# Inequalities for eigenvalues of Laplacian and biharmonic operators on submanifolds

Yong Luo, Xianjing Zheng

#### Abstract

In this paper we study eigenvalues of Laplacian and biharmonic operators on compact domains in complete manifolds. We establish several new inequalities for eigenvalues of Laplacian and biharmonic operators respectively by using Sobolev type inequalities.

AMS subject classifications: 58J50, 58E11, 35P15.

**Key words:** Eigenvalue, universal inequality, Laplacian, biharmonic operator, submanifold.

### 1 Introduction

Let (M,g) be an *n*-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and  $\Omega$  a bounded connected domain in M. Let  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^2$  denote the Laplacian and biharmonic operators acting on functions on M respectively. The Dirichlet eigenvalue problem is stated as:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -\lambda u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

Let

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \cdots$$

denote the successive eigenvalues of (1.1). Here each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity.

Generally, if an equality of eigenvalus requires no hypotheses on the geometric quantities of the domain  $\Omega$  (other than its dimension), it is called a universal inequality. Universal inequality is an important area in the study of the spectrum of  $\Delta$  and many works have been done during the past decades. Now we give a brief introduction of the main results.

The study of universal inequalities for (1.1) was initiated by Payne, Pólya, and Weinberger [12] in 1956, they proved

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \le \frac{2}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$$

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email address: yongluo-math@cqut.edu.cn

for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Exactly by the same proof, their result can promoted to dimension n as

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \le \frac{4}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$$

for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In 1980, Hile and Protter [7] proved

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \ge \frac{kn}{4}.$$

In 1991, Yang [15] proved

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left( \lambda_{k+1} - \left( 1 + \frac{4}{n} \right) \lambda_i \right) \le 0,$$

which is called Yang's first inequality. It can be derived from this inequality that

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i,$$

which is called Yang's second inequality.

When M is isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , Chen and Cheng [3] and Soufi, Harrel and Ilias [13] have proved independently that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left( \lambda_i + \frac{n^2}{4} H_0^2 \right), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $H_0 = \max_{x \in \Omega} |\mathbf{H}|$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  is the mean curvature vector of M.

Next we consider the eigenvalue problem for the biharmonic operator or the so-called clamped plate problem, which is given by

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \Gamma u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1.3)

where  $\nu$  denotes the outward unit normal to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

The study on universal inequalities for eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem can be traced back to 1950s. When M is a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , Payne, Pólya and Weinberger [12] in 1956 proved that the eigenvalues  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of the problem (1.3) satisfy

$$\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_k \le \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i.$$
 (1.4)

In 1984, Hile and Yeh [8] strengthened (1.4) and obtained

$$\frac{n^2 k^{3/2}}{8n+2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\Gamma_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i}.$$

In 1990, Chen and Qian [4], and Hook [9] independently proved the following inequality

$$\frac{n^2 k^2}{8(n+2)} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i^{1/2}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\Gamma_i^{1/2}}{\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i}\right).$$

In Ashbaugh's survey paper [1] on recent developments on eigenvalue problems, he asked if we can establish an analog of Yang's first inequality for the eigenvalues of the clamped plate problem. In 2006, Cheng and Yang solved this problem in [6] by proving that

$$\Gamma_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Gamma_i \le \left[ \frac{8(n+2)}{n^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[ \Gamma_i \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In 2010, Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka [5] proved that if M is an n-dimensional submanifold isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space with mean curvature vector  ${\bf H}$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i \right)^2 \le \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i \right) \left( n^2 H_0^2 + (2n+4) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \left( n^2 H_0^2 + 4 \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $H_0 = \sup_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|$ .

