

WINDOW EQUIVALENCES VIA CATEGORICAL $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ ACTIONS

WEI TSEU

ABSTRACT. We identify two existing approaches to the derived equivalence for the stratified Mukai flop of cotangent bundles of Grassmannians—one induced by the geometric categorical $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ action, and the other through the magic window category of graded matrix factorizations on the gauged Landau–Ginzburg model—via the Knörrer periodicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$ be the Grassmannians of k -dimensional subspaces and k -dimensional quotients of \mathbb{C}^N respectively. As two symplectic resolutions of a nilpotent orbit closure $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ (see (2)), the cotangent bundles $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$ are related by the *stratified Mukai flop*. That is to say, by blowing up the zero sections of the two cotangent bundles, one obtains a common roof

$$T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N) \longleftarrow \mathfrak{Z}^{(0)} \longrightarrow T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k),$$

where the exceptional divisor is identified with the incidence variety in the product $\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$. This irreducible variety $\mathfrak{Z}^{(0)}$ is in fact a natural correspondence; it contains the largest common open subset of $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$ over the singularity \mathfrak{N} . However, it turns out [Nam04] that $\mathfrak{Z}^{(0)}$ is not the right place to induce a natural equivalence of the bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves

$$(1) \quad D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)) \not\cong D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)).$$

The correspondence $\mathfrak{Z}^{(0)}$ yet also appears in the fibre product of the blow-downs of the zero sections

$$T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N} \longleftarrow T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k),$$

which consists of $k+1$ equidimensional components $\mathfrak{Z}^{(0)}, \dots, \mathfrak{Z}^{(i)}, \dots, \mathfrak{Z}^{(k)}$. Here each component $\mathfrak{Z}^{(i)}$ is characterized by the rank of its cotangent vectors in \mathfrak{N} as well as the codimension of the incidence locus in its zero section. For example, the last one $\mathfrak{Z}^{(k)} \cong \mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$ is the ‘deepest’ component of zero cotangent vectors. We refer to [Cau12, §2.2] for a detailed description of these correspondences.

In a series of papers [CKL10; CKL13b; Cau12], Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata develop the theory of geometric categorical $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ actions and apply it to the above stratified Mukai flop to obtain a natural equivalence (1). We will briefly recall the construction of this categorical action in §3.2. Roughly speaking, the equivalence is given by the convolution $\mathbb{T} = \mathrm{Conv}(\Theta)$ of a Rickard complex of the form

$$\Theta = \{ \Theta^{(k)}[-k] \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \Theta^{(i)}[-i] \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \Theta^{(0)} \},$$

where each $\Theta^{(i)}$ is an integral functor induced by a sheaf supported on $\mathfrak{Z}^{(i)}$, with $\Theta^{(0)}$ induced by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}^{(0)}}$ in particular. The differentials in this complex are essentially defined by the counit of adjunctions arising from the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ action. The entire complex categorifies the (Lefschetz) decomposition of the reflection element of $\mathrm{SL}(2)$, acting on the weight spaces of an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ (see [CKL13b, §1]).

This paper aims to interpret the above Rickard complex from a different geometric perspective. We will demonstrate how the convolution perfectly corrects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}^{(0)}}$, mutating it into the right kernel to induce an equivalence (1). The meaning of the term ‘correction’ will become clear as we proceed.

Another way to understand the stratified Mukai flop is to view the cotangent bundles of Grassmannians as symplectic quotients. In §2.1, we recall the definition of Nakajima quiver varieties and realize $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$ as the hyperkähler quotients $\mu^{-1}(0) //_{\theta_{\pm}} G$. Here $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ is the moment map associated to a symplectic representation

$$X = \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V)$$

of $G = \mathrm{GL}(V)$, where V is a k -dimensional vector space and θ_{\pm} are the two respective stability conditions for taking the GIT quotients. As the moment map also defines a section to the dual Lie algebra bundle \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} over X , there is a superpotential function

$$w : X \oplus \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined on the Landau–Ginzburg model $X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ via the natural pairing (§2.3). Then, the Knörrer periodicity (Theorem 2.6) establishes an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$\Psi : D^{\mathrm{b}}(\mu^{-1}(0) //_{\theta_{\pm}} G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}}(X^{\theta_{\pm}\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w)$$

to the derived category of graded matrix factorizations¹ on the gauged LG models.

The magic window theory (§2.2) of Halpern-Leistner and Sam [HLS20] tells us that for every choice of the window parameter δ , there is a magic window subcategory

$$\mathcal{W}_{\delta} \subset \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}}(X \oplus \mathfrak{g}, w),$$

such that the inclusions $\iota_{\pm} : X^{\theta_{\pm}\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ of semi-stable points induce equivalences

$$\mathbb{W}_{\delta} : \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}}(X^{\theta_{+}\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w) \xrightarrow{(\iota_{+}^*)^{-1}} \mathcal{W}_{\delta} \xrightarrow{\iota_{-}^*} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}}(X^{\theta_{-}\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w).$$

In the current example, there are \mathbb{Z} -choices of δ up to equivalences, and the windows are also indexed by the half-open intervals $[n, n + N)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; see Example 2.3. This means the corresponding magic window subcategory $\mathcal{W}_{\delta} = \mathcal{W}_{[n, n+N)}$ is split-generated by matrix factorizations whose components are direct sums of equivariant vector bundles of the form $V_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$, where V_{λ} is the irreducible representation of $G \cong \mathrm{GL}(k)$ of highest weight $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$ satisfying $\lambda_i \in [n, n + N)$.

The main result (Theorem 3.3) of this paper identifies the twisted equivalence $\Psi \mathbb{T} \Psi^{-1}$ with one of the window equivalences \mathbb{W}_{δ} .

¹For readers not familiar with matrix factorizations, it may be helpful to think of them as equivariant coherent sheaves over the singular locus $w^{-1}(0)$ modulo all perfect complexes.

Theorem 1. *There is a window equivalence \mathbb{W}_δ such that the following diagram of triangulated category equivalences commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X^{\theta_+ \text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{W}_\delta} & \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X^{\theta_- \text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w) \\ \Psi \uparrow & & \Psi \uparrow \\ D^b(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}} & D^b(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)). \end{array}$$

Note that the ambient space $X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is much simpler than the hyperkähler quotients, so it is reasonable to translate \mathbb{T} by the Knörrer periodicity Ψ and compare it to the window equivalences over the LG models.

In §3.1, we recall the definition of Hecke correspondences, which are the spaces supporting the kernels of the defining integral functors $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{f}$ of the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ action. We also write down the LG models and the Knörrer periodicity for these Hecke correspondences. After stating two corollaries of the main theorem in §3.3, we find the matrix factorization kernels that induce the corresponding twisted functors $\Psi \mathfrak{e} \Psi^{-1}$, $\Psi \mathfrak{f} \Psi^{-1}$, and $\Psi \Theta \Psi^{-1}$ in the next subsection §3.4.

The remaining three subsections are devoted to the proof of the main theorem. In §3.5, we first extend the kernels of $\Psi \Theta \Psi^{-1}$ naturally from $(X^{\theta_+ \text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}) \times (X^{\theta_- \text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g})$ to $(X^{\theta_+ \text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}) \times (X \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ along the inclusion $\mathrm{id} \times \iota_-$. Next, we verify that the two conditions that uniquely characterize a kernel inducing the window equivalence \mathbb{W}_δ are satisfied by the convolution of this complex of extended kernels. Relying on Corollary 3.19 from the end, this concludes the proof of the main theorem. The corollary itself follows from the grade restriction rule for the convolution kernel, which is discussed in the last two subsections. We recall the setup of the grade restriction window in §3.6 and verify the grade restriction rule for our kernels in §3.7. The highlight is Proposition 3.17, where we demonstrate how the convolution of the Rickard complex iteratively eliminates the parts that are outside of the grade restriction window, and ultimately resulting in an object inside the window.

The takeaway point is that the kernel $\mathcal{O}_{3^{(0)}}$, translated by the Knörrer periodicity into the matrix factorization context, satisfies the expected window condition only after being corrected by the Rickard complex.

Acknowledgments. I am deeply indebted to Travis Schedler and Ed Segal; without their help and support, I would not have survived this project. Thanks also go to Richard Thomas for his comments on an earlier draft and encouragement, and Daniel Halpern-Leistner and Yukinobu Toda for kindly answering my questions via email. This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EP/S021590/1]; The EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Geometry and Number Theory (The London School of Geometry and Number Theory), University College London.

2. PRELIMINARIES

All functors are derived and all sheaves are considered equivariant in this paper.

2.1. Nakajima quiver varieties. The quiver of interest in this paper consists of a single vertex and no edges. For $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the following space of double framed quiver representations

$$X_k = \mathrm{Hom}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V_k),$$

where V_k is a vector space of dimension k and \mathbb{C}^N is the fixed framing. The space X_k is a symplectic representation of the gauge group

$$G_k = \mathrm{GL}(V_k)$$

for the action $g \cdot (a, b) = (ag^{-1}, gb)$, $g \in G_k$ and $(a, b) \in X_k$. For a stability parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *Nakajima quiver variety* [Nak94] is defined as the GIT quotient

$$\mathfrak{M}_\theta(k, N) = \mu^{-1}(0) //_{\chi_\theta} G_k,$$

where $\mu : X_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_k^\vee$ is the moment map and χ_θ is the character $\det(g)^{-\theta}$ of G_k .

Assume $k \leq N$ and $\theta_\pm = \pm 1$, then the semi-stable loci are described as

$$X_k^\pm = X_k^{\theta_\pm\text{-ss}} = \{(a, b) \in X_k \mid \mathrm{rk}(a) = k \text{ (resp. } \mathrm{rk}(b) = k)\}.$$

The moment map condition $\mu(a, b) = ba = 0$ further implies $a(V_k) \subseteq \ker(b)$. So, the quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_\pm}(k, N)$ are isomorphic to the cotangent bundles $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$ respectively. They are symplectic resolutions of the categorical quotient $\mathfrak{M}_0(k, N)$, which can be identified with the nilpotent orbit closure

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{N} = \{f = ab \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^N) \mid f^2 = 0, \quad \mathrm{rk}(f) \leq k\}.$$

Quiver varieties with reflecting stability parameters (and dimension vectors) are also related by the Lusztig–Maffei–Nakajima (LMN) isomorphism. In the current example, it is simply the canonical isomorphism

$$(3) \quad T^*\mathrm{Gr}(N - k, \mathbb{C}^N) = \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_+}(N - k, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_-}(k, N) = T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k).$$

In this isomorphism, the tautological embedding $a : V_{N-k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ of a point (a, b) from the left-hand side gives rise to a quotient $b' : \mathbb{C}^N \twoheadrightarrow V_k$ as its cokernel. Because of the moment map condition, the map $b : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow V_{N-k}$ naturally factors through this quotient V_k . Now, if we compose the universal map $V_k \rightarrow V_{N-k}$ of this factorization with a , we obtain a map $a' : V_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. The pair (a', b') represents the image of (a, b) under the isomorphism (3).

2.2. Magic window categories. The derived category of a GIT quotient has a nice combinatorial description via the magic window approach [HLS20]. We refer to [BFK19, pp. 238–239] for a historical account of the idea of ‘windows’. Let G be a complex reductive group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and T a maximal torus of G . For a dominant weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}^*(T)^+$, there is an irreducible representation V_λ of G of highest weight λ .

Given a symplectic representation X of G , denote its set of T -weights by $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ (counted with multiplicity) and define the zonotope

$$\bar{\Sigma}_X = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} c_i v_i \mid c_i \in [-1, 0] \right\} \subset \mathbb{X}^*(T)_\mathbb{R}.$$

Following [HLS20, §2], we assume the Weyl-invariants $\mathcal{X}^*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}^W$ are not contained in any linear hyperplane that is parallel to a codimension one face of $\overline{\Sigma}_{X \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$ (here \mathfrak{g} is the adjoint representation of G), and $\overline{\Sigma}_{X \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$ linearly spans $\mathcal{X}^*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}$. An element $\delta \in \mathcal{X}^*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}^W$ is called *generic* if the boundary of the shifted window

$$\delta + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Sigma}_X$$

does not intersect the weight lattice $\mathcal{X}^*(T)$.

Remark 2.1. The G -space $X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is quasi-symmetric in the sense of [HLS20, §2], and the magic window associated to it is actually a polytope $\overline{\nabla}$ ([HLS20, §2.2]) defined by the weights of $X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ (rather than of X). However, in this case, the polytope $\overline{\nabla}_{X \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$ is equal to the zonotope $\overline{\Sigma}_X$ associated to X ; see [HLS20, Remark 6.13]. As we will only consider quotients of spaces of the form $X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, we will also call $\overline{\Sigma}_X$ the magic window.

Definition 2.2 ([HLS20]). For a generic window $\delta + (1/2)\overline{\Sigma}_X$, define the *window subcategory* W_{δ} as the full subcategory of $D_G^b(X \oplus \mathfrak{g})$ split-generated by G -equivariant vector bundles $V_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$ for $\lambda \in \delta + (1/2)\overline{\Sigma}_X$.

