# **GA-NIFS: interstellar medium properties and tidal interactions in the evolved massive merging system B14-65666 at**  $z = 7.152$

Gareth C. Jones<sup>1,2,3</sup><sup>\*</sup>, Rebecca Bowler<sup>4</sup>, Andrew J. Bunker<sup>1</sup>, Santiago Arribas<sup>5</sup>, Stefano Carniani<sup>6</sup>, Stephane Charlot<sup>7</sup>, Michele Perna<sup>5</sup>, Bruno Rodríguez Del Pino<sup>5</sup>, Hannah Übler<sup>2,3,8</sup>, Chris J. Willott<sup>9</sup>, Jacopo Chevallard<sup>1</sup>, Giovanni Cresci<sup>10</sup>, Eleonora Parlanti<sup>6</sup>, Jan Scholtz<sup>2,3</sup>, Giacomo Venturi<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK*

<sup>2</sup> *Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK*

4 *Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, School of Natural Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

<sup>5</sup> *Centro de Astrobiología (CAB), CSIC-INTA, Ctra. de Ajalvir km 4, Torrejón de Ardoz, E-28850, Madrid, Spain*

<sup>8</sup> *Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), Gießenbachstraße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

## **ABSTRACT**

We present JWST/NIRSpec IFU observations of the  $z = 7.152$  galaxy system B14-65666, as part of the GA-NIFS survey. Line and continuum emission in this massive system  $(\log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot}) = 9.8 \pm 0.2)$  is resolved into two strong cores, two weaker clumps, and a faint arc, as seen in recent JWST/NIRCam imaging. Our dataset contains detections of [OII] $\lambda$ 13726,3729, [NeIII] $\lambda$ A3869,3968, Balmer lines (H $\beta$ , H $\gamma$ , H $\delta$ , H $\epsilon$ , H $\zeta$ ), [OIII] $\lambda$ A4959,5007, and weak [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363. Each spectrum is fit with a model that consistently incorporates interstellar medium conditions (i.e., electron temperature,  $T_e$ , electron density,  $n_e$ , and colour excess,  $E(B - V)$ ). The resulting line fluxes are used to constrain the gas-phase metallicity ( $\sim 0.3 - 0.4$  solar) and H $\beta$ -based SFR (310±40 M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>) for each region. Common line ratio diagrams (O32-R23, R3-R2, Ne3O2-R23) reveal that each line-emitting region lies at the intersection of local and high-redshift galaxies, suggesting low ionisation and higher metallicity compared to the predominantly lower-mass galaxies studied with the JWST/NIRSpec IFU so far at  $z > 5.5$ . Spaxel-by-spaxel fits reveal evidence for both narrow (FWHM < 400 km s<sup>-1</sup>) and broad (FWHM > 500 km s<sup>-1</sup>) line emission, the latter of which likely represents tidal interaction or outflows. Comparison to ALMA [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [O III]88 $\mu$ m data shows a similar velocity structure, and optical-far infrared diagnostics suggest regions of high Lyman continuum escape fraction and  $n<sub>e</sub>$ . This source lies on the mass-metallicity relation at  $z > 4$ , suggesting an evolved nature. The two core galaxies show contrasting properties (e.g., SFR,  $M_*$ ,  $Z_e$ ), suggesting distinct evolutionary pathways. Combining the NIRSpec IFU and ALMA datasets, our analysis opens new windows into the merging system B14-65666.

**Key words:** galaxies: high-redshift – galaxies: interactions – galaxies: ISM – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>**1 INTRODUCTION**

The first 1 Gyr of the Universe  $(z > 6)$  was a unique era of cosmic time. The first galaxies emerged (e.g., [Laporte et al.](#page-16-0) [2021;](#page-16-0) [Curtis-](#page-15-0)[Lake et al.](#page-15-0) [2023;](#page-15-0) [Carniani et al.](#page-15-1) [2024a\)](#page-15-1) and started the process of ionising their surroundings (e.g., [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [2023;](#page-16-1) [Bañados et al.](#page-15-2) [2018\)](#page-15-2). Galaxy formation was rapid and fruitful, with high galaxy merger rates (e.g., [Duan et al.](#page-15-3) [2024\)](#page-15-3), and evidence for increasing volume density of molecular gas (e.g., [Riechers et al.](#page-16-2) [2019;](#page-16-2) [Aravena](#page-15-4) [et al.](#page-15-4) [2024;](#page-15-4) [Ragone-Figueroa et al.](#page-16-3) [2024\)](#page-16-3) and star formation rate (SFR; e.g., [Gruppioni et al.](#page-15-5) [2020;](#page-15-5) [Khusanova et al.](#page-16-4) [2021;](#page-16-4) [Traina](#page-16-5)

[et al.](#page-16-5) [2024\)](#page-16-5) with increasing cosmic time, based on extrapolation from  $3 < z < 6$ .

The first  $z > 6$  galaxies were detected less than three decades ago (e.g., [Fan et al.](#page-15-6) [2001;](#page-15-6) [Hu et al.](#page-16-6) [2002;](#page-16-6) [Stanway et al.](#page-16-7) [2003;](#page-16-7) [Taniguchi et al.](#page-16-8) [2005\)](#page-16-8), but through the advent of cutting edge telescopes, the redshift frontier has already been extended to within the first ~ 300 Myr of the Universe ( $z > 13$ ; e.g., [Carniani et al.](#page-15-7) [2024b;](#page-15-7) [Kokorev et al.](#page-16-9) [2024;](#page-16-9) [Witstok et al.](#page-17-0) [2024\)](#page-17-0). Morpho-kinematic studies of high-redshift galaxies with ALMA revealed a population of relaxed rotating disk galaxies (e.g., [Neeleman et al.](#page-16-10) [2020;](#page-16-10) [Lelli et al.](#page-16-11) [2021;](#page-16-11) [Herrera-Camus et al.](#page-16-12) [2022;](#page-16-12) [Rowland et al.](#page-16-13) [2024\)](#page-16-13), but many ob-servations revealed clumpy or merging systems (e.g., [Carniani et al.](#page-15-8) [2018;](#page-15-8) [Jones et al.](#page-16-14) [2021\)](#page-16-14). This was supported by the results of zoom-in

<sup>3</sup> *Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK*

<sup>6</sup> *Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, I-56126 Pisa, Italy*

<sup>7</sup> *Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7095, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98 bis bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France*

<sup>9</sup> *NRC Herzberg, 5071 West Saanich Rd, Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada*

<sup>10</sup> *INAF - Osservatorio Astrofisco di Arcetri, largo E. Fermi 5, 50127 Firenze, Italy*

 $\star$  [E-mail: gj283@cam.ac.uk](#page-16-5)

cosmological simulations, which showed that bright  $z > 6$  galaxies accreted mass through frequent interactions with lower-mass satellites and/or collisions (e.g., [Kohandel et al.](#page-16-15) [2019;](#page-16-15) [Pallottini et al.](#page-16-16) [2022\)](#page-16-16). However, most spectroscopic ALMA observations featured low spatial resolution ( $\sim 1''$ , corresponding to  $\sim 6$  kpc at  $z = 6$ ).

This picture was made even clearer with the advent of JWST [\(Gard](#page-15-9)[ner et al.](#page-15-9) [2023\)](#page-15-9) and the integral field unit (IFU) on the NIRSpec instrument [\(Böker et al.](#page-15-10) [2022;](#page-15-10) [Jakobsen et al.](#page-16-17) [2022\)](#page-16-17). With a narrow point spread function ( $\sim 0.1 - 0.2''$  or  $0.6 - 1.2$  kpc at  $z = 6$ ; [D'Eugenio](#page-15-11) [et al.](#page-15-11) [2024b\)](#page-15-11), the NIRSpec IFU is able to map multiple bright rest-UV and rest-optical lines at  $z > 4$  (e.g., H $\alpha$ , [OIII] $\lambda \lambda$ 4959,5007). While JWST follow-up observations of some galaxies classified as rotators based on previous rest-frame far-infrared (FIR) observations confirmed their nature (e.g.; ALESS73.1, [Lelli et al.](#page-16-11) [2021;](#page-16-11) [Parlanti](#page-16-18) [et al.](#page-16-18) [2024b;](#page-16-18) GN20, [Hodge et al.](#page-16-19) [2012;](#page-16-19) [Übler et al.](#page-16-20) [2024b\)](#page-16-20), other galaxies were found to feature clumpy morphologies, close companions, and sometimes outflows (e.g.; HZ10, [Jones et al.](#page-16-21) [2017,](#page-16-21) [2024a;](#page-16-22) HZ4, [Herrera-Camus et al.](#page-16-12) [2022;](#page-16-12) [Parlanti et al.](#page-16-23) [2024a;](#page-16-23) COS3018, [Smit et al.](#page-16-24) [2018;](#page-16-24) [Scholtz et al.](#page-16-25) [2024\)](#page-16-25). Due to the detection of multiple emission lines, these data were used to map morphology, kinematics, and the interstellar medium (ISM) conditions (e.g., electron density  $n_e$  and temperature  $T_e$ , and gas-phase metallicity  $Z_g$ ) of each target.

A number of JWST/NIRSpec IFU observations have already detailed the properties of  $z > 6$  galaxies (e.g., [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-12) [2023a;](#page-15-12) [Decarli et al.](#page-15-13) [2024;](#page-15-13) [Fujimoto et al.](#page-15-14) [2024;](#page-15-14) [Liu et al.](#page-16-26) [2024;](#page-16-26) [Lyu et al.](#page-16-27) [2024;](#page-16-27) [Messa et al.](#page-16-28) [2024;](#page-16-28) [Scholtz et al.](#page-16-25) [2024;](#page-16-25) [Venturi et al.](#page-16-29) [2024\)](#page-16-29). Many of these have been acquired as part of the Galaxy Assembly with NIRSpec Integral Field Spectroscopy (GA-NIFS)<sup>[1](#page-1-0)</sup> Guaranteed Time Observation (GTO) survey (Cycles 1 and 3; PIs R. Maiolino & S. Arribas; e.g. [Marshall et al.](#page-16-30) [2023,](#page-16-30) [2024;](#page-16-31) [Arribas et al.](#page-15-15) [2024;](#page-15-15) [Jones et al.](#page-16-32) [2024b;](#page-16-32) [Marconcini et al.](#page-16-33) [2024b](#page-16-33)[,a;](#page-16-34) [Scholtz et al.](#page-16-25) [2024;](#page-16-25) [Übler et al.](#page-16-35) [2024a\)](#page-16-35). This survey, which includes 55 targets between  $2 < z < 11$ , was designed to exercise the power of the NIRSpec IFU through observations of a variety of well-studied galaxies (e.g., star-forming galaxies, active galactic nucleus [AGN] host galaxies, quasars). In this work, we present the GA-NIFS observations of the ∼ 7.152 Lyman break galaxy (LBG) B14-65666.

A key factor in the discovery of B14-65666 was the Ultra Deep Survey with the VISTA (Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy; [Emerson & Sutherland](#page-15-16) [2010\)](#page-15-16) telescope (UltraVISTA; [McCracken et al.](#page-16-36) [2012\)](#page-16-36), which targeted the COSMOS field [\(Scoville](#page-16-37) [et al.](#page-16-37) [2007\)](#page-16-37) in Y, J, H, and  $K_s$  near-infrared bands. Combining UltraVISTA DR1 with other optical and infrared data, [Bowler et al.](#page-15-17) [\(2012\)](#page-15-17) first reported B14-65666 as one of only four robust  $z >$ 6.5 galaxies from a sample of  $> 2 \times 10^5$  detected sources. [Bowler](#page-15-18) [et al.](#page-15-18) [\(2014\)](#page-15-18) performed a follow-up photometric SED modelling analysis combining UltraVISTA DR2 and additional data, improving the accuracy of the photometric redshift of this source.

The first resolved image of this source was taken with HST/WFC3 F140W [\(Bowler et al.](#page-15-19) [2017\)](#page-15-19), where two closely separated clumps (aligned nearly east-west) are visible. ALMA follow-up observations of the source were first performed in [Bowler et al.](#page-15-20) [\(2018\)](#page-15-20), where B14-65666 was the only dust continuum detected source in Band 6 in a sample of six luminous LBGs. [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [\(2019\)](#page-15-21) confirmed the dust continuum detection and morphology of this source. In addition, they detected the spatially resolved [C II]158 $\mu$ m ( $L$ <sub>[CII]158</sub> $\mu$ <sub>m</sub> = (11.0±1.4)×10<sup>8</sup>  $L_{\odot}$ ) and [O III]88 $\mu$ m  $(L_{\text{[OIII]88}\mu\text{m}} = (34.4 \pm 4.1) \times 10^8 L_{\odot})$  FIR lines, which provided

spectroscopic redshift confirmation  $(z_{sys} = 7.1520 \pm 0.0003)^2$  $(z_{sys} = 7.1520 \pm 0.0003)^2$  $(z_{sys} = 7.1520 \pm 0.0003)^2$ . Modelling of the two-point dust SED yielded  $T_{\text{dust}} \sim 50 - 60 \,\text{K}$  and  $L_{\text{FIR}} \sim 10^{12} \text{ L}_{\odot}$ . The dust continuum emission appears to be elongated, potentially aligned with the two components (see also [Bowler](#page-15-22) [et al.](#page-15-22) [2022](#page-15-22) who find the dust to be between the two UV clumps). Based on these properties, Hashimoto et al. suggest that B14-65666 is a merger-driven starburst

Follow-up ALMA observations did not return a significant [N II]122 $\mu$ m emission (< 8.1 × 10<sup>7</sup>  $L_{\odot}$ ), but strong underlying FIR continuum emission [\(Sugahara et al.](#page-16-38) [2021\)](#page-16-38). Detailed dust SED modelling returned similar  $T_{\text{dust}}$  and  $L_{\text{FIR}}$  constraints as [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [\(2019\)](#page-15-21), with an additional estimate on the dust mass of  $M_{\text{dust}}$  ∼  $10^7 M_{\odot}$ . Similarly, ALMA observations of reliable molecular gas tracers  $(CO(7-6), CO(6-5), [CI](2-1))$  and their underlying dust continuum emission resulted in non-detections [\(Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-12) [2023a\)](#page-15-12). The molecular gas mass estimates implied by these non-detections are in agreement with the mass found through scaling relations based on the detection of  $\lbrack \text{C II} \rbrack 158 \mu \text{m}$  [\(Madden et al.](#page-16-39) [2020\)](#page-16-39), FIR contin-uum emission [\(Li et al.](#page-16-40) [2019\)](#page-16-40), and the kinematics of the [C II]158 $\mu$ m emission [\(Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [2019\)](#page-15-21):  $M_{\text{mol}} = 10^{8.7-11.0} M_{\odot}$ .

Most recently, [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) have presented JWST/NIRCam imaging of B14-65666, as part of the 'Reionization and the ISM/Stellar Origins with JWST and ALMA' (RIOJA) project (JWST GO1 PID1840; PIs: J. Álvarez-Márquez and T. Hashimoto; [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-23) [2023b\)](#page-15-23). From the flux excess between the F356W and F444W bands they infer the presence of strong [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 emission (rest-frame equivalent widths of 1000–2000 Å; as expected from the observed Spitzer colours; [Bowler et al.](#page-15-18) [2014\)](#page-15-18). Interestingly, the inferred [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 emission peaks in the Eastern component of the source, where the rest-frame UV emission is weaker. Through SED fitting of the resolved NIRCam and ALMA multi-band fluxes, [Suga](#page-16-41)[hara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) derive a stellar mass of  $log_{10}(M_{\star}/M_{\odot}) = 9.78_{-0.18}^{+0.16}$ and a relatively low metallicity of  $(Z_*/Z_{\odot}) = 0.21^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ . The extremely high spatial resolution of the NIRCam data reveals that the Eastern component is compact, while the Western component is spatially resolved. Additional low surface brightness features are identified as tidal tails.

In this work, we exploit NIRSpec IFU observations from 2.9- 5.3  $\mu$ m to directly map the strength of the rest-frame optical emission lines across the source. In Section [2,](#page-1-2) we describe both our new JWST/NIRSpec IFU data for B14-65666 as well as archival data explored in this work. The NIRspec data are then analysed in Section [3.](#page-3-0) We interpret and discuss these results in Section [4](#page-9-0) and conclude in Section [5.](#page-13-0) We use a standard concordance cosmology (i.e.,  $H_0 =$ 70 km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>, Ω<sub>m</sub> = 0.3, Ω<sub>Λ</sub> = 0.7) throughout, where 0.1<sup>"</sup> corresponds to ~0.52 kpc at  $z = 7.152$ . A [Chabrier](#page-15-24) [\(2003\)](#page-15-24) initial mass function (IMF) with an upper mass limit of 100  $M_{\odot}$  is assumed.

## <span id="page-1-2"></span>**2 DATA DESCRIPTION**

#### <span id="page-1-3"></span>**2.1 JWST/NIRSpec IFU**

The data analysed here originated from GA-NIFS observations as part of project 1217 (PI: N. Luetzgendorf; details in Table [1\)](#page-2-0). An eight-point 'MEDIUM' dither pattern was used, with a starting point of '1'. Data were taken at medium spectral resolution

<span id="page-1-0"></span> $<sup>1</sup>$  <https://ga-nifs.github.io/></sup>

<span id="page-1-1"></span><sup>2</sup> Because it was the first galaxy in the Epoch of Reionisation (EoR) with spatially resolved emission in three powerful tracers ([C II]158 $\mu$ m, [O III]88 $\mu$ m, and dust continuum emission), it was given the alternative alias of 'Big Three Dragons' after a combination of tiles in the game mahjong.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** JWST NIRSpec/IFU observation properties.

