Electron polarization in the resonant inelastic scattering on hydrogen-like ions

D. M. Vasileva, K. N. Lyashchenko, and O. Yu. Andreev

7/9 Universitetskaya nab., St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia and

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute named by B.P. Konstantinov of National

Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", Gatchina, Leningrad District 188300, Russia

(Dated: December 20, 2024)

We investigate the polarization of the electron acquired during the inelastic resonant scattering on hydrogen-like ions initially being in the ground state. The formation and subsequent Auger decay of the intermediate (3/3) doubly excited states in the resonant channel modify the mechanism of polarization change by enhancing both spin-orbit and exchange interactions. Consequently, in the presence of the resonant channel, the acquired polarization can be clearly observed even for light ions when it is challenging to discern which state of the ion was excited in the process. We also show that the energy dependence of the polarization parameter clearly demonstrates strong interference both between the contributions of specific autoionizing states in the resonant channel and between the non-resonant and resonant channels.

I. INTRODUCTION

The processes occurring in atom-ion and electron-ion collisions have typically been investigated with the unpolarized electron and ion beams. Nevertheless, a more comprehensive analysis that considers the polarization states of both the electron and the ion during the scattering process can facilitate a deeper understanding of the underlying dynamics and potentially offer new insights into the investigation of the atomic structure.

Polarized electron beams are widely used in various fields of science and technology, such as particle physics, atomic physics and materials science [1]. The process of changing the polarization of electrons as they pass through various media and interact with other particles is a fundamental phenomenon of quantum mechanics.

The majority of research into the behavior of electron polarization has been focused on the following areas: the scattering of electrons by atoms and molecules, interactions with the crystal lattice of a solid, and movement of electrons in a magnetic field [2]. The electron polarization change in collisions with ions, in particular with highly charged ions, has been studied to a lesser extent. However, a comprehensive understanding of the processes occurring in ionized media and the interaction of charged particles with matter is crucial.

The polarization changes that occur during a collision are mainly a result of two factors: the spin-orbit interaction, which takes place whenever an electron is moving in the electric field of the ion, and the spin exchange between the electrons that are participating in the collision [3, 4]. The influence of nuclear spin is minimal and is thus excluded from this analysis [5].

In this work, we are concerned with the inelastic scattering of electrons, whereby an incident electron transfers a portion of its energy to an ion, resulting in the formation of an excited state. As a mechanism for the production of ions in excited states, this process (also known as electron impact excitation) is of particular significance for the study of plasmas. In particular, the light emitted in the radiative decay of excited states of highly charged ions represents a valuable source of information about a range of astrophysical objects [6].

The polarization of the emitted light from the excited 2p states of hydrogen-like and helium-like ions formed in the process of inelastic scattering has been the subject of considerable study [7–10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, only the non-resonant channel of inelastic scattering has been considered. Our aim is to examine the resonant channel, in which an intermediate doubly excited state of the corresponding helium-like ion is formed and subsequently undergoes Auger decay. The formation of these intermediate states results in a notable enhancement of both spin-orbit and exchange interactions in the resonant channel, which in turn gives rise to substantial alterations in the polarization behavior at energies where the collision system energy is relatively close to the energy of one or more doubly excited states of the helium-like ion. In particular, in our previous work [11], we explored this effect in resonant elastic collision with H-like B^{4+} , Ca^{19+} and Kr^{35+} ions.

In this study, we examine the process in which the (3l3l') autoionizing states (where l is the orbital moment) participate in the scattering, resulting in the excitation of the H-like ion to the 2s, $2p_{1/2}$, and $2p_{3/2}$ states. For the 2s final state, a small non-resonant contribution to polarization properties is anticipated. Conversely, for the 2p states, the non-resonant component is enhanced due to fine structure splitting [5]. In the energy range under consideration, the energy transfer in the scattering process exceeds 95% of the incident electron kinetic energy, indicating a strongly inelastic regime where non-resonant polarization change for the 2p final states is the largest.

