Excited-State-Specific Kohn-Sham Formalism for the Asymmetric Hubbard Dimer

Pierre-François Loos^{1, [a\)](#page-0-0)} and Sara Giarrusso^{2, [b\)](#page-0-1)}

 $1)$ Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantiques (UMR 5626), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, France $^{2)}$ Institut de Chimie Physique (UMR 8000), Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS

Building on our recent study $[J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 8780 (2023)],$ $[J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 8780 (2023)],$ $[J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 8780 (2023)],$ we explore the generalization of the ground-state Kohn-Sham (KS) formalism of density-functional theory (DFT) to the (singlet) excited states of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer at half-filling. While we found that the KS-DFT framework can be straightforwardly generalized to the highest-lying doubly-excited state, the treatment of the first excited state presents significant challenges. Specifically, using a density-fixed adiabatic connection, we show that the density of the first excited state lacks non-interacting v-representability. However, by employing an analytic continuation of the adiabatic path, we demonstrate that the density of the first excited state can be generated by a complex-valued external potential in the non-interacting case. More practically, by performing state-specific KS calculations with exact and approximate correlation functionals — each state possessing a distinct correlation functional — we observe that spurious stationary solutions of the KS equations may arise due to the approximate nature of the functional.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Kohn-Sham (KS) formalism^{[1](#page-11-0)} of density-functional theory $(DFT)^2$ $(DFT)^2$ is a cornerstone of electronic structure theory, celebrated as the method of choice across chemistry, physics, and materials science for its practicality and versatility.[3](#page-11-2) At its heart lies the KS model system, a hypothetical construct of non-interacting electrons designed to reproduce the electron density of the corresponding interacting system.^{[1](#page-11-0)} To recreate the electron density of the physical system, the KS system evolves in a local multiplicative one-body effective potential referred to as the KS potential, defined up to an arbitrary additive constant. While the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem^{[2](#page-11-1)} does not ensure the existence of this potential, it does establish its uniqueness for ground-state systems.

The central issue of the existence of such a potential is known as the v-representability problem.^{[4,](#page-11-3)[5](#page-11-4)} A density is vrepresentable if it arises from a N-electron system with an external potential v. Densities are further classified as interacting or non-interacting v-representable, depending on whether they arise from an interacting or a non-interacting system, respectively. For the KS formalism to be applicable, the interacting v-representable density of the physical electron system must also be non-interacting vrepresentable — a necessary condition. Thus, the focus is on densities that are both interacting and non-interacting v-representable.

There is clear evidence that certain physical ground states lack a corresponding pure-state KS system, $6,7$ $6,7$ pointing out fundamental limitations of the KS formalism.[8](#page-11-7) The existence of a pure-state KS system for excited states remains even more uncertain. $9-11$ $9-11$ The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem guarantees a bijective mapping between the ex-

ternal potential v and the ground-state density $\rho^{2,12,13}$ $\rho^{2,12,13}$ $\rho^{2,12,13}$ $\rho^{2,12,13}$ $\rho^{2,12,13}$ but no analogous theorem exists for excited states. $14-17$ $14-17$

Despite this, orbital-optimized DFT (OO- $DFT)^{9-11,18-24}$ $DFT)^{9-11,18-24}$ $DFT)^{9-11,18-24}$ $DFT)^{9-11,18-24}$ $DFT)^{9-11,18-24}$ has gained traction for excited-state calculations as it consistently delivers accurate excitation energies, particularly for double excitations and chargetransfer states.[25](#page-11-16)[–37](#page-11-17) These capabilities position OO-DFT as both a practical tool and a compelling alternative to (linear-response) time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) $38-40$ $38-40$ for these types of excitations. $41-46$ $41-46$ This growing interest has fueled recent efforts to further refine and formalize the mathematical foundation of OO-DFT.[47–](#page-12-3)[50](#page-12-4)

In OO-DFT, self-consistent equations are solved for a non-Aufbau determinant constructed from KS orbitals. Here, "non-Aufbau" refers to not strictly occupying the lowest-energy KS orbitals. This approach enables a statespecific representation, leading to KS orbitals, orbital energies, and potentials that vary across electronic states. Since no density-functional approximations (DFAs) have been specifically developed for excited states, these calculations often rely on DFAs originally designed for the ground state. Recent efforts by Gould, Pittalis and collaborators have addressed this gap by developing a unified DFA for ground and excited states $(GX24)^{49}$ $(GX24)^{49}$ $(GX24)^{49}$ and a localdensity approximation designed specifically for excited states.^{[51](#page-12-6)} These advancements represent a significant step forward in developing state-specific functionals but further improvements are clearly necessary.

In a recent paper, we found that a distinct universal functional exists for each (singlet) state of the asymmet-ric Hubbard dimer at half-filling.^{[52](#page-12-7)} Expanding upon our recent findings, we investigate the extension of the groundstate KS-DFT formalism to the excited states of the Hubbard dimer. Here, we show that while the KS-DFT framework is readily adaptable to describe the highest doublyexcited state, addressing the first excited state proves considerably more challenging. Using a density-fixed adiabatic connection, we demonstrate that the density of the first excited state lacks non-interacting v-representability.

a)Electronic mail: loos@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

b)Electronic mail: sara.giarrusso@universite-paris-saclay.fr

However, by introducing an analytic continuation of the adiabatic path, we show that the first excited state density becomes non-interacting complex-v-representable, as it can be reproduced via a complex-valued external potential. From a practical perspective, we also perform state-specific KS calculations employing both exact and approximate correlation functionals. This reveals that approximate functionals can lead to spurious stationary solutions of the KS equations, highlighting key characteristics and limitations of this methodology, and suggesting the need for the development of state-specific functionals.

Note that in the work of Ayers and Yang, 47 it is found that the same exchange-correlation functional is used by ground and excited states. However, their conclusion applies to functionals that are explicit in either one of the following variables: the KS state, the corresponding one-body reduced density matrix, or the excitation quantum number and the KS potential. By contrast, in this work, we consider state-specific functionals that depend explicitly on the density.

II. UNIVERSAL DENSITY FUNCTIONAL

A. Ground State

Here, we review the ground-state theory.^{[53–](#page-12-8)[55](#page-12-9)} Below, we define the universal (i.e., independent of the external potential) ground-state functional of the density $F^{(0)}[\rho]$ using Levy's constrained search^{[56](#page-12-10)} and Lieb's convex formulation, 57 two complementary approaches that offer mathematical rigor and generality to DFT.

Within the Levy constrained search, 56 it can be defined as

$$
F^{(0)}[\rho] = \min_{\substack{\Psi \\ \rho_{\Psi} = \rho}} \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle
$$

=
$$
\langle \Psi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
 (1)

with \hat{T} the kinetic energy operator and \hat{W} the electron repulsion operator. The minimization (which is independent of the external potential) is performed over all possible N-electron antisymmetric wave functions Ψ that yield a given electron density ρ , i.e., $\rho_{\Psi} = \rho$. The minimizing wave function, denoted as $\Psi^{(0)}[\rho]$, is known to exist but may not be unique.^{[57](#page-12-11)} The exact ground-state energy is thus

$$
E^{(0)}[v] = \min_{\rho} \left\{ F^{(0)}[\rho] + \int v(\bm{r}) \rho(\bm{r}) d\bm{r} \right\}
$$
 (2)

where $v(\mathbf{r})$ is the external local potential and $\int \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} =$ N. The minimizer

$$
\rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \arg\min_{\rho} \left\{ F^{(0)}[\rho] + \int v(\boldsymbol{r})\rho(\boldsymbol{r})d\boldsymbol{r} \right\} \tag{3}
$$

is known to be the ground-state density if it exists.

Alternatively, by adopting the Lieb formulation, 57 the universal density functional is

$$
F^{(0)}[\rho] = \max_{v} \left\{ E^{(0)}[v] - \int v(\boldsymbol{r}) \rho(\boldsymbol{r}) d\boldsymbol{r} \right\} \tag{4}
$$

with

$$
E^{(0)}[v] = \min_{\Psi} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}[v] | \Psi \rangle \tag{5}
$$

and

$$
\hat{H}[v] = \hat{T} + \hat{W} + \hat{V}[v] \tag{6}
$$

where $\hat{V}[v] = \sum_{i}^{N} v(r_i)$. In Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-0), the maximization is carried out over the external potential v for a fixed density ρ , whereas the energy [see Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-1)] is evaluated at v but may correspond to a different density. We refer the interested reader to Ref. [58](#page-12-12) for an exhaustive discussion about the distinct features of these universal functionals.

