N} + \frac{544}{27\pi^4 N^2} + O(1/N^3) \simeq 0.945. \tag{7}
$$

The remaining exponents can be obtained from hyperscaling relations [\[63\]](#page-5-27). In particular, we find that the order parameter for  $\theta < \theta_c$  scales as  $\varphi_{\text{IVC}} \propto (\theta_c - \theta)^{\beta}$  with  $\beta \approx 0.988$ , close to the Hartree-Fock mean-field value  $\beta = 1$ , observed in Fig. [3\(](#page-2-0)a).

At finite twist angles, the continuous  $U(1)_{\text{valley}}$  symmetry is only approximately realized at the lattice scale. At the quantum critical point in the Gross-Neveu-XY universality class, however, the symmetry breaking terms have been argued to be irrelevant in the renormalization group sense, such that the  $U(1)_{\text{valley}}$  symmetry emerges at low energy [\[64,](#page-5-28) [65\]](#page-5-29). Similarly,

For  $N = 4$ , the  $O(1/N)$  corrections to the exponents are small, indicating that order-parameter fluctuations are subdominant. This implies that the Hartree-Fock analysis, which is controlled in the large- $N$  limit, yields reasonably accurate results even for the physical case of  $N = 4$ .

*Conclusions.* We have argued that moiré bilayer graphene at charge neutrality hosts a continuous semimetal-to-insulator quantum phase transition that falls into the relativistic Gross-Neveu-XY universality class. The transition can be experimentally probed by tuning the twist angle between the two layers. In particular, we propose that a setup employing the recently developed quantum twisting microscope [\[68\]](#page-6-0) could provide a means to verify our theoretical predictions, potentially achieving the first experimental realization of a relativistic fermionic quantum critical point. Similar twist-tuned quantum phase transitions might also arise in other moire ma- ´ terials, a possibility that warrants further investigation in future studies.

*Acknowledgments.* We thank Johannes Hofmann, Zi Yang Meng, Michael Scherer, and Tim Wehling for valuable discussions. This work has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through SFB 1143 (A07, Project No. 247310070), the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence *ct.qmat* (EXC 2147, Project No. 390858490), and the Emmy Noether program (JA2306/4-1, Project No. 411750675).

*Data availability.* The data that support the findings of this article are openly available [\[69\]](#page-6-1).

*Note added.* During the preparation of this manuscript, two related preprints appeared on arXiv. Ref. [\[70\]](#page-6-2) reports the experimental observation of a twist-tuned semimetal-toinsulator transition in moiré WSe<sub>2</sub> tetralayers at half filling. We anticipate that a suitably adapted version of our theory also accounts for the experimental results presented in that study. Ref. [\[71\]](#page-6-3) reports a quantum Monte Carlo study of the twist-tuned transition in moire bilayer graphene. The results ´ are consistent with ours, accounting for differences in the form of the screened Coulomb potential (single- vs. double-gated setup), the values of the hopping parameters, and the number of bands taken into account (four vs. twelve bands per spin species).