To describe the polarization properties, we calculate spin-dependent amplitudes employing an *ab initio* QED treatment for inelastic scattering along with the lineprofile approach (LPA) for the description of intermediate doubly-excited states [12]. This method directly accounts for both relativistic and QED effects, including the Breit interaction. The calculations are made for

Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State University,

scattering on H-like Ca^{19+} and Kr^{35+} ions.

The relativistic units are used throughout the paper.

II. THEORY

It is established that the full set of polarization properties can be derived from a complete knowledge of spindependent amplitudes [3]. To calculate the relevant amplitudes, we employ an *ab initio* method described in detail in [13, 14]. Below we outline the main ideas of our approach and discuss its application to the present study.

The inelastic scattering process can be schematically depicted as follows:

$$e_{\mathbf{p}_i}^- + X^{(Z-1)+}(1s) \longrightarrow e_{\mathbf{p}_f}^- + X^{(Z-1)+}(2l).$$
 (1)

In the initial state, the ion has a single electron occupying the ground 1s state. The ion is subsequently excited to a higher state by the incident electron. In this study, we consider the final states of the ion with the main quantum number n = 2, that is, the 2s, $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states. We denote the incident and scattered electron momenta p_i and p_f , respectively.

We start with the wave functions $\Psi^{i}_{m_{i},\mu^{(\zeta_{i})}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2})$ and $\Psi^{f}_{m_{f},\mu^{(\zeta_{f})}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2})$ describing the initial and final states of the two-electron system in the zeroth order of the perturbation theory:

$$\Psi_{m_i,\mu_i}^i(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \det \{\psi_{\boldsymbol{p}_i\mu_i}^{(+)}(\boldsymbol{r}_1),\psi_{m_i}^{(1s)}(\boldsymbol{r}_2)\}, (2)$$

$$\Psi^{f}_{m_{f},\mu_{f}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \det \{\psi^{(-)}_{\boldsymbol{p}_{f}\mu_{f}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}),\psi^{(2l)}_{m_{f}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{2})\}, (3)$$

where $\psi_{m_i}^{(1s)}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\psi_{m_f}^{(2l)}(\mathbf{r})$ are the wave functions describing the bound electron in the hydrogen-like ion with the total angular momentum projections on z-axis m_i and m_f ; $\psi_{\boldsymbol{p}\mu(\varsigma)}^{(\pm)}(\mathbf{r})$ is the in- (+) or out-going (-) wave function of an electron in the electric field of the atomic nucleus with the asymptotic momentum \boldsymbol{p} [15]:

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{p}\mu}^{(\pm)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{p\varepsilon}} \sum_{jlm} \Omega_{jlm}^+(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) v_{\mu}^{(\boldsymbol{\zeta})} e^{\pm i\phi_{jl}} i^l \psi_{\varepsilon jlm}(\boldsymbol{r}) \,, \, (4)$$

where $\psi_{\varepsilon jlm}(\mathbf{r})$ is the continuum solution of the Dirac equation with certain parity, total angular momentum and its projection, ϕ_{jl} is the phase shift [15], $\Omega_{jlm}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$ are the spinor spherical harmonics [16] and the spinor $v_{\mu}^{(\boldsymbol{\zeta})}$ for a given direction defined by the unit vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ is determined by the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\boldsymbol{\sigma})v_{\mu}^{(\boldsymbol{\zeta})} = \mu v_{\mu}^{(\boldsymbol{\zeta})}, \qquad (5)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the vector constructed with the Pauli matrices σ_x , σ_y and σ_z . The incident and scattered electron wave functions with varying directions of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ (Eq. (4)) are

employed within our method to yield all pertinent spindependent amplitudes.

