On the $K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay.

E. K. Karkaryan *

I.E. Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, Lebedev Physical Institute, 53 Leninskiy Prospekt, Moscow, 119991, Russia

K. V. Kiselev

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia

V. F. Obraztsov

NRC "Kurchatov Institute" -IHEP. 142281 Protvino, Russia

I. V. Surkov

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia

M. I. Vysotsky

I.E. Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, Lebedev Physical Institute, 53 Leninskiy Prospekt, Moscow, 119991, Russia

In the recent paper [1] the experimental bound $Br(K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu) < 5.4 \times 10^{-8}$ at the 90% confidence level was obtained, that is 65 times lower than the value currently listed by [2]. However the estimate $Br(K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu) =$ 2.5×10^{-12} [3] obtained within the chiral perturbation theory is too small for the experimental observation of this decay. As it is emphasized in [3] the small value of the decay probability under consideration with respect to the probability of the experimentally observed decay $K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ is due to the five-particle phase volume suppression.

Let us compare four- and five-particle phase volumes starting with the calculation of the four-particle phase volume characterizing $K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay. To perform the calculation the recurrent formula allowing to reduce the *n*-particle phase volume to the product of *i*- and *j*-particle ones (n = i + j) [4] is used (see Fig.1):

$$dV_n(s; m_1^2, m_2^2, ..., m_n^2) = \int \frac{dQ_1^2}{2\pi} \frac{dQ_2^2}{2\pi} dV_2(s; Q_1^2, Q_2^2) \times dV_i(Q_1^2; m_1^2, ..., m_i^2) \times dV_j(Q_2^2; m_{i+1}^2, ..., m_{i+j}^2).$$
(1)

s m_{i+j}

Figure 1: Illustration of the recurrent formula (1)

Denoting the sum of e^+ and ν momenta as Q_1 , and the sum of two π^0 mesons momenta as Q_2 , neglecting the



^{*}karkaryan@bk.ru

positron and neutrino masses and using the expression for the two-particle phase volume (see Fig.2), we obtain:

$$V_4(s = m_K^2; 0, 0, m_{\pi^0}^2, m_{\pi^0}^2) = \int \frac{dQ_1^2}{2\pi} \frac{dQ_2^2}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\left[s - \left(\sqrt{Q_1^2} - \sqrt{Q_2^2}\right)^2\right] \left[s - \left(\sqrt{Q_1^2} + \sqrt{Q_2^2}\right)^2\right]}}{8\pi s} \times \frac{1}{8\pi} \times \frac{\sqrt{Q_2^2(Q_2^2 - 4m_{\pi^0}^2)}}{8\pi Q_2^2}.$$
(2)



Figure 2: Illustration of the formula (2)

The integration should be performed in the following domain: $(m_K - 2m_{\pi^0})^2 > Q_1^2 > 0$, $(m_K - \sqrt{Q_1^2})^2 > Q_2^2 > 4m_{\pi^0}^2$. Substituting dimensionless variables $x = Q_1^2/m_K^2$, $y = Q_2^2/m_K^2$ we get:

$$V_{4} = \frac{m_{K}^{4}}{2^{11}\pi^{5}} \int_{0}^{(1-2m_{\pi^{0}}/m_{K})^{2}} dx \int_{(2m_{\pi^{0}}/m_{K})^{2}}^{(1-\sqrt{x})^{2}} dy \sqrt{\left[1 - \left(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}\right)^{2}\right] \left[1 - \left(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}\right)^{2}\right]} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\left(4m_{\pi^{0}}/m_{K}\right)^{2}}{y}} = 7.1 \times 10^{-3} \frac{m_{K}^{4}}{2^{11}\pi^{5}}$$
(3)

For the calculation of the five-particle phase volume, describing the $K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay, we need the expression for the phase volume of three π^0 mesons. Taking into consideration the kinematics the non-relativistic approximation $\sqrt{s} = 3m_{\pi^0} + \Delta$, $\Delta \ll m_{\pi^0}$ can be used. Using the recurrent formula [4] (see Fig.3)

$$dV_n(s; m_1^2, m_2^2, ..., m_n^2) = \int \frac{dQ^2}{2\pi} dV_{n-1}(Q^2; m_1^2, ..., m_{n-1}^2) \times dV_2(s; Q^2, m_n^2)$$
(4)

for the three-particle phase volume we obtain:

$$V_{3} = \int_{(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}}^{(\sqrt{s}-m_{3})^{2}} \frac{dQ^{2}}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\left[Q^{2}-(m_{1}-m_{2})^{2}\right]\left[Q^{2}-(m_{1}+m_{2})^{2}\right]}}{8\pi Q^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\left[s-\left(\sqrt{Q^{2}}-m_{3}\right)^{2}\right]\left[s-\left(\sqrt{Q^{2}}+m_{3}\right)^{2}\right]}}{8\pi s}.$$
 (5)



Figure 3: Illustration of the recurrent formula (4)

Assuming $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_{\pi^0}$ we get:

$$V_3 = \frac{\left(\sqrt{s} - 3m_{\pi^0}\right)^2}{2^6 3\sqrt{3}\pi^2}.$$
(6)



Figure 4: Illustration of the formula (7)

For the five-particle phase volume using (1) we obtain (see Fig.4):

$$dV_5(m_K^2; 0, 0, m_{\pi^0}^2, m_{\pi^0}^2, m_{\pi^0}^2) = \int \frac{dQ_1^2}{2\pi} \frac{dQ_2^2}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\left[s - \left(\sqrt{Q_1^2} - \sqrt{Q_2^2}\right)^2\right] \left[s - \left(\sqrt{Q_1^2} + \sqrt{Q_2^2}\right)^2\right]}}{8\pi s} \times \frac{1}{8\pi} \times \frac{\left(\sqrt{Q_2^2} - 3m_{\pi^0}\right)^2}{2^6 3\sqrt{3}\pi^2}.$$
(7)