Grating/Filter	G395M/290LP
<b>Readout Pattern</b>	NRSIRS <sub>2</sub>
Groups/Int	25
Ints/Exp	
Exposures/Dithers	8
Total Time [ks]	14 7

(G395M/F290LP;  $R \sim 1000$ ), covering  $\lambda_{obs} = 2.871 - 5.270 \,\mu\text{m}$ ( ∼ 3522−6465Å at = 7.1520). No *leakcal* or background exposures were taken. The data were calibrated with the STScI pipeline (v1.15.0, CRDS 1241), with custom outlier rejection [\(D'Eugenio](#page-15-11) [et al.](#page-15-11) [2024b\)](#page-15-11), custom masks for contamination by cosmic ray strikes ('snowballs') and open MSA shutters leakage, 1/f noise corrections for count-rate maps, and drizzle weighting to create a data cube with spatial pixels (spaxels) of width 0.05′′ (see [Perna et al.](#page-16-42) [2023](#page-16-42) for full details of reduction).

Previous analyses of JWST/NIRSpec IFU data (e.g., [Jones et al.](#page-16-32) [2024b;](#page-16-32) [Parlanti et al.](#page-16-23) [2024a;](#page-16-23) [Übler et al.](#page-16-20) [2024b\)](#page-16-20) found astrometric errors due to the pointing accuracy of JWST ( $\sim 0.1''$ , [Rigby et al.](#page-16-43) [2023\)](#page-16-43). To determine if our data are affected by this error, we use available JWST/NIRCam imaging datasets that feature overlapping wavelength coverage (F356W and F444W; see Section [2.2](#page-2-1) for details of these images). By convolving our IFU data cubes with the corresponding NIRCam filter transmission curves, we compare the spatial distribution of emission. The resulting comparisons are shown in the top row of Figure [1.](#page-2-2) It is clear that the NIRSpec IFU and NIRCam data are misaligned, with an average offset of  $0.10 \pm 0.04''^{3}$  $0.10 \pm 0.04''^{3}$  $0.10 \pm 0.04''^{3}$ . This is fully consistent with the pointing uncertainty of JWST, but to enable comparison with other datasets, we correct our NIRSpec IFU cube for this offset. The flux levels of the NIRSpec cube and NIRCam images agree (see Appendix [A\)](#page-17-1).

No background exposures were included in these observations, so we manually performed a background subtraction by extracting a mean spectrum from a large, signal-free area in the cube. This mean background spectrum was then subtracted from each spaxel.

Previous observations of high-redshift galaxies with the JWST/NIRSpec IFU revealed the presence of sinusoidal artefacts ('wiggles') in single-spaxel spectra (e.g., [Perna et al.](#page-16-42) [2023;](#page-16-42) [Decarli](#page-15-13) [et al.](#page-15-13) [2024;](#page-15-13) [Ulivi et al.](#page-16-44) [2024\)](#page-16-44). These wiggles, which were caused by under-sampling of the PSF, were more noticeable for drizzled maps featuring bright point sources coincident with extended emission (i.e., AGN and QSOs). We have followed the procedure of [Perna](#page-16-42) [et al.](#page-16-42) [\(2023\)](#page-16-42) to inspect our data for these wiggles, finding little evidence of strong wiggles in our data. While their presence may be detected as high-frequency residuals in spectral fits (see analysis in Section [3.1\)](#page-3-1), they are very low-level ( $\leq 2\%$ , or  $< 2\sigma$ ), so a correction is not applied.

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>**2.2 JWST/NIRCam**

We downloaded all available NIRCam images from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes  $(MAST<sup>4</sup>)$  $(MAST<sup>4</sup>)$  $(MAST<sup>4</sup>)$ : F115W, F150W, F200W,

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

**Figure 1.** Comparison of JWST/NIRCam images and JWST/NIRSpec IFU data cube integrated over NIRCam filter bandpasses: F444W (left column) and F356W (right column). In each panel, the collapsed NIRSpec emission is depicted as the background greyscale and illustrative white contours, while the NIRCam data are shown as red contours. Angular and physical scales are shown in the lower left corner of each panel. The JWST emission in the top row is shown without the astrometric correction, while the lower row includes the alignment to the NIRCam data.

F277W, F356W, and F444W (Project 1840, PI J. Alvarez-Marquez). These data have been analysed in detail in [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41), and are well aligned with each other. By searching for significant emission in each image from stars in the *Gaia* DR3 catalogue [\(Gaia](#page-15-25) [Collaboration et al.](#page-15-25) [2016,](#page-15-25) [2021\)](#page-15-26), we find that they feature an absolute astrometric uncertainty of  $< 0.2$ " (see Appendix [B\)](#page-17-2).

# **2.3 ALMA**

Due to the bright nature of this high-redshift source, ALMA has been used to target its emission in  $CO(6-5)$ ,  $CO(7-6)$ , and  $[CI](2-1)$ (2018.1.01673.S, PI Hashimoto), [C II]158μm (2016.1.00954.S, PI Inoue), [O III]88 $\mu$ m (2016.1.00954.S and 2017.1.00190.S, PI Inoue), and [N II] $122 \mu$ m (2019.1.01491.S, PI Inoue). In order to compare the distribution of these lines (with their underlying continuum emission) and our data, we re-image each dataset.

To begin, we download all data from the ALMA data archive and run the calibration pipeline provided by NRAO staff<sup>[5](#page-2-5)</sup>. The calibrated data for B14-65666 was split out, and a 30 s time average was performed to ease data usage. Data were combined for each band (3, [Claude et al.](#page-15-27) [2008;](#page-15-27) [Kerr et al.](#page-16-45) [2014;](#page-16-45) 6, [Kerr et al.](#page-16-46) [2004;](#page-16-46) [Ediss et al.](#page-15-28) [2004;](#page-15-28) 7, [Mahieu et al.](#page-16-47) [2012;](#page-16-47) 8, [Sekimoto et al.](#page-16-48) [2008\)](#page-16-48).

Continuum images were created with the CASA task *tclean* in multi-frequency synthesis (MFS) mode, natural weighting, cell sizes of  $1/5$ <sup>th</sup> the FWHM of the minor axis of the synthesised beam, and excluding all data within  $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  of expected line emission. 'Clean' continuum maps were created by cleaning down to 3× the RMS noise level of initial 'dirty' images.

No significant line emission is detected for CO(6-5), CO(7-6),  $[CI](2-1)$ , or  $[N II]$ 122 $\mu$ m (as originally found by [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-12)  $2023a$  and [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-38) [2021\)](#page-16-38), while [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [O III]88 $\mu$ m

<span id="page-2-3"></span><sup>3</sup> Because the images were aligned by matching their brightest spaxels, the positional uncertainty is calculated by multiplying the cell sizes of each image (0.05′′ for NIRSpec IFU and 0.063′′ for NIRCam) by 0.5, and adding them in quadrature.

<span id="page-2-4"></span><sup>4</sup> [https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/](https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html) [Portal.html](https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html)

<span id="page-2-5"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 2019.1.01491.S was calibrated directly by the ALMA helpdesk.

# <span id="page-3-4"></span>4 *G. C. Jones et al.*

Instrument	Name	$\lambda_{obs}$ $\lceil \mu m \rceil$	$\lambda_{rest}$ $\lceil \mu m \rceil$
<b>JWST/NIRCam Imaging</b>	F115W	1.154	0.142
<b>JWST/NIRCam Imaging</b>	F150W	1.501	0.184
<b>JWST/NIRCam Imaging</b>	F200W	1.990	0.244
<b>JWST/NIRCam Imaging</b>	F277W	2.786	0.342
<b>JWST/NIRCam Imaging</b>	F356W	3.563	0.437
<b>JWST/NIRSpec IFU</b>	$4 \mu m$	4.000	0.491
<b>JWST/NIRCam Imaging</b>	F444W	4.421	0.542
ALMA	Band <sub>8</sub>	740.228	90.803
<b>ALMA</b>	Band7	999.308	122.584
ALMA	Band6	1332.411	163.446
ALMA	Band3	3331.027	408.615

**Table 2.** List of continuum data. For each dataset, we list a representative wavelength in the observed and rest frame (assuming  $z = 7.1520$ ): pivot wavelengths for each JWST imaging filter and average wavelengths for each ALMA band.

are strongly detected. For the latter two lines, we create clean line+continuum cubes using CASA *tclean* in 'cube' mode with natural weighting and cell sizes of  $1/5^{\text{th}}$  the FWHM of the minor axis of the synthesised beam. Each cube is continuum subtracted in the im-age plane<sup>[6](#page-3-2)</sup> using the CASA task *imcontsub*. The continuum level in each spaxel is found by fitting the line-free channels in the spectrum with a first-order polynomial (using the same  $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  range as above), and the resulting continuum emission is removed. This results in a continuum-free data cube.

## **2.4 Multi-wavelength comparison**

Due to the plethora of previous observations, we are able to compare the spatial distribution of continuum emission from  $\lambda_{rest} = 1420 \text{ Å}$ to ∼ 0.4 mm. The resulting maps (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0) reveal emission in multiple regions. Detailed SED fitting was performed by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41), so we focus here only on the distribution of emission.

All of the JWST/NIRCam images of Figure [2](#page-4-0) were created using spectral filters that do not discriminate between continuum and line emission. However, the  $\lambda_{obs} = 4 \mu m$  JWST/NIRSpec IFU map and each ALMA map exclude line emission. Note that together, F356W and F444W span the wavelength range of our NIRSpec data ( $\lambda_{obs} \sim$  $3 - 5 \mu m$ ), and thus contain multiple strong emission lines (e.g., [OII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 3726,3729 in F356W and [OIII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 4959,5007 in F444W).

Each of the rest-UV and rest-optical continuum images with significant emission shows a similar compact distribution focused in the two core regions. The high-resolution NIRCam observations reveal that the east core region is compact, while the west emission has extensions to the northeast and northwest (i.e., forming a 'V'-shape). While the band 8 continuum (near the peak of the dust SED; [Sug](#page-16-41)[ahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41) presents a similar picture, the band 6 continuum instead peaks at the intersection of the two cores (as seen in other works; [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [2019;](#page-15-21) [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024;](#page-16-41) see discussion in Section [4.3\)](#page-12-0). The ALMA band 3 image does not show emission, as this wavelength lies at the low-flux red end of the warm dust emission.

This clumpy morphology was recently noted by the JWST/NIRCam analysis of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41), who define a circular 'Total' aperture that includes all flux  $(r = 0.90'')$  and two smaller circular apertures centred on the bright cores ('E-core' and 'W-core',  $r = 0.22$ ''). The extended emission is measured using one elliptical aperture to the north of E-core (semi-minor axes of 0.35′′ and 0.20′′, with major axis aligned 90◦ counterclockwise from north) and another elliptical aperture to the southeast of E-core (semi-minor axes of 0.50″ and 0.25″, with major axis aligned 30° counterclockwise from north). Two larger ellipses are also included that cover the west half of the field ('W', including W-core) and the east half ('E', including E-core and most of the two tail regions).

Based on the rest-optical emission that we observe with JWST/NIRSpec, we adopt similar apertures that are adapted to cover separate areas of emission with no overlap (see lower right panel of Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). These include a slightly larger aperture that covers the full field ('Full',  $r = 1.0$ ")<sup>[7](#page-3-3)</sup>, the two core regions ('Core-E' and 'Core-W'), adjusted versions of the two tail regions ('Clump-N' and 'Arc-S'), and a new region that contains low-level line emission to the west of Core-W ('Clump-W'). The locations and sizes of 'Clump-W', 'Clump-N', and 'Arc-S' are motivated by the presence of line emission (see Section [3.1\)](#page-3-1).

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>**3 NIRSPEC ANALYSIS**

Our JWST/NIRSpec IFU data cube allows us to explore line and continuum emission from B14-65666 on a spatially and spectrally resolved basis. In this Section, we first characterise each region by fitting their integrated spectra (Section  $3.1$ ). We then exercise the power of the NIRSpec IFU by extracting and fitting spectra from each individual spaxel (Section [3.2\)](#page-6-0), opening a more detailed view into the ISM conditions and morpho-kinematics of B14-65666.

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>**3.1 Spatially integrated spectral analysis**

First, we characterise the emission in the field by extracting integrated spectra from our NIRSpec data cube using the apertures of Figure [2.](#page-4-0) The spectra are presented in Figure [3.](#page-5-0) From this initial comparison of each spectrum, it is clear that each region contains multiple significant line detections, with some lines being asymmetric, and there is a range of brightnesses across the field. To characterise each region further, we fit each spectrum with a combined line and continuum model.

## <span id="page-3-5"></span>*3.1.1 Model description*

For the spectrum from each aperture, we assume that the continuum is described by a single power law model. Since the bluest edge of our data ( $\lambda_{rest} \sim 3620\text{\AA}$ ) extends slightly bluewards of the Balmer break ( $\lambda_{rest} \sim 3646\text{\AA}$ ), it is possible that the continuum is affected by Balmer absorption features and/or in a change in slope bluewards of [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869, as seen in quenched galaxies (e.g., [D'Eugenio et al.](#page-15-29) [2024a;](#page-15-29) [Looser et al.](#page-16-49) [2024\)](#page-16-49). However, we do not detect such features in our data, and the simple model returns low residuals (see Figure [C1\)](#page-19-0).

To account for line emission, we include  $[OII]\lambda\lambda3726,3729$ , [NeIII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 3869,3968, Balmer lines (H $\zeta$ , H $\delta$ , H $\epsilon$ , H $\beta$ ), [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363, and  $[OIII]\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 in our model. Because some lines exhibit asymmetric wings in multiple spaxels, we follow other investigations of high-z galaxies with NIRSpec and fit each emission line with two

<span id="page-3-2"></span><sup>6</sup> Due to the resolved, relatively weak nature of the line emission, this is preferable to visibility-space continuum subtraction.

<span id="page-3-3"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We choose a slightly larger total aperture than [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41)  $(2024)$  to capture extended [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 emission.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure [2](#page-3-4).** Gallery of multi-wavelength continuum data (see Table 2 for details) in order of increasing  $\lambda_{obs}$ . Each panel displays a field of view of  $2'' \times 2''$ (∼ 10.3 × 10.3 kpc<sup>2</sup> ), centred on 10h01m40.68s +1◦54′52.39′′. An angular and physical scale is shown in the first panel. For each, we show the PSF as a red ellipse to the lower left. North is up and east is to the left. The final panel details our six regions of study: the two dominant cores, two smaller clumps, one arc, and a large circular aperture that encompasses all emission. The JWST/NIRSpec continuum map ( $\lambda_{obs} = 4 \mu$ m), which was derived through spaxel-by-spaxel fit (see Section [3.2](#page-6-0) for details), is displayed in a different colourmap for emphasis.

components: one narrow (FWHM  $\leq 350$  km s<sup>-1</sup>) and one broad, representing tidal features or an outflow (350  $\leq$  FWHM  $\leq 1000$  km s<sup>-1</sup>; e.g., [Lamperti et al.](#page-16-50) [2024;](#page-16-50) [Rodríguez Del Pino et al.](#page-16-51) [2024\)](#page-16-51). A one-Gaussian fit returns large residuals for each of the strong lines  $([OIII]\lambda5007, [OIII]\lambda4959, [OII]\lambda\lambda3726,3729)$ , suggesting that a two-Gaussian fit is needed. This approach is preferred to Voigt profiles (e.g.,[Jones et al.](#page-16-32) [2024b\)](#page-16-32), as it allows for the fitting of asymmetric lines. The LSF-corrected FWHM and redshift of all lines are fixed for each component.

To lower the degrees of freedom in our model, we make the standard assumption of case B recombination [\(Baker & Menzel](#page-15-30) [1938;](#page-15-30) e.g., [Hu et al.](#page-16-52) 2024: [Solimano et al.](#page-16-53) 2024: [Venturi et al.](#page-16-29) [2024\)](#page-16-29)<sup>[8](#page-4-1)</sup> and use the python package pyneb [\(Luridiana et al.](#page-16-54) [2015\)](#page-16-54) to calculate line ratios based on ISM conditions (i.e., electron temperature  $T_e$  and density  $n_e$ ; see further discussion in Appendix [E\)](#page-17-3). The ratios of [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968/[NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869 and [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/[OIII] $\lambda$ 4959 are fixed by atomic physics (0.301 and 2.984, respectively). To derive each of the other line ratios (H $\gamma$ /H $\beta$ , H $\delta$ /H $\beta$ , H $\epsilon$ /H $\beta$ , H $\zeta$ /H $\beta$ , [OII] $\lambda$ 3726/[OII] $\lambda$ 3729, [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363/[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007), we adopt a fiducial density of  $n_e = 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  [\(Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41) and allow  $T_e$  to range from  $(0.5-3.0) \times 10^4$  K. If the model returns poor constraints on  $T_e$ , then we assume a fiducial value of  $1.2 \times 10^4$  K [\(Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41).

While the ratio of the two lines in the  $[OII]\lambda\lambda$ 3726,3729 doublet is a useful tracer of electron density (e.g., [Kewley et al.](#page-16-56) [2019\)](#page-16-56), their close separation requires high spectral resolution for detailed fitting  $(R \ge 2700$ ; [Comparat et al.](#page-15-31) [2013\)](#page-15-31). This is achievable with highresolution JWST/NIRSpec gratings (e.g., [Rodríguez Del Pino et al.](#page-16-51) [2024;](#page-16-51) [Chisholm et al.](#page-15-32) [2024\)](#page-15-32), but our resolution is a factor of ∼ 3 more coarse. Therefore, we fix  $n_e$  to the fiducial value and calculate the ratio of  $[OII]\lambda3726/[OII]\lambda3729$  using PYNEB.

