

# The asymptotic estimation of prime ideals in imaginary quadratic fields and Chebyshev's bias

Chen Lin<sup>1</sup>, Chenhao Tang<sup>2</sup>, Xuejun Guo<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>School of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

<sup>1</sup>chen.lin@smail.nju.edu.cn, <sup>2</sup>chenhaotang@smail.nju.edu.cn, <sup>3</sup>guoxj@nju.edu.cn

## Abstract

We study the asymptotic estimation of prime ideals that satisfy certain congruence and argument conditions in imaginary quadratic fields. We also discuss the phenomenon of Chebyshev's bias in the distribution of prime ideals among different residue classes.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11N05, 11K70

*Keywords*: asymptotic estimation, quadratic forms, Chebyshev's bias

## 1 Introduction

Fermat proved that every prime congruent to 1 modulo 4 is a sum of two squares. Assume an odd prime  $p$  such that  $p = a_p^2 + b_p^2$ , where  $a_p > b_p > 0$  are integers. In 1919, Hecke demonstrated that  $\theta_p = \arctan \frac{b_p}{a_p}$  exhibits a uniform distribution as the prime  $p$  varies.

Coleman established the result of argument equidistribution of prime ideals in imaginary quadratic fields in [1] and [2]. To state Coleman's theorem, we first recall some notations. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$  be the imaginary quadratic number field with  $\Delta$  a negative square-free integer. We write  $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{a}$  for ideals and  $\mathfrak{p}$  for a prime ideal. Let  $N(\mathfrak{a})$  denote the norm of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Given a non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{f}$ , let  $g = g(\mathfrak{f})$  be the number of units  $\epsilon$  such that  $\epsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ . We write  $Cl(K, \mathfrak{f})$  for the ideal class group mod  $\mathfrak{f}$  and  $h_{\mathfrak{f}}$  for its cardinality. Let  $C$  denote an ideal class mod  $\mathfrak{f}$  and  $(\xi)$  denote the principal fractional ideal generated by some  $\xi \in K$ . Assume that for each  $C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{f})$ , there has been fixed an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in C^{-1}$ . Then for  $\mathfrak{a} \in C$ , we can find some  $\xi_{\mathfrak{a}} \in K$  satisfying  $(\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}_0$  and  $\xi_{\mathfrak{a}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ . We write  $\lambda(\mathfrak{a}) = \left( \frac{\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}}{|\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}|} \right)^g$ .

---

\*Corresponding author.

**THEOREM 1.1** (Coleman, Theorem 2.1 of [1]). *Given  $0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq y \leq x$  and an ideal class  $C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{f})$ , we define*

$$S = S(x, y, C, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{\mathfrak{a} \in C \mid x - y \leq N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq x, \varphi_1 \leq \arg(\lambda(\mathfrak{a})) \leq \varphi_2\}.$$

and

$$T = T(x, y, C, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in S \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) = p, \text{ prime}\}.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We have the asymptotic result,

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in T(x, y, C, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)} 1 = \frac{(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)y}{2\pi h_{\mathfrak{f}} \log x} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right).$$

for  $\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 > x^{-\frac{5}{24} + \epsilon}, y > x^{\frac{19}{24} + \epsilon}, x > x_{\epsilon}$ .

A special case of Theorem 1.1 shows that the prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ , whose norms are rational primes, are argument equidistributed. A natural question arises: among these prime ideals, are those satisfying  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  argument equidistributed? The main theorem of this paper answers this question and provides a generalization of Coleman's work. We present the argument equidistribution and asymptotic estimation of prime ideals that satisfy a given congruence relation in imaginary quadratic fields.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Using the same notation as in Theorem 1.1, fix two positive integers  $m, M$  satisfying  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ , we define*

$$P(x, y, C, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, m, M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in T \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}\}.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We have the asymptotic result,

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in P(x, y, C, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, m, M)} 1 = \frac{A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)y}{2\pi h_{\mathfrak{a}} \varphi(M) \log x} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right).$$

for  $\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 > x^{-\frac{5}{24} + \epsilon}, y > x^{\frac{19}{24} + \epsilon}, x > x_{\epsilon}$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Euler's totient function and

$$A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(m) \psi(C),$$

the sum ranging over  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}, \psi \in \widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})}$  satisfying  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p}))\psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ .

The complicated coefficient  $A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})$  will be explained in Subsection 2.6. Many results which essentially depends on the equidistribution of prime ideals can be quickly generalized by Theorem 1.2. As an application of Theorem 1.2, we generalize C. Elsholtz and G. Harman's work [4] on the conjectures of T. Ordowski and Z.-W. Sun [10].

T. Ordowski and Z.-W. Sun [10] considered all pairs of positive integers uniquely representing a prime number by a specific quadratic form, and found that the limit

of certain coordinate-wise defined functions converges. C. Elsholtz and G. Harman [4] discussed these conjectures and generalized the result to cover all positive definite quadratic forms that can represent prime numbers by using Coleman's result as stated above.

This prompts us to consider the limit for all primes  $p \equiv m \pmod{M}$  that can be represented by a given quadratic form  $Q(x, y)$ , where  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ . However, the result from Coleman's work used in C. Elsholtz and G. Harman's article only gives an asymptotic evaluation of the number of all primes in a polar box. Fortunately, using Theorem 1.2, we can prove the following result for primes  $p \equiv m \pmod{M}$  with  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ .

