

DYNAMICS OF COMPLEX HARMONIC MAPPINGS

GOPAL DATT AND RAMANPREET KAUR

ABSTRACT. This note initiates the study of the Fatou–Julia sets of a complex harmonic mapping. Along with some fundamental properties of the Fatou and the Julia sets, we observe some contrasting behaviour of these sets as those with in case of a holomorphic function. The existence of harmonic mapping with a wandering domain is also shown.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we develop the Fatou–Julia theory for a complex harmonic mapping under the direct composition operation as introduced in [4]. The theory of complex harmonic mappings has proven valuable in various areas of modern science. For instance, in fluid mechanics, it is used to obtain explicit solutions to the incompressible two-dimensional Euler equations [1]. Hence, it is important to study the behaviour of such a mapping from a point of view of dynamics. Throughout this note, by a “harmonic mapping” we always mean a complex-valued harmonic function defined on the complex plane. A harmonic mapping f in the complex plane can always be written as a sum $h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C} . In the literature, h and g are referred as an analytic and co-analytic parts of f , respectively. We shall consider the family of harmonic mappings which do not preserve orientation, as the family of orientation-preserving harmonic mappings is always a normal family [6].

To study the dynamics of $f = h + \bar{g}$, let us first recall the direct composition operation, denoted by \ominus . Note that

$$f \ominus f = (h + \bar{g}) \ominus (h + \bar{g}) = h \circ h + \overline{g \circ g}.$$

Therefore, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f^{n,\ominus}(z) := f \circ f^{n-1,\ominus}(z) = h^n(z) + \overline{g^n(z)}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. It has also been coined by authors, in [4], that one can consider the cross composition operation, denoted by \odot , defined as

$$f \odot f = h \circ g + \overline{g \circ h}.$$

However, the convergence of a sequence $\{f^{n,\ominus}\}$ at a point z_0 implies the convergence of $\{f^{n,\odot}\}$ at z_0 , i.e. the convergence of $\{f^{n,\odot}\}$ is a weaker notion (see [4]). Therefore, we shall consider only the direct composition operation in this note. With this, we define the Fatou

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D05, 30D45, 37F10.

Key words and phrases. Completely invariant set, Complex harmonic function, Fatou set, Wandering domains.

and the Julia sets of a harmonic mapping in the similar manner as they are defined for a holomorphic function.

Definition 1.1. A family \mathcal{F} of harmonic mappings is *normal* in \mathbb{C} if for every sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ which either converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} to a harmonic mapping or diverges compactly to ∞ .

Definition 1.2. The maximal open subset of \mathbb{C} on which the family of iterates $\{f^{n,\odot}\}$ is normal is termed as the *Fatou set* of f , and is denoted by $F(f)$.

The complement of the Fatou set is called as the *Julia set*, and is denoted by $J(f)$. By definition, $J(f)$ is a closed set. A connected component of the Fatou set is called a *Fatou component*. A Fatou component may be

- (a) a *periodic component* if there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{k_0,\odot}(U) \subset U$.
- (b) a *pre-periodic component* if there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{m_0,\odot}$ is periodic.
- (c) a *wandering domain* if neither periodic nor pre-periodic.

In this article, we shall mainly focus only on wandering domains; the periodic or pre-periodic components will be considered in a future work. The wandering domains are further classified into three types. A wandering domain

- (1) is *escaping* if all orbits converge to infinity,
- (2) is *oscillating* if there exists an unbounded orbit and an orbit with a bounded subsequence,
- (3) is *orbitally bounded* if every orbit is bounded.

It may also be noted that by the Arzelá–Ascoli theorem, the family of iterates $\{f^{n,\odot}\}$ is equicontinuous if and only if it is a normal family. We shall be using the definition of a point being in the Fatou set as the family of the iterates being either normal or equicontinuous at that point.

In this article, we shall be mainly focussing on basic properties of the Fatou set, the Julia set, and the wandering domains. We shall also observe the contrast between the dynamics of harmonic and holomorphic functions. For the study of holomorphic dynamics, one can refer to [3, 5, 8], and for the study of harmonic mappings, see [6].

2. RESULTS ON THE DYNAMICS OF A COMPLEX HARMONIC MAPPING

For a harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$, we shall establish two types of results in this section.

