

ASYMPTOTICALLY ENUMERATING INDEPENDENT SETS IN REGULAR k -PARTITE k -UNIFORM HYPERGRAPHS

PATRICK ARRAS, FREDERIK GARBE, AND FELIX JOOS

ABSTRACT. The number of independent sets in regular bipartite expander graphs can be efficiently approximated by expressing it as the partition function of a suitable polymer model and truncating its cluster expansion. While this approach has been extensively used for graphs, surprisingly little is known about analogous questions in the context of hypergraphs.

In this work, we apply this method to asymptotically determine the number of independent sets in regular k -partite k -uniform hypergraphs which satisfy natural expansion properties. The resulting formula depends only on the local structure of the hypergraph, making it computationally efficient. In particular, we provide a simple closed-form expression for linear hypergraphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Asymptotic enumeration of independent sets has a long history. One of the earliest results is the famous theorem due to Korshunov and Sapozhenko [23] that the n -dimensional discrete hypercube contains $(1 \pm o(1)) \cdot 2\sqrt{e} \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}}$ independent sets. Note that this graph is bipartite with partition classes of order 2^{n-1} , so roughly $2 \cdot 2^{2^{n-1}}$ independent sets already arise by considering only subsets of either partition class. In fact, it turns out that almost every independent set is close to being a subset of a partition class, that is having only a very small number of *defect vertices* in the other class. Roughly speaking, this stems from the fact that every defect vertex v included in an independent set I significantly reduces the variability of the intersection of I with the other partition class by preventing all n neighbours of v from being selected.

Over the years, this result has inspired extensive research, leading to significant generalizations of the initial setting. Most often, these rephrase the enumeration of independent sets as an evaluation of the *partition function* of a certain *polymer model*, the *hard-core model* from statistical physics, at *fugacity* 1. Generalizations then arise from varying the fugacity or modifying the polymer model. Translating back to the language of graphs, this amounts to computing a weighted sum of independent sets or counting more general objects such as proper q -colourings or homomorphisms to a fixed graph. One of the most comprehensive studies to date is due to Jenssen and Keevash [17], who do not only allow for most of these variations, but also replace the hypercube with the more general class of discrete tori of even sidelength.

On the technical side of things, the four decades since Korshunov and Sapozhenko's initial result have also seen numerous improvements of the underlying methods. Perhaps the most impactful contributions were the introduction of a *graph container method* by Sapozhenko [28] to simplify the proof, and the introduction of entropy tools by Kahn [21], both of which have become ubiquitous ever since. Notably, they combine very well to form a useful machinery, as exemplified by the works of Peled and Spinka [27] as well as Kahn and Park [22]. Another recent result due to Helmuth, Perkins, and Regts [16] demonstrates that the *contour models* from Pirogov-Sinai theory can be applied yielding a similar effect.

While most early results concerned rather specific graph classes, it quickly became apparent that only a few properties of the graphs in question are actually relevant, namely regularity, bipartiteness, and a quantifiable (albeit small) expansion. One can then group the objects of interest by identifying their intersection with one of the two partition classes as their *defect set* and count the objects grouped according to their defect set. Trying to relax the required graph properties as much as possible, a significant proportion of research is now devoted to graph classes so general that the final count cannot be brought into a closed-form expression.

Date: 20th December 2024.

The research leading to these results was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 428212407.

Instead, the statements obtained provide a fast algorithm for calculating an arbitrarily precise approximation of the desired number from the local structure of the specific graph. Such an algorithm is called a *fully polynomial-time approximation scheme* (FPTAS) and constitutes the most detailed description one can hope for without requiring more information about the local structure of the graph in question. Recent results include many different settings for which an FPTAS for the number of independent sets in bipartite expanders have been found [4–7, 11–13, 18–20, 25, 26].

Obtaining similar results for non-bipartite graphs appears to be very difficult, as previous work by the first and third author [1] indicates; Jenssen and Keevash [17] explicitly ask for the number of independent sets in \mathbb{Z}_3^n . The same question can of course be asked for hypergraphs. Here, an FPTAS for the number of (weak) independent sets is only known if the maximum degree is bounded by a constant depending on the uniformity [9, 15]. Otherwise, finding an approximation is NP-hard [3]. Our results therefore concern counting (weak) independent sets in hypergraphs with the natural generalization of bipartiteness (our hypergraphs are k -uniform and k -partite). As in the graph case, we assume some expansion properties. With this, we are able to approximate said number much closer than the best upper bound available in the non- k -partite setting [2, 8].

While our main result (Theorem 2.1) is formulated in the language of cluster expansion and significantly more general, it gives rise to a straightforward method of calculating the number of independent sets if the degree is sufficiently large. Before we can formulate this precisely, we need to address how the aforementioned hypergraph properties look in our setting.

Let $k \geq 2$. A hypergraph is called a k -graph if every edge contains exactly k vertices. It is called k -partite if its vertex set can be partitioned into k subsets (called *partition classes*) such that every edge contains one vertex of each partition class. This is the natural way to move from bipartite (2-)graphs to the case of general k . We call a hypergraph r -regular if every vertex is contained in exactly r edges.

We write $\gamma_k := \frac{2^{k-1}}{2^k-1} > 1$ for ease of notation. For a vertex subset S of a k -graph G , we define its *neighbourhood* by $N_G(S) := \left(\bigcup_{e \in E(G), e \cap S \neq \emptyset} e\right) \setminus S$ and omit the subscript if G is clear from the context.

Definition 1.1. Let $k \geq 2$ and G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . Given $t, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we define the following properties of G :

- Reg(t): $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$.
- Exp₁(α): For every $S \subseteq Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $|S| \leq r$, we have $|N(S)| \geq (k-1-\alpha)r|S|$.
- Exp₂(β): For every $S \subseteq Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $|S| \leq \beta \frac{n}{r}$, we have $|N(S)| \geq (k-2+\beta)r|S|$.
- Def(b): For every $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$, there is some $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $|I \cap Z| \leq b$.

Note that Reg(t) establishes a logarithmic relationship between the degree and the order of the hypergraph. This is very much akin to results on discrete tori such as the hypercube, which exhibit the same behaviour. Given a subset S of some partition class Z , both expansion conditions Exp₁ and Exp₂ provide a lower bound on $|N(S)|$. Note that the larger the set S , the smaller the required relative expansion. Lastly, property Def(b) is necessary to allow for the aforementioned identification of defect sets. All of these conditions are typically satisfied in pseudorandom graphs and can also be expected for random regular k -partite k -graphs of logarithmic degree.

With this, we can formulate our result on enumerating *independent sets*, that is sets that do not contain any edge as a subset. We denote the set consisting of all independent sets in G by $\mathcal{I}(G)$. To obtain a closed-form expression for $|\mathcal{I}(G)|$, we have to assume G to be *linear*, that is that every pair of distinct edges shares at most one vertex. The following is an immediate corollary of our main result. By α_k , we denote a (small) positive number that only depends on k . We omit the exact value for now, but make it explicit later on.

Theorem 1.2. Let $k \geq 3$ and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then for any $\rho > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:

Let G be a linear r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(1)$, $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_k)$, $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, and $\text{Def}(b(n))$, then

$$|\mathcal{I}(G)| = (1 \pm \rho) \cdot k \cdot 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \exp(n\gamma_k^{-r}).$$

Note that while our proof requires $k \geq 3$, the same statement is also true for $k = 2$. In fact, this follows from a more general result of Jenssen, Perkins, and Potukuchi [20]. We discuss the details in our concluding remarks. Also note that $r \geq \log_{\gamma_k} n$ by $\text{Reg}(1)$ implies that the final exponential term contributes at most a factor of e . Moreover, if r is larger, even if only by a constant factor, the term tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which in turn implies that essentially all independent sets have an empty intersection with one partition class. The primary advantage of our main theorem (Theorem 2.1) is that it provides a similar bound while requiring only $\text{Reg}(t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. It turns out, however, that the larger t becomes, the more terms we have to include in the argument of the exponential. This reflects the fact that with lower degree, the cost of including a vertex in the independent set (that is, the amount of neighbours now prevented from being included) decreases, so larger defect sets arise.

As the defect sets become larger, their typical structure changes as well. In the hypercube result of [23], multiple defect vertices of the same independent set are typically at distance greater than 2 from each other and can thus be chosen essentially independently. The same is true for the setting of Theorem 1.2. For larger t , however, larger defect sets mean that there is now also a significant proportion of independent sets with defect vertices whose neighbourhoods intersect.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the necessary notation to formalize our cluster expansion approach and state our main theorem. We also discuss a few applications such as Theorem 1.2. We then collect some tools and further preliminaries in Section 3. Afterwards, we prove our main theorem in Section 4, while for now assuming the key technical ingredient (the verification of the Kotecký-Preiss condition in Lemma 4.3). Finally, Section 5 is dedicated to the proof of Lemma 4.3.

2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN THEOREM

2.1. Cluster expansion. We use the well-known cluster expansion approach from statistical physics, mostly following the notation of [17]. This section first introduces the necessary concepts in general and then defines the concrete polymer model used throughout the paper. Such a *polymer model* (\mathcal{P}, \sim, w) consists of a set \mathcal{P} of so-called *polymers*, together with a *compatibility* relation \sim on \mathcal{P} and a weight function $w: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. The *order* of a polymer S is simply $|S|$, its number of elements. A set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ of polymers is called *compatible* if the polymers in \mathcal{S} are pairwise compatible. The *partition function* of the polymer model is then given by

$$\Xi_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)} := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{S} \text{ compatible}}} \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} w(S). \quad (2.1)$$

For a non-empty vector Γ of not necessarily distinct polymers, we let its *incompatibility graph* H_Γ be the graph with the polymers of Γ as vertices and edges between two polymers $S, T \in \Gamma$ if $S \not\sim T$. A *cluster* is a vector Γ of polymers such that H_Γ is connected. We denote its *length* (that is, the number of, not necessarily distinct, polymers in the cluster) as $|\Gamma|$ and obtain its *size* $\|\Gamma\| := \sum_{S \in \Gamma} |S|$ by adding up the orders of all polymers in the cluster. We also denote the infinite set of all clusters as $\mathcal{C}_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)}$.

