

An Optimization Approach to Degree Deviation and Spectral Radius

Dieter Rautenbach

Florian Werner

Institute of Optimization and Operations Research, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany
`{dieter.rautenbach,florian.werner}@uni-ulm.de`

Abstract

For a finite, simple, and undirected graph G with n vertices and average degree d , Nikiforov introduced the degree deviation of G as $s = \sum_{u \in V(G)} |d_G(u) - d|$. Provided that G has largest eigenvalue λ , minimum degree at least δ , and maximum degree at most Δ , where $0 \leq \delta < d < \Delta < n$, we show

$$s \leq \frac{2n(\Delta - d)(d - \delta)}{\Delta - \delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \geq \begin{cases} \frac{d^2 n}{\sqrt{d^2 n^2 - s^2}} & , \text{ if } s \leq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \frac{2s}{n} & , \text{ if } s > \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Our results are based on a smoothing technique relating the degree deviation and the largest eigenvalue to low-dimensional non-linear optimization problems.

Keywords: Degree deviation; spectral radius

1 Introduction

We consider finite, simple, and undirected graphs and use standard notation and terminology. Throughout the introduction let G be a non-empty graph with n vertices, m edges, and average degree $d = \frac{2m}{n}$.

Nikiforov [5] defined the *degree deviation* $s(G)$ of G as

$$s(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} |d_G(u) - d|, \quad (1)$$

where $V(G)$ is the vertex set of G and $d_G(u)$ is the degree of the vertex u in G .

In [4] Lawrence, Tizzard, and Haviland already considered $s(G)/n$ as the *discrepancy* of G and Haviland [3] showed

$$s(G) \leq \psi \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4n\psi + 1} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\psi = \min \{d, n - 1 - d\}$. She observed that if $m = \binom{q}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2}$ for some integer q , then $K_q \cup \overline{K}_{n-q}$ satisfies (2) with equality and if $m = \binom{t}{2} + t(n-t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2}$ for some integer t , then $K_t + \overline{K}_{n-t} = \overline{K}_t \cup K_{n-t}$ satisfies (2) with equality, which implies that (2) is best possible up to terms of smaller order for all possible choices of n and m . Provided that $n \geq 2$ and that the graph G is connected, has minimum degree δ , and maximum degree Δ , Ali et al. [1] showed

$$s(G) \leq \frac{2m}{n} \sqrt{\frac{2m(n(\Delta + \delta) - 2m) - n^2 \Delta \delta}{\delta \Delta}} = dn \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta - d)(d - \delta)}{\delta \Delta}}. \quad (3)$$

They claim in [1] that (3) is satisfied with equality if and only if G is a regular or semiregular (Δ, δ) -bipartite graph, which is not quite true. In Section 5, we reconsider the proof of (3) and determine the extremal graphs. As it turns out, unlike (2), the bound (3) is only best possible for $d = \frac{2\delta\Delta}{\delta + \Delta}$.

In Section 3 we provide the following upper bound on the degree deviation.

Theorem 1. *If G is a graph with n vertices, average degree d , minimum degree at least δ , and maximum degree at most Δ , where $0 \leq \delta < d < \Delta < n$, then*

$$s(G) \leq \frac{2n(\Delta - d)(d - \delta)}{\Delta - \delta}.$$

Theorem 1 is best possible up to terms of smaller order for a wide range of values and outperforms (3); cf. Section 3 for details.

For the *spectral radius* $\lambda(G)$ of G , which is the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of G , Nikiforov [5] showed that

$$\frac{s(G)^2}{2n^2\sqrt{2m}} \leq \lambda(G) - d \leq \sqrt{s(G)}. \quad (4)$$

He conjectured that both inequalities in (4) can be improved by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ for sufficiently large n and m , that is, that

$$\frac{s(G)^2}{n^2\sqrt{2dn}} = \frac{s(G)^2}{2n^2\sqrt{m}} \leq \lambda(G) - d \leq \sqrt{\frac{s(G)}{2}}. \quad (5)$$

Zhang [8] showed $\lambda(G) - d \leq \sqrt{\frac{9s(G)}{10}}$, and we [7] recently showed $\lambda(G) - d \leq \sqrt{\frac{2s(G)}{3}}$. In Section 4 we show the following lower bound on the spectral radius, where the quantity $\tilde{\lambda}(G)$ is defined in Section 2 just before Lemma 4.

Theorem 2. *If G is a graph with n vertices, average degree d , and degree deviation s with $s > 0$, then*

$$\lambda(G) \geq \tilde{\lambda}(G) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{d^2n}{\sqrt{d^2n^2 - s^2}} & , \text{ if } s \leq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ and} \\ \frac{2s}{n} & , \text{ if } s > \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2 implies the conjectured lower bound on $\lambda(G) - d$ in (5) for $d \leq n/2$, cf. Corollary 8 below.¹ Our results in Sections 3 and 4 rely on a smoothing technique introduced in Section 2. This technique leads to low dimensional non-linear optimization problems related to the degree deviation and the spectral radius.

2 Smoothing

Throughout this section, let n, d, δ , and Δ with $0 \leq \delta < d < \Delta < n$ be such that n, δ, Δ , and $m = \frac{dn}{2}$ are integers. Furthermore, let G be a graph with vertex set V , n vertices, average degree d , minimum degree at least δ , and maximum degree at most Δ . Note that δ and Δ are degree bounds within this section and that G is not required to contain vertices of these degrees.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ &= \{u \in V : d_G(u) > d\}, \\ V_- &= \{u \in V : d_G(u) \leq d\}, \\ n_+ &= |V_+|, \\ n_- &= |V_-|, \\ m_+ &= m(G[V_+]), \\ m_- &= m(G[V_-]), \text{ and} \\ m_{\pm} &= m - (m_+ + m_-). \end{aligned}$$

Let K be the complete graph with $V(K) = V$ and let

$$w : E(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : uv \mapsto \begin{cases} w_+ = \frac{m_+}{\binom{n_+}{2}} & , \text{ if } u, v \in V_+, \\ w_- = \frac{m_-}{\binom{n_-}{2}} & , \text{ if } u, v \in V_-, \text{ and} \\ w_{\pm} = \frac{m_{\pm}}{n_+n_-} & , \text{ if } u \in V_+ \text{ and } v \in V_-. \end{cases}$$

We recall some usual notions for edge-weighted graphs.

$$\text{Let } n((K, w)) = n \text{ and } m((K, w)) = \sum_{uv \in \binom{V}{2}} w(uv).$$

¹In an appendix we complete the proof for $d > n/2$.

For a vertex u from V , let the degree of u in (K, w) be $d_{(K,w)}(u) = \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{u\}} w(uv)$.

Let

$$s((K, w)) = \sum_{u \in V} \left| d_{(K,w)}(u) - \frac{2m_{(K,w)}}{n_{(K,w)}} \right|.$$

Considering (K, w) as the smoothed version of G , the following lemma shows that relevant quantities are invariant under smoothing.

Lemma 3. *If G and (K, w) are as above, then the following statements hold.*

(i) $m((K, w)) = m$.

(ii) $d < d_{(K,w)}(u) \leq \Delta$ for every u in V_+ .

(iii) $\delta \leq d_{(K,w)}(u) \leq d$ for every u in V_- .

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} s(G) &= s((K, w)) \\ &= n_+ (w_+(n_+ - 1) - d) + n_- (d - w_-(n_- - 1)) \\ &= 2(m_+ - m_-) - d(n_+ - n_-). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since (i) is straightforward and (iii) is symmetric to (ii), we give details only for (ii) and (iv). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V_+} d_{(K,w)}(u) &= \sum_{u \in V_+} \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{u\}} w(uv) \\ &= \sum_{u \in V_+} \sum_{v \in V_+ \setminus \{u\}} w(uv) + \sum_{u \in V_+} \sum_{v \in V_-} w(uv) \\ &= \sum_{u \in V_+} \sum_{v \in V_+ \setminus \{u\}} \frac{m_+}{\binom{n_+}{2}} + \sum_{u \in V_+} \sum_{v \in V_-} \frac{m_{\pm}}{n_+ n_-} \\ &= 2m_+ + m_{\pm} \\ &= \sum_{u \in V_+} d_G(u). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

By a symmetric argument, we also obtain

$$\sum_{u \in V_-} d_{(K,w)}(u) = \sum_{u \in V_-} d_G(u). \tag{7}$$

If $n_+ = 0$, then (ii) is void. Hence, for the proof of (ii), we may assume that $n_+ > 0$. By the definition of (K, w) , the degree function $d_{(K,w)}(\cdot)$ is constant on V_+ . Since $d < d_G(u) \leq \Delta$ for every $u \in V_+$, we obtain using (6) that $dn_+ < d_{(K,w)}(u)n_+ \leq \Delta n_+$ for every $u \in V_+$, which implies (ii).