- (1) Some fundamental results on the Fatou and the Julia set of f .
- (2) Existence of a harmonic mapping with wandering domains.

2.1. Basic Properties of the Fatou and the Julia sets.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a harmonic mapping. Then $F(h) \cap F(g) \subseteq F(f)$.*

Proof. Let $z_0 \in F(h) \cap F(g)$. For a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} |z - z_0| < \delta_1 \text{ implies that } |h^n(z) - h^n(z_0)| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and} \\ |z - z_0| < \delta_2 \text{ implies that } |g^n(z) - g^n(z_0)| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

On combining the above two, whenever $|z - z_0| < \delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{n,\odot}(z) - f^{n,\odot}(z_0)| &= |h^n(z) + \overline{g^n(z)} - h^n(z_0) - \overline{g^n(z_0)}| \\ &\leq |h^n(z) - h^n(z_0)| + |\overline{g^n(z)} - \overline{g^n(z_0)}| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $z_0 \in F(f)$. □

Remark 2.2. It is worth noticing that:

- (1) The above theorem, in particular, gives us that $J(f) \subseteq J(h) \cup J(g)$.
- (2) There exists a harmonic mapping for which we have a strict containment in the inclusion given in the Theorem 2.1. Take $f(z) = z^2 + \frac{\overline{z^2}}{2}$. Here,

$$F(h) \cap F(g) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < \sqrt{2}\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > \sqrt{2}\}.$$

However, if we take any z such that $|z| = \sqrt{2}$, then $h^n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $g^n(z)$ is bounded, that is, there exists an $M > 0$ such that $|g^n(z)| < M$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This gives us that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| = \sqrt{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{n,\odot}(z)| &= |h^n(z) + g^n(z)| \\ &\geq |h^n(z)| - |g^n(z)| \\ &\geq |h^n(z)| - M \\ &\rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This further implies that $z \in F(f)$.

Theorem 2.3. $F(f^{p,\odot}) = F(f)$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We shall prove this for $p = 2$. It follows, from the definition, that $F(f) \subseteq F(f^{2,\odot})$. Now let $z_0 \in F(f^{2,\odot})$. It means that $\{f^{2n,\odot}\}$ is equicontinuous at z_0 . If we show that the family $\{f^{2n+1,\odot} : n \geq 0\}$ is equicontinuous at z_0 , then we are done as the union of two equicontinuous families is equicontinuous. To show this, first we observe the following.

- (1) By the equicontinuity of $\{f^{2n,\odot}\}$ at z_0 , if $\epsilon > 0$ is given, then corresponding to $\epsilon/3$, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|f^{2n,\odot}(z) - f^{2n,\odot}(z_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \text{ whenever } |z - z_0| < \delta_1.$$

(2) Continuity of h and g at z_0 gives the existence of $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta_2 \text{ implies that } |h(z) - h(z_0)| < \delta_1 \text{ and } |g(z) - g(z_0)| < \delta_1.$$

(3) Continuity of $g + \bar{h}$ at z_0 gives the existence of $\delta_3 > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta_3 \text{ implies that } |(g + \bar{h})(z) - (g + \bar{h})(z_0)| < \delta_1.$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{2n+1, \odot}(z) - f^{2n+1, \odot}(z_0)| &= |h^{2n+1}(z) + \overline{g^{2n+1}(z)} - h^{2n+1}(z_0) - \overline{g^{2n+1}(z_0)}| \\ &= |h^{2n+1}(z) + \overline{g^{2n}(h(z))} - \overline{g^{2n}(h(z))} \\ &\quad + \overline{g^{2n}(h(z_0))} - \overline{g^{2n}(h(z_0))} + h^{2n}(g(z)) \\ &\quad - h^{2n}(g(z)) + h^{2n}(g(z_0)) - h^{2n}(g(z_0)) \\ &\quad + \overline{g^{2n+1}(z)} - h^{2n+1}(z_0) - \overline{g^{2n+1}(z_0)}| \\ &\leq |(h^{2n} + \overline{g^{2n}})(h(z)) - (h^{2n} + \overline{g^{2n}})(h(z_0))| \\ &\quad + |(h^{2n} + \overline{g^{2n}})(g(z)) - (h^{2n} + \overline{g^{2n}})(g(z_0))| \\ &\quad + |(h^{2n} + \overline{g^{2n}}) \ominus (g + \bar{h})(z) \\ &\quad - (h^{2n} + \overline{g^{2n}}) \ominus (g + \bar{h})(z_0)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof that $F(f^{2, \odot}) = F(f)$. By similar arguments, one can show that $F(f^{p, \odot}) = F(f)$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 2.3 raises a natural question: *If f_1 and f_2 are two permutable harmonic mappings, then do their Fatou sets coincide?* Before delving into this question further, first we shall explain what do we mean by f_1 and f_2 being permutable.