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] P. Gegenwart, Q. Si, and F. Steglich, Quantum criticality in heavy-fermion metals, Nat. Phys. **4**[, 186 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys892)
- [2] S. Sachdev and B. Keimer, Quantum criticality, [Phys. Today](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3554314) **64**, [29 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3554314)
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[3] M. Vojta, Frustration and quantum criticality, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aab6be) **81**[, 064501 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aab6be)
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[4] E. Y. Andrei, D. K. Efetov, P. Jarillo-Herrero, A. H. MacDonald, K. F. Mak, T. Senthil, E. Tutuc, A. Yazdani, and A. F. Young, The marvels of moiré materials, [Nat. Rev. Mat.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00284-1) **6**, 201 (2021).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[6] X. Lu, P. Stepanov, W. Yang, M. Xie, M. A. Aamir, I. Das, C. Urgell, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, G. Zhang, A. Bachtold, A. H. MacDonald, and D. K. Efetov, Superconductors, orbital magnets and correlated states in magic-angle bilayer graphene, Nature **574**[, 653 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1695-0)
- [7] Y. Cao, D. Chowdhury, D. Rodan-Legrain, O. Rubies-Bigorda, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, T. Senthil, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Strange Metal in Magic-Angle Graphene with near Planckian Dissipation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**[, 076801 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.076801)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[8] E. Y. Andrei and A. H. MacDonald, Graphene bilayers with a twist, Nat. Mat. **19**[, 1265 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-00840-0)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[9] Y. Tang, L. Li, T. Li, Y. Xu, S. Liu, K. Barmak, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. H. MacDonald, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, Simulation of Hubbard model physics in  $WSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>$  moiré superlattices, Nature **579**[, 353 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2085-3)
- [10] E. C. Regan, D. Wang, C. Jin, M. I. Bakti Utama, B. Gao, X. Wei, S. Zhao, W. Zhao, Z. Zhang, K. Yumigeta, M. Blei, J. D. Carlström, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Tongay, M. Crommie, A. Zettl, and F. Wang, Mott and generalized Wigner crystal states in  $WSe<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>$  moiré superlattices, Nature **579**[, 359 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2092-4)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[11] L. Wang, E.-M. Shih, A. Ghiotto, L. Xian, D. A. Rhodes, C. Tan, M. Claassen, D. M. Kennes, Y. Bai, B. Kim, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, X. Zhu, J. Hone, A. Rubio, A. N. Pasupathy, and C. R. Dean, Correlated electronic phases in twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, Nat. Mat. **19**[, 861 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-0708-6)
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[12] G. W. Burg, J. Zhu, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, A. H. Mac-Donald, and E. Tutuc, Correlated Insulating States in Twisted Double Bilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**[, 197702 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.197702)
- [13] Y. Cao, D. Rodan-Legrain, O. Rubies-Bigorda, J. M. Park, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Tunable correlated states and spin-polarized phases in twisted bilayer– bilayer graphene, Nature **583**[, 215 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2260-6)
- [14] C. Shen, Y. Chu, Q. Wu, N. Li, S. Wang, Y. Zhao, J. Tang, J. Liu, J. Tian, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. Yang, Z. Y. Meng, D. Shi, O. V. Yazyev, and G. Zhang, Correlated states in twisted double bilayer graphene, Nat. Phys. **16**[, 520 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0825-9)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[15] J. M. Park, Y. Cao, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Tunable strongly coupled superconductivity in magicangle twisted trilayer graphene, Nature **590**[, 249 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03192-0)
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[16] A. Jaoui, I. Das, G. Di Battista, J. Díez-Mérida, X. Lu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, H. Ishizuka, L. Levitov, and D. K. Efetov, Quantum critical behaviour in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nat. Phys. **18**[, 633 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-022-01556-5)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[17] A. Abanov, A. V. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian, Quantum-critical theory of the spin-fermion model and its application to cuprates: Normal state analysis, Adv. Phys. **52**[, 119 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/0001873021000057123)
- [18] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Quantum phase transitions of metals in two spatial dimensions. I. Ising-nematic order, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075127) Rev. B **82**[, 075127 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075127)
- [19] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Quantum phase transitions of metals in two spatial dimensions. II. Spin density wave order, Phys. Rev. B **82**[, 075128 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.075128)
- [20] Z. H. Liu, G. Pan, X. Y. Xu, K. Sun, and Z. Y. Meng, Itinerant quantum critical point with fermion pockets and hotspots, [Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901751116) Nat. Acad. Sci. **116**[, 16760 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901751116)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[21] S.-S. Lee, Recent Developments in Non-Fermi Liquid Theory, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031016-025531) **9**, 227 (2018).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[22] N. Bultinck, E. Khalaf, S. Liu, S. Chatterjee, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. Zaletel, Ground State and Hidden Symmetry of Magic-

Angle Graphene at Even Integer Filling, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.031034) **10**, 031034 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.031034)

