

Universal inequalities for eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on conformally flat Riemannian manifolds

Yong Luo, Xianjing Zheng

Abstract

In this paper we study eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on conformally flat Riemannian manifolds. In particular we establish some universal inequality for eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$.

1 Introduction

Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and Ω a bounded connected domain in M . Let Δ denote the Laplacian acting on functions on M . Eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian is stated as:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -\lambda u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Let

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

denote the successive eigenvalues of (1.1). Here each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. It is well-known that the following Weyl's asymptotic formula holds (cf. [3]):

$$\lambda_k \sim \frac{4\pi^2}{(\omega_n \text{Vol}\Omega)^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad k \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Generally, if an equality of eigenvalues requires no hypotheses on the geometric quantities of the domain Ω (other than its dimension), it is called an universal inequality. Universal inequality is an important research area in the study of the spectrum of Δ and many works have been done during the past decades. Now we give a brief introduction of the main results.

The study of universal inequalities for (1.1) was initiated by Payne, Pölya, and Weinberger [17] in 1956, they proved

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{2}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$$

for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Exactly by the same proof, their result can be promoted to dimension n as

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{4}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$$

for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

In 1980, Hile and Protter [12] proved

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \geq \frac{kn}{4}.$$

In 1991, Yang [19] (cf. [7]) proved a sharp universal inequality:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i, \quad (1.3)$$

which has been called Yang's first inequality by Ashbaugh (cf. [1] and [2] and so on).

When Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{S}^n(1)$, Cheng and Yang [6] proved in 2005 that eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(\lambda_i + \frac{n^2}{4} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

by canonically embedding $\mathbb{S}^n(1)$ into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (see also [4] and [18]). It is optimal since the above inequality becomes an equality for any k when $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^n(1)$.

It is very natural to consider universal inequalities for eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) when M^n is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$. If $n = 2$, by making use of estimates for eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem of the Schrödinger like operator with a weight, Harrell and Michel [10] and Ashbaugh [2] have obtained several results. For general n , Cheng and Yang [8] proved in 2009 that eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(\lambda_i - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

It remains a challenge problem if one can improve the coefficient on the right hand side of (1.5) from 4 to $\frac{4}{n}$ (which is sharp by making use of a recursion formula of Cheng and Yang [7] and Weyl's asymptotic formula (1.2)). Actually we have a conjecture raised by Cheng [5].

Conjecture: Assume that λ_i are eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) with (M^n, g) being the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$. Then have we

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(\lambda_i - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \right).$$

In this paper, we consider universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$. More generally, we consider eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) on conformally flat manifolds. We have

Proposition 1.1. *Let $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{g})$ be an n -dimensional conformally flat Riemannian manifold with the conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2f}g$, where f is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n , and let Ω be a bounded smooth domain in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{g})$. Then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \left(-2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i - (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where x_p ($p = 1, 2, \dots, n$) denotes the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^n , while $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ denotes the gradient of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tilde{g})$ and (\mathbb{R}^n, g) , respectively.

From Proposition 1.1 we obtain

Proposition 1.2. *For a conformally flat manifold $(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \tilde{g})$ with conformal metric*

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^t},$$

where t is a real constant, eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2 + \left(\left(-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1 \right) t^2 - nt \right) \int_{\Omega} x_n^{2t-2} u_i^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Here $\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n > 0\}$.

Recall that $(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \tilde{g})$ with the conformal metric

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2}$$

is the upper half space model of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$. Therefore let $t = 2$ and $k = 1$ in Proposition 1.2 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that (M^n, g) is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1) = (\mathbb{R}_+^n, \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2})$, then eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy*

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \leq \frac{4}{n} \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{n^2 - 2n - 4}{4} \right). \quad (1.8)$$

The hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$ can also be seen as a conformally flat Riemannian manifold with a radial symmetric conformal factor (the Poincaré unit disk model). From this aspect, we can give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.3. First we prove

Proposition 1.4. *Assume that (M^n, \tilde{g}) is an n -dimensional conformally flat manifold M with metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2f}g$, where g is the standard metric in \mathbb{R}^n , and f is a radial symmetric function. Then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2 - (n^2 - 4n - 4) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |f'(r)|^2 u_i^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2n \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \left(f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)(n-1)}{r} \right) u_i^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

where $r := |x|$.

