Log-Time K-Means for 1D Data: Novel Approaches with Proof and Implementation

(1D 데이터에 대한 로그 시간 K-평균 클러스터링:

새로운 접근법의 증명과 구현)

지도교수: 허 충 길

이 논문을 공학학사 학위 논문으로 제출함.

2024년 12월 19일

서울대학교 공과대학 컴 퓨 터 공 학 부 현 재 익

Abstract

Log-Time K-Means for 1D Data: Novel Approaches with Proof and Implementation

Jake Hyun Computer Science and Engineering College of Engineering Seoul National University

Clustering is a key task in machine learning, with k-means being widely used for its simplicity and effectiveness. While 1D clustering is common, existing methods often fail to exploit the structure of 1D data, leading to inefficiencies. This thesis introduces optimized algorithms for k-means++ initialization and Lloyd's algorithm, leveraging sorted data, prefix sums, and binary search for improved computational performance.

The main contributions are: (1) an optimized k-cluster algorithm achieving $O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n)$ complexity for greedy k-means++ initialization and $O(i \cdot k \cdot \log n)$ for Lloyd's algorithm, where l is the number of greedy k-means++ local trials, and i is the number of Lloyd's algorithm iterations, and (2) a binary search-based two-cluster algorithm, achieving $O(\log n)$ runtime with deterministic convergence to a Lloyd's algorithm local minimum.

Benchmarks demonstrate over a 4500x speedup compared to scikit-learn for large datasets while maintaining clustering quality measured by within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS). Additionally, the algorithms achieve a 300x speedup in an LLM quantization task, highlighting their utility in emerging applications. This thesis bridges theory and practice for 1D k-means clustering, delivering efficient and sound algorithms implemented in a JIT-optimized opensource Python library.

Keywords: k-means clustering, Lloyd's algorithm, k-means++ initialization, onedimensional clustering, binary search, prefix sums

Contents

A	Abstract										
1	Introduction										
2	Bac	kgrour	ıd	4							
	2.1	k-Mea	ns Clustering	4							
	2.2	Lloyd's	s Algorithm	4							
	2.3	k-Mea	ns++ Initialization	5							
	2.4	Weight	ted k -Means	6							
	2.5	Releva	nt Works	7							
3	Novel Approaches and Proof of Validity										
	3.1	The k	-Cluster Algorithm	9							
		3.1.1	WCSS and Prefix Sums	10							
		3.1.2	Greedy k -Means++ Initialization	11							
		3.1.3	Complexity Analysis	12							
	3.2 The 2-Cluster Algorithm										
		3.2.1	Definitions and Key Observations	14							
		3.2.2	Algorithm Description	15							
		3.2.3	Proof of Validity	15							
		3.2.4	Limitations	18							

		3.2.5 Algorithm Guarantees	18						
	3.3	Summary	19						
4	4 Experiments								
	4.1	Implementation Details	20						
	4.2	Experimental Setup	20						
	4.3 Results: Clustering Quality								
	4.4	Results: Runtime Performance	22						
	4.5	Results: LLM Quantization	23						
	4.6	Summary	23						
5	Cor	nclusion							
References i									
Appendix vi									
	А.	k-Cluster Algorithm Implementation	vi						
	В.	2-Cluster Agorithm Implementation	х						
	С.	Library Implementation	xii						
국문초록 xiii									

1. Introduction

Clustering is a fundamental task in data analysis and machine learning, with applications in diverse fields such as image segmentation, natural language processing, financial modeling, and bioinformatics [1]. Among clustering methods, k-means [2] is one of the most widely used algorithms due to its conceptual simplicity and computational efficiency. However, finding the optimal solution to the k-means problem is NP-hard in general for d-dimensional data [3], prompting practical implementations to rely on heuristic approaches such as Lloyd's algorithm [4, 5].

One-dimensional (1D) clustering problems arise frequently in a wide range of real-world scenarios, including social network analysis, bioinformatics, and the retail market [6, 7, 8]. For this special case, there have been significant advances in achieving globally optimal solutions efficiently. Wang and Song [9] introduced a $O(k \cdot n^2)$ dynamic programming algorithm for the 1D k-means problem, and Grønlund et al. [10] later improved this to O(n), demonstrating that optimal clustering can be computed in linear time for one dimension.

While globally optimal algorithms for the 1D k-means problem exist, they are not always suitable for scenarios where speed and scalability are paramount. In many real-world applications—particularly those involving large datasets or latency-critical tasks—achieving a near-optimal solution quickly can be more valuable than computing the exact global minimum. Practical libraries, such as scikit-learn's k-means [11], do not exploit the structure of 1D data and instead treat it as a general case, leaving room for further optimization. Under such conditions, improving Lloyd's algorithm for 1D data provides a route to faster performance.

This thesis presents a novel set of algorithms that optimize Lloyd's algorithm for the 1D setting. By carefully exploiting the properties of sorted data, these methods achieve logarithmic runtime, dramatically reducing computational costs while maintaining high-quality clustering outcomes. The contributions include the following:

1. An optimized k-means++ initialization and Lloyd's algorithm for approximating

general k-cluster problems in one dimension. By carefully leveraging the properties of sorted data, the proposed approach replaces the linear dependence on the dataset size nwith logarithmic factors, resulting in substantial runtime improvements. Specifically, the greedy k-means++ initialization achieves a time complexity of $O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n)$, where l is the number of local trials, followed by Lloyd's algorithm iterations with $O(i \cdot k \cdot \log n)$, where i is the number of iterations. Additional preprocessing, such as sorting and prefix sum calculations, contributes $O(n \log n)$ and O(n), respectively, when required.

This method improves upon standard k-means implementations, where greedy k-means++ initialization requires $O(l \cdot k \cdot n)$ time, and Lloyd's algorithm iterations require $O(i \cdot k \cdot n)$. By reducing the dependence on n, the dataset size, the proposed optimizations achieve significant speedups, as experimentally demonstrated in Section 4.4.

2. A binary search-based algorithm for the two-cluster case, which achieves $O(\log n)$ runtime and deterministically converges to a Lloyd's algorithm solution, skipping iterative refinements entirely. Additional preprocessing costs include O(n) for prefix sums and $O(n \log n)$ for sorting, if not already provided. While the global minimum is not guaranteed, this method is highly desirable for scenarios requiring very fast and deterministic clustering.

Along with the thesis, a complete library implementation in Python 3 is provided, optimized with Numba just-in-time (JIT) compilation [12] to enable efficient integration into various applications.

Benchmarks against the highly optimized and widely used scikit-learn k-means implementation [11] highlight the efficiency of these algorithms, as detailed in Section 4.4. The results demonstrate the following:

- Orders-of-magnitude speedups, even when including preprocessing steps such as sorting and prefix sum calculations.
- Equivalent or comparable clustering results in terms of within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS), the objective function of K-means.

The proposed algorithms also find utility in emerging and highly relevant applications such as **quantization for large language models (LLMs)**, where efficient quantization can be achieved by running 1D k-means clustering on model weights [13]. In particular, cutting-edge quantization methods like Any-Precision LLM [14] rely on repeated executions of the two-cluster approach to hierarchically subdivide clusters of weights. The novel algorithm presented here is exceptionally well-suited for such scenarios, providing over a **300-fold speedup** compared to **scikit-learn**, as demonstrated in Section 4.5. This practical importance is underscored by the direct use of the proposed library implementation, **flash1dkmeans**, within the official Any-Precision LLM implementation¹.

Overall, this thesis contributes both theoretical advancements and practical tools for onedimensional k-means clustering. By providing a rigorous theoretical foundation and an optimized implementation, the proposed methods demonstrate the feasibility and efficiency of adapting k-means and Lloyd's algorithm to the one-dimensional setting. These contributions establish not only a proof of concept but also a practical solution ready for deployment in diverse computational tasks.

¹https://github.com/SNU-ARC/any-precision-llm

2. Background

This chapter provides the necessary background on the k-means clustering problem, Lloyd's algorithm, and the k-means++ initialization, along with an overview of relevant works. The focus is on the theoretical foundations, time complexities, and practical implementations relevant to this thesis.

2.1 k-Means Clustering

The k-means clustering problem is a widely studied unsupervised learning problem. Given a set of n data points $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ in a metric space and a positive integer k, the goal of k-means clustering is to partition the data into k disjoint clusters $C_1, C_2, ..., C_k$ such that the within-cluster sum of squared distances (WCSS) is minimized. Formally, the objective function is:

WCSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_i} ||x - \mu_i||^2$$
,

where μ_i is the centroid of cluster C_i , defined as the mean of all points in C_i .

Finding the globally optimal solution to the k-means problem is NP-hard in general for d-dimensional data, even for k = 2 [3]. As a result, heuristic algorithms such as Lloyd's algorithm are commonly used in practice to approximate solutions efficiently.

