

A Two-Dimensional Map of Arbitrary Period*

Aakash Khandelwal[†] and Ranjan Mukherjee[†]

Abstract.

We introduce a two-dimensional discrete-time dynamical system which represents the evolution of an angle and angular velocity. While the angle evolves by a fixed amount in every step, the evolution of the angular velocity is governed by a nonlinear map. We study the periodicity and stability of solutions to the system for a range of parameter values and initial conditions. The coupled system is shown to be periodic for certain parameter choices and initial conditions. In the limit, when the change in the angle tends to zero, the map is equivalent to the dynamics of a simple pendulum. Based on the integral of motion of the pendulum, an approximate invariant for the system is obtained. Simulations showing the behavior of the system for different parameter values and initial conditions are presented.

Key words. Discrete-time, Dynamical systems, Periodic orbits

MSC codes. 37C25, 37M05, 39A11

1. Introduction. We consider the following two-dimensional discrete-time autonomous dynamical system in the states $\theta \in S^1$, $S^1 = R \bmod 2\pi$, and $\omega \in R^+$, which represent an angle and angular velocity respectively:

$$(1.1) \quad \theta_{k+1} = \theta_k + \Delta\theta^*$$

$$(1.2) \quad \omega_{k+1} - \omega_k = P \sin \theta_k \left[\frac{1}{\omega_k} + \frac{1}{\omega_{k+1}} \right]$$

where $\Delta\theta^* \in (0, \pi)$, and the parameter P is given by

$$(1.3) \quad P \triangleq \pm \frac{g\Delta\theta^{*2}}{2\ell \sin(\Delta\theta^*)}$$

with g denoting the acceleration due to gravity and ℓ denoting some length. It can be verified that P has the unit of s^{-2} and this makes (1.2) dimensionally consistent. The map (1.1) is the linear, unperturbed circle map [4] and its behavior is well understood, but the map (1.2) is nonlinear. To our knowledge, the coupled system in (1.1) and (1.2) has not appeared in the literature. We are interested in the periodicity and stability of solutions to the system, and explore the existence of invariants along solutions to the system.

The existence of periodic solutions for several classes of difference equations has been reviewed in [3]. Conditions for the existence of periodic solutions to some classes of second-order dynamical systems are considered in [7, 8], and the periodic character and solutions of rational difference equations has been studied in [10, 2, 1, 14, 15, 16]. Invariants for difference

*Submitted to the editors DATE.

Funding: NSF Grant CMMI-2043464

[†]Department of Mechanical Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI (khande10@egr.msu.edu, mukherji@egr.msu.edu).

equations are studied in [12, 9]. None of these results directly apply to the system under consideration owing to the structure of (1.2).

Two dimensional maps such as the Hénon map [5, 6] are known to exhibit fixed points, stable periodic orbits, and strange attractors based on parameter choices. However, the resulting solution is not immediately obvious from the parameter choices. Since (1.1) may be periodic with a known period depending on the choice of $\Delta\theta^*$, we study the existence of periodic solutions to the coupled system. It is proved that, when $\Delta\theta^*$ is an integer submultiple of 2π , the system permits periodic orbits for specific initial values of θ and a large range of initial values of ω . There are infinitely many such orbits, each of which is stable but not attractive. For different initial values of θ , simulations show that periodicity is lost.

In the limit, when $\Delta\theta^*$ is infinitesimally small, our discrete-time dynamical system is equivalent to a continuous time system with the dynamics of a simple pendulum. Motivated by the existence of an integral of motion for the simple pendulum, we propose a quantity that is approximately invariant along solutions of the dynamical system in (1.1) and (1.2), and is equivalent to the integral of motion for the pendulum in the limiting case. The approximately invariant quantity allows ω to be expressed as an explicit periodic function of θ , which is not possible from (1.2). For sufficiently large ω , this periodic function closely approximates the behavior of the original dynamical system. Extensive simulation results show the periodic and aperiodic motion of the system for multiple choices of $\Delta\theta^*$ and initial conditions.

2. Analysis of the Dynamical System. The map (1.1) represents a rational rotation if $\Delta\theta^* = (p/q)2\pi$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, (1.1) is periodic with period q since

$$(2.1) \quad \theta_{k+q} = \theta_k + 2\pi p = \theta_k$$

and the state θ returns to its original value after p revolutions around the circle. In particular, if $p = 1$ and $q = N$, $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/N$ and (1.1) is periodic with period N , where θ returns to its original value after a single revolution. If $\Delta\theta^*$ is an irrational submultiple of 2π , (1.1) represents an irrational rotation and exhibits quasiperiodic motion [4, 17]. It should be noted that we study the dynamical system subject to the condition $\Delta\theta^* \in (0, \pi)$.

The map (1.2) can be expressed as a quadratic equation in the variable ω_{k+1} :

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_k \omega_{k+1}^2 - (\omega_k^2 + P \sin \theta_k) \omega_{k+1} - P \sin \theta_k \omega_k = 0$$

which can be solved for ω_{k+1} as

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_{k+1} = \frac{\omega_k}{2} + \frac{P \sin \theta_k}{2\omega_k} \pm \frac{\omega_k}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{6P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k^2} + \frac{P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^4}}$$

The solution is real when

$$(2.4) \quad 1 + \frac{6P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k^2} + \frac{P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^4} \geq 0 \quad \forall k$$

Remark 2.1. When P is positive (negative), the above condition is satisfied $\forall \theta_k \in [0, \pi]$ ($\forall \theta_k \in [\pi, 2\pi]$) regardless of the value of ω_k , since $P \sin \theta_k$ is always positive.