Recall that the Poincaré unit disk model of the hyperbolic space

$$\mathbb{H}^n(-1) = (\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^2}g),$$

where \mathbb{B}^n is the unit disk in \mathbb{R}^n and $g = dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2$ is the canonical metric on \mathbb{B}^n . As an application of (1.9), we have

Corollary 1.5. *Assume that (M^n, g) is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1) = (\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^2}g)$, then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left[4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 - n^2 \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2n+4) \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 |x|^2 u_i^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Note that since $|x| < 1$, we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 |x|^2 u_i^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2,$$

and thus we can deduce (1.8) from (1.10) by letting $k = 1$.

Let $\rho_{max} := \max_{\Omega} \frac{1}{x_n^2}$ and $\rho_{min} := \min_{\Omega} \frac{1}{x_n^2}$. For general k , it easy to see that we can deduce the following result from Proposition 1.2.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that (M^n, g) is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1) = (\mathbb{R}_+^n, \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2})$, then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{\rho_{max}}{\rho_{min}} \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(\lambda_i - \frac{n^2 - 2n - 4}{4} \right). \quad (1.11)$$

Remark 1.7. Note that Ashbaugh [2] proved similar result for eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem of the Schrödinger like operator with a weight, and as a consequence, he proved that eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{\rho_{max}}{\rho_{min}} 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i,$$

when Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{H}^2(-1)$. Our result generalize his result to general dimension.

Assume that $\Omega \subset \{0 < a \leq x_n^2 \leq b\}$, then we have

Corollary 1.8. Assume that (M^n, g) is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n(-1) = (\mathbb{R}_+^n, \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^2})$, then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{b}{a} \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(\lambda_i - \frac{n^2 - 2n - 4}{4} \right). \quad (1.12)$$

Organization. In the preliminaries we collect some useful formulas which will be used many times in this paper. Proposition 1.1 will be proved in section 3, Proposition 1.2 will be proved in section 4, while Proposition 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 will be proved in section 5. In the last section we discuss more consequences of Propositions 1.1 and 1.4.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we denote

$$\nabla f \nabla h = \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle_g, \quad \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} h = \langle \tilde{\nabla} f, \tilde{\nabla} h \rangle_{\tilde{g}}$$

for any functions $f, h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} h &= \langle \tilde{\nabla} f, \tilde{\nabla} h \rangle_{\tilde{g}} \\ &= e^{2f} \left\langle e^{-2f} \nabla f, e^{-2f} \nabla h \right\rangle_g \\ &= e^{-2f} \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle_g \\ &= e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla h. \end{aligned}$$

We now review some formulas of the Laplacian under conformal change of Riemannian metrics. Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Given a function $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we consider the conformal manifold (M, \tilde{g}) with the conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2f} g$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ and Δ denote the Laplacian on (M, \tilde{g}) and (M, g) respectively and $\tilde{\nabla}, \nabla$ denote the Riemannian connection of (M, \tilde{g}) and (M, g) respectively. There are known formula of the Laplacian under conformal transformation, which states that for a smooth function $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{\Delta} F = e^{-2f} (\Delta F + (n-2) \nabla f \nabla F). \quad (2.1)$$

It is obvious that for any smooth function $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have,

$$\tilde{\Delta}(FG) = \tilde{\Delta}FG + \tilde{\Delta}GF + 2\tilde{\nabla}F\tilde{\nabla}G = \tilde{\Delta}FG + \tilde{\Delta}GF + 2e^{-2f}\nabla F\nabla G.$$

3 Proof of Proposition 1.1

Proof. Let $\lambda_i, i=1, \dots, k+1$, be the i th eigenvalue of problem (1.1) and u_i the orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to λ_i , that is,

$$\tilde{\Delta}u_i = -\lambda_i u_i \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u_i|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \int_M u_i u_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k+1.$$

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n denote the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Consider the trail functions $\phi_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\phi_i = x_p u_i - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} u_j,$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i u_j.$$

Since $\phi_i|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j \phi_i = 0, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, k,$$

using (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}\phi_i &= \tilde{\Delta} \left(x_p u_i - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} u_j \right) \\ &= \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i + \tilde{\Delta} u_i x_p + 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i + \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \lambda_j u_j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \phi_i (-\tilde{\Delta}\phi_i) &= \int_{\Omega} \phi_i \left(-\tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i - \tilde{\Delta} u_i x_p - 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \lambda_j u_j \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \phi_i \left(\lambda_i u_i x_p - \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} u_j - \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i - 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right) \\ &= \lambda_i \|\phi_i\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \phi_i \left(\tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i + 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\|\phi_i\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \phi_i^2$. It follows from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \|\phi_i\|^2 \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} - \left(\tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i + 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right) \left(x_p u_i - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} u_j \right) \\
& = \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i u_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i u_j \\
& = \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + (n-2) \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i u_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i u_j,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $q_i = - \left(\tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i + 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right)$. Now let