2.2 Lloyd's Algorithm

Lloyd's algorithm [4, 5] is a popular iterative method for solving the k-means problem. It alternates between assigning data points to their nearest cluster centroid and updating the centroids based on the current cluster assignments. The steps of the algorithm are as follows:

1. Initialization: Choose k initial centroids $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k$.

2. Assignment step: Assign each point $x_j \in X$ to the cluster C_i with the closest centroid:

$$C_i = \{x_j \mid ||x_j - \mu_i|| \le ||x_j - \mu_m||, \, \forall m \neq i\}.$$

3. Update step: Update each centroid μ_i as the mean of all points assigned to C_i :

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_{x \in C_i} x$$

4. Repeat the assignment and update steps until convergence, typically when the centroids no longer change significantly or a maximum number of iterations is reached.

Remark: Both the assignment and update steps of Lloyd's algorithm ensure that the withincluster sum of squares (WCSS) decreases monotonically after each iteration. As a result, the algorithm is guaranteed to converge to a local minimum of the WCSS objective function.

Time Complexity: The time complexity of Lloyd's algorithm for general *d*-dimensional data is $O(i \cdot k \cdot n \cdot d)$, where *i* is the number of iterations. For 1D data, the complexity simplifies to $O(i \cdot k \cdot n)$.

2.3 k-Means++ Initialization

The quality of solutions obtained by Lloyd's algorithm depends heavily on the choice of initial centroids. The k-means++ initialization algorithm [15] improves the centroid selection process by probabilistically choosing points based on their distances to already selected centroids. The steps of the algorithm are as follows:

- 1. Initialization: Choose the first centroid μ_1 uniformly at random from the data points.
- 2. Candidate selection: For each subsequent centroid μ_i , select a point x_j with probability proportional to its squared distance from the nearest already chosen centroid:

$$P(x_j) = \frac{\text{Distance}(x_j, C_{\text{nearest}})^2}{\sum_{x_i \in X} \text{Distance}(x_i, C_{\text{nearest}})^2}$$

3. Repeat the candidate selection step until k centroids are chosen.

Remark: The k-means++ initialization improves the spread of centroids compared to random initialization, significantly reducing the likelihood of poor clustering outcomes. However, this improvement comes at the cost of additional computation during the initialization phase.

Time Complexity: The time complexity of standard k-means++ initialization for d-dimensional data is $O(k \cdot n \cdot d)$, where k is the number of clusters, n is the number of data points, and d is the dimensionality of the data.

Greedy k-Means++ Initialization: In the greedy version of k-means++ initialization, briefly mentioned in the conclusion of the original paper [15], l candidate centroids are evaluated at each step, and the one minimizing the WCSS is selected. The total time complexity for the greedy version is $O(l \cdot k \cdot n \cdot d)$, where l is the number of local trials. A common choice for l is $O(\log k)$ [16, 17], and scikit-learn adopts a similar approach with $l = 2 + \log k$ [11]. For 1D data, where d = 1, the complexity simplifies to $O(l \cdot k \cdot n)$.

2.4 Weighted k-Means

Weighted k-means generalizes the standard k-means problem by assigning each data point x_i a weight w_i . The objective function becomes:

WCSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j ||x_j - \mu_i||^2$$
,

where the centroid μ_i is updated as the weighted mean:

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j \cdot x_j}{\sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j}.$$

Changes to Lloyd's Algorithm: The update step computes weighted centroids instead of simple means. The assignment step remains unchanged.

Changes to k-Means++ Initialization: The probability of selecting a point x_j as a centroid becomes proportional to its weighted squared distance from the nearest already chosen

centroid:

$$P(x_j) = \frac{w_j \cdot \text{Distance}(x_j, C_{\text{nearest}})^2}{\sum_{x_i \in X} w_i \cdot \text{Distance}(x_i, C_{\text{nearest}})^2}.$$

Relevance: Weighted *k*-means is particularly useful in applications where data points contribute unequally to the clustering objective, such as quantization for large language models (LLMs) [13, 14].

Implementation Note: The algorithms detailed in this thesis support both unweighted and weighted k-means clustering, ensuring flexibility for practical use cases.

2.5 Relevant Works

For the special case of 1D k-means clustering, significant progress has been made in achieving globally optimal solutions. Wang and Song [9] introduced a dynamic programming algorithm with a time complexity of $O(k \cdot n^2)$. This was later improved by Grønlund et al. [10], who developed an O(n)-time algorithm for computing the exact optimal clustering in 1D.

More recently, Froese et al. [18] proposed the Border k-Means algorithm, which optimizes 1D clustering by introducing deterministic border adjustments and achieves $O(n \log n)$ time complexity overall, dominated by the initial sorting step. The cluster update phase is O(n) in practice for most datasets but can scale up to $O(n \log n)$ under certain conditions. While the algorithm produces deterministic results equivalent to Lloyd's algorithm, it does not guarantee globally optimal clustering solutions and focuses instead on practical efficiency.

Similarly, both Border k-Means and our k-cluster algorithm focus on efficient but non-optimal clustering. However, our approach differs in two key aspects. First, it directly integrates k-means++ initialization to improve cluster seeding. Second, it achieves $O(\log n)$ time complexity for updates after an initial sorting and preprocessing phase, offering a significant improvement in efficiency for large-scale datasets.

In contrast to these specialized approaches, widely-used libraries such as scikit-learn

[11] implement general-purpose k-means algorithms that are not optimized for the 1D case. scikit-learn's k-means algorithm treats 1D data as a general instance of higherdimensional clustering. While it leverages Cython [19] for efficient performance, it operates with $O(l \cdot k \cdot n)$ initialization time and $O(i \cdot k \cdot n)$ iteration time for 1D data, significantly limiting its scalability compared to the specialized methods discussed above.

This thesis addresses the gap in optimizing Lloyd's algorithm and k-means++ initialization specifically for 1D clustering, targeting scenarios where speed and scalability are paramount. By combining k-means++ initialization with logarithmic-time updates, our approach achieves a balance between computational efficiency and clustering quality, offering a practical solution for real-world applications.

3. Novel Approaches and Proof of Validity

This chapter presents our proposed approaches for solving general k-cluster problems in one dimension. We introduce two algorithms: the k-cluster algorithm and the 2-cluster algorithm. Both methods exploit the structure of one-dimensional data and utilize sorting, prefix sums, and binary search to achieve significant computational efficiency compared to traditional clustering methods.

Finding Cluster Boundaries: For one-dimensional data, determining a point's cluster assignment involves identifying the interval it falls into. The boundaries between clusters are the arithmetic midpoints of consecutive centroids. When both the data and centroids are sorted, these boundaries can be efficiently located using binary search, requiring $O(k \cdot \log n)$ time. If centroids need sorting, an additional $O(k \cdot \log k)$ time is needed; however, as $k \leq n$, the total time remains $O(k \cdot \log n)$. This approach forms the basis for subsequent optimizations.

3.1 The *k*-Cluster Algorithm

The k-cluster algorithm is a one-dimensional adaptation of greedy k-means++ initialization followed by Lloyd's algorithm iterations, and can be defined for both weighted and unweighted data. The algorithm leverages:

- 1. Sorted Data: Sorting the input array X of size n in ascending order allows quick determination of cluster assignments via binary search on cluster boundaries.
- Prefix Sums: Precomputing prefix sums enables constant-time computation of weighted and unweighted sums, means, and inertia values over arbitrary intervals. Specifically, for weighted data:

$$W[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} w_i, \quad (WX)[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} w_i x_i, \quad (WX^2)[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} w_i x_i^2$$

For unweighted data, this simplifies to:

$$X^{(1)}[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} x_i, \quad X^{(2)}[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} x_i^2.$$

3. **Binary Search:** Binary search is central to efficiently determining cluster boundaries and performing weighted random sampling during initialization.

3.1.1 WCSS and Prefix Sums

The within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS) for weighted data is defined as:

WCSS =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j (x_j - \mu_i)^2,$$

where C_i is the *i*-th cluster, μ_i is its centroid, and w_j is the weight of point x_j . Expanding the squared term:

$$(x_j - \mu_i)^2 = x_j^2 - 2x_j\mu_i + \mu_i^2,$$

yields:

WCSS_i =
$$\sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j x_j^2 - 2\mu_i \sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j x_j + \mu_i^2 \sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j.$$

Using prefix sums:

$$\sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j x_j^2 = (WX^2)[b_i] - (WX^2)[b_{i-1}],$$
$$\sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j x_j = (WX)[b_i] - (WX)[b_{i-1}],$$
$$\sum_{x_j \in C_i} w_j = W[b_i] - W[b_{i-1}].$$

where b_{i-1} and b_i are the cluster boundaries. The centroid is:

$$\mu_i = \frac{(WX)[b_i] - (WX)[b_{i-1}]}{W[b_i] - W[b_{i-1}]}.$$

All these queries take O(1) time per cluster once the prefix sums are computed. Hence, WCSS and centroid calculations are efficient, requiring only O(k) time across all k clusters, if cluster boundaries are known. Determining cluster boundaries costs $O(k \log n)$, so the total cost for WCSS calculation given centroids is $O(k \log n)$.

3.1.2 Greedy k-Means++ Initialization

The k-means++ initialization selects centroids such that new centroids are chosen with probabilities proportional to their squared distances from the closest existing centroid. Our method efficiently implements this using **binary search** combined with **cumulative sum queries**.