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[23] Y. H. Kwan, G. Wagner, T. Soejima, M. P. Zaletel, S. H. Simon, S. A. Parameswaran, and N. Bultinck, Kekulé Spiral Order at All Nonzero Integer Fillings in Twisted Bilayer Graphene, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.041063) Rev. X **11**[, 041063 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.041063)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[24] J. S. Hofmann, E. Khalaf, A. Vishwanath, E. Berg, and J. Y. Lee, Fermionic Monte Carlo Study of a Realistic Model of Twisted Bilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. X **12**[, 011061 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.12.011061)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[25] G. Rai, L. Crippa, D. Călugăru, H. Hu, F. Paoletti, L. de' Medici, A. Georges, B. A. Bernevig, R. Valentí, G. Sangiovanni, and T. Wehling, Dynamical Correlations and Order in Magic-Angle Twisted Bilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. X **14**[, 031045 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.14.031045)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[26] J. A. Gracey, Large  $N_f$  quantum field theory, [Int. J. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X18300326) A **33**[, 1830032 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X18300326)
- [27] R. Boyack, H. Yerzhakov, and J. Maciejko, Quantum phase transitions in Dirac fermion systems, [Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjs/s11734-021-00069-1) **230**[, 979 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjs/s11734-021-00069-1)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[28] I. F. Herbut, Wilson-Fisher fixed points in the presence of Dirac fermions, [Mod. Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984924300060) **38**, 2430006 (2024).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[29] J. Braun, H. Gies, and D. D. Scherer, Asymptotic safety: A simple example, Phys. Rev. D **83**[, 085012 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.085012)
- [30] F. Gehring, H. Gies, and L. Janssen, Fixed-point structure of low-dimensional relativistic fermion field theories: Universality classes and emergent symmetry, Phys. Rev. D **92**[, 085046 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.085046)
- [31] L. Dabelow, H. Gies, and B. Knorr, Momentum dependence of quantum critical Dirac systems, Phys. Rev. D **99**[, 125019 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.125019)
- [32] S. Hands, Critical flavor number in the  $2 + 1D$  Thirring model, Phys. Rev. D **99**[, 034504 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.034504)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[33] C. Cresswell-Hogg and D. F. Litim, Line of Fixed Points in Gross-Neveu Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**[, 201602 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.201602)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[34] M. Vojta, Y. Zhang, and S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions in *d*-Wave Superconductors, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.4940) **85**, 4940 (2000).
- [35] Y. Huh and S. Sachdev, Renormalization group theory of nematic ordering in d-wave superconductors, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.064512)* 78, [064512 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.064512)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[36] J. Schwab, L. Janssen, K. Sun, Z. Y. Meng, I. F. Herbut, M. Vojta, and F. F. Assaad, Nematic Quantum Criticality in Dirac Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**[, 157203 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.157203)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[37] I. F. Herbut, Interactions and Phase Transitions on Graphene's Honeycomb Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**[, 146401 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.146401)
- [38] I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, and O. Vafek, Relativistic Mott criticality in graphene, Phys. Rev. B **80**[, 075432 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075432)
- [39] F. F. Assaad and I. F. Herbut, Pinning the Order: The Nature of Quantum Criticality in the Hubbard Model on Honeycomb Lattice, Phys. Rev. X **3**[, 031010 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.3.031010)
- [40] L. Janssen and I. F. Herbut, Antiferromagnetic critical point on graphene's honeycomb lattice: A functional renormalization group approach, Phys. Rev. B **89**[, 205403 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.205403)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[41] Y. Otsuka, S. Yunoki, and S. Sorella, Universal Quantum Criticality in the Metal-Insulator Transition of Two-Dimensional Interacting Dirac Electrons, Phys. Rev. X **6**[, 011029 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.011029)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[42] S. Pujari, T. C. Lang, G. Murthy, and R. K. Kaul, Interaction-Induced Dirac Fermions from Quadratic Band Touching in Bilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**[, 086404 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.086404)
- [43] S. Ray, M. Vojta, and L. Janssen, Quantum critical behavior of two-dimensional Fermi systems with quadratic band touching, Phys. Rev. B **98**[, 245128 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.245128)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[44] S. Ray and L. Janssen, Gross-Neveu-Heisenberg criticality from competing nematic and antiferromagnetic orders in bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B **104**[, 045101 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.045101)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[45] S.-S. Lee, Emergence of supersymmetry at a critical point of a lattice model, Phys. Rev. B **76**[, 075103 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.075103)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[46] T. Grover, D. N. Sheng, and A. Vishwanath, Emergent Space-Time Supersymmetry at the Boundary of a Topological Phase, Science **344**[, 280 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248253)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[47] U. F. P. Seifert, X.-Y. Dong, S. Chulliparambil, M. Vojta, H.-H. Tu, and L. Janssen, Fractionalized Fermionic Quantum Criticality in Spin-Orbital Mott Insulators, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.257202) **125**, 257202 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.257202)