Within our approach, the amplitude of the inelastic scattering process can be written as follows [13]:

$$U_{if}(m_i, \mu_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_i, m_f, \mu_f, \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_f) =$$

= $\langle \Psi^f_{m_f, \mu_f}(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2) | \Delta \hat{V} \quad |\Phi^i_{m_i, \mu_i}(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2) \rangle, \quad (6)$

where $\Psi_{m_f,\mu_f}^f(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$ is the zeroth order final state wave function (Eq. (3)), $\Delta \hat{V}$ is the operator describing scattering process. In this work, only the one-photon exchange corrections were included in $\Delta \hat{V}$. Wave function $\Phi_{m_i,\mu_i}^i(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)$ can be presented as the sum of two terms:

$$\Phi^{i}_{m_{i},\mu_{i}} \qquad (\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) = C_{0}\Psi^{i}_{m_{i},\mu_{i}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) \\ + \sum_{n_{1},n_{2}\in\mathbf{bound}} C_{n_{1}n_{2}}\Psi^{(0)}_{n_{1}n_{2}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{1},\boldsymbol{r}_{2}) + \cdots .$$
(7)

The coefficient C_0 in the first term is nearly equal to unity meaning that the first term is essentially identical to the initial state zeroth order wave function (Eq. (2)). This term corresponds to the non-resonant channel. The orthogonal term $\sum C_{n_1n_2} \Psi_{n_1n_2}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2)$ contains the admixture of the bound states of the two-electron ion and describes the formation of the intermediate autoionizing states in the resonant channel:

$$e_{p_{i}}^{-} + X^{(Z-1)+}(1s) \longrightarrow X^{(Z-2)+}(n_{1}n_{2})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$e_{p_{f}}^{-} + X^{(Z-1)+}(2l). \quad (8)$$

The coefficients $C_{n_1n_2}$ in Eq. (7) are derived using the LPA for quasidegenerate states described in [12]. The method accounts for atomic nucleus interaction and relativistic effects, including spin-orbit interaction, in all orders of perturbation theory. The interelectron interaction is taken into account in all orders for electrons with main quantum number $n \leq 5$, while the remaining interelectron interaction is treated within perturbation theory. Additionally, our calculation incorporates the electron self-energy and vacuum polarization corrections.

The double differential cross section for the inelastic electron scattering reads

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{if}}{d\varepsilon_f d\Omega_f} (\varepsilon_f, \theta_f) = 2\pi |U_{if}|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_{2l} - \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{1s}) \\ \times \frac{\varepsilon_i}{p_i} \frac{p_f \varepsilon_f}{(2\pi)^3}, \qquad (9)$$

where ε_i and ε_f are the energies of the incident and scattered electron, respectively. For brevity, the notations σ_{if} and U_{if} are used here, although it is assumed that both are spin-dependent as in Eq. (6).

We are interested in the polarization initially unpolarized electrons acquire in the inelastic scattering. The polarization of the electron beam can be described by the density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ given by the polarization vector $\boldsymbol{\zeta}^e$ [15]:

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \boldsymbol{\zeta}^e \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \,, \tag{10}$$

and $|\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{e}| \leq 1$ gives the polarization degree of the electron beam.

If the quantization axis $\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$ in Eq. (6) is fixed ($\hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_i = \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_f = \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}$), the amplitudes $U_{if}(m_i, \mu_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}, m_f, \mu_f, \hat{\boldsymbol{\zeta}})$ calculated for all possible polarizations of the incident and scattered continuum electrons (μ_i and μ_f) and for all possible total angular projections of the initial and final bound state of the ion (m_i and m_f) form the scattering matrix M which completely defines the polarization properties of the scattering [17, 18]. If the initial state of the system is described by $\hat{\rho}_i$, then the density matrix $\hat{\rho}_f$ of the system after scattering is given by

$$\hat{\rho}_f = M \hat{\rho}_i M^+ \,. \tag{11}$$

The scattering matrix M is a 4×4 matrix if the final ion state is 2s or $2p_{1/2}$ or a 8×4 matrix if the final ion state is $2p_{3/2}$.