Steps for Initialization:

- 1. First Centroid Selection: The first centroid is chosen randomly, weighted by the point weights w_j . To achieve this:
 - Given the cumulative sum of weights $W[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} w_i$,
 - Generate a random number $r \in [0, W[n]]$,
 - Perform binary search on W to find the smallest j such that $W[j] \ge r$, and thus the corresponding point x_j . This step costs $O(\log n)$.
- 2. Subsequent Centroid Selection: For each new centroid:
 - (a) **Binary Search for Cluster Assignments:** Given the existing centroids, determine the cluster boundaries using a binary search of consecutive centroid midpoints. This step costs $O(k \log n)$.
 - (b) Cumulative Sum for Squared Distances: To sample a new centroid, we need the cumulative sum of squared distances D_i^2 , where D_i is the distance of x_i to its closest centroid. The cumulative sum S[j] is defined as:

$$S[j] = \sum_{i=1}^{j} D_i^2.$$

Importantly: S is not explicitly constructed. Instead for each query j on S:

- The sum of squared distances D_i^2 are obtained using prefix sums over the k clusters, up to the *j*th point. This is equivalent to calculating the WCSS up to the *j*th point. For each cluster, this sum can be retrieved in O(1) time, as the cluster boundaries are known.
- Querying S[j] for any j requires O(k) time, as it aggregates contributions from all relevant clusters.
- (c) **Binary Search on** S:
 - Generate a random number $r \in [0, S[n]]$,
 - Perform binary search on S to find the smallest j such that $S[j] \ge r$.

Each binary search involves $O(\log n)$ queries of S, where each query takes O(k). Thus, the total cost for sampling one new centroid is $O(k \cdot \log n)$, and for l candidates, $O(l \cdot k \cdot \log n)$.

- (d) Greedy Candidate Selection: For each candidate:
 - Update cluster boundaries using binary search $(O(k \cdot \log n))$,
 - Compute the total WCSS using prefix sums (O(k)).

The candidate minimizing the total WCSS is selected as the next centroid. For l candidates, this step costs $O(l \cdot k \cdot \log n)$.

(e) Combined Initialization Time Complexity: Combining the steps, the total cost for generating and evaluating l candidates per new centroid is $O(k \cdot \log n \cdot + l \cdot k \cdot \log n) = O(l \cdot k \cdot \log n)$.

3.1.3 Complexity Analysis

The overall time complexity of the k-cluster algorithm is as follows:

Greedy *k*-Means++ Initialization: As detailed in the previous section:

- Selecting the first centroid using weighted sampling costs $O(\log n)$,
- Each subsequent centroid requires $O(l \cdot k \cdot \log n)$, where l is the number of local trials.

The total cost for initialization across k centroids is therefore:

$$O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n).$$

Lloyd's Algorithm Iterations: Each iteration of Lloyd's algorithm consists of:

- Updating cluster boundaries via binary search: $O(k \cdot \log n)$,
- Updating centroids using prefix sums: O(k).

For i iterations, the total cost is:

$$O(i \cdot k \cdot \log n).$$

Overall Time Complexity: The combined cost of greedy k-means++ initialization and Lloyd's algorithm is:

$$O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n) + O(i \cdot k \cdot \log n).$$

This does not account for the initial overhead of sorting the data and calculating prefix sums, which cost $O(n \log n)$ and O(n), respectively.

Comparing against conventional implementations of $O(l \cdot k \cdot n) + O(i \cdot k \cdot n)$, note how the dependence on n (dataset size) has decreased, at the cost of quadratic complexity in k during initialization. However, since $k \ll n$ in most practical cases, this tradeoff is justified. For experimental speedup proofs, see Chapter 4.

3.2 The 2-Cluster Algorithm

For the 2-cluster problem in one-dimensional sorted data, the task reduces to finding a single **cluster boundary** that divides the data into two contiguous clusters. To efficiently locate this boundary, we iteratively refine a **search scope** to identify the correct **division interval**. A division interval is defined as the interval between two consecutive points in the sorted data that contains the cluster boundary.

Note: For all discussions in this section, all notions of direction (i.e., left or right) are with respect to the one-dimensional coordinate axis along which the data points are sorted. Thus, *left* refers to decreasing x-values and *right* refers to increasing x-values.

3.2.1 Definitions and Key Observations

- A division interval is defined as the interval between two consecutive points $x_{\text{div_left}}$ and $x_{\text{div_right}}$ in sorted data that contains the cluster boundary (note that, of course, $\text{div_left} + 1 = \text{div_right}$).
- The **midpoint** for a division interval is defined as:

$$\text{Midpoint} = \frac{\mu_{\text{left}} + \mu_{\text{right}}}{2},$$

where μ_{left} and μ_{right} are the centroids of the left and right clusters defined by the division interval, respectively. These centroids are computed with prefix sums, using:

$$\mu_{\text{left}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{div_left}} w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{div_left}} w_i}, \quad \mu_{\text{right}} = \frac{\sum_{i=\text{div_right}}^n w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=\text{div_right}}^n w_i}.$$

The prefix sums W and WX, as defined for the k-cluster algorithm, allow this calculation to be done in O(1) time.

- A division interval is classified as follows:
 - **Right-pointing:** The midpoint lies to the right of $x_{\text{div_right}}$.
 - Left-pointing: The midpoint lies to the left of $x_{\text{div_left}}$.
 - Convergent: The midpoint lies within the division interval itself, indicating a Lloyd's algorithm convergence.

Note that every division interval can be classified into exactly one of these three categories.

• The search scope refers to the range of candidate division intervals, which is iteratively refined during the binary search to locate a convergent interval.

3.2.2 Algorithm Description

The algorithm aims to identify the correct division interval (i.e., a convergent interval) using binary search. The key steps are as follows:

- 1. Initialize the Search Scope: Start with the whole scope—that is, all possible division intervals ranging from the first interval $[x_1, x_2]$ to the last interval $[x_{n-1}, x_n]$.
- 2. Iteratively Query the Center Interval: At each step:
 - Select the **center division interval** within the current search scope.
 - Compute the centroids μ_{left} and μ_{right} and calculate the midpoint:

$$\text{Midpoint} = \frac{\mu_{\text{left}} + \mu_{\text{right}}}{2}$$

- 3. Refine the Search Scope: Compare the midpoint to the endpoints $x_{\text{div_left}}$ and $x_{\text{div_right}}$ of the queried division interval:
 - If the interval is **right-pointing**, exclude all intervals to the left of the current interval, including itself.
 - If the interval is **left-pointing**, exclude all intervals to the right of the current interval, including itself.
 - If the interval is **convergent**, terminate; the cluster boundary has been found.
- 4. **Repeat Until Convergence:** Continue the process until a convergent interval is found.

The binary search guarantees that the number of candidate intervals is halved at each iteration, ensuring $O(\log n)$ convergence.

3.2.3 Proof of Validity

To prove the correctness of the algorithm, we rely on the monotonic behavior of the centroids' midpoint and the structure of the division intervals. **1. Monotonic Behavior of the Midpoint:** When the division interval $[x_{\text{div_left}}, x_{\text{div_right}}]$ is shifted one step to the right, i.e., to $[x_{\text{div_right}}, x_{\text{div_right}+1}]$:

- The point $x_{\text{div_right}}$, which was previously the leftmost point in the right cluster, is excluded from the right cluster and added to the left cluster.
- This change causes the **left centroid** μ_{left} to increase, as a point with a larger value has been included in the left cluster.
- Simultaneously, the **right centroid** μ_{right} also increases, as the smallest point in the right cluster has been removed.
- Since both centroids increase, the new **midpoint**:

$$Midpoint^{new} = \frac{\mu_{left}^{new} + \mu_{right}^{new}}{2}$$

is greater than the old midpoint:

$$Midpoint^{new} > Midpoint^{old}.$$

Similarly, shifting the division interval one step to the left causes the midpoint to strictly decrease.

2. Behavior of Right-Pointing and Left-Pointing Intervals: The monotonic behavior of the midpoint ensures the following:

• **Right-Pointing Intervals:** Suppose a division interval $[x_{\text{div_left}}, x_{\text{div_right}}]$ is right-pointing, so:

$$x_{\text{div_right}} < \text{Midpoint}^{\text{old}}.$$

After shifting the interval one step to the right to the new interval $[x_{\text{div}_right}, x_{\text{div}_right+1}]$, the corresponding new midpoint strictly increases:

$$x_{\text{div_right}} < \text{Midpoint}^{\text{old}} < \text{Midpoint}^{\text{new}}.$$

For the new interval $[x_{\text{div}_right}, x_{\text{div}_right+1}]$ to become left-pointing, the new midpoint, Midpoint^{new}, would have to be less than x_{div_right} . But directly from the inequality above:

$$x_{\text{div_right}} < \text{Midpoint}^{\text{new}}$$

Thus, a right-pointing interval cannot suddenly become left-pointing after a rightward shift; it remains right-pointing or becomes convergent.

• Left-Pointing Intervals: By a symmetric argument, for a left-pointing interval, shifting one step to the left strictly decreases the midpoint, ensuring it cannot suddenly become right-pointing. Instead, it remains left-pointing or becomes convergent.