Our model should also take into account the effect of dust reddening. To do this, we additionally model the B-V colour excess E(B-V), which may be used to convert an observed line flux  $(F_{obs})$  to its

<span id="page-4-1"></span><sup>8</sup> Although we note that the applicability of this assumption for high-redshift galaxies has recently been called into question [\(Scarlata et al.](#page-16-55) [2024\)](#page-16-55).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Spectra extracted from each region from Figure [2,](#page-4-0) shifted vertically for clarity. Clump-N and Clump-N features weaker emission, so we multiply these spectra by a factor of 5 to ease comparison. Dashed vertical lines denote the wavelengths of detected lines, assuming  $z = 7.1520$ . A more detailed view of each line is shown in Figure [C1.](#page-19-0)

<span id="page-5-3"></span>dust-extincted value:

$$
F_{int} = F_{obs} 10^{k(\lambda)E(B-V)/2.5}
$$
 (1)

where  $k(\lambda)$  is the assumed dust attenuation curve [\(Calzetti et al.](#page-15-33) [2000\)](#page-15-33). The work of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) estimated the dust reddening of the stellar continuum in this system to be  $A_V^* \sim 0.3-1.2$ , which corresponds to ionised gas colour excess of  $E(B - V) \sim 0.2 - 0.7$ (assuming [Calzetti et al.](#page-15-33) [2000](#page-15-33) attenuation law). Leaving  $E(B-V)$  as a free parameter results in poor fits, but a simple exploration (see Ap-pendix [E3\)](#page-18-0) reveals that a lower colour excess ( $\sim 0.0-0.2$ ) is preferred by our model. Thus, we assume  $E(B - V) = 0.2$  throughout.

Our fits take the fiducial line spread function (LSF) of NIR-Spec<sup>[9](#page-5-1)</sup> into account. This varies from  $\sim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (FWHM) at the wavelength of [OII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 3726,3729 to ~ 300 km s<sup>-1</sup> at the wavelength of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007. We experimented with allowing the LSF to vary from the fiducial value by 50%, but the resulting fits were not well-constrained. When fitting the intrinsic FWHM of the narrow component, we are not able to constrain the intrinsic width of very narrow lines (e.g.,  $\lt 50 \,\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$ ). When the fitting routine returns a narrow intrinsic width, we fix the intrinsic width to 0, and state that the line is unresolved (see Table [3\)](#page-6-1).

For each spectrum, our model therefore has three contributions: the power-law continuum (with a variable slope and normalisation) and the broad and narrow line-emitting components (each with its variable  $T_e$ ,  $z$ , FWHM, and dust-corrected fluxes of all independent lines: [OII] $\lambda$ 3726, [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869, H $\beta$ , [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007). We fix  $n_e$  and  $E(B-V)$  to be constant for all models, and use PYNEB to estimate the dust-corrected fluxes of each of the other lines (i.e., [OII] $\lambda$ 3729, H $\gamma$ , [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968, H $\epsilon$ , H $\delta$ , H $\gamma$ , [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363, and [OIII] $\lambda$ 4959). Using LMFIT [\(Newville et al.](#page-16-57) [2014\)](#page-16-57), we fit this combined model to each spectrum. An initial fit is performed where all variables are allowed to vary. The results of this fit are inspected, and lines with poorly determined (i.e.,  $\langle 3\sigma \rangle$ ) or negligible fluxes (i.e.,  $\langle 10^{-21} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \rangle$ are removed from the model before the fit is repeated. This process repeats until LMFIT reports convergence. The best-fit continuum and line properties are presented in Table [3](#page-6-1) while the resulting spectra are shown in Figure [C1.](#page-20-0)

### <span id="page-5-2"></span>*3.1.2 Results and further measurables*

Each spectrum features strong  $[OII]\lambda\lambda$ 3726,3729, H $\beta$ , and [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 emission, while [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869 is detected from most regions (see Table [3\)](#page-6-1). All regions have  $T_e$  values within  $1\sigma$ of the fiducial value of  $1.2 \times 10^4$  K, comparable to conditions in other high-redshift galaxies (e.g., [Hsiao et al.](#page-16-58) [2024a;](#page-16-58) [Hu et al.](#page-16-52) [2024;](#page-16-52) [Torralba-Torregrosa et al.](#page-16-59) [2024\)](#page-16-59).

<span id="page-5-1"></span><sup>9</sup> As recorded in the JWST documentation; [https://](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-spectrograph/nirspec-instrumentation/nirspec-dispersers-and-filters) [jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-spectrograph/](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-spectrograph/nirspec-instrumentation/nirspec-dispersers-and-filters) [nirspec-instrumentation/nirspec-dispersers-and-filters](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-spectrograph/nirspec-instrumentation/nirspec-dispersers-and-filters)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 3.** Best-fit continuum and line properties of each component, as derived through spectral fits. The best-fit continuum flux density at  $\lambda_{obs} = 4\mu m$  is given in units of  $[10^{-20} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{\AA}^{-1}]$ . The best-fit dust-corrected fluxes of each line are given in units of  $[10^{-20} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$ . For the narrow and broad component, we list the FWHM (in units of [km s−<sup>1</sup> ]) and redshift. Entries of 'Unres.' indicate that the narrow emission was best-fit with a line width equal to the LSF.

		Full	Core-W	Core-E	$Clump-W$	$Arc-S$	$Clump-N$
$F_{\lambda}(\lambda_{obs} = 4 \mu m)$		$1.139 \pm 0.072$	$0.375 \pm 0.008$	$0.242 \pm 0.008$	$0.032 \pm 0.004$	$0.052 \pm 0.007$	$0.141 \pm 0.006$
Continuum Slope		$-3.29 \pm 0.33$	$-2.48 \pm 0.11$	$-2.89 \pm 0.18$	$-3.86 \pm 0.63$	$-4.70 \pm 0.64$	$-1.70 \pm 0.23$
<b>FWHM</b>	N	$280 \pm 19$	$227 \pm 6$	$144 \pm 11$	Unres.	Unres.	Unres.
	B	$760 \pm 65$	$755 \pm 23$	$653 \pm 10$		$669 \pm 84$	$557 \pm 29$
$\mathcal{Z}$	N	$7.1517 \pm 0.0001$	$7.1486 \pm 0.0001$	$7.1528 \pm 0.0001$	$7.1475 \pm 0.0002$	$7.1532 \pm 0.0001$	$7.1541 \pm 0.0001$
	B	$7.1527 \pm 0.0004$	$7.1547 \pm 0.0005$	$7.1532 \pm 0.0001$		$7.1524 \pm 0.0007$	$7.1537 \pm 0.0002$
$F_{\text{[OII]}\lambda\lambda3727,3729}$	N	$4047 \pm 730$	$1179 \pm 44$	$507 \pm 73$	$77 \pm 10$	$556 \pm 20$	
	B	$3540 \pm 837$	$487 \pm 50$	$1519 \pm 88$			$423 \pm 16$
	Total	$7588 \pm 1111$	$1666 \pm 67$	$2026 \pm 114$	$77 \pm 10$	$556 \pm 20$	$423 \pm 16$
$F_{\text{Nell1}/3689}$	N	$2116 \pm 156$	$339 \pm 24$	$466 \pm 42$	$30 \pm 10$	$207 \pm 15$	
	B		$125 \pm 32$	$306 \pm 55$			
	Total	$2116 \pm 156$	$464 \pm 40$	$772 \pm 69$	$30 \pm 10$	$207 \pm 15$	
$F_{H\beta}$	N	$1996 \pm 313$	$553 \pm 20$	$489 \pm 30$	$27 \pm 5$	$328 \pm 10$	$64 \pm 13$
	B	$1771 \pm 373$	$235 \pm 25$	$804 \pm 37$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$		$84 \pm 19$
	Total	$3767 \pm 487$	$789 \pm 32$	$1294 \pm 48$	$27 \pm 5$	$328 \pm 10$	$148 \pm 23$
$F_{\text{[OIII]}\lambda5007}$	N	$15609 \pm 1408$	$3972 \pm 74$	$4197 \pm 142$	$175 \pm 6$	$1879 \pm 33$	$341 \pm 22$
	B	$8915 \pm 1364$	$1204 \pm 78$	$5546 \pm 139$		$331 \pm 33$	$434 \pm 24$
	Total	$24524 \pm 1961$	$5176 \pm 107$	$9743 \pm 199$	$175 \pm 6$	$2210 \pm 47$	$775 \pm 32$

We use the best-fit line fluxes to calculate standard line ratios:

- O32≡[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/[OII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 3726,3729
- R3 $\equiv$ [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/H $\beta$
- R2≡[OII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 3726,3729/H $\beta$
- R23≡([OIII]λλ4959,5007 +[OII]λλ3726,3729)/Hβ
- Ne3O2≡[NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869/[OII] $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 3726,3729

All of these (with the exception of Ne3O2) are then used to calculate the gas-phase metallicity using the strong-line diagnostics calibrated by [Curti et al.](#page-15-34) [\(2020\)](#page-15-34). The resulting values are listed in Table [4.](#page-7-0) We find total gas-phase metallicities of  $(Z_g/Z_{\odot}) \sim 0.24 - 0.39$  for each region, which are slightly lower for the narrow components than for the broad. This is in general agreement with the values derived by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) using optical-FIR diagnostics (i.e.,  $Z_{\rm g}/Z_{\odot} \simeq 0.2$ ). The SED-based stellar metallicity of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) ( $Z_*/Z_{\odot} = 0.21 \pm 0.05$ ) is lower than our total gas-phase metallicity ( $Z_g/Z_\odot = 0.29 \pm 0.05$ ), as seen in other  $z > 6$  galaxies (e.g., [Scholtz et al.](#page-16-25) [2024;](#page-16-25) [Marconcini et al.](#page-16-34) [2024a\)](#page-16-34).

Next, we infer the star formation rate (SFR) using the best-fit dust-corrected H $\beta$  flux. Using PYNEB, we estimate the extinctionfree ratio of H $\alpha$ /H $\beta$  =2.828 (see Appendix [E\)](#page-17-3). Combining this with the calibration of [Kennicutt](#page-16-60) [\(1998\)](#page-16-60) yields:

$$
\frac{SFR_{\text{H}\alpha}}{[M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}]} = \frac{C_{\text{SFR}} \times 2.828 \times I_{\text{H}\beta}}{[erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}]} \left(\frac{4\pi D_{\text{L}}}{[cm]}\right)^2 \frac{[erg \, s^{-1} M_{\odot}^{-1} \, yr]}{1.26 \times 10^{41}} \tag{2}
$$

where the factor of  $C_{\rm SFR}$  is included to convert from a [Salpeter](#page-16-61) [\(1955\)](#page-16-61) IMF to a [Chabrier](#page-15-24) [\(2003\)](#page-15-24) IMF. Previous works used a range of SFR ∼ 0.56 − 0.65 (e.g., [Panter et al.](#page-16-62) [2007;](#page-16-62) [Longhetti & Saracco](#page-16-63) [2009;](#page-16-63) [Dutton et al.](#page-15-35) [2010;](#page-15-35) [González et al.](#page-15-36) [2010;](#page-15-36) [Béthermin et al.](#page-15-37) [2012;](#page-15-37) [Driver et al.](#page-15-38) [2013;](#page-15-38) [Madau & Dickinson](#page-16-64) [2014;](#page-16-64) [Caputi et al.](#page-15-39) [2017;](#page-15-39) [Figueira et al.](#page-15-40) [2022;](#page-15-40) [Hsiao et al.](#page-16-65) [2024b\)](#page-16-65). We briefly note that some recent investigations of high redshift galaxies ( $z > 6$ ) with JWST have

instead used conversion factors based on stellar population synthesis models [\(Reddy et al.](#page-16-66) [2018,](#page-16-66) [2022\)](#page-16-67). These models, which assume a low metallicity, result in lower factors (e.g.,  $C_{\text{SFR}} = 0.27$ ; [Saxena](#page-16-68) [et al.](#page-16-68) [2023;](#page-16-68) [Curti et al.](#page-15-41) [2024,](#page-15-41) C<sub>SFR</sub> ~ 0.40; [Hsiao et al.](#page-16-58) [2024a\)](#page-16-58). In this work, we will adopt a [Chabrier](#page-15-24) [\(2003\)](#page-15-24) IMF and  $C_{\text{SFR}} \sim 0.61$ , as in another high-redshift JWST work [\(Hsiao et al.](#page-16-65) [2024b\)](#page-16-65).

The Full aperture yields a total SFR =  $308 \pm 39$  M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is in agreement (i.e.,  $\langle 2\sigma \text{ discrepant} \rangle$  with the SED-based esti-mate of [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [\(2019,](#page-15-21) SFR =  $200^{+82}_{-38}$  M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>) as well as the instantaneous (SFR<sub>0</sub> =  $225^{+71}_{-56}$  M<sub>☉</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and 10 Myr-averaged  $(SFR_{10Myr} = 207^{+65}_{-51} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  values from [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41). Since  $SFR_{H\alpha}$  traces star formation over the past 5 Myr (e.g., [Flo](#page-15-42)[res Velázquez et al.](#page-15-42) [2021;](#page-15-42) [Tacchella et al.](#page-16-69) [2022\)](#page-16-69), this agreement is expected.

The O32 ratio has been shown to be an excellent tracer of the ionisation parameter *U* (e.g., [Nagao et al.](#page-16-70) [2006;](#page-16-70) [Papovich et al.](#page-16-71) [2022\)](#page-16-71). Following other recent works (e.g., [Witstok et al.](#page-17-4) [2021;](#page-17-4) [Boyett et al.](#page-15-43) [2024;](#page-15-43) [Zamora et al.](#page-17-5) [2024\)](#page-17-5), we convert the derived O32 values to *U* using the relation of [Díaz et al.](#page-15-44) [\(2000\)](#page-15-44):

$$
log_{10}(U) = 0.80 log_{10} (O32) - 3.02
$$
\n(3)

which was derived using single star ionisation models. This yields values of  $-2.7 \le \log_{10} \le -2.3$  for most regions, with slightly lower values for Clump-N. Thus, the regions of B14-65666 show similar ionisation parameters as galaxies in the  $2.7 < z < 6.3$  sample of [Reddy et al.](#page-16-72) [\(2023\)](#page-16-72) and the lower redshift  $(1.1 < z < 2.3)$  sample of [Papovich et al.](#page-16-71) [\(2022\)](#page-16-71).

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**3.2 Spaxel-by-spaxel fits**

We can exploit the power of the NIRSpec IFU to extract physical properties on a spatially resolved basis by fitting combined line and continuum models to the spectra of each spaxel. This is enhanced

		Full	Core-W	Core-E	$Clump-W$	$Arc-S$	$Clump-N$
O32	N	$3.86 \pm 0.78$	$3.37 \pm 0.14$	$8.29 \pm 1.23$	$2.28 \pm 0.31$	$3.38 \pm 0.13$	
	B	$2.52 \pm 0.71$	$2.47 \pm 0.30$	$3.65 \pm 0.23$			$1.02 \pm 0.07$
	Total	$3.23 \pm 0.54$	$3.11 \pm 0.14$	$4.81 \pm 0.29$	$2.28 \pm 0.31$	$3.97 \pm 0.16$	$1.83 \pm 0.10$
R <sub>3</sub>	N	$7.82 \pm 1.42$	$7.18 \pm 0.29$	$8.58 \pm 0.60$	$6.48 \pm 1.24$	$5.73 \pm 0.20$	$5.31 \pm 1.15$
	B	$5.03 \pm 1.31$	$5.12 \pm 0.64$	$6.89 \pm 0.36$			$5.16 \pm 1.18$
	Total	$6.51 \pm 0.99$	$6.56 \pm 0.30$	$7.53 \pm 0.32$	$6.48 \pm 1.24$	$6.74 \pm 0.25$	$5.22 \pm 0.84$
R <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf N$	$2.03 \pm 0.48$	$2.13 \pm 0.11$	$1.04 \pm 0.16$	$2.84 \pm 0.65$	$1.70 \pm 0.08$	
	B	$2.00 \pm 0.63$	$2.07 \pm 0.31$	$1.89 \pm 0.14$			$5.03 \pm 1.14$
	Total	$2.01 \pm 0.39$	$2.11 \pm 0.12$	$1.57 \pm 0.11$	$2.84 \pm 0.65$	$1.70 \pm 0.08$	$2.85 \pm 0.45$
R <sub>23</sub>	N	$12.47 \pm 2.20$	$11.71 \pm 0.47$	$12.48 \pm 0.87$	$11.49 \pm 2.21$	$9.35 \pm 0.32$	
	B	$8.72 \pm 2.16$	$8.90 \pm 1.08$	$11.09 \pm 0.57$	$\sim 100$ km $^{-1}$		$11.92 \pm 2.69$
	Total	$10.71 \pm 1.58$	$10.88 \pm 0.49$	$11.62 \pm 0.48$	$11.49 \pm 2.21$	$10.70 \pm 0.39$	$9.83 \pm 1.55$
Ne3O2	N	$0.52 \pm 0.10$	$0.29 \pm 0.02$	$0.92 \pm 0.16$	$0.39 \pm 0.14$	$0.37 \pm 0.03$	
	B		$0.26 \pm 0.07$	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	$\sim$		
	Total	$0.28 \pm 0.05$	$0.28 \pm 0.03$	$0.38 \pm 0.04$	$0.39 \pm 0.14$	$0.37 \pm 0.03$	
$Z[Z_{\odot}]$	${\bf N}$	$0.27 \pm 0.04$	$0.29 \pm 0.04$	$0.18 \pm 0.03$	$0.35 \pm 0.05$	$0.29 \pm 0.04$	÷
	B	$0.34 \pm 0.06$	$0.34 \pm 0.05$	$0.28 \pm 0.04$	$\sim$		$0.47 \pm 0.07$
	Total	$0.29 \pm 0.05$	$0.30 \pm 0.04$	$0.24 \pm 0.03$	$0.35 \pm 0.05$	$0.26 \pm 0.04$	$0.39 \pm 0.05$
$T_e$ [10 <sup>4</sup> K]	N	(1.2)	$1.02 \pm 0.21$	$1.39 \pm 0.24$	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.2)
	B	(1.2)	$1.93 \pm 0.77$	$1.09 \pm 0.30$	(1.2)	(1.2)	(1.2)
$SFR_{\rm H\beta}$ [ $M_{\odot}$ yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	N	$163 \pm 25$	$45 \pm 1$	$39 \pm 2$	$2 \pm 1$	$26 \pm 1$	$5 \pm 1$
	B	$145 \pm 30$	$18 \pm 2$	$66 \pm 3$	$\mathcal{L}$	$\sim 100$	$6 \pm 1$
	Total	$308 \pm 39$	$64 \pm 2$	$106 \pm 3$	$2 \pm 1$	$26 \pm 1$	$12 \pm 1$
$log_{10}(U)$	N	$-2.55 \pm 0.07$	$-2.60 \pm 0.01$	$-2.29 \pm 0.05$	$-2.73 \pm 0.05$	$-2.60 \pm 0.01$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$
	B	$-2.70 \pm 0.10$	$-2.71 \pm 0.04$	$-2.57 \pm 0.02$			$-3.01 \pm 0.02$
	Total	$-2.61 \pm 0.06$	$-2.63 \pm 0.02$	$-2.47 \pm 0.02$	$-2.73 \pm 0.05$	$-2.54 \pm 0.01$	$-2.81 \pm 0.02$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 4. Best-fit line ratios, gas-phase metallicities [\(Curti et al.](#page-15-34) [2020\)](#page-15-34), electron temperatures, H $\beta$ -based SFRs, and ionisation parameter U (see Section [3.1.2\)](#page-5-2) of each region. Temperatures in parentheses are assumed.

through direct comparison to morpho-kinematic maps of ALMA data, which we create in an identical fashion.

