

# Anisotropic Born–Infeld- $f(R)$ Cosmologies

Salih Kibaroglu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Maltepe University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, 34857, Istanbul, Türkiye*

(Dated: December 23, 2024)

In this paper, we investigate anisotropic cosmological solutions within the framework of Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity, a modification of general relativity that incorporates higher-order curvature invariants. Specifically, we focus on the analysis of Bianchi type-I solutions, which provide a valuable framework for studying the evolution of spatial anisotropies in cosmology. By introducing a reconstruction scheme for this model, we explore the behavior of these solutions, analyze various cosmic evolution scenarios, including Starobinsky inflation, de Sitter-like expansion, power-law dynamics, and Big Rip-like evolution. Additionally, we examine the exponential and power-law behavior of the auxiliary metric function to illustrate its impact on the dynamics of cosmic evolution. Our analysis elucidates how the modifications introduced by Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity influence anisotropic dynamics, offering new perspectives on the late-time behavior of the universe and potential future singularities.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The formulation of general relativity (GR) by Albert Einstein in 1915 marked a pivotal moment in the history of theoretical physics, fundamentally altering our understanding of gravity and spacetime. This theory has been remarkably successful in explaining a wide range of gravitational phenomena, from the precession of Mercury's orbit to the expansion of the universe. However, despite its successes, general relativity faces significant challenges, particularly at the quantum level and in describing the very early universe. These limitations have spurred the development of modified theories of gravity, such as  $f(R)$  theories [1–3], which extend general relativity by introducing a more general dependence on the Ricci scalar  $R$ .

Born-Infeld (BI) gravity is a nonlinear generalization of Einstein's general relativity, inspired by the BI theory of electromagnetism [4], which was originally introduced to remove singularities in the electric field of point charges. This concept was later adapted to gravity, leading to the BI gravitational action, which features a square root determinant structure and introduces higher-order curvature terms. The resulting approach, in principle, avoids singularities like those found in black hole solutions [5]. However, the inclusion of higher-order curvature terms in this framework can lead to the emergence of ghost modes, which represent unphysical degrees of freedom that can destabilize the theory at the quantum level. To address the ghost problem, the Palatini formulation of BI gravity, inspired by Eddington's theory [6], offers a promising solution by avoiding the higher-order derivatives in the equations of motion that typically lead to ghost modes [7–9]. This approach is known as "Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld gravity" (EiBI). As a result, the implications of EiBI gravitational theory have been thoroughly explored across various fields, including cosmology [10–17], astrophysics [10, 18], black hole physics [19], and wormhole physics [18, 20]. Moreover, efforts have been made to extend EiBI gravitational theory to higher curvature scenarios by incorporating an  $f(R)$  Lagrangian into the original framework [21]. The combination of EiBI gravity with  $f(R)$  modifications has led to a rich framework known as BI- $f(R)$  gravity, allowing for a more comprehensive description of gravitational phenomena which holds promise for resolving some of the outstanding problems in modern cosmology and gravitational theory [21–27] (for a detailed review, see [28]).

---

\* [salikhkibaroglu@maltepe.edu.tr](mailto:salikhkibaroglu@maltepe.edu.tr)

Cosmological models frequently assume isotropy, implying that the universe appears identical in all directions. However, recent observations, such as those from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) dataset [29–31], highlight the need to extend beyond the standard isotropic and homogeneous cosmological framework. The inflationary paradigm, supported by observational evidence, demonstrates a remarkable ability to drive an initially anisotropic and inhomogeneous universe toward the isotropic and homogeneous FLRW geometry observed today. Nevertheless, achieving a comprehensive understanding of cosmic evolution requires relaxing the assumptions of perfect isotropy and homogeneity to include spatial anisotropy and inhomogeneity, along with an investigation of their evolution into the near-isotropic state observed at present. As a first step, Bianchi-type cosmological models provide a valuable framework, forming a broad and nearly complete class of relativistic cosmological models that preserve homogeneity but allow for deviations from isotropy. Over the past few decades, Bianchi cosmologies have gained renewed interest in observational cosmology, particularly due to WMAP data [29], which suggest that the standard cosmological model with a positive cosmological constant closely resembles the Bianchi morphology [32–36]. These findings indicate that the universe may have retained a slightly anisotropic spatial geometry, a result at odds with the predictions of conventional inflationary models [37–41]. Recently, a wide range of Bianchi cosmological models have been investigated from various perspectives, providing deeper insights into the nature of primordial fluctuations and the large-scale structure of the universe. These studies offer a promising avenue for addressing open questions in cosmology (see [42–51] for further details).

Integrating anisotropic cosmologies with BI gravity offers a novel approach to addressing these complexities, potentially leading to new predictions and a deeper understanding of cosmological evolution [52–54]. From this background, we direct our attention to the properties of the BI- $f(R)$  theory, with a particular emphasis on its implications for anisotropic cosmic evolution, including its potential to provide refined models of early universe dynamics and deviations from isotropy in the large-scale structure.

The paper is organized as follows: after giving a brief discussion of the BI- $f(R)$  theory in Section II, we introduce the solution of the field equation in the context of homogeneous and anisotropic universe model with Bianchi Type I metric. In Section III, we discuss the applications of BI- $f(R)$  gravity to specific cosmological scenarios by considering the reconstruction method in the context of axisymmetric solution of the anisotropic metric. The paper ends with some conclusive remarks and discussions in the final section.

## II. BORN-INFELD- $f(R)$ GRAVITY

Let us briefly review the standard BI- $f(R)$  theory [21] (see also [22, 23]). In this theory, the original EiBI gravity theory is combined with an additional  $f(R)$  that depends on the Ricci scalar  $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ . To avoid any ghost instabilities, the theory is formulated within the Palatini formalism (for more detail see [55, 56]), in which the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the connection  $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$  are treated as independent variables. The action for this theory is given by

$$S = \frac{2}{\kappa^2 \epsilon} \int d^4x \left[ \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon R_{\mu\nu}|} - \lambda \sqrt{-g} \right] + \frac{\alpha}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x [\sqrt{-g} f(R)] + S_m, \quad (1)$$

where the first term represents the standard BI gravitational Lagrangian and the second term is an additional function of the Ricci scalar.  $S_m$  is the matter action which is only coupled to the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  as dictated by the equivalence principle. The parameter  $\kappa$  is a constant with inverse dimensions to that of a cosmological constant and  $\lambda$  is a dimensionless constant. Note that  $g$  is the determinant of the metric. Throughout this paper, we will use Planck units  $8\pi G = 1$  and set the speed of light to  $c = 1$ .

In the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the BI Lagrangian reduces to the standard GR term, and the action simplifies to an  $f(R)$  theory. Alternatively, if we take the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , we retrieve the BI theory. When we take both limits, GR is naturally recovered. In this formulation, we assume vanishing torsion and a symmetric Ricci tensor. For the sake of simplicity,

we assume the conditions  $\kappa = 1$  and  $\alpha = 1$  throughout this paper.

