

The Line operators in the G2HDM model

Rui Yang^a, Jiaming Guo^{a;c}, Linghai Li^d, Tzu-Chiang Yuan^e, Xun Xue^{a,b;c;d1}

^a*School of Physics, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830046, China*

^b*School of Physics and Information Engineer, Zhaotong University, Zhaotong 657000, China*

^c*Chongqing Institute of East China Normal University, Chongqing 401120, China*

^d*Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China*

^e*Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, China*

Abstract

We describe the global structure of a particle model with dark matter called G2HDM, which incorporates a dark sector represented by $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X$. The gauge group of such model is $\tilde{G} = U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X$, with an ambiguity that it may actually be $G = \tilde{G}/\Gamma$, where Γ is a subgroup of its center. We also describe how the electric and magnetic charges depend on Γ and the periodicity of theta angles for any choice of G . Finally, we describe the minimal charges that arise after electroweak symmetry breaking for any choice of Γ .

1 Introduction

Generalized symmetries [1–3] have evolved in recent years, leading to significant advancements in our understanding of them. These extensions of traditional symmetries include those that act on extended objects or involve more complex structures beyond point particles. They encompass higher-form symmetries [4–6], non-invertible symmetries [7, 8], and other more abstract structures.

In gauge theory, line operators [9–11] and θ -angles [10, 12, 13] serve as essential tools for investigating non-perturbative phenomena and topological structures. Early studies established the theoretical foundation through Wilson and 't Hooft line operators [14, 15], which enabled a deeper understanding of electromagnetic duality [16]. These operators, acting as probes into the dynamics of gauge fields, made it possible to examine concepts like confinement and duality in a comprehensive manner.

For an $SU(N)$ group, its center is a discrete cyclic group \mathbf{Z}_N . For example, the center of $SU(3)$ is \mathbf{Z}_3 , while for $SU(3) \times SU(2)$, the center is \mathbf{Z}_6 . For a group $G = \frac{\tilde{G}}{\Gamma}$, where Γ is the center or a subgroup of the center of the universal cover \tilde{G} (with $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$ corresponding to the universal cover itself), the local properties of the group remain unchanged, but the global symmetries differ.

A Wilson line represents a static operator extending along the time direction, carrying charge and having infinite mass. A non-Abelian Wilson line operator, which depends only on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a gauge group G , can be written as

$$W[\mathcal{C}] = \text{Tr}_R P e^{i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} A_0}$$

where \mathcal{C} is a closed loop and R is the representation of G . Wilson line operators exist for any representation R , and, as a gauge symmetry, they should be invariant under any choice of Γ . The observables of Wilson lines are denoted as $\frac{\Lambda_e}{W}$, where Λ_e is the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} and W is Weyl group. A pure electric line is labeled by $(\lambda^e, 0)$.

A 't Hooft line, representing a magnetic operator, is given by

$$T[\mathcal{C}] = \text{Tr}_{R^*} P e^{i \oint_{\mathcal{C}} A_0^*}$$

¹Corresponding author: xxue@phy.ecnu.edu.cn

Where R^* is the representation corresponding to the dual \mathfrak{g}^* of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} associated with the gauge group G . The observables of 't Hooft lines are denoted as $\frac{\Lambda_m}{W}$, where Λ_m is the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g}^* , or equivalently, the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} . A pure magnetic line is labeled by $(0, \lambda^m)$.

For a group $G = \frac{\tilde{G}}{\Gamma}$, the Wilson and 't Hooft lines are subsets of the corresponding Wilson and 't Hooft lines for \tilde{G} . For each G , they exhibit partially distinct lattices. The lines of interest are those that behave differently under varying choices of Γ . The distinct lattices are $\Lambda_e/\Lambda_r = \mathbf{Z}_N$ and $\Lambda_m/\Lambda_{cr} = \mathbf{Z}_N$ for Wilson and 't Hooft lines, respectively. Here, Λ_r and Λ_{cr} are the root and co-root lattices of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

More generally, there exist Wilson-'t Hooft dyonic lines, which can be labeled as

$$(z^e, z^m) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$$

The pairs (z^e, z^m) form a class, with the center imposing invariance, which reflects constraints on the charges. As mentioned earlier, only z^e elements that remain invariant under transformations by elements of Γ can exist. The existence and form of magnetic charges, are also subject to the Dirac quantization condition to be determined. If two dyonic lines, (z^e, z^m) and $(z^{e'}, z^{m'})$ are present, they can coexist only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$z^e z^{m'} - z^{e'} z^m = 0 \text{ mod } N \quad (1.1)$$

In summary, Wilson and 't Hooft lines correspond to heavy electric and magnetic insertions in space, respectively. Once \mathbf{Z}_N is determined, Wilson lines can be directly obtained, while the Dirac quantization condition lifts the restrictions on magnetic lines. Generally, if conditions allow, a larger value of N permits the existence of more magnetic lines.

The introduction of the θ -term highlighted the importance role of θ -angles [10, 12, 13] as topological parameters in the structure of the quantum vacuum. This is particularly significant in the context of strong interactions in QCD, where the θ -angle is closely related to CP violation and offers a potential solution to the strong CP problem. Within the framework of the Peccei-Quinn mechanism, adjusting the θ -angle is proposed as a way to suppress strong CP violation, thereby stabilizing the system's vacuum state.

Moreover, the structure of the gauge group influences the periodicity of the θ -angle. In systems such as $SU(N)$ and $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$, the global structure of the gauge group determines the allowed range of θ -values, thereby impacting the complexity of the vacuum state and the behavior of line operators. For the gauge group $SU(N)$, the periodicity of θ -angle is given by $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. However, in the case of $G = SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$, the presence of the center group extends the periodicity of the θ -angle.

$$\theta \in [0, 2\pi N)$$

This extension in the periodicity of θ -angle is tied to the theory of $G = SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$, which permits instantons to carry a fractional charge of $\frac{1}{N}$.

The broader range of θ -angle values enables the system to explore different topological sectors [17], distinguishing gauge theories with distinct physical properties. The existence of these unique topological sectors enriches the theoretical framework and provides a broader context for studying gauge theories with varying topological characteristics.

The Witten effect tell us that when θ shifts by $\theta + \pi$, the pairs of minimal lattices (z^e, z^m) transform as $(z^e, z^m) \rightarrow (z^e + z^m, z^m)$. The θ -angles can also be interpreted as additional solutions to the equation Eq.(1.1). Specifically, for $G = SU(3)/\mathbf{Z}_3$, when θ undergoes a rotation $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\pi n$, the lattices transform as $(z^e, z^m) \rightarrow (z^e + n, z^m)$. This relationship is more clearly illustrated in Fig.1, where the blue boxes represent the smallest classes of this theory, and the green circles denote the allowed lattices.

Another example with $(U(1) \times SU(N))/\mathbf{Z}_N$ theory, the range of $\hat{\theta}$ from the $U(1)$ extends to $[0, 2\pi N)$, instead of the usual $[0, 2\pi)$. Meanwhile, the periodicity of $SU(N)$ θ -angle, denoted as θ_N , remains unchanged, a point that will be discussed in more detail in Sec.3). This extended range introduces a richer structure in terms of vacuum states and the behavior of line operators, thereby distinguishing different gauge theories based on the allowed values of θ and their physical implications. This effect is particularly noticeable in the magnetic sector, through the Witten effect.