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{ij} &= \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i u_j, \\
b_{ij} &= \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i u_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_j a_{ij} &= \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \left(-\tilde{\Delta} u_j \right) \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} u_j \tilde{\Delta} (x_p u_i) \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} u_j \left(\tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i + x_p \tilde{\Delta} u_i + 2e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right) \\
&= -(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i u_j + \lambda_i a_{ij} - 2b_{ij} \\
&= -(n-2) s_{ij} + \lambda_i a_{ij} - 2b_{ij},
\end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$b_{ij} = \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{2} a_{ij} - \frac{(n-2)}{2} s_{ij},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \|\phi_i\|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + (n-2) \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} s_{ij} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}{2} a_{ij} - \frac{(n-2)}{2} s_{ij} \right) a_{ij} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Set $r_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} u_j \left(2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i \right)$, then $r_{ij} = -r_{ji}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} r_{ij} &= 2 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i u_j + (n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i u_j \\ &= 2b_{ij} + (n-2)s_{ij} \\ &= (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)a_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (-2)\phi_i \left(2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i \right) \\ &= -2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \left(2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i \right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} r_{ij} \\ &= w_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} r_{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $w_i = -2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \left(2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i \right)$.

Multiplying (3.4) by $(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2$ and using the Schwarz inequality and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(w_i + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} r_{ij} \right) \\ &= (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} (-2)\phi_i \left(2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i \right) \\ &\leq (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^3 \int_{\Omega} |\phi_i|^2 + (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i - \sum_{j=1}^k r_{ij} u_j \right|^2 \\ &\leq (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2 \right) \\ &+ (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla}x_p \tilde{\nabla}u_i + \tilde{\Delta}x_p u_i \right|^2 - (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \sum_{j=1}^k r_{ij}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Summing over i from 1 to k , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 w_i + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 a_{ij} r_{ij} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i \right|^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) r_{ij}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Noting that

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \quad r_{ij} = -r_{ji},$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 a_{ij} r_{ij} \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} + \lambda_j - \lambda_j - \lambda_i) a_{ij} r_{ij} \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) a_{ij} r_{ij} + \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) a_{ij} r_{ij} \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) a_{ij} r_{ij},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) a_{ij} r_{ij} = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2 \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} + \lambda_j - \lambda_j - \lambda_i) (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2 \\
& = \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2 a_{ij}^2 \\
& = - \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2 a_{ij}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 w_i \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i \right|^2 \\
& - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) a_{ij} r_{ij} - \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2 a_{ij}^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) r_{ij}^2 \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i \right|^2 \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) [(\lambda_j - \lambda_i) a_{ij} + r_{ij}]^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i q_i + \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + \tilde{\Delta} x_p u_i \right|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By definition of w_i , q_i and

$$\tilde{\Delta} x_p = (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i (-1) \left(2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i + (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \right|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which is (1.6). □

4 Proof of Proposition 1.2

Proof. In this section we consider the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on the conformally flat Riemannian manifold $(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \tilde{g})$, where

$$\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n > 0\}$$

with the metric

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_n^2}{x_n^t} = e^{2f} g,$$

and t is a real constant. In this case, the conformal factor is

$$f = -\frac{t}{2} \ln x_n,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f &= \left(0, \dots, -\frac{t}{2x_n}\right), \\ \Delta f &= \frac{t}{2x_n^2}, \\ e^{-2f} &= x_n^t.\end{aligned}$$