The field equations can be derived from Eq.(1) through independent variation with respect to the metric and connection. The variation of this action with respect to the metric tensor leads to a modified metric field equations for the standard BI gravitational model,

$$\frac{\sqrt{-q}}{\sqrt{-g}} (q^{-1})^{\mu\nu} - \lambda g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} g^{\mu\nu} f(R) - \epsilon f_R R^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon T^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where  $f_R$  is the derivative of  $f(R)$  with respect to the Ricci scalar, and  $T^{\mu\nu}$  is the standard energy-momentum tensor. In the above equations, we have used the notation,

$$q_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon R_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

and we denoted the inverse of  $q_{\mu\nu}$  by  $(q^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$  and  $q$  represents the determinant of  $q_{\mu\nu}$ . Similarly, the connection  $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  variation has the form;

$$\nabla_\lambda (\sqrt{-q} q^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{-g} f_R g^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where the covariant derivative is taken with respect to the independent connection which is defined for a scalar field  $\phi$  as

$$\nabla_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi - \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha \phi. \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, it is well established in the literature on Palatini  $f(R)$  theories that the independent connection can be expressed in terms of an auxiliary metric  $h_{\mu\nu}$ , which is conformal to  $g_{\mu\nu}$  (for more detail, see [55]). Assuming that  $q_{\mu\nu}$  is conformally proportional to the metric tensor as

$$q_{\mu\nu} = k(t) g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (6)$$

thus Eq.(4) becomes,

$$\nabla_\nu [\sqrt{-u} (u^{-1})^{\mu\nu}] = 0. \quad (7)$$

In this case, we have an auxiliary metric defined as  $u_{\mu\nu} = [k(t) + f_R] g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $(u^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$  represents the inverse representation of  $u_{\mu\nu}$ . Eq.(7) tells us that the connection can be defined by this auxiliary metric as

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} (u^{-1})^{\rho\sigma} (u_{\sigma\nu,\mu} + u_{\mu\sigma,\nu} - u_{\mu\nu,\sigma}). \quad (8)$$

By considering the conformal relation between  $q_{\mu\nu}$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  in Eq.(6), we can easily say that the Ricci tensor must also be proportional to the metric tensor. Thus one can write the relationship between the Ricci tensor and  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} [k(t) - 1] g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (9)$$

### A. Examining the anisotropic cosmology

We now proceed to analyze a homogeneous and anisotropic space-time described by the Bianchi type-I metric, which represents the simplest generalization of the spatially flat FLRW metric. This class of metrics is characterized by

its spatial homogeneity but allows for directional anisotropy, making it a suitable framework for studying deviations from isotropy in the universe. The Bianchi type-I metric, expressed in the form:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A(t)^2 dx^2 + B(t)^2 dy^2 + C(t)^2 dz^2, \quad (10)$$

where  $A(t)$ ,  $B(t)$  and  $C(t)$  are the scale factors associated with the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes, respectively. It is worth noting that if all three scale factors are equal one obtains flat FLRW metric. We can define the average expansion scale factor as  $a(t) = (ABC)^{1/3}$  for this model. This model is spatially flat, which corresponds to the well-known fact that our Universe is spatially flat or almost spatially flat.

Additionally, the anisotropic nature of the expansion can be characterized by introducing the directional Hubble parameters and defining the mean Hubble parameter for the overall expansion. The directional Hubble parameters for different directions defined along the principal  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  axes;

$$H_x(t) = \frac{\dot{A}}{A}, \quad H_y(t) = \frac{\dot{B}}{B}, \quad H_z(t) = \frac{\dot{C}}{C}. \quad (11)$$

Here, the mean Hubble parameter becomes  $H(t) = (1/3)(H_x + H_y + H_z)$  and an additional parameter  $H_u(t) = \dot{u}/u$  is defined for the scalar parameter  $u(t)$ . One can also define the volume of the universe as  $V = ABC$ . Furthermore, the anisotropy parameter of the expansion is defined as

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \frac{H_i - H}{H} \right)^2, \quad (12)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $H_i$  denote the directional Hubble parameters corresponding to the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  axes, respectively. Here  $\Delta = 0$  condition indicates a state of isotropic expansion.

From this background, we can define the auxiliary metric as

$$u_{\mu\nu} = u(t) \text{diag} \left( -1, A(t)^2, B(t)^2, C(t)^2 \right), \quad (13)$$

where  $u(t) = k(t) + f_R$ . According to Eq.(9), we can define the Ricci tensor as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = r(t) g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (14)$$

In addition, it can also be shown that the function  $r(t)$  can be written as

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (u(t) - f_R - 1). \quad (15)$$

Now, one can find the  $r(t)$  function by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{y, y\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  components as follows, respectively

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{1}{2}H_x H_u + \frac{1}{2}H_y H_u + \frac{1}{2}H_z H_u + \frac{3}{2}\dot{H}_u, \quad (16)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u} + H_x H_y + H_x H_z + \frac{3}{2}H_x H_u + \frac{1}{2}H_y H_u + \frac{1}{2}H_z H_u, \quad (17)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u} + H_x H_y + H_y H_z + \frac{1}{2} H_x H_u + \frac{3}{2} H_y H_u + \frac{1}{2} H_z H_u, \quad (18)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u} + H_x H_z + H_y H_z + \frac{1}{2} H_x H_u + \frac{1}{2} H_y H_u + \frac{3}{2} H_z H_u, \quad (19)$$

where an overdot on a field variable denotes differentiation with respect to time  $t$ . Within this framework, by combining these equations, an alternative expression for  $r(t)$  can be derived in the following form:

$$r(t) = \frac{3}{4} H_u^2 + H_u (H_x + H_y + H_z) + H_x H_y + H_x H_z + H_y H_z, \quad (20)$$

Moreover, we can additionally express:

$$\frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} - H_x H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 - H_x H_y - H_x H_z = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} - H_y H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 - H_x H_y - H_y H_z = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} - H_z H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 - H_x H_z - H_y H_z = 0. \quad (23)$$

### III. RECONSTRUCTION METHOD TOGETHER WITH AXISYMMETRIC SOLUTION

In this section, we will explore a reconstruction method (for related approaches, see [57–61]) that possesses the intriguing capability of realizing any specified evolution with particular scale factors, enabling us to explicitly derive the BI- $f(R)$  model that corresponds to the given cosmological evolution.

The standard Bianchi type-I metric given in the previous section results in highly intricate field equations (14) together with Eqs.(16)-(19), making the application of the reconstruction method significantly challenging. To simplify the mathematical framework for the provided model, this study adopts the axisymmetric Bianchi type-I metric, which reduces the complexity of the equations while still capturing essential features of anisotropic cosmology. This approach facilitates a more tractable analysis and enables the derivation of viable cosmological solutions within the chosen theoretical framework. For this purpose, we consider  $A(t) = B(t)$  in the metric (10) as a specific subclass of the Bianchi type-I model that exhibits axisymmetry around the  $z$ -axis as described by the metric in Cartesian coordinates;

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A(t)^2 (dx^2 + dy^2) + C(t)^2 dz^2. \quad (24)$$

This metric, often referred to as the most general plane-symmetric line element [62], is constructed by selecting the  $xy$ -plane as the plane of symmetry. The specific form of the Bianchi-I metric selected above is motivated by physical sources exhibiting azimuthal symmetry, as discussed in references [35, 63, 64]. This spacetime configuration is also commonly referred to in the literature as the Eccentric or the Ellipsoidal Universe [64, 65]. Additionally, if we set

$A(t) = C(t)$  in the metric (24), without loss of generality by rescaling the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ , coordinates, the metric simplifies to its corresponding isotropic FLRW form.