If they exist, the minimizer defined in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-2) and the maximizer

$$
v^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \arg\max_{v} \left\{ E^{(0)}[v] - \int v(\boldsymbol{r})\rho(\boldsymbol{r})d\boldsymbol{r} \right\} \tag{7}
$$

are both functionals of ρ and are linked via the following relationships

$$
v^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\left. \frac{\delta F^{(0)}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{\rho = \rho^{(0)}} \tag{8a}
$$

$$
\rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = + \left. \frac{\delta E^{(0)}[v(\mathbf{r})]}{\delta v(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{v=v^{(0)}} \tag{8b}
$$

which shows that the energy and the universal functional are conjugate functions while v and ρ are dual quantities. Note that here we assume differentiability although $F^{(0)}[\rho]$ is only "almost differentiable" which means that it may be approximated to any accuracy by a differentiable regularized functional.[5](#page-11-4)[,59](#page-12-13)[–67](#page-12-14)

B. Excited States

In his seminal paper, 10 10 10 Görling proposes to replace the minimization in Levy's constrained search [see Eq. (1)] by a general optimization procedure based on the stationarity principle, $9,25$ $9,25$ where one seeks the stationary points of $\langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle$ with respect to Ψ (see also Ref. [47–](#page-12-3)[50\)](#page-12-4):

$$
F^{(m)}[\rho] = \underset{\rho_{\Psi} = \rho}{\text{stat}} \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle
$$

=
$$
\langle \Psi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
 (9)

where the subscript m indexes the different stationary states $\Psi^{(m)}$, $m=0$ being the ground state. The exact energy of the mth excited state can be expressed as

$$
E^{(m)}[v] = \text{stat}\left\{F^{(m)}[\rho] + \int v(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}\right\} \quad (10)
$$

An analogous generalization of the Lieb formulation [see Eq. (4)] yields

$$
F^{(m)}[\rho] = \operatorname{stat}_{v} \left\{ E^{(m)}[v] - \int v(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \right\} \tag{11}
$$

with

$$
E^{(m)}[v] = \operatorname*{stat}_{\Psi} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}[v] | \Psi \rangle \tag{12}
$$

Optimizers (assuming they exist) of Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-1-4) and [\(11\)](#page-2-0) are given by $48,50$ $48,50$

$$
\rho^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = \arg \operatorname{stat}_{\rho} \left\{ F^{(m)}[\rho] + \int v(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \right\} \quad (13a)
$$

$$
v^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = \arg\text{stat}_{v} \left\{ E^{(m)}[v] - \int v(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} \right\} \quad (13b)
$$

In our recent work, 52 we applied the stationarity principle as in Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-5) to obtain the universal functionals $F^{(m)}[\rho]$ for the excited states of a model system. We also applied an analogous stationarity principle on the Lieb formulation [see Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-0)] obtaining identical $F^{(m)}[\rho]$ as from the Levy constrained search. Moreover, we showed that Eqs. [\(8a\)](#page-1-6) and [\(8b\)](#page-1-7) apply to the singlet excited states as well as to the ground state of the model, that is,

$$
v^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\left. \frac{\delta F^{(m)}[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{\rho = \rho^{(m)}} \tag{14a}
$$

$$
\rho^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = + \left. \frac{\delta E^{(m)}[v]}{\delta v(\mathbf{r})} \right|_{v=v^{(m)}} \tag{14b}
$$

Although generalizations have been attempted in Refs. [48](#page-12-15) and [50,](#page-12-4) a general proof has not yet been established.

III. KOHN-SHAM SCHEME

A. Ground State

As mentioned in Sec. [I,](#page-0-2) the KS system corresponds to a system of (formally) non-interacting electrons.^{[1](#page-11-0)} For this special case, the universal functional is often referred to as $T_s[\rho]$, the KS kinetic-energy functional. Within the constrained-search formalism, the non-interacting kineticenergy functional is expressed as

$$
T_s^{(0)}[\rho] = \min_{\Phi \atop \rho_\Phi = \rho} \langle \Phi | \hat{T} | \Phi \rangle
$$

=
$$
\langle \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} | \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
 (15)

where the minimization is performed, for non-degenerate ground states, over all possible N-electron singledeterminant wave functions Φ that yield the fixed density ρ . Again, the minimizing wave function, denoted as $\Phi^{(0)}[\rho]$ and named KS wave function, is known to exist but may not be unique.[57](#page-12-11)

The universal functional and the KS kinetic energy functional are linked by the Hartree-exchange-correlation (Hxc) functional, as follows:

$$
F^{(0)}[\rho] = T_{\rm s}^{(0)}[\rho] + E_{\rm Hxc}^{(0)}[\rho] \tag{16}
$$

Thanks to the introduction of the KS fictitious system, one can recast the minimization over densities in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-8) by a minimization over single-determinant wave functions

$$
E^{(0)}[v] = \min_{\Phi} \left\{ \langle \Phi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}[v] | \Phi \rangle + E^{(0)}_{\text{Hxc}}[\rho_{\Phi}] \right\} \tag{17}
$$

where ρ_{Φ} is the density produced by Φ . If the minimizing single-determinant wave function $\Phi^{(0)}$ exists, then it yields the exact ground-state density $\rho^{(0)}(r)$.

The Hxc functional is often decomposed as

$$
E_{\rm Hxc}^{(0)}[\rho] = E_{\rm H}[\rho] + E_{\rm x}^{(0)}[\rho] + E_{\rm c}^{(0)}[\rho] \tag{18}
$$

where

$$
E_{\rm H}[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}'
$$
 (19a)

$$
E_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}[\rho] = \langle \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{W} | \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle - E_{\mathbf{H}}[\rho] \tag{19b}
$$

$$
E_c^{(0)}[\rho] = \langle \Psi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle - \langle \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
(19c)

are the Hartree (H) , exchange (x) , and correlation (c) energy functionals, respectively. The correlation part $E_c^{(0)}[\rho] = T_c^{(0)}[\rho] + W_c^{(0)}[\rho]$ is the sum of a kinetic contribution

$$
T_c^{(0)}[\rho] = \langle \Psi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} | \Psi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle - \langle \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} | \Phi^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle \tag{20}
$$

and a potential contribution

$$
W_{\rm c}^{(0)}[\rho] = \langle \Psi^{(0)}[\rho]|\hat{W}|\Psi^{(0)}[\rho]\rangle - \langle \Phi^{(0)}[\rho]|\hat{W}|\Phi^{(0)}[\rho]\rangle \tag{21}
$$

According to KS-DFT, the ground-state density of the interacting Hamiltonian $H[v]$ can be obtained from

$$
\rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} f_i^{(0)} |\psi_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)|^2 \tag{22}
$$

where f_i is the occupancy of the ground-state KS orbital $\psi_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)$ with spin $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$. In the ground-state case, we have

$$
f_i^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \le N \\ 0 & \text{if } i > N \end{cases} \tag{23}
$$

which corresponds to populate the N lowest-energy KS orbitals (Aufbau filling). The ground-state KS orbitals are obtained as the solutions to a set of single-particle equations, known as the KS equations: $¹$ $¹$ $¹$ </sup>

$$
\left[-\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2}{2} + v(\mathbf{r}) + v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i^{(0)} \psi_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad (24)
$$

where the $\epsilon_i^{(0)}$'s are KS orbital energies and the Hxc potential is

$$
v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{\delta E_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}[\rho(\boldsymbol{r})]}{\delta \rho(\boldsymbol{r})}
$$
(25)

which can be decomposed into individual contributions, $v_{\rm Hxc}^{(0)}({\bm r})=v_{\rm H}({\bm r})+v_{\rm x}^{(0)}({\bm r})+v_{\rm c}^{(0)}({\bm r}), \, \text{and the ground-state}$ KS potential is, up to an additive constant, $v_s^{(0)}(r) =$ $v(\bm{r}) + v_{\rm Hxc}^{(0)}(\bm{r}) \; \rm{or}$

$$
v_{\rm s}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\delta T_{\rm s}^{(0)}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})}.
$$
 (26)

Since $v_{\rm Hxc}^{(0)}$ is itself a functional of the density, the problem becomes non-linear and must be solved self-consistently. Under certain assumptions (the desired density should be both interacting and non-interacting v -representable) and if the exact expression of $E_{\rm xc}[\rho]$ were known (in an appropriate domain of ρ), Eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-1) would yield exactly the density of the interacting system.

It is possible to link the physical and KS systems by performing an adiabatic connection while keeping the ground-state density fixed. $68-70$ $68-70$ In practice, it is done by linearly scaling the electron-electron interaction with a coupling constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The Hamiltonian is thus defined as

$$
\hat{H}_{\lambda}[v_{\lambda}^{(0)}] = \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{W} + \hat{V}[v_{\lambda}^{(0)}]
$$
\n(27)

where $v_{\lambda}^{(0)}$ $\lambda^{(0)}$ is the external potential that imposes that the ground-state density remains constant along the adiabatic path between the physical system at $\lambda = 1$ and the KS system at $\lambda = 0$. In this setting, the universal groundstate functional along the adiabatic path is defined as

$$
F_{\lambda}^{(0)}[\rho] = \min_{\substack{\Psi \\ \rho_{\Psi} = \rho}} \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle
$$

= $\langle \Psi_{\lambda}^{(0)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi_{\lambda}^{(0)}[\rho] \rangle$ (28)

or equivalently

$$
F_{\lambda}^{(0)}[\rho] = \max_{v} \left\{ E_{\lambda}^{(0)}[v] - \int v(\boldsymbol{r})\rho(\boldsymbol{r})d\boldsymbol{r} \right\} \qquad (29)
$$

which takes the following limiting values at the extremities of the path

$$
F_{\lambda=0}^{(0)}[\rho] = T_{\rm s}^{(0)}[\rho] \qquad F_{\lambda=1}^{(0)}[\rho] = F^{(0)}[\rho] \qquad (30)
$$

B. Excited States

Here, we generalized the ground-state KS scheme de-scribed in Sec. [II A](#page-1-9) to excited states. $9,47,71$ $9,47,71$ $9,47,71$ First, thanks to the stationarity principle developed in Sec. [II B,](#page-1-10) we

generalize the non-interacting kinetic-energy functional to excited states, such that

$$
T_s^{(m)}[\rho] = \text{stat}_{\Psi} \langle \Phi | \hat{T} | \Phi \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\rho_{\Psi} = \rho
$$

\n
$$
= \langle \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} | \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
\n(31)

where the search of stationary points is performed over the set of N-electron single-determinant wave functions with potentially non-Aufbau fillings. We note that the KS wave function is not necessarily restricted to a single Slater determinant; in the degenerate case, it can be expressed as a linear combination of Slater determinants.[55,](#page-12-9)[57](#page-12-11) (The same comment applies to the ground state.)