Let  $P_{m,M} = \{p \mid p \equiv m \pmod{M}, p \text{ is prime}\}$ , where  $m, M$  are coprime integers. Some definitions and notation in the following theorem will be explained later in Section 3.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Given a primitive positive definite binary quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ , where  $a, b, c$  are integers, let  $D = -\Delta = 4ac - b^2 > 0$ . For coprime integers  $m, M$ , consider all pairs  $(a_p, b_p)$  with  $a_p > b_p$  such that  $Q(a_p, b_p) = p \in P_{m,M}$  if  $P_{m,M} \cap \{Q(x, y) \mid x, y \text{ are integers}\}$  is not a finite set. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq N, p \in P_{m,M}} a_p^k}{\sum_{p \leq N, p \in P_{m,M}} b_p^k} = \frac{\int_0^\beta s(k, \theta) d\theta}{\int_0^\beta t(k, \theta) d\theta},$$

where

$$s(k, \theta) = (\sqrt{D} \cos \theta - b \sin \theta)^k,$$

$$t(k, \theta) = (2a)^k \sin^k \theta,$$

and

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\pi & \text{if } a + 2b = 0, \\ \arctan\left(\sqrt{D}/(b + 2a)\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Chebyshev noticed a famous phenomenon that primes congruent to 3 modulo 4 predominate over those congruent to 1 modulo 4. To be more precise, let  $\pi(x; q, a) = \{p < x \mid p \equiv a \pmod{q} \text{ and } p \text{ is a prime number}\}$ . Then the inequality  $\pi(x; 4, 3) > \pi(x; 4, 1)$  holds for most values of  $x$ . Littlewood [7] showed that the sign of the function  $\pi(x; 4, 3) - \pi(x; 4, 1)$  changes infinite times. Such phenomenon and its generations are called "Chebyshev's bias". Rubinstein and Sarnak [8] studied this phenomenon and used logarithmic density to explain this phenomenon.

We are interested in whether the certain coordinate-wise defined function in our theorem shows similar bias. Using the same notations as in Theorem 1.3, let

$$F(N; M, m) = \frac{\sum_{p \leq Pr(N), p \in P_{m,M}} a_p}{\sum_{p \leq Pr(N), p \in P_{m,M}} b_p},$$

where  $Pr(N)$  denotes the  $N$ -th prime number. Now using certain congruence conditions, we can divide all the primes representable by a given quadratic form into two sets, and investigate the function defined above with respect to the two sets.

For  $Q(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ , we compute  $F(N; 8, 1)$  and  $F(N; 8, 5)$  with  $N$  up to  $5 \times 10^6$ . For  $Q(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$ , we compute  $F(N; 12, 1)$  and  $F(N; 12, 7)$  with  $N$  up to  $1 \times 10^6$ . As shown in figures in Subsection 4.1, in each case, although the two functions converges to the same limit, they exhibit oscillations, repeatedly intersect and alternately surpass each other.

Since only osillations and intersections matter, we consider the following new function

$$R(N; M, m) = \frac{F(N; M, m)}{F(N)},$$

where  $F(N) = \frac{\sum_{p \leq Pr(N)} a_p}{\sum_{p \leq Pr(N)} b_p}$ . We compute  $R(N; 8, 1)$  and  $R(N; 8, 5)$  with  $N$  up to  $5 \times 10^6$ , and the result is shown in Figure 3. Since  $F(N; 8, 1)$ ,  $F(N; 8, 5)$  and  $F(N)$  have the same limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , Figure 3 shows very nice symmetry.

The osillations and repeated intersections in these figures reveal the Chebyshev's bias phenomenon and infinitely many sign changes of the two functions (similar to Littlewood's result) in this case. On the other hand, these figures also show similarity to the phenomenon called "murmuration". "Murmuration" is a phenomenon of oscillating behaviour [6].

In addition, L. Devin [3] conjectured that in logarithmic scale, more than half of the primes below  $x$  can be written as a sum of two squares with the even square larger than the odd one. To study the separated cases  $1 \pmod{8}$  and  $5 \pmod{8}$ , we define the differences of counting functions

$$D_1(x) = |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| > |2b|, p \in P_{1,8}\}| - |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| < |2b|, p \in P_{1,8}\}|,$$

$$D_2(x) = |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| > |2b|, p \in P_{5,8}\}| - |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| < |2b|, p \in P_{5,8}\}|,$$

and compute these two functions with  $x$  up to  $1.5 \times 10^6$ . As is shown in Figure 4, the two functions both show a bias towards negative value.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we generalize Coleman's result Theorem 1.1 to the main result Theorem 1.2. In Subsection 2.1 and 2.2, we state some theorems of equidistribution with rough remainder estimations, which are not restricted to the case of imaginary quadratic field. Subsection 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 give the proof. The main idea is to construct special Hecke L-functions to identify the prime ideal satisfying the given conditions. In Subsection 2.6, we use class field theory to translate the question to the side of Galois group and give another proof by Čebotarev density theory. Especially, we verify that the results given by these two proofs are consistent. In Subsection 2.7, we use Coleman's result to improve the remainder estimation and obtain Theorem 1.2.

## 2.1 Statement of theorem in general case

Let  $K$  be a number field. For an integer ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $K$ , we denote by  $Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})$  the ideal class group mod  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $h_{\mathfrak{a}}$  its cardinality, which is known to be finite. Take two positive integers  $m, M$  with  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ , an integer ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  and an ideal class  $C \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ .

For any  $x > 0$ , define

$$\mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in C \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x, N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}\},$$

where  $N$  is the norm of ideals.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *We have the asymptotic result*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 = \frac{A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Euler's totient function and

$$A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(m)\psi(C),$$

the sum ranging over  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}, \psi \in \widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})}$  satisfying  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p}))\psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Our theorem can derive some classical result.

**COROLLARY 2.2** (Prime Ideals Theorem). *For any  $x > 0$ , define*

$$\mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in C \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x\}.$$

*Then as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have the asymptotic result*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 = \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}} \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right).$$

*Proof.* Using orthogonal relation of characters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 &= \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \frac{A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} \sum_{\phi, \psi} \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \phi(m)\psi(C) + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}} \log x} \sum_{\psi, \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})=1} \psi(C) + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}} \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *For any  $x > 0$ , define*

$$\mathcal{P}(x, m, M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x, N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}\}.$$

*Then as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have the asymptotic result*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M)} 1 = \frac{A(m, M)x}{\varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

where  $A(m, M) = \sum_{\phi} \phi(m)$ , the sum ranging over  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$  satisfying  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Using orthogonal relation of characters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M)} 1 &= \sum_{C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})} \frac{A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} \sum_{C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})} A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} \sum_{\phi, \psi} \sum_{C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})} \phi(m)\psi(C) + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{\varphi(M) \log x} \sum_{\phi} \phi(m) + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{A(m, M)x}{\varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The result follows.  $\square$

## 2.2 Statement of theorem of imaginary quadratic field

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$  be an imaginary quadratic field where  $\Delta$  is a square-free negative integer. We denote by  $w$  the number of roots of unity in  $K$ ;  $w = 4$  if  $\Delta = -1$ ,  $w = 6$  if  $\Delta = -3$  and  $w = 2$  if  $\Delta \neq -1, -3$ .