Example 2.3. Consider the symplectic representation from §2.1

$$X_k = \mathrm{Hom}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V_k).$$

It has weights $\{\pm N \cdot e_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in terms of a standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of V_k . In the space $\mathcal{X}^*(T)_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^k$, the original window is identified with the region

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{\Sigma}_{X_k} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid -\frac{N}{2} \leq x_i \leq \frac{N}{2}, \forall i \right\}.$$

Shift this window along the line $\mathcal{X}^*(T)_{\mathbb{R}}^W = \{x_1 = \dots = x_k\} \cong \mathbb{R}$ by a generic window parameter $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, then any dominant weight in this shifted window $\delta + (1/2)\overline{\Sigma}_{X_k}$ is represented by a non-increasing sequence $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ of integers where each

$$\lambda_i \in [[\delta - (N/2)], [\delta + (N/2)]].$$

In this example, we also call this width N half-open interval a *window*.

A GIT quotient is called *generic* if the semi-stable and stable loci coincide.

Theorem 2.4 ([HLS20]). *For a generic window parameter δ and a generic stability condition θ , the inclusion $\iota : (X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta-ss} \hookrightarrow X \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ of the semi-stable locus induces an equivalence*

$$\iota^* : W_{\delta} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta-ss}/G).$$

Corollary 2.5 ([HLS20]). *For a window subcategory W_{δ} and two generic stability conditions θ_1, θ_2 , there is a categorical GIT wall-crossing equivalence*

$$D^b((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta_1-ss}/G) \xrightarrow{(\iota_1^*)^{-1}} W_{\delta} \xrightarrow{\iota_2^*} D^b((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta_2-ss}/G).$$

2.3. Matrix factorizations. We first recall a result relating two kinds of derived categories of coherent sheaves, respectively over the singular locus $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and the smooth space of representations of a quiver with potential. This is an equivalence of triangulated categories studied mathematically by [Orl04; Orl06; Seg11; Shi12; Isi13], and it is known as the (derived) Knörrer periodicity [Hir17a].

Suppose X is a smooth algebraic variety equipped with a reductive group G -action. Let E be a G -equivariant vector bundle on X and s a G -equivariant regular section of E . Define the *superpotential* function w on the total space of the dual bundle E^\vee by the natural pairing

$$w : \text{Tot}(E^\vee) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, v) \longmapsto \langle s(x), v \rangle, \quad x \in X, v \in E_x^\vee.$$

The function w is G -invariant, yet of weight two with respect to the squared dilation of \mathbb{C}^\times on the fibres of $\text{Tot}(E^\vee)$. The pair $(\text{Tot}(E^\vee), w)$ is known as a *gauged Landau–Ginzburg model* (LG model).

A *graded matrix factorization* on $(\text{Tot}(E^\vee), w)$ is a pair of $(G \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -equivariant coherent sheaves F_0, F_1 (called *components*) over $\text{Tot}(E^\vee)$, together with two G -equivariant and \mathbb{C}^\times -weight one maps

$$F_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ \xleftarrow{d_1} \end{array} F_1,$$

such that $d_1 d_0 = w \cdot \text{id} = d_0 d_1$. By adopting the (cohomological) internal degree shift $\langle \cdot \rangle$ on the \mathbb{C}^\times -grading, the maps are also denoted equivariantly as

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} F_1\langle 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0\langle 2 \rangle.$$

When $-1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts trivially, this matrix factorization is equivalent to a $(G \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -equivariant coherent sheaf $F = F_0 \oplus F_1$, together with a G -equivariant endomorphism

$$d_F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_1 \\ d_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : F \longrightarrow F\langle 1 \rangle$$

such that $d_F^2 = w$, see [Seg11, §2]. The pair (F, d_F) is also called a curved dg sheaf.

We may also think of matrix factorizations as twisted $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded complexes and consider homotopy classes of cochain maps between them. That is to say, for two matrix factorizations F, F' , define a differential graded structure on the following complex $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, F')$ of G -equivariant maps of various \mathbb{C}^\times -weights

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}^{2n}(F, F') &= \text{Hom}(F_0, F'_0\langle 2n \rangle) \oplus \text{Hom}(F_1, F'_1\langle 2n \rangle), \\ \text{Hom}^{2n+1}(F, F') &= \text{Hom}(F_0, F'_1\langle 2n+1 \rangle) \oplus \text{Hom}(F_1, F'_0\langle 2n+1 \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

by the differential $d(f) = d_{F'} \circ f - (-1)^{\deg(f)} f \circ d_F$. By taking the 0-th cohomology group $H^0(\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, F'))$ as the set of morphisms, we obtain the homotopy category $K_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\text{Tot}(E^\vee), w)$ of matrix factorizations. This is a triangulated category with degree shift

$$F[1] = \left\{ F_1\langle 1 \rangle \xrightarrow{-d_1} F_0\langle 2 \rangle \xrightarrow{-d_0} F_1\langle 3 \rangle \right\},$$

and a natural construction of cones

$$\text{Cone}(\phi : F \rightarrow F') = \left\{ F[1] \oplus F', \begin{pmatrix} -d_1 & 0 \\ \phi_1 & d'_0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -d_0 & 0 \\ \phi_0 & d'_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since a matrix factorization is a complex twisted by a usually nonzero function w , we no longer have the notion of its cohomology. Instead, a matrix factorization is called *acyclic* if it is in the smallest thick subcategory of $K_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee), w)$ that contains all totalizations of exact sequences of matrix factorizations. By taking the Verdier quotient of this homotopy category by the thick subcategory of acyclic matrix factorizations, one reaches the *derived category of graded matrix factorizations*

$$\mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee), w).$$

Two matrix factorizations that become isomorphic in the derived category are called *quasi-isomorphic*. Like in the derived category of coherent sheaves, functors such as the tensor product, pullback, and pushforward are also defined for matrix factorizations. We refer to [BFK14] or [Hir17b] for a formal introduction.

As s is regular, we can resolve the skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{s^{-1}(0)}$ by the Koszul complex $(\wedge^\bullet E^\vee, s_\perp)$ over X . Pull back the complex to $\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee)$ along the projection, we obtain a distinguished matrix factorization

$$(4) \quad \wedge^\bullet E^\vee : \wedge^{\mathrm{top}} E^\vee \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s_\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\wedge t} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s_\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\wedge t} \end{array} E^\vee \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{s_\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\wedge t} \end{array} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee)},$$

where t is the tautological section of the bundle E^\vee on $\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee)$. Here s is equivariant while t is of weight two, so E^\vee should be shifted by $\langle -1 \rangle$ to make sure the differentials in both directions are of weight one. Forgetting the arrows of s_\perp in (4) gives a resolution of the zero section \mathcal{O}_X , up to tensoring with $\det(E^\vee)[- \mathrm{rk}(E)]$. In other words, the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{s^{-1}(0)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \det(E^\vee)[- \mathrm{rk}(E)]$ are quasi-isomorphic as matrix factorizations; they have the common Koszul resolution (4).

Theorem 2.6 (Knörrer periodicity). *The Koszul factorization (4) induces an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$\wedge^\bullet E^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{s^{-1}(0)}} (-) : D_G^b(s^{-1}(0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee), w).$$

Remark 2.7. This tensor product is taken over dg schemes (the derived fibre $s^{-1}(0)$), transforming graded dg modules over the dg algebra

$$\mathrm{Sym}\left(\underline{0} \longrightarrow E^\vee \xrightarrow{-s^\vee} \mathcal{O}_X\right)$$

into that of $\mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} \underline{E})$ via Mirković–Riche’s linear Koszul duality. See [Isi13] for the full statement in terms of the singularity categories and [Tod24, §2.3] for the curved dg version. In practice, the Knörrer periodicity is given by the composition $i_* p^*$ of the direct and inverse image functors along the bundle projection p and the obvious embedding i as shown in the diagram (see e.g. [Hir17a])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee)|_{s^{-1}(0)}, 0) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Tot}(E^\vee), w) \\ p^* \uparrow & & \\ \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(s^{-1}(0), 0) = D_G^b(s^{-1}(0)). & & \end{array}$$

In the bottom identity, a bounded complex of coherent sheaves is viewed as a matrix factorization of zero potential, with its original cohomological degree transferred into the internal degree (\mathbb{C}^\times -grading).

The following lemma tells the functoriality of the direct and inverse image functors with respect to the Knörrer periodicity. It will only be used later.

Lemma 2.8 ([Tod24, §2.4]). *Let $(X_i, G_i, E_i, s_i, w_i), i = 1, 2$ be two tuples of the above geometric data of an LG model. Suppose there is a commutative diagram of equivariant morphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{F} & f^* E_2 & \xrightarrow{f} & E_2 \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow p & & \uparrow p \\ & & X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 \end{array}$$

s_1 (curved arrow from E_1 to X_1), s_2 (curved arrow from E_2 to X_2)

such that f is equivariant with respect to an algebraic group morphism $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, and F is equivariant for the G_1 -equivariant structure on $f^* E_2$ induced by f . Consider the corresponding morphisms between the LG models

$$(\mathrm{Tot}(E_1^\vee), w_1) \xleftarrow{F^t} (\mathrm{Tot}(f^* E_2^\vee), f^* w_2) \xrightarrow{f} (\mathrm{Tot}(E_2^\vee), w_2).$$

Assume f, F^t are proper and the stack morphism $f : [s_1^{-1}(0)/G_1] \rightarrow [s_2^{-1}(0)/G_2]$ is quasi-smooth, then the functors f_*, f^* have the following functoriality

$$\Psi_2 \circ f_* = f_*(F^t)^* \circ \Psi_1, \quad \Psi_1 \circ f^* = (F^t)! f^* \circ \Psi_2$$

with respect to the Knörrer periodicity $\Psi_i : D_{G_i}^b(s_i^{-1}(0)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}_{G_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Tot}(E_i^\vee), w_i)$.

Now we further assume X is a symplectic representation of G and consider the LG model

$$w : X \oplus \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where w is defined from the moment map section $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ via natural pairing.

Definition 2.9 ([PT24, Lemma 2.3]). For a generic window $\delta + (1/2)\overline{\Sigma}_X$, define the window subcategory

$$\mathcal{W}_\delta \subset \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X \oplus \mathfrak{g}, w)$$

as the full subcategory of matrix factorizations whose components are direct sums of equivariant vector bundles $V_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$ where $\lambda \in \delta + (1/2)\overline{\Sigma}_X$.

Theorem 2.10 ([HLS20]). *For a generic window parameter δ and a generic stability condition θ , the restriction functor i^* defines an equivalence*

$$i^* : \mathcal{W}_\delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta\text{-ss}}, w).$$

As a result, given two generic stability conditions θ_1, θ_2 , there is a window equivalence

$$\mathcal{W}_\delta : \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta_1\text{-ss}}, w) \xrightarrow{(i_1^*)^{-1}} \mathcal{W}_\delta \xrightarrow{i_2^*} \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta_2\text{-ss}}, w).$$

For a generic stability condition θ , the critical locus of w has the same intersection with $(X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta\text{-ss}}$ or $X^{\theta\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}$ [HLS20, Lemma 5.4]. Consequently, the derived categories of matrix factorizations over these two loci are equivalent [HLS20, Lemma 5.5]

$$(5) \quad \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((X \oplus \mathfrak{g})^{\theta\text{-ss}}, w) \simeq \mathrm{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X^{\theta\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w).$$

Now, if we apply the Knörrer periodicity over the θ -semi-stable loci

$$\Psi : D^b(\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta\text{-ss}}/G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MF}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X^{\theta\text{-ss}} \times \mathfrak{g}, w),$$

and combine it with the identification (5) as well as the equivalence \mathcal{W}_δ , we obtain a window equivalence for the (smooth) hyperkähler quotient $\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta\text{-ss}}/G$.

Corollary 2.11 ([HLS20]). *For generic parameters $\delta, \theta_1, \theta_2$ as before, there is a window equivalence*

$$\Psi^{-1}\mathcal{W}_\delta\Psi : D^b(\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta_1\text{-ss}}/G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_\delta \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta_2\text{-ss}}/G).$$

2.4. Lascoux resolutions. Let G be a reductive group acting on a vector space T , and $U \subset T$ a subspace preserved by a parabolic subgroup P of G . For a representation V of P , consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{V} := G \times_P V$ over G/P and denote its pullback to the total spaces $U \times_P G \subset T \times G/P$ by the same letter. Let

$$\pi : U \times_P G \longrightarrow T, \quad (u, g) \longmapsto gu.$$

The following theorem gives a Lascoux type resolution of $\pi_*\mathcal{V}$ (see also [Wey03, §6.1]).