In 2011, Wang and Xia [14] strengthened the inequality (1.5) by Cheng, Ichikawa and Mametsuka and obtained

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \left( n^2 H_0^2 + (2n+4) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) \left( n^2 H_0^2 + 4 \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2}.$$
(1.6)

In this paper we study eigenvalues of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem and the clamped plate problem on compact domains in complete Riemannian manifolds. When the manifolds are isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space, by using Sobolev type inequalities, we obtain several new inequalities which involve integral of the mean curvature vector field. We have

**Theorem 1.1.** Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold  $(n \geq 3)$  and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  in M. Let  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  denotes the space of  $C^{\infty}$  functions with compact support in M. Assume that M is isometrically immersed in  $R^m$  with mean curvature vector  $\mathbf{H}$ , and there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ ,

$$\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}\right)^{(n-2)/n} \le C_1 \int_{M} |\nabla u|^2. \tag{1.7}$$

Denote by  $\lambda_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the problem (1.1). Then

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \left(4 + n^2 C_1 |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^n(\Omega)}^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)} = (\int_{\Omega} |H|^n dvol_g)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Under the same assumption as in the Theorem 1.1, and denote by  $\Gamma_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the clamped plate problem (1.3). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \left( n^2 C_1 |||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)}^2 + 2n + 4 \right) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) \left( n^2 C_1 |||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \right) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2}.$$
(1.9)

Since  $||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)} \leq ||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(M)}$ , directly from Theorems 1.1, 1.2 we obtain the following universal inequalities.

Corollary 1.3. Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold  $(n \geq 3)$  and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  in M. Let  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  denotes the space of  $C^{\infty}$  functions with compact support in M. Assume that M is isometrically immersed in  $R^m$  with mean curvature vector  $\mathbf{H}$ , and there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ ,

$$\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}\right)^{(n-2)/n} \le C_1 \int_{M} |\nabla u|^2.$$

Denote by  $\lambda_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the problem (1.1). Then

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \left(4 + n^2 C_1 |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^n(M)}^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i.$$

Corollary 1.4. Under the same assumption as in the Theorem 1.1, and denote by  $\Gamma_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the clamped plate problem (1.3). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \le \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \left( n^2 C_1 |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^n(M)}^2 + 2n + 4 \right) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) \left( n^2 C_1 |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^n(M)}^2 + 4 \right) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

**Remark 1.5.** Let (M,g) be a complete Riemannian n-manifold of infinite volume. Let  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  be the space of  $C^{\infty}$  functions with compact support in M and  $\lambda_1^D(M)$  denotes the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the Dirichlet problem on  $\Omega$ . The following two statements

are equivalent.

(1) There exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ ,

$$\left(\int_{M} |u|^{2n/(n-2)}\right)^{(n-2)/n} \le C_0 \int_{M} |\nabla u|^2$$

(2) There exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that for any  $\Omega \subset \subset M$ ,  $\lambda_1^D(\Omega) \geq \Lambda Vol_g(\Omega)^{-2/n}$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and  $\Omega$  a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  in M. Assume that M is isometrically immersed in  $R^m$  with mean curvature vector  $\mathbf{H}$ . Suppose that  $\||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)}C_2 < 1$ , where  $C_2$  is the constant in Michael-Simon's Sobolev inequality. Denote by  $\lambda_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the problem (1.1). Then

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \left(4 + n^2 C_s \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^n(\Omega)}^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i, \tag{1.10}$$

where 
$$C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1-\||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)}C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$$
.

**Theorem 1.7.** Under the same assumption as in the Theorem 1.6, and denote by  $\Gamma_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the clamped plate problem (1.3). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \left( n^2 C_s \| |\mathbf{H}| \|_{L^n(\Omega)} + 2n + 4 \right) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) \left( n^2 C_s \| |\mathbf{H}| \|_{L^n(\Omega)} + 4 \right) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2}, \tag{1.11}$$

where 
$$C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1 - \|\|\mathbf{H}\|\|_{L^n(\Omega)}C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$$
.

From Theorems 1.6, 1.7, we obtain the following universal inequalities.

Corollary 1.8. Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and  $\Omega$  a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  in M. Assume that M is isometrically immersed in  $R^m$  with mean curvature vector  $\mathbf{H}$ . Suppose that  $\||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(M)}C_2 < 1$ , where  $C_2$  is the constant in Michael-Simon's Sobolev inequality. Denote by  $\lambda_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the problem (1.1). Then

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \left(4 + n^2 C_s \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^n(M)}^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i,$$

where 
$$C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1 - \||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(M)}C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$$
.