This ensures that from the perspective of shifting the intervals (one step at a time in the chosen direction), right-pointing and left-pointing intervals cannot directly switch roles in a single move.

Convergent Interval in a Search Scope In any search scope where the first interval is right-pointing and the last interval is left-pointing, there must exist at least one convergent interval between them. This follows from the fact that a right-pointing interval cannot immediately precede a left-pointing interval when moving stepwise to the right.

Convergence of the Binary Search For the entire search scope, the first division interval (between x_1 and x_2) is either right-pointing or convergent, and the last division interval (between x_{n-1} and x_n) is either left-pointing or convergent. Therefore, by the principle established above, there must exist at least one convergent interval within the entire scope. The previously detailed binary search iteratively reduces this scope in a way such that a convergent interval is always included, eventually narrowing the scope to a single convergent interval.

3.2.4 Limitations

While the monotonicity argument ensures that right-pointing and left-pointing intervals cannot directly switch roles when shifting in the direction they point (rightward for rightpointing, leftward for left-pointing), this guarantee does not hold when shifting in the opposite direction. Multiple local minima in Lloyd's algorithm can produce patterns like:

RRRCLLLRRRCLLL,

where R denotes right-pointing, L denotes left-pointing, and C denotes convergent intervals. In such scenarios:

- Moving a left-pointing interval to the right can produce a right-pointing interval (and vice versa).
- The algorithm still finds at least one convergent interval in $O(\log n)$ time, but the found cluster boundary may correspond to a local minimum rather than the global optimum.

3.2.5 Algorithm Guarantees

By leveraging the monotonic behavior of the midpoint and the fact that a convergent interval must exist between the first right-pointing and last left-pointing intervals, the binary search efficiently identifies a convergent interval representing a cluster boundary. The algorithm achieves this in $O(\log n)$ time without relying on iterative steps of Lloyd's algorithm.

In the presence of multiple local minima, the algorithm is guaranteed to converge to a valid solution, though it may not necessarily find the global optimum. Similar to the k-cluster algorithm, an initial preprocessing step is required, which includes sorting the data in $O(n \log n)$ time and computing prefix sums in O(n) time.

3.3 Summary

In this chapter, we introduced two novel algorithms for solving the *k*-cluster problem in one-dimensional sorted data: the *k*-cluster algorithm and the **2-cluster algorithm**. Both methods leverage key observations about the structure of one-dimensional data to achieve significant computational efficiency.

- The *k*-cluster algorithm combines greedy *k*-means++ initialization with efficient Lloyd's iterations. By exploiting sorted data, prefix sums, and binary search:
 - The initialization process achieves a complexity of $O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n)$, where k is the number of clusters and l is the number of local trials.
 - Each iteration of Lloyd's algorithm requires $O(k \log n)$ time, ensuring efficient updates of cluster boundaries and centroids.
- The 2-cluster algorithm focuses on the specific case of k = 2, where the problem reduces to locating a single cluster boundary. Using a binary search over division intervals:
 - The midpoint of centroids behaves monotonically, allowing us to refine the search scope iteratively.
 - The algorithm achieves a total complexity of $O(\log n)$ and guarantees convergence to a local minimum of Lloyd's algorithm.

Both algorithms demonstrate how the structure of one-dimensional data enables faster computations compared to traditional clustering methods. In cases with multiple local minima, the solutions produced may not be globally optimal—a limitation shared with Lloyd's algorithm. Nonetheless, the proposed methods strike an effective balance between accuracy and computational efficiency, making them highly suitable for practical applications.

4. Experiments

This chapter presents experimental results comparing the proposed 1D k-means algorithms against the widely used scikit-learn implementation. The evaluation focuses on runtime performance and clustering quality, using both real and synthetic datasets.

4.1 Implementation Details

The proposed algorithms have been implemented as an open-source Python package, flash1dkmeans, available on GitHub¹ and PyPI². The package is built on top of NumPy [20] and Numba [12] for efficient computation. The implementation includes both the k-cluster and 2-cluster algorithms, as well as the preprocessing steps for the sorting and prefix sum computations. The preprocessing step is optional and can be disabled if the input data is already in adequate form. More details on the implementation can be found in the Appendix.

4.2 Experimental Setup

The experiments were conducted on two processors: an Intel i9-13900K and an Apple M3. For the clustering quality and runtime comparisons, only the i9-13900K results are presented, as trends were consistent across both processors. Both real and synthetic 1D datasets were used, with varying sizes and numbers of clusters. The real datasets include the iris and california housing datasets from scikit-learn, while synthetic datasets were generated using the sklearn.datasets.make_blobs and numpy.random.random.sample functions.

Comparisons were made against scikit-learn's implementation of k-means³ at default configuration, which utilizes greedy k-means++ initialization paired with Lloyd's algorithm. For fair comparison, only a single thread was used. The number of local trials for the greedy k-means++ initialization, l, defaults to 2 + log k in scikit-learn. This was matched in flash1dkmeans.

¹https://github.com/SyphonArch/flash1dkmeans

²https://pypi.org/project/flash1dkmeans/

³https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.cluster.KMeans.html



4.3 Results: Clustering Quality

Figure 4.1: Squared error comparison of the proposed two-cluster algorithm and k-cluster algorithms in flash1dkmeans against scikit-learn on real and synthetic datasets. Lower is better.

The clustering quality comparison between the proposed 2-cluster algorithm and the k-cluster algorithms in flash1dkmeans and scikit-learn is shown in Figure 4.1. The iris dataset was excluded for k = 128 as the number of data points was insufficient. Across the configurations, the clustering quality, measured by squared error, is consistent with the baseline k-means algorithm. For both the 2-cluster and k-cluster algorithms, this confirms that the proposed method produces clustering results equivalent or close to the k-means algorithm in scikit-learn.



Figure 4.2: Runtime comparison of the proposed two-cluster algorithm and k-cluster algorithms in flash1dkmeans against scikit-learn on datasets of varying sizes. Lower is better.

Figure 4.2 compares the runtime of the proposed 2-cluster and k-cluster algorithms in flash1dkmeans to scikit-learn. The runtime of flash1dkmeans includes preprocessing time, while flash1dkmeans_numba measures only the main algorithm runtime, assuming pre-processed and sorted input data.

The results confirm that the k-cluster algorithm in flash1dkmeans achieves substantial runtime improvements compared to scikit-learn, showcasing the logarithmic dependence on dataset size. For example, for k = 128 and dataset size 2^{23} , the speedup exceeds 4500x. However, for the 2-cluster algorithm on larger datasets, the $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time becomes a bottleneck, limiting the overall speedup. Nevertheless, the main algorithm runtimes confirm the log-time efficiency of the proposed optimizations, and flash1dkmeans with the $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing still outperforms scikit-learn by a large margin in most cases.

4.5 Results: LLM Quantization

	Seed (k-cluster algorithm)			Upscale (2-cluster algorithm)		
Processor	sklearn	flash1dkmeans	speedup	sklearn	flash1dkmeans	speedup
i9-13900K	433 ms	56 ms	7.7x	$10551 \mathrm{\ ms}$	34 ms	310x
Apple M3	$572 \mathrm{\ ms}$	114 ms	$5.0 \mathrm{x}$	$7585 \mathrm{\ ms}$	29 us	262x

Table 4.1: Performance comparison of flash1dkmeans against scikit-learn for both k-cluster and 2-cluster algorithms on i9-13900K and Apple M3 processors.

Table 4.1 compares the performance of flash1dkmeans and scikit-learn in the two-step LLM quantization process proposed in Any-Precision LLM [14]. As an example, we quantize the 214th output channel (size 14,336) of the mlp.down_proj linear module in the 8th layer of Llama-3-8B [21] from 3-bit to 8-bit, as described in the original work. We measure the total time over 100 runs for reliability.

The first step involves generating a seed model using k-means clustering, followed by incrementally subdividing clusters into two via k-means in the second step. The preprocessing from the seed generation step is reusable during the upscale phase, enabling the $O(\log n)$ time 2-cluster algorithm to be particularly efficient. Results demonstrate that flash1dkmeans achieves remarkable speedups, surpassing 300x in the upscale step on an i9-13900K processor.

4.6 Summary

Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithms in flash1dkmeans achieve comparable clustering quality to scikit-learn while offering significant runtime improvements. The k-cluster algorithm exhibits logarithmic time complexity with speedups exceeding 4500x on large datasets, while the 2-cluster algorithm achieves remarkable efficiency when given preprocessed inputs. These results validate the effectiveness of the proposed optimizations for both general clustering tasks and emerging applications such as LLM quantization.

5. Conclusion

This thesis presents optimized algorithms for k-means++ initialization and Lloyd's algorithm, specifically designed for one-dimensional (1D) clustering. By leveraging the structure of sorted data, the proposed methods replace the linear dependence on dataset size n with logarithmic factors, significantly improving computational efficiency while maintaining clustering quality. The optimized greedy k-means++ initialization achieves a time complexity of $O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n)$, while Lloyd's algorithm iterations achieve $O(i \cdot k \cdot \log n)$, where l is the number of local trials, and i the number of iterations. Additionally, the binary search-based algorithm for the two-cluster case deterministically converges to a Lloyd's algorithm solution in $O(\log n)$, bypassing iterative refinements entirely.