Theorem 2.12 ([DS14, Theorem A.16]). *Suppose $\pi_*\mathcal{V}$ is a sheaf concentrated in a single degree, then it has a G -equivariant resolution \mathcal{F}^\bullet where*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-n} = \bigoplus_{r \geq n} H^{r-n}(G/P, \mathcal{V} \otimes \bigwedge^r(T/U)^\vee).$$

When $U \subset T$ are vector bundles over a scheme and π is constant over that base, we can also apply this theorem relatively.

3. THE CATEGORICAL ACTION

We continue with the notations introduced in §2.1 and Example 2.3, and assume $2k \leq N$. Let V_k be the rank k tautological vector bundle on various quotients of X_k . A prime mark V'_k is used when the locus is defined by the stability condition θ_- , for example the quotient $[X_k^- \times \mathfrak{gl}_k/G_k]$ or $T^*\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$. For a dominant weight $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$, there is a vector bundle $S^\lambda V_k$ given by the Schur functor construction (see e.g. [Wey03]). In particular, this bundle corresponds to the G_k -equivariant vector bundle $V_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k}$ on the LG model $X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k$. The multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times acts by squared dilation on \mathfrak{gl}_k and trivially on X_k . The Knörrer periodicity is

$$\Psi_k : D^b(\mu^{-1}(0)^{\theta_\pm\text{-ss}}/G_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MF}_{G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X_k^\pm \times \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k).$$

3.1. Hecke correspondences. For $0 \leq m \leq n \leq N$, let

$$Z_{m,n} \subset \text{Hom}(V_m, V_n) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_n, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V_m)$$

be the open subspace where the first two maps give embeddings $V_m \hookrightarrow V_n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. Suppose $G_m \times G_n$ acts on this vector space of linear maps by change of basis, then the quotient $Z_{m,n}/(G_m \times G_n)$ is identified with the total space of the Hom bundle $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V_m)$ over the partial flag variety $\text{Fl}(m, n; \mathbb{C}^N)$. The *Hecke correspondence* is the closed subvariety

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n} \subset Z_{m,n}/(G_m \times G_n)$$

where V_n is contained in the kernel of the tautological map $\mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow V_m$. It is equipped with natural projections

$$T^*\mathrm{Gr}(m, \mathbb{C}^N) \xleftarrow{\pi_m} \mathfrak{Z}_{m,n} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} T^*\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^N),$$

where we compose $V_m \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ or $\mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow V_m \rightarrow V_n$ and forget the relevant group action respectively. This also embeds the correspondence $\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n}$ into the product $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(m, \mathbb{C}^N) \times T^*\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^N)$ as it was originally considered in [Nak94; Nak98].

By definition, $\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n}$ is the vanishing locus of the composition of the tautological maps $V_n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow V_m$. This defines a regular section to the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(V_n, V_m)$ over $Z_{m,n}$, so we have an LG model

$$w_{m,n} : Z_{m,n} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_n, V_m)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The Knörrer periodicity for the Hecke correspondence $\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n}$ then reads as

$$\Psi_{m,n} : D^b(\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}_{G_m \times G_n \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z_{m,n} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_n, V_m)^\vee, w_{m,n}).$$

The projections π_m, π_n also lift to equivariant maps Π_m, Π_n between the bundles

$$X_m^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_m^\vee \xleftarrow{\pi_m} Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_m^\vee \xleftarrow{\Pi_m} Z_{m,n} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_n, V_m) \xrightarrow{\Pi_n} Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_n^\vee \xrightarrow{\pi_n} X_n^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_n^\vee,$$

where we pre-compose or post-compose the fibres in $\mathrm{Hom}(V_n, V_m)$ with the tautological map $V_m \hookrightarrow V_n$. The maps Π_m, Π_n are compatible with the regular sections, so we are in the setting of Lemma 2.8 and we have the transposed maps between the LG models

$$(6) \quad Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_m \xrightarrow{\Pi_m^t} Z_{m,n} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_n, V_m)^\vee \xleftarrow{\Pi_n^t} Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_n.$$

The morphisms Π_m^t, Π_n^t , which send an element of \mathfrak{gl}_m or \mathfrak{gl}_n to its obvious composition with the tautological map $V_m \hookrightarrow V_n$, are both flat. Moreover, the potentials are all compatible in the following way

$$\pi_m^* w_m = (\Pi_m^t)^* w_{m,n}, \quad (\Pi_n^t)^* w_{m,n} = \pi_n^* w_n.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq k$, we will consider the base change of $\mathfrak{Z}_{k-i, N-k}$ along the LMN isomorphism (3)

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Z}'_{k-i,k} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{Z}_{k-i, N-k} \\ \downarrow \pi'_k & \square & \downarrow \pi_{N-k} \\ T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T^*\mathrm{Gr}(N-k, \mathbb{C}^N). \end{array}$$

Let

$$Z'_{k-i,k} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(V_{k-i}, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i})$$

be the open subspace where the first two maps are of full rank $V_{k-i} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \twoheadrightarrow V'_k$. Then, the correspondence

$$T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k-i, \mathbb{C}^N) \xleftarrow{\pi_{k-i}} \mathfrak{Z}'_{k-i,k} \xrightarrow{\pi'_k} T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)$$

is the local complete intersection in $Z'_{k-i,k}/(G_{k-i} \times G_k)$ where the composition of $V_{k-i} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N \twoheadrightarrow V'_k$ vanishes. Again, this defines an LG model

$$w'_{k-i,k} : Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V'_k)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

and the Knörrer periodicity goes as

$$D^b(\mathfrak{Z}'_{k-i,k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{MF}_{G_{k-i} \times G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V'_k)^\vee, w'_{k-i,k}).$$

Analogous to (6), the projections π_{k-i}, π'_k lift to compatible maps Π_{k-i}, Π'_k between the bundles and their transposes between the LG models

$$(8) \quad Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i} \xrightarrow{\Pi_{k-i}^t} Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathrm{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V'_k)^\vee \xleftarrow{(\Pi'_k)^t} Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathfrak{gl}_k.$$

Here the maps are defined by composing endomorphisms in \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i} or \mathfrak{gl}_k with the tautological map $V'_k \rightarrow V_{k-i}$ in obvious ways. Note that because this tautological map can be of arbitrary rank, the morphisms $\Pi_{k-i}^t, (\Pi'_k)^t$ are in general not flat.

3.2. The geometric categorical action. After Nakajima's seminal work [Nak94; Nak98], it becomes natural to think of the quiver varieties $T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$ all together as a geometric realization of the weight spaces of an irreducible representation of (the quantized) $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. The following functors are introduced by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata to categorify this geometric action.

Definition 3.1 ([CKL10; CKL13b]). For $0 \leq m \leq n \leq N$, let

$$\mathfrak{e}^{n,m} : D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(n, \mathbb{C}^N)) \rightleftarrows D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(m, \mathbb{C}^N)) : \mathfrak{f}^{m,n}$$

be the integral functors induced by the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n}} \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N/V_n)^{m-n} \otimes \det(V_m)^{n-m}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}_{m,n}} \otimes \det(V_n/V_m)^{N-m-n} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The functors are abbreviated as $\mathfrak{e}^{(n-m)}, \mathfrak{f}^{(n-m)}$ when n, m are obvious from the context.

These functors satisfy the axioms of a strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ action [CKL10]. For example, up to degree shifts, the functors $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{f}$ are both left and right adjoints of each other

$$\mathfrak{f}^{m,n}[-(n-m)(N-n-m)] \dashv \mathfrak{e}^{n,m} \dashv \mathfrak{f}^{m,n}[(n-m)(N-n-m)].$$

In particular, we have the counit

$$\varepsilon : \mathfrak{f}^{m,n} \mathfrak{e}^{n,m}[-(n-m)(N-n-m)] \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}.$$

Moreover, powers of \mathfrak{e} or \mathfrak{f} decompose in the following way: for $0 \leq l \leq m \leq n \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}^{m,l} \mathfrak{e}^{n,m} &\simeq \mathfrak{e}^{n,l} \otimes H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(n-m, n-l)), \\ \mathfrak{f}^{m,n} \mathfrak{f}^{l,m} &\simeq \mathfrak{f}^{l,n} \otimes H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(m-l, n-l)). \end{aligned}$$

The cohomology ring here is symmetric with respect to the 0-th degree, e.g.

$$H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(2, 4)) = \mathbb{C}[4] \oplus \mathbb{C}[2] \oplus \mathbb{C}^2[0] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-2] \oplus \mathbb{C}[-4].$$

For each $0 \leq i \leq k$, consider the functors

$$\mathfrak{e}^{(i)} = \mathfrak{e}^{k,k-i}, \quad \mathfrak{f}^{(N-2k+i)} = \mathfrak{f}^{k-i,N-k},$$

and their composition

$$\Theta^{(i)} = \mathfrak{f}^{(N-2k+i)} \mathfrak{e}^{(i)} : D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)) \longrightarrow D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(N-k, \mathbb{C}^N)).$$

A differential map

$$d_i : \Theta^{(i)}[-i] \longrightarrow \Theta^{(i-1)}[-(i-1)], \quad i \geq 1,$$

is defined by first including $\mathfrak{e}^{(i)}$ and $\mathfrak{f}^{(N-2k+i)}$ into their lowest degree copy in the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}^{(1)}\mathfrak{e}^{(i-1)} &\simeq \mathfrak{e}^{(i)} \otimes H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(i-1, i)), \\ \mathfrak{f}^{(N-2k+i-1)}\mathfrak{f}^{(1)} &\simeq \mathfrak{f}^{(N-2k+i)} \otimes H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(1, N-2k+i)) \end{aligned}$$

and then applying the counit map

$$\varepsilon : \mathfrak{f}^{(1)}\mathfrak{e}^{(1)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}[N-2k+2i-1].$$

With these differentials, we can form the *Rickard complex* of functors

$$(9) \quad \Theta = \left\{ \Theta^{(k)}[-k] \xrightarrow{d_k} \Theta^{(k-1)}[-(k-1)] \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Theta^{(1)}[-1] \xrightarrow{d_1} \Theta^{(0)} \right\}.$$

Recall that a *Postnikov system* of the complex Θ is a collection of distinguished triangles

$$(10) \quad T^{(1)}[-1] \xrightarrow{a_1} \Theta^{(1)}[-1] \xrightarrow{d_1} \Theta^{(0)}, \quad T^{(i)}[-i] \xrightarrow{a_i} \Theta^{(i)}[-i] \xrightarrow{b_i} T^{(i-1)}[-i+1]$$

such that $a_{i-1} \circ b_i = d_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. When it exists, the object $T^{(k)}$ is called a (right) *convolution* of the complex.

Theorem 3.2 ([CKL13b]). *The Rickard complex has a unique convolution, which defines a natural equivalence*

$$\mathbb{T} = \mathrm{Conv}(\Theta) : D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(N-k, \mathbb{C}^N))$$

for the local model of type A stratified Mukai flops.

We can transport the kernel of $\mathfrak{f}^{(N-2k+i)}$ to $\mathfrak{Z}'_{k-i, k}$ via the base change (7). The isomorphic integral functor

$$\mathfrak{f}^{\{i\}} : D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k-i, \mathbb{C}^N)) \longrightarrow D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k))$$

is then induced by the transported kernel

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}'_{k-i, k}} \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N/V_{k-i})^i \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-i}$$

according to the canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^N/V_{N-k} \cong V'_k$. The Rickard complex also transports to an isomorphic complex

$$(11) \quad \Theta' = \left\{ \Theta^{\{k\}}[-k] \xrightarrow{d_k} \Theta^{\{k-1\}}[-(k-1)] \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Theta^{\{1\}}[-1] \xrightarrow{d_1} \Theta^{\{0\}} \right\}$$

where $\Theta^{\{i\}} = \mathfrak{f}^{\{i\}}\mathfrak{e}^{(i)}$, which gives rise to an equivalence

$$\mathbb{T}' = \mathrm{Conv}(\Theta') : D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(T^*\mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k))$$

by Theorem 3.2.

3.3. Main results. From now on, we fix a generic window parameter $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the window (see Example 2.3) is given by the half-open interval

$$[[\delta - (N/2)], [\delta + (N/2)]] = [-k, N - k).$$

We may also replace δ with this half-open interval in the notation of the window subcategory $\mathcal{W}_{[-k, N-k]}$ or the equivalence $\mathbb{W}_{[-k, N-k]}$.