Since $\frac{2m_{(K,w)}}{n_{(K,w)}} = \frac{2m}{n} = d$, we obtain using (ii) and (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} s((K, w)) &= \sum_{u \in V_+} (d_{(K,w)}(u) - d) + \sum_{u \in V_-} (d - d_{(K,w)}(u)) \\ &= d(n_- - n_+) + \sum_{u \in V_+} d_{(K,w)}(u) - \sum_{u \in V_-} d_{(K,w)}(u) \\ &\stackrel{(6),(7)}{=} d(n_- - n_+) + \sum_{u \in V_+} d_G(u) - \sum_{u \in V_-} d_G(u) \\ &= \sum_{u \in V_+} (d_G(u) - d) + \sum_{u \in V_-} (d - d_G(u)) = s(G). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_{(K,w)}(u) = w_+(n_+ - 1) + w_{\pm}n_- > d$ for $u \in V_+$ and $d_{(K,w)}(u) = w_-(n_- - 1) + w_{\pm}n_+ \leq d$ for $u \in V_-$, it follows that (iv) holds. \square

Let $A = (a_{u,v})_{u,v \in V}$ be the adjacency matrix of G and let $\lambda = \lambda(G)$ be the largest eigenvalue of A . The natural choice for the (smoothed) adjacency matrix of (K, w) is the matrix $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{u,v})_{u,v \in V}$ with

$$\tilde{a}_{u,v} = \begin{cases} w_+ & , \text{ if } u, v \in V_+ \text{ with } u \neq v, \\ w_- & , \text{ if } u, v \in V_- \text{ with } u \neq v, \\ w_{\pm} & , \text{ if } u \in V_+ \text{ and } v \in V_-, \text{ and} \\ 0 & , \text{ if } u = v. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}(G)$ be the largest eigenvalue of \tilde{A} .

Lemma 4. For λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ as above, we have

$$\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda} \geq d$$

and

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \left((n_+ - 1)w_+ + (n_- - 1)w_- + \sqrt{\left((n_+ - 1)w_+ - (n_- - 1)w_- \right)^2 + 4n_+n_-w_{\pm}^2} \right).$$

Proof. It is well-known that the eigenvectors x of the symmetric non-negative matrix \tilde{A} for its largest eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}$ are exactly the maximizers of the Rayleigh quotient $\frac{x^T \tilde{A} x}{x^T x}$. Choosing $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$ as the all-one vector, it follows that $\tilde{\lambda} \geq \frac{x^T \tilde{A} x}{x^T x} = \frac{2m}{n} = d$. Now, we choose an eigenvector $x = (x_u)_{u \in V}$ of \tilde{A} for the eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}$ in such a way that $x^T x = 1$ and $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{u \in V} |x_u|$ is maximized. Since \tilde{A} is non-negative, we may assume that x is non-negative.

Our first goal is to show that x_u is constant on V_+ and V_- . Suppose that $x_u < x_v$ for $u, v \in V_+$. The structure of \tilde{A} implies that $x^T \tilde{A} x = \alpha x_u x_v + \beta(x_u + x_v) + \gamma$, where α, β , and γ are non-negative and depend only on \tilde{A} and on $(x_w)_{w \in V \setminus \{u,v\}}$. Let $y = (y_u)_{u \in V}$ be such that $y_u = y_v = \sqrt{\frac{x_u^2 + x_v^2}{2}}$ and $y_w = x_w$ for every $w \in V \setminus \{u, v\}$. Since $x_u < x_v$, we obtain $x_u x_v < \frac{x_u^2 + x_v^2}{2} = y_u y_v$ and $x_u + x_v < \sqrt{2(x_u^2 + x_v^2)} = y_u + y_v$. Now, it follows that $y^T y = 1$, $\|y\|_1 > \|x\|_1$, and

$$x^T \tilde{A} x = \alpha x_u x_v + \beta(x_u + x_v) + \gamma \leq \alpha y_u y_v + \beta(y_u + y_v) + \gamma = y^T \tilde{A} y.$$

It follows that y maximizes the Rayleigh quotient and, hence, is an eigenvector of \tilde{A} for $\tilde{\lambda}$, which implies a contradiction to the choice of x . Hence, the value x_u is constant on V_+ and, by symmetry, also on V_- .

Let $x_u = x_+$ for $u \in V_+$ and $x_u = x_-$ for $u \in V_-$. Since x is a normalized eigenvector of \tilde{A} for $\tilde{\lambda}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= n_+ x_+^2 + n_- x_-^2, \\ \tilde{\lambda} x_+ &= (n_+ - 1)w_+ x_+ + n_- w_{\pm} x_-, \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\lambda} x_- &= (n_- - 1)w_- x_- + n_+ w_{\pm} x_+. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we may assume $x_+ > 0$.

Setting $y = \frac{x_-}{x_+}$, the second and third equation yield

$$y = \frac{1}{2n_- w_{\pm}} \left(-\left((n_+ - 1)w_+ - (n_- - 1)w_- \right) + \sqrt{\left((n_+ - 1)w_+ - (n_- - 1)w_- \right)^2 + 4n_+n_-w_{\pm}^2} \right),$$

which yields the stated value for $\tilde{\lambda}$. Since x_u is constant on V_+ and V_- , the definition of \tilde{A} implies

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{x^T \tilde{A} x}{x^T x} = \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x} \leq \lambda,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Note that \tilde{A} and A , and thus also $\tilde{\lambda}$ and λ , coincide provided that $w_+, w_-, w_{\pm} \in \{0, 1\}$, which is the case for the graphs $K_q \cup \overline{K}_{n-q}$ and $K_t + \overline{K}_{n-t}$.

3 Upper bound on $s(G)$ in terms of $n, d, \delta,$ and Δ

Throughout this section, let $n, d, \delta,$ and Δ with $0 \leq \delta < d < \Delta < n$ be such that $n, \delta, \Delta,$ and $m = \frac{dn}{2}$ are integers. Let the graph G with n vertices, average degree $d,$ minimum degree at least $\delta,$ and maximum degree at most Δ maximize the deviation $s(G)$. If $s(G) = 0,$ then G is d -regular and there is some edge uv and a vertex w such that u is not adjacent to w . Now, the graph $G' = G - uv + uw$ satisfies $s(G') > 0$ and contradicts the choice of G . Hence, we obtain that $s(G) > 0,$ which implies $n \geq 3$.

The results of Section 2 imply

$$s(G) \leq \text{OPT}(P_I)$$

for the following non-linear optimization problem (P_I) depending on the parameters $n, m, \delta,$ and Δ :

$$(P_I) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && n_+ \left(w_+(n_+ - 1) - d \right) + n_- \left(d - w_-(n_- - 1) \right) \\ & \text{subject to} && n_+ + n_- = n \\ & && \binom{n_+}{2} w_+ + n_+ n_- w_{\pm} + \binom{n_-}{2} w_- = m \\ & && (n_+ - 1)w_+ + n_- w_{\pm} \in [d, \Delta] \\ & && (n_- - 1)w_- + n_+ w_{\pm} \in [\delta, d] \\ & && n_+, n_- \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ & && w_+, w_-, w_{\pm} \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) &= n_+ \left(w_+(n_+ - 1) - d \right) + n_- \left(d - w_-(n_- - 1) \right), \\ d_+ &= (n_+ - 1)w_+ + n_- w_{\pm}, \text{ and} \\ d_- &= (n_- - 1)w_- + n_+ w_{\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $n_+ \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\},$ we have $n_- = n - n_+$ and (P_I) reduces to a linear program in terms of the variables $w_+, w_-,$ and w_{\pm} . In particular, for given $(n, m, \delta, \Delta),$ the value $\text{OPT}(P_I)$ can be determined by solving $n + 1$ linear programs.

The constraints of (P_I) imply $dn_+ + dn_- = dn = 2m = d_+n_+ + d_-n_-$. If $d_+ = d,$ then this implies $d_- = d$ and $\text{OPT}(P_I) = 0,$ contradicting $s(G) > 0$. Hence, it follows that $d_+ > d$. Similarly, it follows that $d_- < d$. Since $dn = d_+n_+ + d_-n_-$, it follows that every feasible solution of (P_I) satisfies $n_+, n_- > 0$. Let (P) denote the relaxation of (P_I) , where the condition " $n_+, n_- \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ", which could be strengthened to " $n_+, n_- \in \mathbb{N}$ " without changing the set of feasible solutions, is relaxed to " $n_+, n_- \geq 1$ ".

We obtain

$$s(G) \leq \text{OPT}(P_I) \leq \text{OPT}(P) =: s(n, m, \delta, \Delta).$$

Since the problem (P) consists in maximizing the continuous function f on a compact domain, there are optimal solutions. As noted above, we have $s(n, m, \delta, \Delta) > 0$.

Lemma 5. *Let $x = (n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-, w_{\pm})$ be an optimal solution for (P) .*

- (i) $d_+ > d$ and $d_- < d$.
- (ii) If $n_+ = 1,$ then $f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) \leq \min \{2(\Delta - d), 2(n - 1)(d - \delta)\}.$
- (iii) If $n_- = 1,$ then $f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) \leq \min \{2(n - 1)(\Delta - d), 2(d - \delta)\}.$
- (iv) If $n_+, n_- > 1,$ then $d_+ = \Delta$ or $d_- = \delta$.
- (v) $f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) \leq \frac{2n(\Delta - d)(d - \delta)}{\Delta - \delta}.$
- (vi) If $\delta = 0, d \leq n - 3,$ and $2\Delta \leq dn < \Delta(\Delta + 1),$ then

$$f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) \leq \max \left\{ \left(2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn} \right) (\Delta - d), d \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4dn + 1} \right) \right\}.$$

Proof. (i) We can repeat the above argument: The constraints of (P) imply $dn_+ + dn_- = d_+n_+ + d_-n_-$. If $d_+ = d$, then this implies $d_- = d$ and $\text{OPT}(P) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, it follows that $d_+ > d$. Similarly, it follows that $d_- < d$.