Corollary 1.9. Under the same assumption as in the Theorem 1.6, and denote by  $\Gamma_i$  the ith eigenvalue of the clamped plate problem (1.3). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \left( n^2 C_s |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^n(M)}^2 + 2n + 4) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) \left( n^2 C_s |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^n(M)}^2 + 4) \Gamma_i^{1/2} \right) \right\}^{1/2},$$

where 
$$C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1-\||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(M)}C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$$
.

## 2 Michael-Simon's type Sobolev inequality

The Michael-Simon's Sobolev inequality is well known as the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1** ([11]). Let M be an n-dimensional submanifold immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  with mean carvature vector  $\mathbf{H}$ . Then for any function  $h \in C_0^1(M)$ , we have

$$\left(\int_{M} |h|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \le C_2 \left(\int_{M} |\nabla h| + \int_{M} |\mathbf{H}| |h|\right),$$

where  $C_2$  is a constant depends only on dimension n.

In the latter sections we will need the following corollary proved by Li, Xu and Zhou for  $\Omega = M$ :

**Lemma 2.2** ([10]). Let M be an n-dimensional submanifold immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  with mean carvature vector  $\mathbf{H}$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a smooth open domain in M and  $\|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^n(\Omega)}C_2 < 1$ , where  $C_2$  is the constant in Lemma 2.1. Then for any  $f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \le C_s \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2,$$

where 
$$C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1 - \|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$$
.

*Proof.* For the reader's convenience, we give a proof of this lemma here. For a function  $h \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  as in Lemma 2.1, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{M} |\mathbf{H}| |h| \le \left( \int_{\Omega} |h|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} ||\mathbf{H}||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}.$$

Therefore by the Michael-Simon's Sobolev inequality in Lemma 2.1 and the inequality above we get

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |h|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{C_2}{1 - \|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} C_2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|.$$

Now for any  $f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  , let  $h = f^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}}$  , we get

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{C_2}{1 - \|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{\frac{n}{n-2}} |\nabla f| \\
\leq \frac{C_2}{1 - \|\mathbf{H}\| C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \left(\int_{M} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{M} |\nabla f|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then the conclusion follows easily. Substituting (5.4) into (5.1), we can prove (1.10).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof.* Assume that  $u_i$ , i = 1,...k, are eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet problem with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ , such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i u_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

The first part of the proof can be found in [13]. To prove Theorem 1.1 we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any smooth function F on M and any positive integer k one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \langle [-\Delta, F] u_i, F u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \| [-\Delta, F] u_i \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

where  $[\cdot,\cdot]$  denotes the commutator of two operators B and C via [B,C]=BC-CB.

This lemma can be found in [2], so we skip the proof here.

Now let  $X: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be an isometric immersion, and  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  the components of the immersion X. A straightforward calculation gives

$$[-\Delta, X_{\alpha}] u_i = (-\Delta X_{\alpha}) u_i - 2\nabla X_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla u_i.$$

By integrating by parts we obtain

$$\langle [-\Delta, X_{\alpha}] u_i, X_{\alpha} u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_{\alpha}|^2 u_i^2.$$

thus

$$\sum_{\alpha} \langle [-\Delta, X_{\alpha}] u_i, X_{\alpha} u_i \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla X_{\alpha}|^2 u_i^2 = n \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 = n$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|[-\Delta, X_{\alpha}] u_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left( (-\Delta X_{\alpha}) u_i - 2\nabla X_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla u_i \right)^2.$$

Since X is an isometric immersion, it follows that

$$(\Delta X_1, \dots, \Delta X_m) = n\mathbf{H}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\nabla X_\alpha \cdot \nabla u_i)^2 = |\nabla u_i|^2$$

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m (-\Delta X_\alpha) u_i \nabla X_\alpha \cdot \nabla u_i = \frac{n}{2} \mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla u_i^2 = 0.$$