Theorem 3.3. *The following diagram of triangulated category equivalences commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{W}_\delta} & \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X_k^- \times \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k) \\ \Psi_k \uparrow & & \Psi_k \uparrow \\ D^b(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}'} & D^b(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, k)). \end{array}$$

Remark 3.4 ($k = 1$). The abelian case is certainly known to experts, though it has not been written down in the literature. In [Har17], Hara calculated what is equivalent to that \mathbb{T}' maps $\mathcal{O}(-n) = V_1^{\otimes n}$ to $\mathcal{O}(-n) = (V_1')^{\otimes n}$ for $n \in [-1, N-1]$, and showed that the direct sum of these N line bundles is a tilting generator of $D^b(T^* \mathbb{P}^{N-1})$. To see the theorem is true in this case, it suffices to verify

$$\mathbb{W}_{[-1, N-1]}(\Psi_1(V_1^{\otimes n})) = \Psi_1((V_1')^{\otimes n}), \quad n \in [-1, N-1].$$

Note that the Koszul factorization (4) in this case is simply $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_1 : \mathcal{O} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{O}$, so the image $\Psi_1(V_1^{\otimes n})$ is the matrix factorization $V_1^{\otimes n} \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_1 : V_1^{\otimes n} \rightleftarrows V_1^{\otimes n}$ and same for $\Psi_1((V_1')^{\otimes n})$. In other words, the images $\Psi_1(V_1^{\otimes n})$ and $\Psi_1((V_1')^{\otimes n})$ are restrictions of the common matrix factorization $V_1^{\otimes n} \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_1$ from the linear stack $[X_1 \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1 / G_1]$. As the highest weights n here are all contained in the window $[-1, N-1]$, this matrix factorization obviously lies in the window subcategory.

The remainder of the paper is devoted to proving Theorem 3.3. Before that, we state two immediate corollaries of the main theorem.

Corollary 3.5. *The square of \mathbb{T} is a window shift autoequivalence, i.e.*

$$\Psi_k \mathbb{T} \circ \mathbb{T} \Psi_k^{-1} \simeq \mathbb{W}_{\delta+1}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{W}_\delta.$$

From [HLS16], we know that such a window shift autoequivalence is given by spherical twists around certain spherical functors arising from the Kempf–Ness stratification of the LG model $X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k$. Spelling out these spherical twists will be of independent interest.

Proof. By definition, the equivalence in the opposite direction

$$\mathbb{T}_{N-k} : D^b(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(N-k, \mathbb{C}^N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N))$$

is given by the convolution of a similar Rickard complex

$$\{\mathbb{F}^{(k)} \mathbb{e}^{(N-k)}[-k] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(1)} \mathbb{e}^{(N-2k+1)}[-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{e}^{(N-2k)}\},$$

cf. the Rickard complex (9) of \mathbb{T}_k . By [CKL13a, Lemma 7.4], the kernel of \mathbb{T}_{N-k} is isomorphic to that of \mathbb{T}_k tensoring with L^{N-2k} , where L is the line bundle

$$\det(V_k) \otimes \det(V_{N-k}) \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, the inverse

$$\mathbb{T}_k^{-1} : D^b(T^* \text{Gr}(N-k, \mathbb{C}^N)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(T^* \text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N))$$

is given by the convolution of the complex of adjoints

$$\{\mathbb{e}^{(N-2k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{f}^{(1)} \mathbb{e}^{(N-2k+1)}[1] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{f}^{(k)} \mathbb{e}^{(N-k)}[k]\}.$$

From *loc. cit.*, the kernel of \mathbb{T}_k^{-1} is isomorphic to that of \mathbb{T}_k tensoring with L^{N-2k-1} . Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{T}_{N-k} \simeq (-) \otimes \det(V_k) \circ \mathbb{T}_k^{-1} \circ (-) \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N/V_{N-k})^{-1}.$$

Combining this with Theorem 3.3, we are able to express \mathbb{T}^2 as a composition of window equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \mathbb{T}_{N-k} \circ \mathbb{T}_k \Psi^{-1} &\simeq (-) \otimes \det(V_k) \circ \mathbb{W}_\delta^{-1} \circ (-) \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-1} \circ \mathbb{W}_\delta \\ &\simeq \mathbb{W}_{\delta+1}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{W}_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$ be a dominant weight such that $-1 \leq \lambda_i \leq N-2k$, then \mathbb{T} maps the Schur functor $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k$ to the Schur functor $\mathbb{S}^\lambda(\mathbb{C}^N/V_{N-k})$.*

In other words, such a Schur functor is invariant under the GIT wall-crossing

$$\mathbb{T}'(\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k) = \mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k.$$

When $k = 1$, these Schur functors coincide with a choice of Beilinson's collection $\{\mathcal{O}(2-N), \dots, \mathcal{O}(1)\}$, and as mentioned in Remark 3.4, the invariance is already known in [Har17]. For $k \geq 2$, the set of Schur functors in this corollary is a proper subset of (any choice of) Kapranov's collection [Kap85] (that contains it). In [Tse24], we construct a tilting generator of $D^b(T^* \text{Gr}(2, \mathbb{C}^N))$, whose direct summands are given by these invariant Schur functors along with other extension bundles taken to replace the rest of Kapranov's collection.

Proof. The equivariant bundle $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k$ on X_k restricts to the same Schur functor $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k$ or $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$ on the semi-stable loci X_k^\pm . Under the Knörrer periodicity

$$\Psi_k : D^b([\mu^{-1}(0)/G_k]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MF}_{G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k),$$

this bundle $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k$ is mapped to $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_k$, its tensor product with the Koszul factorization (4). We shall verify that all the Schur functors $\mathbb{S}^\nu V_k$ appearing in a decomposition of this tensor product have highest weights in the window $[-k, N-k]$. This implies the matrix factorization $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_k$ lies in the window subcategory. As a result, its restriction $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_k = \Psi_k(\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k)$ to $X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$ is sent under the window equivalence to its restriction $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{gl}_k = \Psi_k(\mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k)$ to $X_k^- \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$.

We first decompose each exterior power $\wedge^r \mathfrak{gl}_k$ as

$$\wedge^r (V_k^\vee \otimes V_k) \cong \bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{S}^\alpha V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\alpha'} V_k,$$

where the direct sum is over all Young diagrams $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^k$ with $\alpha_i \in [0, k]$ and $\sum_i \alpha_i = r$. Here the conjugate Young diagram $\alpha' = (\alpha'_i)_{i=1}^k$ is defined by counting the number of boxes on each column of α . The summand further decomposes

$$\mathbb{S}^\alpha V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\alpha'} V_k \cong \mathbb{S}^{-\alpha_k, \dots, -\alpha_1} V_k \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\alpha'} V_k \cong \bigoplus_{\mu} c_{-\alpha, \alpha'}^{\mu} \mathbb{S}^{\mu} V_k$$

by the Littlewood–Richardson rule [Wey03, §2.3]. We claim that each $\mu = (\mu_i)_{i=1}^k$ satisfies $\mu_1 \leq k-1$ and $\mu_k \geq 1-k$. These two conditions are obvious when $\alpha'_1 \leq k-1$ and $\alpha_1 \leq k-1$ respectively. Assume the first column of α has k boxes, then we immediately have $\alpha_k \geq 1$, hence $\mu_1 \leq k-1$. On the other hand, $\alpha_1 = k$ implies $\alpha'_k \geq 1$, so in this case we also have $\mu_k \geq 1-k$. By the Littlewood–Richardson rule again, each tensor product $\mathbb{S}^{\mu} V_k \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\lambda} V_k$ decomposes into a direct sum of Schur functors $\mathbb{S}^{\nu} V_k$, where $\nu_1 \leq N-k+1$ and $\nu_k \geq -k$ as expected. \square

3.4. The kernels. In this subsection, we find the matrix factorization kernels that induce the twisted functors $\Psi_{\Theta}\Psi^{-1}$, $\Psi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{-1}$, and $\Psi_{\Theta}\Psi^{-1}$ of the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ action. The procedure for translating kernels along the Knörrer periodicity is partly inspired by the construction for certain critical flops of toric LG models from [Tod21, §3].

By definition (see e.g. [BFK14; Hir17b]), an integral functor Φ_F between the derived categories of matrix factorizations of two gauged LG models (Y_i, G_i, w_i) , $i = 1, 2$ is induced by a kernel

$$F \in \text{MF}_{G_1 \times G_2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Y_1 \times Y_2, p_2^* w_2 - p_1^* w_1)$$

via the Fourier–Mukai type formula $\Phi_F(-) = p_{2,*}(p_1^*(-) \otimes F)$, where $p_i : Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Y_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are the projections.

Consider the fibre product of (6)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{m,n} & \xrightarrow{p_n} & Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_n \\ \downarrow p_m & \square & \downarrow \Pi_n^t \\ Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_m & \xrightarrow{\Pi_m^t} & Z_{m,n} \times \text{Hom}(V_m, V_n). \end{array}$$

This is the locally closed subvariety in $Z_{m,n} \times \mathfrak{gl}_m \times \mathfrak{gl}_n$ where the map $\alpha_{m,n} : V_m \hookrightarrow V_n$ in $Z_{m,n}$ intertwines with the endomorphisms $\epsilon_m \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$ and $\epsilon_n \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$, i.e.

$$(12) \quad \alpha_{m,n} \epsilon_m = \epsilon_n \alpha_{m,n}.$$

In other words, ϵ_n restricts to ϵ_m . As $Z_{m,n}$ is naturally embedded into $X_m^+ \times X_n^+$, the fibre product $W_{m,n}$ is also a correspondence with natural projections

$$X_m^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_m \xleftarrow{\pi_m p_m} W_{m,n} \xrightarrow{\pi_n p_n} X_n^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_n.$$

The quotient $[W_{m,n}/G_m \times G_n]$ is also known as a Hecke correspondence of ‘triple quiver varieties’ [VV23, §3].

Similarly, consider the fibre product of (8)

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W'_{k-i,k} & \xrightarrow{p'_k} & Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathfrak{gl}_k \\ \downarrow p_{k-i} & \square & \downarrow (\Pi'_k)^t \\ Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i} & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{k-i}^t} & Z'_{k-i,k} \times \text{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}). \end{array}$$

The correspondence $W'_{k-i,k}$ is identified with the locus in $Z'_{k-i,k} \times \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i} \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$ where the map $\beta_{k-i} : V'_k \rightarrow V_{k-i}$ in $Z'_{k-i,k}$ intertwines with the endomorphisms $\epsilon_{k-i} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i}$ and $\epsilon'_k \in \mathfrak{gl}_k$, i.e.

$$(14) \quad \beta_{k-i} \epsilon'_k = \epsilon_{k-i} \beta_{k-i}.$$

This is a condition that cuts out a complete intersection, and it is direct to verify that the fibre product is also Tor-independent.

Lemma 3.7. (1) *The functor $\Psi_m e^{n,m} \Psi_n^{-1}$ is induced by the kernel*

$$\mathcal{O}_{W_{m,n}} \otimes \det(V_m)^{-m} \otimes \det(V_n)^n \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N)^{m-n} \langle mn - n^2 \rangle.$$

(2) *The functor $\Psi_n \mathbb{F}^{m,n} \Psi_m^{-1}$ is induced by the kernel*

$$\mathcal{O}_{W_{m,n}} \otimes \det(V_m)^{m-N} \otimes \det(V_n)^{N-n} \langle mn - m^2 \rangle.$$

(3) *The functor $\Psi_k \mathbb{F}^{\{i\}} \Psi_{k-i}^{-1}$ is induced by the kernel*

$$\mathcal{O}_{W'_{k-i,k}} \otimes \det(V_{k-i})^{k-i} \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k} \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N)^i \langle ik - i^2 \rangle.$$

Proof. By Definition 3.1 and Lemma 2.8, the functor $\Psi_m e^{n,m} \Psi_n^{-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_m \pi_{m,*} \circ (-) \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N/V_n)^{m-n} \otimes \det(V_m)^{n-m} \circ \pi_n^* \Psi_n^{-1} \\ &= \pi_{m,*} (\Pi_m^t)^* \Psi_{m,n} \circ (-) \otimes \det(\mathbb{C}^N/V_n)^{m-n} \otimes \det(V_m)^{n-m} \circ \Psi_{m,n}^{-1} (\Pi_n^t)_! \pi_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

The shriek pushforward is $(\Pi_n^t)_! = (\Pi_n^t)_* (- \otimes \omega_{\Pi_n^t}[\dim \Pi_n^t])$, where

$$\omega_{\Pi_n^t} = \det(V_m)^{-n} \otimes \det(V_n)^m \langle -2n(n-m) \rangle, \quad \dim \Pi_n^t = n(n-m).$$

Here the degree shift comes from the squared dilation of \mathbb{C}^\times on \mathfrak{gl}_n and $\text{Hom}(V_m, V_n)$. Tensoring with the determinant line bundles commutes with the direct and inverse image functors, hence also commutes with the Knörrer periodicity (Remark 2.7). Now, by flat base change

$$\pi_{m,*} (\Pi_m^t)^* (\Pi_n^t)_* \pi_n^* \simeq \pi_{m,*} p_{m,*} p_n^* \pi_n^*$$

and the projection formula (see e.g. [Hir17b, §4]), we see that $\Psi_m e^{n,m} \Psi_n^{-1}$ is induced by the asserted kernel on $W_{m,n}$. Note that due to the intertwining condition (12), the pullback of w_n and w_m to $(X_m^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_m) \times (X_n^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_n)$ agrees over $W_{m,n}$. This ensures the structure sheaf of $W_{m,n}$ a reasonable matrix factorization kernel. For the same reason, the cohomological degree shift $[\cdot]$ and the internal degree shift $\langle \cdot \rangle$ are the same on $\mathcal{O}_{W_{m,n}}$, and the total degree shift is $-2n(n-m) + n(n-m) = mn - n^2$.