### A. Inflationary period

To investigate a viable inflationary scenario, we focus on the Starobinsky inflation model [66–68], which has gained significant attention due to its compelling inflationary phenomenology and strong agreement with observational data, such as those provided by the Planck mission [69]. In this context, we consider the evolution of the Hubble parameter as a function of cosmic time, which characterizes the inflationary expansion and governs the dynamics of the early universe, given explicitly by:

$$H(t) = H_0 - \frac{M^2}{6}(t - t_i), \quad (25)$$

with  $H_0$ ,  $M$ , and  $t_i$  are arbitrary constants. Actually  $t_i$  represents the beginning of inflation, which can be considered as the horizon crossing of the large scale mode ( $\sim 0.05\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ), and moreover,  $H_0$  sets the inflationary energy scale at its onset. The slow roll parameter, defined by  $\epsilon_1 = -\dot{H}/H^2$ , corresponding to the above Hubble parameter comes as,

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{M^2}{6 \left\{ H_0^2 - \frac{M^2}{3}(t - t_i) \right\}}. \quad (26)$$

Clearly, for  $M < H_0$ , the slow roll parameter is less than unity at  $t = t_i$  which indicates an accelerated phase of the universe. Moreover, Eq.(26) also indicates that  $\epsilon_1$  is an increasing function of time, and eventually reaches to unity at

$$t_f = t_i + \frac{3H_0}{M^2} \left( H_0^2 - \frac{M^2}{6} \right), \quad (27)$$

which describes the end of inflation. Thereby unlike to the de-Sitter case, the Starobinsky inflation (where the Hubble parameter follows Eq.(25)) provides an exit of inflation. Furthermore the inflationary indices in the Starobinsky inflation, particularly the spectral index of scalar perturbation ( $n_s$ ) and the tensor-to-scalar ratio ( $r$ ) have the well known expressions:

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \frac{12}{N^2}, \quad (28)$$

where  $N$  is the total e-folding number of inflationary period. For  $N \approx 60$ , such observable indices are in good agreement with the Planck data [69], which makes the Starobinsky inflation a viable one. In this particular model, since the study of this model is done for early times, we can approximate this scale factor as follows,

$$a(t) \simeq a_0 e^{H_0 t + \frac{M^2}{6} t t_i}. \quad (29)$$

This is the general concept of the Starobinsky inflation model. In order to apply this concept to our model let us define the scale factors as follows;

$$A(t) = A_0 e^{H_{A_0} t + \frac{M_A^2}{6} t t_i}, \quad C(t) = C_0 e^{H_{C_0} t + \frac{M_C^2}{6} t t_i}. \quad (30)$$

From this background, considering Eq.(14) by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  terms we find the following

three equations,

$$r(t) = \frac{3}{2}\dot{H}_u + \frac{H_u}{6} \left[ \left( M_A^2 + \frac{M_C^2}{2} \right) t_i + 3(2H_{A0} + H_{C0}) \right] + \frac{1}{18} \left( M_A^4 + \frac{M_C^4}{2} \right) t_i^2 + \frac{1}{3} (2H_{A0}M_A^2 + H_{C0}M_C^2) t_i + (2H_{A0}^2 + H_{C0}^2), \quad (31)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u} + \frac{H_u}{12} [(4M_A^2 + M_C^2) t_i + 6(4H_{A0} + H_C)] + \frac{1}{36} (2M_A^4 + M_A^2M_C^2) t_i^2 + \frac{1}{6} [(4M_A^2 + M_C^2) H_{A0} + M_A^2H_{C0}] t_i + (2H_{A0}^2 + H_{A0}H_{C0}), \quad (32)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u} + \frac{H_u}{12} [(2M_A^2 + 3M_C^2) t_i + 6(2H_{A0} + 3H_C)] + \frac{1}{36} (M_C^4 + 2M_A^2M_C^2) t_i^2 + \frac{1}{3} [(M_A^2 + M_C^2) H_{C0} + M_C^2H_{A0}] t_i + (H_{C0}^2 + 2H_{A0}H_{C0}). \quad (33)$$

and subtracting Eq.(31) from Eq.(32) and (33) we get

$$-\frac{1}{6}H_u (M_A^2t_i + 6H_{A0}) - \frac{1}{36} (M_C^2t_i + 6H_{C0}) [(M_A^2 - M_C^2) t_i + 6(H_{A0} - H_{C0})] - \frac{3}{2}H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$-\frac{1}{6}H_u (M_C^2t_i + 6H_{C0}) + \frac{1}{18} (M_A^2t_i + 6H_{A0}) [(M_A^2 - M_C^2) t_i + 6(H_{A0} - H_{C0})] - \frac{3}{2}H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (35)$$

then solving Eq.(35) with respect to  $u(t)$  we obtain

$$u(t) = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{W}^2 e^{-\frac{(M_A^2 t_i + 6H_{A0})t}{6}}}{C_1 e^{-\frac{\mathcal{W}t}{6}} - C_2} \right]^2. \quad (36)$$

where we introduce

$$\mathcal{W} = (2M_A^2 - M_C^2) t_i + 6(2H_{A0} - H_{C0}) \quad (37)$$

for simplicity. Moreover by using Eq.(15), we assume the  $f(R)$  curvature function has the form of  $f(R) = \Phi(t)R$ . Therefore the auxiliary function can be found as

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{36 (C_1 e^{-\frac{\mathcal{W}t}{6}} - C_2)} \left\{ -8\epsilon C_1 C_2 [(M_A^2 - M_C^2) t_i + 6(H_{A0} - H_{C0})] \left[ \left( M_A^2 - \frac{M_C^2}{4} \right) t_i + 3 \left( H_{A0} - \frac{H_{C0}}{2} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{W}t} - 4\epsilon C_1^2 (M_A^2 t_i + 6H_{A0}) \left[ \left( M_A^2 - \frac{M_C^2}{4} \right) t_i + 3 \left( H_{A0} - \frac{H_{C0}}{2} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{4}{3}\mathcal{W}t} + 64\mathcal{W}^2 e^{-\frac{1}{3}(M_A^2 t_i + 6H_{A0})t} + \epsilon C_2^2 (M_C^2 t_i + 6H_{C0}) [(M_A^2 - M_C^2) t_i + 6(H_{A0} - H_{C0})] \right\} \quad (38)$$

In Fig. 1, we plot the functions  $u(t), \Phi(t)$  and the scale factors at early times, by choosing  $t_i = 10^{-35}$ sec. It is




Figure 1. Regarding the reconstruction of Starobinsky inflation, the figure on the left presents  $u(t)$  (solid line) as defined in Eq.(36) and  $\Phi(t)$  (dashed line) in Eq.(38). The figure on the right illustrates  $A(t)$  (solid line) and  $C(t)$  (dashed line) in Eq.(30) with parameters  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $H_{A0} = 10^{10}$ ,  $M_A = 10^{-5}$ ,  $c_0 = 10^{-4}$ ,  $H_{C0} = 3 \times 10^{10}$ ,  $M_A = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $C_1 = 1$  and  $C_2 = 2$ . For the left plot, note that  $\epsilon = 1$  (black line),  $\epsilon = 2$  (blue line),  $\epsilon = -1$  (red line).

important to note that time is measured in seconds; thus, the Hubble rate is expressed in  $\text{sec}^{-1}$  and the present time corresponds to  $t_p \sim 10^{17}\text{sec}$ .