If initially both the electron beam and the ion are unpolarized, then the polarization vector of the scattered electron beam can be written as [19]

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{e} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(MM^{+}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(1)})}{\operatorname{Tr}(MM^{+})}, \qquad (12)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(1)}$ is assumed to be the direct product of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ corresponding to the continuum electron and the identity matrix of appropriate dimensions (2 × 2 if the final ion state is 2s or $2p_{1/2}$ and 4×4 if the final ion state is $2p_{3/2}$).

If an unpolarized electron is scattered on an unpolarized ion, the total polarization after scattering integrated over all directions of the scattered electron momentum must also be equal to zero. Due to the geometry of the scattering, any non-zero polarization component in the plane of scattering violates this condition. Therefore, as in the case of the elastic scattering on ions and atoms [18–20], the polarization acquired by the electron in the scattering must be directed along the direction n, where

$$\boldsymbol{n} = \frac{[\boldsymbol{p}_i \times \boldsymbol{p}_f]}{|[\boldsymbol{p}_i \times \boldsymbol{p}_f]|}.$$
(13)

Since the direction of the polarization vector is known, it is convenient to describe the polarization of the scattered electron beam by the parameter P – the projection of the polarization vector on the direction \boldsymbol{n} perpendicular to the plane of scattering:

$$P = \boldsymbol{\zeta}^e \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \,. \tag{14}$$

The possible values of parameter P lie between -1 and 1, the absolute value |P| gives the polarization degree of the electron beam. We can also write the parameter P as the difference between the cross sections with the

opposite scattered electron spin projections on \hat{n} divided by the total cross section [19]

$$P = \frac{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{0 \to \mu_n} - \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{0 \to -\mu_n}}{\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{0 \to -\mu_n} + \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{0 \to -\mu_n}}.$$
 (15)

Both expressions given by Eq. (12) and Eq. (15) can be used for numerical calculations within our approach. While the former is more convenient for the purpose of calculation, the latter offers a more intuitive representation and serves as an effective verification of the results.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The subject of this study is the polarization that an initially unpolarized electron acquires after scattering on an unpolarized ion. In order to describe the polarization acquired by the electron, we examine the polarization parameter P, as defined by Eq. (14) and Eq. (15).

The method outlined in the previous section was developed with a particular focus on scattering on medium and heavy ions. In these systems, relativistic and particularly QED effects, as well as the complete incorporation of the Breit interaction, are of particular importance. Accordingly, Kr^{35+} and Ca^{19+} were selected to illustrate our findings.

The essential characteristic of inelastic scattering is that the contributions of the non-resonant and resonant channels both decrease with increasing atomic number Z, allowing resonances to remain highly visible. Still, the experiment can be challenging since the total cross section decreases.

The existing experiments on the inelastic scattering were conducted using light ions [21–25]. For instance, inelastic scattering in the collision of molecular hydrogen with F^{8+} was studied in [24]. As previously demonstrated [13], our approach can be successfully applied to such systems. This section will conclude with a discussion of the scattering on the F^{8+} ion.

As our focus is on the resonant process, the energy spectrum of the incident and scattered electron beam is defined by the following:

$$\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{1s} = \varepsilon_f + \varepsilon_{2l} \approx E_{3l3l'}^{(i)},$$
 (16)

where $E_{3l3l'}^{(i)}$ are the energies of the (3l3l') doubly excited states of the corresponding helium-like ion. This suggests that resonant scattering occurs near the energy threshold of the electron impact excitation, with over 95% of the incident electron energy used to excite the ion.

The energies $E_{3l_{3l'}}^{(i)}$ of $(3l_{3l'})$ autoionizing states for F^{7+} , Ca^{18+} and Kr^{34+} are presented in our previous paper [13].



