

Spin fractionalization at the edge of quantum Hall fluids induced by bulk quasiparticles

Alexander Fagerlund,^{1,*} Alberto Nardin,^{2,†} Leonardo Mazza,^{2,3,‡} and Eddy Ardonne^{1,§}

¹*Department of Physics, Stockholm University, AlbaNova University Center, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden*

²*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LPTMS, 91405 Orsay, France*

³*Institut Universitaire de France, 75005 Paris, France*

We define a measurable spin for the edge of a lowest Landau level and incompressible fractional quantum Hall state in the presence of an Abelian or non-Abelian bulk quasiparticle. We show that this quantity takes a fractional value inherited from the fractional spin of the bulk quasiparticle. We present a geometric picture that does not rely on global symmetries of the wavefunction but is able to treat quasiparticles and edges with different shapes. We study finite-size many-body wavefunctions on the cylinder with circular quasiparticles and straight edges. Our results are supported by matrix-product-state calculations for the Laughlin and the $k = 3$ Read-Rezayi states.

Introduction — Fractionalization, namely the fact that the emergent quasiparticles of a many-body setup cannot be interpreted as simple combinations of its elementary constituents, plays a key role in topological condensed-matter physics and constitutes one of its most intriguing phenomenology. Solitons in one-dimensional polyacetylene molecules [1], spin-1/2 boundary modes in one-dimensional spin-1 chains [2], zero-energy Majorana modes [3], to mention just a few, are all characterized by some form of fractionalization.

Another celebrated form of fractionalization is that of the bulk quasiparticles of the fractional quantum Hall (FQH) effect [4]: several works have shown that they fractionalize charge [5], statistics [6, 7] and spin [8]. Remarkably, the bulk-boundary correspondence dictates a close relation between the fractional properties of the quasiparticles and those of the edge modes; in the case of charge and statistics, the latter have been revealed by celebrated experiments [9–17]. Whereas other fractionalization properties have prompted research based on effective boundary field theories [18–20] or entanglement structures [21, 22], a definition of an edge spin based solely on measurable edge properties of many-body wavefunctions is lacking.

In this letter we define a measurable edge spin for incompressible FQH fluids, generalizing that for quasiparticles [8, 23–25], and study its fractionalization between one bulk quasiparticle and the edge. Our study focuses on the cylinder geometry, where the straight edge and the circular quasiparticle do not have the same shape. This forces us to develop a geometric picture of the boundary and to highlight the genuine topological nature of spin fractionalization without relying on global symmetry considerations. We present matrix-product-state (MPS) calculations [26] for the Laughlin [5] and the Read-Rezayi (RR) $k = 3$ [27] states that are detailed in an accompanying paper [28] (see Refs. [29–33] for related approaches). We consider both Abelian and non-Abelian quasipoles and characterize their fractional spin as well as that of the edge. We show that edge spins satisfy a




Figure 1. A Laughlin state at $\nu = 1/3$ of $N = 200$ fermions on a cylinder with circumference $L_y = 22$. Density profiles in units of $\nu/2\pi$. (a) $\rho_0(z)$ without quasipoles; (b) $\rho_1(z)$ with one quasihole in the bulk; (c) $\delta\rho(z) = \rho_1(z) - \rho_0(z)$; the x axis is broken (see vertical dashed red lines) to focus on the central part and on the edges. Spins. (d) The fractional spin of the quasihole is approached in the limit $R \gg 1$ of $J_{qp}(R) = \int_{|z-\eta| \leq R} \left(\frac{|z-\eta|^2}{2} - 1 \right) \delta\rho_{qp,\eta} d^2z$ with $\eta = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \left(\frac{N}{2\nu} - 1 \right) \simeq 85.4$. The numerical curve of $J_{qp}(R)$ (solid red line) approaches a plateau that coincides with the expected value $J_{qp} = 1/3$ (dashed black line). (e) The fractional spin of the edge $J_e = -1/3$ (dashed black line) is approached by $J_e(X_0) = \frac{L_y}{2\pi} \int dy \int_{X_0}^{+\infty} dx (x - \bar{x}) \delta\rho_e$ for $\bar{x} = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \frac{N}{\nu} \simeq 171.4$ for $X_0 \ll \bar{x}$.

spin-statistics relation reminiscent of that of bulk quasiparticles [8].

Throughout the letter, the plane is pierced by a uniform and orthogonal magnetic field and the magnetic length is set to unity, $\ell_B = 1$. We only consider incompressible FQH states defined in the lowest Landau level (LLL) and the plane is parametrized by the complex coordinate $z = x + iy$. We set $\hbar = 1$.

The edge spin for the cylindrical geometry — We consider an incompressible LLL FQH state at filling

factor ν on a finite cylinder with circumference L_y and described by the many-body wavefunction Ψ_0 ; assuming translational invariance of the confining potential along y , the density profile $\rho_0(z)$ features two straight boundaries. When a quasiparticle is inserted at η , deep in the bulk and far from the edges, the new quantum state, Ψ_1 , has a density profile $\rho_1(z)$ that features two edges that are shifted but still straight, even if the bulk hosts a circular quasiparticle, since the quasiparticle is a screened defect. The depletion density profile $\delta\rho(z) = \rho_1(z) - \rho_0(z)$ can be split into two contributions, $\delta\rho = \delta\rho_{qp,\eta} + \delta\rho_e$, one for the quasiparticle and one for the edges. In Fig. 1(a-c) these facts are numerically demonstrated for a Laughlin state in the case of one quasihole [5] obtained using an MPS representation of the wavefunctions [26, 29, 34], see the End Matter (EM) for more details. Charge has fractionalized between the boundary and the edge and it is known since the original paper by Laughlin [5] that $q_{qp} = \int \delta\rho_{qp,\eta} d^2z$ takes the value $-\nu$ in units of the charge of the elementary constituents of the FQH state. Since $\int \delta\rho d^2z = 0$, it follows that $q_e = \int \delta\rho_e d^2z = \nu$.

Thanks to the splitting of $\delta\rho$, a quasiparticle spin $J_{qp} = \int \left(\frac{|z-\eta|^2}{2} - 1 \right) \delta\rho_{qp,\eta} d^2z$ can be defined in terms of the gauge-invariant generator of rotations restricted to the LLL [25] (see also Refs. [23, 24, 35–43]). In practice, in a finite-size numerical simulation, one needs to integrate over a large region that includes the entire quasiparticle but does not reach the edge. In Fig. 1(d) we show that for a sufficiently large integration area we obtain the correct value $J_{qp} = (1 - \nu)/2$ [25].

