

ON A GENERALIZED CONJECTURE BY ALZER AND MATKOWSKI

WŁODZIMIERZ FECHNER, MARTA PIERZCHAŁKA, AND GABRIELA SMEJDA

ABSTRACT. We study a recent conjecture proposed by Horst Alzer and Janusz Matkowski concerning a bilinearity property of the Cauchy exponential difference for real-to-real functions. The original conjecture was affirmatively resolved by Tomasz Małolepszy. We deal with generalizations for real or complex mappings acting on a linear space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently H. Alzer and J. Matkowski [1] have studied the following functional equation:

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+y) = f(x)f(y) - \alpha xy, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a non-zero parameter and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an unknown function. They proved two theorems on equation (1.1). The first result with a short proof [1, Theorem 1] completely describes solutions of (1.1) in case f has a zero. More precisely, they showed that if f solves (1.1) and it has a zero, then $\alpha > 0$ and either $f(x) = 1 - \sqrt{\alpha}x$, or $f(x) = 1 + \sqrt{\alpha}x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The second theorem with a longer proof [1, Theorem 2] provides the solutions to equation (1.1) under the assumption that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at least at one point. In this case, there are the same two solutions (clearly, both have a zero). In [1] the authors formulated the following conjecture:

CONJECTURE (ALZER AND MATKOWSKI). Every solution $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1.1) has a zero.

This conjecture has been answered affirmatively by T. Małolepszy, see [4]. In the present note, we will determine the solutions of a more general equation, namely

$$(1.2) \quad f(x+y) = f(x)f(y) - \phi(x,y), \quad x, y \in X,$$

where X is a linear space over the field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a biadditive functional and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a function. The motivation for such a generalization comes from an article by K. Baron and Z. Kominek [2], in

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B22; Secondary 39B32.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy difference, biadditive functional.

which the authors, in connection with a problem proposed by S. Rolewicz [5], studied mappings defined on a real linear space with the additive Cauchy difference bounded from below by a bilinear functional.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, it is assumed that X is a linear space over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a biadditive functional and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. We will consider two situations, depending on the behavior of the biadditive functional ϕ on the diagonal.

Theorem 1. *Assume that ϕ and f solve (1.2) and*

$$(2.1) \quad \exists_{z_0 \in X} \phi(z_0, z_0) \neq 0.$$

Then there exists a constant $a \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) = a\phi(x, z_0) + 1, \quad x \in X$$

and moreover

$$(2.3) \quad a^2\phi(x, z_0)^2 = \phi(x, x), \quad x \in X.$$

Proof. Substituting $y = z_0$ and then $y = -z_0$ in (1.2) we obtain

$$f(x + z_0) = f(x)f(z_0) - \phi(x, z_0), \quad x \in X$$

and

$$f(x - z_0) = f(x)f(-z_0) + \phi(x, z_0), \quad x \in X.$$

Replace x by $x + z_0$ in the latter formula and join it with the former one to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x + z_0)f(-z_0) + \phi(x + z_0, z_0) \\ &= [f(x)f(z_0) - \phi(x, z_0)]f(-z_0) + \phi(x, z_0) + \phi(z_0, z_0), \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $c := f(z_0)$, $d := f(-z_0)$ and $\beta = \phi(z_0, z_0) \neq 0$. We get

$$(1 - cd)f(x) = (1 - d)\phi(x, z_0) + \beta, \quad x \in X.$$

As stated in the proof of Theorem 1 in [1, Theorem 1], $f(0) = 1$ (the argument works in our case, as well). Therefore, from (1.2) applied for $x = z_0$ and $y = -z_0$ we deduce

$$1 = f(z_0 - z_0) = f(z_0)f(-z_0) + \beta,$$

thus $1 - cd = \beta$. Since $\beta \neq 0$, then denoting $a := (1 - d)/\beta$ we get (2.2).

The case $a = 0$ is impossible, since it leads to a contradiction with (2.1).