Recall now that the *Ursell function* of a connected graph H is

$$\phi(H) := \frac{1}{|V(H)|!} \sum_{\substack{\text{spanning, connected} \\ \text{subgraphs } F \subseteq H}} (-1)^{|E(F)|}.$$

With this, we define the weight of a cluster Γ as

$$w(\Gamma) := \phi(H_\Gamma) \prod_{S \in \Gamma} w(S). \quad (2.2)$$

By [10, Proposition 5.3], we have

$$\log \Xi_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma), \quad (2.3)$$

if the right-hand side converges absolutely. This sum is called the *cluster expansion* of the polymer model (\mathcal{P}, \sim, w) and, provided it converges, can be truncated to yield a good approximation of $\log \Xi_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)}$.

2.2. Polymer model. For a hypergraph G and a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, we write $\bar{S} := V(G) \setminus S$ for the complement of S and $G[S]$ for the subgraph of G induced by S . In order to define a polymer model suitable for our task of counting independent sets, we use the notion of 2-linkedness: For a k -partite k -graph G and a partition class Z , we consider the 2-graph Z_2 on the vertex set Z with $vu \in E(Z_2)$ if and only if $N_G(\{v\}) \cap N_G(\{u\}) \neq \emptyset$. A non-empty set $S \subseteq Z$ is called *2-linked* if $Z_2[S]$ is connected.

Furthermore, for a k -partite k -graph G and a subset S of one partition class, we define the *link graph* of S as the $(k-1)$ -graph $L_G(S)$ on the vertex set $N_G(S)$ with edge set

$$E(L_G(S)) := \{e \setminus S : e \in E(G) \text{ with } e \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Now we can describe the polymer model we will use. For a k -partite k -graph G , any partition class Z , and a number $b \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the polymer model given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{Z,b} := \{S \subseteq Z : S \text{ is 2-linked and } 1 \leq |S| \leq b\}, \quad (2.4)$$

the compatibility relation defined by $S \sim T$ if and only if $N_G(S) \cap N_G(T) = \emptyset$, and the polymer weights of

$$w(S) := |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(S)|}. \quad (2.5)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we write $\Xi_{Z,b} := \Xi_{(\mathcal{P}_{Z,b}, \sim, w)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Z,b} := \mathcal{C}_{(\mathcal{P}_{Z,b}, \sim, w)}$ for the partition function and the clusters of this polymer model.

2.3. Main theorem. We have now introduced the setup to be able to state our main theorem. Afterwards, we discuss how to interpret the statement and how to apply it, for example to prove Theorem 1.2. We set

$$\alpha_{k,t} := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{\log_2 \gamma_k}{e^{2t}}, \frac{(k-1)(1 - \log 2) \log \gamma_k}{\log(2^{k-1} - 1) + \log \gamma_k} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

and remark that $0 < \alpha_{k,t} < 1$ for every $k \geq 2$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $k \geq 3$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then for any $\rho > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(t)$, $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$, $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, and $\text{Def}(b(n))$, then

$$|\mathcal{I}(G)| = (1 \pm \rho) \cdot 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^t \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,t} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma) \right).$$

Notably, neither the parameter β nor the function $b(n)$ occur in the formula of Theorem 2.1, but only influence the choice of n_0 , which we do not make explicit. Likewise, both occurrences of the parameter t only determine the cluster size (and consequently, maximum polymer order) at which to truncate the sum in the argument of the exponential. Additional terms could still be computed and included, but are guaranteed to vanish into the error term of $1 \pm \rho$ and thus do not affect the asymptotics of $|\mathcal{I}(G)|$.

In other words, Theorem 2.1 asserts that for any hypergraph G satisfying the required properties, the asymptotic number of independent sets is governed solely by the clusters up to size t . The structure of these clusters, however, is a local property of G : Since the polymers in

a cluster $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,t}$ are 2-linked and the incompatibility graph H_Γ of Γ is connected, the set $V(\Gamma) := \bigcup_{S \in \Gamma} S$ is 2-linked as well. Moreover, we have $|V(\Gamma)| \leq \|\Gamma\|$. In order to determine all clusters of size at most a constant t that contain some fixed vertex v , it therefore suffices to only consider vertices at distance at most $2(t-1)$ from $v \in Z$, which can be done in time polynomial in n .

For $t = 1$, this comes down to only examining the link graph $L_G(\{v\})$ of v itself. In general r -regular k -partite k -graphs, the vertex v might share multiple edges with any other vertex u , which gives rise to a multitude of possible link graphs. If we require G to be linear, however, this cannot occur and $L_G(\{v\})$ is a perfect matching of r pairwise disjoint edges of uniformity $k-1$. This very simple local structure allows for the deduction of Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Setting $\alpha_k := \alpha_{k,1}$, any G in Theorem 1.2 satisfies the requirements of Theorem 2.1 with $t = 1$. It remains to determine all clusters $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,1}$ with $\|\Gamma\| = 1$ and compute $w(\Gamma)$. Since polymers are non-empty by (2.4), the only possibility is $\Gamma = (\{v\})$ for $v \in Z$. Naturally, there are exactly $|Z| = n$ such clusters.

The incompatibility graph of a cluster $\Gamma = (\{v\})$ is a single vertex, so $\phi(H_{(\{v\})}) = 1$. Since $L_G(\{v\})$ is a perfect matching of r pairwise disjoint edges of uniformity $k-1$, we have $|\mathcal{I}(L_G(\{v\}))| = (2^{k-1} - 1)^r$ and $2^{-|N(\{v\})|} = 2^{-(k-1)r}$. Altogether, we obtain $w(\Gamma) = \gamma_k^{-r}$ from (2.2) and (2.5).

The argument of the exponential in Theorem 2.1 thus evaluates to $n\gamma_k^{-r}$. Since this is true for any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, the desired $|\mathcal{I}(G)| = (1 \pm \rho) \cdot k \cdot 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \exp(n\gamma_k^{-r})$ follows. \square

If the degree of G is lower, we need to calculate more terms and therefore require additional information about the local structure of G . To illustrate this, we present the case $t = 2$. While linearity still guarantees that the link graph of any singular vertex v is a matching of r edges, a cluster of size 2 now contains a second vertex u whose neighbourhood has to intersect that of v in at least one vertex. If we prevent larger intersections, we can still obtain a simplified formula.

A natural property which prevents such intersections is *high girth* with respect to loose cycles: For $k \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 3$, a *loose ℓ -cycle* in a k -graph G is a sequence of $(k-1)\ell$ distinct vertices $v_1, \dots, v_{(k-1)\ell}$ such that $\{v_{(k-1)(i-1)+1}, \dots, v_{(k-1)i+1}\} \in E(G)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, where subscripts are taken modulo $(k-1)\ell$. The minimum ℓ such that G contains a loose ℓ -cycle is called the *girth* of G . If a k -graph contains no loose cycle, we say that it has girth ∞ . With this, one can prove the following property.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k \geq 3$ and G be a linear k -partite k -graph of girth at least 5. Then for any partition class Z and any edge $vu \in E(Z_2)$, we have $|N_G(\{v\}) \cap N_G(\{u\})| = 1$.*

We defer its proof to the appendix. Lemma 2.2 shows that requiring G to have girth at least 5 is sufficient to determine the local structure of G for clusters of size 2. The following theorem demonstrates how to use this knowledge to calculate the terms of the cluster expansion for $t = 2$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then for any $\rho > 0$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be a linear r -regular k -partite k -graph of girth at least 5 with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(2)$, $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,2})$, $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, and $\text{Def}(b(n))$, then

$$|\mathcal{I}(G)| = (1 \pm \rho) \cdot k \cdot 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \exp \left(n\gamma_k^{-r} + \frac{k-1}{4} r^2 n \gamma_k^{-2r} \left(\frac{r-1}{r} \gamma_k^2 \left(1 + \left(\frac{2^{k-2}-1}{2^{k-2}} \right)^2 \right) - 2 \right) \right).$$

Proof. Any G in Theorem 2.3 satisfies the requirements of Theorem 2.1 with $t = 2$. The calculation for clusters of size 1 is identical to the proof of Theorem 1.2. It remains to determine all clusters $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,2}$ with $\|\Gamma\| = 2$ and compute $w(\Gamma)$. These split into two distinct types.

Firstly, there are clusters $\Gamma = (\{v\}, \{u\})$ for $v, u \in Z$ (not necessarily distinct). Given one of the n vertices $v \in Z$, we know that u must be a neighbour of one of the $(k-1)r$ vertices $x \in N(\{v\})$. Additionally, each such u cannot be a neighbour of another $x' \in N(\{v\}) \setminus \{x\}$,

otherwise $x, x' \in N(\{v\}) \cap N(\{u\})$ in contradiction to Lemma 2.2. Since each $x \in N(\{v\})$ has exactly r neighbours in Z , we conclude that there are $n(k-1)r^2$ clusters of the first type (note that order matters). Their incompatibility graph is a single edge with $\phi(H_{(\{v\},\{u\})}) = -\frac{1}{2}$. The weight of a polymer of order 1 has already been computed as γ_k^{-r} in the proof of Theorem 1.2, so by using (2.2), we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma=(\{v\},\{u\}) \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,2} \\ v,u \in Z}} w(\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}n(k-1)r^2\gamma_k^{-2r}$$

as the contribution of all clusters of the first type to the argument of the exponential.