It must now be ascertained whether the positive or negative square root must be used in the quadratic solution (2.3). In particular, if $\theta_k \in \{0, \pi\}$, it follows from (1.2) that $\omega_{k+1} = \omega_k$, and this solution is obtained by selecting the positive square root in (2.3). Selecting the negative square root gives $\omega_{k+1} = 0$, which is incorrect.

For any value of θ_k , if ω_k is sufficiently large such that

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \frac{6P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k^2} + \frac{P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^4} \right| < 1$$

we can expand the square root in (2.3) as a convergent Taylor series expansion which gives the following expressions for the positive and negative square root solutions:

$$(2.6) \quad \omega_{k+1} = \omega_k + \frac{2P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k} - \frac{2P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^3} + \frac{6P^3 \sin^3 \theta_k}{\omega_k^5} - \frac{22P^4 \sin^4 \theta_k}{\omega_k^7} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{P^5 \sin^5 \theta_k}{\omega_k^9}\right)$$

$$(2.7) \quad \omega_{k+1} = -\frac{P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k} + \frac{2P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^3} - \frac{6P^3 \sin^3 \theta_k}{\omega_k^5} + \frac{22P^4 \sin^4 \theta_k}{\omega_k^7} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{P^5 \sin^5 \theta_k}{\omega_k^9}\right)$$

It can be seen from the above two equations that, for sufficiently large values of ω_k , selecting the negative square root gives values of ω_{k+1} which have magnitudes much smaller than ω_k and may violate the condition $\omega \in R^+$. In this paper, we focus on solutions obtained using the positive square root, which always ensures a feasible solution. Thus, the dynamics in (2.3) is rewritten as

$$(2.8) \quad \omega_{k+1} = \frac{\omega_k}{2} + \frac{P \sin \theta_k}{2\omega_k} + \frac{\omega_k}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{6P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k^2} + \frac{P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^4}}$$

The above equation expresses ω_{k+1} explicitly in terms of θ_k and ω_k and will be used in our simulations.

3. Periodicity for Specific Initial Conditions. We begin with the following assumption which guarantees the existence of periodic solutions to (1.1) and (1.2).

Assumption 3.1. For any initial condition (θ_1, ω_1) ,

$$\omega_{k,\min}^2 > |P|, \quad \omega_{k,\min} \triangleq \min_k \omega_k$$

Lemma 3.2. *The dynamical system in (1.1) and (1.2) is periodic with period N for $\theta_1 = 0$ and initial conditions satisfying Assumption 3.1 when*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta\theta^* = \frac{2\pi}{N}, \quad N \in Z^+, \quad N \geq 3$$

Proof. It follows from (1.1) and (3.1) that $\theta_{N+1} = \theta_1 + 2\pi = \theta_1$, which establishes periodicity of θ . For any value of N in (3.1), we can express θ_k as follows

$$(3.2) \quad \theta_k = (k-1)\Delta\theta^* = \pi + (2k - N - 2)\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2}$$

from which it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \sin \theta_k = -\sin \left[(2k - N - 2) \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right]$$

Using (3.3), the dynamics (1.2) may be rewritten as

$$(3.4) \quad \omega_{k+1} - \omega_k = -P \sin \left[(2k - N - 2) \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{\omega_k} + \frac{1}{\omega_{k+1}} \right]$$

Substituting $k = 1$, we get

$$(3.5) \quad \omega_2 = \omega_1$$

We prove periodicity of ω separately for even and odd values of N .

Even N . Substituting $k = \frac{N}{2} + 1$ in (3.2) and (3.4), we get $\theta_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = \pi$ and $\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = 0$, from which it follows that

$$(3.6) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1}$$

Choosing $k = (\frac{N}{2} + 1) - 1$ and $k = (\frac{N}{2} + 1) + 1$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} = P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \right]$$

$$(3.8) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} = -P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} + \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} = P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} \right]$$

Using (3.6), the above equation simplifies to

$$(3.10) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} = P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}}}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}} \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} \right]$$

From Assumption 3.1, it follows

$$(3.11) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}}$$

Similarly, for $k = (\frac{N}{2} + 1) - 2$ and $k = (\frac{N}{2} + 1) + 2$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1} = P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]$$

$$(3.13) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} = -P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} + \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1} = P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4}} \right]$$

Using (3.11), the above equation simplifies to

$$(3.15) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1} = P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4}} \right]$$

From Assumption 3.1, it follows

$$(3.16) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+4} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}$$

This process can be repeated for all pairs of values of $k = \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) - n$ and $k = \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) + n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)$ to establish that

$$(3.17) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+n+2} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)$$

In particular, for $n = \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)$, we get

$$(3.18) \quad \omega_{N+1} = \omega_2$$

Since $\omega_2 = \omega_1$ from (3.5), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \omega_{N+1} = \omega_1$$

Odd N. Substituting $k = \frac{N+1}{2}$ and $k = \frac{N+3}{2}$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} = P \sin\left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}}} \right]$$

$$(3.21) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}} = -P \sin\left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} = P \sin\left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} \right]$$

which simplifies to

$$(3.23) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} = P \sin\left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} \right]$$

From Assumption 3.1, it follows

$$(3.24) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} = \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}$$

Similarly, choosing $k = \frac{N+1}{2} - 1$ and $k = \frac{N+3}{2} + 1$ in (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} = P \sin\left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \right]$$

$$(3.26) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} = -P \sin\left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(3.27) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} + \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} = P \sin\left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}}} \right]$$

Substituting (3.24) in the above equation and simplifying, we obtain

$$(3.28) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} = P \sin\left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}}} \right]$$