Definition 2.4. Let $f_1 = h_1 + \bar{g}_1$ and $f_2 = h_2 + \bar{g}_2$ be two complex harmonic mappings. We say that f_1 and f_2 are *permutable* if both of their analytic and co-analytic parts commute, that is, $h_1 \circ h_2 = h_2 \circ h_1$ and $g_1 \circ g_2 = g_2 \circ g_1$.

Theorem 2.5. *If f_1, f_2 are two permutable harmonic mappings such that $f_1(z) = h_1(z) + \bar{g}_1(z)$, and $f_2(z) = af_1(z) + b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|a| = 1$, then $F(f_1) = F(f_2)$.*

Proof. Let $z_0 \in F(f_1)$. By definition, for a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|f_1^{n, \odot}(z) - f_1^{n, \odot}(z_0)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|z - z_0| < \delta$. Now observe that

$$f_2^{2, \odot}(z) = ah_1(ah_1(z) + b) + b + \overline{ag_1(\bar{a}z)}.$$

On using the fact that $f_1 \ominus f_2 = f_2 \ominus f_1$, we get that $h_1(ah_1(z) + b) = ah_1^2(z) + b$ and $g_1(\bar{a}z) = \bar{a}g_1(z)$. This further gives us that

$$f_2^{2, \odot}(z) = a(ah_1^2(z) + b) + \overline{a^2g_1^2(z)}.$$

Inductively, we get

$$f_2^{n,\odot}(z) = a^n h_1^n(z) + b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \cdots + 1) + \overline{a^n g_1^n(z)}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} |f_2^{n,\odot}(z) - f_2^{n,\odot}(z_0)| &= |a^n h_1^n(z) + b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \cdots + 1) + \overline{a^n g_1^n(z)} \\ &\quad - a^n h_1^n(z_0) - b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \cdots + 1) - \overline{a^n g_1^n(z_0)}| \\ &= |a|^n |f_1^{n,\odot}(z) - f_1^{n,\odot}(z_0)| \\ &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|z - z_0| < \delta$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $z_0 \in F(f_2)$. On similar lines, one can show that $F(f_2) \subseteq F(f_1)$. Hence, $F(f_2) = F(f_1)$. \square

Remark 2.6. From Theorem 2.5, one can deduce that if $f_1(z) = h_1(z) + \overline{g_1(z)}$ and $f_2(z) = h_1(z) + p + \overline{g_1(z)}$, where p is the period of the function h_1 , then $F(f_1) = F(f_2)$.

Contrasting behaviour. In holomorphic dynamcis, both the Fatou set and the Julia set are completely invariant. Recall that a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *completely invariant* under f if and only if $f(E) \subseteq E$ and $f^{-1}(E) \subseteq E$. This is not true for a harmonic mapping. For example, take $f(z) = z^2 + \frac{z^2}{2}$. Any point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| = 1$ belongs to the Julia set of f , and image of any such point under f belongs to the Fatou set of f . To see this, fix a point z_0 such that $|z_0| = 1$. As $z_0 \in J(z^2)$, there exists $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1(\delta) > 0$ and an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, we have

$$|z^{2^{n_0}} - z_0^{2^{n_0}}| > \epsilon_1 \text{ whenever } |z - z_0| < \delta. \quad (2.1)$$

Also, as $z_0 \in F\left(\frac{z^2}{2}\right)$, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \frac{z^{2^n}}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{z_0^{2^n}}{2^{n+1}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \text{ whenever } |z - z_0| < \delta_1.$$

If $z_0 \in F(f)$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|f^{n,\odot}(z) - f^{n,\odot}(z_0)| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \text{ whenever } |z - z_0| < \delta_2.$$

The above inequality is also true, in case we take $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, and $n = n_0$. This gives us

$$|z^{2^{n_0}} - z_0^{2^{n_0}}| - \left| \frac{z^{2^n}}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{z_0^{2^n}}{2^{n+1}} \right| < |f^{n_0,\odot}(z) - f^{n_0,\odot}(z_0)| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}.$$

This implies that

$$|z^{2^{n_0}} - z_0^{2^{n_0}}| < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \text{ whenever } |z - z_0| < \delta,$$

which is a contradiction to Equation (2.1). For a rational function of degree at least 2, the Julia set is always non-empty. In case of a harmonic mapping, it may not be true.