Secondly, there are clusters $\Gamma = (\{v, u\})$ for distinct $v, u \in Z$. Given one of the n vertices $v \in Z$, we again select any of the $(k-1)r$ vertices $x \in N(\{v\})$ and u as a neighbour of x in Z . Again, each u can only be a neighbour of one $x \in N(\{v\})$ by Lemma 2.2. Since we must not pick v itself this time, there are only $r-1$ choices for u given x . Moreover, the order of vertices in a polymer does not matter, which halves the number of clusters to $\frac{1}{2}n(k-1)r(r-1)$ of the second type.

Their incompatibility graph is a single vertex with $\phi(H_{(\{v,u\})}) = 1$. According to Lemma 2.2, the fact that G has girth at least 5 guarantees that the link graph of a polymer $\{v, u\}$ can be obtained by intersecting the link graphs of v and u (in both cases, r disjoint edges of uniformity $k-1$) in a single vertex. This yields $2r-2$ disjoint edges of uniformity $k-1$ plus two edges of uniformity $k-1$ intersecting in a single vertex. By (2.5), the disjoint edges again contribute $\gamma_k^{-(2r-2)}$ to $w(\{v, u\})$. The two intersecting edges of uniformity $k-1$ contribute $(2^{k-2})^2 + (2^{k-2}-1)^2$ to $|\mathcal{I}(L_G(\{v, u\}))|$ and 2^{-2k+3} to $2^{-|N(\{v,u\})|}$, so $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2^{k-2}-1}{2^{k-2}} \right)^2$ to $w(\{v, u\})$. Therefore by (2.2), the entirety of clusters of the second type contributes

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma=(\{v,u\}) \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,2} \\ v,u \in Z}} w(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2}n(k-1)r(r-1) \cdot \gamma_k^{-(2r-2)} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2^{k-2}-1}{2^{k-2}} \right)^2 \right)$$

to the argument of the exponential.

We observe that these calculations are identical for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Plugging them into the formula of Theorem 2.1 yields the desired result. \square

It is worth noting that with Theorem 2.3 only requiring $r \geq \frac{1}{2} \log_{\gamma_k} n$, the $\exp(n\gamma_k^{-r})$ -term representing clusters of size 1 can now grow as fast as $\exp(\sqrt{n})$. Consequently, the approximately $k \cdot 2^{(k-1)n}$ independent sets with zero defects, depending on r , may only account for an exponentially small fraction of all independent sets.

3. TOOLS AND NOTATION

Before we can begin with the proof of our main theorem, we first need to fix some more notation and collect a few additional tools.

All our arguments will eventually examine the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the sake of brevity, we utilize the Landau notation $o(h(n))$ to denote any function $j(n)$ satisfying $j(n)/h(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We also write $j(n) = (1 \pm \rho)h(n)$ to express that $(1 - \rho)h(n) \leq j(n) \leq (1 + \rho)h(n)$ holds.

Unless stated otherwise, \log is the natural logarithm. We omit rounding in our notation when it does not affect the argument.

3.1. Expansion properties. We observe a few consequences of the expansion properties introduced in Definition 1.1. Firstly, we note that in order for Exp_1 to make sense, we need to assume that r is not too large. The following lemma captures this.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose G is an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order $n \geq 3$. If G satisfies $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha)$, then $r \leq \sqrt{2n}$.*

Proof. Consider any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and an arbitrary $S \subseteq Z$ with $|S| = \min\{r, n\}$. Since $N(S) \subseteq \overline{Z}$, we observe that $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha)$ together with $\alpha < 1$ and $k \geq 3$ implies

$$r \min\{r, n\} = r|S| \stackrel{\text{Exp}_1}{\leq} \frac{|N(S)|}{k-1-\alpha} \stackrel{\alpha < 1}{\leq} \frac{|\overline{Z}|}{k-2} = \frac{k-1}{k-2} \cdot n \stackrel{k \geq 3}{\leq} 2n.$$

For $n \leq r$, this simplifies to $r \leq 2$, which contradicts $3 \leq n \leq r$. So $r \leq n$ must hold and we obtain $r^2 \leq 2n$, which implies the desired $r \leq \sqrt{2n}$. \square

Secondly, we observe that Exp_2 can be used to establish a lower bound for the relative expansion of sets S even larger than $\beta \frac{n}{r}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k \geq 3$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta > 0$. Suppose G is an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, then for every $S \subseteq Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $|S| \leq b$, we have $|N(S)| \geq \min\left\{r; \frac{\beta n}{b}\right\} |S|$.*

Proof. Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $S \subseteq Z$ with $|S| \leq b$ be arbitrary. If $|S| \leq \beta \frac{n}{r}$, we use $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$ directly to obtain $|N(S)| \geq (k-2+\beta)r|S| \geq r|S|$. If $|S| > \beta \frac{n}{r}$, we select an arbitrary subset $S' \subseteq S$ of order $|S'| = \beta \frac{n}{r}$. Invoking $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$ for S' , we obtain

$$|N(S)| \geq |N(S')| \stackrel{\text{Exp}_2}{\geq} (k-2+\beta)r|S'| \stackrel{k \geq 3}{\geq} r|S'| = \beta n \stackrel{|S| \leq b}{\geq} \frac{\beta n}{b} |S|.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

3.2. Kotecký-Preiss condition. When introducing the cluster expansion in (2.3), we already hinted at the fact that it can be truncated to obtain a good approximation of the partition function of a polymer model, provided it converges. Establishing said convergence can be achieved via the following statement, also known as the Kotecký-Preiss condition.

Theorem 3.3 (Kotecký-Preiss condition [24]). *Consider a polymer model (\mathcal{P}, \sim, w) and two functions $f, g: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Suppose that for all $S \in \mathcal{P}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{P} \\ T \sim S}} w(T) \exp(f(T) + g(T)) \leq f(S).$$

Then the cluster expansion of (\mathcal{P}, \sim, w) converges absolutely. Furthermore, for any $S \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)} \\ \Gamma \sim S}} |w(\Gamma)| \exp\left(\sum_{T \in \Gamma} g(T)\right) \leq f(S),$$

where the outer sum is over all clusters $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{(\mathcal{P}, \sim, w)}$ that contain at least one polymer $T \in \mathcal{P}$ that satisfies $T \sim S$.

Note that this condition is easiest to satisfy for $g \equiv 0$. When using non-zero g , however, Theorem 3.3 provides a tail bound, which will be useful for our proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.3. 2-linkedness. Since we use this observation throughout the paper, it is worth noting here that when starting from a fixed vertex, the number of 2-linked sets containing it does not grow too fast. In order to formalize this, we require the following observation from [14].

Lemma 3.4 ([14], see Lemma 2.2). *Let H be a graph of maximum degree Δ , $v \in V(H)$, and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are at most $e(e\Delta)^{s-1}$ vertex subsets $S \subseteq V(H)$ with $v \in S$ and $|S| = s$ such that $H[S]$ is connected.*

Proof. Note that the statement trivially holds for $s = 1$, so we may assume $s \geq 2$. As pointed out in [14], the number of vertex subsets that contain v , have order s , and induce a connected subgraph can be bounded from above by the number of rooted subtrees of the infinite Δ -branching rooted tree that have order s . This number is

$$\frac{\binom{\Delta s}{s}}{(\Delta-1)s+1} = \frac{\binom{\Delta s}{s-1}}{s} \leq \binom{\Delta s}{s-1} \leq \left(e \frac{\Delta s}{s-1}\right)^{s-1} = (e\Delta)^{s-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{s-1}\right)^{s-1} \leq e(e\Delta)^{s-1},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Applied to 2-linkedness and our setting, this implies the following.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $k \geq 3$ and G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph. Then for every partition class Z , every vertex $v \in Z$, and every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there are at most $e((k-1)er^2)^{s-1}$ 2-linked sets $S \subseteq Z$ with $v \in S$ and $|S| = s$.*

Proof. Note that in G , every $v \in Z$ has at most $(k-1)r$ neighbours, all of which belong to \bar{Z} . Also note that every $x \in \bar{Z}$ has at most r neighbours in Z . This shows that the maximum degree of Z_2 is at most $(k-1)r^2$. Hence, Lemma 3.4 guarantees that there are at most $e((k-1)er^2)^{s-1}$ subsets $S \subseteq V(Z_2)$ with $v \in S$ and $|S| = s$ such that $Z_2[S]$ is connected. By definition, these are exactly the 2-linked sets in question. \square

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Our first observation is how the partition function of the polymer model introduced in Section 2 relates to the number of independent sets in question. We require an easy observation from [14] about sums of binomial coefficients.

Lemma 4.1 ([14]). *Let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{b(n)} \binom{n}{j} \leq 2^{3b(n) \log \frac{n}{b(n)}}.$$

Using this, we can bound $|\mathcal{I}(G)|$ in terms of the partition function $\Xi_{Z,b(n)}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $k \geq 3$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) \geq \frac{\beta tn}{\log_{\gamma_k} n}$ and $b(n) = o(n)$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(t)$, $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, and $\text{Def}(b(n))$, then

$$2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)} - k^3 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n} \leq |\mathcal{I}(G)| \leq 2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)}.$$

Proof. For $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$, we can regard $I \cap Z$ as a vertex subset of Z_2 . We say that Z is a *defect class* for I if every connected component of $Z_2[I \cap Z]$ has order at most $b(n)$. In particular, $\text{Def}(b(n))$ guarantees that every $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ has at least one defect class, so

$$|\mathcal{I}(G)| \leq \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} |\{I \in \mathcal{I}(G) : Z \text{ is a defect class for } I\}|. \quad (4.1)$$

The following two things remain to show: Firstly, we argue that the number of $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ with more than one defect class is at most $k^2 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n}$. Since every I has at most k defect classes, this implies that (4.1) overcounts $|\mathcal{I}(G)|$ by at most $k^3 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n}$. Secondly, we prove that for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$|\{I \in \mathcal{I}(G) : Z \text{ is a defect class for } I\}| = 2^{(k-1)n} \Xi_{Z,b(n)}. \quad (4.2)$$

This then implies the assertion.