There might exist more than one single-determinant wave function with a different index m that yields the fixed density ρ . Although we use the same index (m) for the KS and exact excited states, it is important to mention that the rankings in the KS and exact frameworks are not necessarily identical. In other words, m should be regarded more as a tracking number than a ranking number.

Within the KS scheme, the universal functional associated with the mth excited state is thus decomposed as

$$
F^{(m)}[\rho] = T_{\rm s}^{(m)}[\rho] + E_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}[\rho]
$$
 (32)

and the corresponding energy reads

$$
E^{(m)}[v] = \text{stat}_{\Phi} \left\{ \langle \Phi | \hat{T} + \hat{V}[v] | \Phi \rangle + E^{(m)}_{\text{Hxc}}[\rho_{\Phi}] \right\} \tag{33}
$$

The state-specific Hxc functional can be decomposed as

$$
E_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}[\rho] = E_{\rm H}[\rho] + E_{\rm x}^{(m)}[\rho] + E_{\rm c}^{(m)}[\rho] \tag{34}
$$

where the Hartree functional given by Eq. [\(19a\)](#page-2-2) is the only state-independent quantity, the exchange functional being

$$
E_{\mathbf{x}}^{(m)}[\rho] = \langle \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{W} | \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle - E_{\mathbf{H}}[\rho] \qquad (35)
$$

while the correlation functional is

$$
E_c^{(m)}[\rho] = \langle \Psi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle - \langle \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
 (36)

Again, we split the correlation part as $E_c^{(m)}[\rho] = T_c^{(m)}[\rho] +$ $W_{\rm c}^{(m)}[\rho]$ with

$$
T_{\rm c}^{(m)}[\rho] = \langle \Psi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} | \Psi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle - \langle \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} | \Phi^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle \tag{37}
$$

and

$$
W_{\rm c}^{(m)}[\rho] = \langle \Psi^{(m)}[\rho]|\hat{W}|\Psi^{(m)}[\rho]\rangle - \langle \Phi^{(m)}[\rho]|\hat{W}|\Phi^{(m)}[\rho]\rangle
$$
\n(38)

The excited-state density reads

$$
\rho^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} f_i^{(m)} |\psi_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}, \sigma)|^2 \tag{39}
$$

where non-Aufbau fillings are considered and the occupation numbers $f_i^{(m)}$ are excited-state-dependent. The KS orbitals are obtained as the solutions to the state-specific KS equations:

$$
\left[-\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^2}{2} + v_s^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \psi_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_i^{(m)} \psi_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad (40)
$$

where the excited-state KS potential is given by $v_s^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}) =$ $v(\bm{r}) + v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\bm{r}) \; {\rm or}$

$$
v_{\rm s}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = -\frac{\delta T_{\rm s}^{(m)}[\rho(\boldsymbol{r})]}{\delta \rho(\boldsymbol{r})}
$$
(41)

and the state-specific Hxc potential is

$$
v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{\delta E_{\text{Hxc}}^{(m)}[\rho(\boldsymbol{r})]}{\delta \rho(\boldsymbol{r})}
$$
(42)

which can be decomposed into individual contributions: $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = v_{\text{H}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + v_{\text{x}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{r}) + v_{\text{c}}^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{r}).\ \ \text{Similarly to the}$ ground-state formalism, we defined a density-fixed adiabatic connection for each excited state as

$$
F_{\lambda}^{(m)}[\rho] = \underset{\rho_{\Psi} = \rho}{\text{stat}} \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)}[\rho] | \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{W} | \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)}[\rho] \rangle
$$
(43)

or equivalently

$$
F_{\lambda}^{(m)}[\rho] = \operatorname{stat}_{v} \left\{ E_{\lambda}^{(m)}[v] - \int v(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} \right\} \tag{44}
$$

with

$$
F_{\lambda=0}^{(m)}[\rho] = T_{\rm s}^{(m)}[\rho] \qquad F_{\lambda=1}^{(m)}[\rho] = F^{(m)}[\rho] \qquad (45)
$$

In this case, the Hamiltonian along the adiabatic connection is

$$
\hat{H}_{\lambda}[v_{\lambda}^{(m)}] = \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{W} + \hat{V}[v_{\lambda}^{(m)}]
$$
\n(46)

where $v_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\chi^{(m)}$ imposes that the *mth* excited-state density remains constant along the adiabatic path between the mth physical excited-state and its corresponding KS state (if it exists).

IV. ASYMMETRIC HUBBARD DIMER

A. Hamiltonian

In the Hubbard dimer at half-filling (see Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0), the Hamiltonian is given by^{[72–](#page-12-19)[85](#page-13-0)}

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{T}} + \hat{\mathcal{W}} + \hat{\mathcal{V}} \tag{47}
$$

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer at half filling: t is the hopping parameter, U is the onsite interaction parameter, and Δv is the difference in potential between the two sites.

with

$$
\hat{\mathcal{T}} = -t \sum_{\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} \left(a_{0\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{1\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \tag{48a}
$$

$$
\hat{\mathcal{W}} = U \sum_{i=0}^{1} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}
$$
\n(48b)

$$
\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \Delta v \frac{\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_0}{2} \tag{48c}
$$

where $t > 0$ is the hopping parameter, $U \geq 0$ is the on-site interaction parameter, $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma}$ is the spindependent site-occupation operator, $\hat{n}_i = \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$ is the spin-summed site-occupation operator, and $\Delta v =$ $v_1 - v_0$ (with $v_0 + v_1 = 0$) is the potential difference (asymmetry) between the two sites. Note that because the operator $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ mimics in some sense the kinetic energy operator for quantum systems in real space, we will refer to its expectation value as "kinetic energy" despite some striking differences compared to the real-space case.

The total number of particles in the model is controlled by the sum of the expectation values of the occupation operator on each site, i.e., $n_0+n_1 = N$. Here, we consider only the case $N = 2$ (half-filling). The site-occupation difference $\Delta n = n_1 - n_0$ in the model plays the role of the electron probability density in real space and we have $-2 < \Delta n < 2$. For notational convenience, we use the reduced quantity $\rho = \Delta n/2$ throughout the paper. Furthermore, we restrict ourselves to singlet states. Although one technically deals with functions in the Hubbard dimer as $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$, we shall stick to the term functional throughout the paper.

The Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ lives in a three-dimensional Hilbert space which can be represented in the basis $|0\rangle_1 0\rangle_2$, $|1\rangle_1 1\rangle_2$, and $(|0_11_+\rangle - |0_11_+\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and has only three eigenvalues and eigenvectors, labeled $E^{(m)}$ and $\Psi^{(m)}$, respectively. Moreover, the general form of a singlet wave function is

$$
|\Psi\rangle = x |0_{\uparrow} 0_{\downarrow}\rangle + y \frac{|0_{\uparrow} 1_{\downarrow}\rangle - |0_{\downarrow} 1_{\uparrow}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + z |1_{\uparrow} 1_{\downarrow}\rangle \tag{49}
$$

with $-1 \leq x, y, z \leq 1$ and the normalization condition

$$
x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\tag{50}
$$

The energy expression is simply $E = T + W + V$, with

$$
T = -2\sqrt{2}ty(x+z)
$$
 (51a)

$$
W = U(x^2 + z^2)
$$
\n^(51b)

$$
V = \rho \,\Delta v \tag{51c}
$$

and

$$
\rho = z^2 - x^2 \tag{52}
$$

Note that the normalized eigenstates of the model Hamiltonian are fully determined by only two parameters: $U/(2t)$ and $\Delta v/(2t)$. For this reason, we set $t = 1/2$ throughout the paper.

For finite and infinite lattice systems, Chayes, Chayes, and Ruskai proved that every ground-state density is vrepresentable.[86](#page-13-1) However, the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem does not always hold (while a potential exists, it might not be unique). A counterexample has been recently discovered by Penz and van Leeuwen 87 and attributed to degeneracies.[88](#page-13-3)[–90](#page-13-4) For Hubbard chains though, the uniqueness and thus the applicability of the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem are established for the ground state 87 but not much is known for excited states.