## <span id="page-7-2"></span>*3.2.1 NIRSpec IFU map creation*

To analyse our NIRSpec IFU data, we adopt a model and fitting procedure nearly identical to that of Section [3.1.](#page-3-1) Due to the lower S/N per spaxel, we adopt a constant fiducial  $T_e = 1.2 \times 10^4$  K [\(Sugahara](#page-16-41) [et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41). The resulting total intensity maps are included in Figure [4,](#page-8-0) while the intensity maps of the narrow and broad components are presented in Figure [D1.](#page-21-0) The best-fit continuum ( $\lambda_{obs} = 4 \mu m$ ) map from this analysis was included in the continuum gallery of Figure [2.](#page-4-0) Note that because of our model assumptions, the corresponding map of [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968 is identical to that of [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869, but with a constant scaling factor (see Appendix  $E$ ). Similarly, each of the other Balmer lines (H $\gamma$ , H $\delta$ , H $\epsilon$ , H $\zeta$ ) can be found from the H $\beta$ distribution, and [OIII] $\lambda$ 4959 and [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363 can be found from the  $[OIII]\lambda 5007$  distribution.

Our fits also allow us to explore the kinematics of the field by extracting parameters from each spaxel. This is done by considering the best-fit  $[OIII]\lambda5007$  model, converting it to a cumulative distribution function (CDF), and calculating multiple non-parametric  $v<sub>N</sub>$  values (i.e., velocities at which the CDF reaches  $N\%$ ). In each case we assume a fiducial zero-velocity redshift of  $z = 7.1520$  $z = 7.1520$  $z = 7.1520$ . Figure 5 shows  $v_{50}$  (the mean velocity) and the asymmetry  $(|v_{50}-v_{10}| - |v_{50}-v_{90}|)$ , while Figure [6](#page-9-1) shows  $w_{80} \equiv v_{90} - v_{10}$  (a measure of linewidth).

#### <span id="page-7-3"></span>*3.2.2 ALMA map creation*

Previous analyses of the ALMA data for this source created moment maps [\(Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [2019,](#page-15-21) [2023a;](#page-15-12) [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-38) [2021,](#page-16-38) [2024\)](#page-16-41), which are non-parametric measures of the total intensity (moment 0), intensity-weighted mean velocity (moment 1), and velocity dispersion (moment  $2)^{10}$  $2)^{10}$  $2)^{10}$ . Here, we instead use Gaussian spectral models to fit the ALMA data on a spaxel-by-spaxel basis, resulting in morphokinematic maps that may be directly compared to our NIRSpec maps.

Because each ALMA data cube only contains a single line  $([C II]158 \mu m$  or [O III]88 $\mu$ m), the fitting process is much simpler than that of Section [3.1](#page-3-1) or [3.2.](#page-6-0) First, we construct an error spectrum for each continuum-subtracted data cube by taking the RMS noise level of each spectral channel. Considering the possibility that each line may be fit by two Gaussian components (i.e., narrow and broad), the spectrum extracted from each spaxel is fit with these two Gaussians and an underlying first-order polynomial continuum using LMFIT. However, this approach only reveals a few spaxels where a two-Gaussian model returns a better fit (i.e., a lower reduced  $y^2$ ) in either cube. Because these potential areas are smaller than a synthesised beam and are not aligned with the broad emission found in [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 emission, they are likely artifacts (e.g., incomplete continuum subtraction, data combination, imaging). Thus, we

<span id="page-7-1"></span><sup>10</sup> See CASA toolkit manual for more details: [https://www.aoc.nrao.](https://www.aoc.nrao.edu/~kgolap/casa_trunk_docs/CasaRef/image.moments.html) [edu/~kgolap/casa\\_trunk\\_docs/CasaRef/image.moments.html](https://www.aoc.nrao.edu/~kgolap/casa_trunk_docs/CasaRef/image.moments.html)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Integrated total fluxes of emission lines, as derived through spaxelby-spaxel fit. Only  $> 3\sigma$  fluxes are shown. Each panel displays a field of view of  $2'' \times 2''$  centred on 10h01m40.68s +1°54′52.39". A physical scale bar of 2 kpc scale bar is included to the lower right in each panel. Similar plots for the narrow and broad components of the optical lines can be found in Figure [D1.](#page-21-0)

adopt a single-Gaussian model. The resulting total intensity,  $v_{50}$ , and  $w_{80}$  maps are included in Figures [4,](#page-8-0) [5,](#page-8-1) and [6,](#page-9-1) respectively.

#### <span id="page-8-2"></span>*3.2.3 Distribution of emission*

All of the rest-optical lines feature similar morphologies, with emission focused in the cores, a brighter Core-E, and diffuse emission that envelopes the two clumps and the arc. The rest-FIR lines are qualitatively similar, but they do not feature peaks in Core-W. The ALMA and JWST lines are further compared in Section [4.2.](#page-9-2)

When the JWST-detected lines are decomposed into narrow and broad components (Figure [D1\)](#page-21-0), a different flux distribution is observed. The narrow components of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 and H $\beta$  show nearly equal contributions from the two cores, while their broad emission is focused in Core-E with a weak tail to the southwest. The [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968 morphology is also similar, but with negligible broad emission. The [OII] $\lambda\lambda$ 3726,3729 morphology is unique in that the narrow component is strongly focused in Core-W, while the broad component is in Core-E.

## <span id="page-8-3"></span>*3.2.4 Field kinematics*

We find that [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007, [C II]158 $\mu$ m, and [O III]88 $\mu$ m feature similar distributions of  $v_{50}$  (Figure [5\)](#page-8-1), with a strong east-west velocity gradient. There are slight differences between the maps, which may be ascribed to differences in sensitivity and resolution (e.g., beam smearing, spatial binning). As seen in other works (e.g., [Telikova](#page-16-73) [et al.](#page-16-73) [2024\)](#page-16-73), [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [O III]88 $\mu$ m show similar line-of-sight velocity maps. Our  $v_{50}$  maps of the ALMA-detected lines are qualitatively similar to the moment 1 maps created by [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [\(2019\)](#page-15-21), but differ slightly due to differences in imaging (e.g., spaxel size) and velocity measurement method (i.e., Gaussian fit vs. moment 1). While such a symmetric velocity field could be interpreted as a signature of rotation, the disparate properties of each core (see

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**Figure 5.** Line of sight velocity maps, as derived through spaxel-by-spaxel fits. The top row includes maps of  $v_{50}$  (i.e., the velocity at which each line reaches 50% of its total flux) for [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007, [C II]158 $\mu$ m, and [O III]88 $\mu$ m. Maps of  $v_{50}$  for the narrow and broad component of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 are shown in the first two panels of the lower row. The bottom right panel presents the bestfit asymmetry of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 (see Section [3.2.1](#page-7-2) for definition). Each panel displays a field of view of  $2'' \times 2''$  centred on 10h01m40.68s +1°54′52.39". A physical scale bar of 2 kpc scale bar is included to the lower right in each panel. For each, we show the PSF as a red ellipse to the lower left. North is up and east is to the left.

Table [4,](#page-7-0) Figure [7\)](#page-9-3) suggest a major merger instead (see Section [4.5](#page-13-1) for further discussion).

The asymmetry map is mostly  $\sim$  0, reflecting the fact that the brighter narrow component is the primary emission for most spaxels. However, there is a region of positive asymmetry to the southeast of Core-W that indicates a more powerful red broad component. Indeed, the channel maps of both [OIII] $\lambda$ 4959 and [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 contain lowlevel redshifted emission in this area, which may indicate a tidal feature or outflow.

We are also able to calculate  $w_{80}$  for each line (Figure [6\)](#page-9-1). It is clear that Core-E has a higher  $w_{80}$  than Core-W, which is primarily caused by the stronger broad component in Core-E. The  $w_{80}$  map of  $[O III]88\mu m$  shows a region with elevated velocity dispersion south of Core-E which slightly overlaps with the broad  $[OIII]\lambda5007$ emission, but the two cores show similar  $w_{80,[OIII]88\mu m}$ . The two ALMA  $w_{80}$  maps are more similar to that of the narrow component of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007, suggesting that the gas emitting broad [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 is not detected in [C II]158 $\mu$ m or [O III]88 $\mu$ m.

#### *3.2.5 Gas metallicity, SFR, and ionisation*

The best-fit line maps are used to create maps of gas-phase metallicity, SFR, and  $U$  (see Section [3.1](#page-3-1) for ratio definitions and diagnostic information). The resulting maps for the combined best-fit models are shown in Figure [7,](#page-9-3) while the separate narrow and broad fits are shown in Figure [D1.](#page-21-0)

The metallicity map of the narrow component reveals that Core-W has a value of ∼ 0.3 solar, as shown in Section [3.1.2.](#page-5-2) Both cores show similar metallicities ( $\sim 0.2 - 0.4$  solar). The broad component (which extends from Core-E to the southwest) shows a metallicity gradient, but due to the low S/N of the lines in this region, this is

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

**Figure 6.** Maps of  $w_{80}$  (i.e., the difference in velocity between the points at which each line reaches 10% and 90% of its total flux), as derived through spaxel-by-spaxel fits for [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007, [C II]158 $\mu$ m, and [O III]88 $\mu$ m. Maps of  $w_{80}$  for the narrow and broad component of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 are shown in the lower row. Each panel displays a field of view of  $2'' \times 2''$  centred on 10h01m40.68s +1◦54′52.39". A physical scale bar of 2 kpc scale bar is included to the lower right in each panel. For each, we show the PSF as a red ellipse to the lower left. North is up and east is to the left.

<span id="page-9-3"></span>

**Figure 7.** Line ratio diagnostics, as derived through spaxel-by-spaxel fit. Each panel displays a field of view of  $2'' \times 2''$  centred on 10h01m40.68s +1◦54′52.39". A physical scale bar of 2 kpc scale bar is included to the lower right in each panel. For each, we show the PSF as a red ellipse to the lower left. North is up and east is to the left.

tentative. However, while both the narrow and broad components show significant SFR (as traced by  $H\beta$ ) in both cores, the broad component is isolated to Core-W. Core-E shows a higher  $U$  than Core-W.

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4 DISCUSSION**

#### **4.1 Line ratio diagrams**

Due to the wavelength coverage of our data, we do not have access to [NII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548,6584 or [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716,6731, and cannot utilise the standard [NII]-BPT or [SII]-VO87 diagrams [\(Baldwin et al.](#page-15-45) [1981;](#page-15-45) [Veilleux & Osterbrock](#page-16-74) [1987\)](#page-16-74) to search for evidence of AGN excitation. But the wealth of detected emission lines (see Table [3\)](#page-6-1) allows us to explore the nature of this field using other diagnostics: O32-R23, R3-R2, and Ne3O2 (see definitions in Section [3.1\)](#page-3-1).

The ratios of each spatial region are shown in Figure [8.](#page-10-0) For comparison we also show the locations of low-redshift galaxies from SDSS (MPA-JHU DR8 catalogue; [Kauffmann et al.](#page-16-75) [2003;](#page-16-75) [Brinch](#page-15-46)[mann et al.](#page-15-46) [2004\)](#page-15-46),  $5.5 \le z \le 9.5$  galaxies from the JADES survey [\(Cameron et al.](#page-15-47) [2023\)](#page-15-47), 4.6  $\le z \le 7.9$  galaxies from [Mascia et al.](#page-16-76) [\(2023\)](#page-16-76),  $6.9 \le z \le 9.0$  galaxies from the CEERS survey [\(Tang et al.](#page-16-77) [2023\)](#page-16-77), A2744- YD4 ( $z = 7.88$ ; [Witten et al.](#page-17-6) [2024\)](#page-17-6), and the  $5 \le z \le 9$ stacks created by [Roberts-Borsani et al.](#page-16-78) [\(2024\)](#page-16-78). Each of the line ratios from high-redshift galaxies has either been corrected for dust attenuation or has been measured for galaxies with no significant attenuation. Generally, we find that the different components of B14- 65666 lie within the scatter of the JWST-observed galaxies, at the upper edge of the scatter of the SDSS galaxies. Below we discuss the physical interpretation of this line ratio distribution.

All of these ratios are dependent on the ionisation parameter *U* and metallicity Z (e.g., [Kewley & Dopita](#page-16-79) [2002;](#page-16-79) [Shirazi et al.](#page-16-80) [2014;](#page-16-80) [Cameron et al.](#page-15-47) [2023\)](#page-15-47). In general, R23, R2, and R3 are stronger tracers of (e.g., [Steidel et al.](#page-16-81) [2016;](#page-16-81) [Curti et al.](#page-15-34) [2020\)](#page-15-34), while Ne3O2 and O32 are more dependent on  $U$  (e.g., [Penston et al.](#page-16-82) [1990;](#page-16-82) Levesque  $\&$ [Richardson](#page-16-83) [2014\)](#page-16-83). The local galaxies observed as part of SDSS show a positive correlation in each diagnostic plot, while the high-redshift galaxies lie at higher O32 and Ne3O2 (suggesting higher  $U$ , [Cameron](#page-15-47) [et al.](#page-15-47) [2023\)](#page-15-47). Each region of B14-65666 lies near the intersection of SDSS and previous JWST-observed galaxies.

The metallicity of each region of B14-65666 was found to be  $\sim 0.2 - 0.4 Z_{\odot}$  (Section [3.1\)](#page-3-1), allowing us to use these diagrams to compare ionisation states. Since the B14-65666 regions have low O32 and Ne3O2 compared to the other high-redshift sources, this suggests that our sources have relatively low ionisation parameter. The high  $Z_g$  and low U (compared to other high-redshift galaxies) suggests that B14-65666 could represent a system of evolved galaxies in the early Universe. Indeed, they lie at the high- $Z_g$  and high-U edge of local galaxies, despite having much less time to evolve.

Since some of the regions of B14-65666 show weak evidence of [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363 emission (see Figure [C1\)](#page-19-0), we also use the O3Hg ( $\equiv$ [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363/H $\gamma$ ) vs. O32 AGN diagnostic from [Mazzo](#page-16-84)[lari et al.](#page-16-84) [\(2024\)](#page-16-84). Our best-fit line fluxes yield low O3HG ratios  $(\log_{10} (O3HG) < -0.5)$ , which suggest that there is no unambiguous AGN signature in B14-65666. While our spectral fits result in low residuals (Figure  $Cl$ ), we note that our fitting routine does not fit [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363 directly (see Section [3.1.1\)](#page-3-5). Nevertheless, the weak [OIII]4363 in this system does not allow us to confirm or refute the presence of an AGN.

#### <span id="page-9-2"></span>**4.2 ALMA-JWST comparison**

While JWST is a powerful tool to explore the characteristics of highredshift galaxies, it also features a strong synergy with ALMA that has not yet been fully explored. This includes the possibility of detecting Lyman continuum leaking galaxies by comparing  $\text{[C\text{II}]158}\mu\text{m}$ and [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 (e.g., [Katz et al.](#page-16-85) [2020;](#page-16-85) [Ura et al.](#page-16-86) [2023\)](#page-16-86), using JWSTbased gas-phase metallicities and ALMA-based CO observations to derive molecular gas masses (e.g., [Narayanan et al.](#page-16-87) [2012\)](#page-16-87), and comparing [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007/[O III]88 $\mu$ m to place tight constraints on electron temperature (e.g., [Stiavelli et al.](#page-16-88) [2023\)](#page-16-88).