(ii) Let $n_+ = 1$. The two equality constraints in (P) imply $n_- = n - 1$ and $w_{\pm} = \frac{dn - (n-2)(n-1)w_-}{2(n-1)}$. Substituting these expressions into d_+ and d_- and exploiting the constraints $d_+ \leq \Delta$ and $d_- \geq \delta$, we obtain $w_- \geq \max \left\{ \frac{d}{n-1} - \frac{2(\Delta-d)}{(n-2)(n-1)}, \frac{d}{n-1} - \frac{2(d-\delta)}{(n-2)} \right\}$. Now,

$$f(1, n-1, w_+, w_-, w_{\pm}) = (n-2)d - (n-2)(n-1)w_- \leq \min \{2(\Delta-d), 2(n-1)(d-\delta)\}.$$

(iii) Let $n_- = 1$. The two equality constraints in (P) imply $n_+ = n - 1$ and $w_{\pm} = \frac{dn - (n-2)(n-1)w_+}{2(n-1)}$. Substituting these expressions into d_+ and d_- and exploiting the constraints $d_+ \leq \Delta$ and $d_- \geq \delta$, we obtain $w_+ \leq \min \left\{ \frac{d}{n-1} + \frac{2(\Delta-d)}{(n-2)}, \frac{d}{n-1} + \frac{2(d-\delta)}{(n-2)(n-1)} \right\}$. Now,

$$f(n-1, 1, w_+, w_-, w_{\pm}) = (n-2)(n-1)w_+ - (n-2)d \leq \min \{2(n-1)(\Delta-d), 2(d-\delta)\}.$$

(iv) Suppose, for a contradiction, that $n_+, n_- > 1$, $d_+ < \Delta$, and $d_- > \delta$. This implies $w_+ + w_{\pm} < 2$ and $w_- + w_{\pm} > 0$. By (i), the quantities $\binom{n_+}{2}$, n_+n_- , and $\binom{n_-}{2}$ are positive. If $w_- > 0$, then reducing w_- by some sufficiently small amount $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and increasing the smaller of the two values w_+ and w_{\pm} by some small amount $\epsilon_2 > 0$ in such a way that the equality $\binom{n_+}{2}w_+ + n_+n_-w_{\pm} + \binom{n_-}{2}w_- = m$ is maintained, yields a feasible solution increasing the objective function value $f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-)$, which contradicts the optimality of x . Hence, we obtain that $w_- = 0$. Similarly, it follows that $w_+ = 1$. Since $d_+ < \Delta$ and $d_- > \delta$, it follows that $0 < w_{\pm} < 1$. Note that

$$f(n_+ + \epsilon, n_- - \epsilon, 1, 0) - f(n_+, n_-, 1, 0) = \epsilon(\epsilon + 2(n_1 - d) - 1).$$

If $2(n_1 - d) - 1 \geq 0$, then let ϵ be positive, and if $2(n_1 - d) - 1 < 0$, then let ϵ be negative. Choosing $|\epsilon|$ sufficiently small, adding ϵ to n_+ , subtracting ϵ from n_- , and adapting w_{\pm} in such a way that the equality $\binom{n_+}{2}w_+ + n_+n_-w_{\pm} + \binom{n_-}{2}w_- = m$ is maintained, yields a feasible solution increasing the objective function value, which contradicts the optimality of x . This completes the proof of (iv).

(v) If $n_+ = 1$, then (ii) implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) &\leq \min \{2(\Delta-d), 2(n-1)(d-\delta)\} \\ &\leq 2(\Delta-d) \frac{(d-\delta)}{(\Delta-\delta)} + 2(n-1)(d-\delta) \left(1 - \frac{(d-\delta)}{(\Delta-\delta)}\right) \\ &= \frac{2n(\Delta-d)(d-\delta)}{\Delta-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n_- = 1$, then (iii) implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) &\leq \min \{2(n-1)(\Delta-d), 2(d-\delta)\} \\ &\leq 2(n-1)(\Delta-d) \frac{(d-\delta)}{(\Delta-\delta)} + 2(d-\delta) \left(1 - \frac{(d-\delta)}{(\Delta-\delta)}\right) \\ &= \frac{2n(\Delta-d)(d-\delta)}{\Delta-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we may assume that $n_+, n_- > 1$ and (iv) implies that $d_+ = \Delta$ or $d_- = \delta$.

First, we suppose that $d_+ = \Delta$. Using the three equations $n_+ + n_- = n$, $(n_+ - 1)w_+ + n_-w_{\pm} = \Delta$, and $\binom{n_+}{2}w_+ + n_+n_-w_{\pm} + \binom{n_-}{2}w_- = m = \frac{dn}{2}$, we obtain

$$n_- = n - n_+, \quad w_{\pm} = \frac{\Delta - (n_+ - 1)w_+}{n - n_+}, \quad \text{and} \quad w_- = \frac{dn - (2\Delta + w_+)n_+ + w_+n_+^2}{(n - n_+)(n - n_+ - 1)}. \quad (8)$$

Substituting these expressions, it follows that

$$f := f(n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-) = 2(\Delta - d)n_+ \quad (9)$$

and $d_- = \frac{dn - \Delta n_+}{n - n_+}$. The condition $d_- \geq \delta$ implies $n_+ \leq \frac{(d-\delta)n}{\Delta-\delta}$ and, hence, in this case

$$f \leq \frac{2n(\Delta - d)(d - \delta)}{\Delta - \delta}$$

as stated.

Next, we suppose that $d_- = \delta$. Using the three equations $n_+ + n_- = n$, $(n_- - 1)w_- + n_-w_{\pm} = \delta$, and $\binom{n_+}{2}w_+ + n_+n_-w_{\pm} + \binom{n_-}{2}w_- = m = \frac{dn}{2}$, we obtain

$$n_+ = n - n_-, \quad w_{\pm} = \frac{\delta - (n_- - 1)w_-}{n - n_-}, \quad \text{and} \quad w_+ = \frac{dn - (2\delta + w_-)n_- + w_-n_-^2}{(n - n_-)(n - n_- - 1)}.$$

Substituting these expressions, we obtain

$$f = 2(d - \delta)n_- \tag{10}$$

and $d_+ = \frac{dn - \delta n_-}{n - n_-}$. The condition $d_+ \leq \Delta$ implies $n_- \leq \frac{(\Delta - d)n}{\Delta - \delta}$ and, hence, also in this case

$$f \leq \frac{2n(\Delta - d)(d - \delta)}{\Delta - \delta}$$

as stated. This completes the proof of (v).

(vi) If $n_+ = 1$, then $\Delta \leq m$ implies $2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn} \geq 2$ and (ii) implies $f \leq 2(\Delta - d) \leq (2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn})(\Delta - d)$. If $n_- = 1$, then $d \leq n - 3$ implies $2n - 1 - \sqrt{4dn + 1} \geq 2$ and (iii) implies $f \leq 2d \leq d(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4dn + 1})$. Now, we may assume that $n_+, n_- > 1$ and (iv) implies that $d_+ = \Delta$ or $d_- = \delta$.

First, we suppose that $d_+ = \Delta$. As in the proof of (iii), we obtain (8) and (9). If $w_+ = 0$, then $w_- \geq 0$ and (8) imply $n_+ \leq \frac{dn}{2\Delta}$. Together with (9) this implies $f = 2(\Delta - d)n_+ \leq \frac{dn}{\Delta}(\Delta - d)$. Since $2\Delta \leq dn$, we have $\frac{dn}{\Delta} \leq 2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn}$ and we obtain $f \leq (2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn})(\Delta - d)$. Now, we may assume that $w_+ > 0$. Since $w_- \geq 0$, it follows using (8) that

$$\text{either } n_+ \leq \frac{1}{2w_+} \left(2\Delta + w_+ - \sqrt{(2\Delta + w_+)^2 - 4w_+dn} \right) \tag{11}$$

$$\text{or } n_+ \geq \frac{1}{2w_+} \left(2\Delta + w_+ + \sqrt{(2\Delta + w_+)^2 - 4w_+dn} \right). \tag{12}$$

Note that $w_+ \in [0, 1]$ and $dn < \Delta(\Delta + 1)$ imply that the roots in (11) and (12) are real. Since $w_{\pm} \geq 0$, it follows using (8) that

$$n_+ \leq \frac{\Delta + w_+}{w_+}.$$

Since $w_+ \in [0, 1]$ and $dn < \Delta(\Delta + 1)$, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{2w_+} \left(2\Delta + w_+ + \sqrt{(2\Delta + w_+)^2 - 4w_+dn} \right) - \frac{\Delta + w_+}{w_+} > 0.$$

This excludes the alternative (12) and, hence, alternative (11) holds. Using the conditions $2\Delta \leq dn < \Delta(\Delta + 1)$, it is easy to verify that the lower bound on n_+ in (11) is increasing in $w_+ \in [0, 1]$. For $w_+ = 1$, the inequality (11) yields

$$n_+ \leq \Delta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn}$$

and, using (9), we obtain

$$f \leq (2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn})(\Delta - d).$$

Next, we suppose that $d_- = \delta = 0$. This implies $w_- = w_{\pm} = 0$. Since $\binom{n_+}{2}w_+ = m = \frac{dn}{2}$, we have $w_+ = \frac{dn}{n_+(n_+ - 1)}$. Since $w_+ \leq 1$, this implies that $n_+ \geq \sqrt{dn + \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{2}$. Using $n_- = n - n_+$ and (10), we obtain that

$$f = 2(d - \delta)n_- = 2d(n - n_+) \leq d(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4dn + 1}),$$

which completes the proof of (vi). \square

In view of the relation between $s(G)$ and (P) , Lemma 5(v) implies Theorem 1 as stated in the introduction, which is the main result of this section. It is easy to verify that the bound in Theorem 1 outperforms (3) everywhere; Figure 1 illustrates more precisely how the two bounds compare.