Since topological phases are characterized by bulk-edge correspondences, it is natural to investigate whether a suitable edge observable inherits the fractional value of J_{qp} . On the cylinder with a straight edge of length L_y , we define the measurable *edge spin* in terms of $\delta\rho_e$

$$J_e = \frac{L_y}{2\pi} \int (x - \bar{x}) \delta\rho_e d^2z. \quad (1)$$

The value of \bar{x} sets a reference point, or “center” of the edge (we discuss how to fix its location below), paralleling the role of η in the calculation of the spin of quasiparticles J_{qp} . We will show that under appropriate conditions J_e takes fractional values related to those of J_{qp} , and inherits from it the fact that it satisfies a spin-statistics relation.

Before presenting the reasoning that leads to the definition (1), which constitutes the first main result of our work, we proceed to its numerical evaluation in the case of a quasihole in the Laughlin state. In this letter, we always study the densest states in the presence of quasiparticles and restrict ourselves to situations where only the right boundary is modified by the quasiparticle insertion, whereas the left edge is unmodified. This is not the most general situation, but it is conceptually simple and allows us to completely nail down the edge-quasiparticle interplay in the absence of symmetries. In Fig. 1(e) we

numerically evaluate (1) by integrating over a large region comprising the entire right boundary but not including the bulk quasihole; we get $J_e = -1/3$ which is exactly the opposite of J_{qp} . Below we show that this value can be predicted analytically.

A geometric viewpoint — To understand the spin proposed in Eq. (1), it is important to look at it from the viewpoint of the occupation numbers of the orbitals of the LLL. The LLL is a flat band, for which many bases of orthonormal orbitals are possible. They are equally good for the featureless bulk, but it is important to choose those that have the appropriate shape for the quasiparticles or the edge.

Consider a generic incompressible FQH state at filling ν . In the presence of a circular quasiparticle, for instance, it is natural to consider circularly-symmetric orbitals centered at the quasiparticle position, η . They are obtained by shifting the symmetric-gauge LLL orbitals and take the form $\phi_{\eta,m}(z) \sim (z - \eta)^m e^{-|z-\eta|^2/4}$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Their density profile is circular, with average radius $\sqrt{2m}$ and center η . We can associate a fermionic second-quantized operator $a_{\eta,m}^{(\dagger)}$ to each of them, and define the orbital occupation numbers $n_{\eta,m}^{(0)} = \langle a_{\eta,m}^\dagger a_{\eta,m} \rangle_{\Psi_0}$ and $n_{\eta,m}^{(1)} = \langle a_{\eta,m}^\dagger a_{\eta,m} \rangle_{\Psi_1}$.

As m is increased, the orbital $\phi_{\eta,m}(z)$ explores regions that are further away from the quasiparticle. For small m and m' , $\langle a_{\eta,m}^\dagger a_{\eta,m'} \rangle_{\Psi_i} = n_{\eta,m}^{(i)} \delta_{m,m'}$: this is the benefit of having chosen circular orbitals that match the shape of the quasihole. The values of $n_{\eta,m}^{(0)}$ and $n_{\eta,m}^{(1)}$ are different, due to the absence or presence of the quasiparticle. For slightly larger values of m , the orbitals extend in the bulk of the FQH state, and the occupation numbers $n_{\eta,m}^{(0)}$ and $n_{\eta,m}^{(1)}$ coincide; from general-principle considerations, moreover, they are both equal to ν . In the EM we show that the spin of the quasiparticle is a function of the orbital occupation numbers: $J_{qp} = \sum_{m=0}^{\Lambda_m} m (n_{\eta,m}^{(1)} - n_{\eta,m}^{(0)})$. The cutoff Λ_m is introduced to focus only on the quasiparticle and avoid the edge effects.

For even larger values of m , the orbitals reach the edges of the state, and due to the different edge properties of Ψ_0 and Ψ_1 the occupation numbers will start differing again. However, differently from what happens close to the quasiparticle, $\langle a_{\eta,m}^\dagger a_{\eta,m'} \rangle_{\Psi_i} \neq 0$ for $m \neq m'$: these symmetric-gauge orbitals are not a convenient choice to define the spin of the edge on the cylinder.

The natural generalization of J_{qp} to the boundary of the cylinder requires taking the LLL orbitals that mimic the shape of the boundary: in this case these are the shifted Landau-gauge orbitals, $\phi_{\bar{x},q}(z) \sim e^{ik_q y} e^{-(x-x_q-\bar{x})^2/2}$, with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_q = 2\pi q/L_y$ and $x_q = k_q$ (because $\ell_B = 1$); $\bar{x} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L_y$ can be chosen arbitrarily and the orbital is centered around $\bar{x} + x_q$.

By introducing the second-quantized operators $a_{\bar{x},q}^{(\dagger)}$ as

sociated to these orbitals, the correlation matrix close to the edge is diagonal, $\langle a_{\bar{x},q}^\dagger a_{\bar{x},q'} \rangle_{\Psi_i} \propto \delta_{q,q'}$. Defining the occupation numbers $n_{\bar{x},q}^{(i)} = \langle a_{\bar{x},q}^\dagger a_{\bar{x},q} \rangle_{\Psi_i}$ and taking \bar{x} close to the boundary, we propose the following spin for the edge:

$$J_e = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^{\infty} q \left(n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)} - n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} \right). \quad (2)$$

Close to the edge $n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} \neq n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)}$, but for large values of $|q|$ they both approach the same values (namely, 0 for $q \gg 1$ outside of the droplet and ν for $q \simeq -\Lambda_q$ in the bulk). The cutoff Λ_q enforces the fact that the orbitals considered in the sum only explore the edge and the neighbouring bulk region, without extending to that part of the bulk where the quasiparticle is located.

The formulas for J_e in Eqs. (1) and (2) coincide whenever $\bar{x} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L_y$, see the EM; whereas Eq. (2) is particularly useful in the context of MPS calculations, Eq. (1) can also be evaluated using Monte-Carlo sampling for simple wavefunctions and can in principle be measured in experiments accessing the density profile of the edge. By looking at both expressions, we notice that J_e has a non-trivial dependence on the parameter \bar{x} . Since the boundary charge $q_e = \int \delta\rho_e d^2z$ is equal to $\sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^{\infty} (n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)} - n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)})$ (see the EM), it contributes a term $-\frac{L_y}{2\pi} q_e \bar{x}$ to the spin J_e .