### *4.2.1 Lyman continuum leakage*

The escape of Lyman continuum photons is a key process in the study of how the Universe was reionised, but due to the opacity of the IGM,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Distribution of line ratios for each galaxy component as measured using circular or elliptical apertures: O32-R23 (top left), R3-R2 (top right), and Ne3O2-R23 (bottom left). For comparison we also show the locations of low-redshift galaxies from SDSS (MPA-JHU DR8 catalogue; [Kauffmann et al.](#page-16-75) [2003;](#page-16-75) [Brinchmann et al.](#page-15-46) [2004\)](#page-15-46) and higher redshift galaxies previously observed with JWST/NIRSpec (5.5  $\le z \le 9.5$ , [Cameron et al.](#page-15-47) [2023;](#page-15-47) 4.6  $\le z \le 7.9$ , [Mascia](#page-16-76) [et al.](#page-16-76) [2023;](#page-16-77) 6.9 ≤ z ≤ 9.0, [Tang et al.](#page-16-77) 2023; 5 ≤ z ≤ 9, [Roberts-Borsani et al.](#page-16-78) [2024;](#page-16-29) 6.4 ≤ z ≤ 7.9, [Venturi et al.](#page-16-29) 2024; and  $z = 7.88$ , [Witten et al.](#page-17-6) [2024\)](#page-17-6).

this quantity is usually explored using indirect tracers (e.g., [Izotov](#page-16-89) [et al.](#page-16-89) [2018;](#page-16-89) [Chisholm et al.](#page-15-48) [2022;](#page-15-48) [Mascia et al.](#page-16-76) [2023\)](#page-16-76). A novel approach was proposed by [Katz et al.](#page-16-85) [\(2020\)](#page-16-85), who used high-resolution cosmological simulations to test the presence of correlations between Lyman continuum escape fraction (hereafter  $f_{esc}^{Lyc}$ ) and a number of strong rest-UV and FIR lines. This resulted in strong evidence for so-called 'Lyman leakers'  $(f_{esc}^{Lyc} > 10\%)$  to feature ratios of  $I_{[OIII]\lambda5007}/I_{[CII]158\mu m} > 1$ . Since [C II]158 $\mu$ m can trace neutral gas (e.g., [Vizgan et al.](#page-17-7) [2022,](#page-17-7) but see also e.g., [Zanella et al.](#page-17-8) [2018;](#page-17-8) [Madden et al.](#page-16-39)  $2020$ ) and [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 traces ionised gas, a high value of this ratio could indicate a higher level of ionised gas and thus more avenues of escape for Lyman continuum photons. Here, we examine the spatially resolved distribution of this ratio for the first time.

First, we mask all pixels of the  $\text{[C\text{II}]}$ 158 $\mu$ m integrated flux map

(see Section [3.2.2\)](#page-7-3) that are  $\langle 2 \times \rangle$  the RMS noise level of the map. The best-fit  $[OIII]\lambda5007$  image (assuming a fiducial PSF of  $0.15'' \times 0.15''$ ; see Section [3.2\)](#page-6-0) is convolved with a 2D Gaussian kernel so that the resulting map has an identical PSF as the ALMA [C II]158 $\mu$ m image<sup>[11](#page-10-1)</sup>. The convolved [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 map is re-gridded to the pixels of the convolved  $\left[ \text{C II} \right]$ 158 $\mu$ m map. Each map is then converted to a uniform set of units  $Jv \text{ km s}^{-1}$  arcsec<sup>-2</sup>), allowing a direct comparison (Figure [9\)](#page-11-0). We find that this ratio is  $> 1$  for some spaxels in the core regions, providing evidence for higher  $f_{esc}^{Lyc}$ .

<span id="page-10-1"></span><sup>11</sup> The create\_matching\_kernel function from the Photutils package [\(Bradley et al.](#page-15-49) [2023\)](#page-15-49) is used to determine the appropriate Gaussian kernel to match PSFs.

<span id="page-11-2"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Figure 9.** Spatial comparison of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 (top panel) and [C II]158 $\mu$ m emission (middle panel), after each map is convolved to a common PSF and regridded to the same pixel space. The bottom panel shows the ratio of these two maps. Positive values of  $log_{10}([OIII] \lambda 5007/[C II]158 \mu m)$  (i.e., red spaxels) are possible signatures of Lyman continuum escape.

There also appears to be a north-south gradient, which may indicate asymmetric LyC leakage or astrometric misalignment (see Section [4.2.3\)](#page-11-1)

#### *4.2.2 Electron density*

A previous exploration of  $\text{[OIII]}\lambda\lambda\text{4959,5007}/\text{[O III]}\,88\,\mu\text{m}$  was performed by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41), who combined ALMA and JWST/NIRCam observations of B14-65666 to explore how the electron density varies across this source. While these previous observations featured higher spatial resolution, our JWST/NIRSpec data allow us to directly characterise the rest-optical line and continuum emission. We repeat this analysis here using our NIRSpec IFU data.

We convolve the  $[OIII]\lambda\lambda4959,5007$  maps with a 2D Gaussian kernel to match the PSF of the  $[O III]88\mu m$  map (as derived in Section [3.2.2\)](#page-7-3) and regrid each to a common grid. The resulting ratio

**Figure 10.** Spatial comparison of  $[OIII]\lambda\lambda4959,5007$  (top panel) and [O III]88 $\mu$ m emission (middle panel), after each map is convolved to a common PSF and re-gridded to the same pixel space. The bottom panel shows the ratio of these two maps.

map (Figure [10\)](#page-11-2) shows high values in the core regions ( $\sim$  1.2), in agreement with that of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41).

Multiple spaxels in Figure  $10$  show higher ratios (i.e.,  $> 1.3$ ), which would imply  $n_e > 10^3$  when combined with the diagnostic plot of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024,](#page-16-41) see their figure 6). This would have little effect on our results, as the only line ratio with strong  $n_e$  dependence ([OII]3729/[OII]3726) is unresolved (see pyneb modelling details of Appendix  $E$ ). However, our pyneb modelling shows that this ratio is dependent on both  $T_e$  than  $n_e$ . Our high observed ratio may then be explained by a slightly higher density and temperature. To investigate this further, we could use higher spectral resolution observations of [OII]3726,3729 (i.e., JWST/NIRSpec G395H/F290LP) and/or higher spatial resolution observations of  $[O III]88 \mu m$  (i.e., ALMA).

## <span id="page-11-1"></span>*4.2.3 Note on astrometry*

We note that the interpretation of these ratios is dependent on precise astrometric alignment between the JWST/NIRSpec and ALMA maps, as a misalignment could create a false gradient in the ratio map. From Figure [4,](#page-8-0) it is clear that the peak of the  $\lbrack \text{C II} \rbrack 158 \mu \text{m}$  emission in Core-E is offset slightly to the northwest from the corresponding peak of  $[OIII]\lambda5007$  emission. Here, we explore whether this could cause the observed north-south gradient in [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/[C II]158 $\mu$ m (Figure [9\)](#page-11-0).

If the [C II]158 $\mu$ m map is shifted to the south by 1 px (i.e., 0.06''), then the observed gradient is no longer present and the highest ratio (log<sub>10</sub>([OIII]λ5007/[C II]158μm) ~ 0.5) is ~ 20% lower. This suggests that the gradient in Figure [9](#page-11-0) may be inflated by astrometric uncertainty. However, the  $\text{[OIII]}\lambda\lambda\text{4959,5007}/\text{[O III]}88\mu\text{m}$  ratio map (Figure [10\)](#page-11-2) constructed using our NIRSpec data is similar to that of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41). The latter data are well-aligned (i.e., <  $0.015''$ ), so the [O III]88 $\mu$ m and [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007 data are likely well-aligned.

In order to avoid this issue of misalignment, we calculate total line ratios by integrating each map. This results in total values of  $log_{10}([OIII]\lambda5007/[CII]158\mu m) = 0.05$  and  $\log_{10}([OIII]\lambda\lambda4959, 5007/[OIII]88\mu m) = 1.46$ . The former suggests that we are not able to determine whether B14-65666 is a Lyman leaker or not (although some regions may feature leakage), and the latter suggests a high electron density (i.e.,  $\sim 10^3$  cm<sup>-3</sup>; see figure 6 of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41).

#### *4.2.4 Morpho-kinematics*

As explored in Sections [3.2.3](#page-8-2) and [3.2.4,](#page-8-3) the ALMA- and JWSTdetected lines show flux distributions and kinematics with noteworthy differences. While the JWST-detected lines show emission peaks in both core galaxies, the ALMA-detected lines appear to be focused in Core-E with weaker extensions into Core-W (Figure [4\)](#page-8-0). The  $v_{50}$  and  $w_{80}$  maps (which are similar to the line of sight velocity and velocity dispersion, respectively) of the narrow component of [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 are similar to those of [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [O III]88 $\mu$ m, with little evidence for broad emission in the ALMA-detected lines (Figures [5](#page-8-1) and [6\)](#page-9-1). Since the ALMA observations resulted in strong detections of each line [\(Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [2019\)](#page-15-21), it is unlikely that this disparity is due to a difference of sensitivity.

To explore these differences, we consider a recent comparison of ALMA and JWST/NIRSpec data in the  $z = 5.65$  merging system HZ10 [\(Telikova et al.](#page-16-73) [2024\)](#page-16-73). Multiple scenarios were put forward to explain areas of low [C II]158 $\mu$ m/[OIII] $\lambda$ 5007, including a lower carbon abundance as evidenced by a lower  $Z_g$  and/or removal of cold gas (an important source of  $\text{[C\text{II}]}$ 158 $\mu$ m emission) via photoevaporation. The first scenario is unlikely to explain the absence of [C II]158 $\mu$ m in Core-W, as this region features a higher metallicity than Core-E. The photoevaporation scenario is more likely, as Core-E features a higher  $U$  than Core-W.

While we observe that  $\text{[C\text{II}]}$ 158 $\mu$ m traces narrow  $\text{[O\text{III}]}$ 15007 emission in B14-65666, the [C II]158 $\mu$ m emission in HZ10 is found to trace broad [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 emission [\(Telikova et al.](#page-16-73) [2024\)](#page-16-73). This broad emission is interpreted as either outflows or tidal interactions. Similarly, [Parlanti et al.](#page-16-23) [\(2024a\)](#page-16-23) find evidence for a broad outflow component in [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 in the  $z = 5.54$  galaxy HZ4. To investigate this further, we require deeper ALMA [C II]158 $\mu$ m observations to probe the low-level molecular gas properties, or other JWST observations (e.g.,  $H\alpha$  with JWST/MIRI) to explore ionised gas properties.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>**4.3 Presence of dust**

The fact that this source is detected in FIR continuum emission with ALMA (e.g., [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [2019\)](#page-15-21) implies that there is a significant warm dust reservoir in the field. Through a detailed analysis of the dust SED (which has three detections and one non-detection), [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-38) [\(2021\)](#page-16-38) find a total dust mass of ~ 10<sup>6–8</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> (in agreement with previous results; [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [2019\)](#page-15-21). The recent SED modelling of [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) found a stellar continuum dust attenuation of  $A_V^* = 0.78_{-0.06}^{+0.07}$  (corresponding to ionised gas  $E(B - V) \sim 0.4$ ; [Calzetti et al.](#page-15-33) [2000\)](#page-15-33) for the full source. Our JWST/NIRSpec spectra are well fit by models assuming a low  $E(B-V) = 0.2$ , but we lack the sensitivity and wavelength coverage necessary to estimate dust attenuation further (See Appendix [E3](#page-18-0) for further discussion of this).

Briefly, we consider the dust morphology of this field (see Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). Our analysis of the rest-UV and rest-optical line emission shows that these originate primarily from two bright cores, with two much weaker clumps to the north and east, and a weak arc to the southeast. Emission from the rest-FIR lines  $\left[ \text{C II} \right]$ 158 $\mu$ m and  $\left[ \text{O III} \right]$ 88 $\mu$ m also is focused in the two core regions. Similarly, the Band 8 continuum emission (underlying  $[O III]88 \mu m$  and tracing the peak of the dust SED) originates from Core-W and features a significant diffuse component. But the Band 6 emission (underlying  $\left[ \text{C II} \right]$ 158 $\mu$ m) is focused in a shell-like feature between the two core regions $12$ . Neither the band 7 nor band 3 maps contain morphological information due to lack of spatial resolution and lack of detection, respectively. Because the emission in each ALMA band is not coincident, it possibly originates from different dust reservoirs.

Since there are three strong rest-FIR continuum detections in this field, the presence of dust is secure. But the fact that the previously studied dust SED was constructed by extracting flux from the full field of B14-65666 from each ALMA band means that the resulting dust masses may be misestimated due to a wavelength-dependent morphology. Indeed, while the modified blackbody model used by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) is well-tested at high redshift (e.g., [Carniani](#page-15-50) [et al.](#page-15-50) [2019;](#page-15-50) [Jones et al.](#page-16-90) [2020\)](#page-16-90), it depends on an assumption that the dust emission emerges from a single reservoir characterised by a luminosity-weighted dust temperature, emissivity index, and dust mass. Instead, it may be possible that the FIR emission may emanate from a warmer dust reservoir located in Core-W (see Band 8 image in Figure [2\)](#page-4-0), as well as a colder dust reservoir between the cores (Band 6). Dust temperature gradients have been observed at high redshift (e.g., [Calistro Rivera et al.](#page-15-51) [2018;](#page-15-51) [Dong et al.](#page-15-52) [2019;](#page-15-52) [Tsukui](#page-16-91) [et al.](#page-16-91) [2023\)](#page-16-91), which would allow for this change in morphology.

It has previously been found that the viewing angle of galaxies affects the observed dust properties, including attenuation (e.g., [Zhang](#page-17-9) [et al.](#page-17-9) [2023;](#page-17-9) [Lower et al.](#page-16-92) [2024\)](#page-16-92). These studies analysed simulations of isolated galaxies, but the case of merging galaxies is even more complex due to the presence of companion galaxies and tidal features (e.g., [Pallottini et al.](#page-16-93) [2017;](#page-16-93) [Kohandel et al.](#page-16-15) [2019\)](#page-16-15). While a spatially resolved FIR SED analysis would unlock a deeper understanding of the warm dust properties and distribution (e.g., [Tsukui et al.](#page-16-91) [2023\)](#page-16-91), it requires time-intensive observations and is currently only feasible for bright sources (e.g., starburst galaxies, QSO host galaxies). With the data available, we may only state that the B14-65666 field contains warm dust that is spatially offset from the bright core regions. This dust may have been expelled by stellar winds (e.g., [Veilleux](#page-16-94)

<span id="page-12-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> [This morphology was also reported by](#page-16-94) [Hashimoto et al.](#page-15-21) [\(2019\)](#page-15-21); [Sugahara](#page-16-41) [et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\), and thus is likely not an artefact introduced by our calibration](#page-16-94) [or imaging processes.](#page-16-94)

[et al.](#page-16-94) [2005\)](#page-16-94), or may be affected by the ongoing galaxy merger (e.g., [Tamura et al.](#page-16-95) [2023\)](#page-16-95).

#### **4.4 Mass-metallicity relation**

It has been well established that the properties of most galaxies at a set redshift tend to scale with each other. Examples of this are seen in the observed relations between stellar mass and rotational velocity (i.e., the Tully-Fisher relation; [Tully & Fisher](#page-16-96) [1977\)](#page-16-96), SFR and  $M_*$  (star-forming main sequence; [Noeske et al.](#page-16-97) [2007\)](#page-16-97), and the surface densities of molecular and stellar mass (molecular gas main sequence; [Lin et al.](#page-16-98) [2019\)](#page-16-98). Here, we investigate the placement of B14- 65666 on the relation between stellar mass and gas-phase metallicity, or the mass-metallicity relation (MZR; [Lequeux et al.](#page-16-99) [1979\)](#page-16-99).

The MZR has been observed for galaxies with a diverse set of redshifts, metallicities, and stellar masses (e.g., [Tremonti et al.](#page-16-100) [2004;](#page-16-100) [Erb](#page-15-53) [et al.](#page-15-53) [2006;](#page-15-53) [Sanders et al.](#page-16-101) [2021\)](#page-16-101). Recent JWST observations have enabled measurement of  $Z_g$  and  $M_*$  in the early Universe, yielding fits of the MZR for galaxies from  $7 < z < 10$  and  $7.5 < log_{10}(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) <$ 10.0 [\(Heintz et al.](#page-15-54) [2023\)](#page-15-54), galaxies from the CEERS and JADES surveys from  $6 < z < 10$  and  $6.0 < log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot}) < 10.0$  [\(Curti](#page-15-41) [et al.](#page-15-41) [2024\)](#page-15-41), and galaxies from the CEERS survey from  $4 < z < 10$ and 7.2 <  $\log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot})$  < 10.1 [\(Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [2023\)](#page-16-1). All of these works find that central galaxies with higher stellar masses are more metal enriched, and that the metallicity for a galaxy of a given stellar mass is lower at high redshift than at lower redshifts. There is a scatter between the observed relations, which may be partially explained by the different methods of deriving  $M_*$  (i.e. the SED fitting codes BAGPIPES, [Carnall et al.](#page-15-55) [2018;](#page-15-55) BEAGLE, [Chevallard &](#page-15-56) [Charlot](#page-15-56) [2016;](#page-15-56) Prospector, [Johnson et al.](#page-16-102) [2021\)](#page-16-102) and  $Z_g$  (i.e., strong line diagnostics of [Curti et al.](#page-15-34) [2020;](#page-15-34) [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-103) [2022\)](#page-16-103).