## B. de Sitter like solution

The de Sitter universe is a solution to Einstein's field equations and this model is significant in the study of cosmological evolution, especially in the context of dark energy and the accelerated expansion of the universe. In this model the corresponding scale factor exponentially evolves with respect to the cosmic time. Inspired by this framework, let's consider our scale factors in the following forms;

$$A(t) = e^{h_1 t}, \quad C(t) = e^{h_2 t}. \quad (39)$$

where  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are constants. Therefore the auxiliary metric looks like

$$u_{\mu\nu} = u(t) \text{diag}(-1, e^{2h_1 t}, e^{2h_1 t}, e^{2h_2 t}). \quad (40)$$

From this background, considering Eq.(14) by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  terms we find the following three equations,

$$r(t) = 2h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h_1 H_u + \frac{h_2}{2} H_u + \frac{3}{2} \dot{H}_u, \quad (41)$$

$$r(t) = 2h_1^2 + h_1 h_2 + 2h_1 H_u + \frac{h_2}{2} H_u + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u}, \quad (42)$$

$$r(t) = h_2^2 + 2h_1 h_2 + h_1 H_u + \frac{3h_2}{2} H_u + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u}, \quad (43)$$

and subtracting Eq.(41) from Eq.(42) and (43) we get






Figure 2. Concerning the reconstruction of the de Sitter solution, these figures show  $u(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(46),  $\Phi(t)$  (dashdot line) in Eq.(47),  $A(t)$  (dashed line) and  $C(t)$  (dotted line) in Eq.(39) with the parameters  $h_1 = 0.5$ ,  $h_2 = 0.4$ ,  $C_1 = 1$  and  $C_2 = 1$  for left one and the parameters  $h_1 = 0.5$ ,  $h_2 = 0.4$ ,  $C_1 = 1$  and  $C_2 = 0.1$  for the right one.

$$h_2^2 - h_1 h_2 - h_1 H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$2h_1^2 - 2h_1 h_2 - h_2 H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (45)$$

then solving Eq.(45) with respect to  $u(t)$  we obtain

$$u(t) = \left[ \frac{2(2h_1 - h_2)}{e^{h_1 t} (C_1 e^{-(2h_1 - h_2)t} - C_2)} \right]^2. \quad (46)$$

Moreover by using Eq.(15), we assume the  $f(R)$  curvature function has the form of  $f(R) = \Phi(t) R$ . Therefore the auxiliary function can be found as

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{-4\epsilon C_1 \left(h_1 - \frac{h_2}{4}\right) e^{-(2h_1 - h_2)t} [C_1 h_1 e^{-(2h_1 - h_2)t} + 2C_2 (h_1 - h_2)] + \epsilon C_2^2 h_2 (h_1 - h_2) + 4(2h_1 - h_2)^2 e^{-2h_1 t}}{(C_1 e^{-(2h_1 - h_2)t} - C_2)^2} - 1. \quad (47)$$

### C. Power-law cosmology

This cosmological scenario refers to a class of solutions to the Friedmann equations where the scale factor  $a(t)$  evolves as a power of time like  $a(t) \propto t^n$  where  $n$  is a constant that depends on the dominant component of the universe's energy density. This type of evolution is characteristic of universes dominated by different types of matter or energy, such as radiation and matter. Solving the Friedmann equations for a radiation-dominated universe yields:  $a(t) \propto t^{1/2}$ . This leads to the equation of state (EoS) function  $w = 1/3$ , where  $w$  is the ratio of pressure to energy density. For a matter-dominated universe, the solution to the Friedmann equations is:  $a(t) \propto t^{2/3}$  ( $w = 0$ ). This describes the expansion of the universe during the matter-dominated era.

Let us examine the following case which the scale factors obey,

$$A(t) = A_0 \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{h_1}, \quad C(t) = C_0 \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{h_2}, \quad (48)$$

where  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are constants,  $t_0$  is present age of the universe and  $A_0$  and  $C_0$  are the present value of  $A(t)$  and  $C(t)$ , respectively. Therefore the auxiliary metric looks like

$$u_{\mu\nu} = u(t) \text{diag} \left( -1, A_0^2 \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{2h_1}, A_0^2 \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{2h_1}, C_0^2 \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{2h_1} \right). \quad (49)$$

From this background, considering Eq.(14) by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  terms we find the following three equations,

$$r(t) = \frac{2h_1^2 - 2h_1 - h_2 + h_2^2}{t^2} + \frac{h_1}{t} H_u + \frac{h_2}{2t} H_u + \frac{3}{2} \dot{H}_u, \quad (50)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{2h_1^2 - h_1 + h_1 h_2}{t^2} + \frac{2h_1}{t} H_u + \frac{h_2}{2t} H_u + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u}, \quad (51)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{2h_1 h_2 - h_2 + h_2^2}{t^2} + \frac{h_1}{t} H_u + \frac{3h_2}{2t} H_u + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u}, \quad (52)$$

and some combination of these equations satisfy

$$\frac{-h_1 - h_1 h_2 - h_2 + h_2^2}{t^2} - \frac{h_1}{t} H_u + \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{2h_1^2 - h_1 - 2h_1 h_2}{t^2} - \frac{h_2}{t} H_u + \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (54)$$

then solving Eq.(54) with respect to  $u(t)$  we obtain

$$u(t) = \left[ \frac{2(2h_1 - h_2 - 1)}{t^{h_1} (C_1 t^{-(2h_1 - h_2 - 1)} - C_2)} \right]^2. \quad (55)$$

Subsequently, using Eq.(15), one can find the exact expression for  $f(R) = \Phi(t) R$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) = & \frac{8}{\mathcal{M}^4} \left( h_1 - \frac{h_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{3}{2} \epsilon C_1^3 C_2 (h_1 - 1) t^{2h_1 + 3h_2 + 1} + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon C_1^2 C_2^2 (h_2 - 1) t^{4h_1 + 2h_2} \right. \\ & \left. + \epsilon C_1 C_2^3 (h_1 - h_2) t^{6h_1 + h_2 - 1} + \epsilon C_1^4 \left( h_1 - \frac{h_2}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \right) t^{4h_2 + 2} - 2 \frac{\mathcal{M}^4}{\mathcal{K}^2} \left( h_1 - \frac{h_2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) - 1, \quad (56) \end{aligned}$$




Figure 3. For the reconstruction of the power-law cosmology, these figures show  $u(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(55),  $\Phi(t)$  (dashdot line) in Eq.(56),  $A(t)$  (dashed line) and  $C(t)$  (dotted line) in Eq.(48) with the parameters  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $h_1 = 2$ ,  $h_2 = 1.5$ ,  $C_1 = 3$  and  $C_2 = 1$  for left one and the parameters  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $h_1 = 0.5$ ,  $h_2 = 0.4$ ,  $C_1 = 2$  and  $C_2 = 0.1$  for the right one.

where we have used the following expressions

$$\mathcal{K}(t) = C_1 t^{-2h_1+h_2+1} - C_2, \quad \mathcal{M}(t) = C_1 t^{h_2+1} - C_2 t^{2h_1}. \quad (57)$$

It is easy to see that at the condition  $2h_1 - h_2 = 1$  leads to  $f(R)$  goes to zero therefore the theory reduces the well-known BI theory.

#### D. The Big Rip-like evolution

The Big Rip is a hypothetical cosmological event that could potentially end the universe [70–72]. In this scenario, the scale factor, effective energy density, and effective pressure density diverge. This leads to a universal death, wherein everything in the universe is progressively torn apart. Let us consider the following scale factors;

$$A(t) = (t - t_s)^{a_0}, \quad C(t) = (t - t_s)^{c_0}, \quad (58)$$

where  $a_0$  and  $c_0$  are constants,  $t_0$  is present age of the universe. In our cosmological analysis, we have not accounted for the energy density and pressure terms, focusing instead on the geometric properties of the underlying space-time. As a result, the scale factors presented in Eq.(58) can be interpreted as a Big Rip-like evolution which signifies a catastrophic expansion of the universe.