These methods offer significant benefits for latency-critical tasks and large-scale clustering problems. The practical relevance of these contributions is demonstrated in key applications such as quantization for large language models (LLMs), where the optimized algorithms achieve a 300-fold speedup over scikit-learn, as discussed in Section 4.5. To ensure ease of use, a Python implementation of the proposed methods, optimized with just-in-time (JIT) compilation, is provided for practical deployment.

By exploiting the structure of 1D data, this thesis demonstrates that substantial computational improvements are achievable for k-means clustering, reducing dataset size dependencies from linear to logarithmic. These contributions address an important gap in the existing literature and deliver efficient, high-performance clustering tools ready for real-world applications.

References

- R. Xu and D. Wunsch, "Survey of clustering algorithms," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 645–678, 2005.
- [2] J. MacQueen, "Some methods for classification and analysis of multivariate observations," in *Proceedings of the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Statistics*, L. M. Le Cam and J. Neyman, Eds. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1967, pp. 281–297.
- [3] P. Drineas, A. Frieze, R. Kannan, S. Vempala, and V. Vinay, "Clustering large graphs via the singular value decomposition," *Mach. Learn.*, vol. 56, no. 1–3, p. 9–33, Jun. 2004.
 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MACH.0000033113.59016.96
- [4] S. Lloyd, "Least squares quantization in pcm," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 129–137, 1982.
- [5] J. Max, "Quantizing for minimum distortion," *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 7–12, 1960.
- [6] V. Arnaboldi, M. Conti, M. La Gala, A. Passarella, and F. Pezzoni, "Ego network structure in online social networks and its impact on information diffusion," *Computer Communications*, vol. 76, p. 26–41, Feb. 2016. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2015.09.028
- [7] O. Jeske, M. Jogler, J. Petersen, J. Sikorski, and C. Jogler, "From genome mining to phenotypic microarrays: Planctomycetes as source for novel bioactive molecules," *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, vol. 104, 08 2013.
- [8] D. Pennacchioli, M. Coscia, S. Rinzivillo, F. Giannotti, and D. Pedreschi, "The retail market as a complex system," *EPJ Data Science*, vol. 3, 12 2014.
- [9] H. Wang and M. Song, "Ckmeans.1d.dp: Optimal k-means clustering in one dimension by dynamic programming," The R Journal, vol. 3, pp. 29–33, 12 2011.

- [10] A. Grønlund, K. G. Larsen, A. Mathiasen, J. S. Nielsen, S. Schneider, and M. Song, "Fast exact k-means, k-medians and bregman divergence clustering in 1d," 2018. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.07204
- [11] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel,
 P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau,
 M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and Duchesnay, "Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python," Journal of Machine Learning Research, vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, 2011. [Online]. Available: https://jmlr.csail.mit.edu/papers/v12/pedregosa11a.html
- S. K. Lam, A. Pitrou, and S. Seibert, "Numba: a llvm-based python jit compiler," in *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on the LLVM Compiler Infrastructure in HPC*, ser. LLVM '15. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2015. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/2833157.2833162
- [13] S. Kim, C. R. C. Hooper, A. Gholami, Z. Dong, X. Li, S. Shen, M. W. Mahoney, and K. Keutzer, "SqueezeLLM: Dense-and-sparse quantization," in *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, R. Salakhutdinov, Z. Kolter, K. Heller, A. Weller, N. Oliver, J. Scarlett, and F. Berkenkamp, Eds., vol. 235. PMLR, 21–27 Jul 2024, pp. 23901–23923. [Online]. Available: https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/kim24f.html
- [14] Y. Park, J. Hyun, S. Cho, B. Sim, and J. W. Lee, "Any-precision LLM: Low-cost deployment of multiple, different-sized LLMs," in *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, R. Salakhutdinov, Z. Kolter, K. Heller, A. Weller, N. Oliver, J. Scarlett, and F. Berkenkamp, Eds., vol. 235. PMLR, 21–27 Jul 2024, pp. 39682–39701. [Online]. Available: https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/park24e.html
- [15] D. Arthur and S. Vassilvitskii, "k-means++: the advantages of careful seeding," in Proceedings of the Eighteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ser. SODA '07. USA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2007, p. 1027–1035.
- [16] M. E. Celebi, H. A. Kingravi, and P. A. Vela, "A comparative study of efficient initialization methods for the k-means clustering algorithm," *Expert Systems*

with Applications, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 200–210, 2013. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417412008767

- [17] A. Bhattacharya, J. Eube, H. Röglin, and M. Schmidt, "Noisy, greedy and not so greedy k-means++," 12 2019.
- [18] R. Froese, J. W. Klassen, C. K. Leung, and T. S. Loewen, "The border k-means clustering algorithm for one dimensional data," in 2022 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart Computing (BigComp), 2022, pp. 35–42.
- [19] S. Behnel, R. Bradshaw, C. Citro, L. Dalcin, D. S. Seljebotn, and K. Smith, "Cython: The best of both worlds," *Computing in Science & Engineering*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 31–39, 2011.
- [20] C. R. Harris, K. J. Millman, S. J. van der Walt, R. Gommers, P. Virtanen, D. Cournapeau, E. Wieser, J. Taylor, S. Berg, N. J. Smith, R. Kern, M. Picus, S. Hoyer, M. H. van Kerkwijk, M. Brett, A. Haldane, J. F. del Río, M. Wiebe, P. Peterson, P. Gérard-Marchant, K. Sheppard, T. Reddy, W. Weckesser, H. Abbasi, C. Gohlke, and T. E. Oliphant, "Array programming with NumPy," *Nature*, vol. 585, no. 7825, pp. 357–362, Sep. 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2
- [21] A. Grattafiori, A. Dubey, A. Jauhri, A. Pandey, A. Kadian, A. Al-Dahle, A. Letman, A. Mathur, A. Schelten, A. Vaughan, A. Yang, A. Fan, A. Goyal, A. Hartshorn, A. Yang, A. Mitra, A. Sravankumar, A. Korenev, A. Hinsvark, A. Rao, A. Zhang, A. Rodriguez, A. Gregerson, A. Spataru, B. Roziere, B. Biron, B. Tang, B. Chern, C. Caucheteux, C. Nayak, C. Bi, C. Marra, C. McConnell, C. Keller, C. Touret, C. Wu, C. Wong, C. C. Ferrer, C. Nikolaidis, D. Allonsius, D. Song, D. Pintz, D. Livshits, D. Wyatt, D. Esiobu, D. Choudhary, D. Mahajan, D. Garcia-Olano, D. Perino, D. Hupkes, E. Lakomkin, E. AlBadawy, E. Lobanova, E. Dinan, E. M. Smith, F. Radenovic, F. Guzmán, F. Zhang, G. Synnaeve, G. Lee, G. L. Anderson, G. Thattai, G. Nail, G. Mialon, G. Pang, G. Cucurell, H. Nguyen, H. Korevaar, H. Xu, H. Touvron, I. Zarov, I. A. Ibarra, I. Kloumann, I. Misra, I. Evtimov, J. Zhang, J. Copet, J. Lee, J. Geffert, J. Vranes, J. Park, J. Mahadeokar, J. Shah, J. van der Linde, J. Billock, J. Hong, J. Lee, J. Fu, J. Chi, J. Huang, J. Liu, J. Wang, J. Yu, J. Bitton, J. Spisak, J. Park, J. Rocca, J. Johnstun, J. Saxe, J. Jia, K. V. Alwala, K. Prasad, K. Upasani, K. Plawiak, K. Li,