Edge spin in the presence of Abelian Laughlin quasiholes — The simplest possible quasiparticles are the Abelian Laughlin quasiholes, which we present in the conventional disk notation, even if our study is performed on the cylinder. They are obtained by inserting an integer number p of magnetic fluxes at position η through the ground-state wavefunction Ψ_0 of a generic incompressible FQH state at filling ν , obtaining $\Psi_{1,p} \propto \prod_i (z_i - \eta)^p \times \Psi_0$. Their charges are $q_{qp}^{(p)} = -p\nu$ and by charge conservation we have $q_e^{(p)} = +p\nu$. The quasiparticle spin can be worked out exploiting circular symmetry, and when Ψ_0 is the Laughlin state it reads $J_{qp}^{(p)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\nu p^2 - p)$ [25]. In the EM we show that this formula can be generalized to an arbitrary state Ψ_0 giving $J_{qp}^{(p)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\nu p^2 - \nu p \mathcal{S})$, where \mathcal{S} is the Wen-Zee shift [44]; indeed, for a Laughlin state, $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{\nu}$. The associated edge spin $J_e^{(p)}$ can also be worked out, as we now discuss.

Proposition: for an Abelian Laughlin quasihole of charge $q_{qp}^{(p)} = -\nu p$, the edge spin reads:

$$J_e^{(p)} = \frac{\nu p^2}{2} - \frac{\nu p}{2} - \frac{L_y}{2\pi} (\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0) \nu p, \quad (3a)$$

where $\bar{x}_0 \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L_y$ is the only coordinate value that satisfies the equation:

$$\sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^{\infty} \left(n_{\bar{x}_0,q}^{(0)} - \nu \delta_{q<0} \right) = 0, \quad (3b)$$

with $\delta_{q<0} = 1$ for $q < 0$ and 0 otherwise. The coefficients $\nu \delta_{q<0}$, originally introduced in Ref. [45], define a box background that needs to be correctly aligned with the occupation numbers $n_{\bar{x}_0,q}^{(0)}$ via a proper choice of \bar{x}_0 so that the edge is chargeless with respect to this background. The proof of this proposition and the associated subtleties are discussed in the EM; we rather focus here on its physical content.

First of all, there is a unique value \bar{x} that only depends on edge properties such that $J_e^{(p)}$ takes the fractional value $\frac{1}{2}\nu p(p-1)$: even if this fraction differs from that of the bulk Abelian quasihole, namely $J_{qp}^{(p)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\nu p^2 - \nu p \mathcal{S})$, the part proportional to p^2 is exactly opposite, and it is the relevant one for the statistics fractionalization of the quasiparticles [8, 46, 47]. This motivates us to speak of a phenomenon of *spin fractionalization* between the quasiparticle and the edge, and constitutes the second main result of this letter. In the plots presented in Fig. 1 for the Laughlin state, we make the choice $-\frac{L_y}{2\pi} (\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \mathcal{S})$, which is particularly interesting because it yields the value $J_e^{(p)} = -J_{qp}^{(p)}$ and makes the bulk-edge spin fractionalization phenomenon particularly visible.

As a second remark, we observe that analogously to the quasiparticle spins, these edge spins satisfy a spin-statistics relation [8], regardless of the choice of \bar{x} . One can single out the spin part proportional to p^2 by considering the difference $J_e^{(2p)} - 2J_e^{(p)}$, in a way that is reminiscent of the spin-statistics relation proposed for bulk quasiholes [8, 25]. It is important to stress that $J_e^{(p)}$ does not depend on whether the quasihole in the bulk has broken up into p smaller quasiholes of charge $-\nu$ nor on their circular symmetry: as long as the quasiholes remain far from the edge, the FQH screening protects the value of $J_e^{(p)}$, showing how the spin value is robustly encoded into the boundary.

Edge spins for the RR state with Abelian and non-Abelian quasiholes — In the remainder of this letter, we numerically investigate the RR $k=3$ state with an Abelian or a non-Abelian quasihole inserted deep in the bulk and discuss the quasiparticle and edge spins. We start by presenting the RR wavefunction [27] in the conventional disk notation, even if our numerical study is performed on the cylinder. We use the formulation put forward in [48]:

$$\Psi_{RR} \sim \mathbb{S}[\varphi_k] \times \psi_M; \quad \varphi_k = \prod_{s=1}^k \prod_{i_s < j_s} (z_{i_s} - z_{j_s})^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\psi_M = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^M \times e^{-\sum_k |z_k|^2/4}$ is the Laughlin wavefunction at filling factor $\nu = \frac{1}{M}$. The N particles are partitioned into k groups of N/k elements, and the term $\prod_{i_s < j_s} (z_{i_s} - z_{j_s})^2$ describes the correlations within the s -th cluster [48]. The symbol \mathbb{S} denotes the operator




Figure 2. Bulk (a-b) and edge (c-d) spins for the $\nu = 3/5$ RR state with one quasiparticle in the bulk, on a cylinder with $L_y = 22$. Calculations are performed in the same way as in Fig. 1(d-e). The different colors label different quasiparticle in the bulk: in the top panels (a,c) the σ_1 (blue), σ_2 (red) and $\mathbb{1}$ (black) quasiholes; in the bottom panels (b,d) the ψ_1 (green), ψ_2 (orange) and ϵ (purple) quasiholes. We used the value $\bar{x} = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \frac{N}{\nu} \simeq 142.8$, with $N = 300$. Dashed lines are the extrapolated values for $J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ and $J_e^{(\alpha)}$, corresponding to the values in Table I mod 1.

symmetrizing over all possible partitions. The RR state has filling fraction $\nu = k/(kM + 2)$, but in this letter we focus exclusively on the case $(k, M) = (3, 1)$, which is a non-Abelian fermionic state at filling fraction $\nu = \frac{3}{5}$.