By the help of Eq.(58), the auxiliary metric looks like

$$u_{\mu\nu} = u(t) \text{diag} \left( -1, (t - t_s)^{2a_0}, (t - t_s)^{2a_0}, (t - t_s)^{2c_0} \right). \quad (59)$$

From this background, considering Eq.(14) by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  terms, we find the following three equations,

$$r(t) = \frac{(2a_0^2 + c_0^2 - 2a_0 - c_0)}{(t - t_s)^2} + \frac{H_u}{t - t_s} \left( a_0 + \frac{c_0}{2} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \dot{H}_u, \quad (60)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{a_0(2a_0 + c_0 - 1)}{(t - t_s)^2} + \frac{2H_u}{t - t_s} \left( a_0 + \frac{c_0}{4} \right) + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u}, \quad (61)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{a_0(2a_0 + c_0 - 1)}{(t - t_s)^2} + \frac{H_u}{t - t_s} \left( a_0 + \frac{3c_0}{2} \right) + \frac{\ddot{u}}{2u}, \quad (62)$$

and some combination of these equations satisfy

$$\frac{a_0(c_0 + 1) + c_0 - c_0^2}{(t - t_s)^2} - \frac{a_0}{t - t_s} H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{2a_0(a_0 - c_0 - 1)}{(t - t_s)^2} - \frac{c_0}{t - t_s} H_u - \frac{3}{2} H_u^2 + \frac{\ddot{u}}{u} = 0, \quad (64)$$

then solving Eq.(64) with respect to  $u(t)$  we obtain

$$u(t) = \left[ \frac{2(t - t_s)^{-a_0} (2a_0 - c_0 - 1)}{(t - t_s)^{-2a_0 + c_0 + 1} - C_2} \right]^2. \quad (65)$$

Therefore, using Eq.(15), we can find the curvature function  $f(R) = \Phi(t)R$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) = & \frac{1}{\left[ C_1(t - t_s)^{c_0 + 1} - C_2(t - t_s)^{2a_0} \right]^6} \left\{ 8\epsilon C_1^5 C_2 \left( a_0 - \frac{c_0}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \right) (t - t_s)^{2a_0 + 5c_0 + 3} \right. \\ & + \epsilon C_1^4 C_2^2 [8a_0^2 - 33a_0c_0 + 17a_0 + 7c_0^2 + 19c_0 - 18] (t - t_s)^{4a_0 + 4c_0 + 2} \\ & - 4\epsilon C_1^3 C_2^3 [8a_0^2 - 13a_0c_0 - 3a_0 + 2c_0^2 + 9c_0 - 3] (t - t_s)^{6a_0 + 3c_0 + 1} \\ & + \epsilon C_1^2 C_2^4 [28a_0^2 - 33a_0c_0 - 23a_0 + 2c_0^2 + 29c_0 - 3] (t - t_s)^{8a_0 + 2c_0} \\ & \left. + \frac{16 \left[ C_1(t - t_s)^{c_0 + 1} - C_2(t - t_s)^{2a_0} \right]^6}{C_1(t - t_s)^{-2a_0 + c_0 + 1} - C_2} \left( a_0 - \frac{c_0}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 (t - t_0)^{-2a_0} - \frac{8\epsilon\Upsilon}{(t - t_0)^2} \right\} - 1, \quad (66) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(t) = & \frac{C_1^6}{2} \left( a_0 - \frac{c_0}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \right) (t - t_s)^{6c_0 + 6} \\ & + C_2^5 (a_0 - c_0) \left[ \left( a_0 + \frac{c_0}{4} - \frac{5}{4} \right) (t - t_s)^{10a_0 + c_0 + 1} - \frac{1}{8} (c_0 - 1) C_2 (t - t_0)^{12a_0} \right]. \quad (67) \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4. With respect to the reconstruction of the Big Rip singularity, these figures show  $u(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(65),  $\Phi(t)$  (dashdot line) in Eq.(66),  $A(t)$  (dashed line) and  $C(t)$  (dotted line) in Eq.(58) with the parameters  $a_0 = 2$ ,  $c_0 = 3/2$ ,  $C_1 = 10$ ,  $C_2 = 2$  and  $t_s = 1$  for left one and the parameters  $a_0 = 2/3$ ,  $c_0 = 1/2$ ,  $C_1 = 2$ ,  $C_2 = 2$  and  $t_s = 1$  for the right one.

### E. Exponential evolution of $u(t)$

Assuming the auxiliary metric function evolves  $u(t) = u_0 e^{ht}$  where  $u_0$  and  $h$  are chosen to be arbitrary constants thus the auxiliary metric takes the following form

$$u_{\mu\nu} = u_0 e^{ht} \text{diag} \left( -1, A(t)^2, A(t)^2, C(t)^2 \right), \quad (68)$$

and using Eq.(14) by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  terms we find the following three equations,

$$r(t) = \frac{2\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + hH_{xy} + \frac{h}{2}H_z, \quad (69)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{h^2}{2} + \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + 2hH_{xy} + \frac{h}{2}H_z + H_{xy}^2 + H_{xy}H_z, \quad (70)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{h^2}{2} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + hH_{xy} + \frac{3h}{2}H_z + H_{xy}^2 + 2H_{xy}H_z, \quad (71)$$

where  $H_{xy} = \frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{\dot{B}}{B}$  is the directional Hubble parameter along the  $x$  and  $y$  axis in the axisymmetric framework. Then some combinations of these equation lead us to write,

$$\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{h^2}{2} - hH_{xy} - H_{xy}^2 - H_{xy}H_z = 0, \quad (72)$$

$$\frac{2\ddot{A}}{A} - \frac{h^2}{2} - hH_z - 2H_{xy}H_z = 0. \quad (73)$$

Subsequently, solving Eq.(73) with respect to  $c(t)$  we find,

$$C(t) = C_1 e^{-\frac{ht}{2}} \left( hA(t) + 2\dot{A}(t) \right), \quad (74)$$

where  $C_1$  is an integration constant. Then substituting this solution into Eq.(72) we obtain the exact expression for the scale factor  $a(t)$ ,

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\frac{2C_3 (\mathcal{K}^2 + \mathcal{M})^2}{e^{\frac{3ht}{2}} \mathcal{K}^2 \mathcal{M}} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (75)$$

where we introduce the following expressions for simplifications

$$\mathcal{K}(t) = e^{\frac{\sqrt{3}e^{\frac{ht}{2}}}{C_1 h}}, \quad \mathcal{M} = e^{\frac{C_2 \sqrt{3}}{C_1}}, \quad (76)$$

here  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are integration constants. Substituting Eq.(76) into Eq.(74)  $c(t)$  becomes

$$C(t) = -\frac{2^{1/3} C_3 (\mathcal{K}^4 - \mathcal{M}^2)}{\sqrt{3} e^{\frac{3ht}{2}} \mathcal{K}^2 \mathcal{M} \left\{ -\frac{C_3 (\mathcal{K}^2 + \mathcal{M})^2}{e^{\frac{3ht}{2}} \mathcal{K}^2 \mathcal{M}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{3}}}. \quad (77)$$

From this result one can find the exact expression of the  $r(t)$  function by taking into account of Eqs.(69)-(71)

$$r(t) = \frac{e^{ht}}{C_1^2}, \quad (78)$$

and according to the definition of the auxiliary metric function  $u(t)$  this equation also satisfies  $r(t) = u(t)/u_0 C_1^2$ . Now, equating Eq.(15) with Eq.(78) we get the general definition of  $f(R)$  function as follows

$$f(R) = \left[ \left( u_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{C_1^2} \right) e^{ht} - 1 \right] R + C_4, \quad (79)$$

where  $C_4$  is the integration constant.