Using all these facts, we get

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \| [-\Delta, X_{\alpha}] u_i \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = n^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|^2 u_i^2 + 4 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 = \lambda_i,$$

using Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left( n^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|^2 u_i^2 + 4\lambda_i \right), \tag{3.1}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|^2 u_i^2 \le ||u_i||_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}^2 |||\mathbf{H}||_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(\Omega)}^2.$$

Letting  $p = \frac{n}{n-2}$  and using Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|^2 u_i^2 \le C_1 \|\nabla u_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^n(\Omega)}^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1), we can prove (1.8).

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The first part of the proof can be found in [14]. To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** ([14]). Let  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i=1, \ldots, k+1$ , be the ith eigenvalue of the Clamped plate problem and  $u_i$  the orthonormal eigenfunction corresponding to  $\Gamma_i$ , that is,

$$\Delta^{2} u_{i} = \Gamma_{i} u_{i} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u_{i}|_{\partial \Omega} = \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \nu}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$
$$\int_{M} u_{i} u_{j} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k+1.$$

Then for any smooth function  $h: \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 |\nabla h|^2 
\leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} \left( u_i^2 (\Delta h)^2 + 4 \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle^2 + u_i \Delta h \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle \right) - 2u_i |\nabla h|^2 \Delta u_i \right) 
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)}{\delta} \int_{\Omega} \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle + \frac{u_i \Delta h}{2} \right)^2,$$
(4.1)

where  $\delta$  is any positive constant.

*Proof.* For i = 1, ..., k, consider the functions  $\phi_i : \Omega \to \mathbf{R}$  given by

$$\phi_i = hu_i - \sum_{j=1}^k r_{ij}u_j,$$

where

$$r_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} h u_i u_j.$$

Since  $\phi_i|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial v}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j \phi_i = 0, \forall i, j = 1, \dots, k,$$

it follows from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality that

$$\Gamma_{k+1} \le \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_i \Delta^2 \phi_i}{\int_{\Omega} \phi_i^2}.\tag{4.2}$$

We have

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi_{i} \Delta^{2} \phi_{i} = \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i} \left( \Delta^{2} (hu_{i}) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{ij} \Gamma_{j} u_{j} \right) 
= \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i} \Delta^{2} (hu_{i}) 
= \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i} \left( \Delta (u_{i} \Delta h) + 2\Delta \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla h, \nabla (\Delta u_{i}) \rangle + \Delta h \Delta u_{i} + \Gamma_{i} h u_{i} \right) 
= \Gamma_{i} \|\phi_{i}\|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i} \left( \Delta (u_{i} \Delta h) + 2\Delta \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla h, \nabla (\Delta u_{i}) \rangle + \Delta h \Delta u_{i} \right) 
= \Gamma_{i} \|\phi_{i}\|^{2} + \int_{\Omega} h u_{i} \left( \Delta (u_{i} \Delta h) + 2\Delta \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla h, \nabla (\Delta u_{i}) \rangle + \Delta h \Delta u_{i} \right) 
- \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{ij} s_{ij} \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\|\phi_i\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \phi_i^2$  and

$$s_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} u_j \left( \Delta \left( u_i \Delta h \right) + 2\Delta \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla \left( \Delta u_i \right) \right\rangle + \Delta h \Delta u_i \right).$$

Multiplying the equation  $\Delta^2 u_i = \Gamma_i u_i$  by  $hu_j$ , we have

$$hu_j \Delta^2 u_i = \Gamma_i h u_i u_j. \tag{4.4}$$

Changing the roles of i and j, one gets

$$hu_i \Delta^2 u_j = \Gamma_j hu_i u_j. \tag{4.5}$$

Subtracting (4.4) from (4.5) and integrating the resulted equation on  $\Omega$  , we get by using the divergence theorem that