To examine the location of B14-65666 with respect to the highredshift MZR, we display  $Z_g$  (derived using the line diagnostics of [Curti et al.](#page-15-34) [2020;](#page-15-34) Section [3.1.2\)](#page-5-2) and  $M_*$  (derived by a BAGPIPES fit to the observed SED; [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41) for Core-E, Core-W, and the Full aperture in Figure [11.](#page-14-0) These values are compared to existing best-fit MZR relations [\(Heintz et al.](#page-15-54) [2023;](#page-15-54) [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [2023;](#page-16-1) [Curti et al.](#page-15-41) [2024\)](#page-15-41) as well as all published  $z > 5$  galaxies with  $Z_g$ and  $M_*$  from JWST/NIRSpec IFU observations [\(Arribas et al.](#page-15-15) [2024;](#page-15-15) [Marconcini et al.](#page-16-34) [2024a](#page-16-34)[,b;](#page-16-33) [Messa et al.](#page-16-28) [2024;](#page-16-28) [Scholtz et al.](#page-16-25) [2024;](#page-16-25) [Venturi et al.](#page-16-29) [2024\)](#page-16-29). We find that the observed galaxies lie above the MZR as derived by [Heintz et al.](#page-15-54) [\(2023\)](#page-15-54) and [Curti et al.](#page-15-41) [\(2024\)](#page-15-41), and are closer to the relation of [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [\(2023\)](#page-16-1).

However, there are important caveats to this interpretation that must be discussed. Primarily, the IFU targets (which mainly originate from the GA-NIFS survey) are not a representative sample of galaxies, but are instead biased towards bright, well-studied objects (e.g., SPT0311-58-E with  $log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot}) = 10.55^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$ , [Arribas et al.](#page-15-15) [2024\)](#page-15-15). While these observations result in the detection of smaller satellite galaxies (e.g., SPT0311-58-L1 with  $log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot}) = 8.54 \pm 0.05$ , [Arribas et al.](#page-15-15) [2024\)](#page-15-15), we lack a more complete, unbiased dataset of IFU-detected galaxies in this redshift range (6  $\le z \le 9$ ). The galaxies at  $\log_{10}(M_*/M_{\odot}) < 7$  originate from the work of [Messa et al.](#page-16-28) [\(2024\)](#page-16-28), where gravitational magnification ( $\mu \sim 20$ ) allows for the characterisation of dwarf galaxies. The high-metallicity source is SPT0311-58-C3, which lies near the edge of the IFU field of view and thus may suffer from low sensitivity. As noted above, these works also use different methods to derive  $Z_g$  and *M*<sup>∗</sup>. A uniform analysis of NIRSpec IFU data will be performed in a future work.

We find that B14-65666 is one of the most massive galaxies in our collected sample (second only to the large, clumpy galaxy SPT0311-

58-E; [Arribas et al.](#page-15-15) [2024\)](#page-15-15), but it follows an extrapolation of the [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [\(2023\)](#page-16-1) MZR trend. This suggests that it does not include a large reservoir of pristine gas (which would result in a lower metallicity), but it is also unlikely to have lost large amounts of gas via outflows or tidal interactions (which would result in a higher metallicity). Instead, it appears that B14-65666 has formed stars and enriched its gas in a similar fashion to other high-redshift galaxies.

#### <span id="page-13-1"></span>**4.5 Nature of core components**

The two core galaxies of B14-65666 are closely associated, with a projected distance of  $\sim 0.43''$  (corresponding to  $\sim 2.2$  kpc) and a velocity offset of ~ 175 km s<sup>-1</sup>. Despite this, their properties reveal a sharp distinction in their natures. On one hand, Core-E appears to have a large molecular reservoir (as suggested by its strong  $\left[ \text{C II} \right]$ 158 $\mu$ m emission; e.g. [Zanella et al.](#page-17-8) [2018;](#page-17-8) [Madden et al.](#page-16-39) [2020\)](#page-16-39), low  $Z_g$ , high SFR (based on H $\beta$  and [O III]88 $\mu$ m; e.g., [Arata](#page-15-57) [et al.](#page-15-57)  $2020$ ) and higher ionisation parameter  $U$ . With a compact JWST/NIRCam morphology and high  $M_*$ , this core likely represents a galaxy undergoing a burst of star formation activity.

Core-W is the opposite in almost each way: it has a lower  $M_*$ and amount of molecular gas (i.e., no strong [C II]158 $\mu$ m detection), higher  $Z_g$ , and little ongoing SF (weaker H $\beta$ , no significant peak of [O III]88 $\mu$ m, lower U). As seen in Figure [11,](#page-14-0) this core lies above high $z$  relations, putting it closer to more evolved, lower- $z$  populations. Thus, this core appears to be a galaxy that used its molecular gas in star formation.

Figure [5](#page-8-1) shows that there is a clear velocity gradient between these two galaxies, with a broad, redshifted component between them. Such a velocity gradient could be interpreted as a sign of rotation, but this is unlikely here due to the presence of two resolved galaxies (i.e., Core-E and Core-W) with different properties. Since the line and continuum emission peak in one (or both) of the cores rather than between them (except for the ALMA band 6 continuum, see Section [4.3\)](#page-12-0), it is unlikely that this represents a single rotating galaxy, even accounting for the effects of dust obscuration.

Instead, it is likely that these cores represent merging galaxies that have undergone multiple close interactions in the past. Such interactions would strip gas off of each galaxy (explaining the presence of the clumps and arcs), and could boost or lower star formation in each source (e.g., [Di Matteo et al.](#page-15-58) [2008;](#page-15-58) [Horstman et al.](#page-16-104) [2021;](#page-16-104) [Ellison](#page-15-59) [et al.](#page-15-59) [2022\)](#page-15-59). The redshifted, broad line emission between the clumps could then represent stripped gas from a previous interaction.

This behaviour has been observed in other systems, such as CR7 [\(Marconcini et al.](#page-16-34) [2024a\)](#page-16-34): a  $z = 6.6$  field composed of three primary components, an extended  $Ly\alpha$  halo, and multiple minor components. A SED fit yielded SF histories (SFHs) for each component, revealing evidence for a past merger-induced starburst. SED fitting of B14-65666 by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) suggest that the SFH of this field is dominated by a starburst  $\sim 10$  Myr prior to  $z = 7.1520$ . Additional observations with JWST/MIRI (e.g.to observe H $\alpha$ ) or JWST/NIRSpec (e.g., to observe  $Ly\alpha$  and enable further SED modelling) could be useful for characterising this field.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**5 CONCLUSIONS**

In this work, we have presented new JWST/NIRSpec IFU observations of the  $z = 7.1520$  galaxy B14-65666 as part of the GA-NIFS survey. Through combination with archival data from JWST/NIRCam and ALMA, we are able to explore the morpho-kinematics and ISM conditions on a spectral and spatial basis.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

**Figure 11.** Gas-phase metallicities of  $z > 5$  galaxies observed with the JWST/NIRSpec IFU as a function of stellar mass [\(Übler et al.](#page-16-105) [2023,](#page-16-105) [2024a;](#page-16-35) [Arribas](#page-15-15) [et al.](#page-15-15) [2024;](#page-15-15) [Fujimoto et al.](#page-15-14) [2024;](#page-15-14) [Ji et al.](#page-16-106) [2024;](#page-16-106) [Marconcini et al.](#page-16-34) [2024a,](#page-16-34)[b;](#page-16-33) [Messa et al.](#page-16-28) [2024;](#page-16-28) [Scholtz et al.](#page-16-25) [2024;](#page-16-25) [Venturi et al.](#page-16-29) [2024\)](#page-16-29). Each point is coloured by redshift. Values that represent entire fields rather than regions are shown as diamonds. We also show previously determined MZR fits from local studies [\(Andrews & Martini](#page-15-60) [2013\)](#page-15-60) and high-redshift studies [\(Heintz et al.](#page-15-54) [2023;](#page-15-54) [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [2023;](#page-16-1) [Curti et al.](#page-15-41) [2024\)](#page-15-41). The total value for B14-65666 is shown by a red-outlined diamond, while the values of Core-E and Core-W are shown by ''E' and 'W', respectively.

We confirm that this object is composed of multiple discrete regions: two bright cores ('Core-E' and 'Core-W'), as well as two small clumps ('Clump-N' and 'Clump-W') and an extended arc ('Arc-S'). Spectra extracted from each region reveal significant emission of [OII] $\lambda\lambda$ 3726,3729, [NeIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 3869,3968, [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007, several Balmer lines (H $\beta$ , H $\gamma$ , H $\delta$ , H $\epsilon$ , H $\zeta$ ), and weak [OIII] $\lambda$ 4363. By using PYNEB to calculate line ratios for given electron conditions ( $T_e$ ) and  $n_e$ ), we are able to account for blended lines and the relatively low spectral resolution of the data ( $R \sim 1000$ ). By combining these data with previous ALMA [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [O III]88 $\mu$ m data, we are able to characterise the field with unprecedented detail.

Using strong line diagnostics [\(Curti et al.](#page-15-34) [2020\)](#page-15-34), we constrain the gas-phase metallicity of each region to be  $\sim 0.2 - 0.4 Z_{\odot}$ . The line ratios of each region lie within the scatter of other  $z \sim 5.5 - 9.5$ galaxies observed with JWST/NIRSpec [\(Cameron et al.](#page-15-47) [2023;](#page-15-47) [Tang](#page-16-77) [et al.](#page-16-77) [2023\)](#page-16-77), but with low O32 and Ne3O2 (i.e., low  $U$ ) and high R23 and R2 (i.e., higher  $Z_g$ ). Since these properties are more similar to the high-ionisation tail of the distribution of local galaxies observed in SDSS [\(Kauffmann et al.](#page-16-75) [2003;](#page-16-75) [Brinchmann et al.](#page-15-46) [2004\)](#page-15-46), we propose that B14-65666 represents a system of evolved galaxies in the early Universe.

Next, we maximise the potential of our three-dimensional dataset by performing spaxel-by-spaxel fits. This reveals that the emission of each JWST/NIRSpec-detected line is concentrated in the two cores, while the ALMA-detected lines are more focused in Core-E. By fitting the rest-UV and rest-optical lines with a broad and narrow component, we find a region of redshifted, broad emission between the two cores. This likely represents a tidal interaction between the two cores (or possibly an outflow). On the other hand, the narrow emission shows a clear east-west red-blue velocity gradient, which is also seen in [C II]158 $\mu$ m and [O III]88 $\mu$ m. Based on H $\beta$ , we find that the star formation is evenly distributed between the narrow and broad components.

The ALMA and JWST data are combined to test optical-FIR line diagnostics, which suggest that B14-65666 may feature regions of high Lyman leakage (although this is found to be dependent on relative astrometry) and may have a high  $n_e$ . The differences in ALMAand JWST-traced morpho-kinematics are explored, and we suggest a few scenarios for mismatches. A comparison of the continuum and line maps suggests that B14-65666 may have a complex dust distribution.

The  $M_*$  of B14-65666 (as derived by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41) is combined with our precise estimate of  $Z_g$  to examine if this source lies on the high-redshift MZR. By comparing these values with other JWST/NIRSpec IFU-derived values and best-fit trends of representative galaxies, we find that B14-65666 lies on the high-mass edge of the MZR. This suggests the lack of a large molecular reservoir or gas expulsion via feedback.

By combining multiple tracers (e.g.,  $Z_g$ ,  $SFR_{\text{H}}/g$ , line morphokinematics), we determine that the two cores feature drastically different properties. Core-W is a less massive, lower-metallicity galaxy that appears to have already used up its molecular gas reservoir in star formation (based on no significant  $[CH]158\mu m$  peak). Core-E features a higher  $M_*$  and  $SFR$ , as well as a higher [C II]158 $\mu$ m luminosity, suggesting that this massive system is still evolving. When combined with the kinematics we have observed, the two cores of B14-65666 likely represent a massive merger of two disparate galaxies, which have created tidal features through their past interactions. Through their high  $Z_g$  low U (relative to other high-redshift sources), B14-65666 is a massive, merging system in the early Universe.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

GCJ, AJB, and JC acknowledge funding from the "FirstGalaxies" Advanced Grant from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 789056). GCJ and JS acknowledge support by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), by the ERC through Advanced Grant 695671 "QUENCH", and by the UKRI Frontier Research grant "RISEandFALL." RB acknowledges support from an STFC Ernest Rutherford Fellowship (ST/T003596/1). SA, MP, and BRdP acknowledge support from Grant PID2021-127718NB-I00 funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation/State Agency of Research (MICIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033). SCa and GV acknowledge support from the European Union (ERC, WINGS, 101040227). HÜ gratefully acknowledges support by the Isaac Newton Trust, the Kavli Foundation through a Newton-Kavli Junior Fellowship, and support through the ERC Starting Grant 101164796 "APEX". GC acknowledges the support of the INAF Large Grant 2022 "The metal circle: a new sharp view of the baryon cycle up to Cosmic Dawn with the latest generation IFU facilities". This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2015.1.00540.S, ADS/JAO.ALMA#2016.1.00954.S,

ADS/JAO.ALMA#2017.1.00190.S,

ADS/JAO.ALMA#2018.1.01673.S,

ADS/JAO.ALMA#2019.1.01491.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY**