Figure 1: The spectrum of line operators for (a), (b), (c), (d)

In the Standard Model, the θ -angle for $SU(3)$, denoted as θ_3 , is widely studied. Its small value ($\theta_3 \leq 10^{-10}$) presents a significant unresolved problem in particle physics. For the θ -angle of $SU(2)$, the presence of an anomalous global $B - L$ symmetry allows the angle to be rotated away at any time. However, as pointed out by David Tong in [9], a clarification that a linear combination of θ_{2L} and $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ in the Standard Model can be rotated away. This is due to the conservation of global symmetries of baryon and lepton numbers, with $B - L$ being anomaly-free in the Standard Model.

$$\sum LSU(2)_L^2 = \sum BSU(2)_L^2 = -1, \quad \sum LY^2 = \sum BY^2 = +18$$

Consequently, under an L -transformation with parameter α , the θ_{2L} and $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ angles transforms as follows:

$$\theta_{2L} \rightarrow \theta_{2L} - \alpha, \quad \tilde{\theta}_Y \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y + 18\alpha$$

In the case of time inversion and CP symmetric transformation, a specific θ angle can affect the particle's charge. If the particle remains unchanged under CP breaking transformations, it may also acquire a specific charge.

In this paper, we investigate the line operators in a particle model named Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model (G2HDM) [18–20], a theory that incorporates dark matter, and the corresponding gauge group is given by:

$$\tilde{G} = U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X$$

Here, \tilde{G} represents the universal cover of the gauge group, and the uncertainty arises from the quotient by the center group Γ , yielding $G = \tilde{G}/\Gamma$. The reason that G can legitimately take this form is that both G and \tilde{G} share same dynamics and cannot be distinguished by local experiment. As observed, \tilde{G} contains two $U(1)$ gauge groups and more than one center. Therefore, the general gauge group for the G2HDM can take

$$G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X}{\Gamma}$$

Alternatively, it can be expressed as:

$$G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_H \times U(1)_A}{\Gamma}$$

Here, $U(1)_V \times U(1)_A$ represents a mixing of charges that exhibit a linear relationship, which will be elaborated in Sec.2. The matter contents of the G2HDM is invariant under the appropriate transformation by Γ .

The primary dark sector of the G2HDM is based on an extended electroweak gauge group, $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X$. A distinguishing feature of the G2HDM is the inclusion of two Higgs fields, which leads to a splitting of the theory into two parts during symmetry breaking. This aspect will be detailed in Sec.4. The two Higgs are denoted as $H(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{3,1}$ and $H(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, where they correspond to the $SU(2)_L$, $SU(2)_H$ and $SU(3)_C$ respectively. The subscripts q and h represent the hypercharges under $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_X$, respectively.

Table 1: The matter spectrum and their quantum number assignment of G2HDM

Matter Fields	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_H$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$
$Q_L = (u_L, d_L)^T$	2	3	1	1/6	0
$U_R = (u_R, d_R^H)^T$	1	3	2	2/3	1/2
$D_R = (d_R^H, d_R)^T$	1	3	2	-1/3	-1/2
u_L^H	1	3	1	2/3	0
d_L^H	1	3	1	-1/3	0
$L_L = (\nu_L, e_L)^T$	2	1	1	-1/2	0
$N_R = (\nu_R, \nu_R^H)^T$	1	1	2	0	1/2
$E_R = (e_R^H, e_R)^T$	1	1	2	-1	-1/2
ν_L^H	1	1	1	0	0
e_L^H	1	1	1	-1	0
$H = (H_1, H_2)^T$	2	1	2	1/2	1/2
$\Phi_H = (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)^T$	1	1	2	0	1/2
\mathcal{S}	1	1	1	0	0

There are roughly two kinds of the gauge group with its quotient of G2HDM, which could be written as follows

$$\frac{U(1) \times \cdots}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{U(1) \times \cdots}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$$

Each $U(1)$ can only quotient off one center group. For example, It has

$$G = \frac{U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$$

This example can represent 8 choices, corresponding to different combinations of groups. such as $G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$, $G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_A}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$, and other variations in which the gauge groups are combined with different center quotients.

Other combination forms include

$$G = \frac{U(1) \times SU(3)}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(2)}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$$

Which also corresponds to 8 possible group combinations, such as $G = \frac{U(1)_X \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_Y}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(2)_L}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$, $G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_A}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(2)_L}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$, and so on.

Additionally, we can consider

$$G = \frac{U(1) \times SU(2)}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(3)}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$$

Which corresponds to 4 possible combinations, such as $G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_H}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$, $G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(2)_H}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_L \times U(1)_A}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$.

Furthermore, we can even let $U(1)$ not be in the center quotient, as in cases $G = U(1) \times \frac{SU(2) \times SU(3)}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$ and $G = U(1) \times \frac{SU(2)}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2) \times SU(3)}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times U(1)$. However, these two types are fairly trivial, and

they are already covered by the examples provided, so we will not elaborate further. And we will mainly discuss one of the representative options.

The main focus of this paper demonstrates the possible line operators and the periodicities of θ -angles. In Section 2, we provide a brief review of line operators and the G2HDM, highlighting the similarities and differences between $SU(N)$ and $SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N$, as well as the distinctions of θ -angles in these two theories. Section 3 presents the core findings, discussing the line operators for different choices of Γ and the corresponding θ -angles. Finally, Section 4 summarizes the paper and explores how gravity influences line operators.

We begin by establishing some symbolic conventions. Let z_2^e represent the center weight lattice of $SU(2)_L$, x_2^e for $SU(2)_H$, and z_3^e for $SU(3)_C$. The symbols z_2^m , x_2^m and z_3^m denote the root lattices, respectively. As a matter of fact, \mathbf{Z}_N is a smallest class of these group, it could generate any other larger lattices. The hypercharges of $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_X$ are denoted by q with magnetic charge g and h with magnetic charge k , respectively. We adopt the convention that $q, h \in \mathbf{Z}$.

2 Line Operators in the G2HDM

Consider the gauge group

$$G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$$

The first quotient generator is associated with the centers of $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(3)$, combined with an appropriate $U(1)_Y$ rotation, while the second is linked to the center of $SU(2)_H$, accompanied by a $U(1)_X$ factor. These quotients are generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= e^{2i\pi \frac{q}{6}} \otimes \eta \otimes \omega = e^{2i\pi \frac{q}{6}} e^{i\pi z_2^e} e^{\frac{2}{3}i\pi z_3^e} \\ \chi &= e^{2i\pi \frac{h}{2}} \otimes \rho = e^{2i\pi \frac{h}{2}} e^{i\pi x_2^e} \end{aligned}$$

Here, η , ω and ρ belong to the centers of $SU(2)_L$, $SU(3)$, and $SU(2)_H$, respectively, obeying $\eta^2 = 1$, $\omega^3 = 1$, and $\rho^2 = 1$. And q is the $U(1)_Y$ charge, h is the $U(1)_X$ charge. The quotient $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$ is generated by $\xi^2 \times \chi$, and $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{1}$ is generated by $\xi^3 \times \chi^2$.

The Dirac quantization condition for gauge group of G2HDM is

$$e^{-\frac{2i\pi q}{6} 6g} e^{i\pi z_2^e z_2^m} e^{\frac{2}{3}i\pi z_3^e z_3^m} e^{i\pi x_2^e x_2^m} e^{-\frac{2i\pi h}{2} 2k} = 1 \quad (2.1)$$

Or, equivalently,

$$-6gq + 3z_2^e z_2^m + 2z_3^e z_3^m + 3x_2^e x_2^m - 6kh = 0 \pmod{6} \quad (2.2)$$

There are two types of line operators: labeled by three electrical charges (z_2^e, z_3^e, q) and three magnetic charges (z_2^m, z_3^m, g) , and the other labeled by two electrical charges (x_2^e, h) and two magnetic charges (x_2^m, k) . The Dirac quantization condition is (2.1) or (2.2).

Each choice of quotient $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2L}, \mathbf{Z}_{2H}, \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}, \mathbf{Z}_{6L}, \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$ should be solved in sequence.