Figure 1: $g(x) = \frac{2n(\Delta-d)(d-\delta)}{dn\sqrt{\frac{\Delta-\delta}{\delta\Delta}}}$ as a function of $x = \frac{d}{\delta} \in [1, \frac{\Delta}{\delta}]$ for $\Delta = 10\delta$.

Choosing $x = (n_+, n_-, w_+, w_-, w_{\pm})$ as

$$\left(\frac{(d-\delta)n}{\Delta-\delta}, \frac{(\Delta-d)n}{\Delta-\delta}, \frac{\Delta^2}{dn-\Delta}, \frac{(\delta(\Delta-d)n - \Delta(\Delta-\delta))\delta}{(dn-\Delta)((\Delta-d)n - (\Delta-\delta))}, \frac{\delta\Delta}{dn-\Delta} \right)$$

or

$$\left(\frac{(d-\delta)n}{\Delta-\delta}, \frac{(\Delta-d)n}{\Delta-\delta}, \frac{(\Delta(d-\delta)n - \delta(\Delta-\delta))\Delta}{(dn-\delta)((d-\delta)n - (\Delta-\delta))}, \frac{\delta^2}{dn-\delta}, \frac{\delta\Delta}{dn-\delta} \right)$$

yields a feasible solution for (P) provided that the last three entries w_+ , w_- , and w_{\pm} lie in $[0, 1]$. For fixed δ , d , and Δ , this is the case provided that n is sufficiently large. If one or both of these choices is feasible, then Lemma 5(v) implies that

$$s(n, m, \delta, \Delta) = \frac{2n(\Delta-d)(d-\delta)}{\Delta-\delta}$$

and that the feasible solutions are also optimal. It follows that Theorem 1 is best possible for a wide range of values of (n, m, δ, Δ) up to terms of smaller order that are caused by relaxing the integrality conditions.

As illustrated by our next result, which follows from Lemma 5(vi), the optimization problem (P) allows to derive further bounds on the deviation.

Theorem 6. *If G is a graph with n vertices, average degree d , and maximum degree at most Δ , where $0 < d < \Delta < n$, $d \leq n - 3$, and $2\Delta \leq dn < \Delta(\Delta + 1)$, then*

$$s(G) \leq \max \left\{ \left(2\Delta + 1 - \sqrt{(2\Delta + 1)^2 - 4dn} \right) (\Delta - d), d \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4dn + 1} \right) \right\}.$$

The maximum in the bound in Theorem 6 reflects that there are two competing structural options, which are encoded in the variable values in the proof of Lemma 5 (vi). Note that the second bound in Theorem 6 coincides with Haviland's bound (2).

4 Lower bound on $\lambda(G)$ in terms of n , d , and s

Throughout this section, let G be a graph with n vertices, average degree d , degree deviation s , and spectral radius $\lambda(G)$. Furthermore, let $s > 0$, which implies $d, n > 0$. The results of Section 2 imply

$$\lambda(G) \geq \tilde{\lambda}(G) \geq \text{OPT}(Q_I)$$

for $\tilde{\lambda}(G)$ as in Section 2 and the following non-linear optimization problem (Q_I) depending on the parameters n , d , and s :

$$(Q_I) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2} \left(x_+ + x_- + \sqrt{(x_+ - x_-)^2 + 4n_+n_-w_{\pm}^2} \right) \\ & \text{subject to} && \begin{aligned} n_+ + n_- &= n \\ n_+x_+ + 2n_+n_-w_{\pm} + n_-x_- &= dn \\ n_+(x_+ - d) + n_-(d - x_-) &= s \\ x_+ + n_-w_{\pm} &\geq d \\ x_- + n_+w_{\pm} &\leq d \\ n_+ - 1 - x_+ &\geq 0 \\ n_- - 1 - x_- &\geq 0 \\ n_+, n_- &\in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ x_+, x_- &\geq 0 \\ w_{\pm} &\in [0, 1], \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

where the variables x_+ and x_- correspond to the quantities $(n_+ - 1)w_+$ and $(n_- - 1)w_-$, respectively. Since $s > 0$, every feasible solution for (Q_I) satisfies $n_+, n_- \geq 1$.

Let

$$f(n_+, n_-, x_+, x_-, w_{\pm}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_+ + x_- + \sqrt{(x_+ - x_-)^2 + 4n_+n_-w_{\pm}^2} \right).$$

Using the three equality constraints from (Q_I) to eliminate n_- , x_- , and w_{\pm} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_- &= n - n_+, \\ x_- &= x_-(n_+, x_+) = \frac{dn + (x_+ - 2d)n_+ - s}{n - n_+}, \text{ and} \\ w_{\pm} &= w_{\pm}(n_+, x_+) = \frac{2(d - x_+)n_+ + s}{2n_+(n - n_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions, the function $f(n_+, n_-, x_+, x_-, w_{\pm})$ becomes

$$f(n_+, x_+) = \frac{1}{2(n - n_+)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{n_+} \left((d - x_+) \left((d - x_+)n + 2s \right) n_+ + s^2 \right)} + (d + x_+)n - 2dn_+ - s \right)$$

Note that the argument of the root in $f(n_+, x_+)$ arises by substituting the expressions for n_- , x_- , and w_{\pm} into $(x_+ - x_-)^2 + 4n_+n_-w_{\pm}^2$, which easily implies that it is always positive. Using the above expressions, it is possible to formulate (Q_I) equivalently only using the variables n_+ and x_+ . Unfortunately, the constraints become quite complicated. Clearly, we have $0 < n_+ < n$ and $0 \leq x_+ \leq n_+$ for every feasible solution. Furthermore, the condition $x_- \geq 0$ implies

$$x_+ \geq L(n_+) := 2d - \frac{dn - s}{n_+}.$$

The next lemma concerns partial derivatives of $f(n_+, x_+)$ at relevant points.

Lemma 7. *Let n_+ and x_+ be such that $0 < n_+ < n$ and $0 \leq x_+ \leq n_+$.*

- (i) $\frac{\partial f(n_+, x_+)}{\partial x_+} \geq 0$.
- (ii) $\frac{\partial f(n_+, 0)}{\partial n_+} \leq 0$.
- (iii) If $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L(n_+))}{\partial n_+} = 0$, then $n_+ = \frac{(2dn - 3s)n}{2(dn - 2s)}$.

Proof. Several times within the proof, we consider the sign of expressions of the following form:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{g_1 + \sqrt{g_2}}{g_3} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_2}g_3^2} \left(\sqrt{g_2} (g_1'g_3 - g_1g_3') + \frac{1}{2}g_2'g_3 - g_2g_3' \right)$$

for $g_2, g_3 > 0$. Note that $\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{g_1 + \sqrt{g_2}}{g_3} \right) \geq 0$ if and only if $\sqrt{g_2} (g_1'g_3 - g_1g_3') + \frac{1}{2}g_2'g_3 - g_2g_3' \geq 0$.

(i) A straightforward calculation shows that $\frac{\partial f(n_+, x_+)}{\partial x_+} \geq 0$ if and only if

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{n_+} \left((d - x_+) \left((d - x_+)n + 2s \right) n_+ + s^2 \right)} - \left((d - x_+)n + s \right) \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\frac{n}{n_+} \left((d - x_+) \left((d - x_+)n + 2s \right) n_+ + s^2 \right) - \left((d - x_+)n + s \right)^2 = \frac{s^2(n - n_+)}{n_+} \geq 0,$$

this is the case, which completes the proof of (i).

(ii) A straightforward calculation shows that $\frac{\partial f(n_+, 0)}{\partial n_+} \leq 0$ if and only if

$$X - Y \geq 0 \text{ for} \tag{13}$$

$$X = (dn + s)n_+^2 \sqrt{\frac{n}{n_+} \left((dn + 2s)dn_+ + s^2 \right)} \text{ and}$$

$$Y = n \left(d(dn + 2s)n_+^2 + \frac{3}{2}s^2n_+ - \frac{1}{2}ns^2 \right).$$

Note that $X \geq 0$ and that Y is a quadratic function in n_+ . If $n_+ \leq \frac{-3s^2 + s\sqrt{8d^2n^2 + 16dns + 9s^2}}{4(dn + 2s)d}$, then $Y \leq 0$ and (13) holds. Hence, we may assume that

$$n_+ \geq \frac{-3s^2 + s\sqrt{8d^2n^2 + 16dns + 9s^2}}{4(dn + 2s)d}. \tag{14}$$

If $X^2 - Y^2 \geq 0$, then $X = |X| \geq |Y|$ and (13) holds. Since

$$X^2 - Y^2 = s^2n(n - n_+)^2 \left(dn_+ + \frac{s}{2} \right) \left((dn + 2s)n_+ - \frac{sn}{2} \right),$$

we may therefore assume that

$$n_+ \leq \frac{ns}{2(dn + 2s)}. \tag{15}$$

Combining (14) and (15) yields $2dn + 3s - \sqrt{8d^2n^2 + 16dns + 9s^2} \geq 0$, which implies the false statement $-4d^2n^2 - 4dns = (2dn + 3s)^2 - (8d^2n^2 + 16dns + 9s^2) \geq 0$. This contradiction completes the proof of (ii).

