

Orbital-Selective Diffuse Magnetic Fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 : a Unified Theoretical Picture

Maria Chatzieftheriou*,¹ Alexander N. Rudenko,² Yvan Sidis,³ Silke Biermann,^{1,4,5} and Evgeny A. Stepanov^{1,4}

¹*CPHT, CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France**

²*Radboud University, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Heijendaalseweg 135, 6525AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands*

³*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

⁴*Collège de France, Université PSL, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France*

⁵*European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility, 91128 Palaiseau, France*

The quasi-two-dimensional material Sr_2RuO_4 has been the focus of extensive experimental and theoretical research, as it is a paradigmatic example of a correlated system that exhibits unconventional superconductivity and intriguing magnetic properties. The interplay between these two effects has sparked significant debates, especially on the strength of the spin excitations. We show that self-consistently incorporating spatial magnetic fluctuations into our theoretical framework significantly reduces the many-body effects in the system. Consistent with experimental observations, this reduction destabilizes the magnetic ordering in Sr_2RuO_4 , which is not found in our calculations in contrast to previous theoretical studies. This resolution of the long-standing discrepancy between theory and experiment is supported by a theoretical calculation of the spin susceptibility that closely matches the experimental results.

In strongly correlated systems, typically containing partially filled d or f electron shells, Coulomb interactions between the electrons play a dominant role in determining the materials properties. The behavior of electrons cannot be described independently from one another, as is mostly the case for conventional metals, and complex phenomena emerge, including high-temperature superconductivity, Mott insulating states, or exotic magnetic phases.

The layered ruthenate Sr_2RuO_4 has become a drosophila compound in the field of correlated systems, due to its simple fabrication in large single crystals, forming notably clean samples. These attributes facilitate accurate experimental measurements and characterisation of the material, which has thus attracted significant scientific attention. Furthermore, the quasi-two-dimensional nature of Sr_2RuO_4 has established it as a benchmark material for experimental research.

The material's Fermi surface (FS), shown in Fig. 1, consists of three Fermi sheets, originating from the three $4d$ orbitals: the quasi-one-dimensional xz and yz , and the quasi-two-dimensional xy [1–4]. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and quantum oscillations measurements reveal that Sr_2RuO_4 is a correlated metal [1, 3, 5]. Although a significant redistribution of the spectral weight and an enhancement of it around the Fermi energy are observed [6, 7], the band structure and FS remain relatively close [8, 9] to those predicted by density functional theory (DFT) [10]. From the theoretical perspective, the compound is considered to be a paradigmatic correlated Fermi liquid, with strong signatures of Hund's metal physics [11–16]. Therefore, a number of studies have been conducted using this material as a testbed for realistic many-body calculations.

An early electronic structure calculation using DFT combined with the state-of-the-art dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) [17], that accounts for only local correlation effects, has nearly reproduced the experimental spectral function [18]. Through DMFT studies, many important advancements have been made in understanding the physics of Sr_2RuO_4 . The FS has been reproduced reasonably well, along with the mass en-

hancement for each orbital [11, 14, 19]. Furthermore, recent studies [4, 14] showed that the self-energy, derived from photoemission spectra measurements at the \mathbf{k} -points of the Brillouin Zone (BZ) corresponding to the FS, is predominantly local for the xz/yz orbitals. In contrast, the xy orbital exhibits some momentum dependence, which becomes apparent at frequencies above ≈ 10 meV. These findings suggest that, overall, the compound's single-particle properties are not particularly remarkable and are adequately captured by DMFT.

It is therefore very surprising that the material's two-particle quantities are, in contrast, not well understood. Experimental measurements have shown that at low temperatures (below $T = 1.5$ K), Sr_2RuO_4 exhibits superconductivity of an unconventional nature [20–22], while recently an increase of T_c has been investigated when the system is under uniaxial strain [23–26]. At higher temperatures, significant magnetic fluctuations are found, and they are believed to be the source of the superconducting pairing mechanism in Sr_2RuO_4 , making their accurate description essential. Initially, the proximity of the compound to SrRuO_3 , which orders ferromagnetically [20, 27], suggested that the system forms a triplet superconducting state [28–30]. However, once it was discovered that the leading spin excitations correspond to an incommensurate antiferromagnetic wave vector [31–35], the scenario of a singlet state became prominent. Nevertheless, the situation remains unresolved, since conflicting experimental evidences suggest broken time-reversal symmetry [36, 37] and possibly a two-component superconducting state [22, 24, 38]. A number of theoretical works have been performed, aiming to solve the mystery of the superconducting state in Sr_2RuO_4 [39–41] but no definite conclusion has been drawn so far.