The arguments for the other two statements are the same. Note that since (13) is (derived) Cartesian, the derived base change formula $(\Pi'_k)^{t,*} (\Pi_{k-i}^t)_* \simeq p'_{k,*} p_{k-i}^*$ still holds. \square

Let p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23} be the projections that respectively forget the third, second, and first factor of the triple product

$$(X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k) \times (X_{k-i}^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i}) \times (X_k^- \times \mathfrak{gl}_k).$$

The scheme-theoretic intersection $I_{k-i} = p_{12}^{-1}(W_{k-i,k}) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(W'_{k-i,k})$ is isomorphic to the locally closed subvariety of the affine space

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V_k) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k) \\ & \oplus \text{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V'_k) \end{aligned}$$

where the maps in the first row are all of full rank and the endomorphisms satisfy both of the intertwining conditions (12) and (14). Intuitively, the intersection I_{k-i} consists of quiver representations

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & \epsilon'_k & & \epsilon_{k-i} & & \epsilon_k \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \\ V'_k & \xrightarrow{\beta_{k-i}} & V_{k-i} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{k-i}} & V_k & \\ & \nwarrow b & & \swarrow a & & \\ & & \mathbb{C}^N & & & \end{array}$$

with relations $\epsilon_{k-i} = \epsilon_k|_{V_{k-i}}$ and $\beta_{k-i}\epsilon'_k = \epsilon_{k-i}\beta_{k-i}$. These two intertwining relations together imply another intertwining condition

$$(\alpha_{k-i} \circ \beta_{k-i})\epsilon'_k = \epsilon_k(\alpha_{k-i} \circ \beta_{k-i}).$$

Thus, by forgetting V_{k-i} and composing $\alpha_{k-i} \circ \beta_{k-i}$, the intersection I_{k-i} is mapped to the correspondence $I_k = W'_{k,k}$, giving a partial resolution of the determinantal locus

$$C_{\leq k-i} = \{\text{rk}(\beta_k : V'_k \rightarrow V_k) \leq k-i\} \subseteq I_k.$$

This locus consists of $k-i+1$ equidimensional irreducible components

$$C_j = \text{cl}\{\text{rk}(\beta_k) = j\} \subset I_k, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-i,$$

where $\text{cl}\{\cdot\}$ denotes the closure.

As $e^{(0)} = \text{id}$, the right end term $\Theta^{\{0\}}$ of the Rickard complex (11) is simply $\mathbb{f}^{\{0\}}$. So, the kernel of $\Psi_k \Theta^{\{0\}} \Psi_k^{-1}$ is supported on $I_k = W'_{k,k}$ and given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{I_k} \otimes \det(V_k)^k \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k}.$$

For $i > 0$, $\Theta^{\{i\}} = \mathbb{f}^{\{i\}} e^{(i)}$, and we need to calculate the convolution of the kernels of $\Psi_k \mathbb{f}^{\{i\}} \Psi_{k-i}^{-1}$ and $\Psi_{k-i} e^{k,k-i} \Psi_k^{-1}$.

Proposition 3.8. *For $i \geq 1$, the functor $\Psi_k \Theta^{\{i\}} \Psi_k^{-1}$ is induced by the sheaf*

$$R^0 p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}} \otimes \det(V_k)^k \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k} \langle -i^2 \rangle.$$

Proof. The determinant bundles and the degree shift can be read from Lemma 3.7 directly. It remains to show that

$$p_{13,*} (p_{12}^* \mathcal{O}_{W_{k-i,k}} \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{O}_{W'_{k-i,k}}) \cong R^0 p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}}.$$

The intersection I_{k-i} is local complete of the expected dimension $2kN$ (after modulo the group action of $G_{k-i} \times G_k \times G_k$), so

$$p_{12}^* \mathcal{O}_{W_{k-i,k}} \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{O}_{W'_{k-i,k}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}}.$$

Now, the canonical bundle of I_{k-i} (modulo the group action) is given by

$$\det(V_k)^N \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-N} \langle -2(k^2 + i^2) \rangle,$$

which can be computed as follows. Firstly, I_{k-i} is cut out by the conditions (12) and (14), so the canonical bundle of I_{k-i} is isomorphic to the tensor product of

$$\det(V_{k-i}^\vee \otimes V_k) \otimes \det((V'_k)^\vee \otimes V_{k-i}) \langle 4k(k-i) \rangle$$

with the canonical bundle of the total space of $\text{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}) \times \mathfrak{gl}_k \times \mathfrak{gl}_{k-i} \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$ over the partial flag varieties $\text{Fl}(V_{k-i}, V_k; \mathbb{C}^N) \times \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k)$. The vector bundle part of this total space contributes

$$\det(V'_k \otimes V_{k-i}^\vee) \langle -4k^2 - 2(k-i)^2 \rangle$$

to its canonical bundle. The underlying partial flag variety $\text{Fl}(V_{k-i}, V_k; \mathbb{C}^N)$ can be viewed as a relative $\text{Gr}(V_k/V_{k-i}, \mathbb{C}^N/V_{k-i})$ -bundle over $\text{Gr}(V_{k-i}, \mathbb{C}^N)$. Tensoring the above two lines of determinant line bundles with the canonical bundles of these Grassmannians

$$\omega_{\text{Gr}(V_k/V_{k-i}, \mathbb{C}^N/V_{k-i})} \otimes \omega_{\text{Gr}(V_{k-i}, \mathbb{C}^N)} \otimes \omega_{\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k)}$$

yields $\omega_{I_{k-i}}$.

Since this canonical bundle of I_{k-i} is free of V_{k-i} , it is the pullback of the same line bundle from $C_{\leq k-i}$. By the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem (see [CKL13b, p. 95]), there is no higher cohomology $p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}} = R^0 p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}}$. \square

Remark 3.9. The deepest component C_0 is always smooth and p_{13} is isomorphic over it $p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{I_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_0}$. In general, the components $C_{\leq k-i}$ are not normal, nor are the fibres of p_{13} connected. So, unlike in [CKL13b, Proposition 6.3] where the image is a single component (i.e. the $\mathfrak{Z}^{(i)}$ mentioned in the Introduction), the direct image $p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}}$, $i \neq 0, k$, is not isomorphic to the structure sheaf of the normalization of $C_{\leq k-i}$.

In conclusion, the twisted Rickard complex $\Psi_k \Theta' \Psi_k^{-1}$ is induced by the corresponding complex of kernels ($p = p_{13}$)

$$(16) \quad p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_0} \langle -k^2 - k \rangle \xrightarrow{d_k} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 - i \rangle \xrightarrow{d_i} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{O}_{I_k},$$

up to tensoring with the common line bundle $\det(V_k)^k \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k}$. The differentials d_i (by abuse of notation) are transported from the original Rickard complex Θ' via the Knörrer periodicity equivalence Ψ_k . We also merge the degree shifts $\langle -i^2 \rangle[-i]$ since they are the same on these sheaves in the matrix factorization category.

Remark 3.10 ($k = 1$). In the abelian case, the cone of this complex (16) of kernels can be easily understood. We give a sketch proof that there is an exact triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_0} \langle -2 \rangle \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{O}_{I_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_1}.$$

The correspondence I_1 is cut out by the equation $\beta_1(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon'_1) = 0$, consisting of two components $C_1 = \{\epsilon_1 = \epsilon'_1\}$ and $C_0 = \{\beta_1 = 0\}$. So, a natural weight two map $\mathcal{O}_{C_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I_1}$ that completes into the above triangle is given by multiplying $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon'_1$ (remember \mathbb{C}^\times acts by squared dilation on ϵ_1, ϵ'_1). This map is actually equivalent to d_1 up to a scalar multiple because

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}_{I_1}^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_0}\langle -2 \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{I_1})^{\mathbb{C}^\times} &\cong \mathrm{Ext}_{C_0}^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_0}\langle -2 \rangle, i^! \mathcal{O}_{I_1})^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \\ &\cong H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^0(C_0) \cong \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism comes from the adjunction $i_* \dashv i^!$ for the embedding $i : C_0 \rightarrow I_1$, and the second line is implied by $H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^0(C_0) = H^0(\mathrm{Gr}(1, \mathbb{C}^N) \times \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, 1))$.

This observation for $k = 1$, together with Remark 3.4, leads us to speculate that the correct kernel to induce both the window equivalence $\mathbb{W}_{[-k, N-k]}$ and the twisted equivalence $\Psi_k \mathbb{T}' \Psi_k^{-1}$ is simply given by the sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_k} \otimes \det(V_k)^k \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k}.$$

For $k = 2$, it is not obvious to the author that the convolution of the complex (16) is quasi-isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{C_2} . However, Segal [Seg24] shows that \mathcal{O}_{C_2} indeed induces the window equivalence $\mathbb{W}_{[0, N]}$ in this case. We will discuss this in more detail in the next work.

It is worth mentioning that the correspondence $I_k = W'_{k,k}$ is potentially related to the ‘partial compactification’ ([BDF17; Bal+21]) of the G_k -action on the LG model $(X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k)$. We hope our work offers some insights for further comparison.

3.5. Extension to stacks. Let $\iota_\pm : X_k^\pm \times \mathfrak{gl}_k \hookrightarrow X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k$ be the inclusions. Given its definition

$$\mathbb{W}_\delta : \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k) \xrightarrow{(\iota_+^*)^{-1}} \mathcal{W}_\delta \xrightarrow{\iota_-^*} \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(X_k^- \times \mathfrak{gl}_k, w_k),$$

one should expect ([HL15, §2.3]) the window equivalence to be induced by a kernel of the form $(\mathrm{id} \times \iota_-)^* F$, which is the restriction of a matrix factorization kernel

$$F \in \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times G_k \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k) \times (X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k), p_2^* w_k - p_1^* w_k)$$

from the larger space $(X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k) \times (X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k)$. Moreover, the kernel is characterized by the following two conditions.

- (i) The kernel restricts to the diagonal Δ of $X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$, i.e. $(\mathrm{id} \times \iota_+)^* F \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta$.
- (ii) The essential image of the functor Φ_F lies in the window subcategory \mathcal{W}_δ .

In this subsection, we first describe an obvious extension of the kernels of the twisted complex $\Psi_k \Theta' \Psi_k^{-1}$ to $(X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k) \times (X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k)$. After that, we give a proof of the main theorem by verifying that the convolution of this extended complex of kernels satisfies the above two characterization conditions.

Intuitively, the extension is obtained by simply relaxing the surjectivity condition over the tautological direction $\mathbb{C}^N \twoheadrightarrow V'_k$ throughout our previous constructions. Suppose in the affine space

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hom}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V_k) \\ &\oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V'_k), \end{aligned}$$

a typical point is denote by $(a, b, \alpha_{k-i}, \beta_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k)$ in order (cf. (15)). For each $0 \leq i \leq k$, consider the following locally closed subvariety of (17)

$$\dot{R}_{k-i} = \{a, \alpha_{k-i} \text{ of full rank and } \epsilon_k|_{V_{k-i}} = \epsilon_{k-i}\}.$$

Equip \dot{R}_{k-i} with the potential

$$v_{k-i} : (a, b, \alpha_{k-i}, \beta_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) \mapsto \text{Tr}(ba\alpha_{k-i}(\beta_{k-i}\epsilon'_k - \epsilon_{k-i}\beta_{k-i})),$$

and consider the following local complete intersections

$$\dot{I}_{k-i} = \{\beta_{k-i}\epsilon'_k - \epsilon_{k-i}\beta_{k-i} = 0\}, \quad \dot{J}_{k-i} = \{ba\alpha_{k-i} = 0\}$$

in this LG model. As explained in §2.3, there is a canonical isomorphism of matrix factorizations

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\dot{J}_{k-i}} \otimes \det(V_{k-i})^{-k} \otimes \det(V'_k)^{k-i}[-k(k-i)]$$

in $\text{MF}_{G_{k-i} \times G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\dot{R}_{k-i}, v_{k-i})$ since they have a common Koszul resolution.

Note that because of the relaxation of the surjectivity condition, $\dot{I}_k \subset \dot{R}_k$ are no longer correspondences. However, the previous embedding is still a well-defined morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \dot{R}_k &\longrightarrow (X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k) \times (X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k), \\ (a, b, \beta_k, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) &\longmapsto ((a, \beta_k b, \epsilon_k), (a\beta_k, b, \epsilon'_k)). \end{aligned}$$

By forgetting V_{k-i} and composing $\beta_{k-i} \circ \alpha_{k-i}$, we have a projection (denoted by p_{13} previously)

$$p = p_{k-i} : \dot{R}_{k-i} \longrightarrow \dot{R}_k, \quad p(\dot{I}_{k-i}) \subset \dot{I}_k.$$

By Proposition 3.8, we know $(\pi \circ p)_* \mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 \rangle$ restricts to the kernel $p_{13,*} \mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 \rangle$ of $\Psi_k \Theta^{\{i\}} \Psi_k^{-1}$ along the inclusion $\text{id} \times \iota_-$, up to tensoring with $\det(V_k)^k \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k}$.