(iii) A straightforward calculation shows that $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L(n_+))}{\partial n_+}$ equals $\frac{X-Y}{Z}$ for

$$X = (n - n_+)(dn - s) \sqrt{n(n - n_+) \left((dn - s)^2 + d(2s - dn)n_1 \right)},$$

$$Y = dn(n - n_+)^2(dn - 2s) + \frac{1}{2}ns^2(2n - 3n_+), \text{ and}$$

$$Z = 2n_+^2(n - n_+) \sqrt{n(n - n_+) \left((dn - s)^2 + d(2s - dn)n_1 \right)}.$$

Now, let $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L(n_+))}{\partial n_+} = 0$. This implies $X^2 - Y^2 = 0$. Since

$$X^2 - Y^2 = s^2nn_+^2 \left(\frac{2dn - s}{2} - dn_+ \right) \left(\frac{(2dn - 3s)n}{2} - (dn - 2s)n_+ \right),$$

we obtain $n_+ \in \left\{ \frac{2dn-s}{2d}, \frac{(2dn-3s)n}{2(dn-2s)} \right\}$. For $n_+ = \frac{2dn-s}{2d}$, we obtain $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L(n_+))}{\partial n_+} = \frac{4d^2(dn-s)}{(2dn-s)^2}$, which equals 0 only if $s = dn$. Since for $s = dn$, we have $\frac{2dn-s}{2d} = \frac{(2dn-3s)n}{2(dn-2s)}$, it follows that $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L(n_+))}{\partial n_+} = 0$ only if $n_+ = \frac{(2dn-3s)n}{2(dn-2s)}$, which completes the proof of (iii). \square

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_+, L(n_+)) &= \frac{\sqrt{n((dn-s)^2 - dn_+(dn-2s))}}{2n_+\sqrt{n-n_+}} - \frac{dn-s-2dn_+}{2n_+} \\ &= d + \frac{s^2}{2(n-n_+) \left(\sqrt{\frac{n((dn-s)^2 - dn_+(dn-2s))}{n-n_+}} + (dn-s) \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n_+ \rightarrow n} \sqrt{n((dn-s)^2 - dn_+(dn-2s))} = s\sqrt{n} > 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n_+ \rightarrow n} f(n_+, L(n_+)) = \infty. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, if $s > dn$, then

$$\lim_{n_+ \rightarrow 0} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n((dn-s)^2 - dn_+(dn-2s))}{n-n_+}} + (dn-s) \right) = \sqrt{(dn-s)^2} + (dn-s) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n_+ \rightarrow 0} f(n_+, L(n_+)) = \infty \quad (17)$$

also in this case.

For Theorem 2, we consider the following relaxation of (Q_I) :

$$(Q') \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(n_+, x_+) \\ \text{subject to} & x_+ \geq L(n_+) \\ & x_+ \leq n_+ \\ & x_+ \geq 0 \\ & n_+ < n \\ & n_+ > 0. \end{array}$$

Again, it is easy to see that the argument of the root in $f(n_+, x_+)$ is positive for every feasible solution of (Q') . We proceed to the proof of the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let (n_+, x_+) a feasible solution for (Q') . Note that $L(n_+) \geq 0$ if and only if $n_+ \geq \frac{dn-s}{2d}$.

First, we consider the case that $s < dn$, which implies, in particular, that $\frac{dn-s}{2d} > 0$. Note that

$$\frac{d^2n}{\sqrt{d^2n^2 - s^2}} \geq \frac{2s}{n}, \quad (18)$$

because $\left(\frac{d^2n}{\sqrt{d^2n^2 - s^2}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{2s}{n}\right)^2 = \frac{(d^2n^2 - 2s^2)^2}{(d^2n^2 - s^2)n^2} \geq 0$. By Lemma 7 (i) and (ii), for $n_+ \leq \frac{dn-s}{2d}$, we have

$$f(n_+, x_+) \geq f(n_+, 0) \geq f\left(\frac{dn-s}{2d}, 0\right) = \frac{d^2n}{\sqrt{d^2n^2 - s^2}}.$$

Now, let $n_+ \geq \frac{dn-s}{2d}$. By Lemma 7 (i), we have $f(n_+, x_+) \geq f(n_+, L(n_+))$.

If $s < \frac{dn}{2}$, then $\frac{(2dn-3s)n}{2(dn-2s)} > n$. If $s = \frac{dn}{2}$, then $\frac{(2dn-3s)n}{2(dn-2s)}$ is undefined. If $s \in \left(\frac{dn}{2}, \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$, then $\frac{(2dn-3s)n}{2(dn-2s)} \leq \frac{dn-s}{2d}$. Therefore, for $s \leq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$, Lemma 7 (iii) and (16) imply

$$f(n_+, x_+) \geq f(n_+, L(n_+)) \geq f\left(\frac{dn-s}{2d}, L\left(\frac{dn-s}{2d}\right)\right) = \frac{d^2n}{\sqrt{d^2n^2 - s^2}}.$$

If $s \in \left(\frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}, dn\right)$, then Lemma 7 (iii) and (16) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n_+, x_+) &\geq f(n_+, L(n_+)) \\
&\geq \min \left\{ f \left(\frac{(2dn - 3s)n}{2(dn - 2s)}, L \left(\frac{(2dn - 3s)n}{2(dn - 2s)} \right) \right), f \left(\frac{dn - s}{2d}, L \left(\frac{dn - s}{2d} \right) \right) \right\} \\
&\geq \min \left\{ \frac{2s}{n}, \frac{d^2 n}{\sqrt{d^2 n^2 - s^2}} \right\} \\
(18) \quad &\geq \frac{2s}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Altogether, for $s < dn$, the desired statement follows.

Next, let $s = dn$. In this case, we have $n \geq n_+ \geq x_+ \geq L(n_+) = 2d$, and Lemma 7 (i) implies

$$f(n_+, x_+) \geq f(n_+, 2d) = d + \frac{dn}{2\sqrt{n_+(n - n_+)}} \geq d + \frac{dn}{2\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}(n - \frac{n}{2})}} = 2d = \frac{2s}{n}.$$

Finally, let $s > dn$. By Lemma 7 (iii), (16), and (17) we obtain

$$f(n_+, x_+) \geq f(n_+, L(n_+)) \geq f \left(\frac{(2dn - 3s)n}{2(dn - 2s)}, L \left(\frac{(2dn - 3s)n}{2(dn - 2s)} \right) \right) = \frac{2s}{n},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 8. *Let G be a graph with n vertices, average degree d , and degree deviation s with $s > 0$.*

If either $s > \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$ or $s \leq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then

$$\lambda(G) - d \geq \tilde{\lambda}(G) - d \geq \frac{s^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}}.$$

Proof. First, we suppose that $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $s \leq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{d^2 n}{\sqrt{d^2 n^2 - s^2}} - d \right) &= \frac{d^2 n s}{(d^2 n^2 - s^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} > 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{s^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} \right) &= \frac{2s}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} > 0, \text{ and} \\
\left(\frac{d^2 n s}{(d^2 n^2 - s^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{2s}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} \right)^2 &= \frac{2s^2 \left(d^5 n^6 \left(\frac{n}{2} - d \right) + s^2 \left(\left(\frac{3d^2 n^2}{2} - s^2 \right)^2 + \frac{3}{4} d^4 n^4 \right) \right)}{(d^2 n^2 - s^2)^3 n^5 d} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d^2 n}{\sqrt{d^2 n^2 - s^2}} - d \right) - \left(\frac{s^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} \right) \geq \left(\frac{d^2 n}{\sqrt{d^2 n^2 - 0^2}} - d \right) - \left(\frac{0^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} \right) = 0.$$

In view of Theorem 2, this implies the desired statement for $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $s \leq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Next, we suppose that $s > \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$. If $d \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then

$$s \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} d \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4nd + 1} \right) \leq 2dn \leq \sqrt{\frac{2n}{d}} dn = \sqrt{2dnn}$$

and if $d \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, then $\psi = n - 1 - d \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \leq d$ and

$$s \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \psi \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4n\psi + 1} \right) \leq \sqrt{2\psi nn} \leq \sqrt{2dnn}.$$

Altogether, we obtain $s \leq \sqrt{2dnn}$, which implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\left(\frac{2s}{n} - d \right) - \frac{s^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} \right) = \frac{2}{n} - \frac{\sqrt{2}s}{n^2 \sqrt{dn}} \geq 0.$$

Since $s \geq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{2s}{n} - d \right) - \frac{s^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} \geq \left(\frac{2 \left(\frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}} \right)}{n} - d \right) - \frac{\left(\frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2}{n^2 \sqrt{2dn}} = (\sqrt{2} - 1) d - \frac{\sqrt{2}d^2}{4\sqrt{dn}} \geq \left(\sqrt{2} - 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \right) d > 0.$$

In view of Theorem 2, this implies the desired statement for $s \geq \frac{dn}{\sqrt{2}}$. \square

5 Proof and extremal graphs for (3)

Throughout this section, let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges, minimum degree $\delta > 0$, and maximum degree Δ . Let V denote the vertex set of G and let d denote the average degree $\frac{2m}{n}$ of G .