K. Heafield, K. Stone, K. El-Arini, K. Iyer, K. Malik, K. Chiu, K. Bhalla, K. Lakhotia, L. Rantala-Yeary, L. van der Maaten, L. Chen, L. Tan, L. Jenkins, L. Martin, L. Madaan, L. Malo, L. Blecher, L. Landzaat, L. de Oliveira, M. Muzzi, M. Pasupuleti, M. Singh, M. Paluri, M. Kardas, M. Tsimpoukelli, M. Oldham, M. Rita, M. Pavlova, M. Kambadur, M. Lewis, M. Si, M. K. Singh, M. Hassan, N. Goyal, N. Torabi, N. Bashlykov, N. Bogoychev, N. Chatterji, N. Zhang, O. Duchenne, O. Çelebi, P. Alrassy, P. Zhang, P. Li, P. Vasic, P. Weng, P. Bhargava, P. Dubal, P. Krishnan, P. S. Koura, P. Xu, Q. He, Q. Dong, R. Srinivasan, R. Ganapathy, R. Calderer, R. S. Cabral, R. Stojnic, R. Raileanu, R. Maheswari, R. Girdhar, R. Patel, R. Sauvestre, R. Polidoro, R. Sumbaly, R. Taylor, R. Silva, R. Hou, R. Wang, S. Hosseini, S. Chennabasappa, S. Singh, S. Bell, S. S. Kim, S. Edunov, S. Nie, S. Narang, S. Raparthy, S. Shen, S. Wan, S. Bhosale, S. Zhang, S. Vandenhende, S. Batra, S. Whitman, S. Sootla, S. Collot, S. Gururangan, S. Borodinsky, T. Herman, T. Fowler, T. Sheasha, T. Georgiou, T. Scialom, T. Speckbacher, T. Mihaylov, T. Xiao, U. Karn, V. Goswami, V. Gupta, V. Ramanathan, V. Kerkez, V. Gonguet, V. Do, V. Vogeti, V. Albiero, V. Petrovic, W. Chu, W. Xiong, W. Fu, W. Meers, X. Martinet, X. Wang, X. Wang, X. E. Tan, X. Xia, X. Xie, X. Jia, X. Wang, Y. Goldschlag, Y. Gaur, Y. Babaei, Y. Wen, Y. Song, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Mao, Z. D. Coudert, Z. Yan, Z. Chen, Z. Papakipos, A. Singh, A. Srivastava, A. Jain, A. Kelsey, A. Shajnfeld, A. Gangidi, A. Victoria, A. Goldstand, A. Menon, A. Sharma, A. Boesenberg, A. Baevski, A. Feinstein, A. Kallet, A. Sangani, A. Teo, A. Yunus, A. Lupu, A. Alvarado, A. Caples, A. Gu, A. Ho, A. Poulton, A. Ryan, A. Ramchandani, A. Dong, A. Franco, A. Goyal, A. Saraf, A. Chowdhury, A. Gabriel, A. Bharambe, A. Eisenman, A. Yazdan, B. James, B. Maurer, B. Leonhardi, B. Huang, B. Loyd, B. D. Paola, B. Paranjape, B. Liu, B. Wu, B. Ni, B. Hancock, B. Wasti, B. Spence, B. Stojkovic, B. Gamido, B. Montalvo, C. Parker, C. Burton, C. Mejia, C. Liu, C. Wang, C. Kim, C. Zhou, C. Hu, C.-H. Chu, C. Cai, C. Tindal, C. Feichtenhofer, C. Gao, D. Civin, D. Beaty, D. Kreymer, D. Li, D. Adkins, D. Xu, D. Testuggine, D. David, D. Parikh, D. Liskovich, D. Foss, D. Wang, D. Le, D. Holland, E. Dowling, E. Jamil, E. Montgomery, E. Presani, E. Hahn, E. Wood, E.-T. Le, E. Brinkman, E. Arcaute, E. Dunbar, E. Smothers, F. Sun, F. Kreuk, F. Tian, F. Kokkinos, F. Ozgenel, F. Caggioni, F. Kanayet, F. Seide, G. M. Florez, G. Schwarz, G. Badeer, G. Swee, G. Halpern, G. Herman, G. Sizov, Guangyi, Zhang, G. Lakshminarayanan, H. Inan, H. Shojanazeri, H. Zou, H. Wang, H. Zha, H. Habeeb, H. Rudolph, H. Suk, H. Aspegren, H. Goldman, H. Zhan, I. Damlaj, I. Molybog, I. Tufanov, I. Leontiadis, I.-E. Veliche, I. Gat, J. Weissman, J. Geboski, J. Kohli, J. Lam, J. Asher, J.-B. Gaya, J. Marcus, J. Tang, J. Chan, J. Zhen, J. Reizenstein, J. Teboul, J. Zhong, J. Jin, J. Yang, J. Cummings, J. Carvill, J. Shepard, J. McPhie, J. Torres, J. Ginsburg, J. Wang, K. Wu, K. H. U, K. Saxena, K. Khandelwal, K. Zand, K. Matosich, K. Veeraraghavan, K. Michelena, K. Li, K. Jagadeesh, K. Huang, K. Chawla, K. Huang, L. Chen, L. Garg, L. A, L. Silva, L. Bell, L. Zhang, L. Guo, L. Yu, L. Moshkovich, L. Wehrstedt, M. Khabsa, M. Avalani, M. Bhatt, M. Mankus, M. Hasson, M. Lennie, M. Reso, M. Groshev, M. Naumov, M. Lathi, M. Keneally, M. Liu, M. L. Seltzer, M. Valko, M. Restrepo, M. Patel, M. Vyatskov, M. Samvelyan, M. Clark, M. Macey, M. Wang, M. J. Hermoso, M. Metanat, M. Rastegari, M. Bansal, N. Santhanam, N. Parks, N. White, N. Bawa, N. Singhal, N. Egebo, N. Usunier, N. Mehta, N. P. Laptev, N. Dong, N. Cheng, O. Chernoguz, O. Hart, O. Salpekar, O. Kalinli, P. Kent, P. Parekh, P. Saab, P. Balaji, P. Rittner, P. Bontrager, P. Roux, P. Dollar, P. Zvyagina, P. Ratanchandani, P. Yuvraj, Q. Liang, R. Alao, R. Rodriguez, R. Ayub, R. Murthy, R. Nayani, R. Mitra, R. Parthasarathy, R. Li, R. Hogan, R. Battey, R. Wang, R. Howes, R. Rinott, S. Mehta, S. Siby, S. J. Bondu, S. Datta, S. Chugh, S. Hunt, S. Dhillon, S. Sidorov, S. Pan, S. Mahajan, S. Verma, S. Yamamoto, S. Ramaswamy, S. Lindsay, S. Lindsay, S. Feng, S. Lin, S. C. Zha, S. Patil, S. Shankar, S. Zhang, S. Zhang, S. Wang, S. Agarwal, S. Sajuyigbe, S. Chintala, S. Max, S. Chen, S. Kehoe, S. Satterfield, S. Govindaprasad, S. Gupta, S. Deng, S. Cho, S. Virk, S. Subramanian, S. Choudhury, S. Goldman, T. Remez, T. Glaser, T. Best, T. Koehler, T. Robinson, T. Li, T. Zhang, T. Matthews, T. Chou, T. Shaked, V. Vontimitta, V. Ajayi, V. Montanez, V. Mohan, V. S. Kumar, V. Mangla, V. Ionescu, V. Poenaru, V. T. Mihailescu, V. Ivanov, W. Li, W. Wang, W. Jiang, W. Bouaziz, W. Constable, X. Tang, X. Wu, X. Wang, X. Wu, X. Gao, Y. Kleinman, Y. Chen, Y. Hu, Y. Jia, Y. Qi, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Adi, Y. Nam, Yu, Wang, Y. Zhao, Y. Hao, Y. Qian, Y. Li, Y. He, Z. Rait, Z. DeVito, Z. Rosnbrick, Z. Wen, Z. Yang, Z. Zhao, and Z. Ma, "The llama 3 herd of models," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.21783