First,

$$\begin{aligned}& -2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} x_p^2 \tilde{\nabla} u_i^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Delta} x_p^2 u_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Delta} (x_p^2) &= e^{-2f} (\Delta (x_p^2) + (n-2) \nabla f \nabla (x_p^2)) \\ &= e^{-2f} (2 |\nabla x_p|^2 + 2(n-2) \nabla f \nabla x_p x_p) \\ &= x_n^t (2 - t(n-2) \delta_{pn}),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& -2 \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Delta} x_p^2 u_i^2 \\ &= \left(n - \frac{(n-2)t}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}& -(n-2) \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\ &= \frac{(n-2)t}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \delta_{pn} x_n^t u_i^2 \\ &= \frac{(n-2)t}{2} \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| (n-2)x_n^t \delta_{pn} \left(-\frac{t}{2x_p} \right) u_i \right|^2 \\
&= (n-2)^2 \int_{\Omega} \left| x_n^t \left(-\frac{t}{2x_n} \right) u_i \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{(n-2)^2 t^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} x_n^{2t-2} u_i^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^n 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\
&= 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \nabla u_i \nabla f u_i \\
&= 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} u_i \tilde{\nabla} f u_i \\
&= 2(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i^2 \\
&= -2(n-2) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) u_i^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) \\
&= -2e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} f + e^{-2f} \tilde{\Delta} f \\
&= -2e^{-4f} \nabla f \nabla f + e^{-2f} \left(e^{-2f} (\Delta f + (n-2) \nabla f \nabla f) \right) \\
&= -2x_n^{2t} \frac{t^2}{4x_n^2} + x_n^{2t} \left(\frac{t}{2x_n^2} + (n-2) \frac{t^2}{4x_n^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{(n-4)t^2 + 2t}{4} x_n^{2t-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\
&= -\frac{(n-2)(n-4)t^2 + 2(n-2)t}{2} \int_{\Omega} x_n^{2t-2} u_i^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

In the end,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \right|^2 \\
&= 4 \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right|^2 \\
&= 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |\nabla u_i|^2 \\
&= 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \nabla u_i \nabla u_i \\
&= 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} u_i \tilde{\nabla} u_i \\
&= -4 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} u_i \right) u_i \\
&= -4 \int_{\Omega} -2e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i u_i - 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\Delta} u_i u_i \\
&= 8 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i u_i + 4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i u_i \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i^2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) u_i^2,
\end{aligned}$$

from (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \right|^2 \\
&= ((4-n)t^2 - 2t) \int_{\Omega} x_n^{2t-2} u_i^2 + 4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2 \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2 + \left(\left(-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1 \right) t^2 - nt \right) \int_{\Omega} x_n^{2t-2} u_i^2 \right), \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.7). \square

5 Proof of Proposition 1.4 and Corollary 1.5

Poof of Proposition 1.4.

Proof. In this section, we consider an n -dimensional conformally flat manifold M with metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2f}g$, where f is a radial symmetric function. In this case, we have

$$\nabla f = \left(f'(r) \frac{x_1}{|x|}, \dots, f'(r) \frac{x_n}{|x|} \right), \quad \Delta f = f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)(n-1)}{r},$$

where $r := |x|$.

As in the proof of Proposition 1.2, first we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Delta} x_p^2 u_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

For each $1 \leq p \leq n$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta} (x_p^2) &= e^{-2f} (\Delta (x_p^2) + (n-2) \nabla f \nabla (x_p^2)) \\ &= e^{-2f} (2 |\nabla x_p|^2 + 2(n-2) \nabla f \nabla x_p x_p) \\ &= e^{-2f} \left(2 + 2(n-2) f'(r) \frac{x_p^2}{|x|} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\Delta} x_p^2 u_i^2 \\ &= n \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2 + (n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} f'(r) |x| u_i^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} & -(n-2) \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} x_p u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\ &= -(n-2) \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p x_p u_i^2 \\ &= -(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} f'(r) |x| u_i^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| (n-2)e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \right|^2 \\
&= (n-2)^2 \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |f'(r)|^2 \frac{x_p^2}{|x|^2} u_i^2 \\
&= (n-2)^2 \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |f'(r)|^2 u_i^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^n 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\
&= 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \nabla u_i \nabla f u_i \\
&= 2(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \nabla u_i^2 \nabla f \\
&= 2(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} u_i^2 \tilde{\nabla} f \\
&= -2(n-2) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) u_i^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) &= -2e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} f + e^{-2f} \tilde{\Delta} f \\
&= -2e^{-4f} \nabla f \nabla f + e^{-2f} \left(e^{-2f} (\Delta f + (n-2) \nabla f \nabla f) \right) \\
&= (n-4)e^{-4f} |\nabla f|^2 + e^{-4f} \Delta f \\
&= e^{-4f} \left((n-4) |f'(r)|^2 + f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)(n-1)}{r} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Therefore we get from (5.5) and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n 4(n-2) \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i e^{-2f} \nabla f \nabla x_p u_i \\
&= -2(n-2)(n-4) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |f'(r)|^2 u_i^2 \\
&\quad - 2(n-2) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \left(f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)(n-1)}{r} \right) u_i^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \right|^2 \\
&= 4 \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| e^{-2f} \nabla x_p \nabla u_i \right|^2 \\
&= 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |\nabla u_i|^2 \\
&= 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \nabla u_i \nabla u_i \\
&= 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} u_i \tilde{\nabla} u_i \\
&= -4 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} u_i \right) u_i \\
&= -4 \int_{\Omega} -2e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i u_i - 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\Delta} u_i u_i \\
&= 8 \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i u_i + 4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_n e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i u_i = \frac{1}{2} \int_n e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \tilde{\nabla} u_i^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_n \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) u_i^2,$$