In order to limit the number of $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ with multiple defect classes, we first establish the following observation.

Claim. *If $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ is a defect class for $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$, then $|I \cap Z| \leq \frac{k}{\beta} b(n)$.*

Proof of the claim. First note that $b(n) \geq \frac{\beta tn}{\log_{\gamma_k} n}$ and $\text{Reg}(t)$ guarantee that

$$\frac{\beta n}{b(n)} \leq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n \leq r. \quad (4.3)$$

Next, consider the vertex set S of an arbitrary connected component of $Z_2[I \cap Z]$, where Z is a defect class for I . Then $|S| \leq b(n)$ and thus by Lemma 3.2 and (4.3), $|N_G(S)| \geq \frac{\beta n}{b(n)} |S|$. Now let $C_2(I \cap Z)$ be the set consisting of the vertex sets of all connected components of $Z_2[I \cap Z]$.

Since these components are pairwise disconnected in $Z_2[I \cap Z]$, the neighbourhoods $N_G(S)$ of the $S \in C_2(I \cap Z)$ must be pairwise disjoint. We obtain

$$|N_G(I \cap Z)| = \sum_{S \in C_2(I \cap Z)} |N_G(S)| \geq \frac{\beta n}{b(n)} \sum_{S \in C_2(I \cap Z)} |S| = \frac{\beta n}{b(n)} |I \cap Z|.$$

However, $|N_G(I \cap Z)| \leq |V(G)| = kn$, so $|I \cap Z| \leq \frac{k}{\beta} b(n)$ must hold. This proves the claim. $\#$

This claim allows us to bound the number of $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ with multiple defect classes from above by the number of sets $J \subseteq V(G)$ that intersect at least two distinct $Z, Z' \in \mathcal{Z}$ in $|J \cap Z|, |J \cap Z'| \leq \frac{k}{\beta} b(n)$ vertices. This can be done by a straightforward counting argument: Firstly, there are $\binom{k}{2}$ possibilities to select two distinct partition classes $Z, Z' \in \mathcal{Z}$. Next, there are $\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{\beta} b(n)} \binom{n}{j}$ possibilities to choose $J \cap Z$ and $J \cap Z'$, respectively. Finally, J is completely specified by picking any of the $2^{(k-2)n}$ subsets of $\overline{Z \cup Z'}$ as $J \cap \overline{Z \cup Z'}$. The number of independent sets with multiple defect classes is therefore at most

$$\binom{k}{2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{\beta} b(n)} \binom{n}{j} \right)^2 2^{(k-2)n}.$$

According to Lemma 4.1, $b'(n) := \frac{k}{\beta} b(n) = o(n)$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{b'(n)} \binom{n}{j} \leq 2^{3b'(n) \log \frac{n}{b'(n)}} = 2^{n(3 \frac{b'(n)}{n} \log \frac{n}{b'(n)})}.$$

Moreover, $b'(n) = o(n)$ guarantees that $\frac{n}{b'(n)}$ becomes arbitrarily large with increasing n , so $3 \frac{b'(n)}{n} \log \frac{n}{b'(n)} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for sufficiently large n . It follows that the number of $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ with multiple defect classes is bounded from above by

$$\binom{k}{2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{b'(n)} \binom{n}{j} \right)^2 2^{(k-2)n} \leq k^2 \cdot \left(2^{\frac{n}{4}} \right)^2 \cdot 2^{(k-2)n} = k^2 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n}.$$

It remains to establish (4.2). We begin by fixing an arbitrary partition class $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and set $\mathcal{I}_Z(G) := \{I \in \mathcal{I}(G) : Z \text{ is a defect class for } I\}$. We call a set $T \subseteq Z$ a *defect set* if every connected component of $Z_2[T]$ has order at most $b(n)$. This means that Z is a defect class for I if and only if $I \cap Z$ is a defect set. For $T \subseteq Z$, we now write $\mathcal{I}_Z^T(G) := \{I \in \mathcal{I}_Z(G) : I \cap Z = T\}$ and conclude that

$$|\mathcal{I}_Z(G)| = \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq Z \\ T \text{ defect set}}} |\mathcal{I}_Z^T(G)|. \quad (4.4)$$

In order to examine $|\mathcal{I}_Z^T(G)|$, we observe that when completing a given defect set $T \subseteq Z$ to a set $I \in \mathcal{I}_Z^T(G)$, a vertex $v \in \overline{Z}$ has to be treated one of two ways:

- If $v \notin N_G(T)$, we are free to select v independently from all other choices we make because for all edges $e \in E(G)$ that contain v , the vertex in $e \cap Z$ does not belong to T and thus not to I .
- If $v \in N_G(T)$, selecting v prevents us from selecting any set of $k-2$ vertices in $N_G(T)$ that forms an edge with v and any vertex in T . This means that the intersection of I with $N_G(T)$ has to be an independent set in the $(k-1)$ -graph $L_G(T)$.

This shows that there are exactly

$$|\mathcal{I}_Z^T(G)| = |\mathcal{I}(L_G(T))| \cdot 2^{|\overline{Z} \setminus N_G(T)|} = |\mathcal{I}(L_G(T))| \cdot 2^{(k-1)n - |N_G(T)|} \quad (4.5)$$

ways to complete a given defect set $T \subseteq Z$ to $I \in \mathcal{I}_Z^T(G)$. We find that

$$|\mathcal{I}_Z(G)| \stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq Z \\ T \text{ defect set}}} |\mathcal{I}_Z^T(G)| \stackrel{(4.5)}{=} 2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq Z \\ T \text{ defect set}}} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(T))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(T)|}. \quad (4.6)$$

Next, consider the defect set $T \subseteq Z$ as a vertex subset of Z_2 and let $C_2(T)$ be the set consisting of the vertex sets of all connected components of $Z_2[T]$. This means that $N_G(T)$ is the disjoint union of all $N_G(S)$ with $S \in C_2(T)$ and consequently, $L_G(T)$ is the disjoint union of all $L_G(S)$ with $S \in C_2(T)$. In particular, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{I}(L_G(T))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(T)|} = \prod_{S \in C_2(T)} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(S)|}.$$

Note that by construction, every $S \in C_2(T)$ is 2-linked and non-empty. Since T is a defect set, we moreover have $|S| \leq b(n)$ for all $S \in C_2(T)$ and conclude that each $S \in C_2(T)$ is a polymer in $\mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$. We recall that

$$w(S) = |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(S)|}. \quad (2.5)$$

Again by construction, the set $C_2(T)$ of polymers is compatible. Instead of summing over all defect sets $T \subseteq Z$, we can therefore sum over all compatible $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$. Conversely, every compatible $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ corresponds to the defect set $T := \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} S \subseteq Z$. This shows that

$$\sum_{\substack{T \subseteq Z \\ T \text{ defect set}}} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(T))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(T)|} = \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_Z \\ \mathcal{S} \text{ compatible}}} \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} w(S) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} \Xi_{Z,b(n)}. \quad (4.7)$$

In total, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{I}_Z(G)| \stackrel{(4.6)}{=} 2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq Z \\ T \text{ defect set}}} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(T))| \cdot 2^{-|N_G(T)|} \stackrel{(4.7)}{=} 2^{(k-1)n} \Xi_{Z,b(n)},$$

which establishes (4.2) and thus finishes the proof. \square

The main technical ingredient in our proof is verifying the Kotecký-Preiss condition (see Theorem 3.3) for this polymer model. We will choose the functions f, g for $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) &:= \frac{(k-1)|S|}{r} \\ g(S) &:= \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2|S|). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Note that $\gamma_k = \frac{2^{k-1}}{2^{k-1}-1} > 1$ and polymers $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ are non-empty, so g is strictly positive.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $k \geq 3$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(t)$, $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$, and $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, then for every $u \in Z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u}} w(S) \exp(f(S) + g(S)) = \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)|S|}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2|S|)\right) \leq \frac{1}{r^3}.$$

Proving Lemma 4.3 is the main part of the paper and deferred to Section 5. The proof splits into Lemmas 5.1, 5.4 and 5.5. For the remainder of the current section, we focus on how to deduce our main theorem from Lemma 4.3 via suitable truncation of the cluster expansion.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Given $\rho > 0$, let $\rho' > 0$ be chosen sufficiently small such that $e^{\rho'} \leq 1 + \rho$. Also assume without loss of generality that $b(n) \geq \frac{\beta t n}{\log \gamma_k} \geq t$ for sufficiently large n . Note that any polymer S contained in a cluster $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}$ of size $\|\Gamma\| \leq t$ automatically satisfies

$|S| \leq \|\Gamma\| \leq t$, so the right-hand side of the formula in Theorem 2.1 does not change if we replace $\mathcal{C}_{Z,t}$ by $\mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}$. We show that for any partition class $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have

$$\log \Xi_{Z,b(n)} = \sum_{m=1}^t \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma) \pm \rho' n \gamma_k^{-rt}. \quad (4.9)$$

Plugging this into Lemma 4.2, we obtain an upper bound of

$$|\mathcal{I}(G)| \stackrel{L4.2}{\leq} 2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)} \leq 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}}^t \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}} w(\Gamma) + \rho' n \gamma_k^{-rt} \right).$$

Since $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ by $\text{Reg}(t)$, the final term accounts for a multiplicative error of at most $e^{\rho'} \leq 1 + \rho$, as desired.