As seen in inelastic neutron scattering (INS) measurements, the leading spin excitations in Sr_2RuO_4 correspond to the incommensurate wave vector $Q = (3\pi/5, 3\pi/5, 0)$ [31–35], which is directly linked to nesting vectors (Fig. 1 d) that connect different parts of the FS within the same xz and yz orbitals. These strong magnetic fluctuations imply the proximity to a spin density wave (SDW) instability. However, dif-




FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of Sr_2RuO_4 and the three Wannier orbitals (xy in (b) and $xz + yz$ in (c)) on the $x - y$ plane. (d) Sketch of the non-interacting Fermi surface (FS) of Sr_2RuO_4 in the $(k_x, k_y, 0)$ plane, consisting of three sheets originating from the one-dimensional xz and yz orbitals and from the two-dimensional xy one. The nesting vectors are shown with dashed lines. (e) D-TRILEX and (f) DMFT calculations of the FS performed at $T = 145$ K. In DMFT, the Fermi surface sheets appear broad in momentum, while in D-TRILEX, they are significantly sharper, suggesting reduced electronic correlations.

ferent experimental studies confirm the absence of magnetic ordering in the parent compound. Nevertheless, the presence of even small concentrations of impurities have been found to induce a magnetic transition [42–44].

The behavior of two-particle quantities in Sr_2RuO_4 , particularly spin excitations, has sparked debates among theorists. Within DFT calculations, the FS nesting arising from the compound’s crystal structure leads to an ordered SDW state with the incommensurate ordering vectors Q [45]. DMFT calculations of the spin susceptibility, incorporating local vertex corrections, have also reproduced the peaks at the incommensurate wave vectors [46–48]. However, DMFT also predicts a transition to a SDW ordered state at a finite temperature $T \simeq 123$ K, in contrast to experimental findings [48]. Within DMFT, the transition appears to be suppressed only by introducing a relatively large spin-orbit coupling [48]. This result is somewhat inconclusive, as it is based on calculations conducted at relatively high temperatures. Therefore, the DMFT approximation seems to significantly overestimate the strength of magnetic fluctuations, which, according to experiments, should be less pronounced.

The key contribution of DMFT to calculating spin susceptibility is its ability to reveal the suppression of the magnetic signal at the edges of the BZ, particularly at the $X = (\pi, \pi, 0)$ point, in agreement with experiments. Within DMFT, spin excitations, aside from the SDW Q peaks, are found to be quasi-local, or nearly constant in momentum space. However, this result does not fully align with experimental findings, where the magnetic response, in addition to the SDW peaks, exhibits a relatively broad dome centered at the $\Gamma = (0, 0, 0)$ point [34, 35], rather than a quasi-local background signal. The final missing component in these DMFT calculations, which could potentially reconcile the discrepancies between theory and experiment, is the self-consistent incorporation of spatial magnetic fluctuations, that are exceptionally strong in Sr_2RuO_4 , into the theory. This effect has not been addressed yet due to the lack of an appropriate method.

In this Letter, we resolve this long-standing problem by applying a recently developed advanced many-body approach

that enables a self-consistent treatment of non-local collective electronic fluctuations beyond DMFT, within a realistic multi-orbital framework. We demonstrate that a self-consistent treatment of spatial spin excitations suppresses their strength and reduces the electronic correlations in Sr_2RuO_4 , ultimately eliminating the magnetic ordering predicted by DMFT. Moreover, our results accurately reproduce the form of the spin susceptibility as deduced from experimental INS measurements.

We study an effective three-band model (see the Supplemental Material (SM) [49]) corresponding to maximally localized $\{xz, yz, xy\}$ orbitals derived from DFT [50]. We account for the on-site electronic interaction that is parametrized in the Kanamori form [51]. The value of the intra-orbital Coulomb repulsion, $U = 2.56$ eV, is chosen based on the constrained random-phase approximation (cRPA) analysis conducted in [52]. A Hund’s exchange coupling J , crucial for Sr_2RuO_4 , is selected by evaluating results across different values of J . Specifically, we estimate the mass enhancement and spin susceptibility obtained for each J , as detailed in the SM [49]. We find that the correct mass enhancement is achieved for $J \simeq 0.35 - 0.40$ eV, and the accurate spin susceptibility for $J \simeq 0.30 - 0.35$ eV, leading to an optimal choice of $J = 0.35$ eV. We do not include spin-orbit coupling (SOC) in our calculations because it is prohibitively expensive computationally. This approximation is supported by experimental evidences, suggesting that SOC effects become less significant at higher temperatures, due to thermal effects. This is seen by studying the corresponding anisotropy of the spin susceptibility, related to SOC, which diminishes within the temperature range to which we are restricted [33, 53].