There are two kinds of Wilson lines and two corresponding 't Hooft lines. From now on, we refer to them as Wilson lines ξ and χ , named after their respective quotient generators.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: With no quotients, Wilson lines ξ with electric charges $z_2^e = 0, 1$ and $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$, where $q \in \mathbf{Z}$, are not subject to any restrictions. Wilson lines χ with electric charges $x_2^e = 0, 1$ are also unrestricted and must have a $U(1)_X$ electric charge $h \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Dirac quantization condition dictates that magnetic charges can only take values $g \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $z_2^m = 0$, $z_3^m = 0$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $x_2^m = 0$, respectively. Additionally, Abelian operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (1, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (1, 0)$ can be added to generate any other electric and magnetic lattices. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.2.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: Wilson lines χ are unrestricted, with electric charges $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x_2^e = 0, 1$. However, the others are restricted by $q = z_2^e \pmod{2}$ with $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$ in both cases. The Dirac quantization condition requires magnetic charges g to take $g = 0$ when $z_2^m = 0$ and $g = \frac{1}{2}$ when $z_2^m = 1$, with any $z_3^m = 0, 1, 2$




Figure 2: $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$

allowed. The other magnetic charges $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $x_2^m = 0 \pmod{2}$. Additional Abelian operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (2, 0)$, $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, and $(h, k) = (1, 0)$ can be added. The resulting spectrum of line operators corresponds to $U(2)_L \times SU(3) \times SU(2)_{2H}$ and is shown in Fig.3.




Figure 3: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: Wilson lines ξ are unrestricted, with $q \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $z_2^e = 0, 1$, $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$. The corresponding magnetic charges $g \in \mathbf{Z}$, $z_2^m = 0$ and $z_3^m = 0$. However, Wilson line χ are restricted by $h = x_2^m \pmod{2}$. The Dirac quantization condition demands magnetic charges k to satisfy $2k = x_2^m \pmod{2}$. Additional Abelian operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (1, 0)$, $(h, k) = (0, 1)$ and $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be added. The spectrum is shown in Fig.4.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: Wilson lines χ are unrestricted. However, Wilson lines ξ must be invariant under ξ^2 and are restricted by $q = z_3^e \pmod{3}$. Each of these cases has $z_2^e = 0, 1$. The Dirac quantization condition,




Figure 4: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$

now allowing $SU(3)$ magnetic charges, requires $3g = z_3^e \pmod{3}$, with any $z_2^m = 0, 1 \pmod{2}$ allowed. The Abelian lines are generated by $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (3, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (1, 0)$. The resulting spectrum of line operators corresponds to $U(2)_L \times SU(3) \times SU(2)_{2H}$ and is shown in Fig.5.




Figure 5: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The behavior of Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges follows the pattern seen in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$, while χ follows the pattern of the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$ case. Additional Abelian line operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (2, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be included.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$: Wilson lines χ are unrestricted and Wilson lines ξ should be invariant under generator ξ . This implies that the Abelian electric charges satisfy $q = 3z_2^e - 2z_3^e \pmod{6}$. In this scenario, the spectrum of line operators becomes more abundant, particularly the 't Hooft lines, which can take $SU(2) \times SU(3)$ magnetic charges $6g = 3z_2^m + 2z_3^m \pmod{6}$. The Abelian lines are generated by $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (6, 0)$

and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (1, 0)$. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.6.




Figure 6: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The behavior of Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges follows the pattern seen in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$, while χ follows the pattern of the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$ case. Additional Abelian line operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (3, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be included.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: the behavior of Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges follows the pattern seen in the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$ case, while χ follows the pattern of the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$ case. Additional Abelian line operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (6, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be included.

Next, we consider a different case:

$$G = \frac{U(1)_X \times SU(2)_H \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$$

Before beginning the research, we draw a preliminary conclusion: \mathbf{Z}_3 has no effect on $U(1)_X$, and you will see the reason shortly. The two discrete cyclic groups correspond to generators:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= e^{2i\pi \frac{h}{2}} \otimes \rho \otimes \omega = e^{2i\pi \frac{h}{2}} e^{i\pi x_2^e} e^{\frac{2}{3}i\pi z_3^e} \\ \chi &= e^{2i\pi \frac{q}{2}} \otimes \eta = e^{2i\pi \frac{h}{2}} e^{i\pi z_2^e} \end{aligned}$$

Based on these two generators, we can see that the $U(1)_Y$ factor changes, while the $U(1)_X$ factor remains unchanged. This is because the hypercharge q can take integer multiples of $1/6$, whereas the X hypercharge h can only take integer multiples of $1/2$. Therefore, when $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$, the generator should be $\xi^2 = \omega^2$, so h always takes integer values and is insensitive to z_3^e or in other words, \mathbf{Z}_3 has no effect on it.

Although the generators have changed, the Dirac quantization condition is still:

$$-6gq + 3z_2^e z_2^m + 2z_3^e z_3^m + 3x_2^e x_2^m - 6kh = 0 \pmod{6}$$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: With no quotients, Wilson lines ξ with electric charges $x_2^e = 0, 1$ and $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$, where $h \in \mathbf{Z}$, are not subject to any restrictions. Wilson lines χ with electric charges $z_2^e = 0, 1$ are also unrestricted and must have a $U(1)_Y$ electric charge $q \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Dirac quantization condition dictates that magnetic charges can only take values $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $x_2^m = 0$, $z_3^m = 0$ and $g \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $z_2^m = 0$, respectively. Additionally, Abelian operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (1, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (1, 0)$ can be added to generate any other electric and magnetic lattices.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: Wilson lines ξ are unrestricted, with electric charges $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x_2^e = 0, 1$, $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$. However, the others are restricted by $q = z_2^e \bmod 2$. The Dirac quantization condition requires magnetic charges g to take $g = 0$ when $z_2^m = 0$ and $g = \frac{1}{2}$ when $z_2^m = 1$. $U(1)_X$ magnetic charges $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $x_2^3 = 0 \bmod 2$, $z_3^m = 0 \bmod 3$. Additional Abelian operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (2, 0)$, $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, and $(h, k) = (1, 0)$ can be added. The resulting spectrum of line operators corresponds to $U(2)_L$ and is shown in Fig.7.




Figure 7: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: Wilson lines χ are unrestricted, $z_2^e = 0, 1$ with $q \in \mathbf{Z}$. And the corresponding magnetic charges $z_2^m = 0$ with $g \in \mathbf{Z}$. However, Wilson line ξ are restricted by $h = x_2^e \bmod 2$ with $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$. The Dirac quantization condition demands magnetic charges k to satisfy $2k = x_2^m \bmod 2$ with $z_3^m = 0 \bmod 3$. Additional Abelian operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (1, 0)$, $(h, k) = (0, 1)$ and $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be added. The spectrum is shown in Fig.8.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: Wilson lines χ are unrestricted. However, Wilson lines ξ must be invariant under $\xi^2 = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\pi i z_3^e}$, then, the electric charges should take $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $z_3^e = 0$. Each of these cases has $z_2^e = 0, 1$. The magnetic charges, now take values $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, with any $z_3^m = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3$ allowed. The Abelian lines are generated by $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (1, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (1, 0)$. The resulting spectrum of line operators is shown in Fig.9.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The behavior of Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges follows the pattern seen in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$, while χ follows the pattern of the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$ case. Additional Abelian line operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (2, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be included.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6H}$: Wilson lines χ are unrestricted and Wilson lines ξ should be invariant under generator ξ . This implies that the Abelian electric charges satisfy $3h = (3x_2^e - 2z_3^e) \bmod 6$, or $h = x_2^e \bmod 2$ actually. Then the corresponding magnetic charges $2k = x_2^m \bmod 2$ with any $z_3^m = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3$. The Abelian lines are generated by $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (1, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (2, 0)$. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.10.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: The behavior of Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges follows the pattern seen in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$, while χ follows the pattern of the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$ case. Additional Abelian line operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (2, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (1, 0)$ can be included.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6H} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: the behavior of Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges follows the pattern seen in the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6H}$ case, while χ follows the pattern of the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$ case. Additional Abelian line operators with $(q, g) = (0, 1)$, $(q, g) = (2, 0)$ and $(h, k) = (0, 1)$, $(h, k) = (2, 0)$ can be included.