The JWST/NIRSpec data used in this research has been obtained within the NIRSpec-IFU GTO programme GA-NIFS (PID 1217) and are publicly available on the MAST archive, along with all analysed JWST/NIRCam data. Data presented in this work will be shared upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-15-60"></span>Andrews B. H., Martini P., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/765/2/140) [765, 140](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...765..140A)
- <span id="page-15-57"></span>Arata S., Yajima H., Nagamine K., Abe M., Khochfar S., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2809) [498, 5541](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.498.5541A)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Aravena M., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347281) [682, A24](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...682A..24A)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Arribas S., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348824) [688, A146](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...688A.146A)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Bañados E., et al., 2018, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature25180) [553, 473](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018Natur.553..473B)
- <span id="page-15-30"></span>Baker J. G., Menzel D. H., 1938, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/143959) [88, 52](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1938ApJ....88...52B)
- <span id="page-15-45"></span>Baldwin J. A., Phillips M. M., Terlevich R., 1981, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/130766) [93, 5](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1981PASP...93....5B)
- <span id="page-15-37"></span>Béthermin M., Doré O., Lagache G., 2012, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201118607) [537, L5](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012A&A...537L...5B)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Böker T., et al., 2022, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142589) [661, A82](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...661A..82B)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Bowler R. A. A., et al., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21904.x) [426, 2772](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.426.2772B)
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>Bowler R. A. A., et al., 2014, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu449) [440, 2810](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014MNRAS.440.2810B)
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>Bowler R. A. A., Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., McLeod D. J., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3296) [466, 3612](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.466.3612B)
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Bowler R. A. A., Bourne N., Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., McLeod D. J., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2368) [481, 1631](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.481.1631B)
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Bowler R. A. A., Cullen F., McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., Avison A., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab3744) [510, 5088](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.510.5088B)
- <span id="page-15-43"></span>Boyett K., et al., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae2430) [535, 1796](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.535.1796B)
- <span id="page-15-49"></span>Bradley L., et al., 2023, astropy/photutils: 1.7.0, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.7804137](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7804137)
- <span id="page-15-46"></span>Brinchmann J., Charlot S., White S. D. M., Tremonti C., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., Brinkmann J., 2004, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07881.x) [351, 1151](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004MNRAS.351.1151B)
- <span id="page-15-51"></span>Calistro Rivera G., et al., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacffa) [863, 56](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...863...56C)
- <span id="page-15-33"></span>Calzetti D., Armus L., Bohlin R. C., Kinney A. L., Koornneef J., Storchi-Bergmann T., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/308692) [533, 682](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...533..682C)
- <span id="page-15-47"></span>Cameron A. J., et al., 2023, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346107) [677, A115](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...677A.115C)
- <span id="page-15-39"></span>Caputi K. I., et al., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa901e) [849, 45](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...849...45C)
- <span id="page-15-55"></span>Carnall A. C., McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., Davé R., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2169) [480,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.480.4379C) [4379](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.480.4379C)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Carniani S., et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1088) [478, 1170](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.478.1170C)
- <span id="page-15-50"></span>Carniani S., et al., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2410) [489, 3939](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.489.3939C)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Carniani S., et al., 2024a, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2409.20533) [p. arXiv:2409.20533](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240920533C)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Carniani S., et al., 2024b, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07860-9) [633, 318](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024Natur.633..318C)
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Chabrier G., 2003, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/376392) [115, 763](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003PASP..115..763C)
- <span id="page-15-62"></span>Chen Z., Stark D. P., Mason C., Topping M. W., Whitler L., Tang M., Endsley R., Charlot S., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae455) [528, 7052](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.528.7052C)
- <span id="page-15-56"></span>Chevallard J., Charlot S., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1756) [462, 1415](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016MNRAS.462.1415C)
- <span id="page-15-48"></span>Chisholm J., et al., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2874) [517, 5104](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.517.5104C)
- <span id="page-15-32"></span>Chisholm J., et al., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae2199) [534, 2633](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.534.2633C)
- <span id="page-15-27"></span>Claude S., et al., 2008, in Duncan W. D., Holland W. S., Withington S., Zmuidzinas J., eds, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 7020, Millimeter and Submillimeter Detectors and Instrumentation for Astronomy IV. p. 70201B, [doi:10.1117/12.788128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.788128)
- <span id="page-15-31"></span>Comparat J., Kneib J.-P., Bacon R., Mostek N. J., Newman J. A., Schlegel D. J., Yèche C., 2013, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322452) [559, A18](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...559A..18C)
- <span id="page-15-34"></span>Curti M., Mannucci F., Cresci G., Maiolino R., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2910) [491, 944](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.491..944C)
- <span id="page-15-61"></span>Curti M., et al., 2023, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2737) [518, 425](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.518..425C)
- <span id="page-15-41"></span>Curti M., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346698) [684, A75](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...684A..75C)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Curtis-Lake E., et al., 2023, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-023-01918-w) [7, 622](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023NatAs...7..622C)
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>D'Eugenio F., et al., 2024a, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2404.06531) [p. arXiv:2404.06531](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240406531D)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>D'Eugenio F., et al., 2024b, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-024-02345-1) [8, 1443](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024NatAs...8.1443D)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Decarli R., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449239) [689, A219](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...689A.219D)
- <span id="page-15-58"></span>Di Matteo P., Bournaud F., Martig M., Combes F., Melchior A. L., Semelin B., 2008, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809480) [492, 31](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008A&A...492...31D)
- <span id="page-15-44"></span>Díaz A. I., Castellanos M., Terlevich E., Luisa García-Vargas M., 2000, [MN-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03737.x)[RAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03737.x) [318, 462](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000MNRAS.318..462D)
- <span id="page-15-52"></span>Dong C., et al., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab02fe) [873, 50](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...873...50D)
- <span id="page-15-38"></span>Driver S. P., Robotham A. S. G., Bland-Hawthorn J., Brown M., Hopkins A., Liske J., Phillipps S., Wilkins S., 2013, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts717) [430, 2622](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.430.2622D)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Duan Q., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.04944) [p. arXiv:2411.04944](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241104944D)
- <span id="page-15-35"></span>Dutton A. A., van den Bosch F. C., Dekel A., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16620.x) [405, 1690](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.405.1690D)
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>Ediss G. A., et al., 2004, in Narayanan G., ed., Fifteenth International Symposium on Space Terahertz Technology. pp 181–188
- <span id="page-15-59"></span>Ellison S. L., et al., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slac109) [517, L92](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.517L..92E)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>Emerson J. P., Sutherland W. J., 2010, in Stepp L. M., Gilmozzi R., Hall H. J., eds, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 7733, Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes III. p. 773306, [doi:10.1117/12.857105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.857105)
- <span id="page-15-53"></span>Erb D. K., Shapley A. E., Pettini M., Steidel C. C., Reddy N. A., Adelberger K. L., 2006, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/503623) [644, 813](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006ApJ...644..813E)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Fan X., et al., 2001, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324111) [122, 2833](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001AJ....122.2833F)
- <span id="page-15-63"></span>Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Verner D. A., Ferguson J. W., Kingdon J. B., Verner E. M., 1998, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/316190) [110, 761](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998PASP..110..761F)
- <span id="page-15-64"></span>Ferland G. J., et al., 2017, [Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1705.10877) [53, 385](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017RMxAA..53..385F)
- <span id="page-15-40"></span>Figueira M., et al., 2022, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141701) [667, A29](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...667A..29F)
- <span id="page-15-42"></span>Flores Velázquez J. A., et al., 2021, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3893) [501, 4812](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021MNRAS.501.4812F)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Fujimoto S., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.18543) [p. arXiv:2402.18543](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240218543F)
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629272) [595, A1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016A&A...595A...1G)
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Gaia Collaboration et al., 2021, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039657) [649, A1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021A&A...649A...1G)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Gardner J. P., et al., 2023, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/acd1b5) [135, 068001](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023PASP..135f8001G)
- <span id="page-15-36"></span>González V., Labbé I., Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G., Franx M., Kriek M., Brammer G. B., 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/713/1/115) [713, 115](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713..115G)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Gruppioni C., et al., 2020, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038487) [643, A8](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020A&A...643A...8G)
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Hashimoto T., et al., 2019, [PASJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pasj/psz049) [71, 71](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PASJ...71...71H)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Hashimoto T., et al., 2023a, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acdd5c) [952, 48](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...952...48H)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Hashimoto T., et al., 2023b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acf57c) [955, L2](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...955L...2H)
- <span id="page-15-54"></span>Heintz K. E., et al., 2023, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-023-02078-7) [7, 1517](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023NatAs...7.1517H)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Herrera-Camus R., et al., 2022, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142562) [665, L8](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...665L...8H)
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>Hodge J. A., Carilli C. L., Walter F., de Blok W. J. G., Riechers D., Daddi E., Lentati L., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/760/1/11) [760, 11](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...760...11H)
- <span id="page-16-104"></span>Horstman K., et al., 2021, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3502) [501, 137](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021MNRAS.501..137H)
- <span id="page-16-58"></span>Hsiao T. Y.-Y., et al., 2024a, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad5da8) [973, 8](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...973....8H)
- <span id="page-16-65"></span>Hsiao T. Y.-Y., et al., 2024b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad6562) [973, 81](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...973...81H)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Hu E. M., Cowie L. L., McMahon R. G., Capak P., Iwamuro F., Kneib J. P., Maihara T., Motohara K., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/340424) [568, L75](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...568L..75H)
- <span id="page-16-52"></span>Hu W., et al., 2024, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad5015) [971, 21](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...971...21H)
- <span id="page-16-108"></span>Isobe Y., Ouchi M., Nakajima K., Harikane Y., Ono Y., Xu Y., Zhang Y., Umeda H., 2023, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acf376) [956, 139](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...956..139I)
- <span id="page-16-89"></span>Izotov Y. I., Worseck G., Schaerer D., Guseva N. G., Thuan T. X., Fricke Verhamme A., Orlitová I., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1378) [478, 4851](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.478.4851I)
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Jakobsen P., et al., 2022, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142663) [661, A80](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...661A..80J)
- <span id="page-16-106"></span>Ji X., et al., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae2375) [535, 881](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.535..881J)
- <span id="page-16-102"></span>Johnson B. D., Leja J., Conroy C., Speagle J. S., 2021, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/abef67) [254, 22](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021ApJS..254...22J)
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>Jones G. C., et al., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8df2) [850, 180](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...850..180J)
- <span id="page-16-90"></span>Jones G. C., Maiolino R., Caselli P., Carniani S., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2689) [498, 4109](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.498.4109J)
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Jones G. C., et al., 2021, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2226) [507, 3540](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021MNRAS.507.3540J)
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>Jones G. C., et al., 2024a, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.12955) [p. arXiv:2405.12955](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240512955J)
- <span id="page-16-32"></span>Jones G. C., et al., 2024b, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347838) [682, A122](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...682A.122J)
- <span id="page-16-85"></span>Katz H., et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2355) [498, 164](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.498..164K)
- <span id="page-16-75"></span>Kauffmann G., et al., 2003, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06291.x) [341, 33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.341...33K)
- <span id="page-16-60"></span>Kennicutt Robert C. J., 1998, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305588) [498, 541](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998ApJ...498..541K)
- <span id="page-16-46"></span>Kerr A. R., et al., 2004, in Narayanan G., ed., Fifteenth International Symposium on Space Terahertz Technology. pp 55–61
- <span id="page-16-45"></span>Kerr A. R., Pan S.-K., Claude S. M. X., Dindo P., Lichtenberger A. W., Effland J. E., Lauria E. F., 2014, [IEEE Transactions on Terahertz Science and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TTHZ.2014.2302537) [Technology,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TTHZ.2014.2302537) [4, 201](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ITTST...4..201K)
- <span id="page-16-79"></span>Kewley L. J., Dopita M. A., 2002, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341326) [142, 35](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJS..142...35K)
- <span id="page-16-56"></span>Kewley L. J., Nicholls D. C., Sutherland R., Rigby J. R., Acharya A., Dopita M. A., Bayliss M. B., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab16ed) [880, 16](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...880...16K)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Khusanova Y., et al., 2021, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038944) [649, A152](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021A&A...649A.152K)
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Kohandel M., Pallottini A., Ferrara A., Zanella A., Behrens C., Carniani S., Gallerani S., Vallini L., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1486) [487, 3007](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.487.3007K)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Kokorev V., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.13640) [p. arXiv:2411.13640](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241113640K)
- <span id="page-16-50"></span>Lamperti I., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451021) [691, A153](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...691A.153L)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Laporte N., Meyer R. A., Ellis R. S., Robertson B. E., Chisholm J., Roberts-Borsani G. W., 2021, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1239) [505, 3336](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021MNRAS.505.3336L)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Lelli F., Di Teodoro E. M., Fraternali F., Man A. W. S., Zhang Z.-Y., De Breuck C., Davis T. A., Maiolino R., 2021, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abc1893) [371, 713](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021Sci...371..713L)
- <span id="page-16-99"></span>Lequeux J., Peimbert M., Rayo J. F., Serrano A., Torres-Peimbert S., 1979, A&A, [80, 155](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1979A&A....80..155L)
- <span id="page-16-83"></span>Levesque E. M., Richardson M. L. A., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/100) [780, 100](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...780..100L)
- <span id="page-16-40"></span>Li Q., Narayanan D., Davé R., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2684) [490, 1425](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.490.1425L)
- <span id="page-16-98"></span>Lin L., et al., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab4815) [884, L33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...884L..33L)
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>Liu W., et al., 2024, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad7de4) [976, 33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...976...33L)
- <span id="page-16-63"></span>Longhetti M., Saracco P., 2009, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14375.x) [394, 774](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009MNRAS.394..774L)
- <span id="page-16-49"></span>Looser T. J., et al., 2024, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07227-0) [629, 53](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024Natur.629...53L)
- <span id="page-16-92"></span>Lower S., Narayanan D., Hu C.-Y., Privon G. C., 2024, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad306c) [965, 123](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...965..123L)
- <span id="page-16-54"></span>Luridiana V., Morisset C., Shaw R. A., 2015, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201323152) [573, A42](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...573A..42L)
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>Lyu J., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, [p. arXiv:2412.04548](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241204548L)
- <span id="page-16-64"></span>Madau P., Dickinson M., 2014, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125615) [52, 415](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ARA&A..52..415M)
- <span id="page-16-39"></span>Madden S. C., et al., 2020, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038860) [643, A141](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020A&A...643A.141M)
- <span id="page-16-47"></span>Mahieu S., et al., 2012, [IEEE Transactions on Terahertz Science and Tech](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TTHZ.2011.2177734)[nology,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TTHZ.2011.2177734) [2, 29](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ITTST...2...29M)
- <span id="page-16-34"></span>Marconcini C., et al., 2024a, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.08627) [p. arXiv:2411.08627](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241108627M)
- <span id="page-16-33"></span>Marconcini C., et al., 2024b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae1971) [533, 2488](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.533.2488M)
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>Marshall M. A., et al., 2023, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346113) [678, A191](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...678A.191M)
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>Marshall M. A., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.11035) [p. arXiv:2410.11035](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241011035M)
- <span id="page-16-76"></span>Mascia S., et al., 2023, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202345866) [672, A155](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...672A.155M)
- <span id="page-16-84"></span>Mazzolari G., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202450407) [691, A345](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...691A.345M)
- <span id="page-16-36"></span>McCracken H. J., et al., 2012, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219507) [544, A156](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012A&A...544A.156M)
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>Messa M., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.20331) [p. arXiv:2407.20331](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240720331M)
- <span id="page-16-70"></span>Nagao T., Maiolino R., Marconi A., 2006, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065216) [459, 85](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006A&A...459...85N)
- <span id="page-16-103"></span>Nakajima K., et al., 2022, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ac7710) [262, 3](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJS..262....3N)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Nakajima K., Ouchi M., Isobe Y., Harikane Y., Zhang Y., Ono Y., Umeda H., Oguri M., 2023, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acd556) [269, 33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJS..269...33N)
- <span id="page-16-87"></span>Narayanan D., Krumholz M. R., Ostriker E. C., Hernquist L., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20536.x) [421, 3127](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.421.3127N)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Neeleman M., Prochaska J. X., Kanekar N., Rafelski M., 2020, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2276-y) [581,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020Natur.581..269N) [269](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020Natur.581..269N)
- <span id="page-16-57"></span>Newville M., Stensitzki T., Allen D. B., Ingargiola A., 2014, LMFIT: Non-Linear Least-Square Minimization and Curve-Fitting for Python, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.11813](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11813)
- <span id="page-16-97"></span>Noeske K. G., et al., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/517926) [660, L43](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...660L..43N)
- <span id="page-16-93"></span>Pallottini A., Ferrara A., Gallerani S., Vallini L., Maiolino R., Salvadori S., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2847) [465, 2540](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.465.2540P)
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Pallottini A., et al., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1281) [513, 5621](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.513.5621P)
- <span id="page-16-62"></span>Panter B., Jimenez R., Heavens A. F., Charlot S., 2007, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11909.x) [378, 1550](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007MNRAS.378.1550P)
- <span id="page-16-71"></span>Papovich C., et al., 2022, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac8058) [937, 22](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...937...22P)
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>Parlanti E., et al., 2024a, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.19008) [p. arXiv:2407.19008](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240719008P)
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>Parlanti E., et al., 2024b, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347914) [684, A24](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...684A..24P)
- <span id="page-16-82"></span>Penston M. V., et al., 1990, A&A, [236, 53](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1990A&A...236...53P)
- <span id="page-16-42"></span>Perna M., et al., 2023, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346649) [679, A89](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...679A..89P)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Ragone-Figueroa C., Granato G. L., Parente M., Murante G., Valentini M., Borgani S., Maio U., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451344) [691, A200](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...691A.200R)
- <span id="page-16-66"></span>Reddy N. A., et al., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaed1e) [869, 92](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...869...92R)
- <span id="page-16-67"></span>Reddy N. A., et al., 2022, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac3b4c) [926, 31](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...926...31R)
- <span id="page-16-72"></span>Reddy N. A., Topping M. W., Sanders R. L., Shapley A. E., Brammer G., 2023, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acd754) [952, 167](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...952..167R)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Riechers D. A., et al., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aafc27) [872, 7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...872....7R)
- <span id="page-16-43"></span>Rigby J., et al., 2023, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/acb293) [135, 048001](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023PASP..135d8001R)
- <span id="page-16-78"></span>Roberts-Borsani G., et al., 2024, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad85d3) [976, 193](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...976..193R)
- <span id="page-16-51"></span>Rodríguez Del Pino B., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348057) [684, A187](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...684A.187R)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Rowland L. E., et al., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae2217) [535, 2068](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.535.2068R)
- <span id="page-16-61"></span>Salpeter E. E., 1955, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/145971) [121, 161](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1955ApJ...121..161S)
- <span id="page-16-107"></span>Sanders R. L., et al., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/816/1/23) [816, 23](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...816...23S)
- <span id="page-16-101"></span>Sanders R. L., et al., 2021, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abf4c1) [914, 19](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021ApJ...914...19S)
- <span id="page-16-68"></span>Saxena A., et al., 2023, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346245) [678, A68](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...678A..68S)
- <span id="page-16-55"></span>Scarlata C., Hayes M., Panagia N., Mehta V., Haardt F., Bagley M., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2404.09015) [p. arXiv:2404.09015](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240409015S)
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>Scholtz J., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.07695) [p. arXiv:2411.07695](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241107695S)
- <span id="page-16-37"></span>Scoville N., et al., 2007, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/516585) [172, 1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJS..172....1S)
- <span id="page-16-48"></span>Sekimoto Y., Iizuko Y., Satou N., Ito T., Kumagai K., Kamikura M., Naruse M., Shan W. L., 2008, in Wild W., ed., Ninteenth International Symposium on Space Terahertz Technology. pp 253–257
- <span id="page-16-80"></span>Shirazi M., Brinchmann J., Rahmati A., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/787/2/120) [787, 120](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...787..120S)
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>Smit R., et al., 2018, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature24631) [553, 178](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018Natur.553..178S)
- <span id="page-16-53"></span>Solimano M., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.13020) [p. arXiv:2407.13020](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240713020S)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Stanway E. R., Bunker A. J., McMahon R. G., 2003, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06546.x) [342, 439](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.342..439S)
- <span id="page-16-81"></span>Steidel C. C., Strom A. L., Pettini M., Rudie G. C., Reddy N. A., Trainor R. F., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/826/2/159) [826, 159](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...826..159S)

<span id="page-16-91"></span>Tsukui T., Wisnioski E., Krumholz M. R., Battisti A., 2023, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1464) [523,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.523.4654T)

- <span id="page-16-88"></span>Stiavelli M., et al., 2023, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad0159) [957, L18](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...957L..18S)
- <span id="page-16-38"></span>Sugahara Y., et al., 2021, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac2a36) [923, 5](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021ApJ...923....5S)
- <span id="page-16-41"></span>Sugahara Y., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.17133) [p. arXiv:2403.17133](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240317133S)
- <span id="page-16-69"></span>Tacchella S., et al., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac818) [513, 2904](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.513.2904T)
- <span id="page-16-95"></span>Tamura Y., et al., 2023, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acd637) [952, 9](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...952....9T)

[4654](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.523.4654T)

- <span id="page-16-77"></span>Tang M., et al., 2023, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2763) [526, 1657](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.526.1657T)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Taniguchi Y., et al., 2005, [PASJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pasj/57.1.165) [57, 165](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005PASJ...57..165T)
- <span id="page-16-73"></span>Telikova K., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.09033) [p. arXiv:2411.09033](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241109033T)

<span id="page-16-44"></span><span id="page-16-20"></span>Ulivi L., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.08505) [p. arXiv:2407.08505](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240708505U)

<span id="page-16-94"></span>Veilleux S., Cecil G., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2005, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150610) [43, 769](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ARA&A..43..769V)

MNRAS **000**, [1](#page-0-0)[–22](#page-18-0) (2024)

<span id="page-16-74"></span>Veilleux S., Osterbrock D. E., 1987, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/191166) [63, 295](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1987ApJS...63..295V)

- <span id="page-16-59"></span>Torralba-Torregrosa A., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202450318) [689, A44](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...689A..44T)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Traina A., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347048) [681, A118](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...681A.118T)
- <span id="page-16-100"></span>Tremonti C. A., et al., 2004, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/423264) [613, 898](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...613..898T)

<span id="page-16-105"></span><span id="page-16-96"></span><span id="page-16-35"></span>Tully R. B., Fisher J. R., 1977, A&A, [54, 661](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1977A&A....54..661T) Übler H., et al., 2023, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346137) [677, A145](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...677A.145U) Übler H., et al., 2024a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae943) [531, 355](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.531..355U) Übler H., et al., 2024b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae1993) [533, 4287](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.533.4287U)

<span id="page-16-86"></span>Ura R., et al., 2023, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acc530) [948, 3](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...948....3U)

<span id="page-16-29"></span>Venturi G., et al., 2024, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449855) [691, A19](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...691A..19V)

<span id="page-17-7"></span>Vizgan D., Heintz K. E., Greve T. R., Narayanan D., Davé R., Olsen K. P., Popping G., Watson D., 2022, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac982c) [939, L1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...939L...1V)

<span id="page-17-4"></span>Witstok J., Smit R., Maiolino R., Curti M., Laporte N., Massey R., Richard J., Swinbank M., 2021, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2591) [508, 1686](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2021MNRAS.508.1686W)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Witstok J., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.16608) [p. arXiv:2408.16608](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240816608W)

<span id="page-17-6"></span>Witten C., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2407.07937) [p. arXiv:2407.07937](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv240707937W)

<span id="page-17-5"></span>Zamora S., et al., 2024, [arXiv e-prints,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.02751) [p. arXiv:2412.02751](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024arXiv241202751Z)

<span id="page-17-8"></span>Zanella A., et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2394) [481, 1976](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.481.1976Z)

<span id="page-17-9"></span>Zhang J., et al., 2023, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2066) [524, 4128](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.524.4128Z)

### <span id="page-17-1"></span>**APPENDIX A: NIRCAM-NIRSPEC FLUX COMPARISON**

In Section [2,](#page-1-2) we corrected the astrometry of our NIRSpec IFU data by convolving our cube with NIRCam spectral filters and comparing the resulting images with the observed NIRCam images. We may further exploit this synergy by comparing the extracted fluxes of each image.