Using Levy's constrained-search formulation^{[56](#page-12-10)} or, equiv-alently, Lieb's convex formulation,^{[57](#page-12-11)} we demonstrated in Ref. [52](#page-12-7) that the exact functionals corresponding to each singlet state of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer can be determined. As illustrated in Fig. [2,](#page-5-0) the ground-state functional, $F^{(0)}(\rho)$, is convex with respect to ρ , while the doubly excited-state functional, $F^{(2)}(\rho)$, is concave. Remarkably, the functional associated with the singly excited state is a partial (i.e., defined for a subdomain of ρ). multivalued functional that consists of one convex branch, $F^{(1\cup)}(\rho)$, and one concave branch, $F^{(1\cap)}(\rho)$, each associated with a separate domain of Δv values. This behavior arises from the non-invertibility of the density-potential mapping for the first excited state, where a single density ρ can correspond to two different Δv values.

B. Existence of an Adiabatic Connection

This section explores the hypothetical existence of a (non-interacting) KS reference state for each interacting state of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer at half-filling. Specifically, we investigate the existence of a connection, at a fixed density, between the physical system at $\lambda = 1$ and the KS system at $\lambda = 0$.

To study this adiabatic connection, we rely on Görling's stationary principle as applied to Lieb's formulation.^{[57](#page-12-11)} Within this formalism [see Eq. [\(44\)](#page-4-1)], the exact functionals $F_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}(\rho)$ are obtained by seeking the set of stationary

FIG. 2. Exact functional $F^{(m)}(\rho)$ as a function of ρ for each singlet state of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer with $U = 1$.

points with respect to Δv for each value of m and strength λ, i.e.,

$$
F_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho) = \text{stat}\left[f_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho, \Delta v)\right]
$$
 (53)

with

f

$$
\chi^{(m)}(\rho, \Delta v) = E_{\lambda}^{(m)} - \Delta v \rho
$$

=
$$
\frac{\langle \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} \rangle}{\langle \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} | \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} \rangle} - \Delta v \rho
$$
 (54)

where the $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}$'s are given by the eigenvalues of the following Hamiltonian matrix

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta v & -\sqrt{2}t & 0\\ -\sqrt{2}t & 0 & -\sqrt{2}t\\ 0 & -\sqrt{2}t & +\Delta v \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & U \end{pmatrix}
$$
(55)

and $\Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}$ is the exact wave function associated with the mth state at strength λ . As indicated by Eq. [\(13b\)](#page-2-3), the external potential for a given ρ is expressed as follows:

$$
\Delta v_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho) = \arg\underset{\Delta v}{\text{stat}} \left[f_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho, \Delta v) \right] \tag{56}
$$

As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-6-0) where we have depicted $\Delta v_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}(\rho)$ (top) , $F_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ (center), and $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}(\rho)$ (bottom) as functions of λ for $U = 1$ and $\rho = 1/4$, the ground and doublyexcited states $(m = 0 \text{ and } 2)$ are smoothly connected to their respective KS state. This connection holds for any ρ and any U. In contrast, the curves corresponding to the two branches of the first excited states (1∪ and 1∩) merge at the critical value $\lambda = \lambda_c$ and disappear beyond this point. Therefore, at first glance, it would appear that there is no well-defined KS state for the first excited state as one cannot find an external potential that recreates this value of ρ for $\lambda < \lambda_c$. We can thus conclude that the first excited state of the Hubbard dimer lacks non-interacting v-representability.

Here, we propose to analytically continue this adiabatic connection into the complex plane by allowing for

FIG. 3. $\Delta v_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ (top), $F_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ (center), and $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ (bottom) as functions of λ for $U = 1$ and $\rho = 1/4$: ground state $(m = 0)$, singly-excited state $(m = 1)$, and doubly-excited state $(m = 2)$. 1∪ and 1∩ correspond to the convex and concave branches of the first excited state, which merge at $\lambda = \lambda_c$.

complex-valued Δv . One key consequence of this extension is that the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} defined in Eq. [\(47\)](#page-4-2) becomes a complex symmetric operator. To accommodate this non-Hermitian nature, we redefine the inner product, replacing the standard scalar product with the so-called c-product^{91-[93](#page-13-6)} defined as $\langle f|g\rangle_c = \langle f^*|g\rangle$. This symmetric bilinear form, while useful, does not constitute a valid metric norm, as the c-norm $\langle f|f \rangle_c$ can become zero for non-zero functions f , a phenomenon known as self-orthogonality. Consequently, the eigenfunctions of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ may not form a complete set. Nonetheless, thanks to this generalized inner product, the energy can be computed for complex-valued Δv via the complex-stationary principle[94–](#page-13-7)[96](#page-13-8)

$$
E_{\lambda}^{(m)} = \frac{\langle \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} \rangle_{\text{c}}}{\langle \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} | \Psi_{\lambda}^{(m)} \rangle_{\text{c}}}
$$
(57)

FIG. 4. Analytic continuation of $\Delta v_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ (top), $F_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ (center) and $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ (bottom) as functions of λ for $U = 1$ and $\rho = 1/4$: ground state $(m = 0)$, singly-excited state $(m = 1)$, and doubly-excited state ($m = 2$). 1∪ and 1∩ correspond to the convex and concave branches of the first excited state which become complex-valued for $\lambda < \lambda_c$. The solid lines correspond to the real parts while the dashed lines represent the imaginary components.

Unlike the variational principle for Hermitian operators, Eq. [\(57\)](#page-6-1) provides a stationarity condition for the complex energy without imposing bounds on its real or imaginary components. This extension of the domain of definition of the energy and the functional can be seen as a generalization of the holomorphic formalism 97 that allow stationary states to be analytically continued across molecular structures $98,99$ $98,99$ and exchange-correlation functionals.^{[100](#page-13-12)} Here the analytic continuation is performed across the interaction strength at fixed density.^{[101](#page-13-13)} It also parallels the formalism introduced by Ernzerhof for open $\rm systems^{102,103}$ $\rm systems^{102,103}$ $\rm systems^{102,103}$ $\rm systems^{102,103}$ and the principles of density-functional resonance theory.[104](#page-13-16)[–107](#page-13-17)

The top panel of Fig. [4](#page-6-2) illustrates the behavior of

 $\Delta v_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}(\rho)$ as it evolves beyond the critical λ value. After the merging point, the two stationary points transition into a pair of complex conjugate solutions and smoothly evolve from $\lambda = \lambda_c$ to $\lambda = 0$. In the non-interacting limit $(\lambda = 0), \Delta v_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}(\rho)$ is purely imaginary for the first excited state, whereas it has both real and imaginary components for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_c$.

The central and bottom panels of Fig. [4](#page-6-2) report the evolution of $F_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho)$ and $E_{\lambda}^{(m)}$ $\lambda^{(m)}$, respectively, as functions of λ . As expected, both quantities become complex-valued past the critical interaction strength. Interestingly, the energy is zero at $\lambda = 0$ for all ρ values while the exact functional associated with the first excited state is purely imaginary.

Let us write down the various quantities at $\lambda = 0$ in a more explicit form. By solving the following sixth-order polynomial equation

$$
\rho^2 + (3\rho^2 - 1)\left(\frac{\Delta v}{2t}\right)^2 + (3\rho^2 - 2)\left(\frac{\Delta v}{2t}\right)^4
$$

$$
+ (\rho^2 - 1)\left(\frac{\Delta v}{2t}\right)^6 = 0 \quad (58)
$$

which is obtained as the limit of

$$
\frac{\partial f_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\rho, \Delta v)}{\partial \Delta v} = 0
$$
\n(59)

as λ goes to zero, it is easy to show that the optimizers in the non-interacting limit are

$$
\Delta v_0^{(0)}(\rho) = v_s^{(0)}(\rho) = -\frac{2t\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}
$$
(60a)

$$
\Delta v_0^{(1\pm)}(\rho) = v_s^{(1\pm)}(\rho) = \pm 2t \text{i}
$$
 (60b)

$$
\Delta v_0^{(2)}(\rho) = v_s^{(2)}(\rho) = +\frac{2t\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\tag{60c}
$$

where the two complex branches of the first excited states are denoted $1\pm$. (The sixth-order polynomial defined in Eq. [\(58\)](#page-7-0) has two additional solutions that are purely imaginary for all values of ρ . At this point, their physical and mathematical significance remains unclear.) From these quantities, we get the following non-interacting kinetic energies

$$
F_0^{(0)}(\rho) = T_s^{(0)}(\rho) = -2t\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}
$$
 (61a)

$$
F_0^{(1\pm)}(\rho) = T_s^{(1\pm)}(\rho) = \pm 2t i \rho \tag{61b}
$$

$$
F_0^{(2)}(\rho) = T_s^{(2)}(\rho) = +2t\sqrt{1-\rho^2}
$$
 (61c)

and the corresponding total energies read

$$
E_0^{(0)} = -\frac{2t}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\tag{62a}
$$

$$
E_0^{(1)} \equiv E_0^{(1\pm)} = 0 \tag{62b}
$$

$$
E_0^{(2)} = +\frac{2t}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\tag{62c}
$$

8

FIG. 5. Analytic continuation of Δv as a function of ρ for the first excited state $(m = 1)$ for $U = 1$. The real and imaginary parts of Δv are represented as solid and dashed lines, respectively. The analytic continuation part is depicted in purple.