Lemma 3.11. *For $i \geq 1$, there exists a unique extension of the differential*

$$d_i : p_* \mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 - i \rangle \longrightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i+1}} \langle -(i-1)^2 - (i-1) \rangle.$$

Proof. Set $\tau = ba$. Given the isomorphism (18), it is equivalent to take the direct image of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\dot{J}_{k-i}} \otimes \det(V_{k-i})^{-k} \otimes \det(V'_k)^{k-i}[-k^2 + ik]$. The following argument is inspired by [Cau12]. By definition, \dot{J}_{k-i} is the locus $\{\tau\alpha_{k-i} = 0\}$ in \dot{R}_{k-i} , so we have $\dim \ker(\tau) \geq k-i$. On the other hand, β_{k-i} has image in V_{k-i} , so the inequality $\dim \ker(\beta_{k-i}) + \dim \ker(\tau) \geq k$ always holds over \dot{J}_{k-i} . Consider the open subvariety

$$\iota : \dot{J}_{k-i}^\circ = \{\dim \ker(\beta_{k-i}) + \dim \ker(\tau) \leq k+1\} \hookrightarrow \dot{J}_{k-i}.$$

The complement of \dot{J}_{k-i}° in \dot{J}_{k-i} is covered by the loci (i) $\{\text{rk}(\beta_{k-i}) \leq k-i-2\}$; (ii) $\{\dim \ker(\tau) \geq k-i+2\}$; (iii) $\{\text{rk}(\beta_{k-i}) = k-i-1, \dim \ker(\tau) = k-i+1\}$; cf. [Cau12, Lemma 3.1]. Hence, the complement has codimension at least two by the dimension formula of determinantal loci. By the property of S_2 sheaves [Cau12, §2.5], we have

$$p_* \mathcal{O}_{\dot{J}_{k-i}} \cong (p \circ \iota)_* \mathcal{O}_{\dot{J}_{k-i}^\circ}.$$

Moreover, the partial resolution p is isomorphic over J_{k-i}° since we can recover V_{k-i} either as the image of β_k if it is of rank $k-i$, or as the kernel of t otherwise. With this, we can calculate

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}\left(p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 - 1 \rangle, p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i+1}} \langle -(i-1)^2 \rangle\right) \\ & \cong \text{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{J_{k-i}^\circ}[-k], \mathcal{O}_{J_{k-i+1}^\circ} \otimes \det N_{J_{k-i}^\circ \cap J_{k-i+1}^\circ / J_{k-i+1}^\circ}^\vee\right) \\ & \cong H^0\left(\mathcal{O}_{J_{k-i}^\circ \cap J_{k-i+1}^\circ}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the first isomorphism, we use the formula

$$\det N_{J_{k-i}^\circ \cap J_{k-i+1}^\circ / J_{k-i+1}^\circ}^\vee \cong \det(V'_k \otimes (V_{k-i+1}/V_{k-i})^\vee) \langle -2(k-i) \rangle,$$

and the second isomorphism follows from [CK08, Lemma 4.7]. As the complement of $\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k)$ in $[\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k)/G_k]$ has codimension at least two, it is equivalent to calculate the cohomology group (19) either relatively over $[\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k)/G_k]$ or further restricted to $\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}^N, V'_k)$. \square

Remark 3.12. In fact, the differential d_i is uniquely determined up to a scalar multiple. It is a $(\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -equivariant map where (i) the first \mathbb{C}^\times acts on \mathfrak{gl}_k by squared dilation, which also provides the grading on matrix factorizations; (ii) the second \mathbb{C}^\times -action is transported from the conical structure of the cotangent bundles of Grassmannians (i.e. induced by the scaling on X_k , see [Cau12, §2.4]) through the Knörrer periodicity. Then, it is straightforward to see that

$$(20) \quad d_i \in H_{\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^0\left(\mathcal{O}_{J_{k-i}^\circ \cap J_{k-i+1}^\circ}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, we have a complex of sheaves

$$p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_0} \langle -k^2 - k \rangle \xrightarrow{d_k} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 - i \rangle \xrightarrow{d_i} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{O}_{I_k}$$

on \dot{R}_k , whose direct image under π extends the twisted Rickard complex (16). Denote the iterative cones in its Postnikov system (10) by

$$\mathcal{T}^{(i)} = \text{Conv}\left(p_* \mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 - i \rangle \xrightarrow{d_i} \dots \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{O}_{I_k}\right), \quad i = 0, \dots, k.$$

Taking into account the common tensor factor $\det(V_k)^k \otimes \det(V'_k)^{-k}$, the main theorem is now equivalent to the statement that $(\text{id} \times \iota_-)^* \pi_* \mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ induces the equivalence $\mathbb{W}_{[0, N]}$.

Lemma 3.13. *The convolution $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ restricts to the diagonal Δ of $X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$, i.e.*

$$(\text{id} \times \iota_+)^* \pi_* \mathcal{T}^{(k)} \cong \mathcal{O}_\Delta.$$

Proof. First note that under π , the image $(a\beta_k, b) \in X_k^+$ iff β_k is of full rank. As none of the images $p(\dot{I}_{k-i})$ for $i > 0$ contains any full rank β_k , it is equivalent to consider $(\text{id} \times \iota_+)^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{I_k}$. When β_k is invertible, the intertwining condition $\beta_k \epsilon'_k = \epsilon_k \beta_k$ of \dot{I}_k implies $\epsilon'_k = \beta_k^{-1} \epsilon_k \beta_k$. So, for any such point in \dot{I}_{k-i} , its image $(a\beta_k, b, \epsilon'_k) \in X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k$ under π is in the same G_k -orbit as $(a, \beta_k b, \epsilon_k) \in X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$. This means equivariantly the base change of $\mathcal{O}_{I_{k-i}}$ along $\text{id} \times \iota_+$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_Δ . \square

It remains to verify that the essential image of the integral functor $\Phi_{\pi_* \mathcal{T}^{(k)}}$ lies in the window category $\mathcal{W}_{[0, N]}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By Corollary 3.19, the sheaf $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ has a locally free resolution \mathcal{F}^\bullet over \dot{R}_k , whose terms are direct sums of Schur functors $\mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k'$ where each $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^k$ satisfies $\lambda_i \in [0, k]$. Let

$$X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k \xleftarrow{p_1} (X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k) \times (X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k) \xrightarrow{p_2} X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k$$

be the projections. For any matrix factorization $F = \{F_0 \rightrightarrows F_1\}$ on $X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k$, we need to show

$$p_{2,*} (p_1^* F \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{W}_{[0, N]}.$$

The following argument partly follows [Bal+21, §5.1]. Suppose $q : [X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k / G_k] \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is the projection map. Because q is affine, objects of the form $q^* E$ for $E \in D^b(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbb{C}^N))$ generate $D^b(X_k^+ \times \mathfrak{gl}_k)$, hence also generate the components F_0, F_1 . So, it is enough to show

$$(\Phi_{\pi_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet} \circ q^*)(E) \in \mathcal{W}_{[0, N]}.$$

This composition functor has kernel $((q \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \pi)_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (q \times \mathrm{id}) \circ \pi : [\dot{R}_k / G_k \times G_k] &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N) \times [X_k \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_k / G_k], \\ (a, b, \beta_k, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) &\longmapsto (a, (a\beta_k, b, \epsilon'_k)). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 2.12 with $T = \mathrm{Hom}(V_k', \mathbb{C}^N)$, $U = \mathrm{Hom}(V_k', V_k)$ and $G/P = \mathrm{Gr}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and using the projection formula, we can resolve each sheaf

$$(p_2 \circ (q \times \mathrm{id}))_* (\pi_* (\mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k') \otimes (q \circ p_1)^* E)$$

by a Lascoux resolution, which has terms

$$(21) \quad \bigoplus_{r \geq n} \bigoplus_{\nu} H^{r-n} \left(\mathrm{Gr}(V_k, \mathbb{C}^N), \mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\nu'} (\mathbb{C}^N / V_k)^\vee \otimes E \right) \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k' \otimes \mathbb{S}^\nu V_k'.$$

Here the second sum is over all Young diagrams $\nu = (\nu_i)_{i=1}^k$ such that $|\nu| = r$ and $\nu_i \in [0, N - k]$. By the Littlewood–Richardson rule, the tensor product $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V_k' \otimes \mathbb{S}^\nu V_k'$ further decomposes into $\bigoplus_{\xi} c_{\lambda, \nu}^{\xi} \mathbb{S}^{\xi} V_k'$, where each ξ satisfies $\xi_i \in [0, N]$. Thus, the terms (21) are all in the window $\mathcal{W}_{[0, N]}$. \square

3.6. Grade restriction rules. For $0 \leq i \leq k$, consider the locally closed subvariety

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{R}_{k-i} &= \{(\tau, \alpha_{k-i}, \beta_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) \mid \alpha_{k-i} \text{ of full rank and } \epsilon_k|_{V_{k-i}} = \epsilon_{k-i}\} \\ &\subset \mathrm{Hom}(V_k, V_k') \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V_k) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(V_k', V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_k'), \end{aligned}$$

cf. (17), and the map

$$(22) \quad \varphi : \dot{R}_{k-i} \rightarrow \ddot{R}_{k-i}, \quad (a, b, \alpha_{k-i}, \beta_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) \mapsto (ba, \alpha_{k-i}, \beta_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k).$$

Let $\ddot{I}_{k-i} \subset \ddot{R}_{k-i}$ be the intersection cut out by $\beta_{k-i} \epsilon'_k = \epsilon_{k-i} \beta_{k-i}$. Then, it is obvious that the previously defined $\mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i}}$ is the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\ddot{I}_{k-i}}$ along φ . Moreover, the projection $p = p_{k-i}$ is constant over the directions $a : V_k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ and $b : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow V_k'$, so it is also well-defined between the double-dotted spaces. As the morphism (22) is flat, we have the base change

$$\varphi^* p_* \mathcal{O}_{\ddot{I}_{k-i}} \cong p_* \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\ddot{I}_{k-i}} = p_* \mathcal{O}_{\dot{I}_{k-i}}.$$

Throughout the definition of the potential v_{k-i} or the differential d_i , the maps a , b always appear together as the composition ba . This means the maps v_{k-i} and d_i are equally defined over the double-dotted spaces and are compatible with φ . More precisely, we have the LG model

$$v_{k-i} : \ddot{R}_{k-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\tau, \alpha_{k-i}, \beta_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) \mapsto \text{Tr}(\tau \alpha_{k-i} (\beta_{k-i} \epsilon'_k - \epsilon_{k-i} \beta_{k-i})),$$

and the intersection $\ddot{J}_{k-i} \subset \ddot{R}_{k-i}$ cut out by $\tau \alpha_{k-i} = 0$. By the same argument as in Lemma 3.11 and Remark 3.12, we find a uniquely determined equivariant map

$$d_i : p_* \mathcal{O}_{\ddot{I}_{k-i}} \langle -i^2 - i \rangle \longrightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_{\ddot{I}_{k-i+1}} \langle -(i-1)^2 - (i-1) \rangle,$$

whose pullback along φ coincides with the previously defined differential map. From now on, we will work over the double-dotted spaces, and by abuse of notation, we will retain the letters v_{k-i} , $p = p_{k-i}$, and d_i for these maps.

When $i = 0$, the vector space \ddot{R}_k is a representation of either G_k , and we refer to it as the *quasi-symmetric model*. Fix a standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ of V'_k . For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, consider the one-parameter subgroup

$$\gamma_i : \mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V'_k), \quad t \mapsto \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, t, \dots, t),$$

which has $k-i$ ones on the diagonal. These one-parameter subgroups parametrize a Kempf–Ness stratification $\{S^{(i)}\}$ of the unstable locus of \ddot{R}_k with respect to the stability condition θ_+ on the $\text{GL}(V'_k)$ -action in the following way (see [Tod24, Lemma 6.1.9]).

- (a) The stratum $S^{(i)}$ consists of points $(\tau, \beta_k, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) \in \ddot{R}_k$ where the image of β_k^\vee generates a $(k-i)$ -dimensional $\mathbb{C}[(\epsilon'_k)^\vee]$ -submodule $\langle \beta_k^\vee(V_k^\vee) \rangle$ of $(V'_k)^\vee$. Note that the closure of $S^{(i)}$ contains all lower rank strata $\text{cl}(S^{(i)}) = \bigcup_{j \geq i} S^{(j)}$.
- (b) Each $S^{(i)}$ is the G_k -orbit of the attracting locus $Y^{(i)}$, which consists of points $(\tau, \beta_k, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k)$ where $\langle \beta_k^\vee(V_k^\vee) \rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1^\vee, \dots, e_{k-i}^\vee\}$. The attracting locus $Y^{(i)}$ itself is equivariant with respect to the parabolic subgroup P_i of G_k that preserves $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-i}\}^\perp$.
- (c) By definition, the attracting locus $Y^{(i)}$ flows into the fixed locus $Z^{(i)}$ under $\gamma_i(t)$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. A point $(\tau, \beta_k, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k)$ is in $Z^{(i)}$ if $\langle \beta_k^\vee(V_k^\vee) \rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1^\vee, \dots, e_{k-i}^\vee\}$, $\tau(V_k) \subset \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-i}\}$, and $\epsilon'_k \in \text{Lie}(L_i)$ for the Levi subgroup L_i that preserves $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-i}\} \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-i}\}^\perp$.