Having described all the essential features of the Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity and the corresponding reconstruction method in this particular case, let us now demonstrate how various cosmological scenarios can be realized in this model. If one look at the associated Hubble parameters,

$$H_{xy} = -\frac{h}{2} - \frac{e^{\frac{ht}{2}} (\mathcal{K}^2 - \mathcal{M})}{\sqrt{3} C_1 (\mathcal{K}^2 + \mathcal{M})}, \quad (80)$$

$$H_z = -\frac{h}{2} - \frac{e^{ht} (\mathcal{K}^4 + 4\mathcal{K}^2 \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^2)}{\sqrt{3} C_1 (\mathcal{K}^4 - \mathcal{M}^2)}. \quad (81)$$

Therefore the effective equation of state parameter  $w_{eff} = -1 - \frac{2\dot{H}}{3H^2}$  for these scale factors can be found as follows

$$w_{eff}^{xy} = \frac{-9C_1^2 h^2 (\mathcal{K}^4 + 2\mathcal{K}^2 \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^2) + \sqrt{3} C_1 h e^{\frac{ht}{2}} (\mathcal{K}^4 - \mathcal{M}^2) - 12e^{ht} (\mathcal{K}^4 + \mathcal{K}^2 \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^2)}{\left[ 3C_1 h (\mathcal{K}^2 + \mathcal{M}) - 2\sqrt{3} e^{\frac{ht}{2}} (\mathcal{K}^2 - \mathcal{M}) \right]^2}, \quad (82)$$




Figure 5. Concerning the exponential evolution of the auxiliary metric function along the  $x$  and  $y$  axes, these figures show  $A(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(75),  $H_{xy}(t)$  (dashed line) in Eq.(80) and  $w_{eff}^{xy}(t)$  (dash-dot line) in Eq.(82) with the parameters  $h = 1$ ,  $C_1 = 1$ ,  $C_2 = 1$  and  $C_3 = -1$  for left one and the parameters  $h = 2$ ,  $C_1 = 10$ ,  $C_2 = 1$  and  $C_3 = -10^4$  for the right one.




Figure 6. In the case of the exponential formulation of the auxiliary metric function with respect to  $z$  axis, these figures show  $C(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(77),  $H_z(t)$  (dashed line) in Eq.(81) and  $w_{eff}^z(t)$  (dash-dot line) in Eq.(83) with the parameters  $h = 1$ ,  $C_1 = 1$ ,  $C_2 = 1$  and  $C_3 = -1$  for left one and the parameters  $h = 2$ ,  $C_1 = 10$ ,  $C_2 = 1$  and  $C_3 = -10^4$  for the right one.

$$w_{eff}^z = \frac{8\sqrt{3}C_1 h e^{\frac{ht}{2}} [(\mathcal{K}^4 - \mathcal{M}^2)(\mathcal{K}^4 + 4\mathcal{K}^2\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^2)] - 12e^{ht}(\mathcal{K}^8 + 10\mathcal{K}^4\mathcal{M}^2 + \mathcal{M}^4) - 9C_1^2 h^2 (\mathcal{K}^4 - \mathcal{M}^2)^2}{3 \left[ \sqrt{3}C_1 h (\mathcal{K}^4 - \mathcal{M}^2) - 2e^{\frac{ht}{2}} (\mathcal{K}^4 + 4\mathcal{K}^2\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^2) \right]^2}. \quad (83)$$

#### F. Power-law evolution of of $u(t)$

In this case, we suppose that the auxiliary metric function evolves  $u(t) = t^h$  where  $h$  is chosen to be arbitrary constants then the auxiliary metric takes the following form

$$u_{\mu\nu} = t^h \text{diag} \left( -1, A(t)^2, A(t)^2, C(t)^2 \right), \quad (84)$$

and using Eq.(14) by taking into account of  $\{t, t\}$ ,  $\{x, x\}$ ,  $\{z, z\}$  terms we find the following three equations,

$$r(t) = \frac{2\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{h}{t}H_{xy} + \frac{h}{2t}H_z - \frac{3h}{2t^2}, \quad (85)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{2h}{t}H_{xy} + \frac{h}{2t}H_z + H_{xy}^2 + H_{xy}H_z - \frac{h^2 + h}{2t^2}, \quad (86)$$

$$r(t) = \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{h}{t}H_{xy} + \frac{3h}{2t}H_z + 2H_{xy}H_z - \frac{h^2 + h}{2t^2}. \quad (87)$$

Then some combinations of these equation lead us to write,

$$\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} - \frac{h^2 + 2h}{2t^2} - \frac{h}{t}H_{xy} - H_{xy}^2 - H_{xy}H_z = 0, \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{2\ddot{A}}{A} - \frac{h^2}{2} - \frac{h}{t}H_z - 2H_{xy}H_z - \frac{h^2 + 2h}{2t^2} = 0. \quad (89)$$

Subsequently, solving Eq.(89) with respect to  $C(t)$  we find,

$$C(t) = C_1 t^{-\frac{h}{2}} \left( hA(t)t^{-1} + 2\dot{A}(t) \right), \quad (90)$$

where  $C_1$  is an integration constant. Nevertheless, substituting Eq.(90) into (88) it is very complicated to find the exact expression for the scale factor  $A(t)$ . For this reason, it is helpful to use a specific value for the variable  $h$ . Lets consider a simple example when we set  $h = 1/2$  then solve Eq.(88) taking account of Eq.(90) we find

$$A(t) = \frac{e^{C_3}}{t^{1/4}} \cosh(\mathcal{K}), \quad C(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{C_3}}{t^{1/4}} \sinh(\mathcal{K}), \quad (91)$$

where we introduce a time dependent function for simplification:

$$\mathcal{K}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}(4t^{5/4} - 5C_2)}{10C_1}. \quad (92)$$

Therefore the exact expression for the  $r(t)$  function can be determined by considering Eqs.(69)-(71)

$$r(t) = \frac{9\sqrt{t}}{4C_1^2}, \quad (93)$$

Subsequently, we can find the  $f(R)$  expression by the help of Eqs.(15) and (93) as follows,

$$f(R) = \left[ \frac{(4C_1^2 - 9\epsilon)\sqrt{t}}{4C_1^2} - 1 \right] R. \quad (94)$$






Figure 7. Regarding the power-law behavior of the auxiliary metric function, with the parameters  $C_1 = 10$ ,  $C_2 = -2$  and  $C_3 = 1$ , the first figure shows  $A(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(91),  $H_{xy}(t)$  (dashed line) in Eq.(95) and  $w_{eff}^{xy}(t)$  (dash-dot line) in Eq.(97). The second one shows  $C(t)$  (solid line) in Eq.(91),  $H_z(t)$  (dashed line) in Eq.(96) and  $w_{eff}^z(t)$  (dash-dot line) in Eq.(98).

By examining the associated Hubble parameters

$$H_{xy} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}t^{5/4} \tanh(\mathcal{K}) - C_1}{4C_1 t}, \quad (95)$$

$$H_z = \frac{2\sqrt{3}t^{1/4} \coth(\mathcal{K}) - C_1}{4C_1 t}. \quad (96)$$

Thus, the effective equation of state parameter for these scale factors can be determined as follows

$$w_{eff}^{xy} = -\frac{1}{3} \left( 2 \coth(\mathcal{K})^2 + \frac{C_1 t^{5/4}}{\sqrt{3}} \coth(\mathcal{K}) + 1 \right), \quad (97)$$

$$w_{eff}^z = -\frac{1}{3} \left( 2 \tanh(\mathcal{K})^2 + \frac{C_1 t^{5/4}}{\sqrt{3}} \tanh(\mathcal{K}) + 1 \right). \quad (98)$$

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have investigated anisotropic cosmological solutions within the framework of BI- $f(R)$  gravity, an advanced modification of general relativity that integrates higher-order curvature invariants. By focusing on Bianchi type I models, we provided a comprehensive framework to examine the evolution of spatial anisotropies in the universe. This approach allows for a detailed exploration of how these anisotropic properties evolve under the influence of the extended gravitational theory, offering deeper insights into the fundamental dynamics of the cosmos.