$$(\Gamma_{j} - \Gamma_{i}) r_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \left( h u_{i} \Delta^{2} u_{j} - h u_{j} \Delta^{2} u_{i} \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta \left( h u_{i} \right) \Delta u_{j} - \Delta \left( h u_{j} \right) \Delta u_{i} \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( \left( u_{i} \Delta h + 2 \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle \right) \Delta u_{j} - \left( \left( u_{j} \Delta h + 2 \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{j} \right\rangle \right) \Delta u_{i} \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( u_{j} \left( \Delta \left( u_{i} \Delta h \right) + 2 \Delta \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle \right) - u_{j} \Delta h \Delta u_{i} + 2 u_{j} \operatorname{div} \left( \Delta u_{i} \nabla h \right) \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} u_{j} \left( \Delta \left( u_{i} \Delta h \right) + 2 \Delta \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle + \Delta h \Delta u_{i} + 2 \left\langle \nabla \Delta u_{i}, \nabla h \right\rangle \right)$$

$$= s_{ij}, \tag{4.6}$$

where for a vector field Z on  $\Omega$ , div Z denotes the divergence of Z. Observe that

$$\int_{\Omega} h u_{i} \left( \Delta \left( u_{i} \Delta h \right) + 2 \Delta \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle + 2 \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla \left( \Delta u_{i} \right) \right\rangle + \Delta h \Delta u_{i} \right) 
= \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta \left( h u_{i} \right) u_{i} \Delta h + 2 \Delta \left( h u_{i} \right) \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle - 2 \Delta u_{i} \operatorname{div} \left( h u_{i} \nabla h \right) + h u_{i} \Delta h \Delta u_{i} \right) 
= \int_{\Omega} \left( u_{i}^{2} (\Delta h)^{2} + 4 \left( \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle^{2} + u_{i} \Delta h \left\langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \right\rangle \right) - 2 u_{i} |\nabla h|^{2} \Delta u_{i} \right).$$
(4.7)

It follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) ||\phi_i||^2$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( u_i^2 (\Delta h)^2 + 4 \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle^2 + u_i \Delta h \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle \right) - 2u_i |\nabla h|^2 \Delta u_i \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \Gamma_i - \Gamma_j \right) r_{ij}^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Set

$$t_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} u_j \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle + \frac{u_i \Delta h}{2} \right),$$

then

$$t_{ij} + t_{ji} = 0,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} -2\phi_{i} \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta h}{2} \right) = \int_{\Omega} \left( -2hu_{i} \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle - u_{i}^{2} h \Delta h + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{ij} t_{ij} \right) 
= \int_{\Omega} u_{i}^{2} |\nabla h|^{2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{ij} t_{ij}.$$
(4.9)

Multiplying (4.9) by  $(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2$  and using the Schwarz inequality and (4.8), we get

$$(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_{i}^{2} |\nabla h|^{2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{ij} t_{ij} \right)$$

$$= (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \int_{M} -2\phi_{i} \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta h}{2} \right)$$

$$= (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \int_{M} -2\phi_{i} \left( \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta h}{2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{ij} u_{j} \right)$$

$$\leq \delta \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right)^{3} ||\phi_{i}||^{2} + \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})}{\delta} \int_{M} \left| \langle h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta h}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{ij} u_{j} \right|^{2}$$

$$= \delta \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right)^{3} ||\phi_{i}||^{2} + \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})}{\delta} \int_{\Omega} \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta h}{2} \right)^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{ij}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq \delta \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right)^{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( u_{i}^{2} (\Delta h)^{2} + 4 \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle^{2} + u_{i} \Delta h \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle \right) - 2u_{i} |\nabla h|^{2} \Delta u_{i} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{i} - \Gamma_{j}) r_{ij}^{2} \right) + \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})}{\delta} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta h}{2} \right)^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{ij}^{2} \right). \tag{4.10}$$

Summing over i from 1 to k for (4.10) and noticing

$$r_{ij} = r_{ji}, \ t_{ij} = -t_{ji},$$

we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 |\nabla h|^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) (\Gamma_i - \Gamma_j) r_{ij} t_{ij}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \delta \int_{\Omega} \left( u_i^2 (\Delta h)^2 + 4 \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle^2 + u_i \Delta h \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle \right) - 2u_i |\nabla h|^2 \Delta u_i \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)}{\delta} \int_{\Omega} \left( \langle \nabla h, \nabla u_i \rangle + \frac{u_i \Delta h}{2} \right)^2$$

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i) \delta (\Gamma_i - \Gamma_j)^2 r_{ij}^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)}{\delta} t_{ij}^2, \tag{4.11}$$

which implies (4.1).