For example, take $f(z) = z^2 + \overline{2z}$. It is easy to see that for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the sequence of iterates $f^{n,\circ}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $F(f) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence $J(f) = \emptyset$. However, if we take a harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ such that there exists a $z \in J(h) \cap K(g)^\circ$ or $z \in J(g) \cap K(h)^\circ$, then $J(f) \neq \emptyset$. Here, $K(g)$ denotes the set of all those points whose orbit under g remain bounded. Therefore, when we do dynamics, we shall assume that a harmonic mapping under consideration has a non-empty Julia set.

2.2. Existence Results. Before demonstrating some results in the direction of wandering domains, we set some terminologies. A harmonic mapping $f = h + \bar{g}$ is said to be a *polynomial (rational) harmonic mapping* if both h and g are polynomials (rationals) of degrees atleast 2, and a *transcendental harmonic mapping* if at least one of the h and g is a transcendental function.

Theorem 2.7. *If $f = h + \bar{g}$ is a harmonic mapping such that both h and g are polynomials of degrees d_1 and d_2 respectively, then f will neither have an escaping wandering domain nor an oscillating wandering domain.*

Proof. Since both h and g are polynomials, there exist $r_1, r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h^n(z) &\rightarrow \infty \text{ whenever } |z| > r_1, \text{ and} \\ g^n(z) &\rightarrow \infty \text{ whenever } |z| > r_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f^{n,\circ}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $|z| > r = \max\{r_1, r_2\}$. Therefore, f can neither have escaping wandering domains nor oscillating wandering domains. \square

Remark 2.8. From the above theorem, we can also conclude that

- the Fatou set of a polynomial harmonic mapping always has an unbounded component. This further implies that the Julia set is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} .
- if we take a transcendental complex harmonic mapping, then it may have escaping wandering domains.

Theorem 2.9. *There exists a harmonic mapping with an escaping wandering domains.*

Proof. Let g_1 be a holomorphic function on the complex plane such that $g_1(2m\pi i) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and 0 is an attracting fixed point for g_1 , that is $g_1(0) = 0$ and $0 < |g_1'(0)| < 1$. Take

$$f_1(z) = h_1(z) + \overline{g_1(z)},$$

where $h_1(z) = z - 1 + e^{-z}$. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $2m\pi i$ is an attracting fixed point of h_1 . Also, for a holomorphic function, attracting periodic points lie in the Fatou set, and the Fatou set is completely invariant (see [3, page 54, Theorem 3.2.4]). Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z - 2m\pi i| < \delta_1 &\text{ implies that } |h_1^n(z) - 2m\pi i| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \text{ and} \\ |z - 2m\pi i| < \delta_2 &\text{ implies that } |g_1^n(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1^{n,\odot}(z) - f_1^{n,\odot}(2m\pi\iota)| &= |h_1^n(z) + \overline{g_1^n(z)} - 2m\pi\iota| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|z - 2m\pi\iota| < \delta$. Now, if take $f_2(z) = f_1(z) + 2\pi\iota$, then using Remark 2.6, we get that $F(f_1) = F(f_2)$. As $f_2(2m\pi\iota) = 2(m+1)\pi\iota$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that the Fatou component containing 0 is an escaping wandering domain. \square

In Remark 2.8, we observe that a polynomial harmonic mapping can never have all its Fatou components as bounded. This behaviour is analogous with that of polynomials. In [2], Baker posed a question: *If h is a transcendental entire function of order at most $\frac{1}{2}$, minimal type, then whether $F(h)$ has any unbounded Fatou component.* We ask the same question for a transcendental harmonic mapping. It is to be mentioned that for a transcendental entire function with order strictly less than $1/2$ and minimal type, an affirmative answer has been provided in [9]. In case of transcendental harmonic mapping, we try to employ the similar techniques. Before moving in this direction, we first recall the following definitions.