Next, we consider a significantly different case:




Figure 8: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$




Figure 9: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$

$$G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_A}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(2)_L}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$$

At first glance, the two $U(1)$ groups are intertwined and combine with a $SU(N)$ groups, which then over their center. The hypercharge and X hypercharge now mixing together, we assume the "vector hypercharge" to be $q_+ = q + 3h$ and the other $q_- = q - 3h$. The spectrum changes dramatically, and we will analyze this soon. The generators are




Figure 10: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6H}$

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= e^{\frac{2}{3}\pi i(q+3h)} \otimes \omega = e^{\frac{2}{3}\pi i(q+3h)} e^{\frac{2}{3}\pi i z_3^e} \\ \chi &= e^{\pi i(q-3h)} \otimes \rho = e^{\pi i(q-3h)} e^{\pi i x_2^e} \\ \eta &= e^{\pi i z_2^e}\end{aligned}$$

The reason for this is that $\frac{q}{6}$ and $\frac{h}{2}$ must each take integer multiples of their respective values, ensuing ξ^3 and χ^2 are the identity elements. Additionally, there needs to be a property of symmetry and antisymmetry of q_+ and q_- . Their corresponding magnetic charges are then denoted as g_+ and g_- , respectively.

Since the $U(1)$ charges have changed, it is necessary to modify Dirac quantization condition:

$$e^{-\frac{2i\pi q_+}{3}3g_+} e^{i\pi z_2^e z_2^m} e^{\frac{2}{3}i\pi z_3^e z_3^m} e^{i\pi x_2^e x_2^m} e^{-\frac{2i\pi q_-}{2}2g_-} = 1 \quad (2.3)$$

Or, equivalently,

$$-6g_+q_+ + 3z_2^e z_2^m + 2z_3^e z_3^m + 3x_2^e x_2^m - 6g_-q_- = 0 \pmod{6} \quad (2.4)$$

As before, we need to identify its centers and the subgroups, and then determine their generators. The possible quotient groups Γ are $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2L}, \mathbf{Z}_{2H}, \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: In the absence of any quotients, the Wilson lines ξ exhibit electric charges $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$ with $q_+ \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Wilson lines χ exhibit electric charges $x_2^e = 0, 1$ with $q_- \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Wilson lines η exhibit electric charges $z_2^e = 0, 1$ with no hypercharge aside. According to Dirac quantization condition, magnetic charges are restricted to $g_+ \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $g_- \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $z_3^e = 0 \pmod{3}$ and $x_2^e = 0 \pmod{2}$, respectively, and $SU(2)_L$ magnetic charge $z_2^m = 0 \pmod{2}$. Additionally, Abelian operators can be introduced with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1), (q_+, g_-) = (1, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1), (q_-, g_+) = (1, 0)$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: Wilson lines ξ and χ are unrestricted, with electric charges $q_+, q_- \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $z_3^e = 0, 1, 2$ and $x_2^e = 0, 1$. The corresponding magnetic charge $g_+, g_- \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $z_3^e = 0 \pmod{3}$ and $x_2^e = 0 \pmod{2}$. However, the $SU(2)_L$ electric charges are strictly constrained by $z_2^e = 0$, which necessitates corresponding magnetic charges $z_2^m = 0, 1 \pmod{2}$, still, no $U(1)$ associated charges. The additional Abelian operators with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1), (q_+, g_-) = (1, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1), (q_-, g_+) = (1, 0)$. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.11.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The Wilson lines ξ take $(q_+ \in \mathbf{Z}; z_3^e = 0, 1, 2)$ with corresponding 't Hooft lines $(g_+ \in \mathbf{Z}; z_3^m = 0 \pmod{3})$. The Wilson lines η take values $(z_2^e = 0, 1)$ with corresponding 't Hooft lines $(z_2^m =$




Figure 11: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$

0 mod 2). The Wilson lines χ exhibit electric charges $q_- = x_2^e \bmod 2$. Then the 't Hooft lines can take $2g_- = x_2^m \bmod 2$. The additional Abelian operators with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1)$, $(q_+, g_-) = (1, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1)$, $(q_-, g_+) = (2, 0)$. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.12.




Figure 12: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: Wilson lines χ take $(q_- \in \mathbf{Z}; x_2^e = 0, 1)$ with corresponding 't Hooft lines $(g_- \in \mathbf{Z}; x_2^m = 0 \bmod 2)$. The Wilson lines η take values $(z_2^e = 0, 1)$ with corresponding 't Hooft lines $(z_2^m = 0 \bmod 2)$. Now, the limit on $U(1)_V$ charges are $q_+ = z_3^e \bmod 3$. So the magnetic charge should take values $3g_+ = z_3^m \bmod 3$. The Abelian lines are generated by $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1)$, $(q_+, g_+) = (3, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1)$, $(q_-, g_-) = (1, 0)$. The resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.13.




Figure 13: $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The Wilson lines ξ and their corresponding magnetic charges are like themselves in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$. The Wilson lines χ and their corresponding magnetic charges are like themselves in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$. The Wilson lines η and the corresponding 't Hooft lines are same to the case in $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$. We can add to these Abelian line operators with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1)$, $(q_+, g_+) = (1, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1)$, $(q_-, g_-) = (2, 0)$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$: The Wilson lines and 't Hooft lines ξ are identical to those in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$.

The Wilson lines χ and their corresponding magnetic charges are like themselves in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$. The Wilson lines η and the corresponding 't Hooft lines are same to the case in $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$. The Abelian line operators with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1)$, $(q_+, g_+) = (3, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1)$, $(q_-, g_-) = (1, 0)$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$: The Wilson lines and 't Hooft lines ξ are identical to those in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$. The Wilson lines χ and their corresponding magnetic charges are like themselves in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$. The Wilson lines η and the corresponding 't Hooft lines are same to the case in $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$. The Abelian line operators with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1)$, $(q_+, g_+) = (3, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1)$, $(q_-, g_-) = (2, 0)$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$: The Wilson lines and 't Hooft lines ξ are identical to those in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$. The Wilson lines χ and their corresponding magnetic charges are like themselves in the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$. The Wilson lines η and the corresponding 't Hooft lines are same to the case in $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$. The Abelian line operators with $(q_+, g_+) = (0, 1)$, $(q_+, g_+) = (3, 0)$ and $(q_-, g_-) = (0, 1)$, $(q_-, g_-) = (2, 0)$.

There are still 17 types of G with different central quotient divisions that have not been discussed. However, we have already clarified the basic method, and the remaining cases are quite similar to those above, so there is no need for extensive analysis. For example, when $G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_H}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$ and $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$. The Wilson lines are $(q = x_2^e \bmod 2; x_2^e = 0, 1)$, $(h = z_2^e \bmod 2; z_2^e = 0, 1)$ and $(z_3^e = 0)$. The corresponding 't Hooft lines are $(2g = x_2^m \bmod 2; x_2^m = 0, 1 \bmod 2)$, $(2k = z_2^m \bmod 2; z_2^m = 0, 1 \bmod 2)$ and $(z_3^m = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3)$, respectively. Taking $G = \frac{U(1)_A \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_Y}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$ for another example, when $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$, the Wilson lines are $(q_- = z_3^e \bmod 3; z_3^e = 0, 1; z_3^e = 0, 1, 2)$ and $(q_+ = x_2^e \bmod 2; x_2^e = 0, 1)$. The corresponding 't Hooft lines are $(g_- = z_3^m \bmod 3; z_3^m = 0 \bmod 2; z_3^m = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3)$ and $(g_+ = x_2^m \bmod 2; x_2^m = 0, 1 \bmod 2)$. When $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$, the Wilson lines are $(q_- = (3z_2^e - 2z_3^e) \bmod 6; z_2^e = 0, 1; z_3^e = 0, 1, 2)$ and $(q_+ = x_2^e \bmod 2; x_2^e = 0, 1)$. The corresponding 't Hooft lines are $(g_- = (3z_2^m + 2z_3^m) \bmod 6; z_2^m = 0, 1 \bmod 2; z_3^m = 0, 1, 2 \bmod 3)$ and $(g_+ = x_2^m \bmod 2; x_2^m = 0, 1 \bmod 2)$.