In summary, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{(i)} & \xleftarrow{q_i} & (\bigcup_{j>i} S^{(j)})^\mathbb{C} \xleftarrow{\iota_i} (\bigcup_{j \geq i} S^{(j)})^\mathbb{C} \\ \sigma_i \updownarrow \pi_i & \nearrow \sigma_i & \\ Z^{(i)} & & \end{array}$$

where π_i takes the attracting limit, q_i , σ_i are the embeddings, and ι_i is the inclusion. The diagram is also equivariant with respect to the $\text{GL}(V_k)$ -action, so we will work with this additional G_k -equivariance in the following.

Since $Z^{(i)}$ is fixed by γ_i , there is a decomposition

$$\text{MF}_{G_k \times L_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z^{(i)}, v_k) = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{MF}_{G_k \times L_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z^{(i)}, v_k)_\kappa$$

into full subcategories of matrix factorizations of various γ_i -weights κ . Following [HL15], we denote by $(\cdot)_\kappa$ the exact functor that takes the γ_i -weight κ summand from this decomposition. Let \mathcal{A}_κ be the essential image of the fully faithful functor $q_{i,*} \circ \pi_i^*$ (see e.g. [Tod24, Theorem 6.1.2])

$$\mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times L_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z^{(i)}, v_k)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\pi_i^*} \mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(S^{(i)}, v_k) \xrightarrow{q_{i,*}} \mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((\bigcup_{j>i} S^{(j)})^{\mathbb{C}}, v_k).$$

Let η_i be the sum of the γ_i -weights of the conormal bundle $N_{S^{(i)}/\check{R}_k}^\vee$, which is equal to the number

$$\eta_i = \mathrm{wt}_{\gamma_i} \det N_{Y^{(i)}/\check{R}_k}^\vee - \mathrm{wt}_{\gamma_i} \det \mathfrak{gl}(V_k')^{\gamma_i > 0} = ik$$

by [HL15, Equation (4)]. Then, the inverse of the equivalence

$$(23) \quad q_{i,*} \pi_i^* : \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times L_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z^{(i)}, v_k)_{\kappa_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_i}$$

is given by $(\sigma_i^*(\cdot))_{\kappa_i}$, or equivalently $(\sigma_i^*(\cdot))_{\kappa_i + \eta_i} \otimes \omega_{q_i}$; see [HLS16, Lemma 2.2].

The following categorical Kirwan surjectivity theorem is due to [HL15; BFK19].

Theorem 3.14 ([Tod24, Theorem 6.1.2]). *For $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((\bigcup_{j>i} S^{(j)})^{\mathbb{C}}, v_k) = \langle \mathcal{A}_{<\kappa_i}, \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_i}, \mathcal{A}_{\geq\kappa_i} \rangle,$$

where \mathcal{G}_{κ_i} is the full subcategory of matrix factorizations F such that

$$\sigma_i^* F \in \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times L_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z^{(i)}, v_k)_{[\kappa_i, \kappa_i + \eta_i]}.$$

Moreover, the restriction $\iota_i^* : \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_i} \rightarrow \mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((\bigcup_{j \geq i} S^{(j)})^{\mathbb{C}}, v_k)$ is an equivalence.

As a result, for a choice of integers $\kappa_\bullet = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, we obtain a nested semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\check{R}_k, v_k) = \langle \mathcal{A}_{<\kappa_k}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{<\kappa_1}, \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_\bullet}, \mathcal{A}_{\geq\kappa_1}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{\geq\kappa_k} \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa_\bullet}$ consists of matrix factorizations F that satisfy the *grade restriction rule*

$$\mathrm{wt}_{\gamma_i} \sigma_i^* F \in [\kappa_i, \kappa_i + \eta_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

3.7. Rickard complex as mutations. Choose $\kappa_\bullet = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ from now on. Consider the full subcategory

$$\mathcal{C}_{\kappa_i} \subset \mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}((\bigcup_{j>i} S^{(j)})^{\mathbb{C}}, v_k)$$

of matrix factorizations F such that

$$\sigma_i^* F \in \mathrm{MF}_{G_k \times L_i \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(Z^{(i)}, v_k)_{[\kappa_i, \kappa_i + \eta_i]}.$$

By Theorem 3.14, it has a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}_{\kappa_i} = \langle \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_i}, \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_i} \rangle.$$

This means, for any matrix factorization $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\kappa_i}$, there exists a unique morphism $E \rightarrow F$, with $E \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_i}$, whose cone is in \mathcal{G}_{κ_i} . From [HL15] (or [HLS16, Lemma 2.3]), we know this is realized by the adjunction

$$(24) \quad q_{i,*} \pi_i^* ((\sigma_i^* F)_{\kappa_i + \eta_i} \otimes \omega_{q_i}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} F \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cone}(\varepsilon),$$

where the left end is in \mathcal{A}_{κ_i} and the right end is in \mathcal{G}_{κ_i} .

Lemma 3.15. *For $i \geq 0$, the sheaf $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}$ has a locally free resolution \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet over \check{R}_k , whose terms are direct sums of Schur functors $\mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$ where the highest weight λ satisfies $k \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$.*

Proof. The local complete intersection \check{I}_{k-i} is cut out in \check{R}_{k-i} by the intertwining condition $\beta_{k-i}\epsilon'_k = \epsilon_{k-i}\beta_{k-i}$. So, we can resolve $\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}$ by a Koszul complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet = \Lambda^\bullet(V'_k \otimes V_{k-i}^\vee)$ over \check{R}_{k-i} . The quotient $[\check{R}_{k-i}/G_{k-i} \times G_k^2]$ is the subspace of

$$[\mathrm{Hom}(V_k, V'_k) \times \mathrm{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V_k) \times \mathfrak{gl}(V'_k)/G_k \times G_k]$$

over $\mathrm{Gr}(V_{k-i}, V_k)$ where $\epsilon_k \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_k)$ preserves $V_{k-i} \hookrightarrow V_k$. By applying Theorem 2.12 with

$$T = \mathrm{Hom}(V'_k, V_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_k), \quad U = \mathrm{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(P), \quad G/P = \mathrm{Gr}(V_{k-i}, V_k),$$

we obtain a Lascoux resolution of each $p_*(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$ with terms

$$\bigoplus_{r \geq n} H^{r-n}(\mathrm{Gr}(V_{k-i}, V_k), \mathcal{K}^\bullet \otimes \bigwedge^r (V'_k \otimes (V_k/V_{k-i})^\vee \oplus V_{k-i} \otimes (V_k/V_{k-i})^\vee)).$$

After decomposing the exterior power and using the projection formula, the total complex has terms of the form

$$H_{\mathrm{Gr}(k-i, k)}^\bullet \left(\mathbb{S}^{\alpha'} V_{k-i}^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\beta V_{k-i} \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\beta'} (V_k/V_{k-i})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\gamma'} (V_k/V_{k-i})^\vee \right) \otimes \mathbb{S}^\alpha V'_k \otimes \mathbb{S}^\gamma V'_k,$$

where the Young diagrams α, β and γ have at most $k-i, i$ and i boxes on each of their rows respectively. When it is nonvanishing, the cohomology group is isomorphic to a direct sum of Schur functors of V_k^\vee by the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem [Wey03, §4.1]. According to the Littlewood–Richardson rule, the tensor product $\mathbb{S}^\alpha V'_k \otimes \mathbb{S}^\gamma V'_k$ has a decomposition $\bigoplus_\lambda c_{\alpha, \gamma}^\lambda \mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$, where each λ has at most k boxes on each of its rows. \square

As said in §3.4, each sheaf $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}$ is supported on the union of components

$$\check{C}_{\leq k-i} = \{\mathrm{rk}(\beta_k) \leq k-i\} \subset \check{I}_k.$$

Since \check{I}_k is defined by the equation $\beta_k \epsilon'_k = \epsilon_k \beta_k$, the kernel of β_k is preserved by ϵ'_k , and hence the image of β_k^\vee is preserved by $(\epsilon'_k)^\vee$. This implies that the components $\check{C}_{\leq k-i}$ are contained in $\mathrm{cl}(S^{(i)}) = \bigcup_{j \geq i} S^{(j)}$.

Lemma 3.16. *For $i \geq l \geq 0$, the restriction of $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}}$ to $(\bigcup_{j > i} S^{(j)})^\mathbb{C}$ is in \mathcal{C}_{κ_i} . In particular, when $i = l > 0$, the restriction further belongs to \mathcal{A}_{κ_i} .*

Proof. The terms of the resolution \mathcal{F}_l^\bullet of $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}}$ from Lemma 3.15 are direct sums of $\mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$, where $k \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$. It is direct to check that every pullback $\sigma_i^*(\mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k)$ has its γ_i -weights located in the range $[0, ik]$. In particular, as $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}}$ is supported on $\mathrm{cl}(S^{(i)})$, its restriction to $(\bigcup_{j > i} S^{(j)})^\mathbb{C}$ is supported on $S^{(i)}$, hence in \mathcal{A}_{κ_i} . \square

Proposition 3.17. *For $i > 0$, the restriction of $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}$ to $(\bigcup_{j > i} S^{(j)})^\mathbb{C}$ lies in \mathcal{G}_{κ_i} .*

Proof. Everything in this proof is pulled back to the open subset $U = (\cup_{j>i} S^{(j)})^{\mathbb{C}}$. We will also occasionally omit the restriction or pullback $(\cdot)|_U$.

For any point $(\tau, \beta_k, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k)$ of $S^{(i)}$, the image of β_k^\vee generates a $(k-i)$ -dimensional $\mathbb{C}[(\epsilon'_k)^\vee]$ -submodule of $(V'_k)^\vee$. On the other hand, the intertwining condition $\beta_k \epsilon'_k = \epsilon_k \beta_k$ implies that the image of β_k^\vee is preserved by $(\epsilon'_k)^\vee$. Thus, the partial resolution $p = p_{k-i} : \check{I}_{k-i}|_U \rightarrow U$ factors through the stratum $q_i : S^{(i)} \rightarrow U$ via the base change map $p|_{S^{(i)}} : \check{I}_{k-i}|_U \rightarrow S^{(i)}$. It is actually isomorphic over its image since we can recover V_{k-i} as the image of β_k . Consider the scheme-theoretic fibre product of $S^{(i)}$ and $\check{I}_{k-l}|_U$ over U

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & \check{I}_{k-l}|_U \\ \downarrow \tilde{p} & & \downarrow p_{k-l} \\ \check{I}_{k-i}|_U & & U \\ \downarrow p|_{S^{(i)}} & & \uparrow q_i \\ S^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow factors through $\check{I}_{k-i}|_U$ because of the coincidence of the supports $p_{k-l}(\check{I}_{k-l}) \cap S^{(i)}$ and $p(\check{I}_{k-i}|_U)$. The fibre product Q is a correspondence between $\check{I}_{k-i}|_U$ and $\check{I}_{k-l}|_U$ with natural projections \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} . It can be identified with the locally closed subvariety

$$Q = \left\{ (\alpha_{k-i}, \alpha_{k-l}, \beta_{k-i}, \tau, \epsilon_{k-i}, \epsilon_{k-l}, \epsilon_k, \epsilon'_k) \left| \begin{array}{l} \alpha_{k-i}, \alpha_{k-l}, \beta_{k-i} \text{ of full rank,} \\ \epsilon_k|_{V_{k-l}} = \epsilon_{k-l}, \epsilon_{k-l}|_{V_{k-i}} = \epsilon_{k-i}, \\ \beta_{k-i} \epsilon'_k = \epsilon_{k-i} \beta_{k-i} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

inside the affine space

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}(V_{k-i}, V_{k-l}) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_{k-l}, V_k) \oplus \text{Hom}(V'_k, V_{k-i}) \\ & \oplus \text{Hom}(V_k, V'_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_{k-i}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_{k-l}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V'_k). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we are interested in the summand

$$(\sigma_i^* p_* \mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}})_{\kappa_i + \eta_i} \otimes \omega_{q_i} \cong (\sigma_i^* q_i^! p_{k-l,*} \mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}})_{\kappa_i}.$$

If the fibre product (25) were taken in the derived sense (e.g. as a dg scheme), then the derived base change formula

$$q_i^! p_{k-l,*} \simeq (p|_{S^{(i)}} \circ \tilde{p})_* \tilde{q}^!$$

is directly applicable. However, for the purpose of computing the summand $(\cdot)_{\kappa_i}$, the classical truncation Q is sufficient: as the higher Tor sheaves $\underline{\text{Tor}}_{\bullet}^U(\mathcal{O}_{S^{(i)}}, \mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}})$ can be computed by $\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}} \otimes \wedge^{\bullet} N_{S^{(i)}/U}^{\vee}$ where the conormal sheaf $N_{S^{(i)}/U}^{\vee}$ has positive γ_i -weights, there is an isomorphism

$$(26) \quad (\sigma_i^* q_i^! p_{k-l,*} \mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-l}})_{\kappa_i} \cong (\sigma_i^* (p|_{S^{(i)}} \circ \tilde{p})_* \mathcal{O}_Q \langle -2i(i-l) \rangle)_{\kappa_i}.$$

Here we have used (i) the formula $\omega_{\tilde{q}} \cong \mathcal{O}_Q \langle -2i(i-l) \rangle$, which can be computed in a similar way as in the proof of Proposition 3.8; (ii) the fact that $(p|_{S^{(i)}} \circ \tilde{p})_* \mathcal{O}_Q$ is a direct sum of shifts of $(p|_{S^{(i)}})_* \mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}$ (see (27) below), which only has γ_i -weight κ_i when restricted from $S^{(i)}$ to $Z^{(i)}$ by Lemma 3.16.