Let  $I(K)$  be the fractional ideal group of  $K$ , while  $P(K)$  be the principle fractional ideal group. Define a homomorphism

$$\lambda : P(K) \longrightarrow S^1, (a) \longmapsto \left(\frac{a}{|a|}\right)^w.$$

To consider the argument equidistribution of prime ideals, we first extend  $\lambda$  to  $I(K)$ . By the structure theorem of finite abelian groups, we have

$$Cl(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^r C_i,$$

where  $C_i$  is a cyclic group with cardinality  $s_i$ . For each  $i$ , choose an integer ideal  $\mathfrak{b}_i$  such that  $\overline{\mathfrak{b}_i}$  is the generator of  $C_i$ . Take  $\lambda(\mathfrak{b}_i) = \lambda(\mathfrak{b}_i^{s_i})^{\frac{1}{s_i}}$ . Then for any  $\mathfrak{a} \in I(K)$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} = (a) \prod_{i=1}^r (\mathfrak{b}_i)^{t_i}$ , take  $\lambda(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \lambda((a)) \prod_{i=1}^r \lambda(\mathfrak{b}_i)^{t_i}$ . It is easy to check the definition is well-defined.

Now take two positive integers  $m, M$  with  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ , an integer ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  and an ideal class  $C$ , mod  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Denote by  $\overline{C}$  the image of  $C$  under the canonical projection  $Cl(K, \mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow Cl(K)$ . Fix a fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \in \overline{C}^{-1}$ . Then for any  $x > 0$  and  $0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 \leq 2\pi$ , define

$$\mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) \mid \varphi_1 \leq \arg \lambda(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{a}_0) \leq \varphi_2\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *The arguments of prime ideals is equidistributed, i.e. we have the asymptotic result*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)} 1 = \frac{(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})x}{2\pi h_{\mathfrak{a}} \varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Euler's totient function and

$$A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(m) \psi(C),$$

the sum ranging over  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$ ,  $\psi \in \widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})}$  satisfying  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p}))\psi(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.5** (Argument Equidistribution of Prime Ideals). *For any  $x > 0$  and  $0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 \leq 2\pi$ , define*

$$\mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a}) \mid \varphi_1 \leq \arg \lambda(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{a}_0) \leq \varphi_2\}.$$

Then as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have the asymptotic result

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a}, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)} 1 = \frac{(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)x}{2\pi h_{\mathfrak{a}} \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right).$$

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *For any  $x > 0$ , define*

$$\mathcal{P}(x, m, M, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M) \mid \varphi_1 \leq \arg \lambda(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{a}_0) \leq \varphi_2\}.$$

Then as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have the asymptotic result

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)} 1 = \frac{(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)A(m, M)x}{2\pi \varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

where  $A(m, M) = \sum_{\phi} \phi(m)$ , the sum ranging over  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}})^{\times}$  satisfying  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ .

### 2.3 Some lemmas

To prove our theorem, we need some preparations about equidistribution and  $L$ -function.

**LEMMA 2.7** ([9]). *Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $X$  the space of conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Then a sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements of  $X$  is equidistributed for the normalized Haar measure of  $G$  if and only if for any irreducible character  $\chi \neq 1$  of  $G$ , we have:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(x_i) = 0.$$

**LEMMA 2.8** ([9]). *Let  $K$  be a number field,  $G$  be a compact group and  $X$  the space of conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Suppose a mapping  $f : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow X$  is given. We make the following hypotheses:*

( $\star$ ) *Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$  with character  $\chi$ , and put*

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{1}{\det(1 - \rho(f(\mathfrak{p}))(N(\mathfrak{p}))^{-s})}.$$

*Then this product converges for  $\Re(s) > 1$ , and extends to a meromorphic function on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  having neither zero nor pole except possibly for  $s = 1$ . The order of  $L(\rho, s)$  at  $s = 1$  will be denoted by  $-c_\chi$ .*

*Under the assumptions, for any irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , we have:*

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} \chi(f(\mathfrak{p})) = c_\chi \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

*as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

*Moreover, the elements  $f(\mathfrak{p})$  ( $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ ) are equidistributed for the normalized Haar measure of  $G$  if and only if  $c_\chi = 0$  for every irreducible character  $\chi \neq 1$  of  $G$ , i.e., if and only if the  $L$ -function relative to the non trivial irreducible character of  $G$  are holomorphic and non zero at  $s = 1$ .*

### 2.4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let  $K$  be a number field. We denote by  $\mathbb{A}_K$  the adèle ring,  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$  the idele group and  $\mathbb{C}_K = \mathbb{A}_K^\times / K^\times$  the idele class group of  $K$ . For a place  $v$  of  $K$ , we denote by  $K_v$  the local field associating with  $v$  of  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{o}_v$  the integer ring of  $K_v$  when  $v$  is finite. A Hecke character of  $K$  is a continuous character of  $\mathbb{C}_K$ , or equivalently, a continuous character of  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$  which is trivial when restricting to  $K^\times$ .

Let  $\psi$  be a unitary Hecke character of  $K$ . For a place  $v$  of  $K$ , take  $\psi_v = \psi \circ i_v$  the character of  $K_v^\times$  where  $i_v : K_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^\times$  is the canonical embedding. Then we have  $\psi = \otimes'_v \psi_v$ . For a finite place  $v$ ,  $\psi_v$  is said to be unramified if  $\psi_v|_{\mathfrak{o}_v^\times}$  is trivial, otherwise ramified. Let  $S$  be the finite set of all infinite places and finite places such that  $\psi_v$  is



ramified of  $K$ . The Hecke  $L$ -function associated with the Hecke character  $\psi$  is defined as

$$L(\psi, s) = \prod_{v \notin S} (1 - \psi_v(\pi_v)(Nv)^{-s})^{-1},$$

where  $\pi_v$  is a uniformizer of  $K_v$  and  $N$  is the norm of ideals.