3 θ -Angles

Next, we will discuss the variation of line operators under the influence of the θ -angle. The θ -angle of $U(1)$ does not change the spectrum or correlation functions of local operators. However, in the presence of monopoles or spatial boundaries, it alters the spectrum of line operators through the Witten effect. For now, we will ignore global anomalies and focus only on the spectrum of line operators and the Witten effect. Each gauge group factor corresponds to a θ -angle, and there are five such angles, $\tilde{\theta}_Y$, θ_{2L} , θ_3 , $\tilde{\theta}_X$ and θ_{2H} , corresponding to $U(1)_Y$, $SU(2)_L$, $SU(3)_C$, $U(1)_X$ and $SU(2)_H$, respectively.

There are two fields, a and \tilde{a} , which are $U(1)$ and $SU(N)$ respectively, the corresponding strength are f and \tilde{f} . The form of $U(1) \times SU(N)$ θ -terms are

$$S_\theta = \frac{\theta_N}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 \text{tr} (*ff) + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 * \tilde{f} \tilde{f}$$

Use these two fields to construct a special field $a + \tilde{a}\mathbf{1}_N$, and the field strength is denoted as F . Then the θ -terms in the $\frac{U(1) \times SU(N)}{\mathbf{Z}_N}$ theory are expressed as follows:

$$S_\theta = \frac{\theta_N}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 \text{tr} (*FF) + \frac{\tilde{\theta} - N\theta_N}{16\pi^2 N^2} \int dx^4 * (\text{tr}F) (\text{tr}F) \quad (3.1)$$

The parameters $\theta_N \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\tilde{\theta} \in [0, 2\pi N^2)$ now.

Concern about the case of the $\frac{U(1) \times SU(N) \times SU(M)}{\mathbf{Z}_{N \times M}}$ theory. The θ -terms for the $U(1) \times SU(N) \times SU(M)$ theory are

$$S_\theta = \frac{M\theta_N}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 \text{tr} (*f_N \mathbf{1}_M f_N \mathbf{1}_M) + \frac{N\theta_M}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 \text{tr} (*f_M \mathbf{1}_N f_M \mathbf{1}_N) + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 * \tilde{f} \tilde{f} \quad (3.2)$$

To describe this new $U(N \times M)$ gauge theory, we introduce two gauge fields, denoted as $a_N + \tilde{a}\mathbf{1}_N$ and $a_M + \tilde{a}\mathbf{1}_M$. Then, we can obtain the strength of these two fields, which are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_N &= f_N + \tilde{f}\mathbf{1}_N \\
F_M &= f_M + \tilde{f}\mathbf{1}_M
\end{aligned}$$

The theta terms for the $\frac{U(1) \times SU(N) \times SU(M)}{\mathbf{Z}_{N \times M}}$ theory are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\theta &= \frac{M\theta_N}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 tr (*F_N \mathbf{1}_M F_N \mathbf{1}_M) + \frac{N\theta_M}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 tr (*F_M \mathbf{1}_N F_M \mathbf{1}_N) \\
&\quad + \frac{\tilde{\theta} - NM^2\theta_N - MN^2\theta_M}{16\pi^2(N \times M)^2} \int dx^4 tr (\tilde{f}\mathbf{1}_{N \times M}) tr (\tilde{f}\mathbf{1}_{N \times M})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$tr (\tilde{f}\mathbf{1}_{N \times M})$ the final term can be simplified to $tr (F_N \mathbf{1}_M)$ or $tr (F_M \mathbf{1}_N)$. We can find that $\theta_N \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\theta_M \in [0, 2\pi)$, while $\tilde{\theta} \in [0, 2\pi N^2 M^2)$.

According to the above analysis, we can know that for terms of $G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_N} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_M}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\theta &= \frac{3\theta_{2L}}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 tr (*F_{2L} \mathbf{1}_3 F_{2L} \mathbf{1}_3) + \frac{2\theta_3}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 tr (*F_3 \mathbf{1}_2 F_3 \mathbf{1}_2) \\
&\quad + \frac{\tilde{\theta}_Y - 18\theta_{2L} - 12\theta_3}{16\pi^2 36} \int dx^4 tr (\tilde{f}_Y \mathbf{1}_6) tr (\tilde{f}_Y \mathbf{1}_6) \\
&\quad + \frac{\theta_{2H}}{16\pi^2} \int dx^4 tr (*F_{2H} F_{2H}) + \frac{\tilde{\theta}_X - 2\theta_{2H}}{16\pi^2 4} \int dx^4 tr (\tilde{f}_X \mathbf{1}_2) tr (\tilde{f}_X \mathbf{1}_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: Both θ -angles have periodicity 2π . When all of them take 0 or π , it is invariant under CP transformation.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: The periodicity of $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ extends, becoming to $\tilde{\theta}_Y \in [0, 8\pi)$. Here, $\theta_3 = 0, \pi$, from Eq.(3.4), $\tilde{\theta}_Y = 0, 4\pi$ when $\theta_{2L} = 0$, and $\tilde{\theta}_Y = 2\pi, 6\pi$ when $\theta_{2L} = \pi$. $U(1)_X$ and $SU(2)_H$ θ -angles still take $\tilde{\theta}_X, \theta_{2H} = 0, \pi$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: Now, the θ -angel $\tilde{\theta}_X$ has periodicity $\tilde{\theta}_X \in [0, 8\pi)$. The θ -angles of standard model sector take 0 or π , while $\tilde{\theta}_X = 0, 4\pi$ when $\theta_{2H} = 0$ and $\tilde{\theta}_X = 2\pi, 6\pi$ when $\theta_{2H} = \pi$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: The periodicity of $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ is $\tilde{\theta}_Y \in [0, 18\pi)$. Here, $\theta_{2L} = 0, \pi$ and $\tilde{\theta}_X, \theta_{2H} = 0, \pi$ are both CP invariant. When $\theta_3 = 0$, $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ should take 0 or 9π ; when $\theta_3 = \pi$, $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ then take 3π or 12π .

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: Both $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ and $\tilde{\theta}_X$ have periodicity $[0, 8\pi)$. In which, $\theta_3 = 0, \pi$. Here, when $\theta_{2L} = 0$, it has $\tilde{\theta}_Y = 0, 4\pi$; when $\theta_{2L} = \pi$, it has $\tilde{\theta}_Y = 2\pi, 6\pi$. Meanwhile, it has $\tilde{\theta}_X = 0, 4\pi$ when $\theta_{2H} = 0$ and $\tilde{\theta}_X = 2\pi, 6\pi$ when $\theta_{2H} = \pi$. All of these are CP invariant, like the theories of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$ and $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$: Here, $\tilde{\theta}_Y \in [0, 72\pi)$. Now, the values of θ should be

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{2L} = 0, \theta_3 = 0 &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y = 0, 36\pi \\
\theta_{2L} = 0, \theta_3 = \pi &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y = 12\pi, 48\pi \\
\theta_{2L} = \pi, \theta_3 = 0 &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y = 18\pi, 54\pi \\
\theta_{2L} = \pi, \theta_3 = \pi &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y = 30\pi, 66\pi
\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we can have $\tilde{\theta}_X$ and θ_{2H} taking 0 or π , that are both CP invariant.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$: This CP invariant theory just like the theories of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$ and $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$. Among these, $\tilde{\theta}_Y, \theta_{2L}$ and θ_3 are like in the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$, and $\tilde{\theta}_X, \theta_{2H}$ are like in the $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$. i.e. $\theta_{2L} = 0, \pi$; and

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_3 = 0 &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y = 0, 9\pi & \theta_{2H} = 0 &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_X = 0, 4\pi \\
\theta_3 = \pi &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y = 3\pi, 12\pi & \theta_{2H} = \pi &\Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_X = 2\pi, 6\pi
\end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$: This theory is a combination of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$ and $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$. $\tilde{\theta}_Y, \theta_{2L}$ and θ_3 here are just like the case in of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$, and $\tilde{\theta}_X, \theta_{2H}$ here are just like the case in $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$.