By considering only subsets of G that have empty intersection with a fixed partition class, it is also easy to see that $|\mathcal{I}(G)| \geq 2^{(k-1)n}$, so by the upper bound of Lemma 4.2, we conclude that $\sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)} \geq |\mathcal{I}(G)| \cdot 2^{-(k-1)n} \geq 1$. In particular, the $(k^3 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n})$ -term in the lower bound of Lemma 4.2 is negligible in comparison to $2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)}$ and we obtain the desired lower bound of

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}(G)| &\stackrel{L4.2}{\geq} 2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)} - k^3 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n} \\ &\geq \left(2^{(k-1)n} - k^3 \cdot 2^{(k-\frac{3}{2})n} \right) \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)} \geq (1 - \rho) \cdot 2^{(k-1)n} \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \Xi_{Z,b(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to establish (4.9), fix $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ arbitrarily. By definition, a polymer $T \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ is incompatible to S if and only if T contains a vertex $u \in S \cup N_{Z_2}(S)$. Since every $v \in S$ has at most $(k-1)r$ neighbours $x \in \bar{Z}$ and every such x has at most r neighbours in Z , we find that $|S \cup N_{Z_2}(S)| \leq (k-1)r^2|S|$. Combining these observations with Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ T \cap S = \emptyset}} w(T) \exp(f(T) + g(T)) &\leq \sum_{u \in S \cup N_{Z_2}(S)} \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ T \ni u}} w(T) \exp(f(T) + g(T)) \\ &\stackrel{L4.3}{\leq} \frac{|S \cup N_{Z_2}(S)|}{r^3} \\ &\leq \frac{(k-1)|S|}{r} = f(S), \end{aligned}$$

which enables the application of Theorem 3.3. Therefore, the cluster expansion of $(\mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}, \sim, w)$ converges absolutely and by (2.3), we have

$$\log \Xi_{Z,b(n)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma). \quad (4.10)$$

We now fix an arbitrary $m \leq e^t$ and examine the behaviour of $\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}, \|\Gamma\|=m} |w(\Gamma)|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that by (2.4), polymers are non-empty, so $\|\Gamma\| = m$ implies $|\Gamma| \leq m$. Since there are only finitely many graphs with at most m vertices, there is a constant c_m not depending on n, r such that $|\phi(H_\Gamma)| \leq c_m$ for every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}$ with $\|\Gamma\| = m$.

According to (2.2) and (2.5), it remains to consider the term

$$\prod_{S \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N(S)|}.$$

Note that each polymer S has order at most $|S| \leq \|\Gamma\| = m \leq e^t$ and satisfies

$$|\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \leq (2^{k-1} - 1)^{|E(L_G(S))|} \leq (2^{k-1} - 1)^{r|S|} \quad (4.11)$$

by regularity. Choosing n and thus $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ by $\text{Reg}(t)$ sufficiently large, we can ensure $e^t \leq r$, which allows us to apply $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$ to S . Moreover, we recall $\alpha_{k,t} \leq \frac{\log_2 \gamma_k}{2e^{2t}}$ by (2.6) to observe that

$$2^{-|N(S)|} \stackrel{\text{Exp}_1}{\leq} 2^{-(k-1-\alpha_{k,t})r|S|} \leq 2^{\alpha_{k,t}e^t r} \cdot 2^{-(k-1)r|S|} \stackrel{(2.6)}{\leq} \gamma_k^{\frac{r}{2e^t}} \cdot 2^{-(k-1)r|S|}. \quad (4.12)$$

Together with $|\Gamma| \leq \|\Gamma\| \leq e^t$, we can thus bound the weight of any cluster $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}$ with $\|\Gamma\| = m$ by

$$\begin{aligned} |w(\Gamma)| &\stackrel{(2.2)}{\leq} \stackrel{(2.5)}{\leq} |\phi(H_\Gamma)| \prod_{S \in \Gamma} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N(S)|} \\ &\stackrel{(4.11)}{\leq} \stackrel{(4.12)}{\leq} c_m \gamma_k^{\frac{r|\Gamma|}{2e^t}} \prod_{S \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{2^{k-1} - 1}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{r|S|} \\ &\leq c_m \gamma_k^{\frac{r}{2} - rm}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

It remains to bound the number of such clusters. For this, we first observe that by connectedness of H_Γ , the set $V(\Gamma) := \bigcup_{S \in \Gamma} S$ must be 2-linked. Moreover, it contains at most $\|\Gamma\| = m$ vertices. We can specify all such sets by first picking a number $\ell \leq m$, then a vertex $u \in Z$, and then one of the according to Lemma 3.5 at most $e((k-1)er^2)^{\ell-1} \leq e((k-1)er^2)^{m-1}$ 2-linked subsets of Z of order ℓ that contain u . This shows that there are at most $emn((k-1)er^2)^{m-1}$ candidates for $V(\Gamma)$. Fixing one of these candidates, we pick another number $\ell' \leq m$, as well as ℓ' arbitrary subsets of $V(\Gamma)$ to be the polymers in Γ . Since $|V(\Gamma)| \leq m$, we have $m(2^m)^{\ell'} \leq m \cdot 2^{m^2}$ possibilities in this step. In total, we find that there is a constant c'_m not depending on n, r such that

$$|\{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} : \|\Gamma\| = m\}| \leq c'_m n r^{2(m-1)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Setting $C_m := c_m c'_m$ and combining (4.13) with (4.14), we observe that for every $m \leq e^t$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} |w(\Gamma)| \leq C_m n r^{2(m-1)} \gamma_k^{\frac{r}{2} - rm}. \quad (4.15)$$

Now let $C := e^t \max\{C_m : t+1 \leq m \leq e^t\}$ and note that n and thus also $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ can be chosen sufficiently large. Since $\gamma_k > 1$, we can therefore guarantee that $r^{2(m-1)} \leq r^{2(e^t-1)} \leq \frac{\rho'}{2C} \gamma_k^{\frac{r}{2}}$ for every $m \leq e^t$. In particular, we observe that

$$\sum_{m=t+1}^{e^t} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} |w(\Gamma)| \stackrel{(4.15)}{\leq} n \gamma_k^{\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{m=t+1}^{e^t} C_m r^{2(m-1)} \gamma_k^{-rm} \leq \frac{\rho'}{2C} n \gamma_k^r \sum_{m=t+1}^{e^t} C_m \gamma_k^{-r(t+1)} \leq \frac{\rho'}{2} n \gamma_k^{-rt}. \quad (4.16)$$

Finally, we direct our attention to clusters $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)}$ with $\|\Gamma\| > e^t$. Since every cluster contains some vertex v and is in particular incompatible to the polymer $\{v\}$, we can easily bound

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t}} |w(\Gamma)| \leq \sum_{v \in Z} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t \\ \Gamma \ni v}} |w(\Gamma)| \leq \sum_{v \in Z} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t \\ \Gamma \not\ni v}} |w(\Gamma)|. \quad (4.17)$$

In order to show that this contribution is also negligible, we use the tail bound provided by Theorem 3.3 with $S := \{v\}$. Again choosing n and thus $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ sufficiently large, this guarantees that

$$\sum_{v \in Z} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t \\ \Gamma \ni \{v\}}} |w(\Gamma)| \exp\left(\sum_{T \in \Gamma} g(T)\right) \leq |Z| f(\{v\}) = n \cdot \frac{k-1}{r} \leq \frac{\rho'}{2} n, \quad (4.18)$$

where $g(T) = \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2|T|)$ by (4.8). Before we can plug (4.18) into (4.17), we have to examine the exponential term. We observe that since polymers $T \in \Gamma$ are non-empty by (2.4), we have

$$\sum_{T \in \Gamma} \log(2|T|) = \log\left(\prod_{T \in \Gamma} 2|T|\right) \geq \log\left(2^{|\Gamma|} \max_{T \in \Gamma} |T|\right) \geq \log\left(|\Gamma| \max_{T \in \Gamma} |T|\right) \geq \log \|\Gamma\|. \quad (4.19)$$

Since $\|\Gamma\| > e^t$, this implies

$$\exp\left(\sum_{T \in \Gamma} g(T)\right) \stackrel{(4.8)}{=} \gamma_k^{r \sum_{T \in \Gamma} \log(2|T|)} \stackrel{(4.19)}{\geq} \gamma_k^{r \log \|\Gamma\|} \geq \gamma_k^{rt}. \quad (4.20)$$

Using (4.18), we obtain

$$\gamma_k^{rt} \sum_{v \in Z} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t \\ \Gamma \ni \{v\}}} |w(\Gamma)| \stackrel{(4.20)}{\leq} \sum_{v \in Z} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t \\ \Gamma \ni \{v\}}} |w(\Gamma)| \exp\left(\sum_{T \in \Gamma} g(T)\right) \stackrel{(4.18)}{\leq} \frac{\rho'}{2} n. \quad (4.21)$$

After division by γ_k^{rt} , this can be plugged into (4.17) to yield

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t}} |w(\Gamma)| \stackrel{(4.17)}{\leq} \sum_{v \in Z} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\| > e^t \\ \Gamma \ni \{v\}}} |w(\Gamma)| \stackrel{(4.21)}{\leq} \frac{\rho'}{2} n \gamma_k^{-rt}. \quad (4.22)$$