**LEMMA 2.9** (Theorem 7.14 of [5]). *The Hecke  $L$ -function  $L(\psi, s)$  can extend to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane with  $L(\psi, 1 + it) \neq 0 (t \in \mathbb{R})$ . It has poles if and only if  $\psi(x) = |x|_{\mathbb{A}_K}^{\lambda_0}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{A}_K$ , where  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}_K}$  is the adelic absolute value and  $\lambda_0$  is some fixed pure imaginary number. In this case,  $L(\psi, s) = \zeta_K(s + \lambda_0)$ , where  $\zeta_K$  is the Dedekind  $\zeta$ -function of  $K$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Using orthogonal relation of characters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 &= \frac{1}{\varphi(M)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\phi \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \bar{\phi}(m) \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(M)h_{\mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\phi \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \bar{\phi}(m) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \sum_{\psi \in (Cl(K, \mathfrak{a}))^\times} \bar{\psi}(C) \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(M)h_{\mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\phi \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\psi \in (Cl(K, \mathfrak{a}))^\times} \bar{\phi}(m) \bar{\psi}(C) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, if  $(N(\mathfrak{p}), M) > 1$ , take  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$  and similarly if  $\mathfrak{p}$  divides  $\mathfrak{a}$ , take  $\psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ .

For fixed  $\phi \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times$ ,  $\psi \in (Cl(K, \mathfrak{a}))^\times$ , define an  $L$ -function

$$L(\phi, \psi, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (1 - \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) (N(\mathfrak{p}))^{-s})^{-1}.$$

We will show  $L(\phi, \psi, s)$  is a Hecke  $L$ -function up to a finite number of terms.

First, we lift  $\phi$  to a unitary Hecke character  $\tilde{\phi}$  of  $K$ . Define  $\tilde{\phi}$  to be the following composite mapping

$$\mathbb{C}_K \xrightarrow{\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\times / \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Here the isomorphism is due to the strong approximation of the idele group  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$  and  $\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$  is induced by the global norm mapping

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{A}_K \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}, (x_w)_w \longmapsto \left( \prod_{w|v} N_{w|v}(x_w) \right)_v,$$

where  $N_{w|v} : K_w \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_v$  is the norm mapping of local fields.

For the finite place  $v$  of  $K$  satisfying  $(Nv, M) = 1$ , it is obvious from definition that  $\tilde{\phi}_v|_{\mathfrak{o}_v^\times}$  is trivial, i.e.,  $\tilde{\phi}_v$  is unramified. Moreover, in this case, for a uniformizer  $\pi_v$

of  $K_v$ , we have  $\tilde{\phi}_v(\pi_v) = \phi(Nv)$ . Therefore the condition that  $\tilde{\phi}_v$  is unramified and  $\tilde{\phi}_v(\pi_v) = \phi(Nv)$  holds for almost all finite place  $v$  of  $K$ .

Next we lift  $\psi$  to a unitary Hecke character  $\tilde{\psi}$  of  $K$ . It is well-known that the ideal class group  $Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})$  can be realized as a quotient group of the idele class group  $\mathbb{C}_K$ . More concretely, we have an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}_K/\overline{U(\mathfrak{a})} \cong Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})$  where  $\overline{U(\mathfrak{a})}$  is the image of  $U(\mathfrak{a})$  in  $\mathbb{C}_K$  and  $U(\mathfrak{a}) = \prod_v U_v(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathbb{A}_K^\times$ ,  $U_v(\mathfrak{a}) = \ker(\mathfrak{o}_v^\times \rightarrow (\mathfrak{o}_v/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{o}_v)^\times)$  if  $v$  is a finite place,  $U_v(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$  if  $v$  is a complex place and  $U_v(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  if  $v$  is a real place. Define  $\tilde{\psi}$  as the composition of  $\psi$  with the isomorphism.

For the finite place  $v$  not dividing  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $K$ , it is obvious from definition that  $\tilde{\psi}_v|_{\mathfrak{o}_v^\times}$  is trivial, i.e.,  $\tilde{\phi}_v$  is unramified. Moreover in this case, for a uniformizer  $\pi_v$  of  $K_v$ , we have  $\tilde{\psi}_v(\pi_v) = \phi(\bar{v})$  because the isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}_K/\overline{U(\mathfrak{a})} \cong Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})$  maps  $i_v(\pi_v)$  to  $\bar{v}$ . Therefore the condition  $\tilde{\phi}_v$  is unramified and  $\tilde{\phi}_v(\pi_v) = \phi(\bar{v})$  holds for almost all finite place  $v$  of  $K$ .

The discussion above shows that  $L(\phi, \psi, s)$  is equal to the Hecke  $L$ -function  $L(\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\psi}, s)$  up to a finite number of terms. Thus they have the same analytic properties.

By Lemma 2.9,  $L(\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\psi}, s)$  has poles if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}(x)\tilde{\psi}(x) = |x|_{\mathbb{A}_K}^{\lambda_0}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{A}_K$  and  $\lambda_0$  some fixed pure imaginary number. In this case, take  $v$  a infinite place and we have  $\tilde{\phi}_v(x)\tilde{\psi}_v(x) = |x|_v^{\lambda_0}$  for all  $x \in K_v^\times$ . However, by the definition of  $\tilde{\phi}$  and  $\tilde{\psi}$ , it is easy to see  $\tilde{\phi}_v\tilde{\psi}_v$  is trivial when  $v$  is a complex place and  $\tilde{\phi}_v\tilde{\psi}_v|_{\mathbb{R}_{>0}}$  is trivial when  $v$  is a real place, both obtaining  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . As a result,  $L(\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\psi}, s)$  has poles if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\psi}$  is trivial, in this case  $L(\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\psi}, s) = \zeta_K(s)$ . The last condition is equivalent to  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p}))\psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ , because a Hecke character is determined by its local multiplicative characters of almost all places (more strongly, of places with density strictly  $> \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Consequently, the  $L$ -function  $L(\phi, \psi, s)$  converges for  $\Re(s) > 1$ , and extends to a meromorphic function on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  having neither zero nor pole except possibly a simple pole for  $s = 1$ , and this occurs if and only if  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p}))\psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ . Take  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \times Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})$  and  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = (N(\mathfrak{p}), \bar{\mathfrak{p}})$  in Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} 1 = \frac{A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})x}{h_{\mathfrak{a}}\varphi(M) \log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The result follows.  $\square$