Using Assumption 3.1, we get

$$(3.29) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} = \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}$$

This process can be repeated for all pairs of values of $k = \frac{N+1}{2} - n$, $k = \frac{N+3}{2} + n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{N-3}{2}\right)$ to establish that

$$(3.30) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}+n+1} = \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \left(\frac{N-3}{2}\right)$$

In particular, if $n = \left(\frac{N-3}{2}\right)$, the above equation gives

$$(3.31) \quad \omega_{N+1} = \omega_2$$

Since $\omega_2 = \omega_1$ from (3.5), we have

$$(3.32) \quad \omega_{N+1} = \omega_1$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.3. *The dynamical system in (1.1) and (1.2) is periodic with period N for $\theta_1 = \Delta\theta^*/2$ and initial conditions satisfying Assumption 3.1 when $\Delta\theta^*$ is given by (3.1).*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one for Lemma 3.2, and is provided in Appendix A ■

Theorem 3.4. *The dynamical system in (1.1) and (1.2) is periodic with period N for $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2, \Delta\theta^*, \dots, 2\pi - \Delta\theta^*/2\}$ and initial conditions satisfying Assumption 3.1 when $\Delta\theta^*$ is given by (3.1).*

Proof. The initial conditions $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$ are covered by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. For the other initial conditions $\theta_1 \in \{\Delta\theta^*, 3\Delta\theta^*/2, \dots, 2\pi - \Delta\theta^*/2\}$, equivalent initial conditions with $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$ can be found by decrementing k while solving (1.1) and (1.2). ■

Corollary 3.5. *The periodic orbits described in Theorem 3.4 are stable but not attractive.*

Proof. The proof follows directly from Theorem 3.4. Let the periodic solution be given by the points $(\theta_1, \omega_1), (\theta_2, \omega_2), \dots, (\theta_N, \omega_N)$. If any periodic point (θ_k, ω_k) is perturbed to $(\theta_k, \omega_k + \epsilon_k)$, the equivalent initial condition $(\theta_1, \omega_1 + \epsilon_1)$ can be found by decrementing k while solving (1.1) and (1.2). Since the new initial condition satisfies Assumption 3.1, it results in a distinct periodic orbit with $(\theta_{N+1}, \omega_{N+1}) = (\theta_1, \omega_1 + \epsilon_1)$. ■

It follows from Corollary 3.5 that the eigenvalues of

$$(3.33) \quad M = J_N \cdots J_2 J_1, \quad J_k(\theta_k, \omega_k) \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta_{k+1}}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial \theta_{k+1}}{\partial \omega_k} \\ \frac{\partial \omega_{k+1}}{\partial \theta_k} & \frac{\partial \omega_{k+1}}{\partial \omega_k} \end{bmatrix}$$

have magnitude equal to 1. This will be verified numerically in simulations.

4. Approximate Invariant for Dynamical System. In the previous section, periodicity of the system was established for the parameter choice (3.1) under specific initial conditions. We now seek to describe the behavior of the system for arbitrary values of $\Delta\theta^*$ and arbitrary initial conditions by approximating ω_k as an explicit periodic function of θ_k .

4.1. Limiting case of the dynamical system. We consider the dynamical system (1.1) and (1.2) in the limit $\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0$. First, (1.1) may be rewritten as

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\theta_{k+1} - \theta_k}{\delta_k} = \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{\delta_k}$$

where δ_k is the time taken for the states to evolve from (θ_k, ω_k) to $(\theta_{k+1}, \omega_{k+1})$. As $\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0$, $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$, and the above equation becomes

$$(4.2) \quad \dot{\theta} = \omega$$

where θ and ω are the continuous-time analogs of the discrete variables θ_k and ω_k . Dividing both sides of (1.2) by δ_k and regrouping terms, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k}{\delta_k} = \pm \frac{g}{\ell} \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{\sin(\Delta\theta^*)} \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{\delta_k} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\omega_k} + \frac{1}{\omega_{k+1}} \right] \sin \theta_k$$

As $\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{\sin(\Delta\theta^*)} = 1, \quad \left[\frac{1}{\omega_k} + \frac{1}{\omega_{k+1}} \right] = \frac{2}{\omega}$$

Using the above expressions in (4.3), we get

$$(4.4) \quad \dot{\omega} = \pm \frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ddot{\theta} = \pm \frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta$$

If the negative choice of P in (1.3) is considered, the above equation that of a simple pendulum of length ℓ . Equation (4.4) has an integral of motion given by [11, 13]

$$(4.5) \quad E = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 \pm \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \theta$$

where the +ve (-ve) sign in the above equation corresponds to the +ve (-ve) sign in (4.4). With the value of E computed from initial conditions, the above equation can be used to express ω as a periodic function of θ

$$(4.6) \quad \omega = \sqrt{2 \left[E \mp \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \theta \right]}$$

which provides solutions for $\omega \in R^+$ as long as the initial conditions ensure $E > g/\ell$.