- [48] S. Ray, B. Ihrig, D. Kruti, J. A. Gracey, M. M. Scherer, and L. Janssen, Fractionalized quantum criticality in spin-orbital liquids from field theory beyond the leading order, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.155160) **103**[, 155160 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.155160)
- [49] Z. H. Liu, M. Vojta, F. F. Assaad, and L. Janssen, Metallic and Deconfined Quantum Criticality in Dirac Systems, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.087201) Lett. **128**[, 087201 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.087201)
- [50] Z. H. Liu, M. Vojta, F. F. Assaad, and L. Janssen, Critical properties of metallic and deconfined quantum phase transitions in Dirac systems, Phys. Rev. B **110**[, 125123 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.110.125123)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[51] S. Ray, Unconventional Gross-Neveu quantum criticality: Interaction-induced SO(3)-biadjoint insulator and emergent SU(3) symmetry, Phys. Rev. B **109**[, 165137 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.109.165137)
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[52] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Moiré bands in twisted double-layer graphene, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108174108) **108**, 12233 (2011).
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[53] S. Liu, E. Khalaf, J. Y. Lee, and A. Vishwanath, Nematic topological semimetal and insulator in magic-angle bilayer graphene at charge neutrality, Phys. Rev. Res. **3**[, 013033 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.013033)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[54] X.-C. Wu, Y. Xu, C.-M. Jian, and C. Xu, Interacting valley Chern insulator and its topological imprint on moiré superconductors, Phys. Rev. B **100**[, 155138 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.155138)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[55] M. Campostrini, A. Pelissetto, and E. Vicari, Finite-size scaling at quantum transitions, Phys. Rev. B **89**[, 094516 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.094516)
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[56] M. Christos, S. Sachdev, and M. S. Scheurer, Superconductivity, correlated insulators, and Wess–Zumino–Witten terms in twisted bilayer graphene, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2014691117) **117**, 29543  $(2020)$ .
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[57] N. Parthenios and L. Classen, Twisted bilayer graphene at charge neutrality: Competing orders of SU(4) Dirac fermions, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.235120) Rev. B **108**[, 235120 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.235120)
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[58] B. Roy and I. F. Herbut, Unconventional superconductivity on honeycomb lattice: Theory of Kekule order parameter, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035429) Rev. B **82**[, 035429 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035429)
- [59] N. Zerf, L. N. Mihaila, P. Marquard, I. F. Herbut, and M. M. Scherer, Four-loop critical exponents for the Gross-Neveu-Yukawa models, Phys. Rev. D **96**[, 096010 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.096010)
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[60] L. Janssen, I. F. Herbut, and M. M. Scherer, Compatible orders and fermion-induced emergent symmetry in Dirac systems, Phys. Rev. B **97**[, 041117 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.041117)
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>[61] J. A. Gracey, Critical exponent  $\eta$  at  $O(1/N^3)$  in the chiral XY model using the large N conformal bootstrap, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.065018)* 103, [065018 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.065018)
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>[62] Note that Eq. (8.6) in Ref. [\[61\]](#page-5-25) contains a typo in the  $O(1/N^2)$ contribution to  $1/\nu$ , where it should read  $\pi^4$  instead of  $\pi^6$ .
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>[63] I. Herbut, *A Modern Approach to Critical Phenomena* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>[64] Z.-X. Li, Y.-F. Jiang, S.-K. Jian, and H. Yao, Fermion-induced quantum critical points, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00167-6) **8**, 314 (2017).
- <span id="page-5-29"></span>[65] L. Classen, I. F. Herbut, and M. M. Scherer, Fluctuation-induced continuous transition and quantum criticality in Dirac semimetals, Phys. Rev. B **96**[, 115132 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.115132)
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>[66] I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, and B. Roy, Theory of interacting electrons on the honeycomb lattice, Phys. Rev. B **79**[, 085116 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.085116)
- <span id="page-5-31"></span>[67] B. Roy, V. Juričić, and I. F. Herbut, Emergent Lorentz symmetry near fermionic quantum critical points in two and three dimensions, [J. High Energ. Phys. 4 \(2016\) 18.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2016)018)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[68] A. Inbar, J. Birkbeck, J. Xiao, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, B. Yan, Y. Oreg, A. Stern, E. Berg, and S. Ilani, The quantum twisting microscope, Nature **614**[, 682 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05685-y)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[69] J. Biedermann and L. Janssen, Data for "Twisttuned quantum criticality in moiré bilayer graphene", [https://doi.org/10.25532/OPARA-708.](https://doi.org/10.25532/OPARA-708)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[70] L. Ma, R. Chaturvedi, P. X. Nguyen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. F. Mak, and J. Shan, Relativistic Mott transition in strongly