Combining (4.10), (4.16), and (4.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Xi_{Z, b(n)} &\stackrel{(4.10)}{=} \sum_{m=1}^t \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma) \pm \sum_{m=t+1}^{e^t} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} |w(\Gamma)| \pm \sum_{m > e^t} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} |w(\Gamma)| \\ &\stackrel{(4.16)}{=} \sum_{m=1}^t \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z, b(n)} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma) \pm \rho' n \gamma_k^{-rt}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

This establishes (4.9) and, as initially explained, finishes the proof. \square

5. VERIFICATION OF THE KOTECKÝ-PREISS CONDITION

We still have to prove Lemma 4.3, which we split into Lemmas 5.1, 5.4 and 5.5. The first one only considers polymers $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)}$ with $|S| \leq r$. In this regime, their 2-linkedness and strong expansion by $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$ are sufficient to limit their contribution.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $k \geq 3$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(t)$ and $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$, then for every $u \in Z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3r^3}.$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq s \leq r$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ with $|S| = s$ be arbitrary. Recall that (2.5) defines polymer weights as $w(S) = |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N(S)|}$. Since G is r -regular, the first factor of this can be trivially bounded by

$$|\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \leq (2^{k-1} - 1)^{|E(L_G(S))|} \leq (2^{k-1} - 1)^{rs}. \quad (5.1)$$

Setting $h := |N(S)|$, we note that $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$ guarantees that $rs \leq \frac{h}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}}$. In total, we obtain

$$w(S) \stackrel{(2.5)}{\stackrel{(5.1)}{\leq}} (2^{k-1} - 1)^{rs} \cdot 2^{-h} \stackrel{\text{Exp}_1}{\leq} \exp\left(h \cdot \left(\frac{\log(2^{k-1} - 1)}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2\right)\right). \quad (5.2)$$

In order to bound the exponential term in the statement of Lemma 5.1, we observe that $s \leq r$ by assumption and $\log(2s) \leq s \log 2$ holds for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, we again use that $rs \leq \frac{h}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}}$ by $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$. Altogether, we obtain

$$\exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \stackrel{\text{Exp}_1}{\leq} e^{k-1} \exp\left(h \cdot \frac{\log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}}\right). \quad (5.3)$$

We now split the sum in the statement of Lemma 5.1 according to $h = |N(S)| \geq r$ and apply (5.2) as well as (5.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.2)}{\stackrel{(5.3)}{\leq}} e^{k-1} \sum_{h=r}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s \\ |N(S)|=h}} \exp\left(h \cdot \left(\frac{\log(2^{k-1} - 1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Next, we bound the number of $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ with $S \ni u$ and $|S| = s$. Since we are only interested in an upper bound, we may ignore the additional restriction of $|N(S)| = h$. According to Lemma 3.5, there are at most $e((k-1)er^2)^{s-1}$ such polymers S . Choosing n sufficiently large and recalling that $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ holds by $\text{Reg}(t)$, we observe that

$$|\{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} : S \ni u \text{ and } |S| = s\}| \leq e((k-1)er^2)^{s-1} \leq r^{3s} = \exp(3s \log r). \quad (5.5)$$

Applying $s \leq \frac{h}{(k-1-\alpha_{k,t})r}$ (guaranteed by $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$) to this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s \\ |N(S)|=h}} \exp\left(h \cdot \left(\frac{\log(2^{k-1} - 1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2\right)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.5)}{\stackrel{\text{Exp}_1}{\leq}} \exp\left(h \cdot \left(\frac{3 \log r}{(k-1-\alpha_{k,t})r} + \frac{\log(2^{k-1} - 1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

We now note that by choosing n and thus r sufficiently large, the $\frac{\log r}{r}$ -term in (5.6) becomes negligible. Since $\alpha_{k,t} < \frac{(k-1)(1-\log 2)\log \gamma_k}{\log(2^{k-1}-1)+\log \gamma_k}$ by (2.6), we obtain

$$\frac{3 \log r}{(k-1-\alpha_{k,t})r} + \frac{\log(2^{k-1}-1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2 < \frac{\log(2^{k-1}-1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\frac{(k-1)(1-\log 2)\log \gamma_k}{\log(2^{k-1}-1)+\log \gamma_k}} - \log 2. \quad (5.7)$$

Using $\gamma_k = \frac{2^{k-1}}{2^{k-1}-1}$, a short calculation shows that the right-hand side of (5.7) evaluates to 0. Since (5.7) is a strict inequality, we conclude that there must be some $q > 0$ not depending on n, r such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.4)}{\leq} e^{k-1} \sum_{s=1}^r \sum_{h=r}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s \\ |N(S)|=h}} \exp\left(h \cdot \left(\frac{\log(2^{k-1}-1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2\right)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.6)}{\leq} r e^{k-1} \sum_{h=r}^{\infty} \exp\left(h \cdot \left(\frac{3 \log r}{(k-1-\alpha_{k,t})r} + \frac{\log(2^{k-1}-1) + \log 2 \cdot \log \gamma_k}{k-1-\alpha_{k,t}} - \log 2\right)\right) \\ & \leq r e^{k-1} \sum_{h=r}^{\infty} e^{-qh} \\ & = r e^{k-1} \frac{e^{-qr}}{1-e^{-q}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, multiplying by $3r^3$ yields $3r^4 e^{k-1} \frac{e^{-qr}}{1-e^{-q}}$, which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since q is positive and r can be chosen sufficiently large. This finishes the proof. \square

For larger polymers $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$, we will try to guarantee a large matching in the $(k-1)$ -partite $(k-1)$ -graph $L_G(S)$. For a partition class Z of a k -partite k -graph G , $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b}$, we let $m(S)$ be the number of edges in a maximum matching of $L_G(S)$. Additionally for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$m_{Z,b}(s) := \min_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b} \\ |S|=s}} m(S). \quad (5.8)$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $k \geq 3$, $\beta > 0$, and G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph. Then for every partition class Z and every subset $S \subseteq Z$ with $|N(S)| \geq (k-2+\beta)r|S|$, we have $m(S) \geq \frac{\beta}{k-1}r|S|$.*

Proof. Fix any matching M of size $m(S)$ in $L_G(S)$. By maximality, each of the at most $r|S|$ edges in $L_G(S)$ must intersect M and therefore contains at most $k-2$ vertices from $N(S) \setminus V(M)$. Since every vertex in $N(S)$ is contained in at least one edge in $L_G(S)$ by definition, we find

$$r|S| \geq |E(L_G(S))| \geq \frac{|N(S) \setminus V(M)|}{k-2} = \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{(k-1)m(S)}{(k-2)|N(S)|}\right) |N(S)|. \quad (5.9)$$

Plugging this into our assumption of $|N(S)| \geq (k-2+\beta)r|S|$ and dividing by $|N(S)|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \geq (k-2+\beta) \frac{r|S|}{|N(S)|} \stackrel{(5.9)}{\geq} (k-2+\beta) \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{(k-1)m(S)}{(k-2)|N(S)|}\right) \\ & \geq 1 + \frac{\beta}{k-2} - \frac{(k-1)m(S)}{(k-2)r|S|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses $|N(S)| \geq (k-2+\beta)r|S|$ again. Reordering yields $m(S) \geq \frac{\beta}{k-1}r|S|$, as desired. \square

Guaranteeing a large matching helps obtaining a bound by the following argument.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $k \geq 3$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph. Then for every partition class Z and every $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b}$, we have $w(S) \leq \gamma_k^{-m_{Z,b}(|S|)}$.*

Proof. Recall that deleting edges from a hypergraph does not decrease the number of independent sets. Fixing any maximum matching of $L_G(S)$ and deleting all edges not contained in this matching thus shows that

$$|\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \leq (2^{k-1} - 1)^{m(S)} \cdot 2^{|N(S)| - (k-1)m(S)} = 2^{|N(S)|} \cdot \gamma_k^{-m(S)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Using the definitions of $w(S)$ and $m_{Z,b}$, we immediately obtain

$$w(S) \stackrel{(2.5)}{=} |\mathcal{I}(L_G(S))| \cdot 2^{-|N(S)|} \stackrel{(5.10)}{\leq} \gamma_k^{-m(S)} \stackrel{(5.8)}{\leq} \gamma_k^{-m_{Z,b}(|S|)},$$

as desired. \square

We now apply Lemma 5.3 to all $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ not covered by Lemma 5.1, but split this into two cases at the threshold $|S| = \beta \frac{n}{r}$. If $|S|$ is below this threshold, the strong expansion guaranteed by $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$ allows for a straightforward argument, bounding the number of such polymers with Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(t)$ and $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, then for every $u \in Z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\sum_{s=r}^{\beta \frac{n}{r}} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3r^3}.$$

Proof. Let $r \leq s \leq \beta \frac{n}{r}$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ with $|S| = s$ be arbitrary. Since G is an r -regular k -partite k -graph that satisfies $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, we can apply Lemma 5.2 and find that $m(S) \geq \frac{\beta}{k-1}rs$. As $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)}$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $m_{Z,b(n)}(s) \geq \frac{\beta}{k-1}rs$. Plugging this into Lemma 5.3, we can bound the weight of any such polymer S by

$$w(S) \stackrel{L5.3}{\leq} \gamma_k^{-m_{Z,b(n)}(s)} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\beta \log \gamma_k}{k-1}rs\right). \quad (5.11)$$

Completely analogous to (5.5) in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we again use Lemma 3.5 to observe that

$$|\{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} : S \ni u \text{ and } |S| = s\}| \leq e((k-1)er^2)^{s-1} \leq r^{3s} = \exp(3s \log r). \quad (5.5)$$