To prove equality (2.3) apply (1.2) with substitution $y = -x$ and obtain

$$f(x)f(-x) = 1 - \phi(x, x), \quad x \in X.$$

Now, use the already proven formula (2.2) to derive (2.3) after some reductions. \square

Remark 1. From Theorem 1, we see that under assumption (2.1) and with a fixed functional ϕ there are always either no solutions or exactly two solutions f of (1.2). Indeed, if f is a solution, then it must be of the form (2.2) with some constant $a \in \mathbb{K}$. Substituting this into (1.2) leads us to the equality:

$$a^2\phi(x, z_0)\phi(y, z_0) = \phi(x, y), \quad x, y \in X,$$

which is true for two different values of $a \neq 0$. Therefore, in case there do exist solutions, functional ϕ is of the form

$$\phi(x, y) = a^2F(x) \cdot F(y), \quad x, y \in X$$

with an additive, nonzero functional $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, and the two possible functions f are given by (2.2).

We have the following corollary in the real case.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$(2.4) \quad \exists_{z_0 \in X} \phi(z_0, z_0) < 0.$$

Then equation (1.2) has no solutions.

Proof. Inequality (2.4) implies that condition (2.1) holds true. Then, from Theorem 1 we obtain formula (2.3). However, in the real case formula (2.3) implies that $\phi(z_0, z_0) > 0$, which leads to a contradiction. \square

In the complex case, every element of the field has a complex root of second order. Therefore, we can state the next corollary.

Corollary 2. *Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, ϕ and f solve (1.2), ϕ satisfies (2.1) and $w: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a map such that*

$$w^2(x) = \phi(x, x), \quad x \in X.$$

Then

$$f(x) = w(x) + 1, \quad x \in X.$$

The next theorem deals with the remaining case for ϕ and is easy to prove.

Theorem 2. *Assume that ϕ and f solve (1.2) and*

$$(2.5) \quad \forall_{z \in X} \phi(z, z) = 0.$$

Then $\phi = 0$ on $X \times X$ and

$$(2.6) \quad f(x+y) = f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Consequently, either $f = 0$ or there exists an additive functional $A: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $f = \exp \circ A$.

Proof. It suffices to apply a well-known result, which states that if a multiadditive function vanishes on a diagonal, then it vanishes everywhere, cf. [3, Corollary 15.9.1, p. 448]. The final part follows from the form of solutions of the exponential Cauchy equation cf. [3, Theorem 13.1.1, p. 343]. \square

The following corollary is immediate and offers an alternative proof of the conjecture by Alzer and Matkowski.

Corollary 3 (T. Małolepszy). *Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solves (1.1). Then $\alpha \geq 0$ and moreover, in case $\alpha > 0$ either $f(x) = 1 - \sqrt{\alpha}x$, or $f(x) = 1 + \sqrt{\alpha}x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, both mappings solve (1.1).*

Proof. Firstly, substituting $\alpha = 0$ in (1.1) we obtain the exponential Cauchy's equation, for which the solutions are known. Now assume that $\alpha \neq 0$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi(x, y) := \alpha xy$. Let $z_0 = 1$. Then $\beta = \phi(1, 1) = \alpha \neq 0$. From (2.2) we have

$$f(x) = a\phi(x, 1) + 1 = a\alpha x + 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (2.3) we obtain

$$a^2(\alpha x)^2 = \phi(x, x) = \alpha x^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We get $a^2 = 1/\alpha$, so $\alpha > 0$ and $a = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$. After substitution to the equation for f we get $f(x) = \pm \sqrt{\alpha}x + 1$. Conversely, it is easy to check that both such mappings solve (1.1). \square

Our last corollary is a complex counterpart of Corollary 3.

Corollary 4. *Assume that $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ solves*

$$(2.7) \quad f(x+y) = f(x)f(y) - \alpha xy, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C},$$

Then either $f(x) = 1 + w_1x$, or $f(x) = 1 + w_2x$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$, where w_1, w_2 are two complex roots of the second order of α . Conversely, both mappings solve (2.7).