The two vectors

$$x = (x_u)_{u \in V} = \left(\frac{|d_G(u) - d|}{\sqrt{d_G(u)}} \right)_{u \in V} \quad \text{and} \quad y = (y_u)_{u \in V} = \left(\sqrt{d_G(u)} \right)_{u \in V}$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_2^2 &= \sum_{u \in V} \frac{(d_G(u) - d)^2}{d_G(u)} = \underbrace{\sum_{u \in V} d_G(u)}_{2m} - \underbrace{\sum_{u \in V} 2d}_{4m} + \sum_{u \in V} \frac{d^2}{d_G(u)} = d^2 \left(\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{d_G(u)} \right) - 2m \quad \text{and} \\ \|y\|_2^2 &= 2m. \end{aligned}$$

If α denotes the angle between x and y in \mathbb{R}^V , then

$$\begin{aligned} s(G) &= \sum_{u \in V} |d_G(u) - d| \\ &= x^T y \\ &= \|x\|_2 \cdot \|y\|_2 \cdot \cos(\alpha) \\ &\leq \|x\|_2 \cdot \|y\|_2 \\ &= \sqrt{\left(d^2 \left(\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{d_G(u)} \right) - 2m \right) 2m} \\ &= d \sqrt{2m \left(\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{d_G(u)} \right) - n^2} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with equality if and only if $\alpha = 0$, that is, the two vectors x and y are parallel. By the definition of x and y , we have that $\alpha = 0$ if and only if the expression $\frac{|d_G(u) - d|}{d_G(u)}$ is constant on V .

A simple argument using the convexity of the function $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$ implies that

$$\sum_{u \in V} \frac{1}{d_G(u)} \leq \frac{n(\Delta + \delta) - 2m}{\delta \Delta} \tag{20}$$

with equality if and only if all vertex degrees are in $\{\delta, \Delta\}$.

Combining (19) and (20) yields (3) with equality if and only if

- $\frac{|d_G(u) - d|}{d_G(u)}$ is constant on V and
- all vertex degrees are in $\{\delta, \Delta\}$.

This implies that $\frac{\Delta - d}{\Delta} = \frac{d - \delta}{\delta}$, which implies $d = \frac{2\delta\Delta}{\Delta + \delta}$ and, thus, $m = \frac{dn}{2} = \frac{\delta\Delta n}{\Delta + \delta}$. In particular, for $\delta < \Delta$, there are non-bipartite extremal graphs.

References

- [1] A. Ali, E. Milovanović, M. Matejić, and I. Milovanović, On the upper bounds for the degree deviation of graphs, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* 62 (2020) 179–187.
- [2] A. Ghalavand and A.R. Ashrafi, On a conjecture about degree deviation measure of graphs, *Transactions on Combinatorics* 10 (2021) 1–8.
- [3] J. Haviland, On irregularity in graphs, *Ars Combinatoria* 78 (2006) 283–288.
- [4] C.J. Lawrence, K. Tizzard, and J. Haviland, Disease-spread and stochastic graphs, *Proceedings of International Conference on Social Networks, London, 1995*, 143–150.
- [5] V. Nikiforov, Eigenvalues and degree deviation in graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 414 (2006) 347–360.
- [6] J.A. de Oliveira, C.S. Oliveira, C. Justel, and N.M. Maia de Abreu, Measures of irregularity of graphs, *Pesquisa Operacional* 33 (2013) 383–398.
- [7] D. Rautenbach and F. Werner, Degree deviation and spectral radius, [arXiv:2409.14956](https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14956).
- [8] W. Zhang, A note on spectral radius and degree deviation in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 344 (2021) Paper No. 112429.

A Appendix: Nikiforov's lower bound for $d > n/2$

As in the previous section, let G be a graph with n vertices, average degree d , degree deviation s , and spectral radius $\lambda = \lambda(G)$. Again, let $s > 0$, which implies $d, n > 0$. In this section, we show Nikiforov's conjectured lower bound.

Theorem 9. $\lambda \geq d + \frac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{2n^2\sqrt{dn}}$

We already showed Theorem 9 for $d \leq n/2$ (see Corollary 8). Therefore, for the rest of this section, let $d > \frac{n}{2}$. Using (2) or (21) below, it is a mathematical standard task to show $s < 0.35n^2$. We show the following two theorems.

Theorem 10. $\lambda \geq d + \frac{s^2}{n^3} - \frac{2(d+n)s^4}{n^8}$ for $d > \frac{n}{2}$

Theorem 11. $\lambda \geq d + \frac{4s^2}{n(3n-2d)(2d+n)} - \frac{24(2d-n)s^3}{n^2(3n-2d)^2(2d+n)^2}$ for $\frac{n}{2} < d \leq 0.8n$ and $s \geq \frac{7n(d-\frac{n}{2})}{10}$

Note that Theorem 11 was constructed to show Nikiforov's Conjecture where Theorem 10 is not strong enough and leaves room for improvement.

Again, we consider (Q_I) . The condition $w_3 \leq 1$ yields

$$x_1 \geq L_2(n_+) := d - n + n_+ + \frac{s}{2n_+}.$$

Remember $x_- \geq 0$ implies $x_+ \geq L(n_+) = 2d - \frac{dn-s}{n_+}$. Comparing L and L_2 shows $L_2 \geq L$ if and only if

$$n_+ \leq N := \frac{d}{2} + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{d^2 - 2dn + n^2 + 2s}}{2}.$$

Since $x_+ \geq \max\{L(n_+), L_2(n_+)\}$, we consider the following relaxations of (Q_I) . Note that $\lambda \geq OPT(Q') \geq \min\{OPT(Q''), OPT(Q''')\}$.

	minimize	$f(n_+, x_+)$		minimize	$f(n_+, x_+)$
	subject to	$x_+ \geq L_2(n_+)$		subject to	$x_+ \geq L(n_+)$
(Q'')		$x_+ \leq n_+$	and	(Q''')	$x_+ \leq n_+$
		$x_+ \geq 0$			$x_+ \geq 0$
		$n_+ \leq N$			$n_+ \geq N$
		$n_+ > 0$			$n_+ > 0$

Lemma 12. $L(N) \leq N - 1$ if and only if $s \leq (n - d - 1) \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4n(n - d - 1) + 1} \right)$.

Proof.

$$L(N) - (N - 1) = \frac{-(n + d)(n - d - 1) + s + (n - d - 1)\sqrt{n^2 - 2dn + d^2 + 2s}}{d + n - \sqrt{n^2 - 2dn + d^2 + 2s}}$$

Note that (2) implies $s \leq (n - d - 1) \left(2n - 1 - \sqrt{4n(n - d - 1) + 1} \right) \leq (n - d - 1) (2n - 1 - (n - d - 1)) = (n - d - 1)(n + d)$. Hence $L(N) - (N - 1) = 0$ if and only if

$$-(-(n + d)(n - d - 1) + s)^2 + (n - d - 1)^2 (d^2 - 2dn + n^2 + 2s) = 0,$$

which, as a function in s , has the solutions $(n - d - 1) \left(2n - 1 \pm \sqrt{4n(n - d - 1) + 1} \right)$, where only the smaller solution is valid according to (2). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 13. $(n_+, x_+) = (N, L(N))$ is a feasible solution for (Q'') and an optimal solution for (Q''') .

Proof. Lemma 12 and (2) imply $L(N) = L_2(N) \leq N - 1$. Since $0 < N < n$, $(n_+, x_+) = (N, L(N))$ is a feasible solution for (Q'') and (Q''') . Since $\frac{dn-s}{2d} \leq N$, it follows analogously to the proof of Theorem 2 that $f(n_+, x_+) \geq f(N, L(N))$, which completes the proof. \square

Since $L(N) - (N - 1) > L(N) - N$, we receive together with (2) for the corresponding zeros

$$s \leq (n - d - 1) \left(2n - \sqrt{4n(n - d - 1) + 1} - 1 \right) \leq (n - d) \left(2n - \sqrt{4n(n - d)} \right). \quad (21)$$

Since $L(N) = L_2(N)$, Lemma 13 implies $OPT(Q''') \geq OPT(Q'')$ and we receive $\lambda \geq OPT(Q'')$. Therefore, in order to find new bounds on λ depending on $n, m, d > \frac{n}{2}$ and s , one simply has to bound $OPT(Q'')$ as tight as possible.

Lemma 7 implies $\frac{\partial f(n_+, x_1)}{\partial x_1} \geq 0$ even if $x_+ > n_+$ and hence $\lambda \geq \min_{0 < n_+ < n} f(n_+, L_2(n_+))$.

Note that $(n^*, L_2(n^*))$, where $n^* = \arg \min_{0 < n_+ < n} f(n_+, L_2(n_+))$, is not necessarily a feasible solution for (Q'') . Simplifying $f(n_+, L_2(n_+))$ yields

$$f(n_+, L_2(n_+)) \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{n(4dn_+ - 2nn_+ + 2n_+^2 + s) - n_+(4dn_+ + 2s) + \sqrt{n(4n_+s^2 + (n(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s) + 4n_+s)(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s))}}{4n_+(n - n_+)}. \quad (23)$$

Again, it is easy to see that the argument of the root in (23) is strictly bigger 0 if $0 < n < n_+$, since it is received through substitution – and pulling strictly positive terms out of the root – from $(x_+ - x_-)^2 + 4n_+n_-w_{\pm}^2$. The same argumentation holds throughout the paper.