Altogether, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.5)}{\leq} \exp\left(3s \log r - \frac{\beta \log \gamma_k}{k-1}rs + \frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \\ & = \exp\left(s \cdot \left(3 \log r - \frac{\beta \log \gamma_k}{k-1}r + \frac{k-1}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \frac{\log(2s)}{s}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

By choosing n and thus $s \geq r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ by $\text{Reg}(t)$ sufficiently large, we can ensure that the negative term in (5.12) dominates the rest and we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=r}^{\beta \frac{n}{r}} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.12)}{\leq} n \exp\left(s \cdot \left(3 \log r - \frac{\beta \log \gamma_k}{k-1} r + \frac{k-1}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \frac{\log(2s)}{s}\right)\right) \\ & \leq n \exp\left(-\frac{\beta \log \gamma_k}{2(k-1)} r s\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can choose n large enough to ensure $s \geq \frac{4(k-1)t}{\beta}$ and use $r \geq \frac{1}{t} \log_{\gamma_k} n$ to observe that

$$n \exp\left(-\frac{\beta \log \gamma_k}{2(k-1)} r s\right) \leq n \gamma_k^{-2rt} \leq \gamma_k^{-rt} \leq \frac{1}{3r^3}$$

for sufficiently large r . This finishes the proof. \square

Above $|S| = \beta \frac{n}{r}$, the guaranteed expansion is significantly weaker because $N(S)$ starts to take up a non-negligible fraction of \bar{Z} . This makes the 2-linkedness of S practically irrelevant. In this regime, the standard binomial upper bound on the number of $S \subseteq Z$ with $|S| = s$ is actually just better than using Lemma 3.5 and (5.5).

Lemma 5.5. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, let $b(n)$ be a function with $b(n) = o(n)$. Then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds for every $n \geq n_0$:*

Let G be an r -regular k -partite k -graph with vertex partition \mathcal{Z} , where each part has order n . If G satisfies $\text{Reg}(t)$, $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$, and $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, then for every $u \in Z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\sum_{s=\beta \frac{n}{r}}^{b(n)} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3r^3}.$$

Proof. Let $\beta \frac{n}{r} \leq s \leq b(n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)}$ with $|S| = s$ be arbitrary. Also select an arbitrary subset $S' \subseteq S$ of order $|S'| = \beta \frac{n}{r}$ and note that any matching in S' is also a matching in S . Since G is an r -regular k -partite k -graph that satisfies $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$, we can apply Lemma 5.2 to S' and find that $m(S) \geq m(S') \geq \frac{\beta}{k-1} r |S'| = \frac{\beta^2}{k-1} n$. As $S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)}$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $m_{Z, b(n)}(s) \geq \frac{\beta^2}{k-1} n$. Plugging this into Lemma 5.3, we can bound the weight of any such polymer S by

$$w(S) \stackrel{L5.3}{\leq} \gamma_k^{-m_{Z, b(n)}(s)} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2 \log \gamma_k}{k-1} n\right). \quad (5.13)$$

As hinted at already, we can bound the number of such polymers S by the trivial binomial bound of

$$|\{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)} : S \ni u \text{ and } |S| = s\}| \leq \binom{n}{s} \leq \left(\frac{en}{s}\right)^s = \exp\left(s + s \log\left(\frac{n}{s}\right)\right). \quad (5.14)$$

Altogether, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z, b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp\left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5.14)}{\leq} \stackrel{(5.13)}{\leq} \exp\left(s + s \log\left(\frac{n}{s}\right) - \frac{\beta^2 \log \gamma_k}{k-1} n + \frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \exp \left(s \cdot \left(1 + \log \left(\frac{n}{s} \right) - \frac{\beta^2 \log \gamma_k \cdot n}{(k-1)s} + \frac{k-1}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \frac{\log(2s)}{s} \right) \right). \quad (5.15)$$

Note now that $s \leq b(n) = o(n)$, so by choosing n sufficiently large, we can ensure that $\frac{n}{s}$ dominates $\log \left(\frac{n}{s} \right)$. Moreover, since G also satisfies $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$ with $0 < \alpha_{k,t} < 1$, Lemma 3.1 guarantees that $r \leq \sqrt{2n}$ and consequently, $\frac{n}{s}$ also dominates $\frac{r \log(2s)}{s} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2n} \log(2n)}{s}$. In total, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=\beta \frac{n}{r}}^{b(n)} \sum_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{P}_{Z,b(n)} \\ S \ni u \\ |S|=s}} w(S) \exp \left(\frac{(k-1)s}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \log(2s) \right) \\ (5.15) \quad & \leq n \exp \left(s \cdot \left(1 + \log \left(\frac{n}{s} \right) - \frac{\beta^2 \log \gamma_k \cdot n}{(k-1)s} + \frac{k-1}{r} + \log \gamma_k \cdot r \frac{\log(2s)}{s} \right) \right) \\ & \leq n \exp \left(-\frac{\beta^2 \log \gamma_k}{2(k-1)} n \right). \end{aligned}$$

More specifically, this term tends to 0 as n increases, even when multiplied by any fixed polynomial in n . We can thus use $r \leq \sqrt{2n}$ by $\text{Exp}_1(\alpha_{k,t})$ and Lemma 3.1 again to observe that

$$n \exp \left(-\frac{\beta^2 \log \gamma_k}{2(k-1)} n \right) \leq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{8n^3}} \leq \frac{1}{3r^3}$$

for sufficiently large n . This finishes the proof. \square

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We first remark that the assumption of $k \geq 3$ in Theorem 2.1 is owed to the fact that our approach of guaranteeing a large matching in the relevant link graph is not well-defined if G itself is already a 2-graph. In this case of $k = 2$, however, existing literature already provides a similar statement, usually phrased as the existence of an FPTAS which approximates the number of independent sets in bipartite expander graphs by truncating the cluster expansion. In Theorem 1 of [20], for example, the only requirement we need to check is that G is an ε -expander for some small ε , i.e. $|N(S)| \geq (1 + \varepsilon)|S|$ for all $S \subseteq Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $|S| \leq \frac{n}{2}$. For $|S| \leq \frac{\beta^2 n}{1 + \varepsilon}$, this immediately follows from applying property $\text{Exp}_2(\beta)$ to S or a subset $S' \subseteq S$ of order $|S'| = \beta \frac{n}{r}$. For $|S| > \frac{\beta^2 n}{1 + \varepsilon} > b(n)$, we observe that $S \cup (\bar{Z} \setminus N(S))$ is an independent set and must therefore satisfy $|\bar{Z} \setminus N(S)| \leq b(n)$ by property $\text{Def}(b(n))$, so $|N(S)| \geq n - b(n) > (1 + \varepsilon)\frac{n}{2} \geq (1 + \varepsilon)|S|$ by $b(n) = o(n)$.

Secondly, note that we actually obtain slightly better bounds than the ones stated in Theorem 2.1. In fact, careful consideration of the initial steps in the proofs of Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.1 shows that for any $\rho > 0$, the equality can be split into

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}(G)| & \geq 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^t \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,t} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma) - \rho n \gamma_k^{-rt} \right) - 2^{(k-2+\rho)n} \text{ and} \\ |\mathcal{I}(G)| & \leq 2^{(k-1)n} \cdot \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^t \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{Z,t} \\ \|\Gamma\|=m}} w(\Gamma) + \rho n \gamma_k^{-rt} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Several directions seem interesting to us for future research. First and foremost, our result begs the question if and by how much the conditions imposed on our hypergraphs can be relaxed, while still allowing for asymptotic enumeration of independent sets with the cluster expansion method.

Secondly, we see no reason why this approach should be limited to the mere number of independent sets. Basically, every variation that can still express its object of interest as the

partition function of a suitable polymer model should be a good candidate for obtaining a similar result. Introducing a fugacity parameter $\lambda > 0$, one could for example consider the weighted sum

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G)} \lambda^{|I|}.$$

This would include our result at $\lambda = 1$. Even more generally, one could enumerate homomorphisms from regular k -partite k -graphs G into a fixed graph H , very much akin to the impressive study in [17]. In particular, this would immediately provide information about the asymptotic number of proper colourings.

A more difficult, albeit equally interesting question is whether our result generalizes to non-partite regular k -graphs. Here, it seems much harder to set up a similar counting scheme by identifying defect sets. This mirrors the complications arising in the graph case when trying to drop the bipartiteness requirement.