Proof. Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, $X = \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi(x, y) := \alpha xy$. By repeating steps from the previous proof (this time without assuming $\alpha > 0$), we obtain demanded results. \square

3. EXAMPLES AND FINAL REMARKS

We observe that Theorem 1 generally works only in one direction, that is, the converse implications do not necessarily hold.

Example 1. Let X be a real inner product space of dimension at least 2 and define $\phi := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then, Theorem 1 implies that the potential solutions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (1.2) are of the form

$$f(x) = \langle x, \xi \rangle + 1, \quad x \in X,$$

with some $\xi \in X$. One can check that such mapping solves (1.2) if and only if

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle \langle y, \xi \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad x, y \in X$$

which is impossible if $\dim X \geq 2$.

It may be suspected that in higher dimensions, there are no solutions to (1.2). However, the following example demonstrates that this is not the case.

Example 2. Let X be a complex linear space and $A: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an additive nonzero functional. Define $\phi(x, y) := -A(x) \cdot A(y)$ for $x, y \in X$. Then, according to Theorem 1 every solution $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of (1.2) is of the form:

$$f(x) = \gamma A(x) + 1, \quad x \in X$$

with some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. A direct calculation shows that f is indeed a solution if and only if $\gamma = \pm i$.

We can choose A in such a way that $A(x) \neq 0$ whenever $x \neq 0$, or such that A has a bigger set of zeros. Therefore, for every complex linear space X there is an abundance of nontrivial solutions (f, ϕ) to (1.2).

This example also illustrates that the assertion of Corollary 1 does not hold in the case of complex spaces, even when the values of ϕ are real (since A may only attain real values, as it does not necessarily have to be linear).

A counterpart of the above example that works in both cases, real and complex, is also possible.

Example 3. Let X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} and $A: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ an additive nonzero functional. Define $\phi(x, y) := A(x) \cdot A(y)$ for $x, y \in X$. Then, similarly every solution $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ of (1.2) is of the form:

$$f(x) = \delta A(x) + 1, \quad x \in X$$

with some constant $\delta \in \mathbb{K}$. further, f is indeed a solution if and only if $\delta = \pm 1$.

It is clear that the point z_0 mentioned of in condition (2.1) is not unique. The above example demonstrates that many different situations are possible. Therefore, one can ask about additional properties of the set of points that satisfy (2.1). Define

$$Z_0 := \{z_0 \in X : \phi(z_0, z_0) \neq 0\}.$$

We have a few observations regarding the two notions discussed above. First, a consequence of Theorem 1, more precisely of the formula (2.3), is that the set Z_0 contains no pair of vectors $x, y \in X$ such that $\phi(x, y) = 0$. Second, as noted in Remark 1 it follows that $Z_0 = X \setminus \ker F$, where $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is an additive functional.

REFERENCES

- [1] Horst Alzer and Janusz Matkowski, *Bilinearity of the Cauchy exponential difference*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **(online first)** (2025).
- [2] Karol Baron and Zygfryd Kominek, *On functionals with the Cauchy difference bounded by a homogeneous functional*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **51** (2003), no. 3, 301–307.
- [3] Marek Kuczma, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009. Cauchy’s equation and Jensen’s inequality; Edited and with a preface by Attila Gilányi.
- [4] Tomasz Małolepszy and Janusz Matkowski, *Bilinearity of the Cauchy differences*, (manuscript).
- [5] Stefan Rolewicz, *Φ -convex functions defined on metric spaces*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **115** (2003), no. 5, 2631–2652. Optimization and related topics, 2.

WŁODZIMIERZ FECHNER, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, LODZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AL. POLITECHNIKI 8, 93-590 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
Email address: wlodzimierz.fechner@p.lodz.pl

MARTA PIERZCHAŁKA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, LODZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AL. POLITECHNIKI 8, 93-590 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
Email address: 247887@edu.p.lodz.pl

GABRIELA SMEJDA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, LODZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AL. POLITECHNIKI 8, 93-590 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
Email address: 247899@edu.p.lodz.pl