4.2. Approximation of ω_k as an explicit periodic function of θ_k . Motivated by the discussion in the preceding section, we seek a quantity similar to (4.5) for the discrete dynamical system described by (1.1) and (2.8), that is *approximately* invariant along system trajectories. We assume the following form for this quantity:

$$(4.7) \quad \bar{E} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_k^2 + \sigma \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \left(\theta_k - \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right)$$

which can be rewritten to express ω_k as

$$(4.8) \quad \omega_k = \sqrt{2 \left[\bar{E} - \sigma \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \left(\theta_k - \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \right]}$$

In the above equations, σ is a parameter to be determined; it is +ve (-ve) when P in (1.3) is +ve (-ve). It must be ensured that $\lim_{\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0} |\sigma| = 1$ so that, in the limit $\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0$, (4.7) and (4.8) reduce to the exact relations (4.5) and (4.6). The argument $\theta_k - \Delta\theta^*/2$ of the cosine function in (4.8) ensures $\omega_{k+1} = \omega_k$ when $\theta_k \in \{0, \pi\}$ - refer to the discussion after Remark 2.1. Further, if (3.2) holds (this corresponds to the initial condition $\theta_1 = 0$), (4.8) can be rewritten as:

$$\omega_k = \sqrt{2 \left[\bar{E} + \sigma \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \left\{ (2k - N - 3) \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right\} \right]}$$

which implies (3.17) or (3.30) depending on whether N is even or odd. If (A.1) holds (this corresponds to the initial condition $\theta_1 = \Delta\theta^*/2$), (4.8) can be rewritten as:

$$\omega_k = \sqrt{2 \left[\bar{E} + \sigma \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \left\{ (2k - N - 2) \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right\} \right]}$$

which implies (A.14) or (A.27), depending on whether N is even or odd.

Given a value of \bar{E} in (4.8), the minima (maxima) of ω_k occur at $\theta_k = \Delta\theta^*/2$ and the maxima (minima) at $\theta_k = \pi + \Delta\theta^*/2$ when σ is +ve (-ve).

4.3. Comparison with exact solution. The value of ω_{k+1} predicted by (4.8) is given by

$$(4.9) \quad \omega_{k+1} = \sqrt{2 \left[\bar{E} - \sigma \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \left(\theta_{k+1} - \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \right]} = \sqrt{2 \left[\bar{E} - \sigma \frac{g}{\ell} \cos \left(\theta_k + \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \right]}$$

where (1.1) was used to replace θ_{k+1} in terms of θ_k . Substituting the expression for \bar{E} from (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_{k+1} &= \sqrt{\omega_k^2 - \sigma \frac{2g}{\ell} \left[\cos \left(\theta_k + \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) - \cos \left(\theta_k - \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \right]} \\ &= \sqrt{\omega_k^2 + \sigma \frac{4g}{\ell} \sin \left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \sin \theta_k} \end{aligned}$$

If the value of σ is chosen¹ to be

$$(4.11) \quad \sigma = \pm \frac{\Delta\theta^{*2}}{2 \sin(\Delta\theta^*/2) \sin(\Delta\theta^*)}$$

which indeed satisfies $\lim_{\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0} |\sigma| = 1$, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$(4.12) \quad \omega_{k+1} = \omega_k \sqrt{1 + \frac{4P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k^2}}$$

Under the assumption that ω_k is sufficiently large such that $|4P \sin \theta_k / \omega_k^2| < 1$, the square root in the above equation can be expanded using the convergent Taylor series to give

$$(4.13) \quad \omega_{k+1} = \omega_k + \frac{2P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k} - \frac{2P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^3} + \frac{4P^3 \sin^3 \theta_k}{\omega_k^5} - \frac{10P^4 \sin^4 \theta_k}{\omega_k^7} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{P^5 \sin^5 \theta_k}{\omega_k^9}\right)$$

The values of ω_k obtained from (4.8) differ from the actual values of ω_k by an error ϵ_k for $k \geq 2$, as they are obtained assuming \bar{E} to be invariant along system trajectories. Since \bar{E} is obtained from initial conditions, $\epsilon_1 = 0$. Given ϵ_k , the error ϵ_{k+1} is approximated by taking the difference between the series expansions (4.13) and (2.6):

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon_{k+1} &= \epsilon_k + 2P \sin \theta_k \left[\frac{1}{\omega_k + \epsilon_k} - \frac{1}{\omega_k} \right] - 2P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k \left[\frac{1}{(\omega_k + \epsilon_k)^3} - \frac{1}{\omega_k^3} \right] \\ &\quad + P^3 \sin^3 \theta_k \left[\frac{4}{(\omega_k + \epsilon_k)^5} - \frac{6}{\omega_k^5} \right] - P^4 \sin^4 \theta_k \left[\frac{10}{(\omega_k + \epsilon_k)^7} - \frac{22}{\omega_k^7} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{P^5 \sin^5 \theta_k}{\omega_k^9}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The above series is convergent since it is obtained as a difference between two convergent series. Clearly, the larger the value of ω_k , the smaller the incremental error.

¹This value is found by trial and error to minimize the error between the series expansions (4.13) and (2.6). In particular, this choice makes the terms of $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{P \sin \theta_k}{\omega_k}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{P^2 \sin^2 \theta_k}{\omega_k^3}\right)$ identical in the two series.

5. Simulation Results. This section tabulates simulation results based on (1.1) and (2.8) to illustrate the behavior of the dynamical system. We use the following parameters:

$$g = 9.81, \quad \ell = 1$$

and assume the negative value of P in (1.3). The simulations are carried out for multiple values of $\Delta\theta^*$. The initial conditions are chosen with $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2, 0.1\}$ and two choices of ω_1 for each θ_1 . We choose a small value of ω_1 that permits solutions to (2.8) for all k ; the other value is chosen to be equal to 30, which is sufficiently large. It must be noted that the small value of ω_1 may lead to (2.5) being violated while still permitting real, feasible solutions to (2.8); in such cases, the series expansion (2.6) is not convergent. Simulations are carried out for a large number of steps, to study the long-term evolution of the system. To compare the behavior of the dynamical system with the approximate solution, \bar{E} is evaluated from (4.7) based on the initial conditions. The values of ω_k are then obtained from (4.8), and the maximum error with the exact solution is tabulated. Polar plots of (θ_k, ω_k) , with ω_k represented in the radial direction, are presented to show the evolution of system trajectories for a select few choices of initial conditions and $\Delta\theta^*$; the exact and approximate solutions are shown using black and red, respectively.