Let us briefly review some standard results on the wave functions of the quasiholes of the RR state [27, 49]. The smallest possible quasihole, here denoted σ_1 , is obtained by inserting a zero at position η for one of the k groups of constituent particles, and then symmetrizing as $\Psi_{\sigma_1} \sim \mathcal{S} [\prod_{i_1} (z_{i_1} - \eta) \times \varphi_k] \times \psi_M$. Its charge is $q_{qp}^{(\sigma_1)} = -1/5$. A different kind of quasihole can be constructed inserting an additional zero in a different group, leading to $\Psi_{\sigma_2} \sim \mathcal{S} [\prod_{i_1} (z_{i_1} - \eta) \prod_{i_2} (z_{i_2} - \eta) \times \varphi_k] \times \psi_M$. This gives rise to the σ_2 quasihole, which has double the charge of the σ_1 . In a similar way, one can add an additional zero and retrieve the previously-introduced Abelian Laughlin quasiholes $\mathbb{1}$, $\Psi_{\mathbb{1}} \equiv \Psi_{\mathbb{1}, p=1} \sim \prod_i (z_i - \eta) \times \Psi_{RR}$, which carries charge $q_{qp}^{(\mathbb{1})} = -3/5$; this latter value coincides with $-\nu$ anticipated before. Other quasiparticles that we will consider are (see also [28]): (i) the ψ_1 quasihole, whose wavefunction reads $\Psi_{\psi_1} \sim \mathcal{S} [\prod_{i_1} (z_{i_1} - \eta)^2 \times \varphi_k] \times \psi_M$, and whose charge

Quasihole type α	σ_1	σ_2	ψ_1	$\mathbb{1}$	ϵ	ψ_2
$q_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$	$-1/5$	$-2/5$	$-2/5$	$-3/5$	$-3/5$	$-4/5$
$q_e^{(\alpha)}$	$1/5$	$2/5$	$2/5$	$3/5$	$3/5$	$4/5$
$h_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$	$1/15$	$1/15$	$2/3$	0	$2/5$	$2/3$
$J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$	$1/5$	$2/5$	$-1/5$	$3/5$	$1/5$	0
$J_e^{(\alpha)}$	$-1/5$	$-2/5$	$1/5$	$-3/5$	$-1/5$	0

Table I. List of the possible elementary quasiholes in the RR $k = 3$ state, together with their edge charges $q_e^{(\alpha)} = -q_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$, scaling dimensions $h_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ and the theoretical values for the spins fractionalized at the quasiparticle $J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ in Eq. (5) and edge $J_e^{(\alpha)}$ in Eq. (6).

is $q_{qp}^{(\psi_1)} = -2/5$; (ii) the ψ_2 quasihole, whose wavefunction reads $\Psi_{\psi_2} \sim \mathcal{S} [\prod_{i_1} (z_{i_1} - \eta)^2 \prod_{i_2} (z_{i_2} - \eta)^2 \times \varphi_k] \times \psi_M$, and whose charge is $q_{qp}^{(\psi_2)} = -4/5$; and finally (iii) the ϵ quasihole, whose wavefunction reads $\Psi_{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{S} [\prod_{i_1} (z_{i_1} - \eta)^2 \prod_{i_2} (z_{i_2} - \eta) \times \varphi_k] \times \psi_M$, and whose charge is $q_{qp}^{(\epsilon)} = -3/5$.

An accompanying long article authored by two of us details the technique for implementing MPS calculations of this state and all of its quasihole excitations using bosonic fields [28]. When inserting a quasi-hole in the bulk, we again do this in such a way that this only modifies the right edge, while the left edge is unchanged.

Charge conservation allows to promptly deduce the charge that fractionalizes at the edge when the quasiparticles are inserted in the bulk: they are simply the opposite: $q_e^{(\alpha)} = -q_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$. These theoretical values have been verified numerically [data not shown]. A summary of these properties is reported in Table I.

In the general case of a quasiparticle of type α that might be different from the Abelian Laughlin quasihole, we propose a general expression for its bulk spin $J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ which encompasses any possible situation. It depends on two ground-state properties (the filling factor ν and the shift \mathcal{S}) and on two quasiparticle properties (the charge $q_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ and the coefficients $h_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ reported in Table I and commented below), and reads:

$$J_{qp}^{(\alpha_j)} = - \left(\frac{(q_{qp}^{(\alpha_j)})^2}{2\nu} + h_{qp}^{(\alpha_j)} \right) - \frac{q_{qp}^{(\alpha_j)} \mathcal{S}}{2}. \quad (5)$$

The formula is proposed starting from the known expression for the scaling dimension of the quasiholes, $q_{qp}^{(\alpha)^2}/(2\nu) + h_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ [27]; the first term is due to its charge, while the second term originates in the non-Abelian structure of the theory describing the quantum Hall state. The reader can easily verify that this formula includes the case of the Abelian Laughlin quasihole, discussed above and proved in the EM. Formula (5) is numerically verified in Fig. 2(a-b), where the numerical

evaluation of $J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$ is compared with the predicted values, that are listed for convenience in Table I.

We finally move to the spin of the edge. Maintaining the previous convention $-\frac{L_y}{2\pi}(\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0) = (1 - \mathcal{S})/2$, with $\mathcal{S} = M + 2$ the shift for the Read-Rezayi series [27], we expect to obtain also in this case the desired fractionalization result:

$$J_e^{(\alpha)} = -J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}. \quad (6)$$

Since Ref. [8] proved a spin-statistics relation for the $J_{qp}^{(\alpha)}$, Eq. (6) states that the edge-spins too satisfy an analogous one. The theoretical values for $J_e^{(\alpha)}$ obtained via Eq. (6) are reported in Table I.

Eq. (6) is numerically verified in Fig. 2, by comparing the results in panels (a-b) for the quasiparticles with those in panels (c-d) for the edges. Notice that in the case of the quasiholes ψ_1 , ψ_2 and ε , the equality is satisfied only modulo 1. We trace this fact back to the technical subtleties associated with the insertion of the ψ_1 , ψ_2 and ε quasiholes, that require us to compute their density profiles for different particle numbers [28]; note however that the same value of \bar{x} is employed in the calculations of all the $J_e^{(\alpha)}$. Because in the spin-statistics-relation setting spins are typically exponentiated as $e^{2\pi i J}$ [47], this mismatch is not physically relevant. The analytical and numerical study of the Abelian and non-Abelian quasiholes of the RR state (in particular the associated bulk and edge spin) constitute the third key result of this letter.