Lemma 14. *Let p be the unique zero of the polynomial $P(n_+) := -2n^3 + 8n^2n_+ - 12nn_+^2 - ns + 8n_+^3$.*

$$f(n_+, L_2(n_+)) \geq f(p, L_2(p)) \text{ for } 0 < n_+ < n$$

Considering the derivative $\frac{\partial P}{\partial n_+} = 8(n^2 - 3nn_+ + 3n_+^2) > 0$ shows that $P(n_+)$ is strictly monotone increasing in n_+ everywhere, implying P has at most one zero. We consider

$$p_1 := \frac{n}{2} + \frac{s}{2n} - \frac{s^3}{2n^5} \text{ and}$$

$$p_2 := \frac{n}{2} + \frac{s}{2n},$$

where $P(p_1) = \frac{s^5(-3n^8 + 3n^4s^2 - s^4)}{n^{15}} < 0$ and $P(p_2) = \frac{s^3}{n^3} > 0$. Hence P has a unique zero, which we call p and $p_1 < p < p_2$. It is easy to see that $0 < p_1 < p_2 < n$. Now we show Lemma 14.

Proof. Again, using

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{g_1 + \sqrt{g_2}}{g_3} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_2}g_3^2} \left(\sqrt{g_2}(g_1'g_3 - g_1g_3') + \frac{1}{2}g_2'g_3 - g_2g_3' \right),$$

we receive $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L_2(n_+))}{\partial n_+} = 0$ if and only if $X + Y = 0$ for

$$X = 4s(-n^2 + 2nn_+ - 2n_+^2) \sqrt{n(4n_+s^2 + (n(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s) + 4n_+s)(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s))}$$

and

$$Y = 4ns(2n_+(n - n_+)(n^2 - 2nn_+ + 2n_+^2) + s(2nn_+ - n^2)).$$

Note that $X < 0$ and hence $X^2 + Y^2 > 0$.

$$-X^2 + Y^2 = 64nn_+^2s^3(n - n_+)^2(-2n^3 + 8n^2n_+ - 12nn_+^2 - ns + 8n_+^3)$$

For $n_+ \geq \frac{n}{2}$, it follows $Y \geq 0$. Since $\frac{n}{2} \leq p_1 \leq p \leq p_2$, p is indeed a zero of $\frac{\partial f(n_+, L_2(n_+))}{\partial n_+}$. Further more,

$$(-X^2 + Y^2) \Big|_{n_+=p_1} = -\frac{4s^8(n^6 + n^4s - s^3)^2(n^6 - n^4s + s^3)^2(3n^8 - 3n^4s^2 + s^4)}{n^{34}} < 0$$

$$(-X^2 + Y^2) \Big|_{n_+=p_2} = \frac{4s^6(n^2 - s)^2(n^2 + s)^2}{n^6} > 0,$$

implying p is a minimum. \square

Note that $(n_+, x_+) = (p, L_2(p))$ is not a feasible solution of (Q'') for all d and s . Two errors can occur: First, $L_2(p) > p$ and second $p > N$.

Lemma 15.

$$f(p, L_2(p)) \geq f_1 := \frac{n^8 \left(dn^4 - ds^2 - \frac{n^5}{2} - \frac{ns^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n^8 + 6n^4s^2 - 3s^4} \right)}{n^{12} - n^8s^2 + 2n^4s^4 - s^6}$$

Proof. We consider the counter and denominator of $f(n_+, L_2(n_+))$, more precisely (23), separately. Let $f_u(n_+) := f(n_+, L_2(n_+))(4n_+(n - n_+))$ and

$$w_u := \sqrt{n(4n^3n_+^2 - 8n^2n_+^3 - 4n^2n_+s + 4nn_+^4 + 12nn_+^2s + ns^2 - 8n_+^3s)}.$$

$$\frac{\partial f_u}{\partial n_+} = \frac{1}{w_u} (4n^4n_+ - 12n^3n_+^2 - 2n^3s + 8n^2n_+^3 + 12n^2n_+s - 12nn_+^2s + w_u(2(2d - n)(n - 2n_+) - 2s))$$

Claim: $\frac{\partial f_u}{\partial n_+} < 0$ for $n_+ \in [p, p_2]$

Since $2(2d - n)(n - 2n_+) - 2s < 0$ for $n_+ \geq \frac{n}{2}$, it follows $\frac{\partial f_u}{\partial n_+} < 0$ for $n_+ \in [p, p_2]$ if

$$R := 4n^4n_+ - 12n^3n_+^2 - 2n^3s + 8n^2n_+^3 + 12n^2n_+s - 12nn_+^2s \leq 0.$$

Note that $P(n_+) \geq 0$ for $n_+ \geq p$. Hence for $n_+ \geq p$,

$$R \leq R + n^2P = -n(2n_+ - n)^2(2n^2 - 4nn_+ + 3s),$$

which is smaller or equal zero if $n_+ \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3s}{4n}$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Now $\frac{\partial f_u}{\partial n_+} < 0$ for $n_+ \in [p, p_2]$ implies $f_u(p) \geq f_u(p_2)$. For the denominator of $f(n_+, L_2(n_+))$ follows since $\frac{n}{2} \leq p_1 \leq p < n$ that $4p(n - p) \leq 4p_1(n - p_1)$. Together, we receive

$$f(p, L_2(p)) = \frac{f_u(p)}{4p(n - p)} \geq \frac{f_u(p_2)}{4p_1(n - p_1)} = \frac{n^8 \left(dn^4 - ds^2 - \frac{n^5}{2} - \frac{ns^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{n^8 + 6n^4s^2 - 3s^4} \right)}{n^{12} - n^8s^2 + 2n^4s^4 - s^6}.$$

□

Note that $n^{12} - n^8s^2 + 2n^4s^4 - s^6 = (n^6 + n^4s - s^3)(n^6 - n^4s + s^3) > 0$.

Lemma 16. $w := \sqrt{n^8 + 6n^4s^2 - 3s^4} \geq w_1 := n^4 + 3s^2 - \frac{6s^4}{n^4} + \frac{18s^6}{n^8} - \frac{72s^8}{n^{12}}$

Proof.

$$w^2 - w_1^2 = \frac{108s^{10}(6n^{12} - 11n^8s^2 + 24n^4s^4 - 48s^6)}{n^{24}} > 0,$$

since $2n^{12} - 11n^8s^2 + 24n^4s^4 - 48s^6 = (n^2 - 2s)(n^2 + 2s)(2n^8 - 3n^4s^2 + 12s^4) > 0$

□

In f_1 , we replace w by w_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 \geq f_2 &:= \frac{n^8 \left(dn^4 - ds^2 - \frac{n^5}{2} - \frac{ns^2}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \left(n^4 + 3s^2 - \frac{6s^4}{n^4} + \frac{18s^6}{n^8} - \frac{72s^8}{n^{12}} \right) \right)}{n^{12} - n^8s^2 + 2n^4s^4 - s^6} \\ &= \frac{dn^{15} - dn^{11}s^2 + n^{12}s^2 - 3n^8s^4 + 9n^4s^6 - 36s^8}{n^3(n^{12} - n^8s^2 + 2n^4s^4 - s^6)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 17. $f_2 \geq f_3 := d + \frac{s^2}{n^3} - \frac{(2(d+n))s^4}{n^8}$

Proof.

$$f_2 - f_3 = \frac{s^6 (5n^9 - 31n^5 s^2 - 2ns^4 - d(n^8 - 4n^4 s^2 + 2s^4))}{n^8 (n^{12} - n^8 s^2 + 2n^4 s^4 - s^6)}$$

Note $n^8 - 4n^4 s^2 + 2s^4 > 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} 5n^9 - 31n^5 s^2 - 2ns^4 - d(n^8 - 4n^4 s^2 + 2s^4) &\geq (5n^9 - 31n^5 s^2 - 2ns^4 - d(n^8 - 4n^4 s^2 + 2s^4)) \Big|_{d=n} \\ &= 4n^9 - 27n^5 s^2 - 4ns^4 \geq (4n^9 - 27n^5 s^2 - 4ns^4) \Big|_{s=0.35n^2} > 0.6n^9. \end{aligned}$$

□

This completes the proof of Theorem 10.

As mentioned before, Theorem 10 implies parts of Theorem 9.

Lemma 18. $\lambda \geq d + \frac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{2n^2\sqrt{dn}}$ for $d \geq 0.8n$ or $s \leq s_0$ (and $d \geq \frac{n}{2}$), where

$$s_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n^5 (2\sqrt{dn} - \sqrt{2n})}{\sqrt{dn} (d+n)}}.$$

Proof. Note s_0 is real since $2\sqrt{dn} > \sqrt{2n}$ for $d > \frac{n}{2}$. Lemma 17 implies

$$\lambda - d - \frac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{2n^2\sqrt{dn}} \geq d + \frac{s^2}{n^3} - \frac{(2(d+n))s^4}{n^8} - \left(d + \frac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{2n^2\sqrt{dn}} \right) = \frac{s^2 (-4(d+n)\sqrt{dn}s^2 - \sqrt{2}n^6 + 2n^5\sqrt{dn})}{2n^8\sqrt{dn}},$$

which, as a function in s , has the zeros $\pm s_0$ and therefore implies the result for $s \leq s_0$.

It remains to show that $s \leq s_0$ for $d \geq 0.8n$.