5.1. $\Delta\theta^*$: Integer submultiple of 2π . We consider cases with $\Delta\theta^*$ given by (3.1), for which it was established in Section 3 that the system exhibits periodic behavior for $\theta_1 \in$

Table 1
Simulation results for $\Delta\theta^ = 2\pi/N$*

N	θ_1	ω_1	Steps	Notes	Maximum % error between exact ω_k and value from (4.8)	% drift in ω_k from ω_1	Illustration
3	0	12	1200	Periodic	5.2507	N/A	Fig.1(a)
		30			3.3435×10^{-3}		
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	12			5.2507		
		30			3.3435×10^{-3}		
	0.1	12		-49.7107	44.9383	Fig.1(b)	
		30		-0.4389	0.4000	Fig.1(c)	
4	0	10	1200	Periodic	1.4427	N/A	Fig.1(d)
		30			5.4332×10^{-4}		
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	10			2.8509		
		30			4.0290×10^{-4}		
	0.1	10		212	65.6032	-13.5048	Fig.1(e)
		30		1200	2.3182×10^{-3}	-1.6747×10^{-3}	
6	0	8	1200	Periodic	2.4646	N/A	Fig.1(f), 2
		30			9.4188×10^{-5}		
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	8			4.2155		
		30			9.2024×10^{-5}		
	0.1	8		516	68.2575	-7.4799	
		30		1200	9.4333×10^{-5}	-5.0463×10^{-8}	




Figure 1. Polar plots of (θ_k, ω_k) for $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/N$ with $(N, \theta_1, \omega_1) =$ (a) 3, 0, 12, (b) 3, 0.1, 12, (c) 3, 0.1, 30, (d) 4, 0, 10, (e) 4, 0.1, 10, (f) 6, 0, 8.

$\{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$ and ω_1 satisfying Assumption 3.1. This is validated by simulation for $N = 3, 4, 6$. The solutions obtained satisfy Corollary 3.5, as expected. When $\theta_1 = 0.1$, it is observed that the system trajectories are not periodic; for $N = 3$, the value of ω_k increases gradually, and for $N = 4, 6$, ω_k decreases gradually. The simulation window in the latter two cases is reduced from 1200 since (2.8) ceases to permit solutions when ω_k reduces below a certain value. Similar behavior can be observed for other values of $\theta_1 \notin \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$.

The simulation results are presented in Table 1. The third-to-last column shows the maximum percentage error between exact values of ω_k obtained from (2.8), and values predicted by (4.8). As expected, these errors have larger magnitudes for smaller values of ω_1 . Further, the error magnitudes are greater when $\theta_1 = 0.1$ owing to the gradual ‘drift’ in ω_k from ω_1 . The second-to-last column of Table 1 shows the percentage drift in the value of ω_k from ω_1 within the simulation window when θ_k returns to θ_1 . The drift is zero when $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$ since the system is periodic. When $\theta_1 = 0.1$, the magnitude of the drift is greater for lower values of ω_1 . It is also evident that the system behavior is closer to periodic for larger values of N and larger values of ω_1 if $\theta_1 \notin \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$. Polar plots corresponding to a select few entries in Table 1 are shown in Fig.1.

The periodic orbit shown in Fig.1(f), *i.e.*, case with $N = 6$, $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\omega_1 = 8$, is also shown with the help of the cobweb-like plot in Fig.2. With ω_{k+1} along the vertical axis, the surface defined by (2.8) is shown in color; it is not defined where (2.4) is violated. The surface $\omega_{k+1} = \omega_k$ is shown in gray. At $\theta_k \in \{0, \pi\}$, these two surfaces coincide. For any pair (θ_k, ω_k)




Figure 2. Cobweb-like plot corresponding to Fig.1(f): $\Delta\theta^* = \pi/3$ with $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\omega_1 = 8$.

lying on the gray surface, the value of ω_{k+1} is found by drawing a vertical line onto the surface defined by (2.8) (solid line with arrows). The point on the gray surface for the next iteration is obtained by drawing a line of length $\Delta\theta^*$ along the θ_k axis followed by a line along the ω_k axis until it intersects the gray surface (dashed lines). The process can then be repeated to obtain a closed path describing the periodic orbit. As expected, the vertical arrows have length zero at $\theta_k = 0$ and $\theta_k = \pi$. Similar plots can be obtained for any other simulation case.

For the same periodic orbit discussed above, the Jacobian matrices J_k in (3.33) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, are given by

$$J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1.5528 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}, J_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -0.9923 & 1.2422 \end{bmatrix}, J_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1.6049 & 1.5428 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$J_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2.7796 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}, J_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1.0402 & 0.6482 \end{bmatrix}, J_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -0.7988 & 0.8050 \end{bmatrix}$$

which result in

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -0.0252 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$$

Both eigenvalues of M are equal to 1, implying stability of the orbit.