Conclusions — We have presented a definition of the edge spin for an incompressible FQH state that is uniquely given in terms of boundary properties, without any explicit reference to the bulk. By focusing on the straight edge of a cylinder, we have shown that spin fractionalizes between bulk quasiparticles and edge even when the latter has a shape that does not match the circular one of the quasiparticle. We have presented numerical MPS simulations for the cases of the Laughlin and Read-Rezayi states; in the latter case, the quasiparticle and edge spins of the most relevant Abelian and non-Abelian quasiholes have been discussed.

This work contributes to the exciting project of developing a theory of the FQH effect that is intrinsically geometric and does not rely on global symmetries that hide the genuine topological nature of the system [45, 50–53]; it is natural to generalize these notions to the deformed planar droplets presented in Ref. [54]. The most intriguing perspective of this study is to understand the experimental consequences of the fractional edge spin, and how it could be revealed by measurements in state-of-the-art devices.

Acknowledgements — We acknowledge insightful discussions with B. Estienne and B. Oblak. This work is also part of HQI (www.hqi.fr/en/) initiative and is supported by France 2030 under the French National Re-

search Agency grant number ANR-22-PNCQ-0002. This research utilized the Sunrise HPC facility supported by the Technical Division at the Department of Physics, Stockholm University.

End Matter

Laughlin-state wavefunction on a cylinder without and with quasihole — By noting that the Landau-gauge wavefunctions on the cylinder (introduced in the main text) for the LLL can be written as $\phi_{0,q}(z) \propto e^{k_q z} e^{-x^2/2}$, with $z = x + iy$, the Laughlin wavefunction [5] at filling ν can be generalized to the cylinder geometry as [55]

$$\Psi_0 \propto \prod_{i < j} (\mu^{z_i} - \mu^{z_j})^{1/\nu} e^{-\sum_i \frac{x_i^2}{2}}, \quad \text{with } \mu = e^{\frac{2\pi}{L_y}}. \quad (7)$$

By counting the lowest and highest power of μ^{z_i} , one obtains that the momentum of the lowest occupied orbital corresponds to $k_q = 0$, while that of the highest one is $k_q = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \frac{N-1}{\nu}$.

A possible way of inserting p quasiholes at position η is given by $\Psi_q \propto \prod_i (\mu^{z_i - \eta} - 1)^p \times \Psi_0$; close to η , the multiplicative factor is, to first order, $\propto \prod_i (z_i - \eta)^p$, producing a zero of order p in the wavefunction. The lowest occupied orbital coincides again with $k_q = 0$. On the other hand, the highest occupied one is now $k_q = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \left(\frac{N-1}{\nu} + q \right)$: this choice corresponds to only shifting the right boundary, leaving the left one unaltered. As emphasized in the main text, this is the choice that is employed throughout the article; the density profiles of the states with $p = 0$ and $p = 1$, as well as their difference, are presented in Fig. 1(a-c) of the main text.

Quasiparticle spin — We consider a quasiparticle with circular shape centered at η and the orthonormal set of concentric circular LLL orbitals, namely $\phi_{\eta,m}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m!}} \left(\frac{z-\eta}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^m e^{-|z-\eta|^2/4}$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is not difficult to show that $\int \phi_{\eta,m'}^* \left(\frac{|z-\eta|^2}{2} - 1 \right) \phi_{\eta,m} d^2z = m \delta_{m,m'}$. This equality is stating the following fact. The wavefunctions $\phi_{\eta,m}(z)$ are known to be eigenfunctions of the generator of planar rotations around η , dubbed L_η , with eigenvalue m . When projected to the LLL, the multiplicative operator $|z - \eta|^2/2 - 1$ coincides with the LLL projection of L_η [25].

This implies the following equality for a generic LLL quantum state Ψ

$$\sum_m m \langle a_{\eta,m}^\dagger a_{\eta,m} \rangle_\Psi = \int \left(\frac{|z-\eta|^2}{2} - 1 \right) \rho_\Psi(z) d^2z, \quad (8)$$

where $\rho_\Psi(z) = \sum_{m,m'} \phi_{\eta,m'}^*(z) \phi_{\eta,m}(z) \langle a_{\eta,m}^\dagger a_{\eta,m} \rangle_\Psi$ is the system's density and the $a_{\eta,m}^{(\dagger)}$ are the second-quantization operators associated to the orbitals $\phi_{\eta,m}(z)$ introduced in the main text.

Using Eq. (8) the equality between the two expressions for J_{qp} given in the main text can be shown.

Edge spin for a straight boundary — We consider a straight boundary parallel to the y direction and the orthonormal set of straight LLL orbitals $\phi_{\bar{x},q} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L_y \sqrt{\pi}}} e^{ik_q y} e^{-(x-x_q-\bar{x})^2/2}$ with $k_q = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} q$, $x_q = k_q$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without loss of generality, we consider the arbitrary value of $\bar{x} = 2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L_y$ to be close to the edge. It is not difficult to show that $\frac{L_y}{2\pi} \int \phi_{\bar{x},q'}^* x \phi_{\bar{x},q} dx dy = q \delta_{q,q'}$. This equality is stating the following fact. The wavefunctions $\phi_{\bar{x},q}(z)$ are known to be eigenfunctions of the generator of magnetic translations along y , dubbed T_y , with eigenvalue $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{L_y} q}$. When projected to the LLL, the multiplicative operator x coincides with the LLL projection of T_y .

This implies the following equality for a generic LLL quantum state Ψ

$$\sum_q q \langle a_{\bar{x},q}^\dagger a_{\bar{x},q} \rangle_\Psi = \frac{L_y}{2\pi} \int x \rho_\Psi(z) dx dy \quad (9)$$

where $\rho_\Psi(z) = \sum_{q,q'} \phi_{\bar{x},q'}^* \phi_{\bar{x},q} \langle a_{\bar{x},q'}^\dagger a_{\bar{x},q} \rangle_\Psi$ is the system's density and the $a_{\bar{x},q}^{(\dagger)}$ are the second-quantization operators associated to the orbitals $\phi_{\bar{x},q}(z)$ introduced in the main text.

Using Eq. (9) the equality between the expressions Eqs. (1) and (2) claimed in the main text can be shown.