## 2.5 Proof of Theorem 2.4

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* First notice that  $\{\varphi_n : x \mapsto x^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  are all irreducible characters of circle  $S^1$ . Therefore by Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} \lambda^n(\mathfrak{p}) = o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right), n \neq 0$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, using orthogonal relation of characters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a})} \lambda^n(\mathfrak{p}) &= \frac{1}{\varphi(M)} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x, C, \mathfrak{a})} \sum_{\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})^\times} \bar{\phi}(m) \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \lambda^n(\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(M) h_{\mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})^\times} \bar{\phi}(m) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \sum_{\psi \in (\widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})})^\times} \bar{\psi}(C) \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \lambda^n(\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(M) h_{\mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})^\times} \sum_{\psi \in (\widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})})^\times} \bar{\phi}(m) \bar{\psi}(C) \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \lambda^n(\mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})^\times$ ,  $\psi \in (\widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})})^\times$  and  $n \neq 0$ , define an  $L$ -function

$$L(\phi, \psi, \lambda^n, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (1 - \phi(N(\mathfrak{p})) \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) \lambda^n(\mathfrak{p}) (N(\mathfrak{p}))^{-s})^{-1}.$$

We will show  $L(\phi, \psi, s)$  is a Hecke  $L$ -function with no pole on  $\Re(s) = 1$  up to a finite number of terms, and the result follows by taking  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \times Cl(K, \mathfrak{a}) \times S^1$  and  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = (N(\mathfrak{p}), \bar{\mathfrak{p}}, \lambda(\mathfrak{p}))$  in Lemma 2.8.

Lift  $\phi, \psi$  to unitary Hecke characters  $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}$  of  $K$  as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. The left is to lift  $\lambda$  to a unitary Hecke characters  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $K$ . For a finite place  $v$  of  $K$ , define a character  $\tilde{\lambda}_v$  of  $K_v^\times$  by letting  $\tilde{\lambda}_v|_{\mathfrak{o}_v^\times}$  trivial and  $\tilde{\lambda}_v(\pi_v) = \lambda(v)$  for any uniformizer  $\pi_v$  of  $K_v$ . For the unique complex place  $v = \infty$ , define a character  $\tilde{\lambda}_\infty$  of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  by  $\tilde{\lambda}_\infty(x) = \lambda(x)^{-1}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Let  $\tilde{\lambda} = \otimes'_v \tilde{\lambda}_v$ . It is easy to check that  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is indeed a Hecke character of  $K$  and from the definition,  $\tilde{\lambda}_v$  is unramified and  $\tilde{\lambda}_v(\pi_v) = \lambda(v)$  holds for all finite place  $v$  of  $K$ .

Now  $L(\phi, \psi, \lambda^n, s)$  is equal to the Hecke  $L$ -function  $L(\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\lambda}^n, s)$  up to a finite number of terms. By Lemma 2.9,  $L(\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\lambda}^n, s)$  has poles if and only if  $\tilde{\phi}(x) \tilde{\psi}(x) \tilde{\lambda}^n(x) = |x|_{\mathbb{A}_K}^{\lambda_0}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{A}_K$  and  $\lambda_0$  some fixed pure imaginary number. In this case, we have  $|x|_\infty^{\lambda_0} = \tilde{\phi}_\infty(x) \tilde{\psi}_\infty(x) \tilde{\lambda}_\infty^n(x) = \left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)^{-wn}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , which is impossible unless  $n = 0$ .  $\square$

## 2.6 View of Čebotarev density theory

In this section, we realize Theorem 2.1 as a corollary of Čebotarev density theory and Theorem 2.4 as a corollary of a theory slightly different from Čebotarev density theory.

Let  $K$  be a number field. Take an integer ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $K$ , an ideal class  $C \in Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})$  and two positive integers  $m, M$  with  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ . Recall that

$$\mathcal{P}(x, m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in C \mid N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x, N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}\}.$$

We denote by  $K^{ab}$  the maximal abelian extension of  $K$ . By the global class field theory, we have the global Artin mapping

$$\rho_K : \mathbb{C}_K \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K^{ab}/K)$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\rho}_K : Cl(K, \mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{a})/K),$$

where  $K(\mathfrak{a})$  is the fixed field of  $\rho_K(\overline{U(\mathfrak{a})})$  under the Galois correspondence. For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  not dividing  $\mathfrak{a}$ , the isomorphism takes  $\mathfrak{p}$  to  $\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ , the Frobenius element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus the condition  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = C$  can be translated into  $\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \widetilde{\rho}_K(C)$ .

Take a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  such that  $(N(\mathfrak{p}), M) = 1$ . Let  $\zeta_M$  be a  $M$ -th primitive unit root,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$  the  $M$ -th cyclotomic field and

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}} : (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/\mathbb{Q})$$

the canonical isomorphism. Let  $L_1 = K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$ . We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_M)/K) \xrightarrow{Res} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/L_1).$$

Suppose  $\mathfrak{p} \cap L_1 = \mathfrak{q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \cap L_1 = p\mathbb{Z}$ . By the functorial properties of Frobenius, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \Big|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)} &= \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/L_1}{\mathfrak{q}}\right)^{f(\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q})} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/\mathbb{Q}}{p}\right)^{f(\mathfrak{q}|p)f(\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{q})} \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(p)^{f(\mathfrak{p}|p)} \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N(\mathfrak{p})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \notin \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/L_1)$ , there is no  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfying  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$ . Suppose  $\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/L_1)$  and still denote by  $\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)$  the image of  $\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)$  in  $\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_M)/K)$  under the canonical isomorphism. Then the condition  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$  can be translated into  $\left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)$ .