5.2. $\Delta\theta^*$: Rational submultiple of 2π . We consider cases with $\Delta\theta^* = (p/q)2\pi$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Simulation results for $p/q = 3/7, 2/9$, and $4/21$ are presented

Table 2
Simulation Results for $\Delta\theta^* = (p/q)2\pi$

p/q	θ_1	ω_1	Steps	Notes	Maximum % error between exact ω_k and value from (4.8)	% drift in ω_k from ω_1	Illustration
3/7	0	19	1200	Periodic	10.9992	-7.1019×10^{-9}	Fig.3(a)
		30			0.1312	-7.7179×10^{-10}	
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	22			13.5781	8.2037×10^{-9}	
		30			0.3451	5.9093×10^{-12}	
	0.1	19		18.1939	8.4108	Fig.3(b)	
		30		0.1383	4.5355×10^{-3}	Fig.3(c)	
2/9	0	8	1200	Periodic	16.1407	7.1658×10^{-9}	Fig.3(d)
		30			2.7761×10^{-4}	-2.1790×10^{-11}	
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	9			6.1096	2.9724×10^{-11}	
		30			2.6540×10^{-4}	7.1054×10^{-14}	
	0.1	9		2.8702	-0.1268	Fig.3(e)	
		30		2.8351×10^{-4}	-1.9457×10^{-11}		
4/21	0	8	1200	Periodic	7.4877	3.3809×10^{-10}	Fig.3(f)
		30			1.5035×10^{-4}	2.3791×10^{-11}	
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	8			11.7000	-1.2212×10^{-12}	
		30			1.4776×10^{-4}	-3.7896×10^{-13}	
	0.1	8		9.4883	-6.8383×10^{-4}		
		30		1.5133×10^{-4}	2.0416×10^{-11}		

in Table 2. Here, it is not known whether the system is periodic for any initial conditions. However, for $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$, the behavior appears to be periodic with negligible drift in the magnitude of ω_k from ω_1 when θ_k returns to θ_1 , for all choices of p/q and ω_1 . For $\theta_1 = 0.1$, a significant drift in ω_k is observed for $p/q = 3/7$ and $p/q = 2/9$ for the smaller value of ω_1 ; for $p/q = 4/21$, the drift is relatively small for the smaller value of ω_1 . For each value of p/q , the magnitude of the drift is much smaller for $\omega_1 = 30$. Further, as expected, the error between the exact value of ω_k and its value predicted by (4.8) is smaller for larger values of ω_1 in all cases. The behavior of the system is closer to periodic as p/q decreases and ω_1 increases. Polar plots corresponding to a select few entries in Table 2 are shown in Fig.3.

5.3. $\Delta\theta^*$: Irrational submultiple of 2π . We now consider the case $\Delta\theta^* = (\sqrt{2}/5)2\pi$. The map (1.1) then represents an irrational rotation, and contains no periodic points. Consequently, the overall system (1.1) and (2.8) can have no periodic points. Over time, however, the iterations θ_k densely occupy points in S^1 . We simulate the system over 1200 steps with $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\omega_1 = 10, 20$, and 30 . Since θ_k values will densely fill the circle, the qualitative behavior of the system will be the same regardless of θ_1 , and different choices of θ_1 need not be considered.

When $\omega_1 = 10$, the maximum error between the exact ω_k and its values predicted from (4.8) is 8.1788%. This error drops to $1.7975 \times 10^{-2}\%$ for $\omega_1 = 20$, and $1.3405 \times 10^{-3}\%$ for $\omega_1 = 30$. When $\omega_1 = 10$, the maximum ω_k in simulation is found to be 10.5850 at $\theta_k = 0.8883 \approx \Delta\theta^*/2$, and the minimum ω_k is 5.3506 at $\theta_k = 4.0319 \approx \pi + \Delta\theta^*/2$, as




Figure 3. Polar plots of (θ_k, ω_k) for $\Delta\theta^* = (p/q)2\pi$ with $(N, \theta_1, \omega_1) =$ (a) $3/7, 0, 19$, (b) $3/7, 0.1, 19$, (c) $3/7, 0.1, 30$, (d) $2/9, 0, 8$, (e) $2/9, 0.1, 9$, (f) $4/21, 0, 8$.

predicted by (4.8). The maxima and minima of ω_k for the other choices of ω_1 also occur at $\theta_k \approx \Delta\theta^*/2$ and $\theta_k \approx \pi + \Delta\theta^*/2$ respectively. The polar plot for the first 60 simulation steps with $\omega_1 = 10$ is shown in Fig.4 (a).

Remark 5.1. The results in Sections 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 demonstrate that (4.8) accurately captures the qualitative behavior of the dynamical system, and, for sufficiently large values of ω_k , the quantitative behavior of the system.

5.4. Limiting value of $\Delta\theta^*$. We consider the limiting case $\Delta\theta^* \rightarrow 0$, which is achieved in simulation by considering a large value of N in (3.1). The behavior of the system is expected to match the behavior of (4.4).

For the initial conditions $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\omega_1 = 6.4$, we simulate (1.1) and (2.8) for 1200 steps with $N = 120$, or $\Delta\theta^* = 0.0524$. The maximum error between the exact ω_k and its values predicted from (4.8) is 0.2882%, which is small considering the small initial ω_1 . Further, the maximum error between the exact ω_k and its values predicted from (4.6), with E computed using (4.5), is 3.5168%. These errors drop to $2.8311 \times 10^{-3}\%$ and 0.3100% respectively when N is increased to 1200, *i.e.* $\Delta\theta^* = 0.0052$, for the same initial conditions. The polar plot for the case $N = 120$ is shown in Fig.4 (b).

Further, if θ_1 is changed to 0.1 (or any value different from 0 or $\Delta\theta^*/2$), the observed magnitude of the drift in ω_k from ω_1 (when θ_k returns to θ_1) is negligibly small even when the number of simulation steps is increased drastically, implying periodic behavior.