Orbital expression of the charge — We now rewrite the boundary charge $q_e = \int \delta\rho_e d^2z$ in terms of occupation numbers. The integral can be extended over the whole space since $\delta\rho_e$ is exponentially localized at the boundary. As a consequence, using the formulas quoted in the previous paragraph and the orthonormality relation $\int \phi_{\bar{x},q'}^* \phi_{\bar{x},q} d^2z = \delta_{q,q'}$, we can rewrite the boundary charge as $q_e = \sum_q (n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)} - n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)})$. The summation should be understood as being restricted over those orbitals that contribute to $\delta\rho_e$, i.e. those for which $n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)} - n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)}$ is non-zero; namely, $q_e = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty (n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)} - n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)})$ for some appropriately chosen cutoff Λ_q . Notice that the results do not depend on the choice of the cutoff, since deep in the bulk the occupation numbers are identical, $n_{\bar{x},q}^{(1)} = n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} = \nu$.

Proof of Eqs. (3) — We follow Park and Haldane [45] and introduce a set of occupation numbers $\bar{n}_q^{(0)} = \nu \delta_{q < 0}$ which take the values ν for $q < 0$ and 0 for $q \geq 0$, as we did in the main text. For the state with a Laughlin Abelian quasihole of charge $q_{qp}^{(p)}$ in the bulk, we consider instead the rigidly-shifted orbital occupation numbers $\bar{n}_q^{(p)} = \nu \delta_{q < p}$. They do not represent a physical state but are a useful computational tool.

First of all, we can write:

$$J_e^{(p)} = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty q \left(n_{\bar{x},q}^{(p)} - n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} \right) = \bar{J}_e^{(p)} + \Delta_e^{(p)}, \quad (10)$$

with the definitions

$$\bar{J}_e^{(p)} = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty q \left(\bar{n}_q^{(p)} - \bar{n}_q^{(0)} \right) = \frac{\nu p(p-1)}{2}, \quad (11)$$

which was easily evaluated, and

$$\Delta_e^{(p)} = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty q \left(n_{\bar{x},q}^{(p)} - \bar{n}_q^{(p)} \right) - \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty q \left(n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} - \bar{n}_q^{(0)} \right). \quad (12)$$

For the evaluation of $\Delta_e^{(p)}$, we observe that $\bar{n}_q^{(p)} = \bar{n}_{q-p}^{(0)}$. Furthermore, a similar relation holds also for the physical occupation numbers, $n_{\bar{x},q}^{(p)} = n_{\bar{x},q-p}^{(0)}$. Indeed, the insertion of an Abelian quasihole produces a rigid shift of the boundary towards larger values of q by exactly p orbitals. This fact has been extensively discussed in rotationally symmetric configurations but holds true also in other geometries, as it can be verified numerically [25]. With this we obtain:

$$\Delta_e^{(p)} = p \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty \left(n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} - \bar{n}_q^{(0)} \right) \quad (13)$$

The value of $\Delta_e^{(p)}/p$ depends on \bar{x} but not on p . We have already shown in the main text that the dependence of $J_e^{(p)}$ on \bar{x} should be $-\frac{L_y}{2\pi} q_e^{(p)} \bar{x}$. Since, from Eq. (11), $\bar{J}_e^{(p)}$ does not depend on \bar{x} , these considerations pin down the dependence of $\Delta_e^{(p)}$ on \bar{x} .

We choose the orbitals in such a way that $\sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} = \nu \mathbb{Z}$. This is always possible by shifting the FQH density by the necessary (continuous) amount. Moreover, note that since the bulk orbitals have occupation ν , this condition does not depend on Λ_q , but only on the edge. With this choice we can show the existence of a unique and p -independent value of \bar{x} , which we call $\bar{x}_0 \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L_y$, such that $\Delta_e^{(p)} = 0$.

To prove this statement, we notice that we can rewrite $\Delta_e^{(p)}/p = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} - \nu \mathbb{Z}$. If we consider a variation of \bar{x} , $\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{x} + \Delta\bar{x}$ with $\Delta\bar{x} = 2\pi\mathbb{Z}'/L_y$, the ground-state occupation numbers are shifted to $n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} \rightarrow n_{\bar{x},q+\Delta\bar{x}L_y/(2\pi)}^{(0)}$ due to the structure of the orbitals $\phi_{\bar{x},q}(z)$. As a consequence $\sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} \rightarrow \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q+\Delta\bar{x}L_y/(2\pi)}^\infty n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} = \sum_{q=-\Lambda_q}^\infty n_{\bar{x},q}^{(0)} - \nu \mathbb{Z}'$, since the occupation numbers deep in the bulk are constant and equal to ν . It follows that it is possible to choose a new $\bar{x} = 2\pi\mathbb{Z}/L_y$ so as to make $\Delta_e^{(p)} = 0$; this value is unique because $\Delta_e^{(p)}$ is a linear function of \bar{x} . As $\Delta_e^{(p)}/p$ does not depend on p , \bar{x}_0 does not depend on p .

Taken together these observations allow us to conclude that we can always write

$$\Delta_e^{(p)} = -\frac{L_y}{2\pi} (\bar{x} - \bar{x}_0) \nu p, \quad (14)$$

which proves the thesis in Eqs. (3).

Spin of the Laughlin quasihole — We here show how the Wen-Zee shift emerges for a circularly symmetric Laughlin quasihole; we generalize the analysis carried out in [25]. The total angular momentum of a circularly-symmetric FQH ground-state with N particles can be written as $L(N) = \frac{1}{2}N(N/\nu - \mathcal{S})$, \mathcal{S} being the Wen-Zee shift [44, 50, 56]. As we discussed previously, the angular momentum can also be computed by integrating the density profile, $\rho(N)$ multiplied by the multiplicative factor $r^2/2 - 1$: $L(N) = \int \rho(N)(r^2/2 - 1)d^2r$.

When a Laughlin quasihole of charge $q_{qh}^{(p)} = -p\nu$ is inserted at $\eta = 0$, the angular momentum of the state increases to $L'(N) = L(N) + pN$. Crucially, when this quasihole is inserted the edge of the system is pushed out *rigidly*: the density profile $\rho'(N)$ of the electron gas in the presence of this quasihole can be written as the sum of (i) the density profile of an unperturbed state with some extra (fractional) charge $N + \nu p$ and (ii) the density profile of the quasiparticle. Namely, we can write $\rho'(N) = \rho(N + \nu p) + \rho_{qp}$.