Appendix

A. k-Cluster Algorithm Implementation

Provided below is the Python 3 implementation of the k-cluster algorithm discussed in this work. The Numba and Numpy packages are required, as well as the definition of macros like ARRAY_INDEX_DTYPE. For the fully integrated library please refer to section C..

```
@numba.njit(cache=True)
 1
     def numba_kmeans_1d_k_cluster(
\mathbf{2}
                sorted_X,
 3
                n_clusters
 4
                max_iter,
 5
                weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum,
 6
                weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum,
start_idx,
 7
 8
                stop_idx,
 9
                random_state=None,
10
    ):
11
          """An optimized kmeans for 1D data with n clusters. Exploits the fact that the data is 1D to optimize the calculations. Time complexity: O(k \ 2 \ * \log(k) \ * \log(n) \ + i \ * \log(n) \ * k)
12
13
14
15
16
          Args:
                .
sorted_X: np.ndarray
17
                       The input data. Should be sorted in ascending order.
18
                n_clusters: int
19
20
                      The number of clusters to generate
                max_iter: int
    The maximum number of iterations to run
21
22
                weights_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weights. Should be None if the data is
23
24
                            unweighted.
                unweighted_X_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X squared
25
26
27
28
29
                 start
                         _idx:
                                 int
                       The start index of the range to consider
30
                stop_idx: int

The stop index of the range to consider

random_state: int or None

The random seed to use.
31
32
33
34
35
36
          Returns:
               centroids: np.ndarray
The centroids of the clusters
cluster_borders: np.ndarray
The borders of the clusters
37
38
39
40
          .....
41
          # set random_state
42
          set_np_seed_njit(random_state)
43
44
          cluster_borders = np.empty(n_clusters + 1, dtype=ARRAY_INDEX_DTYPE)
cluster_borders[0] = start_idx
45
46
          cluster_borders[-1] = stop_idx
47
48
          centroids = _kmeans_plusplus(
    sorted_X, n_clusters,
49
50
                weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum,
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum,
start_idx, stop_idx,
51
52
53
          )
54
          sorted_centroids = np.sort(centroids)
55
56
          for _ in range(max_iter):
57
                58
59
                if np.array_equal(cluster_borders, new_cluster_borders):
60
                       break
61
```

```
62
                cluster_borders[:] = new_cluster_borders
63
                for i in range(n_clusters):
64
                     cluster_start = cluster_borders[i]
 65
 66
                     cluster_end = cluster_borders[i + 1]
 67
                     if cluster_end < cluster_start:</pre>
68
                          raise ValueError("Cluster_end_is_less_than_cluster_start")
 69
 70
                     if cluster_start == cluster_end:
 71
 72
                           continue
 73
                     cluster_weighted_X_sum = query_prefix_sum(weighted_X_prefix_sum,
 74
                          cluster_start, cluster_end)
                     cluster_weight_sum = query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum,
 75
                          cluster_start, cluster_end)
 76
 77
                     if cluster_weight_sum == 0:
                          # if the sum of the weights is zero, we set the centroid to the mean of the cluster
 78
                          sorted_centroids[i] = sorted_X[cluster_start:cluster_end].mean()
 79
                     else:
 80
                          sorted_centroids[i] = cluster_weighted_X_sum /
81
                               cluster_weight_sum
 82
          return sorted_centroids, cluster_borders
 83
 84
 85
     @numba.njit(cache=True)
 86
     def _rand_choice_prefix_sum(arr, prob_prefix_sum, start_idx, stop_idx):
    """Randomly choose an element from arr according to the probability
    distribution given by prob_prefix_sum
 87
88
89
          Time complexity: O(log n)
90
 91
 92
          Args:
               arr: np.ndarray
The array to choose from
prob_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the probability distribution
93
94
95
96
                start_idx: int
 97
                     The start index of the range to consider
 98
                stop_idx: int
   The stop index of the range to consider
99
100
101
          Returns:
102
               The chosen element
103
          .....
104
          total_prob = query_prefix_sum(prob_prefix_sum, start_idx, stop_idx)
selector = np.random.random_sample() * total_prob
105
106
107
          # Because we are using start_idx as the base, but the prefix sum is
calculated from 0,
# we need to adjust the selector if start_idx is not 0.
adjusted_selector = selector + prob_prefix_sum[start_idx - 1] if start_idx >
108
109
110
                0 else selector
111
          # Search for the index of the selector in the prefix sum, and add start_idx
    to get the index in the original array
112
          idx = np.searchsorted(prob_prefix_sum[start_idx:stop_idx], adjusted_selector
113
               ) + start_idx
114
          return arr[idx]
115
116
117
     @numba.njit(cache=True)
118
     def _centroids_to_cluster_borders(X, sorted_centroids, start_idx, stop_idx):
119
          """Converts the centroids to cluster borders.
The cluster borders are where the clusters are divided.
120
121
          The centroids must be sorted.
122
123
          Time complexity: O(k * log n)
124
125
          Args:
X: np.ndarray
The input data. Should be sorted in ascending order.
126
127
128
129
                     The sorted centroids
130
                start_idx: int
131
                     The start index of the range to consider
132
                stop_idx: int
The stop index of the range to consider
133
134
```

```
Returns:
136
          np.ndarray: The cluster borders
137
138
          midpoints = (sorted_centroids[:-1] + sorted_centroids[1:]) / 2
139
          cluster_borders = np.empty(len(sorted_centroids) + 1, dtype=
140
              ARRAY_INDEX_DTYPE)
         cluster_borders[0] = start_idx
cluster_borders[-1] = stop_idx
141
142
          cluster_borders[1:-1] = np.searchsorted(X[start_idx:stop_idx], midpoints) +
143
              start_idx
          return cluster_borders
144
145
146
147
     @numba.njit(cache=True)
148
     def _calculate_inertia(sorted_centroids, centroid_ranges,
                                 weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum,
    weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum,
149
         stop_idx):
"""Calculates the inertia of the clusters given the centroids.
The inertia is the sum of the squared distances of each sample to the
150
151
152
              closest centroid.
          The calculations are done efficiently using prefix sums.
153
154
155
         Time complexity: O(k)
156
157
          Args:
               sorted_centroids: np.ndarray
158
                   The centroids of the clusters
159
              centroid_ranges: np.ndarray
The borders of the clusters
weights_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weights. Should be None if the data is
160
161
162
163
                        unweighted.
               weighted_X_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X
164
165
               weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X squared
166
167
              stop_idx: int
The stop index of the range to consider
168
169
          .....
170
         # inertia = sigma_i(w_i * abs(x_i - c)^2) = sigma_i(w_i * (x_i^2 - 2 * x_i *
171
              c + c^2))
                     = sigma_i(w_i * x_i^2) - 2 * c * sigma_i(w_i * x_i) + c^2 *
         #
172
              sigma_i(w_i)
                      = sigma_i(weighted_X_squared) - 2 * c * sigma_i(weighted_X) + c^2
         #
173
              * sigma_i(weight)
                    that the centroid c is the CLOSEST centroid to x_i, so the above
         #
             Note
174
              calculation must be done for each cluster
175
         inertia = 0
176
          for i in range(len(sorted_centroids)):
177
               start = centroid_ranges[i]
178
179
               end = centroid_ranges[i + 1]
180
181
              if start >= stop_idx:
                   break
182
                  end >= stop_idx:
183
               if
                   end = stop_idx
184
185
               if start == end:
186
187
                    continue
188
189
               cluster_weighted_X_squared_sum = query_prefix_sum(
                   weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum, start, end)
               cluster_weighted_X_sum = query_prefix_sum(weighted_X_prefix_sum, start,
190
                   end)
               cluster_weight_sum = query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, start, end)
191
192
               inertia += (cluster_weighted_X_squared_sum - 2 * sorted_centroids[i] *
    cluster_weighted_X_sum +
193
                              sorted_centroids[i] ** 2 * cluster_weight_sum)
194
195
         return inertia
196
197
198
     @numba.njit(cache=True)
199
     def _rand_choice_centroids(X, centroids,
200
                                      weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum,
    weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum,
201
202
                                       sample_size, start_idx, stop_idx):
```

135

```
"""Randomly choose sample_size elements from X, weighted by the distance to
203
               the closest centroid.
           The weighted logic is implemented efficiently by utilizing the
204
                _calculate_inertia function.
205
206
           Time complexity: O(l * k * log n)
207
208
           Args:
                X: np.ndarray
The input data. Should be sorted in ascending order.
centroids: np.ndarray
209
210
                      The centroids of the clusters
211
212
                is_weighted: bool
Whether the data is weighted. If True, the weighted versions of the
arrays should be provided.
weights_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weights. Should be None if the data is
weighted
213
214
215
216
                           unweighted.
                weighted_X_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X squared
217
218
219
220
221
                sample_size: int
                      The number of samples to choose
222
                start_idx: int
The start index of the range to consider
stop_idx: int
The stop index of the range to consider
223
224
225
226
227
228
           Returns:
           np.ndarray: The chosen samples
229
230
           sorted_centroids = np.sort(centroids) # O(k log
                                                                               k)
231
           cluster_borders = _centroids_to_cluster_borders(X, sorted_centroids,
232
           start_idx, stop_idx) # O(k log n)
total_inertia = _calculate_inertia(sorted_centroids, cluster_borders, # O(k
233
                )
                                                            weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum
234
                                                            weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum, stop_idx)
235
           selectors = np.random.random_sample(sample_size) * total_inertia
236
237
           results = np.empty(sample_size, dtype=centroids.dtype)
238
           for i in range(sample_size): # O(l k log n)
239
240
                selector = selectors[i]
                start_idx + 1
ceiling = stop_idx
while floor < ceiling:
    stop_idx_cand = (floor + ceiling) // 2</pre>
241
242
243
244
                      inertia = _calculate_inertia(sorted_centroids, cluster_borders, # 0
245
                           (k)
                                                               weights_prefix_sum,
    weighted_X_prefix_sum,
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum,
246
247
                                                                    stop_idx_cand)
                      if inertia < selector:
    floor = stop_idx_cand + 1
248
249
250
                      else:
                ceiling = stop_idx_cand
results[i] = X[floor - 1]
251
252
253
           return results
254
255
256
257
     @numba.njit(cache=True)
258
     def _kmeans_plusplus(X, n_clusters,
                                   weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum,
    weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum,
259
           start_idx, stop_idx):
"""An optimized version of the kmeans++ initialization algorithm for 1D data
260
261
           The algorithm is optimized for 1D data and utilizes prefix sums for
262
                efficient calculations.
263
           Time complexity: = O(k ^ 2 * \log k * \log n)
264
265
266
           Args:
                X: np.ndarray
The input data
n_clusters: int
267
268
269
                      The number of clusters to choose
270
                weights_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
271
```

```
The prefix sum of the weights. Should be None if the data is
272
                           unweighted.
                 weighted_X_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
273
                 The prefix sum of the weighted X
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of the weighted X squared
274
275
276
277
278
           Returns:
                np.ndarray: The chosen centroids
279
           .....
280
           centroids = np.empty(n_clusters, dtype=X.dtype)
n_local_trials = 2 + int(np.log(n_clusters))
281
282
283
           # First centroid is chosen randomly according to sample_weight
284
           centroids[0] = _rand_choice_prefix_sum(X, weights_prefix_sum, start_idx,
      stop_idx) # 0(log n)
285
286
           for c_id in range(1, n_clusters): # O(k^2 l log n)
287
                # Choose the next centroid randomly according to the weighted distances
# Sample n_local_trials candidates and choose the best one
288
289
290
                      croid_candidates = _rand_choice_centroids( # O(l k log n)
X, centroids[:c_id],
weights_prefix_sum, weighted_X_prefix_sum,
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum, n_local_trials,
start idx stop idr
291
                 centroid_candidates =
292
293
294
295
                      start_idx, stop_idx
                 )
296
297
                best_inertia = np.inf
best_centroid = None
298
299
                300
                      301
302
303
304
                      start_idx, stop_idx) # O(k log n)
inertia = _calculate_inertia(sorted_centroids, centroid_ranges, # 0
305
                            (k)
                                                                weights_prefix_sum,
    weighted_X_prefix_sum,
weighted_X_squared_prefix_sum, stop_idx
306
307
                      if inertia < best_inertia:
    best_inertia = inertia
    best_centroid = centroid_candidates[i]
308
309
310
                 centroids[c_id] = best_centroid
311
312
           return centroids
313
```