Take  $L_2 = K(\mathfrak{a}) \cap K(\zeta_M)$ ,  $\widetilde{L}_2 = L_2 \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$  and  $L_3 = K(\mathfrak{a})K(\zeta_M)$ . Note that  $\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \Big|_{L_2} = \left(\frac{L_2/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \Big|_{L_2}$ . Thus if  $\widetilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{L_2} \neq \widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{L_2}$ , or equivalently,  $\widetilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{\widetilde{L}_2} \neq \widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{\widetilde{L}_2}$ , there is no  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfying  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = C$  and  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$ . Suppose  $\widetilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{L_2} = \widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{L_2}$ . Then an element  $\sigma_{m,C} \in \text{Gal}(L_3/K)$  is uniquely determined up by  $\widetilde{\rho}_K(C)$  and  $\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)$  while the element determined up by  $\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$  and  $\left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$  is  $\left(\frac{L_3/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ . Hence the condition  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = C$ ,  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$  can be trans-

lated into  $\sigma_{m,C} = \left(\frac{L_3/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ . By Čebotarev density theory, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(x,m,M,C,\mathfrak{a})} 1 &= \frac{1}{[L_3 : K]} \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{[L_2 : K]}{[K(\zeta_M) : K]h(\mathfrak{a})} \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right) \\ &= \frac{[\widetilde{L}_2 : \mathbb{Q}]}{\varphi(M)h(\mathfrak{a})} \frac{x}{\log x} + o\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Now we explain that the result here is consistent with Theorem 2.1. Recall

$$A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(m)\psi(C),$$

the sum ranging over  $\phi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}})^\times, \psi \in \widehat{Cl(K, \mathfrak{a})}$  satisfying  $\phi(N(\mathfrak{p}))\psi(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ . Equate  $\phi$  with character of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/\mathbb{Q})$  through  $\widetilde{\rho_Q}$  while  $\psi$  with character of  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{a})/K)$  through  $\widetilde{\rho_K}$ . Then

$$A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(\widetilde{\rho_Q}(m))\psi(\widetilde{\rho_K}(C)),$$

the sum ranging over  $\phi \in \text{Gal}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/\mathbb{Q}}), \psi \in \text{Gal}(\widehat{K(\mathfrak{a})/K})$  satisfying

$$\phi\left(\left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\Big|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)}\right)\psi\left(\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) = 1$$

for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ .

For fixed  $\phi$ , define character  $\widetilde{\phi}$  to be the following composite mapping

$$\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_M)/K) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)/L_1) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\phi}\left(\left(\frac{L_3/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\Big|_{K(\zeta_M)}\right)\psi\left(\left(\frac{L_3/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\Big|_{K(\mathfrak{a})}\right) \\ &= \widetilde{\phi}\left(\left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)\psi\left(\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\left(\frac{K(\zeta_M)/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\Big|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)}\right)\psi\left(\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{a})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for almost all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) \setminus \{0\}$ , i.e.

$$\widetilde{\phi}(\sigma|_{K(\zeta_M)})\psi(\sigma|_{K(\mathfrak{a})}) = 1, \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{a})/K).$$

The last condition is equivalent to that both  $\tilde{\phi}|_{\text{Gal}(K(\zeta_M)/L_2)}$  and  $\psi|_{\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{a})/L_2)}$  is trivial and when view  $\tilde{\phi}, \psi$  as characters of  $\text{Gal}(L_2/K)$  naturally,  $\tilde{\phi}\psi$  is trivial.

Therefore we have

$$A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{\tilde{L}_2})\psi(\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{\tilde{L}_2}),$$

the sum ranging over  $\phi \in \widehat{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}_2/\mathbb{Q})}, \psi \in \widehat{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}_2/L_1)}$  satisfying  $\phi\psi|_{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}_2/L_1)}$  is trivial. A direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) &= \sum_{\phi, \psi} \phi(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{\tilde{L}_2})\psi(\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{\tilde{L}_2}) \\ &= \sum_{\phi \in \widehat{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}_2/\mathbb{Q})}} \phi(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{\tilde{L}_2})\bar{\phi}(\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{\tilde{L}_2}) \\ &= \sum_{\phi \in \widehat{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}_2/\mathbb{Q})}} \phi(\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{\tilde{L}_2})(\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{\tilde{L}_2})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the orthogonal relation of characters, the value equals to  $[\tilde{L}_2 : \mathbb{Q}]$  if  $\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{\tilde{L}_2} = \tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{\tilde{L}_2}$  and 0 else.

We point out that Čebotarev density theory is a corollary of Lemma 2.8 by taking  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  for a Galois extension  $L$  of a number field  $K$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = \left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  unramified in  $L$  and  $\rho$  an irreducible representation of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . The corresponding  $L$ -function is indeed the Artin  $L$ -function of  $K$  up to a finite number of terms. To realize Theorem 2.4 through a similar way of Theorem 2.1 above, take in Lemma 2.8  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \times S^1$  for a Galois extension of an imaginary quadratic field  $K$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{p}) = \left(\left(\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \lambda(\mathfrak{p})\right)$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  unramified in  $L$  and  $\rho \otimes \varphi_n$  an irreducible representation of  $G$  where  $\rho$  is an irreducible representation of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $\varphi_n : x \mapsto x^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2.7 Proof of Theorem 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We use the notation of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Subsection 2.6. The idea of the proof is to translate the restrictive conditions of the prime ideals to their associated Frobenius elements of the Galois group through class field theory, and translate it back. As the discussion of Subsection 2.6, if  $\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{L_2} \neq \tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{L_2}$ , there is no  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfying  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = C$  and  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$ , and in this case we have  $A(m, M, C, \mathfrak{a}) = 0$ . The result is trivial. Suppose now  $\tilde{\rho}_K(C)|_{L_2} = \tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)|_{L_2}$  and  $\sigma_{m,C}$  is the element of  $\text{Gal}(L_3/K)$  uniquely determined up by  $\tilde{\rho}_K(C)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)$ . The condition  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = C$ ,  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$  can be translated into  $\left(\frac{L_3/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right) = \sigma_{m,C}$ . Note that  $L_3/K$  is an abelian extension, and a basic result of class field theory, as a generalization of classical Kronecker-Weber theory, claims that there exists an ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $K$  satisfying

$L_3 \subset K(\mathfrak{b})$ , where  $K(\mathfrak{b})$  is the fixed field of  $\rho_K(\overline{U(\mathfrak{b})})$  under the Galois correspondence. Now the condition  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = C$ ,  $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv m \pmod{M}$  can be translated into  $\left(\frac{K(\mathfrak{b})/K}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$  is the elements of  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{b})/K)$  which is precisely  $\sigma_{m,C}$  when restricting to  $L_3$ . Denote  $[L_3 : K(\mathfrak{b})]$  by  $s$  and the  $s$  elements of  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{b})/K)$  extending  $\sigma_{m,C}$  by  $\sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$ . The isomorphism presented by class field theory

$$\overline{\rho_K} : Cl(K, \mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{b})/K)$$

translates the restrictive conditions back to  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \in \{\overline{\rho_K}^{-1}(\sigma_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ . Notice that  $h_{\mathfrak{b}} = [K(\mathfrak{b}) : K] = [K(\mathfrak{b}) : L_3][L_3 : K] = s[L_3 : K]$ , the result is immediate by Theorem 1.1 and the discussion in Subsection 2.6.  $\square$

### 3 An application

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we generalize C. Elsholtz and G. Harman's work [4] on the conjectures of T. Ordowski and Z.-W. Sun [10].