Analogously to the previous case, we can then compute the angular momentum of the state with the quasihole by computing the integral of $\rho'(N)$ once multiplied by $(r^2/2 - 1)$, and match it with the independently known value $L'(N)$; we obtain $L'(N) = L(N + \nu p) + J_{qp}^{(p)}$. Solving for $J_{qp}^{(p)}$ gives exactly the equation reported in the main text, coinciding with Eq. (5) for $h_{qp}^{(1)} = 0$.

Numerical simulations — To calculate the properties of the quasiholes of the RR states, we use MPS, an approach that is based on the CFT formulation of the state itself. The canonical approach is in terms of a chiral boson and of the \mathbb{Z}_k parafermion theory. Here we proceed differently, namely we use three chiral bosons to describe the $k = 3$ RR states. The reason for doing so is twofold. First of all, the chiral boson CFT is easier to deal with when deriving the MPS description. Secondly, we show that one can use MPS to obtain interesting properties of states that can be described in terms of three chiral boson CFTs, paving the way for other quantum Hall states of interest that are hard to analyze. In this letter, we do not provide details of the actual MPS description, which can be found in the accompanying paper [28].

In the numerical calculations we always place the quasihole in the center of the droplet, which itself resides on the cylinder. We denote the circumference of the cylinder by L_y . Depending on the quasihole considered, the number of electrons is $N_e = 298$ (for the ψ_2), $N_e = 299$ (for the ψ_1 and ϵ) and $N_e = 300$ (for the σ_1 , σ_2 and $\mathbb{1}$). Finally, to obtain a finite auxiliary Hilbert space, the highest maximal angular momentum (that is, the cutoff) of the CFT that we use is $P_{\max} = 12$.

* alexander.fagerlund@fysik.su.se

† alberto.nardin@universite-paris-saclay.fr

‡ leonardo.mazza@universite-paris-saclay.fr

§ ardonne@fysik.su.se

- [1] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, “Solitons in polyacetylene,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1698–1701 (1979).
- [2] Ian Affleck, Tom Kennedy, Elliott H. Lieb, and Hal Tasaki, “Rigorous results on valence-bond ground states in antiferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 799–802 (1987).
- [3] A Yu Kitaev, “Unpaired majorana fermions in quantum wires,” *Physics-Uspekhi* **44**, 131–136 (2001).
- [4] D E Feldman and Bertrand I Halperin, “Fractional charge and fractional statistics in the quantum hall effects,” *Reports on Progress in Physics* **84**, 076501 (2021).
- [5] R. B. Laughlin, “Anomalous quantum hall effect: An incompressible quantum fluid with fractionally charged excitations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395–1398 (1983).
- [6] B. I. Halperin, “Statistics of quasiparticles and the hierarchy of fractional quantized hall states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1583–1586 (1984).
- [7] Daniel Arovas, J. R. Schrieffer, and Frank Wilczek, “Fractional statistics and the quantum hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 722–723 (1984).
- [8] Alberto Nardin, Eddy Ardonne, and Leonardo Mazza, “Spin-statistics relation for quantum hall states,” *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, L041105 (2023).
- [9] R. de Picciotto, M. Reznikov, M. Heiblum, V. Umansky, G. Bunin, and D. Mahalu, “Direct observation of a fractional charge,” *Nature* **389**, 162–164 (1997).
- [10] L. Saminadayar, D. C. Glattli, Y. Jin, and B. Etienne, “Observation of the $e/3$ fractionally charged laughlin quasiparticle,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2526–2529 (1997).
- [11] M. Reznikov, R. de Picciotto, T. G. Griffiths, M. Heiblum, and V. Umansky, “Observation of quasiparticles with one-fifth of an electron’s charge,” *Nature* **399**, 238–241 (1999).
- [12] H. Bartolomei, M. Kumar, R. Bisognin, A. Marguerite, J.-M. Berroir, E. Bocquillon, B. Plaçaïs, A. Cavanna, Q. Dong, U. Gennser, Y. Jin, and G. Fève, “Fractional statistics in anyon collisions,” *Science* **368**, 173–177 (2020).
- [13] J. Nakamura, S. Liang, G. C. Gardner, and M. J. Manfra, “Direct observation of anyonic braiding statistics,” *Nature Physics* **16**, 931–936 (2020).
- [14] R. L. Willett, K. Shtengel, C. Nayak, L. N. Pfeiffer, Y. J. Chung, M. L. Peabody, K. W. Baldwin, and K. W. West, “Interference measurements of non-abelian $e/4$ and abelian $e/2$ quasiparticle braiding,” *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 011028 (2023).
- [15] P. Glidic, O. Maillet, A. Aassime, C. Piquard, A. Cavanna, U. Gennser, Y. Jin, A. Anthore, and F. Pierre, “Cross-correlation investigation of anyon statistics in the $\nu = 1/3$ and $2/5$ fractional quantum hall states,” *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 011030 (2023).
- [16] M. Ruelle, E. Frigerio, J.-M. Berroir, B. Plaçaïs, J. Rech, A. Cavanna, U. Gennser, Y. Jin, and G. Fève, “Comparing fractional quantum hall laughlin and jain topological orders with the anyon collider,” *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 011031 (2023).
- [17] A. Veillon, C. Piquard, P. Glidic, Y. Sato, A. Aassime, A. Cavanna, Y. Jin, U. Gennser, A. Anthore, and