We analyzed the behavior of Bianchi type I solutions through a reconstruction scheme, revealing various cosmological scenarios such as de Sitter like expansion and power-law cosmology. These scenarios offer robust frameworks for understanding the universe's accelerated expansion, both during the inflationary period and in the current dark energy-dominated epoch, within the context of the standard Big Bang evolution. We also examine the big rip-like singularity, which may provide a cosmological model ending by the finite time singularity. Examples like these illustrate the versatility and robustness of the BI- $f(R)$  gravity framework in modeling different stages of the universe's

evolution from the early universe to the late-time behavior of the universe and potential future singularities.

In addition, we have also analyzed the exponential and power-law evolution of the auxiliary metric function. In this context, we have found corresponding cosmological variables such as the scale factors, the Hubble parameters and the effective equation of state function for corresponding coordinate axis. Furthermore, by using the reconstruction method we have also found the corresponding  $f(R)$  functions. According to these results (look at the figures 5, 6, 7), we can easily say that the formation of the auxiliary metric function may impact the cosmological dynamics and lead various dark energy models. As illustrated the first graph in Fig. 5, this assumption leads to a Little Rip universe [73–78] ( $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $H(t) \rightarrow \infty$  at future infinity). On the other hand, according to the second graph, this generalized BI- $f(R)$  theory can also initiate non-singular bouncing cosmology [79–84] when the auxiliary metric function takes certain forms. These forms are unconventional within standard Einstein-Hilbert gravity and cannot be achieved in that framework. The remaining cases explicitly demonstrates that the effective EoS approaches to  $-1$  for the late time era so this behavior is similar to the cosmological constant ( $w_\Lambda = -1$ ).

Our analysis demonstrates that the modifications introduced by BI- $f(R)$  gravity significantly impact anisotropic dynamics, offering new perspectives on the late-time behavior of the universe and possible future singularities [85–87]. We note that it would be intriguing to explore the unification of various cosmological eras within BI- $f(R)$  gravity framework in the anisotropic condition, which we plan to investigate in future work. We also note that BI- $f(R)$  gravity hasn't been extensively explored in the context of the Hubble tension,  $H_0$  [88]. In this context, the recent review [89] is devoted to the study of modifications of early and late universe descriptions to solve the current cosmic tensions. This will be done elsewhere. Moreover, the theory under consideration exhibits characteristics analogous to a conformal transformation, as expressed in Eq.(6), which defines a conformal relationship between the metrics  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $q_{\mu\nu}$  but this relation does not correspond to the well-known conformal transformation for the metric tensor [28]. The relation between the scalar-tensor and  $f(R)$  theories motivates us to say that it is interesting to analyze the conformal transformation of the space-time metric tensor to determine the resulting theoretical framework. This analysis is expected to reveal increased complexity following the conformal transformation, necessitating a thorough examination of the emergent dynamics and interactions within the transformed theory. We intend to address some of the aforementioned issues in a subsequent work.

#### DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the preparation of this work the author used ChatGPT - GPT-4o in order to improve readability of the text. After using this tool/service, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the publication.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

No data was used for the research described in the article.

- 
- [1] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from  $F(R)$  theory to Lorentz non-invariant models, *Phys. Rept.* **505**, 59 (2011).
- [2] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **4**, 115 (2007).
- [3] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and V. K. Oikonomou, Modified Gravity Theories on a Nutshell: Inflation, Bounce and Late-time Evolution, *Phys. Rept.* **692**, 1 (2017).
- [4] M. Born and L. Infeld, Foundations of the new field theory, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **144**, 425 (1934).
- [5] S. Deser and G. W. Gibbons, Born-Infeld-Einstein actions?, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15**, L35 (1998).
- [6] A. S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity* (The Cambridge University Press, 1923).
- [7] D. N. Vollick, Palatini approach to Born-Infeld-Einstein theory and a geometric description of electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 064030 (2004).
- [8] D. N. Vollick, Born-Infeld-Einstein theory with matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 084026 (2005).
- [9] M. Bañados and P. G. Ferreira, Eddington's theory of gravity and its progeny, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 011101 (2010), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 113, 119901 (2014)].
- [10] P. P. Avelino and R. Z. Ferreira, Bouncing Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld cosmologies: an alternative to Inflation ?, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 041501 (2012).
- [11] C. Escamilla-Rivera, M. Banados, and P. G. Ferreira, A tensor instability in the Eddington inspired Born-Infeld Theory of Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 087302 (2012).
- [12] I. Cho, H.-C. Kim, and T. Moon, Universe Driven by Perfect Fluid in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 084018 (2012).
- [13] J. H. C. Scargill, M. Banados, and P. G. Ferreira, Cosmology with Eddington-inspired Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 103533 (2012).
- [14] S. I. Kruglov, Modified arctan-gravity model mimicking a cosmological constant, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 064004 (2014).
- [15] K. Yang, X.-L. Du, and Y.-X. Liu, Linear perturbations in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 124037 (2013).
- [16] X.-L. Du, K. Yang, X.-H. Meng, and Y.-X. Liu, Large Scale Structure Formation in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044054 (2014).
- [17] H.-C. Kim, Origin of the universe: A hint from Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld gravity, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **65**, 840 (2014).
- [18] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, M. K. Mak, and S. V. Sushkov, Wormhole geometries in Eddington-Inspired Born-Infeld gravity, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **30**, 1550190 (2015).
- [19] G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and H. Sanchis-Alepuz, Geonic black holes and remnants in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld gravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2804 (2014).
- [20] F. S. N. Lobo, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Microscopic wormholes and the geometry of entanglement, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2924 (2014).
- [21] A. N. Makarenko, S. D. Odintsov, and G. J. Olmo, Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 024066 (2014).
- [22] A. N. Makarenko, S. D. Odintsov, and G. J. Olmo, Little Rip,  $\Lambda$ CDM and singular dark energy cosmology from Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **734**, 36 (2014).
- [23] S. D. Odintsov, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Born-Infeld gravity and its functional extensions, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044003 (2014).
- [24] E. Elizalde and A. N. Makarenko, Singular inflation from Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **31**, 1650149 (2016).
- [25] S. Kibaroglu, Born-Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity with de Sitter solutions, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 2350141 (2023).