In this case the integration should be performed in the domain $(m_K - 3m_{\pi^0})^2 > Q_1^2 > 0$, $\left(m_K - \sqrt{Q_1^2}\right)^2 > Q_2^2 > 9m_{\pi^0}^2$. In terms of dimensionless variables we obtain:

$$V_{5} = \frac{m_{K}^{6}}{2^{14}3\sqrt{3}\pi^{6}} \int_{0}^{\left(1-3m_{\pi^{0}}/m_{K}\right)^{2}} dx \int_{\left(3m_{\pi^{0}}/m_{K}\right)^{2}}^{\left(1-\sqrt{x}\right)^{2}} dy \left(\sqrt{y} - \frac{3m_{\pi^{0}}}{m_{K}}\right)^{2} \sqrt{\left[1 - \left(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}\right)^{2}\right] \left[1 - \left(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}\right)^{2}\right]} = (8)$$
$$= 1.37 \times 10^{-6} \frac{m_{K}^{6}}{2^{14}3\sqrt{3}\pi^{6}}.$$

Finally for the ratio of the phase volumes taking into account that π^0 mesons are identical we get:

$$\frac{m_K^2 V_4/2!}{V_5/3!} = 2.1 \times 10^6.$$
(9)

Within the chiral perturbation theory for the widths ratio it was obtained in [3]:

$$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu)} \approx 3.4 \times 10^6.$$
(10)

Thereby the relative suppression of the K_{e5} decay widths originates from the smallness of the five-particle phase volume.

Thus in the paper [1] it was suggested, that pionium $A_{2\pi}{}^1$ production in the $K^+ \to \pi^0 A_{2\pi} e^+ \nu$ decay with the subsequent decay $A_{2\pi} \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ will lead to the considerable increase of the $K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay probability, since the five-particle phase volume is replaced by four-particle one in this case.

Let us calculate the phase volume of the $\pi^0 A_{2\pi} e^+ \nu$ state, formed in the K^+ meson decay. The formula (2) is replaced by the following one (see Fig.5):

$$V_{A} = \int \frac{dQ_{1}^{2}}{2\pi} \frac{dQ_{2}^{2}}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\left[s - \left(\sqrt{Q_{1}^{2}} - \sqrt{Q_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \left[s - \left(\sqrt{Q_{1}^{2}} + \sqrt{Q_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]}}{8\pi s} \times \frac{1}{8\pi} \times \frac{\sqrt{\left[Q_{2}^{2} - \left(\sqrt{4m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}} - \sqrt{m_{\pi^{0}}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \left[Q_{2}^{2} - \left(\sqrt{4m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}} + \sqrt{m_{\pi^{0}}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]}}{8\pi Q_{2}^{2}},$$
(11)

¹bound by the Coulomb interaction $\pi^+\pi^-$ -atom [5,6].



Figure 5: Illustration of the formula (11)

where we took into account that the pionium mass is close to the sum of the two charged pions masses. In the dimensionless variables we obtain:

$$V_{A} = \frac{m_{K}^{4}}{2^{11}\pi^{5}} \int_{0}^{\left(1 - \left(2m_{\pi^{+}} + m_{\pi^{0}}\right)/m_{K}\right)^{2}} dx \int_{\left(\left(2m_{\pi^{+}} + m_{\pi^{0}}\right)/m_{K}\right)^{2}}^{\left(1 - \sqrt{x}\right)^{2}} dy \sqrt{\left[1 - \left(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}\right)^{2}\right] \left[1 - \left(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}\right)^{2}\right]} \times$$
(12)

$$\times \sqrt{\left[1 - \frac{\left(2m_{\pi^{+}} - m_{\pi^{0}}\right)^{2}}{ym_{K}^{2}}\right] \left[1 - \frac{\left(2m_{\pi^{+}} + m_{\pi^{0}}\right)^{2}}{ym_{K}^{2}}\right]} = 0.83 \times 10^{-4} \frac{m_{K}^{4}}{2^{11}\pi^{5}}.$$

It leads to the increase of the decay probability by a factor

$$\frac{m_K^2 V_A}{V_5/3!} \approx 5 \times 10^4,$$
 (13)

which is in agreement with the hypothesis of the work [1]. Multiplying the theoretical result by this factor we get the probability of the decay exceeding about two times the upper limit obtained in the experiment [1](!). However the amplitude of the pionium production is proportional to its wave function at zero π mesons separation $\psi(0) = 1/\sqrt{\pi a_B^3} \sim \alpha^{3/2}/(2\sqrt{2\pi})$. It leads to the multiplication of this decay probability by a factor $\alpha^3/(8\pi) \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$, making it suppressed with respect to the $K^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay probability.

References

- [1] A. V. Kulik et al. (The OKA collaboration). The upper limit on the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ decay. JETP Lett. 120 (2024) 8, 554-558; arXiv: 2409.08817[hep-ex].
- [2] R. L. Workman et al. [Particle Data Group], PTEP 2022 (2022), 083C01 doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097
- [3] S. Blaser. K_{e5} decays in chiral perturbation theory. Phys.Lett.B.345 (1995) 287.
- [4] E. Byckling, K. Kajantie. Particle Kinematics. Wiley, London, 1973.
- [5] J. Uretsky and J. Palfrey. Photoproduction and Detection of the Two-Meson Bound State. Phys. Rev. 121 (1961) 1798.
- [6] B. Adeva et al. Determination of $\pi\pi$ scattering lengths from measurement of $\pi^+\pi^-$ atom lifetime. Phys. Lett. B 704 (2011) 24.