Next we calculate the direct image of \mathcal{O}_Q along the projection \tilde{p} , which is given by forgetting V_{k-l} and composing $\alpha_{k-l} \circ \alpha_{k-i}$. Let T be the parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_k)$ that preserves $\alpha_{k-i} : V_{k-i} \hookrightarrow V_k$, and $U \subset T$ the subspace that further preserves the flag $V_{k-i} \hookrightarrow V_{k-l} \hookrightarrow V_k$. By applying Theorem 2.12, we can resolve the torsion sheaf $\tilde{p}_* \mathcal{O}_Q$ by the Lascoux resolution

$$\mathcal{F}^{-n} = \bigoplus_{r \geq n} H^{r-n} \left(\mathrm{Gr}(V_{k-l}/V_{k-i}, V_k/V_{k-i}), \bigwedge^r (V_{k-l}/V_{k-i}) \otimes (V_k/V_{k-l})^\vee \langle -2r \rangle \right).$$

This is exactly the de Rham cohomology of $\mathrm{Gr}(i-l, i)$, so

$$(27) \quad \tilde{p}_* \mathcal{O}_Q \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^{l(i-l)} (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}})^{\oplus b_{l,r}} \langle -2r \rangle,$$

where $b_{l,r}$ is the Betti number $\dim H^{2r}(\mathrm{Gr}(i-l, i))$ (also indexed by Young diagrams of r boxes with at most $i-l$ rows and l columns). Thus, the summand (26) is isomorphic to a direct sum of shifts of $(\sigma_i^*(p|_{S^{(i)}})_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}})_{\kappa_i}$, with multiplicities and degrees parametrized by the cohomology ring of $\mathrm{Gr}(i-l, i)$. In Lemma 3.16, we have already known $p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_i}$. So, the later summand is just the inverse of $p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}}$ under the equivalence (23), namely

$$p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}} \cong q_{i,*} \pi_i^* (\sigma_i^* p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}})_{\kappa_i} \cong q_{i,*} \pi_i^* (\sigma_i^* (p|_{S^{(i)}})_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}})_{\kappa_i}.$$

We are now ready to spell out the counit (24) on the convolution

$$\mathcal{T}^{(i-1)} = \mathrm{Conv} \left(p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i+1}} \langle -(i-1)^2 - (i-1) \rangle \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-l}} \langle -l^2 - l \rangle \xrightarrow{d_l} \dots \right).$$

For each $l \geq i$, we have seen

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} & q_{i,*} \pi_i^* ((\sigma_i^* p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-l}} \langle -l^2 - l \rangle)_{\kappa_{i+\eta_i}} \otimes \omega_{q_i}) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{r=0}^{l(i-l)} (p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}})^{\oplus b_{l,r}} \langle -l^2 - l - 2i(i-l) - 2r \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Over the quasi-symmetric model \check{R}_k (which is an affine space), the \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant differentials d_l are given by maps between these copies of $p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}}$ with matching degree shifts. By the uniqueness (20), they must be identities up to scalar multiples. More specifically, each copy of $p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}}$ in $(p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}})^{\oplus b_{l,r}}$ corresponds to a Schubert cycle in $H^{2r}(\mathrm{Gr}(i-l, i))$, indexed by a Young diagram $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-l})$ with $l \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{i-l} \geq 0$. When the first column of λ has $i-l$ boxes (i.e. $\lambda_{i-l} > 0$), there is a unique Schubert cycle in $H^{2(r-i+l)}(\mathrm{Gr}(i-l+1, i))$, which corresponds to the Young diagram $(\lambda_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_{i-l} - 1, 0)$ obtained from λ by deleting its first column. Conversely, when $\lambda_{i-l} = 0$, there is a unique cycle in $H^{2(r+i-l-1)}(\mathrm{Gr}(i-l-1, i))$, which corresponds to the Young diagram $(\lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_{i-l-1} + 1)$. Deleting the first column of the later Young diagram gives back λ . By a simple calculation, one can see that the copies of $p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{I}_{k-i}}$ corresponding to these Young diagrams are concentrated in matching degrees in (28), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} -l^2 - l - 2i(i-l) - 2r &= -(l-1)^2 - (l-1) - 2i(i-l+1) - 2(r-i+l) \\ &= -(l+1)^2 - (l+1) - 2i(i-l-1) - 2(r+i-l-1). \end{aligned}$$

After cancelling these summands in the convolution, we are left with only one copy

$$q_{i,*}\pi_i^*((\sigma_i^*\mathcal{T}^{(i-1)})_{\kappa_i+\eta_i}\otimes\omega_{q_i})\cong p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}\langle -i^2-1\rangle,$$

which corresponds to $H^0(\mathrm{Gr}(1,i))$, and the mutation (24) reads as

$$p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}\langle -i^2-1\rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{T}^{(i-1)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cone}(\varepsilon).$$

Compare it to the exact triangle

$$p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-i}}\langle -i^2-1\rangle \xrightarrow{d_i} \mathcal{T}^{(i-1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(i)}$$

in the Postnikov system (10), we claim $\mathcal{T}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_i}$ by the uniqueness (20) of d_i . \square

Corollary 3.18. *The convolution $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ satisfies the grade restriction rule.*

Proof. For each $i > 0$, the sheaves $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\check{I}_{k-j}}$, $j > i$ are supported on $\bigcup_{j>i} S^{(j)}$. Hence, the restriction of $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ to $(\bigcup_{j>i} S^{(j)})^c$ is same as that of $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}$, which lies in \mathcal{G}_{κ_i} by Proposition 3.17. \square

The quasi-symmetric model \check{R}_k is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}(V'_k)$. By applying the magic window theory (§2.2) to this G_k -action, we have a magic window subcategory

$$\mathcal{M}_{[0,k]} \subset \mathrm{MF}_{G_k^2 \times \mathbb{C}^\times}(\check{R}_k, \nu_k)$$

of equivariant matrix factorizations whose components are generated by $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$ with $\lambda_i \in [0, k]$. As a result of the general theory, this magic window coincides with our grade restriction window

$$\mathcal{M}_{[0,k]} = \mathcal{G}_{\kappa_*},$$

see e.g. [Tod24, Proposition 6.1.6] and references therein.

Corollary 3.19. *The convolution $\mathcal{T}^{(k)}$ has a locally free resolution \mathcal{F}^\bullet over \check{R}_k , whose terms are direct sums of Schur functors $\mathbb{S}^\mu V_k^\vee \otimes \mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$ where the highest weight λ satisfies $k > \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Take the convolution of the resolutions \mathcal{F}_i^\bullet , $i = 0, \dots, k$, from Lemma 3.15, and then resolve those $\mathbb{S}^\lambda V'_k$ with $\lambda_1 = k$ by the magic window generators. \square

The pullback of this resolution \mathcal{F}^\bullet along the flat morphism $\varphi : \check{R}_k \rightarrow \check{R}_k$ (§3.6) will be the one used in our proof of the main theorem in §3.5.

REFERENCES

- [Bal+21] M. Ballard, N. Chidambaram, D. Favero, P. McFaddin, and R. Vandomolen. Kernels for Grassmann flops. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **147** (2021), 29–59.
- [BDF17] M. Ballard, C. Diemer, and D. Favero. Kernels from compactifications (2017). arXiv: [1710.01418](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.01418).
- [BFK14] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov. A category of kernels for equivariant factorizations and its implications for Hodge theory. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.* **120** (2014), 1–111.

- [BFK19] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov. Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **746** (2019), 235–303.
- [Cau12] S. Cautis. Equivalences and stratified flops. *Compos. Math.* **148.1** (2012), 185–208.
- [CK08] S. Cautis and J. Kamnitzer. Knot homology via derived categories of coherent sheaves. I: The $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -case. *Duke Math. J.* **142.3** (2008), 511–588.
- [CKL10] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and A. Licata. Coherent sheaves and categorical \mathfrak{sl}_2 actions. *Duke Math. J.* **154.1** (2010), 135–179.
- [CKL13a] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and A. Licata. Coherent sheaves on quiver varieties and categorification. *Math. Ann.* **357.3** (2013), 805–854.
- [CKL13b] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and A. Licata. Derived equivalences for cotangent bundles of Grassmannians via categorical \mathfrak{sl}_2 actions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **675** (2013), 53–99.
- [DS14] W. Donovan and E. Segal. Window shifts, flop equivalences and Grassmannian twists. *Compos. Math.* **150.6** (2014), 942–978.
- [Har17] W. Hara. Non-commutative crepant resolution of minimal nilpotent orbit closures of type A and Mukai flops. *Adv. Math.* **318** (2017), 355–410.
- [Hir17a] Y. Hirano. Derived Knörrer periodicity and Orlov’s theorem for gauged Landau-Ginzburg models. *Compos. Math.* **153.5** (2017), 973–1007.
- [Hir17b] Y. Hirano. Equivalences of derived factorization categories of gauged Landau-Ginzburg models. *Adv. Math.* **306** (2017), 200–278.
- [HL15] D. Halpern-Leistner. The derived category of a GIT quotient. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **28.3** (2015), 871–912.
- [HLS16] D. Halpern-Leistner and I. Shipman. Autoequivalences of derived categories via geometric invariant theory. *Adv. Math.* **303** (2016), 1264–1299.
- [HLS20] D. Halpern-Leistner and S. V. Sam. Combinatorial constructions of derived equivalences. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **33.3** (2020), 735–773.
- [Isi13] M. U. Isik. Equivalence of the derived category of a variety with a singularity category. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2013.12** (2013), 2787–2808.
- [Kap85] M. Kapranov. On the derived category of coherent sheaves on Grassmann manifolds. *Math. USSR, Izv.* **24** (1985), 183–192.
- [Nak94] H. Nakajima. Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **76.2** (1994), 365–416.
- [Nak98] H. Nakajima. Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **91.3** (1998), 515–560.
- [Nam04] Y. Namikawa. Mukai flops and derived categories. II. *Algebraic structures and moduli spaces. Proceedings of the CRM workshop, Montréal, Canada, July 14–20, 2003*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2004, 149–175.
- [Orl04] D. Orlov. Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models. *Algebraic geometry. Methods, relations, and*

- applications. Collected papers. Dedicated to the memory of Andrei Nikolaevich Tyurin.* Moscow: Maik Nauka/Interperiodica, 2004, 227–248.
- [Orl06] D. Orlov. Triangulated categories of singularities and equivalences between Landau–Ginzburg models. *Sb. Math.* **197**.12 (2006), 1827–1840.
- [PT24] T. Pădurariu and Y. Toda. Categorical and K-theoretic Donaldson–Thomas theory of \mathbb{C}^3 (part I). *Duke Math. J.* **173**.10 (2024), 1973–2038.
- [Seg11] E. Segal. Equivalences between GIT quotients of Landau–Ginzburg B-models. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **304**.2 (2011), 411–432.
- [Seg24] E. Segal. Private communications (2024).
- [Shi12] I. Shipman. A geometric approach to Orlov’s theorem. *Compos. Math.* **148**.5 (2012), 1365–1389.
- [Tod21] Y. Toda. Semiorthogonal decompositions of stable pair moduli spaces via d-critical flips. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **23**.5 (2021), 1675–1725.
- [Tod24] Y. Toda. *Categorical Donaldson–Thomas theory for local surfaces*. **2350**. Lect. Notes Math. Cham: Springer, 2024.
- [Tse24] W. Tseu. Canonical tilting bundles: the first nonabelian examples (2024). arXiv: [2407.16555](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.16555).
- [VV23] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. Quantum loop groups and critical convolution algebras (2023). arXiv: [2302.01418](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.01418).
- [Wey03] J. M. Weyman. *Cohomology of vector bundles and syzygies*. **149**. Camb. Tracts Math. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
w.zhou21@imperial.ac.uk