- [26] S. Kibaroğlu and E. Elizalde, Cosmological implications of Born–Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **32**, 2350012 (2023).
- [27] S. Kibaroğlu, S. D. Odintsov, and T. Paul, Cosmology of unimodular Born–Infeld- $f(R)$  gravity, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **44**, 101445 (2024).
- [28] J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, Born–Infeld inspired modifications of gravity, *Phys. Rept.* **727**, 1 (2018).
- [29] G. Hinshaw *et al.* (WMAP), First year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: The Angular power spectrum, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148**, 135 (2003).
- [30] G. Hinshaw *et al.* (WMAP), Three-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: temperature analysis, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170**, 288 (2007).
- [31] G. Hinshaw *et al.* (WMAP), Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Data Processing, Sky Maps, and Basic Results, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **180**, 225 (2009).
- [32] T. R. Jaffe, A. J. Banday, H. K. Eriksen, K. M. Gorski, and F. K. Hansen, Evidence of vorticity and shear at large angular scales in the WMAP data: A Violation of cosmological isotropy?, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **629**, L1 (2005).
- [33] T. R. Jaffe, A. J. Banday, H. K. Eriksen, K. M. Gorski, and F. K. Hansen, Bianchi Type VII(h) Models and the WMAP 3-year Data, *Astron. Astrophys.* **460**, 393 (2006).
- [34] T. R. Jaffe, A. J. Banday, H. K. Eriksen, K. M. Gorski, and F. K. Hansen, Fast and efficient template fitting of deterministic anisotropic cosmological models applied to wmap data, *Astrophys. J.* **643**, 616 (2006).
- [35] L. Campanelli, P. Cea, and L. Tedesco, Ellipsoidal Universe Can Solve The CMB Quadrupole Problem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 131302 (2006), [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 209903 (2006)].
- [36] L. Campanelli, P. Cea, and L. Tedesco, Cosmic Microwave Background Quadrupole and Ellipsoidal Universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 063007 (2007).
- [37] A. H. Guth, The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
- [38] A. D. Linde, A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems, *Phys. Lett. B* **108**, 389 (1982).
- [39] A. D. Linde, Chaotic Inflation, *Phys. Lett. B* **129**, 177 (1983).
- [40] A. D. Linde, Axions in inflationary cosmology, *Phys. Lett. B* **259**, 38 (1991).
- [41] A. D. Linde, Hybrid inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 748 (1994).
- [42] C. B. Collins, E. N. Glass, and D. A. Wilkinson, Exact spatially homogeneous cosmologies, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **12**, 805 (1980).
- [43] G. F. R. Ellis, The Bianchi models: Then and now, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38**, 1003 (2006).
- [44] A. E. Gumrukcuoglu, C. R. Contaldi, and M. Peloso, Inflationary perturbations in anisotropic backgrounds and their imprint on the CMB, *JCAP* **11**, 005.
- [45] O. Akarsu and C. B. Kilinc, Bianchi type III models with anisotropic dark energy, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **42**, 763 (2010).
- [46] D. Müller, A. Ricciardone, A. A. Starobinsky, and A. Toporensky, Anisotropic cosmological solutions in  $R + R^2$  gravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 311 (2018), [arXiv:1710.08753 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.08753).
- [47] H. Amirhashchi and S. Amirhashchi, Constraining Bianchi Type I Universe With Type Ia Supernova and  $H(z)$  Data, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **29**, 100557 (2020).
- [48] K. S. Kumar, S. Maheshwari, A. Mazumdar, and J. Peng, An anisotropic bouncing universe in non-local gravity, *JCAP* **07**, 025.
- [49] A. Costantini and E. Elizalde, A reconstruction method for anisotropic universes in unimodular  $F(R)$ -gravity, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 1127 (2022).
- [50] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou, and A. Constantini, Formalizing anisotropic inflation in modified gravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **985**, 116011 (2022).
- [51] S. L. Parnovsky, The Big Bang could be anisotropic. The case of Bianchi I model, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 135005 (2023).
- [52] D. N. Vollick, Anisotropic Born-Infeld cosmologies, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **35**, 1511 (2003).
- [53] D. C. Rodrigues, Evolution of Anisotropies in Eddington-Born-Infeld Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 063013 (2008).
- [54] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, and M. K. Mak, Bianchi type I cosmological models in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld gravity, *Galaxies* **2**, 496 (2014).
- [55] G. J. Olmo, Palatini Approach to Modified Gravity:  $f(R)$  Theories and Beyond, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **20**, 413 (2011).
- [56] G. J. Olmo and G. J. Olmo, eds., *Open Questions in Cosmology* (InTech, 2012).

- [57] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Modified  $f(R)$  gravity consistent with realistic cosmology: From matter dominated epoch to dark energy universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 086005 (2006).
- [58] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov, and S. Zerbini, String-inspired Gauss-Bonnet gravity reconstructed from the universe expansion history and yielding the transition from matter dominance to dark energy, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 086002 (2007).
- [59] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani, and S. Zerbini, A Class of viable modified  $f(R)$  gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 046009 (2008).
- [60] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, D. Saez-Gomez, and V. Faraoni, Reconstructing the universe history, from inflation to acceleration, with phantom and canonical scalar fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 106005 (2008).
- [61] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and D. Saez-Gomez, Cosmological reconstruction of realistic modified  $F(R)$  gravities, *Phys. Lett. B* **681**, 74 (2009).
- [62] A. H. Taub, Empty space-times admitting a three parameter group of motions, *Annals Math.* **53**, 472 (1951).
- [63] J. D. Barrow and T. Clifton, Cosmologies with energy exchange, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 103520 (2006).
- [64] A. Berera, R. V. Buniy, and T. W. Kephart, The Eccentric universe, *JCAP* **10**, 016.
- [65] L. Campanelli, A Model of Universe Anisotropization, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 063006 (2009).
- [66] A. A. Starobinsky, A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity, *Phys. Lett. B* **91**, 99 (1980).
- [67] J. D. Barrow and S. Cotsakis, Inflation and the Conformal Structure of Higher Order Gravity Theories, *Phys. Lett. B* **214**, 515 (1988).
- [68] S. D. Odintsov and V. K. Oikonomou, Singular Inflationary Universe from  $F(R)$  Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 124024 (2015).
- [69] Y. Akrami *et al.* (Planck), Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A10 (2020).
- [70] R. R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski, and N. N. Weinberg, Phantom energy and cosmic doomsday, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 071301 (2003).
- [71] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Quantum de Sitter cosmology and phantom matter, *Phys. Lett. B* **562**, 147 (2003).
- [72] V. Faraoni, Superquintessence, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11**, 471 (2002).
- [73] P. H. Frampton, K. J. Ludwick, and R. J. Scherrer, The Little Rip, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 063003 (2011).
- [74] P. H. Frampton, K. J. Ludwick, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and R. J. Scherrer, Models for Little Rip Dark Energy, *Phys. Lett. B* **708**, 204 (2012).
- [75] I. Brevik, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Viscous Little Rip Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 103508 (2011).
- [76] I. Brevik, R. Myrzakulov, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Turbulence and Little Rip Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 063007 (2012).
- [77] I. Brevik, V. V. Obukhov, K. E. Osetrin, and A. V. Timoshkin, Little Rip cosmological models with time-dependent equation of state, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 1250210 (2012).
- [78] A. N. Makarenko, V. V. Obukhov, and I. V. Kirnos, From Big to Little Rip in modified  $F(R,G)$  gravity, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **343**, 481 (2013).
- [79] P. Peter and N. Pinto-Neto, Primordial perturbations in a non singular bouncing universe model, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 063509 (2002).
- [80] L. E. Allen and D. Wands, Cosmological perturbations through a simple bounce, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 063515 (2004).
- [81] Y.-F. Cai, S.-H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta, and E. N. Saridakis, Matter Bounce Cosmology with the  $f(T)$  Gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28**, 215011 (2011).
- [82] Y.-F. Cai, D. A. Easson, and R. Brandenberger, Towards a Nonsingular Bouncing Cosmology, *JCAP* **08**, 020, [arXiv:1206.2382 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.2382).
- [83] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou, and T. Paul, Nonsingular bounce cosmology from Lagrange multiplier  $F(R)$  gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 084056 (2019).
- [84] S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou, and T. Paul, From a Bounce to the Dark Energy Era with  $F(R)$  Gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37**, 235005 (2020).
- [85] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and S. Tsujikawa, Properties of singularities in (phantom) dark energy universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063004 (2005).
- [86] J. de Haro, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou, and S. Pan, Finite-time cosmological singularities and the possible fate of the Universe, *Phys. Rept.* **1034**, 1 (2023).
- [87] T. Katsuragawa, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Future singularity in anisotropic universe, arXiv preprint [arXiv:2406.18368](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.18368) (2024), [arXiv:2406.18368 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.18368).

[88] J. L. Bernal, L. Verde, and A. G. Riess, The trouble with  $H_0$ , [JCAP \*\*10\*\*, 019](#).

[89] S. Vagnozzi, Seven Hints That Early-Time New Physics Alone Is Not Sufficient to Solve the Hubble Tension, [Universe \*\*9\*\*, 393 \(2023\)](#).