## correlated artificial graphene, [arXiv:2412.07150.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.07150)

<span id="page-6-3"></span>[71] C. Huang, N. Parthenios, M. Ulybyshev, X. Zhang, F. F. Assaad, L. Classen, and Z. Y. Meng, Angle-Tuned Gross-Neveu Quantum Criticality in Twisted Bilayer Graphene: A Quantum Monte Carlo Study,  $arXiv:2412.11382$ .

## **End Matter**

*Bistritzer-MacDonald Hamiltonian.* In this section, we review the Bistritzer-MacDonald model [\[52\]](#page-5-17) within the different bases employed.

The Hamiltonian for twisted bilayer graphene may be expressed in the continuum limit as

$$
\mathcal{H}_{BM} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} f_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} h_{D}(\mathbf{p}) f_{\mathbf{p}} + \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'} \sum_{j=0}^{2} f_{\mathbf{p}'}^{\dagger} \mu_{x} T_{j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p} - \tau_{z} \mathbf{q}_{j}} f_{\mathbf{p}} , \text{ (A1)}
$$

where the sums over **p** and **p**<sup>*'*</sup> extend over all momenta in reciprocal space, and  $f_{\mathbf{p}} = (f_{\mathbf{p},\ell,\sigma,\tau,s})$  annihilates an electron characterized by layer  $\ell = t, b$ , sublattice  $\sigma = A, B$ , valley  $\tau = \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}'$ , and spin  $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$  indices.

The first term in Eq.  $(A1)$  represents the continuum Dirac Hamiltonian for the individual, decoupled layers, with

$$
[h_{\rm D}(\mathbf{p})]_{\ell,\tau;\ell',\tau'} = \hbar v_{\rm F}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{K}_{\ell,\tau}) \cdot (\tau \sigma_x, \sigma_y) \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{\tau \tau'}, \tag{A2}
$$

where the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_{x,y}$  act in sublattice space. The Fermi velocity of the single layer is given by  $v_F = 3a_0t_0/(2\hbar)$ , where  $a_0 = 0.142$  nm is the intralayer nearest-neighbor distance and  $t_0 = 2.8$  eV is the corresponding hopping parameter.  $\mathbf{K}_{\ell,\tau}$  corresponds to the Dirac point of the layer  $\ell$  and valley  $\tau$ , given as

$$
\mathbf{K}_{\ell,\tau} = \tau R_{-\ell\theta/2} \mathbf{K} = \tau R_{-\ell\theta/2} \frac{4\pi}{3\sqrt{3}a_0} \mathbf{e}_x \,. \tag{A3}
$$

In the above, with a slight abuse of notation, we take  $\ell = +1$  $(\ell = -1)$  for the top (bottom) layer and  $\tau = +1$  ( $\tau = -1$ ) for the **K** (**K**<sup>'</sup>) valley. The location of the Dirac points  $\mathbf{K}_{\ell, \tau}$ , along with the single-layer Brillouin zone and the resulting moiré Brillouin zone, is illustrated in Fig. [5.](#page-6-4)

The second term in Eq.  $(A1)$  represents the interlayer hopping, with Hermitian hopping matrices

$$
T_j = w_0 \sigma_0 + w_1 \left( \sigma_x \cos \frac{2\pi j}{3} + \tau_z \sigma_y \sin \frac{2\pi j}{3} \right), \quad (A4)
$$

 $j = 0, 1, 2$ , with intra- and intersublattice interlayer hopping parameters  $w_0 = 80$  meV and  $w_1 = 110$  meV [\[22\]](#page-4-12). The Pauli matrix  $\mu_x$  in Eq. [\(A1\)](#page-1-0) acts in layer space, while  $\tau_z$  acts in sublattice space. The momentum transfer for the interlayer hopping is given by  $\mathbf{q}_j = R_{2\pi j/3} \mathbf{q}_0$ , where  $R_\alpha$  denotes a rotation by an angle  $\alpha$ , and  $\mathbf{q}_0 = K_{t,+} - K_{b,+}$ . The momentum transfer vectors  $\mathbf{q}_{0,1,2}$  are indicated by black arrows in Fig. [5.](#page-6-4)