B. 2-Cluster Agorithm Implementation

Provided below is the Python 3 implementation of the 2-cluster algorithm discussed in this work. The Numba and Numpy packages are required, as well as the definition of macros like ARRAY_INDEX_DTYPE. For the fully integrated library please refer to section C..

```
@numba.njit(cache=True)
1
\mathbf{2}
    def numba_kmeans_1d_two_cluster(
               sorted_X,
3
              weights_prefix_sum,
weighted_X_prefix_sum,
start_idx,
4
5
6
               stop_idx,
7
    ):
8
         """An optimized kmeans for 1D data with 2 clusters, weighted version.
9
         Utilizes a binary search to find the optimal division point. Time complexity: O(log(n))
10
11
12
```

```
Args:
13
             sorted_X: np.ndarray
14
             The input data. Should be sorted in ascending order.
weights_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix_sum of the sample weights. Should be None if the data is
15
16
17
                      unweighted.
             weighted_X_prefix_sum: np.ndarray
The prefix sum of (the weighted) X.
18
19
             start_idx: int
20
                  The start index of the range to consider.
21
             stop_idx: int
The stop index of the range to consider.
22
^{23}
24
25
        Returns:
             centroids: np.ndarray
The centroids of the two clusters, shape (2,)
26
27
             cluster_borders: np.ndarray
The borders of the two clusters, shape (3,)
28
29
30
31
        WARNING: X should be sorted in ascending order before calling this function.
32
        size = stop_idx - start_idx
33
        centroids = np.empty(2, dtype=sorted_X.dtype)
cluster_borders = np.empty(3, dtype=ARRAY_INDEX_DTYPE)
cluster_borders[0] = start_idx
cluster_borders[2] = stop_idx
34
35
36
37
        # Remember to set cluster_borders[1] as the division point
38
39
40
        if size == 1:
             centroids[0], centroids[1] = sorted_X[start_idx], sorted_X[start_idx]
41
42
             cluster_borders[1] = start_idx + 1
             return centroids, cluster_borders
43
44
        if size == 2:
45
             centroids[0], centroids[1] = sorted_X[start_idx], sorted_X[start_idx +
46
                 1]
             cluster_borders[1] = start_idx + 1
47
             return centroids, cluster_borders
48
49
        # Now we know that there are at least 3 elements
50
51
        # If the sum of the sample weight in the range is 0, we assume that the data
52
             is unweighted
        if query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, start_idx, stop_idx) == 0:
    # We need to recalculate the prefix sum, as previously it would have
    been all zeros
53
54
             X_casted = sorted_X.astype(PREFIX_SUM_DTYPE)
55
             X_prefix_sum = np.cumsum(X_casted)
56
             return numba_kmeans_1d_two_cluster_unweighted(sorted_X, X_prefix_sum,
57
                 start_idx, stop_idx)
        else:
58
             # Check if there is only one nonzero sample weight
59
             total_weight = query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, start_idx, stop_idx)
60
             sample_weight_prefix_sum_within_range = weights_prefix_sum[start_idx:
61
                 stop_idx]
             final_increase_idx = np.searchsorted(
    sample_weight_prefix_sum_within_range
62
63
                  sample_weight_prefix_sum_within_range[-1]
64
65
             66
67
68
                                                                  + 1)
             if total_weight == final_increase_amount:
69
                 70
71
72
73
                     sorted_X[nonzero_weight_index]
                  cluster_borders[1] = stop_idx
return centroids, cluster_borders
74
75
76
        # Now we know that there are at least 3 elements and at least 2 nonzero
77
            weights
78
        # KMeans with 2 clusters on 1D data is equivalent to finding a division
79
            point.
        # The division point can be found by doing a binary search on the prefix sum
80
81
```

```
# We will do a search for the division point,
# where we search for the optimum number of elements in the first cluster
# We don't want empty clusters, so we set the floor and ceiling to start_idx
+ 1 and stop_idx - 1
82
83
84
         + 1 and stop_idx
floor = start_idx + 1
ceiling = stop_idx - 1
left_centroid = None
85
86
87
         right_centroid = None
88
89
         while floor < ceiling:
    division_point = (floor + ceiling) // 2
    # If the left cluster has no weight, we need to move the floor up
    # If the left cluster has no weight, we need to move the floor up
    # If the left cluster has no weight, we need to move the floor up
90
91
92
93
              left_weight_sum = query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, start_idx,
                  division_point)
              if left_weight_sum == 0:
94
95
                   floor = division_point + 1
                   continue
96
              right_weight_sum = query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, division_point,
97
                stop_idx)
If the right
              98
99
                              division_point - 1
100
                   ceiling =
                   continue
101
102
              103
              104
105
              new_division_point_value = (left_centroid + right_centroid) / 2
106
              107
108
109
                                                                              one, so we can
                            stop
                       break
110
                   else:
111
                       floor = division_point + 1
112
              else:
113
114
                   ceiling = division_point - 1
115
         # recalculate division point based on final floor and ceiling
116
         division_point = (floor + ceiling) // 2
117
118
           initialize variables in case the loop above does not run through
119
         if left_centroid is None:
120
              left_centroid = (query_prefix_sum(weighted_X_prefix_sum, start_idx,
121
                  division_point) /
                                  query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, start_idx,
122
                                      division_point))
         if right_centroid is None:
123
              right_centroid = (query_prefix_sum(weighted_X_prefix_sum, division_point
124
                  , stop_idx) /
                                    query_prefix_sum(weights_prefix_sum, division_point,
125
                                        stop_idx))
126
         # avoid using lists to allow numba.njit
centroids[0] = left_centroid
127
128
         centroids [1] = right_centroid
129
130
         cluster_borders[1] = division_point
return centroids, cluster_borders
131
132
```

C. Library Implementation

The algorithms detailed in this thesis have been published open-source as flash1dkmeans.

Github respository: https://github.com/SyphonArch/flash1dkmeans

PyPI: https://pypi.org/project/flash1dkmeans/

국문초록

클러스터링은 머신러닝에서 핵심적인 과제로, *k*-means는 단순성과 효율성 덕분에 널리 사용되는 알고리즘이다. 1차원(1D) 클러스터링은 많은 실제 응용에서 발생하지만, 기존 *k*-means 구현체 들은 1D 데이터의 구조를 효과적으로 활용하지 못해 비효율이 존재한다. 본 논문에서는 정렬된 데이터, 누적합 배열, 이진탐색의 특성을 활용하여 1D 클러스터링에 최적화된 *k*-means++ 초 기화 및 Lloyd 알고리즘을 제안한다.

본 논문은 다음과 같은 로그 시간 알고리즘을 제시한다: (1) *k*-cluster 알고리즘은 greedy *k*means++ 초기화에서 $O(l \cdot k^2 \cdot \log n)$ 시간복잡도, Lloyd 알고리즘에서 $O(i \cdot k \cdot \log n)$ 시간복잡도를 달성한다. 여기서 *n*은 데이터셋 크기, *k*는 클러스터 개수, *l*은 greedy *k*-means++ local trials 수, *i*는 Lloyd 알고리즘 반복 횟수를 나타낸다. (2) 2-cluster 알고리즘은 이진탐색을 활용하여 $O(\log n)$ 시간복잡도로 작동하며, 반복 없이 Lloyd 알고리즘의 국소 최적해에 빠르게 수렴한다.

벤치마크 결과, 제시된 알고리즘은 대규모 데이터셋에서 scikit-learn 대비 4500배 이상의 속 도 향상을 달성하면서도 within-cluster sum of squares (WCSS) 품질을 유지한다. 또한, 대규모 언어 모델(LLMs) 양자화와 같은 최신 응용에서도 300배 이상의 속도 향상을 보여준다.

본 연구는 1D *k*-means clustering의 이론과 실제 간 간극을 좁히는 효율적이고 실용적인 알고리 즘을 제안한다. 제시된 알고리즘은 JIT 컴파일을 통해 최적화된 오픈소스 Python 라이브러리로 구현되었으며, 실제 응용에 쉽게 통합할 수 있도록 설계되었다.

주요어: *k*-means 클러스터링, Lloyd 알고리즘, *k*-means++ 초기화, 일차원 클러스터링, 이 진탐색, 누적합

xiii