Definition 2.10. Let h be a holomorphic function. The order of the function h , denoted by $\rho(h)$ is defined as

$$\rho(h) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, h)}{\log r},$$

where $M(r, h) = \max\{|h(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$.

It is known that if h and g are any holomorphic functions with finite order, then $\rho(h+g) \leq \max\{\rho(h), \rho(g)\}$, and if $\rho(h) < \rho(g)$, then $\rho(h+g) = \rho(g)$. Since $|g(z)| = |\overline{g(z)}|$, the order $\rho(f) \leq \max\{\rho(h), \rho(g)\}$, where $f = h + \bar{g}$. Further, if g is a polynomial, then $\rho(f) = \rho(h)$. For more details, one can refer to [10]. The following result gives us a sufficient condition for all the Fatou components to be bounded.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be a transcendental harmonic mapping such that the following conditions hold.*

- (1) both $F(f)$ and $J(f)$ are forward invariant.
- (2) $J(f)$ is non-empty.
- (3) $|g^k(z)| \leq |h^k(z)|$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (4) there exist sequences $r_n, \sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $s(n) > 1$ such that
 - (a) $M(r_n, h) = r_{n+1}$,
 - (b) $r_n \leq \sigma_n \leq r_n^{s(n)}$,
 - (c) $m(\sigma_n, h) > r_{n+1}^{s(n+1)}$ for every sufficiently large n . Here, $m(\sigma_n, h) = \min\{|h(z)| : |z| = \sigma_n\}$.

Then $F(f)$ does not have any unbounded Fatou component.

Prior to the proof of Theorem 2.11, we first make a few comments as follows.

- (i) In condition (1), the forward invariance of these sets is taken so that we can employ techniques from holomorphic dynamics. In addition, it seems a bit difficult to even formulate such a question for a general harmonic mapping.
- (ii) The Julia set is taken as a non-empty set. It is to mention that one can take an empty Julia set also. The proof in this case can be carried out in a similar way as exhibited below.
- (iii) The condition (3) gives us that h is a transcendental entire function.
- (iv) The other mentioned conditions are motivated from [2].

Proof of the Theorem 2.11: Assume that $F(f)$ has an unbounded component, say U . Also, without loss of generality, assume that $0, 1 \in J(f)$, and $f(0) = 1$. By (1), each $f^{n, \odot}$ omit values 0 and 1 in U . Now, we shall go through the following steps to arrive at a contradiction.

Step 1: From (c), there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(\sigma_n, h) > r_{n+1}^{s(n+1)}$ for every $n \geq n_0$.

Step 2: Since U is path connected and an unbounded component, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that U intersects the following three circles for every $n \geq n_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = p_n\}, \\ T_n^1 &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r_n^{s(n)}\}, \\ T_n^2 &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \sigma_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

In T_n , the sequence $\{p_n\}$ is taken such that $M(p_n, h) = p_{n+1}$ and $p_n^2 = r_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3: For a fixed $n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}$, we have the existence of a path Γ in U joining the points $z_n \in T_n$ and $z_{n+1}^1 \in T_{n+1}^1$. This implies that Γ will intersect T_{n+1}^2 at some point, say z_{n+1}^2 .

Step 4: By (1), $f(U)$ is also a Fatou component of f . Also, $f(U)$ will be an unbounded component. Otherwise, if $f(U)$ is a bounded component, then there exists $M > 0$ such that $|f(z)| < M$ for every $z \in U$. Now, for every $n \geq n_1$, there exists $w_n \in T_n^2 \cap U$ such that $r_n^{s(n)} < |h(w_n)| < |f(w_n)| < M$. Since $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this gives us a contradiction. Therefore, $f(U)$ has to be an unbounded Fatou component.