Figure 4. Polar plots of (θ_k, ω_k) for (a) $\Delta\theta^* = (\sqrt{2}/5)2\pi$, $\theta_1 = 0$, and $\omega_1 = 10$, (b) $\Delta\theta^* = \pi/60$, $\theta_1 = 0$, and $\omega_1 = 6.4$, (c) $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/3$, $\theta_1 = 0$, and $\omega_1 = 4$, when P is positive.

Remark 5.2. The results in Sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 all assume the negative value of P . For every initial condition considered, the positive square root solution in (2.8) provides feasible solutions. In particular, when $\Delta\theta^*$ is given by (3.1), (2.8) provides the periodic solution when $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$.

5.5. Positive Choice of P . We now consider the positive value of P in (1.3) and simulate cases with $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/3$ and $\Delta\theta^* = (\sqrt{2}/5)2\pi$.

Simulation results for $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/3$ are shown in Table 3, for the same initial conditions as were considered in Table 1 for $N = 3$. Again, periodic behavior is seen for the positive square root solution in (2.8) when $\theta_1 \in \{0, \Delta\theta^*/2\}$, and a drift in ω_k is seen when $\theta_1 = 0.1$. The magnitude of the drift is also smaller when ω_1 is larger.

For $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/3$, we also consider the initial condition $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\omega_1 = 4$, for which Assumption 3.1 is violated, since $\omega_1 < \sqrt{P}$. In this case, using the negative square root solution in (2.3) at $\theta_k = 4\pi/3$ and the positive square root solution in (2.8) for all other values of θ_k provides the periodic solution. Using the positive square root solution at $k = 3$ results in $\omega_4 = 5.3789 \neq \omega_1$. However, solutions from these initial conditions, *i.e.*, $\theta_4 = \theta_1$ and ω_4 are periodic using the positive square root solution in (2.8); this is true because ω_4 no longer violates Assumption 3.1. The polar plot for this case is shown in Fig.4 (c).

Table 3
Simulation Results for $\Delta\theta^* = 2\pi/3$ when P is +ve

N	θ_1	ω_1	Steps	Notes	Maximum % error between exact ω_k and value from (4.8)	% drift in ω_k from ω_1
3	0	12	1200	Periodic	-0.2626	N/A
		30			-2.2774×10^{-3}	
	$\Delta\theta^*/2$	12			-0.2626	
		30			-2.2774×10^{-3}	
	0.1	12	300		57.5809	-36.5406
		30	1200		0.3522	-0.3509

We finally consider $\Delta\theta^* = (\sqrt{2}/5)2\pi$ and simulate 1200 steps. With the initial conditions $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\omega_1 = 10$, the maximum error between the exact ω_k and its values from (4.8) is -0.3894% . The minimum and maximum values of ω_k , 9.2234 and 12.9270, are achieved at $\theta_k = 0.8883 \approx \Delta\theta^*/2$ and $\theta_k = 4.0319 \approx \pi + \Delta\theta^*/2$ respectively.

The change in the sign of P affects the qualitative behavior of the system, best explained by (4.8). In particular, the change in the sign of P causes the minima and the maxima to change locations.

6. Conclusion. This paper considered a two-dimensional discrete-time dynamical system with the two states representing an angle and angular velocity. When the angle evolves by an integer submultiple of 2π in every iteration, it is proved that the system is periodic for specific initial angles but arbitrary initial angular velocities greater than some minimum value. Every such periodic trajectory is stable but not attractive. For other initial angles, simulations show that periodicity is lost.

As the angle step size tends to zero, the discrete-time system represents the dynamics of a simple pendulum, which possesses an integral of motion. Based on this integral of motion, an approximate invariant for the discrete-time dynamical system is found; this allows the angular velocity to be expressed as an explicit periodic function of the angle. It is shown that this expression closely approximates the behavior of the dynamical system for sufficiently large angular velocities.

Extensive simulation results document the behavior of the dynamical system for a range of angle step sizes and initial conditions, showing periodic and aperiodic behavior of the system.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. BAJO AND E. LIZ, *Periodicity on discrete dynamical systems generated by a class of rational mappings*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 12 (2006), pp. 1201–1212, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236190600949782>.
- [2] A. CIMA, A. GASULL†, AND F. MAÑOSAS‡, *On Periodic Rational Difference Equations of Order k* , Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 10 (2004), pp. 549–559, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236190410001667977>.
- [3] F. DANNAN, S. ELAYDI, AND P. LIU, *Periodic solutions of difference equations*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 6 (2000), pp. 203–232, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236190008808222>.
- [4] R. GILMORE AND M. LEFRANC, *The Topology of Chaos: Alice in Stretch and Squeezeland*, Wiley, 1 ed., Nov. 2011, <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527639403>.
- [5] M. HÉNON, *A two-dimensional mapping with a strange attractor*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 50 (1976), pp. 69–77, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01608556>.
- [6] D. L. HITZL AND F. ZELE, *An exploration of the Hénon quadratic map*, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 14 (1985), pp. 305–326, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789\(85\)90092-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(85)90092-2).
- [7] X. LI AND J. ZHANG, *Periodic solutions of some second order difference equations*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 15 (2009), pp. 579–593, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236190802221709>.
- [8] D. MARONCELLI AND J. RODRÍGUEZ, *Periodic behaviour of nonlinear, second-order discrete dynamical systems*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 22 (2016), pp. 280–294, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2015.1083016>.
- [9] G. PAPASCHINOPOULOS AND C. J. SCHINAS, *Invariants and oscillation for systems of two nonlinear difference equations*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 46 (2001), pp. 967–978, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(00\)00146-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(00)00146-2).
- [10] W. T. PATULA AND H. D. VOULOV, *On the oscillation and periodic character of a third order rational difference equation*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 131 (2002), pp. 905–909,