FIG. 1. The polarization parameter P for the non-resonant scattering on F^{8+} , Ca^{19+} and Kr^{35+} for the final states 2s, $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ as a function of the angle between the incident and scattered electrons. The kinetic energy of the scattered electron is 60 eV for F^{8+} , 225 eV for Ca^{19+} and 700 eV for Kr^{35+} .



FIG. 2. The polarization parameter P of the scattered electron after excitation of Ca¹⁹⁺ ion into the 2s, $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states, respectively, as a function of the scattered electron kinetic energy ε_f for the polar angle $\theta = 90^{\circ}$. The red dashed line shows the decimal logarithm of the corresponding differential cross section. Positions of the resonances are indicated by the vertical gray dashed lines.

In order to establish a point of reference for the involvement of autoionizing states, it is first necessary to consider the non-resonant background. Given that the background remains largely unaltered within the specified energy range, it is sufficient to present the data for a single energy value. For the sake of convenience, the energy selected for this calculation was positioned slightly to the right of the energy range under consideration. Fig. 1 illustrates the polarization of the scattered electron beam as a function of angle θ between incident and scattered electron momenta for three potential final states of the F⁸⁺, Ca¹⁹⁺ and Kr³⁵⁺ ions. It is immediately evident that there are notable differences between the cases of the 2s and 2p final states. For the final 2s state, the change in polarization is primarily driven by spin-orbit interaction, resulting in a quadratic depen-



FIG. 3. The polarization parameter P of the scattered electron after excitation of Kr^{35+} ion into 2s, $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states, respectively, as a function of the scattered electron kinetic energy ε_f and polar angle θ



FIG. 4. The polarization parameter P (Eq. (19)) for the inelastic scattering on F^{8+} at polar angle 90°. The red dashed line shows the contribution of the scattering to 2p states. Positions of the resonances are indicated by the vertical gray dashed lines.

dence of the acquired polarization on atomic number Z. This differs only marginally in qualitative terms from the polarization change observed in Mott scattering [20], albeit with a more complex angle dependence. For light ions, the polarization change is essentially negligible.

tion of 2p states is largely independent of Z and rather

ns, the polarization change is essentially negligible. In contrast, the polarization acquired with the excita-

substantial. It is understood that this considerable polarization gain occurs when energy levels with fine structure splitting are excited [3]. It should be noted that for the non-resonant background the equalities

$$P_{2p_{1/2}} = -2P_{2p_{3/2}} \tag{17}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f}\right)_{2p_{1/2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f}\right)_{2p_{3/2}} \tag{18}$$

hold true with a high degree of accuracy so that the combined polarization of all scattered electrons remains almost zero. Nevertheless, if the processes with different final states of the ion can be distinguished (for example, if the fine structure difference is sufficiently large), the polarization change can be observed.

If the energy of the incident electron is such that the energy of the initial state is close to that of an autoionizing state (Eq. (16)), the resonant channel (Eq. (8)) becomes active. In this channel, the scattering occurs via the formation and subsequent decay of an intermediate bound state of the corresponding two-electron ion, resulting in a significant alteration of the electron polarization change process due to the facilitation of spin exchange between two electrons and the enhancement of spin-orbit interaction through the trapping of the incident electron in a stronger field for a prolonged period. Both of these effects were previously discussed in [19] in the context of resonant elastic scattering. Given that our approach inherently includes both spin-orbit and exchange interaction, it is not possible to discern their individual contributions within the scope of this study.

A notable feature of the inelastic scattering is that the resonant channel involves the autoionizing states that are in close proximity to each other in terms of energy. This leads to the simultaneous participation of multiple autoionizing states in the scattering process, resulting in substantial interference between resonances. Additionally, the interference between non-resonant and resonant channels also is integral to the process.