by (5.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| 2\tilde{\nabla} x_p \tilde{\nabla} u_i \right|^2 \\
&= -4 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \left(e^{-2f} \tilde{\nabla} f \right) u_i^2 + 4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2 \\
&= -4(n-4) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |f'(r)|^2 u_i^2 - 4 \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \left(f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)(n-1)}{r} \right) u_i^2 \\
&\quad + 4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2. \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), (5.7), (5.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2 \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left(4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} e^{-2f} u_i^2 - (n^2 - 4n - 4) \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} |f'(r)|^2 u_i^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2n \int_{\Omega} e^{-4f} \left(f''(r) + \frac{f'(r)(n-1)}{r} \right) u_i^2 \right), \tag{5.9}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.9). □

Proof of Corollary 1.5.

Proof. Now let (M^n, \tilde{g}) be the hyperbolic space with the Poincaré unit disk model, and Ω a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{H}^n(-1)$. In this case the conformal factor is the radial symmetric function

$$f(r) = \ln \frac{2}{1-r^2}.$$

Then

$$f'(r) = \frac{2r}{1-r^2}, \quad f''(r) = \frac{2r^2+2}{(1-r^2)^2}.$$

Insert these formulas into (1.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left[4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 - n^2 \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2n+4) \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 |x|^2 u_i^2 \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left[4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 - n^2 \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2n+4) \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right] \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left[4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 - (n^2 - 2n - 4) \int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_i^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used $|x| < 1$.

Finally let $k = 1$ and divided by the term $\int_{\Omega} (1-|x|^2)^2 u_1^2$ on both sides of the above inequality we get (1.8). □

6 More results

Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian has attracted much attention in the past decades. Other than those mentioned in the introduction part, various universal inequalities has also been obtained by mathematicians for the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian on a compact homogeneous manifold, or a compact minimal submanifold in a sphere, or a submanifold in the Euclidean space (for example [4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16] and so on). In this section we will see that universal inequalities for more special

conformally flat manifolds could be obtained as consequences of Propositions 1.1 and 1.4. First we have

Proposition 6.1. *For a conformally flat manifold $(\mathbb{R}_+^n, \tilde{g})$ with conformal metric*

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2}{x_n^t},$$

assume that

- (i) $n \geq 5$ and $t \geq 0$, or
- (ii) $n = 2, 3, 4$, and $0 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1}$, or
- (iii) $n \geq 5$ and $t \leq \frac{n}{-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1}$.

Then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \leq \frac{4}{n} \lambda_1. \quad (6.1)$$

Here $\mathbb{R}_+^n := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n > 0\}$.

Proof. If $t \geq 0$, direct computations show that $-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1 \leq 0$ when $n \geq 5$, and thus $(-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1)t^2 - nt \leq 0$; when $2 \leq n \leq 4$, we have also $(-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1)t^2 - nt \leq 0$ if $0 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1}$. If $t < 0$, then when $n \geq 5$ and $t \leq \frac{n}{-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1}$ we still have $(-\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1)t^2 - nt \leq 0$. Therefore under assumptions of the above proposition, from (1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) 4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} x_n^t u_i^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

which implies (6.1) by letting $k = 1$. □

Next we consider conformally flat manifolds $(\mathbb{B}^n, \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^t} g)$, where t is a real constant. In this case $e^{2f} = \frac{4}{(1-|x|^2)^t}$, while $f(r) = \ln \frac{2}{(1-r^2)^{\frac{t}{2}}}$. Then

$$f'(r) = \frac{tr}{1-r^2}, \quad f''(r) = \frac{tr^2 + t}{(1-r^2)^2}.$$

Then from (1.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^t u_i^2 \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left[4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^t u_i^2 - \frac{tn^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^t u_i^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left[-\frac{n^2 - 4n - 4}{4} t^2 + \frac{t(n-2)n}{2} \right] \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^2 |x|^2 u_i^2 \right]. \tag{6.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Then similarly with the proof of Proposition 6.1 we get that if $0 \leq t \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{n^2-4n-4}$ ($n \geq 5$) or $t \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{n^2-4n-4}$ ($2 \leq n \leq 4$), we have