For the numerical analysis, it is convenient to rotate the basis of the Hilbert space so that the band index becomes a good quantum number. This is achieved by introducing electron creation operators as

$$
c_{\mathbf{k},n,\tau,s}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\mathbf{G},\sigma,\ell} u_{n,\tau;\mathbf{G},\sigma,\ell}(\mathbf{k}) f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G},\sigma,\ell,\tau,s}^{\dagger} \tag{A5}
$$

and associated annihilation operators  $c_{\mathbf{k},n,\tau,s}$ , where the rotation matrix elements  $u_{n,\tau; \mathbf{G}, \sigma, \ell}(\mathbf{k})$  are obtained by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian in a truncated plane-wave basis. Specifically, we expand the wavefunctions as

$$
|u_{\mathbf{k},n,\tau}(\mathbf{r})\rangle = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{G},\sigma,\ell\\|\mathbf{G}|\leq 3|\mathbf{G}_{1,2}|}} a_{\mathbf{k},n,\tau;\mathbf{G},\sigma,\ell} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}_{\ell,\tau}+\mathbf{G})\cdot\mathbf{r}}|\sigma,\ell\rangle.
$$
\n(A6)

The cutoff  $|\mathbf{G}| \leq 3|\mathbf{G}_{1,2}|$  leads to 148 noninteracting bands per spin and valley degree of freedom.

To obtain the density matrices shown in Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-2-2) and [\(4\)](#page-2-1) in the sublattice basis, we have fixed the time reversal operator as  $\mathcal{T} = \tau_x \mathcal{K}$  and the particle-hole transformation as  $\mathcal{P} = \tau_z \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$ , where  $K$  corresponds to complex conjugation.

*Hartree-Fock analysis.* In this section, we provide technical details of the Hartree-Fock analysis.

To facilitate the numerical calculation, we project the Hamiltonian into a number of  $N_{\text{bands}}$  of active bands per spin and valley degree of freedom. We typically set  $N_{bands} = 6$ , with the exception of the density matrices displayed in the insets of



<span id="page-6-4"></span>FIG. 5. Schematic representation of the single-layer Brillouin zones of top (red) and bottom (blue) layers, along with the resulting moire´ Brillouin zone (black).

Fig. [3\(](#page-2-0)a), where  $N_{bands} = 2$  is used. In order to avoid double counting of interaction effects [\[22,](#page-4-12) [53\]](#page-5-18), we add a subtraction term, determined by a reference density matrix  $P_0$ , leading to the effective Hamiltonian

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}[P](\mathbf{k}) = h_{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{k}) + h_{\text{H}}[P - P_0](\mathbf{k}) + h_{\text{F}}[P - P_0](\mathbf{k}),
$$
\n(A7)

where  $h_{BM}$  corresponds the Bistritzer-MacDonald Hamiltonian in the band basis, and the Hartree and Fock contributions are given as

$$
h_{\rm H}[P](\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} V_{\mathbf{G}} \Lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{G}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \text{Tr}\left(P(\mathbf{k}') \Lambda(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{G})^{\dagger}\right)
$$
(A8)

and

$$
h_{\rm F}[P](\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{A} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V_{\mathbf{q}} \Lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) P(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \Lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})^{\dagger}, \quad \text{(A9)}
$$

where  $A = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8\sin^2{\theta/2}} a_0^2 L^2$  represents the total area of the sample, consisting of  $L \times L$  moiré unit cells. We take the reference density matrix  $P_0$  to be the density matrix of the decoupled bilayer for  $w_0 = w_1 = 0$  at charge neutrality. Assuming that the remote band contributions of  $P$  and  $P_0$  cancel, it then suffices to consider the effective Hamiltonian in the subspace of the active bands. The Hartree-Fock energy is given as

$$
E_{\rm HF} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Tr} \left\{ P \left( h_{\rm BM}(\mathbf{k}) + h_{\rm H} \left[ \frac{1}{2} P - P_0 \right](\mathbf{k}) + h_{\rm F} \left[ \frac{1}{2} P - P_0 \right](\mathbf{k}) \right) \right\},\tag{A10}
$$

where the factors of 1/2 prevent double counting of the interaction energy.