We recover the well-known results for the ground state (see, for example, Ref. [76\)](#page-12-20) and, as expected, the opposite values for the doubly-excited state. Note that because the non-interacting kinetic energy is linear in ρ , the KS potential of the first excited state is independent of the density [see Eq. [\(41\)](#page-4-3)].In the following, we arbitrarily assign the positive (negative) complex part to the concave (convex) branch. Moreover, in the remaining, we omit the dependence in λ .

To conclude this subsection, let us discuss the analytic continuation of the dual quantities Δv and ρ for the fully-interacting system. Figure [5](#page-7-1) illustrates the analytic continuation of Δv as a function of ρ (or vise versa) for the first excited state $(m = 1)$ for $U = 1$. The analytic continuation is shown in purple, with the real part represented by a solid line and the imaginary parts depicted as dashed lines. For a given value of U , densities higher than ρ_c (in absolute value) cannot be reached with real-valued Δv . Instead, values of ρ exceeding ρ_c are accessible only for complex-valued Δv . For $|\rho| < |\rho_c|$, two distinct values of Δv correspond to each ρ : one on the convex branch for smaller Δv and another on the concave branch for larger Δv . Likewise, for $|\rho| > |\rho_c|$, it does not exist a unique Δv that generates this density but a pair of complex-conjugate values of the potential. The density-potential map is thus non-invertible both on the real axis and in the complex plane.

V. KOHN-SHAM IN PRACTICE

We now perform state-specific KS calculations in practice using various Hxc functionals. In other words, we

FIG. 6. $E_c^{(m)}$ (top) and $v_c^{(m)}$ (bottom) as functions of ρ for each singlet state of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer with $U=1.$

study the performance of the ground-state functional for excited-state calculations, and vice versa. Ground-state and doubly-excited-state KS calculations are discussed in Secs. [V A](#page-8-0) and [V B,](#page-8-1) respectively, while the singly-excitedstate KS scheme is addressed in Sec. [V C.](#page-9-0) Since the Hx contribution is identical for all states, the only distinction arises from the correlation component. To illustrate this, Fig. [6](#page-8-2) depicts the correlation functional (top) and correlation potential (bottom) for each singlet state of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer. As discussed below, these results reveal notable differences as well as intriguing similarities.

A. Ground-State Kohn-Sham Calculations

In ground-state KS calculations, one seeks the values of ρ such that the following equation is fulfilled:

$$
v_{\rm s}^{(0)}(\rho) - v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho) - \Delta v = 0 \tag{63}
$$

where $v_s^{(0)}$ is the ground-state KS potential [see Eq. [\(60a\)](#page-7-2)], $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(m)} = U\rho + v_{\text{c}}^{(m)}$ is the Hxc potential of the mth state $(m = 0, 1 \cup, 1 \cap, \text{or } 2)$, and Δv is an input variable. The corresponding KS energy is given by

$$
E_{\rm KS}^{(0,m)} = T_{\rm s}^{(0)}(\rho^{(0,m)}) + E_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho^{(0,m)}) + \Delta v \rho^{(0,m)} \quad (64)
$$

where $T_s^{(0)}$ is given by Eq. [\(61a\)](#page-7-3), $E_{\text{Hxc}}^{(m)}$ is the Hxc functional of the mth state and $\rho^{(0,m)}$ is one of the roots of

FIG. 7. $v_{\rm s}^{(0)} - v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)} - \Delta v$ as a function of ρ for $U = 1$. The horizontal gray line indicates the value of Δv , which is set to zero here. Lowering Δv would move down this line. For a given Δv , the stationary densities are given by the intersection of the colored curves and the gray line.

Eq. [\(63\)](#page-8-3). Mention the two indices for E_{KS} .

In Fig. [7,](#page-8-4) we plot $v_{\rm s}^{(0)} - v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)} - \Delta v$ as a function of ρ for $U = 1$ and $m = 0$ (ground state), $m = 1 \cup$ (convex branch of the first excited state), $m = 1 \cap$ (concave branch of the first excited state), and $m = 2$ (second excited state). The horizontal gray line indicates the value of Δv , which is set to zero here. Solutions for other values of Δv can be obtained by shifting down this gray line. The stationary densities are given by the intersections of the colored curves and the gray line. The resulting stationary ρ values may or may not be exact depending on the correlation potential (i.e., the value of m).

For $m = 0$ (red curve), one always gets a unique root that corresponds to the exact value of ρ as $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}$ is the exact ground-state Hxc potential. Using $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$ instead (green curve), one gets a ρ value quite close as generally $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$ is a fairly good approximation of $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}$, especially for large Δv . The convex branch of the first excited state (blue curve) is also a good approximation of $v_{\text{H}_X}^{(0)}$ Hxc for $0 < \Delta v < 1$. Notably, there is a strong similarity between the curves obtained with $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(1\cup)}$ and $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$. In all cases, a single solution is obtained across the range of Δv , and these solutions correspond to minima. This can be checked by computing the second derivative of the energy with respect to ρ , which is independent of Δv . Conversely, the concave branch (yellow curve) provides poor estimates of ρ and these correspond to maxima rather than minima. This branch should be avoided for ground-state calculations.

B. Doubly-Excited-State Kohn-Sham Calculations

In a doubly-excited state KS calculation, one looks for the zeros of the following equation:

$$
v_{\rm s}^{(2)}(\rho) - v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho) - \Delta v = 0 \tag{65}
$$

where $v_s^{(2)}$ is the KS potential associated with the second excited state [see Eq. [\(60c\)](#page-7-4)] and the expression of the KS energy reads

$$
E_{\rm KS}^{(2,m)} = T_{\rm s}^{(2)}(\rho^{(2,m)}) + E_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho^{(2,m)}) + \Delta v \rho^{(2,m)} \quad (66)
$$

where $T_s^{(2)}$ is given by Eq. [\(61c\)](#page-7-5) and $\rho^{(2,m)}$ is a root of Eq. [\(65\)](#page-8-5).

Analogously to Fig. [7,](#page-8-4) Fig. [8](#page-10-0) presents $v_s^{(2)} - v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(m)} - \Delta v$ for both positive and negative values of ρ as the nonmonotonic nature of the potential makes things more interesting. As before, the value of Δv is set to zero; increasing (decreasing) Δv shifts the line upward (downward). Note that the stationary densities correspond to the intersections of the colored curves and the gray line.

As anticipated, $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$ (green curve) yields the unique exact solution for any Δv . Note that these solutions are energy maxima. Overall, $v_{Hxc}^{(1\cup)}$ (blue curve) is an excellent approximation of $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$ for small Δv but its accuracy deteriorates near the junction with $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(1\cap)}$, which, as in ground-state KS calculations (see Sec. [V A\)](#page-8-0), leads to extremely poor densities and energies. The convex branch (yellow curve) should also be avoided for doubly-excitedstate calculations. $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}$ is a reasonable approximation of $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$, particularly for large Δv . However, for small Δv ($|\Delta v| \leq 0.2$), using the ground-state functional for a doubly-excited state calculation can produce spurious solutions due to the presence of multiple roots. Caution is therefore warranted, as convergence to a spurious solution (either a maximum or a minimum) can occur depending on the initial density. This issue becomes more pronounced at larger U, where correlation effects are stronger.

C. Singly-Excited-State Kohn-Sham Calculations

We now turn our attention to singly-excited-state KS calculations. In this case, we seek the roots of the following complex-valued KS equation

$$
v_{\rm s}^{(1\pm)}(\rho) - v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho) - \Delta v = 0 \tag{67}
$$

where $m = 0, 1-, 1+,$ or 2, $v_s^{(1\pm)}$ is the KS potential associated with the first-excited state [see Eq. [\(60b\)](#page-7-6)] and the complex-valued KS energy is

$$
E_{\rm KS}^{(1\pm,m)} = T_{\rm s}^{(1\pm)}(\rho^{(1\pm,m)}) + E_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho^{(1\pm,m)}) + \Delta v \rho^{(1\pm,m)}
$$
(68)

Here, $\rho^{(1\pm,m)}$ is a real-valued root of Eq. [\(67\)](#page-9-1). Complexvalued roots may exist but they are not considered here due to their unphysical nature. Note that both the real and imaginary parts of Eq. [\(63\)](#page-8-3) vanish at a stationary point, i.e., for $\rho = \rho^{(1\pm,m)}$. This is illustrated in Fig. [9](#page-10-1) where we report $v_s^{(1+)} - v_{Hxc}^{(1\pm)} - \Delta v$ (top) and $v_s^{(1-)}$ $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(1\pm)} - \Delta v$ (bottom) as functions of ρ . Two values of the external potential are considered: $\Delta v = -2$ and

 $\Delta v = -1/5$, with each providing a solution on a different branch. The stationary densities are indicated by markers. As one can see, stationary points only appear when the functional is real-valued since the complex part of the potential is excluded by restricting Δv to real values. Therefore, unless a complex density is specifically chosen as the starting point for the self-consistent procedure, we can safely limit ourselves to the real part of the functionals without any loss of generality.