REFERENCES

1. P. Arras and F. Joos, *Independent sets in discrete tori of odd sidelength*, arXiv:2310.02747 (2023).
2. A. E. Balabanov and D. A. Shabanov, *On the number of independent sets in simple hypergraphs*, *Mat. Zametki* **1** (2018), 38–48, Russian; translation in *Math. Notes*, **1-2** (2018), 33–41.
3. I. Bezáková, A. Galanis, L. A. Goldberg, H. Guo, and D. Štefankovič, *Approximation via correlation decay when strong spatial mixing fails*, *SIAM J. Comput.* **48** (2019), 279–349.
4. S. Cannon and W. Perkins, *Counting independent sets in unbalanced bipartite graphs*, Proceedings of the 2020 ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2020, pp. 1456–1466.
5. C. Carlson, E. Davies, A. Kolla, and A. Potukuchi, *Approximately counting independent sets in dense bipartite graphs via subspace enumeration*, arXiv:2307.09533 (2023).
6. Z. Chen, A. Galanis, L. A. Goldberg, W. Perkins, J. Stewart, and E. Vigoda, *Fast algorithms at low temperatures via Markov chains*, *Random Structures Algorithms* **58** (2021), 294–321.
7. Z. Chen, A. Galanis, D. Štefankovič, and E. Vigoda, *Sampling colorings and independent sets of random regular bipartite graphs in the non-uniqueness region*, arXiv:2105.01784 (2021).
8. E. Cohen, W. Perkins, M. Sarantis, and P. Tetali, *On the number of independent sets in uniform, regular, linear hypergraphs*, *European J. Combin.* **99** (2022), Paper No. 103401, 22 pages.
9. W. Feng, H. Guo, C. Wang, J. Wang, and Y. Yin, *Towards derandomising Markov chain Monte Carlo*, arXiv:2211.03487 (2022).
10. S. Friedli and Y. Velenik, *Statistical mechanics of lattice systems: A concrete mathematical introduction*, Cambridge University Press, 2017.
11. T. Friedrich, A. Göbel, M. S. Krejca, and M. Pappik, *Polymer dynamics via cliques: New conditions for approximations*, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **942** (2023), 230–252.
12. A. Galanis, L. A. Goldberg, and J. Stewart, *Fast algorithms for general spin systems on bipartite expanders*, *ACM Trans. Comput. Theory* **13** (2021), Art. 25, 18 pages.
13. A. Galanis, L. A. Goldberg, and J. Stewart, *Fast mixing via polymers for random graphs with unbounded degree*, *Inform. and Comput.* **285** (2022), Paper No. 104894, 16 pages.
14. D. Galvin, *Independent sets in the discrete hypercube*, arXiv:1901.01991 (2019).
15. K. He, C. Wang, and Y. Yin, *Deterministic counting Lovász local lemma beyond linear programming*, Proceedings of the 2023 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2023, pp. 3388–3425.
16. T. Helmuth, W. Perkins, and G. Regts, *Algorithmic Pirogov-Sinai theory*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **176** (2020), 851–895.
17. M. Jenssen and P. Keevash, *Homomorphisms from the torus*, *Adv. Math.* **430** (2023), Paper No. 109212, 89 pages.
18. M. Jenssen, P. Keevash, and W. Perkins, *Algorithms for #BIS-hard problems on expander graphs*, *SIAM J. Comput.* **49** (2020), 681–710.
19. M. Jenssen, A. Malekshahian, and J. Park, *A refined graph container lemma and applications to the hard-core model on bipartite expanders*, arXiv:2411.03393 (2024).
20. M. Jenssen, W. Perkins, and A. Potukuchi, *Approximately counting independent sets in bipartite graphs via graph containers*, *Random Structures Algorithms* **63** (2023), 215–241.
21. J. Kahn, *An entropy approach to the hard-core model on bipartite graphs*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **10** (2001), 219–237.
22. J. Kahn and J. Park, *The number of 4-colorings of the Hamming cube*, *Israel J. Math.* **236** (2020), 629–649.
23. A. D. Korshunov and A. A. Sapozhenko, *The number of binary codes with distance 2*, *Problemy Kibernet.* **40** (1983), 111–130, Russian.
24. R. Kotecký and D. Preiss, *Cluster expansion for abstract polymer models*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 491–498.

25. C. Liao, J. Lin, P. Lu, and Z. Mao, *Counting independent sets and colorings on random regular bipartite graphs*, arXiv:1903.07531 (2019).
26. ———, *An FPTAS for the hardcore model on random regular bipartite graphs*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **929** (2022), 174–190.
27. R. Peled and Y. Spinka, *Rigidity of proper colorings of \mathbb{Z}^d* , Invent. Math **232** (2023), 79–162.
28. A. A. Sapozhenko, *The number of antichains in ranked partially ordered sets*, Diskret. Mat. **1** (1989), 74–93, Russian; translation in *Discrete Math. Appl.* **1** (1991), 35–58.

APPENDIX

We prove Lemma 2.2 and thus demonstrate how having high girth influences the local structure of a linear k -graph. The key observation is the following technical lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $k, m \geq 3$, G be a linear k -partite k -graph, and $v_1, \dots, v_{(k-1)m}$ be a sequence of vertices of G such that the sets $e_i := \{v_{(k-1)(i-1)+1}, \dots, v_{(k-1)i+1}\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are distinct edges of G , where subscripts are taken modulo $(k-1)m$. Then G has girth at most m .*

Proof. We induct on m and start with the induction step for $m \geq 4$ arbitrary. If all vertices in $C := v_1, \dots, v_{(k-1)m}$ are distinct, then C itself constitutes a loose m -cycle in G and there is nothing to show. We can therefore assume that there are two indices $j \neq j' \in \{1, \dots, (k-1)m\}$ such that $v_j = v_{j'}$.

For any $j \in \{2, \dots, (k-1)m\}$, we denote the indices of the edges that position j is involved in as

$$I(j) := \{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} : (k-1)(i-1) + 1 \leq j \leq (k-1)i + 1\}.$$

So $|I(j)| \in \{1, 2\}$ for every j . Naturally, we also set $I(1) := \{1, m\}$. For two indices $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, (k-1)m\}$, we further let their distance in C be

$$d(j, j') := \min_{\substack{i \in I(j) \\ i' \in I(j')}} \min\{i' - i, i - i'\}, \quad (6.1)$$

where the differences $i' - i, i - i' \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ are taken modulo m . Out of all pairs $j \neq j' \in \{1, \dots, (k-1)m\}$ with $v_j = v_{j'}$, we fix one minimizing $d(j, j')$ and let $i \in I(j), i' \in I(j')$ be the choices yielding said minimum distance in (6.1). Reversing and cyclically shifting the sequence C as needed, we can assume $i' = 1 \leq i$ and $i = 1 + d(j, j')$ without loss of generality.

Next, we show that $d(j, j') \geq 2$ by contradiction. If $d(j, j') = 0$, then $i = 1$ and $e_1 \in E(G)$ would contain less than k vertices. This contradicts G being a k -graph. We conclude that $d(j, j') \geq 1$ and $i \geq 2$. In particular, this implies that $j' < k$, otherwise $i' = 2$ would yield a smaller $d(j, j')$. Similarly, $j > (k-1)(i-1) + 1$, otherwise $i - 1$ would yield a smaller $d(j, j')$.

If $d(j, j') = 1$, then $i = 2$ and $j > k$. Also, $v_j \neq v_k$, else $d(j, k) = 0 < 1 = d(j, j')$. However, this implies that $e_1 \cap e_2 \supseteq \{v_j, v_k\}$, which contradicts G being linear. We conclude that indeed, $d(j, j') \geq 2$ and thus, $3 \leq i \leq m-1$.

Recall that $j' < k$ and $j > (k-1)(i-1) + 1$. We now consider the following sequence:

$$C' := v_{j'}, v_1, \dots, v_{j'-1}, v_{j'+1}, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_{(k-1)i+1}.$$

It is derived from C by reordering e_1 such that it starts with $v_{j'}$ and reordering e_i such that it ends with v_j , leaving out v_j and everything after e_i . Since $v_j = v_{j'}$ and $3 \leq i \leq m-1$, we can apply the induction hypothesis to C' . This finishes the proof of the induction step.

It remains to prove the induction base $m = 3$. Since the proof of the induction step does not use the fact that $m \geq 4$, we can again conclude that if there is a repetition $v_j = v_{j'}$ in a sequence C of $3(k-1)$ vertices, then $d(j, j') \geq 2$. However, since the sequence C only consists of $m = 3$ edges of G , any pair of positions j, j' is at distance at most 1. This shows that there cannot be a repetition and C is indeed a loose 3-cycle. \square

Using this, we can easily establish Lemma 2.2, which states that vertices $v, u \in Z$ that are adjacent in Z_2 share exactly one neighbour in G .

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We first note that $N_G(\{v\})$ and $N_G(\{u\})$ must intersect in at least one vertex $x \in \overline{Z}$ since $vu \in E(Z_2)$. For a proof of $|N_G(\{v\}) \cap N_G(\{u\})| = 1$ by contradiction, suppose that there are distinct vertices $x, x' \in N_G(\{v\}) \cap N_G(\{u\})$. This implies that there are edges $e_{vx} := \{v, y_{vx}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{vx}^{(k-2)}, x\}$, $e_{xu} := \{x, y_{xu}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{xu}^{(k-2)}, u\}$, $e_{ux'} := \{u, y_{ux'}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{ux'}^{(k-2)}, x'\}$, and $e_{x'v} := \{x', y_{x'v}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{x'v}^{(k-2)}, v\}$ in G . We now consider the sequence

$$C_4 := v, y_{vx}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{vx}^{(k-2)}, x, y_{xu}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{xu}^{(k-2)}, u, y_{ux'}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{ux'}^{(k-2)}, x', y_{x'v}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{x'v}^{(k-2)}.$$

Since v, u are distinct and the only vertices of Z in C_4 , the only possibilities for identical edges in C_4 are $e_{vx} = e_{x'v}$ and $e_{xu} = e_{ux'}$. If both were true, both edges would contain x, x' , which contradicts G being linear. So at most one of them is true, without loss of generality $e_{xu} = e_{ux'}$. We then replace the subsequence

$$x, y_{xu}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{xu}^{(k-2)}, u, y_{ux'}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{ux'}^{(k-2)}, x'$$

of C_4 by x, C'', x' with C'' being an arbitrary ordering of $e_{xu} \setminus \{x, x'\}$. Applying Lemma 6.1 to either this sequence (if $e_{vx} = e_{x'v}$ or $e_{xu} = e_{ux'}$) or C_4 itself (if neither $e_{vx} = e_{x'v}$ nor $e_{xu} = e_{ux'}$), we find that G has girth at most 4. This, however, contradicts our assumption that G has girth at least 5 and thus finishes the proof. \square

UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 205, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

Email address: {arras,garbe,joos}@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de