In Fig. 2 we present the polarization parameter P in the electron scattering on Ca^{19+} ion as a function of the scattered electron kinetic energy for three different excitations of the ion. The angle θ between the incident and scattered electron momenta was selected to be 90° . The complex resonant structure with seemingly shifted peaks is clearly discernible. In contrast with the differential cross section (for which the decimal logarithm is presented by red dashed lines on the graph), the resonances have Fano-like shapes. This, together with the interference between resonances, leads to the noticeable mismatch between the positions of the resonances (gray dashed vertical lines on the graph) and maxima and minima in the polarization parameter. Accordingly, the peaks of the differential cross section and polarization parameter do not always align. This phenomenon allows for the clear observation of the effects of interference in experiment.

Furthermore, the graph clearly demonstrates that the resonance structure of the polarization parameter displays a similar level of detail as a logarithm of the differential cross section. Consequently, it can provide not only new information about spin-dependent characteristics of the inelastic scattering process, but also additional insight into the structure of the corresponding helium-like ion.

The complete picture of the polarization parameter is presented in Fig. 3 for the inelastic scattering on Kr^{35+} . The parameter P is demonstrated as a function of both the polar angle and the scattered electron kinetic energy for three potential final ion states. In the bottom two panels (2p states), the non-resonant background is prominent, while the resonant channel in most cases results in a reduction in the absolute value of the polarization parameter P. For the 2s state, the polarization change is predominantly influenced by the resonant channel, despite the fact that at this Z the background is no longer insignificant. The interference between the background and the resonances is clearly visible. The parameter P exhibits a non-trivial angle dependence at the resonances, which is caused by the interplay between the non-resonant background and multiple resonant contributions.

While the electrons scattered with the simultaneous excitation of ions into $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states can be distinguished by their energies for scattering on Ca¹⁹⁺ and to a larger extent Kr³⁵⁺, this is not the case for light ions due to the fine structure splitting being too small. Nevertheless, the resonant channel permits observation of the electron polarization change even in this case as it does not obey Eq. (18). Fig. 4 demonstrates the total polarization change

$$P_t = \frac{P_{2s} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{2s}}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f} + P_{2p_{1/2}} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{2p_{1/2}}}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f} + P_{2p_{3/2}} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{2p_{3/2}}}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f}}{\frac{d^2 \sigma_{2s}}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f} + \frac{d^2 \sigma_{2p_{1/2}}}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f} + \frac{d^2 \sigma_{2p_{3/2}}}{d\Omega d\varepsilon_f}}.$$
(19)

in the scattering on F^{8+} . The red dashed line represents the contribution of 2p states only. While the nonresonant background is canceled out, the resonance structure remains clearly visible with peaks reaching a polarization of 40%. The addition of the 2s state results in a slight decrease in the resonance structure. Nevertheless, the acquired polarization remains sufficiently large for observation.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the polarization acquired by electrons in the inelastic scattering on F^{8+} , Ca^{19+} and Kr^{35+} hydrogen-like ions initially being in the ground state. In the non-resonant energy region of the incident electron, for the final 2s state of the ion the polarization acquired by the incident electrons is determined by the spin-orbit interaction and quadratically grows with atomic number Z. In the case of the 2p states, the polarization change is related to the fine-structure splitting of $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states and is largely independent of Z. At resonant energies of incident electrons, the scattering process proceeds through the formation and subsequent Auger decay of autoionization states. The influence of the resonant channel amplifies both spin-orbit and exchange interactions, resulting in the emergence of distinct maxima and minima in the energy dependence of the polarization parameter. The resonances in the polarization parameter typically have Fano-like shapes. The strong interference between resonances results in a misalignment between the peaks in the differential cross section and polarization parameter. The interference between non-resonant and resonant channels is also significant.