In this context, we define $v_s^{(1)} = \text{Re}[v_s^{(1\pm)}] = 0$ and $T_{\rm s}^{(1)} = \text{Re}[T_{\rm s}^{(1\pm)}] = 0$ and the real-valued version of Eq. (67) reads

$$
v_{\rm s}^{(1)}(\rho) - v_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho) - \Delta v = 0 \tag{69}
$$

where $m = \{0, 1\cup, 1\cap, 2\}$. The roots of Eq. [\(69\)](#page-9-2) are denoted $\rho^{(1,m)}$ and the real-valued KS energy is

$$
E_{\rm KS}^{(1,m)} = T_{\rm s}^{(1)}(\rho^{(1,m)}) + E_{\rm Hxc}^{(m)}(\rho^{(1,m)}) + \Delta v \rho^{(1,m)} \tag{70}
$$

Figure [10](#page-10-2) illustrates the evolution of $v_s^{(1)} - v_{Hxc}^{(m)} - \Delta v$ as a function of ρ . The trends observed here are similar to those in Figs. [7](#page-8-4) and [8,](#page-10-0) and comparable conclusions can be drawn. A key distinction, however, is the absence of a stationary solution for $|\Delta v| > 1$, except when the concave branch of the first-excited-state functional is employed. This underscores the unique character of this functional compared to the others. As expected, $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(1\cup)}$ and $v_{\text{Hx}}^{(2)}$ remain remarkably close for small Δv , highlighting their consistency in this regime.

The similarity of $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(0)}$ and $v_{\text{Hxc}}^{(2)}$ can be attributed to the fact that the ground and doubly-excited states describe the same type of electron correlation, namely, two electrons occupying the same orbital. This correlation is predominantly dynamic in nature and also applies to the first excited state for small Δv (i.e., the convex branch). For large Δv , the ground and doubly-excited states can be interpreted as ionic configurations, where both electrons are located on the same site. In contrast, in the first excited state at larger Δv (i.e., the concave branch), the electrons are distributed across different sites, with one electron located on each site. This corresponds to a charge-transfer state characterized by covalent configurations. This distinction highlights the significant difference in the correlation functional between short-range dynamic correlation, where electrons are close to one another, and charge-transfer states, where electrons are distributed across different centers.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this study, we extended the KS-DFT formalism to explore its applicability to excited states, focusing on the asymmetric Hubbard dimer at half-filling. While the highest-lying doubly-excited state fits within the KS-DFT framework, the first excited state poses significant challenges due to its lack of non-interacting v-representability.

FIG. 8. $v_s^{(2)} - v_{Hxc}^{(m)} - \Delta v$ as a function of ρ for $U = 1$. The horizontal gray line indicates the value of Δv , which is set to zero here. Increasing (decreasing) Δv would move up (down) this line. For a given Δv , the stationary densities are given by the intersection of the colored curves and the gray line.

FIG. 9. $v_s^{(1+)} - v_{Hxc}^{(1\pm)} - \Delta v$ (top) and $v_s^{(1-)} - v_{Hxc}^{(1\pm)} - \Delta v$ (bottom) as functions of ρ for $U = 1$, $\Delta v = -2$ (top), and $\Delta v = -1/5$ (bottom). The zeros, as indicated by markers, correspond to the stationary self-consistent KS solutions.

By employing an analytic continuation of the adiabatic connection, we showed that the density of the first excited state can be generated by a complex-valued external potential in the non-interacting case. One could regard the density of the first excited state as "complexv-representable". Our findings might prove useful in systems where the KS v-representability condition is violated, such as first- and second-row atoms with partially filled p-shells.[7](#page-11-6)

From a more practical point of view, our results highlight the emergence of spurious stationary solutions in the KS equations when approximate correlation functionals

FIG. 10. $v_s^{(1)} - v_{Hxc}^{(m)} - \Delta v$ as a function of ρ for $U = 1$. The horizontal gray line indicates the value of Δv , which is set to zero here. Decreasing Δv would move down this line. For a given Δv , the stationary densities are given by the intersection of the colored curves and the gray line.

are employed, highlighting the importance of developing more accurate functional approximations for state-specific KS calculations. In the case of the Hubbard dimer, this occurs when performing a doubly-excited-state calculation using the ground-state functional, a widely adopted custom among OO-DFT practitioners. This work provides a deeper understanding of the theoretical and practical limitations of KS-DFT for excited states.

To advance the applicability of OO-DFT, the creation of state-specific functionals is thus essential. $49,51$ $49,51$ Such developments would bridge the gap between theoretical insights and practical computations, allowing for more reliable computational tools across chemistry, physics, and material science.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 863481) and under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101104947.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- ¹W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, "Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects," Phys. Rev. 140[, A1133–A1138](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133) (1965) .
- ²P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, "Inhomogeneous electron gas," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864) Rev. 136[, B864–B871 \(1964\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864)
- ³A. M. Teale, T. Helgaker, A. Savin, C. Adamo, B. Aradi, A. V. Arbuznikov, P. W. Ayers, E. J. Baerends, V. Barone, P. Calaminici, E. Cancès, E. A. Carter, P. K. Chattaraj, H. Chermette, I. Ciofini, T. D. Crawford, F. De Proft, J. F. Dobson, C. Draxl, T. Frauenheim, E. Fromager, P. Fuentealba, L. Gagliardi, G. Galli, J. Gao, P. Geerlings, N. Gidopoulos, P. M. W. Gill, P. Gori-Giorgi, A. Görling, T. Gould, S. Grimme, O. Gritsenko, H. J. A. Jensen, E. R. Johnson, R. O. Jones, M. Kaupp, A. M. Köster, L. Kronik, A. I. Krylov, S. Kvaal, A. Laestadius, M. Levy, M. Lewin, S. Liu, P.-F. Loos, N. T. Maitra, F. Neese, J. P. Perdew, K. Pernal, P. Pernot, P. Piecuch, E. Rebolini, L. Reining, P. Romaniello, A. Ruzsinszky, D. R. Salahub, M. Scheffler, P. Schwerdtfeger, V. N. Staroverov, J. Sun, E. Tellgren, D. J. Tozer, S. B. Trickey, C. A. Ullrich, A. Vela, G. Vignale, T. A. Wesolowski, X. Xu, and W. Yang, "DFT exchange: sharing perspectives on the workhorse of quantum chemistry and materials science," [Phys. Chem. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CP02827A) Phys. 24[, 28700–28781 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CP02827A)
- ⁴W. Kohn, "v-representability and density functional theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 51[, 1596–1598 \(1983\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.1596)
- ⁵P. E. Lammert, "Well-behaved coarse-grained model of densityfunctional theory," Phys. Rev. A 82[, 012109 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.012109)
- ⁶P. R. T. Schipper, O. V. Gritsenko, and E. J.Baerends, "Onedeterminantal pure state versus ensemble Kohn-Sham solutions in the case of strong electron correlation: CH2 and C2," [Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002140050343) Chim. Acc. 99[, 329 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002140050343)
- ⁷E. Trushin, J. Erhard, and A. Görling, "Violations of the v-representability condition underlying Kohn-Sham densityfunctional theory," Phys. Rev. A 110[, L020802 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.110.L020802)
- ⁸R. van Leeuwen, "Density functional approach to the many-body problem: key concepts and exact functionals," [Adv. Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(03)43002-5) Chem. 43[, 24–94 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(03)43002-5)
- $^{9}{\rm A}.$ Görling, "Density-functional theory for excited states," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.3912) Rev. A 54[, 3912 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.3912)
- ¹⁰A. Görling, "Density-functional theory beyond the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem," Phys. Rev. A 59[, 3359–3374 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.59.3359)
- ¹¹L. Garrigue, "Building Kohn–Sham potentials for ground and excited states," [Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00205-022-01804-1) 245, 949–1003 (2022).
- $^{12}\mathrm{L}$ Garrigue, "Unique continuation for many-body Schrödinger operators and the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem," [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-018-9287-z) [Anal. Geom.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-018-9287-z) 21, 27 (2018).
- ¹³M. Penz, E. I. Tellgren, M. A. Csirik, M. Ruggenthaler, and A. Laestadius, "The structure of density-potential mapping. Part I: Standard density-functional theory," [ACS Phys. Chem. Au](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphyschemau.2c00069) 3, [334–347 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphyschemau.2c00069)
- $^{14}{\rm R}.$ Gaudoin and K. Burke, "Lack of Hohenberg-Kohn theorem for excited states," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173001) 93, 173001 (2004).
- ¹⁵P. Samal, M. K. Harbola, and A. Holas, "Density-to-potential map in time-independent excited-state density-functional theory," [Chem. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2005.11.066) 419, 217–222 (2006).
- ¹⁶P. Samal and M. K. Harbola, "Exploring foundations of timeindependent density functional theory for excited states," [J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/39/20/005) B 39[, 4065 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/39/20/005)
- ¹⁷L. Garrigue, "Some properties of the potential-to-ground state map in quantum mechanics," [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-021-04140-9) 386, 1803– [1844 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-021-04140-9)
- ¹⁸A. Nagy, "Optimized potential method for ensembles of excited states," [Int. J. Quantum Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(1998)69:3<247::AID-QUA4>3.0.CO;2-V) 69, 247–254 (1998).
- ¹⁹M. Levy and A. Nagy, "Variational density-functional theory for an individual excited state," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4361) 83, 4361–4364 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4361)
- 20 F. Zhang and K. Burke, "Adiabatic connection for near degenerate excited states," Phys. Rev. A 69[, 052510 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.052510)
- $^{21}{\rm P.}$ W. Ayers and M. Levy, "Time-independent (static) densityfunctional theories for pure excited states: Extensions and unification," Phys. Rev. A 80[, 012508 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.012508)
- ²²P. W. Ayers, M. Levy, and A. Nagy, "Time-independent densityfunctional theory for excited states of coulomb systems," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.042518) Rev. A 85[, 042518 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.042518)
- ²³P. W. Ayers, M. Levy, and Á. Nagy, "Kohn-Sham theory for excited states of Coulomb systems," [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4934963) 143, 191101 (2015) .
- ²⁴P. W. Ayers, M. Levy, and A. Nagy, "Time-independent density functional theory for degenerate excited states of coulomb systems," [Theor. Chem. Acc.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-018-2352-7) 137, 152 (2018).
- ²⁵J. P. Perdew and M. Levy, "Extrema of the density functional for the energy: excited states from the ground-state theory," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.6264) Rev. B 31[, 6264–6272 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.31.6264)
- ²⁶M. Filatov and S. Shaik, "A spin-restricted ensemble-referenced Kohn–Sham method and its application to diradicaloid situations," [Chem. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(99)00336-X) 304, 429–437 (1999).
- $^{27}\mathrm{A}$.T. B. Gilbert, N. A. Besley, and P. M. W. Gill, "Self-consistent field calculations of excited states using the maximum overlap method (MOM)," [J. Phys. Chem. A](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp801738f) 112, 13164 (2008).
- ²⁸T. Kowalczyk, T. Tsuchimochi, P.-T. Chen, L. Top, and T. Van Voorhis, "Excitation energies and Stokes shifts from a restricted open-shell Kohn-Sham approach," [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4801790) 138[, 164101 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4801790)
- ²⁹G. Levi, A. V. Ivanov, and H. Jónsson, "Variational density functional calculations of excited states via direct optimization," [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.0c00597) 16, 6968–6982 (2020).
- ³⁰G. Levi, A. V. Ivanov, and H. Jónsson, "Variational calculations of excited states via direct optimization of the orbitals in DFT," [Faraday Discuss.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FD00064G) 224, 448–466 (2020).
- ³¹D. Hait and M. Head-Gordon, "Excited state orbital optimization via minimizing the square of the gradient: general approach and application to singly and doubly excited states via density functional theory," [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b01127) 16, 1699–1710 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b01127)
- ³²D. Hait and M. Head-Gordon, "Orbital optimized density functional theory for electronic excited states," [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00744) 12[, 4517–4529 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00744)
- ³³G. M. J. Barca, A. T. B. Gilbert, and P. M. W. Gill, "Excitation number: Characterizing multiply excited states," [J. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00963) [Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00963) 14, 9 (2018).
- ³⁴G. Barca, A. T. B. Gilbert, and P. M. W. Gill, "Simple models for difficult electronic excitations," [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00994) 14[, 1501–1509 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00994)
- ³⁵K. Carter-Fenk and J. M. Herbert, "State-targeted energy projection: A simple and robust approach to orbital relaxation of non-Aufbau self-consistent field solutions," [J. Chem. Theory](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.0c00502) Comput. 16[, 5067–5082 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.0c00502)
- ³⁶Y. L. A. Schmerwitz, G. Levi, and H. Jónsson, "Calculations of excited electronic states by converging on saddle points using generalized mode following," [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00178) 19, 3634– [3651 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00178)
- ³⁷E. Selenius, A. E. Sigurdarson, Y. L. A. Schmerwitz, and G. Levi, "Orbital-optimized versus time-dependent density functional calculations of intramolecular charge transfer excited states," [J.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c01319) [Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c01319) 20, 3809–3822 (2024).
- ³⁸E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, "Density-functional theory for time-dependent systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. 52[, 997–1000 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.997)
- ³⁹H. Appel, E. K. Gross, and K. Burke, "Excitations in timedependent density-functional theory," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.043005) 90, [043005 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.043005)