Next, let's discuss an alternative quotient:

$$G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(2)_H}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_L \times U(1)_A}{\mathbf{Z}_m} \times \frac{SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_n}$$

The θ -angles $\tilde{\theta}_X$ and $\tilde{\theta}_Y$ turn into $\tilde{\theta}_V$ and $\tilde{\theta}_A$. Specifically, $SU(3)/\mathbf{Z}_n$ is not coupled with $U(1)$, so now, when $n = 3$ the period of θ_3 takes $[0, 2\pi/3)$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: Both θ angles exhibit periodicity of 2π . When all angles are set to either 0 or π , the theories remain invariant under CP transformation.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: The periodicity of $\tilde{\theta}_A \in [0, 8\pi)$, the others have no change. Here, $\theta_3 = 0, \pi$ and $\theta_{2H} = 0, \pi$ and $\tilde{\theta}_V = 0, 2\pi$.

$$\theta_{2L} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_A = 0, 4\pi$$

$$\theta_{2L} = \pi \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_A = 2\pi, 6\pi$$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The periodicity of $\tilde{\theta}_V \in [0, 8\pi)$, the others have no change. Here, $\theta_3 = 0, \pi$ and $\theta_{2L} = 0, \pi$ and $\tilde{\theta}_A = 0, 2\pi$.

$$\theta_{2H} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_V = 0, 4\pi$$

$$\theta_{2H} = \pi \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_V = 2\pi, 6\pi$$

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: The periodicity of $\theta_3 \in [0, 6\pi)$, the others have no change $[0, 2\pi)$. Here, $\theta_3 = 0, 3\pi$ and the others take 0 or π that make the theory invariant under time reversal.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The periodicity of θ_3 is still 2π . $\theta_{2L} - \tilde{\theta}_A$ pattern are same as in $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$, while $\theta_{2H} - \tilde{\theta}_V$ pattern are same to the case of $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$. The remaining quotient groups and the corresponding periods of the θ -angles, as well as the values that make CP transformation invariant, will be similar to this and have already been analyzed.

The θ -angles in the two G groups above are representative examples provided. The remaining possible center divisions for G and the corresponding θ -angles values will be similar to these two examples and will not be elaborated further.

For G2HDM, $B - L$ is anomaly-free with

$$\sum LSU(2)_L^2 = \sum BSU(2)_L^2 = -1; \quad \sum LY^2 = \sum BY^2 = +18$$

$$\sum LSU(2)_H^2 = \sum BSU(2)_H^2 = +2; \quad \sum LX^2 = \sum BX^2 = -4$$

	l_L	q_L	e_R	μ_R	d_R	ν_R
B	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0
L	1	0	1	0	0	1

For an L rotation

$$\theta_{2L} \rightarrow \theta_{2L} - \alpha; \quad \tilde{\theta}_Y \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_Y + 18\alpha$$

$$\theta_{2H} \rightarrow \theta_{2H} + 2\alpha; \quad \tilde{\theta}_X \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}_X - 4\alpha$$

Therefore, in this model, two linear combinations can be defined: $\tilde{\theta}_Y + 18\theta_{2L}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_X + 2\theta_{2H}$, both of which are physical.

4 Spontaneously Electroweak Symmetries Breaking (Two Steps to Break)

The transformation of line operators is influenced by the spontaneous breaking of symmetries, which alters their behavior accordingly. In the G2HDM framework, two Higgs fields contribute to the breaking of the original gauge symmetries. The first Higgs, $H(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{3,1}$, condenses to break $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L$ symmetries down to the electromagnetic symmetries $U(1)_{em}$. This Higgs field is situated in a two-dimensional

representation of both $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_H$, and in a one-dimensional representation within $SU(3)$, where the hypercharges q and h represented by 3 and 1, respectively. The second Higgs, $H(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, breaks the $U(1)_X \times SU(2)_H$ symmetries into so-called ‘‘dark electromagnetism’’.

Assuming distinct energy scales for these Higgs fields, the first step involves Higgs $H(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$ breaking the dark sector into a dark electromagnetic field. The subsequent step involves the breaking of the electromagnetic symmetry. Due to potential mixing with the center quotient, the value of q may still be influenced by the broken $SU(2)_H$, though this effect is negligible after the initial breaking.

According to Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism, the allowed electromagnetic electric charge Q must satisfy Gell-Mann-Nishijima formula:

$$Q = \frac{q}{6} + \frac{\lambda_2^e}{2}$$

Where q represents the $U(1)$ hypercharge, and λ_2^e is the $SU(2)_L$ lattice with normalization $\lambda_2^e \in \mathbf{Z}$. The value of λ_2^e corresponds to representation, with $\lambda_2^e = 0$ for the one-dimensional representation of $SU(2)_L$ and $\lambda_2^e = 1$ for the fundamental representation. Similarly, the dark electric charge Q_D in the dark sector is given by:

$$Q_D = \frac{h}{2} + \frac{\rho_2^e}{2}$$

Where h is the hypercharge of $U(1)_X$ and ρ_2^e represents the lattice of $SU(2)_H$ corresponding to the relevant representation.

The vacuum expectations of line operators, cause the higher-form symmetry breaking, exhibit distinct behaviors compared to ordinary symmetry breaking. The crucial difference lies in the vacuum expectation of Wilson loop as order parameter, which varies depending on area or perimeter of the loop \mathcal{C} . When the loop is large, the area law decays much faster than the perimeter. For large loops, the area law indicates a faster decay than the perimeter law, implying that confined charges correspond to a symmetry-preserving scenario, whereas unconfined charges, which follow the perimeter law, indicate symmetry breaking. The breaking of higher-form symmetries is accompanied by Goldstone excitations, where the Goldstone boson for a 1-form symmetry is identified as the photon.

After line operators condense with the Higgs field, most magnetic lines become confined, adhering to the area law, while the deconfined lines exhibit perimeter law behavior, governed by:

$$\begin{aligned} 2k = \rho_2^m = x_2^m \bmod 2 &\Rightarrow G_D = 2k \\ 6g = \lambda_2^m = z_2^m \bmod 2 &\Rightarrow G = 6g \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Here, z_2^m and x_2^m of course are elements of $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_H$ centers, respectively, corresponding to classes within these groups. The magnetic charges G and G_D represent the magnetic counterparts of the $U(1)_{em}$ and $U(1)_D$ symmetries, respectively. This setup confirms that the Dirac quantization condition for pure electromagnetism and dark electromagnetism is satisfied, with $QG = \mathbf{Z}$ and $Q_D G_D = \mathbf{Z}$.

Finally, by examining different quotients, we can determine the minimal electric and magnetic charges under various symmetry-breaking scenarios.