The only hindrance is the use of different flux units: erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup> for the NIRSpec images, and MJy sr<sup>-1</sup> for the NIRCam images. To enable a common comparison, we take our NIRSpec data cube and convert each value in the cube to have units of MJy  $sr^{-1}$ :

$$
\frac{F_{\nu,i}(x,y)}{[MJy\,sr^{-1}]} \quad = \frac{10^{27} \lambda^2 180^2}{c\pi^2 A_{px}} \frac{F_{\lambda,i}(x,y)}{[erg\,s^{-1}\,cm^{-2}\,\text{\AA}^{-1}]}
$$
(A1)

where *i* represents a spectral bin,  $\lambda$  is the observed-frame wavelength [m], c is the speed of light [m s<sup>-1</sup>], and  $A_{px}$  is the area of each spaxel [square degrees]. This converted cube is then convolved with the transmission curve of each NIRCam filter (which includes all system throughputs) $13$  to create a map that is comparable to the NIRCam image:

$$
F_{\nu,Conv}(x,y)[MJy\,sr^{-1}] = \frac{\sum_{i} F_{\nu,i}(x,y)f(\nu_{i})\Delta\nu_{i}}{\sum_{i} f(\nu_{i})\Delta\nu_{i}} \tag{A2}
$$

where  $f(v_i)$  is the filter transmission curve, interpolated to the same spectral bins as the data.

This procedure is used to create F356W and F444W images from the NIRSpec data cube. Extracting the flux from the 'Full' aperture of Figure [2,](#page-4-0) we find that the F444W images only differ by  $\sim 1\%$  in great agreement, while the F356W images are more discrepant ( $\sim 10\%$ deviation). A closer look reveals that the NIRSpec F356W image features significant noise artefacts (i.e., stripes of enhanced signal along central detector rows) that inflate the extracted flux. Future reductions with stronger artefact removal may reduce this difference. For now, we find no significant systemic difference between the NIRSpec and NIRCam fluxes.

#### <span id="page-17-2"></span>**APPENDIX B: JWST/NIRCAM ASTROMETRY**

In Section [2.1,](#page-1-3) we use archival JWST/NIRCam images to align our JWST/NIRSpec data cubes (see Figure [1\)](#page-2-2). However, it is worthwhile to discuss the absolute reliability of this alignment. [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) analysed these JWST/NIRCam images in depth, noting that while they required an astrometric correction to align with three stars in the *Gaia* DR3 catalogue [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-15-25) [2016,](#page-15-25) [2021\)](#page-15-26), the resulting corrected images had very low astrometric uncertainties  $(< 0.015''$ ). Here, we search for emission at the location of the three <span id="page-17-11"></span>**Table B1.** Positions of the three objects in the *Gaia* archive in the field of view of the JWST/NIRCam images studied here.



*Gaia* star locations (Table [B1\)](#page-17-11) in each JWST/NIRCam image (see Section [2.2\)](#page-2-1).

As seen in Figure [B1,](#page-18-1) the JWST/NIRCam images have excellent alignment to each other and feature significant emission near the locations of all three *Gaia* stars. Objects #1 and #2 are well aligned, with offsets from the *Gaia* frame of <  $0.1''$  in all JWST/NIRCam images. On the other hand, it appears that object #3 features a larger offset (∼ 0.2 ′′). The origin of this larger offset is not apparent (e.g., calibration error), but the fact that we do not see a systematic offset between the *Gaia* DR3 positions and JWST/NIRCam emission suggests that our absolute astrometry is trustworthy to within 0.2 ′′ .

## **APPENDIX C: BEST-FIT INTEGRATED SPECTRA**

In Section [3.1,](#page-3-1) we extracted spectra from each region and fit them with combined line and continuum models. Here, we present the extracted spectra, best fit models (including the narrow and broad components), and residuals for each spectrum (Figures [C1](#page-19-0) and [C1\)](#page-20-0). See Section [3.1.1](#page-3-5) for full description of our modelling procedure.

## **APPENDIX D: NARROW AND BROAD MAPS**

In the main text (Section [3.2\)](#page-6-0), we characterised the B14-65666 field on a resolved basis by extracting the spectrum from each spaxel and fitting it with a line and continuum model (see Section [3.1.1\)](#page-3-5). This model contains contributions from both a broad and narrow component, but we only show the total fits in Section [3.2.](#page-6-0) Here, we show the best-fit integrated intensities of each component, as well as the line diagnostics (Figure [D1\)](#page-21-0)

## <span id="page-17-3"></span>**APPENDIX E: LINE RATIO DETERMINATION**

Throughout this work, we use line ratios derived using pyneb. This allows us to self-consistently fit and analyse line emission using a single framework. The details of these assumptions are given here.

#### **E1 Dust-free line ratios**

One vital pair of ISM properties are the electron density and temperature. The electron density in galaxies has been derived for  $z \sim 2.3$ galaxies ( $n_e$  = 250 cm<sup>-3</sup>; e.g. [Sanders et al.](#page-16-107) [2016\)](#page-16-107), as well as for  $z > 6$  galaxies using JWST data ( $n_e = 100 - 500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ; e.g. [Curti et al.](#page-15-61) [2023;](#page-15-61) [Nakajima et al.](#page-16-1) [2023;](#page-16-1) [Chen et al.](#page-15-62) [2024;](#page-15-62) [Hu et al.](#page-16-52) [2024;](#page-16-52) [Torralba-Torregrosa et al.](#page-16-59) [2024\)](#page-16-59). More generally, [Isobe et al.](#page-16-108) [\(2023\)](#page-16-108) characterise the redshift evolution of  $n_e$ , yielding a range of  $n_e \sim 200 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for a source at  $z \sim 7$ . Below we will explore a range of  $n_e = 100 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (as suggested by [Tang et al.](#page-16-77) [2023\)](#page-16-77).

Similarly, the electron temperature is found to be  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^4$  K for high-redshift sources (e.g., [Hsiao et al.](#page-16-65) [2024b;](#page-16-65) [Hu et al.](#page-16-52) [2024;](#page-16-52) [Torralba-Torregrosa et al.](#page-16-59) [2024\)](#page-16-59). While a broad range of  $T_e$  =  $[0.5 - 20] \times 10^4$  K is explored by [Chen et al.](#page-15-62) [\(2024\)](#page-15-62), we restrict

<span id="page-17-10"></span><sup>13</sup> [https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-camera/](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-camera/nircam-instrumentation/nircam-filters) [nircam-instrumentation/nircam-filters](https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-camera/nircam-instrumentation/nircam-filters)

<span id="page-18-1"></span>

**Figure B1.** Comparison of JWST/NIRCam data with positions of three stars from the *Gaia* DR3 catalogue. Each panel contains a  $2'' \times 2''$  view of a JWST/NIRCam image (see the labels for each row for filter) centred on a given location from the *Gaia* archive (see the labels for each column). The *Gaia* position is shown as a red X and a black circle of radius 0.1 ′′ (corresponding to the JWST pointing uncertainty; [Rigby et al.](#page-16-43) [2023\)](#page-16-43). The PSF is shown as a circle to the lower left.

our exploration to temperatures where pyneb is able to calculate each dust-corrected Balmer ratio ( $T_e = [0.5 - 3.0] \times 10^4$  K).

Previously, [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) assumed  $T_e = 1.2 \times 10^4$  K and  $n_e$  = 200 cm<sup>-3</sup> when interpreting JWST/NIRCam observations of B14-65666. Through a comparison of the observed [OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007/[O III]88 $\mu$ m ratio to results from CLOUDY [\(Fer](#page-15-63)[land et al.](#page-15-63) [1998,](#page-15-63) [2017\)](#page-15-64), they were able to rule out low  $(< 100 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ and high electron densities (>  $10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ). For our exploration, we

<span id="page-18-2"></span>

Line Ratio	$E(B-V)$ 0.0	$E(B-V)$ 0.2
$H\alpha/H\beta$	2.828	3.574
$H\gamma/H\beta$	0.471	0.427
$H \delta / H \beta$	0.261	0.225
$H \epsilon / H \beta$	0.160	0.134
$H\mathcal{L}/H\beta$	0.106	0.087
<i>[ОШ]Л5007/[ОШ]Л4959</i>	2.984	3.008
[OII] 23729/[OII] 23726	1.246	1.246
$log_{10}([OIII]\lambda\lambda4959,5007[OIII]88\mu m)$	0.924	0.566
$log_{10}([OIII]\lambda\lambda4959, 5007/[OIII]\lambda4363)$	2.073	2.123
[NeIII]A3968/[NeIII]A3869	0.301	0.308

**Table E1.** Dust-corrected line ratios found using pyneb using fiducial electron properties ( $n_e = 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T_e = 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ K}$ ) and either  $E(B - V) = 0$ (central column) or  $E(B - V) = 0.2$  (right column). Italicised entries are independent of density and temperature.

mark the locations of these fiducial values of  $n_e = 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T_e = 1.2 \times 10^4$  K in each plot, and the resulting ratio values are listed in Table [E1.](#page-18-2)

First, we explore Balmer line ratios using the PYNEB task *getEmissivity*. The resulting distribution of  $H\gamma/H\beta$  values (first panel of Figure  $E1$ ) shows that this ratio features a much stronger dependence on  $T_e$  than  $n_e$ . The other Balmer ratios show a similar dependence on  $T_e$ , with a < 6% deviations from their fiducial value over our explored parameter space.

Next, we explore the range of multiple oxygen line ratios. The first ratio  $([OIII]\lambda 5007/[OIII]\lambda 4959)$  is fixed by atomic physics and therefore does not vary with  $n_e$  or  $T_e$ . On the other hand,  $[OII]\lambda3726/[OII]\lambda3729$  is strongly dependent on  $n_e$ , ([OIII] $\lambda \lambda$ 4959,5007/[OIII] $\lambda$ 4363) is dependent on  $T_e$ , and ([OIII] $\lambda\lambda$ 4959,5007/[O III]88 $\mu$ m) is dependent on both parameters. Due to the large variation in the latter two ratios (i.e., more than 1 dex), we present the logarithm of each in Figure [E1.](#page-22-0)

Like  $[OIII]\lambda 5007/[OIII]\lambda 4959$ , the ratio [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869/[NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968 is fixed by atomic physics, and thus is not dependent on  $n_e$  or  $T_e$ . Because of this, we present the fiducial value in Table [E1](#page-18-2) but do not show a distribution.

## **E2 Dust reddening**

In addition, line ratios may be affected by dust extinction. In the case of a dust-free environment,  $E(B - V) = 0$ , and the line ratios discussed in the previous subsection would be appropriate. However, even for  $E(B - V) \le 0.5$ , line ratios will differ significantly from their extinction-free values (see Equation [1\)](#page-5-3). To demon-strate this, Figure [E2](#page-22-1) shows the  $T_e - E(B - V)$  grid for H $\gamma$ /H $\beta$ , [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/[OIII] $\lambda$ 4959, and [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968/[NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869.

For each of the Balmer ratios, it is clear that for the range of parameters considered,  $E(B-V)$  has more of an effect on the observed ratio than  $T_e$ . This is also true for the oxygen and neon ratios, which are temperature-independent. While the oxygen and neon ratios only vary a few percent from the fiducial values ( $\leq 3\%$ ), the Balmer ratios have larger variations that increase with transition number (e.g., ~ 15% for H $\gamma$ /H $\beta$  and ~ 30% for H $\zeta$ /H $\beta$ ).

### <span id="page-18-0"></span>**E3 Line ratio interpretation**

It is clear that some line ratios are strong tracers of ISM conditions. A high [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007/[OIII] $\lambda$ 4959 or [NeIII] $\lambda$ 3968/[NeIII] $\lambda$ 3869 ratio

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

Figure C1. Spectra extracted from our JWST/NIRSpec IFU data cube using the apertures shown in Figure [2.](#page-4-0) For each region, we zoom in around each emission line and present the observed spectrum, the best-fit model, and its narrow and broad components. The residual is included in the lower panel. The redshifted wavelengths of each fit line (using the best-fit redshift of the narrow component) are marked with vertical dashed lines.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

**Figure C1.** (Cont.)

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

Figure D1. Integrated fluxes of emission lines (top panels) and line diagnostics (bottom panels), as derived through spaxel-by-spaxel fit. Each panel displays a field of view of  $2'' \times 2''$  centred on 10h01m40.68s +1°54′52.39". A physical scale bar of 2 kpc scale bar is included to the lower right in each panel. For each, we show the PSF as a red ellipse to the lower left. North is up and east is to the left.

implies strong dust reddening, while in the absence of reddening a low  $[OII]\lambda$ 3729/ $[OII]\lambda$ 3726 ratio will suggest a high electron density, and a high Balmer ratio (e.g.,  $H\gamma/H\beta$ ) traces a high electron temperature. But there is some ambiguity between  $n_e$ ,  $T_e$ , and  $E(B-V)$ , as shown by the curved lines of constant ratio in Figures [E1](#page-22-0) and [E2.](#page-22-1)

While [OIII]λ5007/[OIII]λ4959 and [NeIII]λ3968/[NeIII]λ3869 appear to be good tracers of  $E(B - V)$ , their small wavelength separations result in small changes in the observed ratio (e.g.,  $\leq 1\%$ ) for  $E(B - V) \le 0.5$ ) which would require high-S/N observations to constrain. In addition, we have assumed case B recombination here, while case A recombination would result in altered Balmer ratios (e.g., [Scarlata et al.](#page-16-55) [2024\)](#page-16-55). We fit each observed profile in this work with two components (i.e., narrow and broad), adding an additional complication to ratio interpretation.

Because of these features, our model returned poorly constrained results when  $E(B - V)$  was allowed to vary. This was investigated further by repeating the fits, but fixing  $E(B-V)$  to a range of values  $(i.e., 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1.0)$  and comparing the resulting goodness of

fit (i.e.,  $\chi^2$ ). The 'Full', 'Core-E', and 'Arc-S' spectra were best fit with  $E(B - V) = 0.0$ , while 'Core-W' was best fit with  $E(B - V) =$ 0.2. The two weaker clumps ('Clump-W' and 'Clump-N') were best fit with higher colour excesses (0.6 and 0.4, respectively), but this may be simply due to low-amplitude lines. This simple test suggests that the attenuation of the ionised gas is low in the cores (i.e.,  $\leq 0.2$ ), and may be stronger in Core-W than in Core-E (i.e., the inverse of the relationship found for stellar continuum attenuation by [Sugahara](#page-16-41) [et al.](#page-16-41) [2024\)](#page-16-41).

With these notes in mind, we make several assumptions for our fitting procedure. First, since we lack any strong tracers of  $n_e$  (i.e., the spectral resolution to resolve the  $[OII]\lambda\lambda$ 3726,3729 doublet), we fix  $n_e$  to the fiducial value of 200 cm<sup>-3</sup> used by [Sugahara et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41). Next, we conservatively fix  $E(B-V)$  to the value found by [Sugahara](#page-16-41) [et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2024\)](#page-16-41) for the full B14-65666 system (0.2). Finally, we assume that for each component, each line may be characterised by the same ISM conditions ( $n_e$ ,  $T_e$ , and  $E(B - V)$ ), and include  $T_e$  as a variable in each fit.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

**Figure E1.** Demonstration of how line ratios vary with respect to  $T_e$  and  $n_e$ , with  $E(B - V) = 0.0$ . The fiducial values ( $n_e = 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T_e = 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ K}$ ) are marked with dashed black lines, while the resulting ratio is marked in the colourbar with a white line. White lines in each panel show ISM conditions that produce the same ratio as the fiducial values.

<span id="page-22-1"></span>

**Figure E2.** Demonstration of how line ratios vary with respect to  $T_e$  and  $E(B - V)$ , with a constant  $n_e = 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The fiducial values ( $T_e = 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ K}$  and  $E(B-V) = 0.2$ ) are marked with dashed black lines, while the resulting ratio is marked in the colourbar with a white line. White lines in each panel show ISM conditions that produce the same ratio as the fiducial values.