Let $d \geq 0.8n$. (21) implies $s \leq h := (n-d) (2n - 2\sqrt{n(n-d)})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial d} &= -2n + 3\sqrt{n(n-d)} \leq (-2n + 3\sqrt{n(n-d)}) \Big|_{d=0.8n} < 0 \\ \frac{\partial s_0^2}{\partial d} &= \frac{n^3 (-4d^3 n^2 + \sqrt{2}n^2 (dn)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 3\sqrt{2} (dn)^{\frac{5}{2}})}{8d^3 (d^2 + 2dn + n^2)} > 0 \text{ since } 3\sqrt{2} > 4 \end{aligned}$$

Now, a simple calculation shows $h|_{d=0.8n} < s_0|_{d=0.8n}$, which completes the proof. □

Next we proof Theorem 11.

Since p is the unique minimum of $f(n_+, L_2(n_+))$, if $N \leq p$, then $\lambda \geq OPT(Q'') \geq f(N, L_2(N))$. Further more, for every N' with $N \leq N' \leq p$ follows $\lambda \geq OPT(Q'') \geq f(N', L_2(N'))$. N is quite complicated as it contains a root. Therefore, to bound λ , we are looking for an approximation of N between N and p . The following lemma realizes this idea.

Lemma 19. Let $t := \frac{7n}{10} (d - \frac{n}{2})$ and $N_1 := \frac{d}{2} + \frac{n}{4} - \frac{s}{4n}$.

(i) $N \leq N_1 \leq p_1 \leq p$ for $s \geq t$ and $\frac{n}{2} \leq d \leq 0.8n$

(ii) $\lambda \geq OPT(Q'') \geq f(N_1, L_2(N_1))$ for $s \geq t$ and $\frac{n}{2} < d \leq 0.8n$

Proof. (i)

$$(p_1 - N_1)|_{s=t} = \frac{(2d-n)(-1372d^2 + 1372dn - 143n^2)}{16000n^2} > 0,$$

since, as a function in d , $-1372d^2 + 1372dn - 143n^2$ has the zeros $\frac{n(49 \pm 10\sqrt{14})}{98}$ and hence has no zero for $\frac{n}{2} < d \leq 0.8n$.

$$(N - N_1)|_{s=t} = \frac{7d}{40} + \frac{13n}{80} - \frac{\sqrt{100d^2 - 60dn + 30n^2}}{20} < 0,$$

since $\left(\frac{7d}{40} + \frac{13n}{80}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{100d^2 - 60dn + 30n^2}}{20}\right)^2 = -\frac{(2d-n)(702d-311n)}{6400} < 0$.

Now we consider the derivative of N, N_1 and p after s . Since $s \leq 0.5n^2$ and $d \geq 0.5n$,

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial s} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{d^2 - 2dn + n^2 + 2s}} \leq -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5n} < -\frac{1}{4n} = \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial s}.$$

Also, note that $\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{3s^2}{2n^5} \geq 0$ if $s \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}n^2$, which is true. Together, we receive $\frac{\partial N}{\partial s} \leq \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial s} \leq \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial s}$, which completes the proof.

(ii) follows from (i) as explained before the lemma. \square

Now we bound $f(N_1, L_2(N_1))$ step by step using Taylor expansions.

$$f(N_1, L_2(N_1)) = \frac{n(4dn_+ - 2nn_+ + 2n_+^2 + s) - n_+(4dn_+ + 2s) + \sqrt{n(4n_+s^2 + (n(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s) + 4n_+s)(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s))}}{4n_+(n - n_+)} \Big|_{n_+ = \frac{d}{2} + \frac{n}{4} - \frac{s}{4n}}$$

We first consider

$$z := \sqrt{n(4n_+s^2 + (n(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s) + 4n_+s)(2nn_+ - 2n_+^2 - s))} \Big|_{n_+ = \frac{d}{2} + \frac{n}{4} - \frac{s}{4n}}.$$

Lemma 20.

$$z \geq z_1 := \frac{n(3n - 2d)(2d + n)}{8} + \left(\frac{3d}{2} - \frac{3n}{4}\right)s + \frac{3}{8n}s^2 - \frac{4(2d - n)}{n^2(3n - 2d)(2d + n)}s^3$$

Proof.

$$z^2 - z_1^2 = \frac{s^4(2d - n)(-48d^3n^2 + 72d^2n^3 + 12dn^4 - 18n^5 + s(9n^3 + 12dn^2 - 12d^2n) - s^2(32d - 16n))}{n^4(3n - 2d)^2(2d + n)^2},$$

Let $v(s) := -48d^3n^2 + 72d^2n^3 + 12dn^4 - 18n^5 + s(9n^3 + 12dn^2 - 12d^2n) - s^2(32d - 16n)$. Since $-(32d - 16n) < 0$, v is concave and it follows $v \geq \min\{v(0), v(0.6n^2)\} \geq 0$, since

$$v(0) = 6n^2(3n - 2d)(2d - n)(2d + n) > 0 \text{ and} \\ v(0.6n^2) = \frac{3}{25}n^2(10d - 3n)(-40d^2 + 42dn + 19n^2) > 0.$$

\square

Now replacing z in $f(N_1, L_2(N_1))$ with z_1 yields

$$g := \frac{-16d^5n^2 + 32d^4n^3 + 16d^4ns + 8d^3n^4 - 24d^3n^2s - 4d^3s^2 - 24d^2n^5 - 4d^2n^3s + 20d^2ns^2 - 9dn^6 + 6dn^4s - 13dn^2s^2 + 32ds^3 - 12n^3s^2 - 16ns^3}{(3n - 2d)(2d + n)(-2dn - n^2 + s)(-2dn + 3n^2 + s)},$$

where $f(N_1, L_2(N_1)) \geq g$.

Lemma 21.

$$g \geq g_1 := d + \frac{4s^2}{n(3n - 2d)(2d + n)} - \frac{24s^3(2d - n)}{n^2(3n - 2d)^2(2d + n)^2}$$

Proof.

$$g - g_1 = \frac{4s^4(44d^2n - 44dn^2 + 15n^3 - s(12d - 6n))}{n^2(3n - 2d)^2(2d + n)^2(2dn + n^2 - s)(-2dn + 3n^2 + s)} > 0,$$

since $44d^2n - 44dn^2 + 15n^3 - s(12d - 6n) \geq (44d^2n - 44dn^2 + 15n^3 - s(12d - 6n)) \Big|_{s=0.5n^2} = 2n(22d^2 - 25dn + 9n^2) > 0$. \square

Hence $\lambda \geq f(N_1, L_2(N_1)) \geq g \geq g_1$ for $s \geq t$ and $\frac{n}{2} < d \leq 0.8n$, which completes the proof of Theorem 11.

Now we show the remaining part of Theorem 9, that is $s \geq s_0$ and $n/2 < d < 0.8n$ (see Lemma 18), where

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n^5 (2\sqrt{dn} - \sqrt{2n})}{\sqrt{dn} (d+n)}}.$$

We start with an upper bound for $r := \frac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{2n^2\sqrt{dn}}$.

Lemma 22.

$$r_1 := \frac{s^2}{n^3} - \frac{s^2 (d - \frac{n}{2})}{n^4} + \frac{3s^2 (d - \frac{n}{2})^2}{2n^5} = \frac{s^2 (12d^2 - 20dn + 15n^2)}{8n^5} \geq r = \frac{\sqrt{2}s^2}{2n^2\sqrt{dn}}$$

Proof.

$$r_1^2 - r^2 = \frac{s^4 (2d - n)^3 (18d^2 - 33dn + 32n^2)}{64dn^{10}} > 0$$

□

The bound in Theorem 11 holds for $s \geq t = \frac{7n(d - \frac{n}{2})}{10}$ and $n/2 < d < 0.8n$.

Lemma 23. $t \leq s_0$ for $n/2 < d \leq 0.8n$.

Proof. Let $\bar{s} := \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{n^3(2d-n)(7n-6d)}{d+n}}$. Since, as a function in s , $f_3 - d - r = d + \frac{s^2}{n^3} - \frac{(2(d+n))s^4}{n^8} - d - r$ has the zeros $0, \pm s_0$ and $f_3 - d - r_1$ has the zeros $0, \pm \bar{s}$, the inequality $f_3 - d - r_1 \leq f_3 - d - r$ implies $\bar{s} \leq s_0$. Now

$$\bar{s}^2 - t^2 = \frac{n^2 (2d - n) (-98d^2 - 199dn + 224n^2)}{400 (d + n)} > 0,$$

since $-98d^2 - 199dn + 224n^2 \geq (-98d^2 - 199dn + 224n^2)|_{d=0.8n} > 2n^2$. □

Now the following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 9.

Lemma 24. $g_1 \geq d + r_1$

Proof.

$$g_1 - d - r_1 = \frac{s^2 (2d - n) (-96d^5 + 304d^4n - 240d^3n^2 - 104d^2n^3 + 130dn^4 + 39n^5 - 192n^3s)}{8n^5 (3n - 2d)^2 (2d + n)^2},$$

which, as a function in s , has the zeros 0 and $s_1 := \frac{(3n-2d)(2d+n)(24d^3-52d^2n+26dn^2+13n^3)}{192n^3}$. Note that (21) implies $s \leq h_1 := (n-d) \left(2n - \sqrt{4n(n-d)}\right)$. It is a mathematical standard task to show that $h_1 < s_1$ for all $\frac{n}{2} \leq d \leq 0.8n$, see Figure 2. Now $g_1 - d - r_1 \geq 0$ follows easily.




Figure 2: h_1 below s_1

□