For $G = \frac{U(1)_X \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_p} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_m}$, the quotient group Γ can lead to distinct symmetry-breaking patterns, which in turn dictate the electric and magnetic charge spectrum.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ arises from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2$, $G = 6$, respectively, emerging from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 6$, $g = 1$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: The minimum dark electric charge remains $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ from Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, and the minimal electric charge increases to $Q = \frac{1}{3}$, derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_2$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2$, $G = 3$, respectively, from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 3$, $g = \frac{1}{2}$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The minimum dark electric charge increases to $Q_D = 1$, originating from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,2}$, while the minimal electric charge returns to $Q = \frac{1}{6}$, from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 1$, $G = 6$, respectively, with 't Hooft line parameters $\rho_2^m = 1$, $k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_2^m = 6$, $g = 1$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: The minimum dark electric charge is $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ comes from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2, G = 2$, respectively. These dark magnetic and magnetic charges arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2, k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2, g = \frac{1}{3}$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The minimum dark electric charge is $Q_D = 1$, derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,2}$. And the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{3}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_2$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 1, G = 3$, respectively, from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 1, k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_2^m = 3, g = \frac{1}{2}$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$: The minimum dark electric charge is $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$ and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{3}$ from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2, G = 1$, respectively. These arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2, k = 1, \lambda_2^m = 1, g = \frac{1}{6}$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The minimum dark electric charge is $Q_D = 1$ from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,2}$. And the minimal electric charge is $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 1, G = 2$, arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 1, k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2, g = \frac{1}{3}$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The minimum dark electric charge is $Q_D = 1$, derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,2}$ and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 1, G = 1$, respectively. These arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 1, k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2, g = \frac{1}{6}$ respectively.

We note that when $U(1)_Y$ and $SU(3)$ are linked together and quotiented by a \mathbf{Z}_3 , and well as when $U(1)_Y, SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ are combined up then quotiented by a \mathbf{Z}_6 , the resulting spectrum is no longer consistent with the electromagnetic Dirac quantization $QG \in \mathbf{Z}$. This inconsistency suggests that the minimal Dirac magnetic monopole does not align with the fractional charge of quarks. Consequently, it implies that magnetic monopoles must also carry a color magnetic charge, contributing an additional term to the Dirac quantization condition and thereby rendering the spectrum more consistent, as demonstrated in [21]. The monopole given by Dirac quantization does not align with the fractional charge of the quark, disrupting the continuity of the spectrum. Indeed, as seen in Fig.4 and Fig.5, the associated 't Hooft lines do carry $SU(3)$ magnetic charges. This implies that in these cases, the gauge group of physical theory at lower energy is actually $U(3)$ rather than $U(1) \times SU(3)$.

Next, we turn our attention to the group $G = \frac{U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_H \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_N} \times \frac{SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X}{\mathbf{Z}_M}$. This quotient group differs slightly from the previous ones. In this case, the two broken sectors are disintegrated and mixed up, leading to subtle differences that will be elaborated upon below.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ originates from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2, G = 6$, respectively, arising from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2, k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 6, g = 1$, identical to those discussed earlier.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is obtained from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. Since $h = z_2^e \pmod{2}$ now and Q_D is only related to x_2^e but not z_2^e , we can conveniently set $x_2^e = 0$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2, G = 6$, respectively. Although one may initially think $G = 3$ due to $2k = z_2^m$ and $z_2^m = 0, 1 \pmod{2}$, considering the conditions of Eq.(4.1) and that x_2^m can only take values $0 \pmod{2}$, the magnetic charges must be even, hence the minimal $G = 6$. These charges arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2, k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 6, g = 1$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$ and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ comes from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. Since $q = x_2^e \pmod{2}$ and Q is only related to z_2^e , we can set $z_2^e = 0$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2, G = 6$, respectively, because the magnetic charges required to be an even by Eq.(4.1). These charges arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2, k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 6, g = 1$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = 1$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$ and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ comes from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2, G = 2$ respectively. These arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2, k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2, g = \frac{1}{3}$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is originated from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. And the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2$, $G = 6$, respectively. These charges arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 6$, $g = 1$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6H}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ arises from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. And the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. This results from $q = 3x_2^e - 2z_3^e \pmod 6$, where Q is only dependent on z_2^e but not x_2^e , allowing us to set $z_2^e = 0$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2$, $G = 2$, respectively. Since G is required to be even according to Eq.(4.1). These charges arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2$, $g = \frac{1}{3}$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is originated from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. And the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2$, $G = 2$, respectively. These charges arise from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2$, $g = \frac{1}{3}$ respectively.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{6H}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ arises from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, and the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. The corresponding dark magnetic and magnetic charges are $G_D = 2$, $G = 2$, respectively, arising from the 't Hooft line with $\rho_2^m = 2$, $k = 1$ and $\lambda_2^m = 2$, $g = \frac{1}{3}$ respectively.

Next, we study a case that seemingly looks quite different: $G = \frac{U(1)_V \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C}{\mathbf{Z}_N} \times \frac{SU(2)_H \times U(1)_A}{\mathbf{Z}_M}$. This situation is one of the possible cases we have found; it may not necessarily be correct, but for completeness, we have still investigated it. Due to charge mixing before symmetry breaking, the range of electric charge values becomes more flexible. However, the form of magnetic charge mixing is indeterminate, making it unclear what the minimum magnetic charge value will be after breaking, as well as the corresponding 't Hooft line.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{1}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ originates from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. And the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L}$: The hypercharges are constrained by $q + 3h = z_2^e \pmod 2$. The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ arises from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. This derived from $q + 3h = 1 + 3 = 0 \pmod 2$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The hypercharges are constrained by $q - 3h = x_2^e \pmod 2$. The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2} + 0 = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. And the minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6} + 0 = \frac{1}{6}$ comes from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$. The hypercharges follow $q - 3h = 1 - 3 = 0 \pmod 2$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3$: The hypercharges are constrained by $q + 3h = z_3^e \pmod 3$. The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2} + 0 = \frac{1}{2}$ originates from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{3}{6} + 0 = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_3$. The hypercharges follow $q + 3h = 3 + 3 = 0 \pmod 3$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$: The hypercharges are constrained by $q + 3h = z_2^e \pmod 2$ and $q - 3h = x_2^e \pmod 2$. The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ arises from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{6}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_1$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{3}{6} + 0 = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. This is because $q + 3h = 3z_2^e - 2z_3^e \pmod 6$, allowing us to set $q + 3h = 3 + 3 = 0 \pmod 6$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$: The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ arises from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_1$. This is because $q + 3h = z_3^e \pmod 3$ and $q - 3h = x_2^e \pmod 2$, allowing us to set $q + 3h = 3 + 3 = 0 \pmod 3$ and $q - 3h = 3 - 3 \pmod 2$.

$\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$: The hypercharges now is restricted by $q + 3h = 3z_2^e - 2z_3^e \pmod 6$ and $q - 3h = x_2^e \pmod 2$, allowing us to set $q + 3h = 3 + 3 = 0 \pmod 6$ and $q - 3h = 3 - 3 \pmod 2$. The minimum dark electric charge $Q_D = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$. The minimal electric charge $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ is derived from the Wilson line $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_3$.

These are still three representative examples among all possibilities.

We denote $B_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}^a$, $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ as the field strength for $U(1)_Y$, $SU(2)_H$ and $SU(3)$, respectively, and $C_{\mu\nu}$ and $L_{\mu\nu}^a$ as the field strength for $U(1)_X$ and $SU(2)_H$. The theta terms of these fields before symmetries breaking are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\theta = & \frac{\tilde{\theta}_Y}{16\pi^2} \frac{g_1^2}{36} \int *B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta_{2L}}{16\pi^2} \frac{g_2^2}{2} \int *F^{a\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{\theta_3}{16\pi^2} \frac{g_3^2}{2} \int *G^{a\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a \\
& + \frac{\tilde{\theta}_X}{16\pi^2} \frac{j_1^2}{4} \int *C^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\theta_{2H}}{16\pi^2} \frac{j_2^2}{2} \int *L^{a\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}^a
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Where g_i 's and j_i 's are the gauge coupling constants. The $U(1)_Y$ hypercharge gauge field is normalized such that $q \in \mathbf{Z}/6$, and the $U(1)_X$ hypercharge gauge field is normalized such that $h \in \mathbf{Z}/2$.