*Proof.* Now we begin to prove Theorem 1.2 by using the lemma above.

Let  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, ..., m$ , be the components of the position vector X of M in  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Taking  $h = X_{\alpha}$  in (4.1) and summing over  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} u_{i}^{2} |\nabla X_{\alpha}|^{2} 
\leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} \left( u_{i}^{2} (\Delta X_{\alpha})^{2} + 4 \left( \langle \nabla X_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{i} \rangle^{2} \right) \right) 
+ u_{i} \Delta X_{\alpha} \langle \nabla X_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{i} \rangle - 2u_{i} |\nabla X_{\alpha}|^{2} \Delta u_{i}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})}{\delta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} \left( \langle \nabla X_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{i} \rangle + \frac{u_{i} \Delta X_{\alpha}}{2} \right)^{2}, \tag{4.12}$$

Since M is isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} |\nabla X_{\alpha}|^2 = n,$$

hence we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \int_{\Omega} u_i^2 |\nabla X_{\alpha}|^2 = n.$$

Also, we have

$$\Delta (X_1, \dots, X_m) \equiv (\Delta X_1, \dots, \Delta X_m) = n\mathbf{H}$$
$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \langle \nabla X_\alpha, \nabla u_i \rangle^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m (\nabla u_i (X_\alpha))^2 = |\nabla u_i|^2$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \Delta X_{\alpha} \langle \nabla X_{\alpha}, \nabla u_{i} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \Delta X_{\alpha} \nabla u_{i} (X_{\alpha}) = \langle n\mathbf{H}, \nabla u_{i} \rangle = 0.$$

Substituting these facts into (4.12), we obtain

$$n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( n^{2} u_{i}^{2} |\mathbf{H}|^{2} + 4 |\nabla u_{i}|^{2} - 2n u_{i} \Delta u_{i} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})}{\delta} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_{i}|^{2} + \frac{n^{2} u_{i}^{2} |\mathbf{H}|^{2}}{4} \right)$$

$$\leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})^{2} \left( n^{2} C_{1} |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2} + (2n+4) \right) \Gamma_{i}^{1/2}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i})}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{n^{2} C_{1} |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2}}{4} \right) \Gamma_{i}^{1/2}. \tag{4.13}$$

Here in the last inequality, we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 = -\int_{\Omega} u_i \Delta u_i \le \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_i)^2\right)^{1/2} = \Gamma_i^{1/2}.$$
 (4.14)

Taking

$$\delta = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right) \left( \left( 1 + \frac{n^{2} C_{1} |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2}}{4} \right) \Gamma_{i}^{1/2} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right)^{2} \left( \left( n^{2} C_{2} |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2} + (2n+4) \right) \Gamma_{i}^{1/2} \right)} \right\}^{1/2}$$

in (4.13), one gets (1.9).

### 5 Proof of Theorem 1.6

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \left( \int_{\Omega} n^2 |\mathbf{H}|^2 u_i^2 + 4\lambda_i \right), \tag{5.1}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|^2 u_i^2 \le \|u_i\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(\Omega)}^2 \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega)}^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Taking  $p = \frac{n}{2}$ , using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \le C_s \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2,\tag{5.3}$$

where  $C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1 - \||H|\|_{L^n(\Omega)} C_2} \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$ . Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{H}|^{2} u_{i}^{2} \leq C_{s} \|\nabla u_{i}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$= C_{s} \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2} \lambda_{i}. \tag{5.4}$$

Substituting (5.4) into (5.1), we can prove (1.10).