$$\left[-\frac{n^2 - 4n - 4}{4} t^2 + \frac{t(n-2)n}{2} \right] \geq 0.$$

Then from (6.3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(n \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^t u_i^2 \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left[4\lambda_i \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^t u_i^2 + \left(-\frac{n^2 - 4n - 4}{4} t^2 - nt \right) \int_{\Omega} (1 - |x|^2)^t u_i^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \leq \frac{4}{n} \left[\lambda_1 + \left(-\frac{n^2 - 4n - 4}{16} t^2 - \frac{nt}{4} \right) \right].$$

To summarize, we obtain

Proposition 6.2. *For a conformally flat Riemannian manifold $(\mathbb{B}^n, \tilde{g})$ with*

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{4}{(1-r^2)^t} g,$$

assume that

(i) $0 \leq t \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{n^2-4n-4}$ ($n \geq 5$), or

(ii) $t \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{n^2-4n-4}$ ($2 \leq n \leq 4$).

Then eigenvalues λ_i 's of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) satisfy

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \leq \frac{4}{n} \left[\lambda_1 + \left(-\frac{n^2 - 4n - 4}{16} t^2 - \frac{nt}{4} \right) \right].$$

Declarations.

Conflict of interest. The authors hereby state that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the presented results.

Data availability statements. No data sets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Acknowledgement. This paper is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 12271069). The first author would like to thank Professor Qingming Cheng for his interests and comments on this paper.

References

- [1] M. S. Ashbaugh, Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues, in: E.B. Davies, Yu. Safarov (Eds.), *Spectral Theory and Geometry*, Edinburgh, 1998, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 273, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 95–139.
- [2] M. S. Ashbaugh, Universal eigenvalue bounds of Payne-Pólya-Weinberger, Hile-Protter, and H.C. Yang, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **112** (2002), 3–30.
- [3] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1984.
- [4] D. G. Chen, Q. M. Cheng, Extrinsic estimates for eigenvalues of the Laplace operator, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **60** (2008), no.2, 325–339.
- [5] Q. M. Cheng, *Eigenvalue Estimates for Eigenvalues and Applications*, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, 37, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2017, 37–52.
- [6] Q. M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, Estimates on Eigenvalues of Laplacian. *Math. Ann.* **331**(2005), 445–460.
- [7] Q. M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, Bounds on eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian, *Math. Ann.* **337** (2007), 159–175.
- [8] Q. M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, Estimates for eigenvalues on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Equations* **247** (2009), 2270–2281.
- [9] E. M. Harrell II, Commutators, eigenvalue gaps, and mean curvature in the theory of Schrödinger operators, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **32** (2007), 401–413.
- [10] E. M. Harrell II and P. L. Michel, Commutator bounds for eigenvalues with applications to spectral geometry, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **19** (1994), 2037–2055.
- [11] E. M. Harrell II and J. Stubbe, Trace identities for commutators, with applications to the distribution of eigenvalues, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 12, 6385–6405.

- [12] G. N. Hile and M. H. Protter, Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29** (1980), no.4, 523–538.
- [13] P. F. Leung, On the consecutive eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a compact minimal submanifold in a sphere, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **50** (1991), no. 3, 409–416.
- [14] P. Li, Eigenvalue estimates on homogeneous manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **55** (1980), 347–363.
- [15] Y. Luo and X. J. Zheng, Inequalities for eigenvalues of Laplacian and biharmonic operators on submanifolds, preprint (2024), submitted.
- [16] P. C. Yang and S. T. Yau, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **7** (1980), no. 1, 55–63.
- [17] L. E. Payne, G. Pölya and H. F. Weinberger, On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues, *J. Math. and Phys.* **35** (1956), 289–298.
- [18] A. Soufi, E. M. Harrel II, S. Ilias, Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators on submanifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), no.5, 2337–2350.
- [19] H. C. Yang, An estimate of the difference between consecutive eigenvalues, Preprint IC/91/60 of ICTP (1991), Trieste.

YONG LUO
 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER OF MATHEMATICS,
 CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
 CHONGQING, 400054, CHINA
 yongluo-math@cqut.edu.cn

XIANJING ZHENG
 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER OF MATHEMATICS,
 CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
 CHONGQING, 400054, CHINA
 1311169535@qq.com