For better illustration, we explain some definitions here.

Note that in this article we only consider binary quadratic forms with integer coefficients. A binary quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  is called *primitive* if the coefficients of the form are relatively prime, i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ . We say that a quadratic form can represent a prime number  $p$  if there are some integers  $a_p$  and  $b_p$  such that  $p = Q(a_p, b_p)$ . It is easy to see that a quadratic form can represent prime numbers only if the form is primitive.

The *discriminant* of a quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  is denoted by  $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac$ . A quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  is said to be *positive definite*, if  $Q(x, y) > 0$  for all nonnegative integers  $x, y$ . Obviously, a quadratic form is positive definite if and only if its discriminant is negative.

For a given primitive positive definite binary quadratic form, C. Elsholtz and G. Harman considered all representable primes in their work [4]. Now we would like to consider part of these representable primes. Let  $P_{m,M} = \{p \mid p \equiv m \pmod{M}, p \text{ is prime}\}$ , where  $m, M$  are coprime integers. For example, considering the binary quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ , a prime  $p$  can be represented by  $Q(x, y)$  and  $p = Q(a_p, b_p) = a_p^2 + b_p^2$  with  $a_p > b_p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . By the theorem proved by C. Elsholtz and G. Harman [4, Theorem 1.4], for all such primes and these pairs  $(a_p, b_p)$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \\ \pmod{4}}} a_p}{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \\ \pmod{4}}} b_p} = 1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

Now let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  be a prime. Then  $p$  can be uniquely represented with the sum of squares of two integers, that is  $p = a_p^2 + b_p^2$ , where  $a_p > b_p$ . We find that

only considering  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  does not change the limit. This still holds for  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . That is,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \\ \pmod{4}}} a_p}{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \\ \pmod{4}}} b_p} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \\ \pmod{8}}} a_p}{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \\ \pmod{8}}} b_p} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 5 \\ \pmod{8}}} a_p}{\sum_{\substack{p \leq N, p \equiv 5 \\ \pmod{8}}} b_p} = 1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

This prompts us to consider Theorem 1.3 and prove it. C. Elsholtz and G. Harman [4] concentrated on primes with modulus in polar boxes. They used Coleman's result Theorem 1.1 to asymptotically evaluate  $\sum_{p \leq N} a_p^k$  and  $\sum_{p \leq N} b_p^k$  by dissecting certain sectors into polar boxes and summing up over all the intervals, and hence they canceled common factors and proved their result.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is a straightforward generalization of the proof given by C. Elsholtz and G. Harman, and the only difficulty here is to get the asymptotic evaluation. Fortunately, it suffices to apply our main result Theorem 1.2 and use the similar method of C. Elsholtz and G. Harman for asymptotically evaluating  $\sum_{p \leq N, p \in P_{m,M}} a_p^k$  and  $\sum_{p \leq N, p \in P_{m,M}} b_p^k$ . Now, we have proved Theorem 1.3.

Moreover, we generalize the result by substitute  $a_p$  and  $b_p$  with polynomial functions  $f(a_p, b_p)$  and  $g(a_p, b_p)$ . In the following theorem, for a bivariate polynomial  $f(x, y)$  with  $\deg f(x, y) = n$ , we define

$$\tilde{f}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n f(xt, yt)}{dt^n}.$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Using the same notation as in Theorem 1.3. Suppose  $f(x, y), g(x, y)$  are two bivariate polynomials over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\deg f(x, y) = \deg g(x, y) = n$ . Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq N} f(a_p, b_p)}{\sum_{p \leq N} g(a_p, b_p)} = \frac{\int_0^\beta \tilde{f}(s(n, \theta), t(n, \theta)) d\theta}{\int_0^\beta \tilde{g}(s(n, \theta), t(n, \theta)) d\theta}.$$

**REMARK 3.2.** (1) *This theorem holds for only considering all primes  $p \in P_{m,M}$  with coprime integers  $m, M$ , if  $P_{m,M} \cap \{Q(x, y) \mid x, y \text{ are integers}\}$  is not a finite set, that is,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq N, p \in P_{m,M}} f(a_p, b_p)}{\sum_{p \leq N, p \in P_{m,M}} g(a_p, b_p)} = \frac{\int_0^\beta \tilde{f}(s(n, \theta), t(n, \theta)) d\theta}{\int_0^\beta \tilde{g}(s(n, \theta), t(n, \theta)) d\theta}.$$

(2) *This theorem also holds for homogeneous functions  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  with degree  $n$ . In this case, we take  $\tilde{f}(x, y) = f(x, y)$  and  $\tilde{g}(x, y) = g(x, y)$ .*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2 is another straightforward result of the proof above, since the asymptotic evaluation of the numerator and denominator is easy to find using Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2.



## 4 Some conjectures

Inspired by the Chebyshev's bias in [8] and “murmuration” in [6], we investigate the difference of distributions between primes congruent to 1 (mod 8) and primes congruent to 5 (mod 8) and their representations by quadratic forms. We find some fascinating phenomena which we can not explain. We list two conjectures.

### 4.1 Chebyshev's bias

Recall  $P_{m,M} = \{p \mid p \equiv m \pmod{M}, p \text{ is prime}\}$  with  $m, M$  positive integers and  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ . Using the same notations as in Theorem 1.3, for a given quadratic form  $Q(x, y)$ , take

$$F(N; M, m) = \frac{\sum_{p \leq \text{Pr}(N), p \in P_{m,M}} a_p}{\sum_{p \leq \text{Pr}(N), p \in P_{m,M}} b_p},$$

where  $Q(a_p, b_p) = p$  with  $a_p > b_p$  and  $\text{Pr}(N)$  denotes the  $N$ -th prime.