#### Proof of Theorem 1.7 6

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$n \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \le \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)^2 \int_{\Omega} \left( n^2 u_i^2 |\mathbf{H}|^2 + 4 |\nabla u_i|^2 - 2n u_i \Delta u_i \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(\Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_i)}{\delta} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_i|^2 + \frac{n^2 u_i^2 |\mathbf{H}|^2}{4} \right)$$
(6.1)

Using (4.14),(5.2) and (5.3), we obtain

$$\int_{M} |\mathbf{H}|^{2} u_{i}^{2} \leq C_{s} \|\nabla u_{i}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2} 
\leq C_{s} \||\mathbf{H}|\|_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2} \Gamma_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(6.2)

where  $C_s = \left(\frac{C_2}{1-||\mathbf{H}||_{L^n(\Omega)}C_2}\frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}\right)^2$ . Substituting (6.2) into (6.1) , and taking

$$\delta = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right) \left( \left( 1 + \frac{n^{2} C_{s} |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2}}{4} \right) \Gamma_{i}^{1/2} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \Gamma_{k+1} - \Gamma_{i} \right)^{2} \left( \left( n^{2} C_{s} |||\mathbf{H}|||_{L^{n}(\Omega)}^{2} + (2n+4) \right) \Gamma_{i}^{1/2} \right)} \right\}^{1/2}$$

we can prove (1.11).

# Acknowledgement

The work is supported by the National NSF of China (Grant no. 12271069). The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful comments and suggestions which make this paper more readable.

## References

- M. S. Ashbaugh, Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues. In: Davies, E.B., Safalov, Yu. (eds.) Spectral Theory and Geometry (Edingurgh, 1998), London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, vol. 273 (1999), pp. 95–139. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [2] M. S. Ashbaugh and L. Hermi, A unified approach to universal inequalities for eigenvalues of elliptic operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **217** (2004), 201–219.
- [3] D. G. Chen, Q. M. Cheng, Extrinsic estimates for eigenvalues of the Laplace operator, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **60** (2008), no.2, 325–339.
- [4] Z. C. Chen, C. L. Qian, Estimates for discrete spectrum of Laplacian operator with any order, J. China Univ. Sci. Technol. **20** (1990), 259–266.
- [5] Q. M. Cheng, T. Ichikawa, S. Mametsuka, Estimates for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **62** (2010), 673–686.
- [6] Q. M. Cheng, H. C. Yang, Inequalities for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), no.6, 2625–2635.
- [7] G. N. Hile, M. H. Protter, Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 29 (1980), no.4, 523–538.
- [8] G. N. Hile, R. Z. Yeh, Inequalities for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator, *Pacific J. Math.* **112** (1984), no.1, 115–133.
- [9] S. M. Hook, Domain independent upper bounds for eigenvalues of elliptic operator, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 318 (1990), 615–642.
- [10] Y. W. Li, X. W. Xu, J. R. Zhou, The complete hyper-surfaces with zero scalar curvature in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 44 (2013), no. 4, 401–416.
- [11] J. H. Michael, L. M. Simon, Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (1973), 361–379.
- [12] L.E. Payne, G. Pölya, H. F. Weinberger, On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues, *J. Math. and Phys.* **35** (1956),289–298.
- [13] A. Soufi, E. M. Harrel II, S. Ilias, Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators on submanifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), no.5, 2337–2350.
- [14] Q. L. Wang, C. Y. Xia, Inequalities for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem, Calc. Var. and Partial Differential Equations 40 (2011), 273–289.
- [15] H. C. Yang, An estimate of the difference between consecutive eigenvalues, Preprint IC/91/60 of ICTP (1991), Trieste.

YONG LUO
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER OF MATHEMATICS,
CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
CHONGQING, 400054, CHINA
yongluo-math@cqut.edu.cn

XIANJING ZHENG
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER OF MATHEMATICS,
CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY,
CHONGQING, 400054, CHINA
1311169535@qq.com