⁴⁰K. Burke, J. Werschnik, and E. K. U. Gross, "Time-dependent density functional theory: Past, present, and future," [J. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1904586) Phys. 123[, 062206 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1904586)

- ⁴¹D. J. Tozer, R. D. Amos, N. C. Handy, B. O. Roos, and L. Serrano-Andrés, "Does density functional theory contribute to the understanding of excited states of unsaturated organic compounds?" Mol. Phys. 97[, 859–868 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268979909482888)
- ⁴²D. J. Tozer and N. C. Handy, "On the determination of excitation energies using density functional theory," [Phys. Chem. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1039/a910321j) Phys. 2[, 2117–2121 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/a910321j)
- ⁴³A. Dreuw, J. L. Weisman, and M. Head-Gordon, "Long-range charge-transfer excited states in time-dependent density functional theory require non-local exchange," [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1590951) 119, [2943–2946 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1590951)
- ⁴⁴N. T. Maitra, F. Zhang, R. J. Cave, and K. Burke, "Double excitations within time-dependent density functional theory linear response," J. Chem. Phys. 120[, 5932–5937 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1651060)
- ⁴⁵B. G. Levine, C. Ko, J. Quenneville, and T. J. Martínez, "Conical intersections and double excitations in time-dependent density functional theory," Mol. Phys. 104[, 1039–1051 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268970500417762)
- ⁴⁶N. T. Maitra, "Charge transfer in time-dependent density func-tional theory," [J. Phys. Cond. Matt.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa836e) **29**, 423001 (2017).
- ⁴⁷W. Yang and P. W. Ayers, "Foundation for the ∆SCF approach in density functional theory," (2024), [arXiv:2403.04604](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.04604) [\[physics.chem-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.04604)
- ⁴⁸T. Gould, ["Stationary conditions for excited states: the surprising](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12593) [impact of density-driven correlations,"](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12593) (2024), [arXiv:2404.12593](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12593) [\[physics.chem-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12593)
- ⁴⁹T. Gould, S. G. Dale, L. Kronik, and S. Pittalis, ["State-specific](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.18105) [density functionals for excited states from ensembles,"](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.18105) (2024), [arXiv:2406.18105 \[physics.chem-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.18105)
- $^{50}\mathrm{E}.$ Fromager, ["Ensemble density functional theory of ground and](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.17000) [excited energy levels,"](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.17000) (2024), [arXiv:2409.17000 \[physics.chem](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.17000)[ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.17000)
- ⁵¹T. Gould and S. Pittalis, "Local density approximation for excited states," Phys. Rev. X 14[, 041045 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.14.041045)
- $^{52}\mathrm{S}.$ Giarrusso and P.-F. Loos, "Exact excited-state functionals of the asymmetric Hubbard dimer," [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.3c02052) 14, 8780 [\(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.3c02052)
- ⁵³H. Eschrig, [The Fundamentals of Density Functional Theory](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-322-97620-8) (Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 1996).
- ⁵⁴W. Kutzelnigg, "Density functional theory in terms of a Legendre transformation for beginners," [J. Mol. Struct. \(THEOCHEM\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2006.05.012) 768[, 163–173 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2006.05.012)
- ⁵⁵J. Toulouse, "Review of approximations for the exchangecorrelation energy in density-functional theory," in [Density Func](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22340-2_1)[tional Theory: Modeling, Mathematical Analysis, Computational](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22340-2_1) [Methods, and Applications](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22340-2_1), edited by E. Cancès and G. Friesecke (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023) pp. 1–90.
- ⁵⁶M. Levy, "Universal variational functionals of electron densities, first-order density matrices, and natural spin-orbitals and solution of the v-representability problem," [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.12.6062) U.S.A. 76[, 6062 \(1979\).](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.12.6062)
- $^{57}\mathrm{E}.$ H. Lieb, "Density functionals for Coulomb systems," [Int. J.](https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.560240302) [Quantum Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.560240302) 24, 243 (1983).
- ⁵⁸M. Lewin, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer, "Universal functionals in density functional theory," in [Density Functional Theory:](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22340-2_3) [Modeling, Mathematical Analysis, Computational Methods, and](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22340-2_3) [Applications](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22340-2_3), edited by E. Cancès and G. Friesecke (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2023) pp. 115–182.
- ⁵⁹S. Kvaal, U. Ekström, A. M. Teale, and T. Helgaker, "Differentiable but exact formulation of density-functional theory," [J.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4867005) Chem. Phys. 140[, 18A518 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4867005)
- ⁶⁰A. Laestadius, M. Penz, E. I. Tellgren, M. Ruggenthaler, S. Kvaal, and T. Helgaker, "Generalized Kohn–Sham iteration on Banach spaces," J. Chem. Phys. 149[, 164103 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5037790)
- ⁶¹A. Laestadius, E. I. Tellgren, M. Penz, M. Ruggenthaler, S. Kvaal, and T. Helgaker, "Kohn–Sham theory with paramagnetic currents: Compatibility and functional differentiability," [J. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00141) Theory Comput. 15[, 4003–4020 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00141)
- ⁶²M. Penz, A. Laestadius, E. I. Tellgren, and M. Ruggenthaler, "Guaranteed convergence of a regularized Kohn-Sham iteration in finite dimensions," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.037401) 123, 037401 (2019).
- $^{63}\mathrm{Y}.$ Shi and A. Wasserman, "Inverse Kohn–Sham density functional theory: Progress and challenges," [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00752) 12[, 5308–5318 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00752)
- ⁶⁴T. Helgaker and A. M. Teale, "Lieb variation principle in densityfunctional theory," in [The Physics and Mathematics of Elliott](https://doi.org/10.4171/90-1/22) [Lieb](https://doi.org/10.4171/90-1/22) (EMS Press, 2022) pp. 527–559.
- ⁶⁵M. Penz, M. A. Csirik, and A. Laestadius, "Density-potential inversion from Moreau–Yosida regularization," [Electron. Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2516-1075/acc626) 5[, 014009 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/2516-1075/acc626)
- ⁶⁶T. Gould, "Toward routine Kohn–Sham inversion using the "Liebresponse" approach," J. Chem. Phys. 158[, 064102 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0134330)
- ⁶⁷M. F. Herbst, V. H. Bakkestuen, and A. Laestadius, ["Kohn](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.04372)[sham inversion with mathematical guarantees,"](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.04372) (2024), [arXiv:2409.04372 \[physics.chem-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.04372)
- ⁶⁸O. Gunnarsson and B. I. Lundqvist, "Exchange and correlation in atoms, molecules, and solids by the spin-density-functional formalism," Phys. Rev. B 13[, 4274–4298 \(1976\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.4274)
- ⁶⁹D. C. Langreth and J. P. Perdew, "The exchange-correlation energy of a metallic surface," [Solid. State Commun.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(75)90618-3) 17, 1425– [1429 \(1975\).](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(75)90618-3)
- ⁷⁰A. M. Teale, S. Coriani, and T. Helgaker, "Accurate calculation and modeling of the adiabatic connection in density functional theory," J. Chem. Phys. 132[, 164115 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3380834)