For  $Q(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ , we have proved that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}} a_p}{\sum_{p \leq N, p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}} b_p} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq N, p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}} a_p}{\sum_{p \leq N, p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}} b_p} = 1 + \sqrt{2},$$

that is,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F(N; 8, 1) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F(N; 8, 5) = 1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

To investigate the difference between  $F(N; 8, 1)$  and  $F(N; 8, 5)$ , we compute these two functions with numerical data up to  $5 \times 10^6$ , and the graph is presented in Figure 1.




Figure 1:  $F(N; 8, 1)$  and  $F(N; 8, 5)$  for  $N \in [100, 5 \times 10^6]$ :  
 $F(N; 8, 1)$  is marked blue,  $F(N; 8, 5)$  is marked red. We only mark points with  $100|N$ .

Similarly, for another quadratic form  $Q(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$ , we consider  $F(N; 12, 1)$  and  $F(N; 12, 7)$  and compute these two functions with numerical data up to  $1 \times 10^6$ . Then we have the graph in Figure 2.




Figure 2:  $F(N; 12, 1)$  and  $F(N; 12, 7)$  for  $N \in [100, 1 \times 10^6]$ :  
 $F(N; 12, 1)$  is marked blue,  $F(N; 12, 7)$  is marked red. We only mark points with  $100|N$ .

We are interested in the phenomenon of oscillation and repeated intersections of two function as is shown in the above two figures. Now we define another function, which generates a graph that preserves oscillatory behavior and intersections while exhibiting nice symmetry. This symmetry facilitates more effective observation and analysis.

For a given quadratic form  $Q(x, y)$ , take  $R(N; M, m) = \frac{F(N; M, m)}{F(N)}$ , where  $F(N) = \frac{\sum_{p \leq P_r(N)} a_p}{\sum_{p \leq P_r(N)} b_p}$ . For  $Q(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$ , we consider  $R(N; 8, 1)$  and  $R(N; 8, 5)$  and compute these two functions with numerical data up to  $5 \times 10^6$ , and the graph is presented in Figure 3.




Figure 3:  $R(N; 8, 1)$  and  $R(N; 8, 5)$  for  $N \in [100, 5 \times 10^6]$ :

$R(N; 8, 1)$  is marked blue,  $R(N; 8, 5)$  is marked red. We only mark points with  $100|N$ . This graph show very nice symmetry since  $F(N; 8, 1)$ ,  $F(N; 8, 5)$  and  $F(N)$  have the same limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

These three figures above all show that although the two series converge to the same limit, they repeatedly intersect and alternately surpass each other as  $N$  increasing. Based on this phenomenon, we propose the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE 4.1.** *The two differences  $F(N; 8, 1) - F(N; 8, 5)$  and  $F(N; 12, 1) - F(N; 12, 7)$  will change signs infinitely many times as  $N$  approaches infinity.*

*In general, for a given primitive positive definite binary quadratic form, if we divide all its representable prime numbers into two reasonable sets  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , based on certain congruence conditions, then  $F(N; P_1)$  and  $F(N; P_2)$  alternately surpass each other as  $N$  increases, with the pattern of intertwining likely to persist.*

## 4.2 Counting functions

L. Devin [3] conjectured that in logarithmic scale, more than half of the primes below  $x$  can be written as a sum of two squares with the even square larger than the odd square. We would like to study the separated cases  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , and compare these two cases.

Recall that  $P_{m,M} = \{p \mid p \equiv m \pmod{M}, p \text{ is prime}\}$  with  $m, M$  positive integers and  $\gcd(m, M) = 1$ . Then the differences of counting functions are denoted by

$$D_1(x) = |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| > |2b|, p \in P_{1,8}\}| - |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| < |2b|, p \in P_{1,8}\}|,$$

$$D_2(x) = |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| > |2b|, p \in P_{5,8}\}| - |\{p < x \mid p = a^2 + 4b^2, |a| < |2b|, p \in P_{5,8}\}|.$$

We compute these two functions with numerical data up to  $1.5 \times 10^6$ , and the graph is presented in Figure 4.




Figure 4:  $D_1(x)$  and  $D_2(x)$  for  $x \in [2, 1.5 \times 10^6]$ :  
 $D_1(x)$  is marked blue,  $D_2(x)$  is marked red.

Based on Figure 4, we propose the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE 4.2.** *There is a bias towards negative values in the distribution of the values of the function  $D_1(x)$  and  $D_2(x)$ .*

## Acknowledgments

The authors are supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11971226, 12231009, 124B1010).

## References

- [1] M. D. Coleman, The distribution of points at which binary quadratic forms are prime, *Proceedings of The London Mathematical Society* (3) 61 (1990) 433–456.
- [2] M. D. Coleman, The distribution of points at which norm-forms are prime, *Journal of Number Theory* 41 (1992) 359–378.
- [3] L. Devin, Discrepancies in the distribution of gaussian primes, arXiv:2105.02492.
- [4] C. Elsholtz and G. Harman, On conjectures of T. Ordowski and Z. W. Sun concerning primes and quadratic forms, *Analytic number theory*, 65–81. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [5] K. Kato, N. Kurokawa and T. Saito, *Number theory. 1*, volume 186 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Fermat’s dream, Translated from the 1996 Japanese original by Masato Kuwata, Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics.
- [6] K-H Lee, T. Oliver and A. Pozdnyakov, Murmurations of Dirichlet characters, arXiv:2307.00256.
- [7] J. E Littlewood, Sur la distribution des nombres premiers, *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* 158 (1914) 1869–1872.
- [8] M. Rubinstein and P. Sarnak, Chebyshev’s bias, *Experimental Mathematics* 3(3) (1994) 173–197.
- [9] J. P. Serre, Abelian  $l$ -adic representation and elliptic curves, *Advanced book classics*, 1989.
- [10] Z-W. Sun, Conjectures on representations involving primes, arXiv:1211.1588v26.