After symmetries breaking, it reduces into:

$$S_\theta = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_Y + 18\theta_{2L}}{36} \int (*F_{em}^{\mu\nu} F_{em,\mu\nu}) + \dots + \frac{c^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_X + 2\theta_{2H}}{4} \int (*G_{dem}^{\mu\nu} G_{dem,\mu\nu}) + \dots \tag{4.3}$$

Here, e denotes the electric charge and c represents the dark electric charge. $F_{em,\mu\nu}$ and $G_{dem,\mu\nu}$ are the electromagnetic field strength and dark electromagnetic field strength, respectively.

We observe that the electromagnetic θ -angle $\theta_{em} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_Y + 18\theta_{2L}}{36}$ and the dark electromagnetic θ -angle $\theta_{dem} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_X + 2\theta_{2H}}{4}$ both emerge from the Higgs mechanism which concerning two Higgs doublets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{3,1}$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_{0,1}$, which generate fermion masses. Such combinations both satisfy the 't Hooft anomaly matching and cannot be canceled by a chiral rotation. As clarified by David Tong in cite of [9], these combinations can also be rotated away.

The admissible ranged for θ_{em} and θ_{dem} resemble non-breaking θ -angles, and both are dependent on the choice of Γ .

For electromagnetism, when Γ contains the elements $\mathbf{1}$ or \mathbf{Z}_{2L} , the gauge group of electromagnetism is $U(1)_{em} \times SU(3)$, with $\theta_{em} \in [0, 2\pi Q^2)$, where Q represents the minimum charge. Conversely, when Γ includes the element \mathbf{Z}_3 or $\mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$, the gauge group of electromagnetism becomes $U(3)$, and $\theta_{em} \in [0, 18\pi Q^2)$. In particularly, when Γ contains $\mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$, the periodicity of θ_{em} is $[0, 2\pi)$, which coincides with the minimum fractional quark charge of $\frac{1}{3}$.

For dark electromagnetism, when Γ contains either $\mathbf{1}$ or \mathbf{Z}_{2H} , the gauge group of dark electromagnetism is $U(1)_{dem}$, and $\theta_{dem} \in [0, 2\pi Q_D^2)$, where Q_D is the minimum dark charge.

These observations imply that when $\Gamma = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2L}, \mathbf{Z}_{2H}, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H}$, the gauge group of electromagnetism remains $U(1) \times SU(3)$. However, when $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_{2L} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2H} \times \mathbf{Z}_3$, the gauge group of electromagnetism is always $U(3)$. In the meantime, the gauge group of dark electromagnetism consistently remains $U(1)$. These results are derived from the the symmetry-breaking patterns discussed above.

5 Summary

All possible gauge group G for the G2HDM options are listed here, but the determination of the correct gauge group for the actual G requires further experimental validation. The global structure of the G2HDM depends on the choice of its center quotient Γ . Although we have discussed the properties and differences of all possible theories, these discussion still remain theoretical and do not imply that these differences can be observed experimentally.

In a theory incorporating gravity, the line operators carry the same charges as the dynamic matter, and the same holds for dark sector, with the distinction that the charges are now dark charges. A black hole can induce line operators in a spacetime with an \mathbf{S}^2 horizon, where the fluxes across this horizon are determined by the choice of Γ . The fluxes permitted through a cycle in a nontrivial spacetime is dictated by the global structure of the gauge group.

Moreover, in such a theory with gravity, it is impossible to decouple gravity by allowing the field mass to become infinite, thereby leaving only non-dynamic line operators. This is because the reaction of the line operator will eventually form a black hole with the appropriate charge and magnetic charge. However, since elementary particles cannot be admitted to form black holes, this suggests the possible existence of other particles in this model that may carry magnetic or electric charges.

As discussed, if a neutral quark $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{3})_{0,h}$, where \mathbf{n} and h represent the representation of $SU(2)_H$ and the $U(1)_X$ hypercharge, respectively, is within the representation of G , then Γ should include the

element \mathbf{Z}_{2L} or another without the \mathbf{Z}_3 element. However, the discovery of a magnetic monopole that is consistent with the minimal Dirac quantisation with respect to the electron but not with respect to the quark would imply that $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}_{6L}$. Fortunately, the dark sector is consistent with the naive Dirac quantization condition. Despite these predictions, the spectrum of particles will not be very rich, as the mass of the particles is limited only by the Planck scale.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing, China (Grant No. CSTB2022NSCQ-MSX0351).

References

- [1] T. Daniel Brennan and Sungwoo Hong. Introduction to Generalized Global Symmetries in QFT and Particle Physics. 6 2023.
- [2] Fabio Apruzzi, Federico Bonetti, Dewi S. W. Gould, and Sakura Schafer-Nameki. Aspects of Categorical Symmetries from Branes: SymTFTs and Generalized Charges. 6 2023.
- [3] Lakshya Bhardwaj and Sakura Schafer-Nameki. Generalized charges, part I: Invertible symmetries and higher representations. *SciPost Phys.*, 16(4):093, 2024.
- [4] Pedro R. S. Gomes. An introduction to higher-form symmetries. *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes*, 74:1, 2023.
- [5] Po-Shen Hsin, Ho Tat Lam, and Nathan Seiberg. Comments on One-Form Global Symmetries and Their Gauging in 3d and 4d. *SciPost Phys.*, 6(3):039, 2019.
- [6] Rodrigo Alonso, Despoina Dimakou, and Mia West. Fractional-charge hadrons and leptons to tell the Standard Model group apart. 4 2024.
- [7] Yichul Choi, Ho Tat Lam, and Shu-Heng Shao. Noninvertible Global Symmetries in the Standard Model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 129(16):161601, 2022.
- [8] Ryo Yokokura. Non-invertible symmetries in axion electrodynamics. 12 2022.
- [9] David Tong. Line Operators in the Standard Model. *JHEP*, 07:104, 2017.
- [10] Ofer Aharony, Nathan Seiberg, and Yuji Tachikawa. Reading between the lines of four-dimensional gauge theories. *JHEP*, 08:115, 2013.
- [11] Niklas Garner and Wenjun Niu. Line Operators in $U(1|1)$ Chern-Simons Theory. 4 2023.
- [12] Po-Shen Hsin and Ho Tat Lam. Discrete theta angles, symmetries and anomalies. *SciPost Phys.*, 10(2):032, 2021.
- [13] Yichul Choi, Matthew Forsslund, Ho Tat Lam, and Shu-Heng Shao. Quantization of Axion-Gauge Couplings and Noninvertible Higher Symmetries. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 132(12):121601, 2024.
- [14] Anton Kapustin. Wilson-’t Hooft operators in four-dimensional gauge theories and S-duality. *Phys. Rev. D*, 74:025005, 2006.
- [15] Mans Henningson. Wilson-’t Hooft operators and the theta angle. *JHEP*, 05:065, 2006.
- [16] Fedele Lizzi and Richard J. Szabo. Electric magnetic duality in noncommutative geometry. *Phys. Lett. B*, 417:303–311, 1998.
- [17] Roberto Longo and Feng Xu. Topological sectors and a dichotomy in conformal field theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 251:321–364, 2004.

- [18] Raymundo Ramos, Van Que Tran, and Tzu-Chiang Yuan. A Sub-GeV Low Mass Hidden Dark Sector of $SU(2)_H \times U(1)_X$. *JHEP*, 11:112, 2021.
- [19] Cheng-Tse Huang, Raymundo Ramos, Van Que Tran, Yue-Lin Sming Tsai, and Tzu-Chiang Yuan. Consistency of Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model: Gauge Sector. *JHEP*, 09:048, 2019.
- [20] Wei-Chih Huang, Yue-Lin Sming Tsai, and Tzu-Chiang Yuan. G2HDM : Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model. *JHEP*, 04:019, 2016.
- [21] Edward Corrigan and David I. Olive. Color and Magnetic Monopoles. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 110:237–247, 1976.