- ⁷¹F. A. Evangelista, P. Shushkov, and J. C. Tully, "Orthogonality constrained density functional theory for electronic excited states," J. Phys. Chem. A 117[, 7378–7392 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp401323d)
- ⁷²J. Hubbard, "Electron correlations in narrow energy bands," [Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1963.0204) Roy. Soc. A 276[, 238–257 \(1963\).](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1963.0204)
- ⁷³E. H. Lieb and F. Wu, "Absence of Mott transition in an exact solution of the short-range, one-band model in one dimension," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.20.1445) 20, 1445 (1968).
- ⁷⁴K. Schonhammer and O. Gunnarsson, "Discontinuity of the exchange-correlation potential in density functional theory," [J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/20/24/010) Phys. C 20[, 3675–3689 \(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/20/24/010)
- ⁷⁵A. Montorsi, [The Hubbard Model: A Reprint Volume](https://doi.org/10.1142/1346) (World Scientific, 1992).
- ⁷⁶D. J. Carrascal, J. Ferrer, J. C. Smith, and K. Burke, "The Hubbard dimer: a density functional case study of a many-body problem," [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/39/393001) 27, 393001 (2015).
- ⁷⁷A. J. Cohen and P. Mori-Sánchez, "Landscape of an exact energy functional," Phys. Rev. A 93[, 042511 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.042511)
- ⁷⁸Z.-J. Ying, V. Brosco, G. M. Lopez, D. Varsano, P. Gori-Giorgi, and J. Lorenzana, "Anomalous scaling and breakdown of conventional density functional theory methods for the description of mott phenomena and stretched bonds," [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075154) 94, 075154 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075154)
- ⁷⁹J. C. Smith, A. Pribram-Jones, and K. Burke, "Exact thermal density functional theory for a model system: Correlation components and accuracy of the zero-temperature exchange-correlation approximation," Phys. Rev. B 93[, 245131 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.245131)
- ⁸⁰B. Senjean, M. Tsuchiizu, V. Robert, and E. Fromager, "Local density approximation in site-occupation embedding theory," Mol. Phys. 115[, 48–62 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2016.1182224)
- 81 K. Deur, L. Mazouin, and E. Fromager, "Exact ensemble density functional theory for excited states in a model system: Investigating the weight dependence of the correlation energy," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035120) Rev. B 95[, 035120 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.035120)
- ⁸²D. J. Carrascal, J. Ferrer, N. Maitra, and K. Burke, "Linear response time-dependent density functional theory of the Hubbard dimer," [Eur. Phys. J. B](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2018-90114-9) 91, 142 (2018).
- ⁸³S. Giarrusso and A. Pribram-Jones, "Comparing correlation components and approximations in Hartree–Fock and Kohn– Sham theories via an analytical test case study," [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0097095) 157[, 054102 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0097095)
- ⁸⁴M. Qin, T. Schäfer, S. Andergassen, P. Corboz, and E. Gull, "The Hubbard model: A computational perspective," [Annu. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-090921-033948) [Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-090921-033948) 13, 275–302 (2022).
- ⁸⁵S. Giarrusso and A. Pribram-Jones, "Møller-Plesset and densityfixed adiabatic connections for a model diatomic system at different correlation regimes," [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00529) 19, [5835–5850 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00529)
- $^{86}\mathrm{J}.$ T. Chayes, L. Chayes, and M. B. Ruskai, "Density functional approach to quantum lattice systems," [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01010474) 38, 497–518 [\(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01010474)
- ⁸⁷M. Penz and R. van Leeuwen, "Density-functional theory on graphs," J. Chem. Phys. 155[, 244111 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0074249)
- ⁸⁸C. A. Ullrich and W. Kohn, "Degeneracy in density functional theory: Topology in the v and n spaces," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.156401) 89, [156401 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.156401)
- ⁸⁹M. Penz and R. van Leeuwen, "Geometry of degeneracy in potential and density space," Quantum 7[, 918 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2023-02-09-918)
- ⁹⁰M. Penz and R. van Leeuwen, "Geometrical perspective on spin– lattice density-functional theory," [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0230494) 161, 150901 [\(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0230494)
- 91 N. Moiseyev, [Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511976186) (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- $^{92}\rm{W}$ P. Reinhardt, "Complex coordinates in the theory of atomic and molecular structure and dynamics," [Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.33.100182.001255) 33[, 223–255 \(1982\).](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.33.100182.001255)
- ⁹³N. Moiseyev, "Quantum theory of resonances: calculating energies, widths and cross-sections by complex scaling," [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00002-7) 302[, 212–293 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00002-7)
- ⁹⁴P. C. N. Moiseyev and F. Weinhold, "Resonance properties of complex-rotated Hamiltonians," Mol. Phys. 36[, 1613–1630](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268977800102631) [\(1978\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268977800102631)
- ⁹⁵E. Brändas and P. Froelich, "Continuum orbitals, complex scaling problem, and the extended virial theorem," [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.16.2207) 16, [2207–2210 \(1977\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.16.2207)
- $^{96}{\rm N}.$ Moiseyev, "Resonance states by the generalized complex variational method," Mol. Phys. 47[, 585–598 \(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268978200100422)
- $^{97}{\rm H}$ G. Hiscock and A. J. W. Thom, "Holomorphic Hartree–Fock theory and configuration interaction," [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct5007696) 10[, 4795–4800 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct5007696)
- ⁹⁸H. G. A. Burton and A. J. W. Thom, "Holomorphic Hartree– Fock theory: An inherently multireference approach," [J. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b01005) [Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b01005) 12, 167 (2016).
- ⁹⁹H. G. A. Burton, M. Gross, and A. J. W. Thom, "Holomorphic Hartree–Fock theory: The nature of two-electron problems," [J.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00980) [Chem. Theory Comput.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00980) 14, 607–618 (2018).
- ¹⁰⁰R. A. Zarotiadis, H. G. A. Burton, and A. J. W. Thom, "Towards a holomorphic density functional theory," [J. Chem. Theory](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.0c00822) Comput. 16[, 7400 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.0c00822)
- ¹⁰¹H. G. A. Burton, A. J. W. Thom, and P. F. Loos, "Complex adiabatic connection: A hidden non-Hermitian path from ground to excited states," J. Chem. Phys. 150[, 041103 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5085121)
- $^{102}{\rm M}.$ Ernzerhof, "Density functional theory of complex transition densities," J. Chem. Phys. 125[, 124104 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2348880)
- ¹⁰³Y. Zhou and M. Ernzerhof, "Open-system Kohn-Sham density functional theory," J. Chem. Phys. 136[, 094105 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3687922)
- ¹⁰⁴A. Wasserman and N. Moiseyev, "Hohenberg-kohn theorem for the lowest-energy resonance of unbound systems," [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.093003) Lett. 98[, 093003 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.093003)
- $^{105}\mathrm{D}$ L. Whitenack and A. Wasserman, "Resonance lifetimes from complex densities," [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz9001778) 1, 407–411 (2010).
- $^{106}\mathrm{D}$. L. Whitenack and A. Wasserman, "Density functional resonance theory of unbound electronic systems," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.163002) 107[, 163002 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.163002)
- $^{107}{\rm D}$ L. Whitenack and A. Wasserman, "Density functional resonance theory: Complex density functions, convergence, orbital energies, and functionals," J. Chem. Phys. 136[, 164106 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4705669)