- F. Pierre, “Observation of the scaling dimension of fractional quantum hall anyons,” *Nature* **632**, 517–521 (2024).
- [18] Kyrylo Snizhko and Vadim Cheianov, “Scaling dimension of quantum hall quasiparticles from tunneling-current noise measurements,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 195151 (2015).
- [19] Noam Schiller, Yuval Oreg, and Kyrylo Snizhko, “Extracting the scaling dimension of quantum hall quasiparticles from current correlations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 165150 (2022).
- [20] Noam Schiller, Tomer Alkalay, Changki Hong, Vladimir Umansky, Moty Heiblum, Yuval Oreg, and Kyrylo Snizhko, “Scaling tunnelling noise in the fractional quantum hall effect tells about renormalization and breakdown of chiral luttinger liquid,” (2024), [arXiv:2403.17097 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.17097).
- [21] Hong-Hao Tu, Yi Zhang, and Xiao-Liang Qi, “Momentum polarization: An entanglement measure of topological spin and chiral central charge,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 195412 (2013).
- [22] M. P. Zaletel, R. S. K. Mong, and F. Pollmann, “Topological characterization of fractional quantum hall ground states from microscopic hamiltonians,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 236801 (2013).
- [23] S. L. Sondhi and S. A. Kivelson, “Long-range interactions and the quantum hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 13319–13325 (1992).
- [24] T. Einarsson, S. L. Sondhi, S. M. Girvin, and D. P. Arovas, “Fractional spin for quantum hall effect quasiparticles,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **441**, 515–529 (1995).
- [25] Tommaso Comparin, Alvin Opler, Elia Macaluso, Alberto Biella, Alexios P. Polychronakos, and Leonardo Mazza, “Measurable fractional spin for quantum hall quasiparticles on the disk,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 085125 (2022).
- [26] M. P. Zaletel and R. S. K. Mong, “Exact matrix product states for quantum hall wave functions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 245305 (2012).
- [27] N. Read and E. Rezayi, “Beyond paired quantum hall states: Parafermions and incompressible states in the first excited landau level,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 8084–8092 (1999).
- [28] A. Fagerlund and E. Ardonne, “A bosonic matrix product state description of Read-Rezayi states and its application to quasi-hole spins,” (2024), manuscript in preparation.
- [29] B. Estienne, Z. Papić, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, “Matrix product states for trial quantum hall states,” *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 161112 (2013).
- [30] B. Estienne, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, “Fractional quantum hall matrix product states for interacting conformal field theories,” [arXiv:1311.2936](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2936) (2013), [10.48550/arXiv.1311.2936](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.48550/arXiv.1311.2936).
- [31] Yang-Le Wu, B. Estienne, N. Regnault, and B. Andrei Bernevig, “Braiding non-abelian quasiholes in fractional quantum hall states,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 116801 (2014).
- [32] Yang-Le Wu, B. Estienne, N. Regnault, and B. Andrei Bernevig, “Matrix product state representation of non-abelian quasiholes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 045109 (2015).
- [33] Loïc Herviou and Frédéric Mila, “Numerical investigation of the structure factors of the read-rezayi series,” *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 045143 (2024).
- [34] J. Dubail, N. Read, and E. H. Rezayi, “Edge-state inner products and real-space entanglement spectrum of trial quantum hall states,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 245310 (2012).
- [35] D. Li, “The spin of the quasi-particle in the fractional quantum hall effect,” *Phys. Lett. A* **169**, 82–86 (1992).
- [36] D. Li, “Intrinsic quasiparticle’s spin and fractional quantum hall effect on riemann surfaces,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **07**, 1103–1110 (1993).
- [37] Jon Magne Leinaas, “Spin and statistics for quantum hall quasi-particles,” in *Confluence of Cosmology, Massive Neutrinos, Elementary Particles, and Gravitation*, edited by Behram N. Kursunoglu, Stephan L. Mintz, and Arnold Perlmutter (Springer US, Boston, MA, 2002) pp. 149–161.
- [38] N. Read, “Non-abelian adiabatic statistics and hall viscosity in quantum hall states and $p_x + ip_y$ paired superfluids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 045308 (2009).
- [39] Andrey Gromov, “Geometric defects in quantum hall states,” *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 085116 (2016).
- [40] R. O. Umucalblar, E. Macaluso, T. Comparin, and I. Carusotto, “Time-of-flight measurements as a possible method to observe anyonic statistics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 230403 (2018).
- [41] E. Macaluso, T. Comparin, L. Mazza, and I. Carusotto, “Fusion channels of non-abelian anyons from angular-momentum and density-profile measurements,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 266801 (2019).
- [42] E. Macaluso, T. Comparin, R. O. Umucalblar, M. Gerster, S. Montangero, M. Rizzi, and I. Carusotto, “Charge and statistics of lattice quasiholes from density measurements: A tree tensor network study,” *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 013145 (2020).
- [43] Ha Quang Trung, Yuzhu Wang, and Bo Yang, “Spin-statistics relation and abelian braiding phase for anyons in the fractional quantum hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, L201301 (2023).
- [44] X. G. Wen and A. Zee, “Shift and spin vector: New topological quantum numbers for the hall fluids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 953–956 (1992).
- [45] YeJe Park and F. D. M. Haldane, “Guiding-center hall viscosity and intrinsic dipole moment along edges of incompressible fractional quantum hall fluids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 045123 (2014).
- [46] D. J. Thouless and Y.-S. Wu, “Remarks on fractional statistics,” *Phys. Rev. B* **31**, 1191–1193 (1985).
- [47] J. Preskill, *Lecture notes Lecture Notes for Physics 219: Quantum Computation* (2004) Chap. 9: Topological quantum computation.
- [48] Andrea Cappelli, Lachezar S. Georgiev, and Ivan T. Todorov, “Parafermion hall states from coset projections of abelian conformal theories,” *Nuclear Physics B* **599**, 499–530 (2001).
- [49] E. Ardonne and K. Schoutens, “Wavefunctions for topological quantum registers,” *Annals of Physics* **322**, 201–235 (2007), january Special Issue 2007.
- [50] F. D. M. Haldane, ““hall viscosity” and intrinsic metric of incompressible fractional hall fluids,” (2009), [arXiv:0906.1854 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1854).
- [51] F. D. M. Haldane, “Geometrical description of the fractional quantum hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 116801 (2011).
- [52] F. D. M. Haldane and Yu Shen, “Geometry of landau orbits in the absence of rotational symmetry,” (2016), [arXiv:1512.04502 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.04502).
- [53] F. D. M. Haldane, “Incompressible quantum hall fluids as electric quadrupole fluids,” (2023), [arXiv:2302.12472](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.12472)

- [54] Blagoje Oblak, Bastien Lapierre, Per Moosavi, Jean-Marie Stéphan, and Benoit Estienne, “Anisotropic quantum hall droplets,” *Phys. Rev. X* **14**, 011030 (2024). [\[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [55] D.J. Thouless, “Theory of the quantized hall effect,” *Surface Science* **142**, 147–154 (1984).
- [56] Steven H. Simon, “Wavefunctionology: The special structure of certain fractional quantum hall wavefunctions,” (2021), [arXiv:2107.00437](#) [\[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).