- <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06611-X>.
- [11] J. W. PERRAM, A. SHIRIAEV, C. CANUDAS DE WIT, AND F. GROGNARD, *Explicit Formula for a General Integral of Motion for a Class of Mechanical Systems Subject to Holonomic Constraint 1*, IFAC Proceedings Volumes, 36 (2003), pp. 87–92, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670\(17\)38872-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670(17)38872-9).
 - [12] C. J. SCHINAS, *Invariants for Some Difference Equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 212 (1997), pp. 281–291, <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1997.5499>.
 - [13] A. SHIRIAEV, J. PERRAM, AND C. CANUDAS-DE-WIT, *Constructive tool for orbital stabilization of under-actuated nonlinear systems: Virtual constraints approach*, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 50 (2005), pp. 1164–1176, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.2005.852568>.
 - [14] S. STEVIĆ, *Short Note: A Note on Periodic Character of a Difference Equation*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, 10 (2004), pp. 929–932, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236190412331272616>.
 - [15] S. STEVIĆ, *Global stability and asymptotics of some classes of rational difference equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 316 (2006), pp. 60–68, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.04.077>.
 - [16] S. STEVIĆ, *On a system of difference equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 218 (2011), pp. 3372–3378, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.08.079>.
 - [17] G. TURER, *Dynamical Systems on the Circle*, tech. report, University of Chicago, 2019.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.3.

It follows from (1.1) and (3.1) that $\theta_{N+1} = \theta_1 + 2\pi = \theta_1$, which establishes periodicity of θ . For any value of N in (3.1), we can express θ_k as follows

$$(A.1) \quad \theta_k = \frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} + (k-1)\Delta\theta^* = \pi + (2k-N-1)\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2}$$

from which it follows that

$$(A.2) \quad \sin \theta_k = -\sin \left[(2k-N-1)\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right]$$

Further, using (A.2) in (1.2), we get

$$(A.3) \quad \omega_{k+1} - \omega_k = -P \sin \left[(2k-N-1)\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right] \left[\frac{1}{\omega_k} + \frac{1}{\omega_{k+1}} \right]$$

We prove periodicity of ω separately for even and odd choices of N .

Even N . Substituting $k = \frac{N}{2}$ and $k = \frac{N}{2} + 1$ in (A.3), we obtain

$$(A.4) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} = P \sin \left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \right]$$

$$(A.5) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = -P \sin \left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(A.6) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} = P \sin \left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} \right]$$

which simplifies to

$$(A.7) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} = P \sin \left(\frac{\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}}}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} \right]$$

From Assumption 3.1, it follows

$$(A.8) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}}$$

Similarly, choosing $k = \frac{N}{2} - 1$ and $k = (\frac{N}{2} + 1) + 1$ in (A.3), we obtain

$$(A.9) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1} = P \sin \left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} \right]$$

$$(A.10) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} = -P \sin \left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(A.11) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2} + \omega_{\frac{N}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1} = P \sin\left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+2}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} \right]$$

Substituting (A.8) in the above equation and simplifying, we obtain

$$(A.12) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1} = P \sin\left(\frac{3\Delta\theta^*}{2}\right) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} - \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{\omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}\omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3}} \right]$$

Using Assumption 3.1, we get

$$(A.13) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+3} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-1}$$

This process can be repeated for all pairs of values of $k = \frac{N}{2} - n$, $k = \left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right) + n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)$ to establish that

$$(A.14) \quad \omega_{\frac{N}{2}+n+2} = \omega_{\frac{N}{2}-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)$$

In particular, if $n = \left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)$ in the above equation, it follows that

$$(A.15) \quad \omega_{N+1} = \omega_1$$

Odd N . Substituting $k = \frac{N+1}{2}$ in (A.1) and (A.3), we get $\theta_{\frac{N+1}{2}} = \pi$ and $\omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} = 0$, from which it follows that

$$(A.16) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}} = \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}$$

Choosing $k = \frac{N+1}{2} - 1$ and $k = \frac{N+1}{2} + 1$ in (A.3), we obtain

$$(A.17) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} = P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \right]$$

$$(A.18) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}} = -P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(A.19) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}} + \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} = P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+3}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} \right]$$

Using (A.16), the above equation simplifies to

$$(A.20) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} = P \sin(\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} \right]$$

From Assumption 3.1, it follows

$$(A.21) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} = \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}$$

Similarly, for $k = \frac{N+1}{2} - 2$ and $k = \frac{N+1}{2} + 2$ in (A.3), we obtain

$$(A.22) \quad \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}} = P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}} \right]$$

$$(A.23) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} = -P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}}} \right]$$

Adding the above two equations, we obtain

$$(A.24) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}} + \omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}} = P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N-1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+5}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}}} \right]$$

Using (A.21), the above equation simplifies to

$$(A.25) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}} = P \sin(2\Delta\theta^*) \left[\frac{\omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} - \omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{\omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}} \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}}} \right]$$

From Assumption 3.1, it follows

$$(A.26) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+7}{2}} = \omega_{\frac{N-3}{2}}$$

This process can be repeated for all pairs of values of $k = \frac{N+1}{2} - n$, $k = \frac{N+1}{2} + n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, \left(\frac{N+1}{2} - 1\right)$ to establish that

$$(A.27) \quad \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}+n+1} = \omega_{\frac{N+1}{2}-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \left(\frac{N+1}{2} - 1\right)$$

In particular, if $n = \left(\frac{N+1}{2} - 1\right)$ in the above equation, it follows that

$$(A.28) \quad \omega_{N+1} = \omega_1$$

This concludes the proof.