In the resonant channel there is no rigid connection between the polarization acquired with the excitation of the $2p_{1/2}$ and $2p_{3/2}$ states. Consequently, the polarization change in the resonant inelastic scattering is clearly

- F. Lin, Electron polarization, in *Polarized Beam Dynamics and Instrumentation in Particle Accelerators: USPAS Summer 2021 Spin Class Lectures*, edited by F. Méot, H. Huang, V. Ptitsyn, and F. Lin (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023) pp. 155–181.
- [2] I. Kanik, W. McConkey, and S. Trajmar, Electronatom and electron-molecule collisions, in *Springer Hand*book of Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics, edited by G. W. F. Drake (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023) pp. 981–993.
- [3] J. Kessler, *Polarized Electrons* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1985).
- [4] P. Burke, *R-matrix theory of atomic collisions* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2011).
- [5] G. Hanne, Physics Reports **95**, 95 (1983).
- [6] Hitomi Collaboration, Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan 70, 12 (2018).
- [7] N. Nakamura, D. Kato, N. Miura, T. Nakahara, and S. Ohtani, Phys. Rev. A 63, 024501 (2001).
- [8] D. L. Robbins, P. Beiersdorfer, A. Y. Faenov, T. A. Pikuz, D. B. Thorn, H. Chen, K. J. Reed, A. J. Smith, K. R. Boyce, G. V. Brown, R. L. Kelley, C. A. Kilbourne, and F. S. Porter, Phys. Rev. A 74, 022713 (2006).
- [9] C. J. Bostock, D. V. Fursa, and I. Bray, Phys. Rev. A 80, 052708 (2009).
- [10] Z. W. Wu, Z. M. He, Z. Q. Tian, C. Z. Dong, and S. Fritzsche, Phys. Rev. A 105, 062813 (2022).
- [11] D. M. Vasileva, K. N. Lyashchenko, A. B. Voitkiv, D. Yu, and O. Y. Andreev, Phys. Rev. A **104**, 052808 (2021).
- [12] O. Y. Andreev, L. N. Labzowsky, G. Plunien, and D. A. Solovyev, Physics Reports 455, 135 (2008).

observable even in light ions where the small energy difference between states with the main quantum number n = 2 complicates the detection of the electron scattering with the excitation of a specified state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their gratitude for the hospitality to Prof. Deyang Yu during their visit to the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. The work in part of the calculation of the polarization was supported solely by the Russian Science Foundation under Grant No. 22-12-00043. The work of K.N.L., O.Y.A. was supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Presidents International Fellowship Initiative (PIFI) under Grant Nos. 2022VMC0002 and 2025PVA0072, respectively.

- [13] D. M. Vasileva, K. N. Lyashchenko, O. Y. Andreev, and D. Yu, Physica Scripta 99, 115410 (2024).
- [14] K. N. Lyashchenko, D. M. Vasileva, O. Y. Andreev, and A. B. Voitkiv, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013087 (2020).
- [15] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, *Quantum Electro*dynamics (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1965).
- [16] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., P. O. Box 128, Farrer Road, Singapore 9128, 1988).
- [17] F. Lipps and H. Tolhoek, Physica **20**, 85 (1954).
- [18] P. G. Burke and J. F. B. Mitchell, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 7, 214 (1974).
- [19] D. M. Vasileva, K. N. Lyashchenko, A. B. Voitkiv, D. Yu, and O. Y. Andreev, Phys. Rev. A **104**, 052808 (2021).
- [20] W. R. Johnson, T. A. Weber, and C. J. Mullin, Phys. Rev. **121**, 933 (1961).
- [21] G. Toth, S. Grabbe, P. Richard, and C. P. Bhalla, Phys. Rev. A 54, R4613 (1996).
- [22] S. Grabbe, G. Toth, C. Bhalla, and P. Richard, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 124, 347 (1997), fast Ion-Atom Collisions.
- [23] P. Závodszky, P. Richard, and C. Bhalla, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 154, 153 (1999).
- [24] P. A. Závodszky, G. Tóth, S. R. Grabbe, T. J. M. Zouros, P. Richard, C. P. Bhalla, and J. A. Tanis, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **32**, 4425 (1999).
- [25] P. Richard, C. Bhalla, S. Hagmann, and P. Zavodszky, Physica Scripta 1999, 87 (1999).