Step 5: $f(U)$ contains the path $f(\Gamma)$. Further, $|f(z_n)| \leq 2M(p_n, h) = 2p_{n+1} \leq p_{n+1}^2 = r_{n+1}$, and from (c), we have $|f(z_{n+1}^2)| > r_{n+2}^{s(n+2)}$. This gives that $f(\Gamma)$ contains an arc joining the points z_{n+1} such that $|z_{n+1}| \leq 2p_{n+1}$ and $z_{n+2}^1 \in T_{n+2}^1$. By induction, we get $f^{k, \odot}(U)$ is an unbounded component, and contains an arc of $f^{k, \odot}(\Gamma)$ joining the points z_{n+k} such that $|z_{n+k}| \leq (k+1)p_{n+k}$ and $z_{n+k+1}^1 \in T_{n+k+1}^1$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 6: On Γ , we have $|f^{k, \odot}(z)| \geq (k+1)p_{n+k}$ which tends to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $f^{k, \odot} \rightarrow \infty$ as k tends to infinity locally uniformly in U . This further implies the existence of an $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k > N_0$, we have $|f^{k, \odot}(z)| > 2$ for every $z \in \Gamma$. By

hypothesis, $|h^k(z)| \geq |g^k(z)|$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This gives that for every $k > N_0$, $|h^k(z)| > 1$ for all $z \in \Gamma$.

Step 7: Since $\log |h^k|$ is a positive harmonic mapping on Γ , for every $k > N_0$, there exists a $p > 0$ such that for every $u, v \in \Gamma$, we have

$$|h^k(u)| \leq |h^k(v)|^p.$$

Now for each $k > N_0$, choose $u_k, u_k^1 \in \Gamma$ such that $f^{k,\ominus}(u_k) = z_{n+k}$ and $f^{k,\ominus}(u_k^1) = z_{n+k+1}^1$. Therefore, for every $k > N_0$,

$$|h^k(u_k^1)| \leq |f^{k,\ominus}(u_k^1)| \leq 2|h^k(u_k^1)| \leq 2|h^k(u_k)|^p \leq 2|f^{k,\ominus}(u_k)|^p.$$

Further,

$$(k+1)r_{n+k}^{2p} > 2(k+1)p_{n+k}^p \geq r_{n+k+1}^{s(n+k+1)} > r_{n+k+1} = M(r_{n+k}, h),$$

for every $k > N_0$. This gives

$$\frac{\log M(r_{n+k}, h)}{\log r_{n+k}} < \infty,$$

for every $k > N_0$, which is a contradiction as h is a transcendental entire function. Therefore, f does not have any unbounded Fatou component. \square

Remark 2.12. Using the condition (3), one can deduce that $\rho(g) \leq \rho(h)$. This, in particular, gives us that $\rho(f) \leq \rho(h)$.

Corollary 2.13. *If $f = h + \bar{g}$ is a transcendental harmonic mapping such that the order of h is strictly less than $1/2$, minimal type and the conditions (1) – (3) hold, then $F(f)$ does not have any unbounded component.*

Proof. From [9], we get that the condition (4) is satisfied for h . Therefore, the result follows by the Theorem 2.11. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of the second author was supported by the Institute Post-doctoral Fellowship program at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali. The authors are also thankful to Prof. Sanjay Kumar for his suggestions.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interest.

Data Availability: Data availability is not relevant.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aleman, A., Constantin, A.: Harmonic maps and ideal fluid flows. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 204, 479–513 (2012)
- [2] Baker I.N.: The iteration of polynomials and transcendental entire functions. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A. 4, 483–495 (1980/81)
- [3] Beardon, A.F.: Iteration of Rational Functions. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 132. Springer, Berlin (1991)
- [4] Benítez-Babilonia L.E., Felipe, R.: Some questions about complex harmonic functions. Monatshefte für Mathematik, 2024
- [5] Carleson, L., Gamelin, T.W.: Complex Dynamic. Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer, New York (1993)
- [6] Duren, P.: Harmonic Mappings in the Plane. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 156. Cambridge University Press (2004)
- [7] Eremenko, A., Lyubich, M.: Examples of entire functions with pathological dynamics. J. London Math. Soc. 36, 458–468 (1987)
- [8] Fatou, P.: Sur les équations fonctionnelles, Bull. Soc. Math. France. 47, 161–271 (1919)
- [9] Kaur R.: Functions with no unbounded Fatou components. Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 7, 1239–1249 (2023)
- [10] Langley, J.K.: Postgraduate notes on complex analysis. (2007)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BABASAHEB BHIMRAO AMBEDKAR UNIVERSITY LUCKNOW, UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA-226025

Email address: gopal.datt@gmail.com; gopal.du@bbau.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, MOHALI, 140306, PUNJAB, INDIA

Email address: ramanpreet@iisermohali.ac.in; preetmaan444@gmail.com