In order to obtain a reliable description of the feedback of electronic correlations on the spectral and magnetic properties of the compound, a self-consistent method is required. We employ the dual triply irreducible local expansion (D-TRILEX) approach [54–56], which is a diagrammatic extension of DMFT [57, 58]. The decisive advantage of this method over other DMFT extensions is its computational efficiency, which enables calculations for multi-orbital systems [59–63], such as Sr_2RuO_4 . In the D-TRILEX




FIG. 2. The real part of the static spin susceptibility $X^s(\mathbf{q})$ along the high symmetry path X- Γ -X (dashed line in the inset) of the first BZ. The result is calculated using D-TRILEX (blue, $T = 145$ K) and is obtained by fitting the experimental INS data [34] (magenta, $T = 150$ K). The result of the DMFT calculation, multiplied by 0.5, is also shown for comparison (green, $T = 193$ K). The inset shows the D-TRILEX susceptibility in the $(q_x, q_y, 0)$ plane. The momentum-space structure of the magnetic susceptibility exhibits peaks at the incommensurate wave vector $Q = (3\pi/5, 3\pi/5, 0)$, the dome-like background signal centered at Γ and minima around the M and X points.

framework, local electronic correlations are treated non-perturbatively through an exactly solved DMFT impurity problem [17]. The latter further serves as a reference system for the diagrammatic expansion that self-consistently incorporates non-local electronic correlations, including magnetic fluctuations. This approach allows the mutual influence of collective electronic fluctuations on single-particle quantities and *vice versa* [64–67], yielding reliable results for both single- and two-particle observables in a broad regime of system’s parameters [55, 56, 68].

We perform the D-TRILEX calculations at a relatively low temperature $T = 145$ K, where experimental data are available for comparison. The inset in Fig. 2 shows the real part of the static magnetic ($\omega = 0$) susceptibility $X^s(\mathbf{q})$ obtained for the first BZ using D-TRILEX. The main part of Fig. 2 displays a cut of the susceptibility along the X- Γ -X diagonal of the BZ (dashed line in the inset). The results are calculated numerically using D-TRILEX (blue) and DMFT (green), and are compared to the INS result (magenta) [34]. In the INS study, performed at $T = 150$ K, the real part of the static spin susceptibility is deduced from the fit of the low energy part of the experimentally measured spin excitation spectrum, with a set of single relaxors as described in Ref. [34]. At this temperature the magnetic fluctuations are already very strong. In fact, for the considered model DMFT predicts a SDW ordered state already at $T \approx 145$ K [69]. For this reason, the DMFT result in Fig. 2 is shown for a bit higher temperature $T = 193$ K. The spin susceptibility of DMFT, calculated in the vicinity of the SDW transition, features large peaks at the incommensurate Q vectors, in agreement with previous works [48]. For easier




FIG. 3. Orbital resolved static spin susceptibility $X_{lr}^s(\mathbf{q})$ calculated along the X- Γ -X path in the first BZ using D-TRILEX (blue, $T = 145$ K) and DMFT (green, $T = 193$ K). All, intra-(top row) and inter-orbital (bottom row) susceptibilities exhibit SDW peaks at the incommensurate Q vectors. The large response observed in D-TRILEX around the Γ point, and also measured experimentally, is found to be related to the intra-orbital magnetic fluctuations within the xy orbital. This dome-like signal is completely absent in the DMFT result, where all orbital components the spin susceptibility exhibit a momentum-independent background signal.

comparison, the DMFT result in Fig. 2 is multiplied by 0.5. We find, that a self-consistent inclusion of the magnetic fluctuations beyond DMFT, using D-TRILEX, leads to a strong suppression of the SDW Q peaks in a good agreement with the INS result, and no ordering is observed.

The second important outcome of our results is related to the overall behavior of the spin susceptibility across the BZ. As discussed above, according to experimental measurements the magnetic signal can be decomposed into the sum of the SDW Q peaks and a broad dome structure centered around the Γ point, while DMFT calculations instead find a quasi-constant background signal besides the Q peaks. The D-TRILEX calculations reveal a significantly diminished spin susceptibility at the edges of the BZ, with a “cross”-like structure in momentum space of higher intensity appearing at the center of the zone, visible in the inset of Fig. 2. The overall structure of the susceptibility agrees very well with the experimental results [34]. While early-unpolarized INS studies reported features of the spin excitation spectrum around Γ [32], the most recent polarized INS data [34, 35] unambiguously confirmed the existence of such fluctuations, but cannot resolve the actual structure around Γ . Nevertheless, strong spin excitations around Γ are found in metamagnetic $\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{RuO}_4$ [70–72], where the isostructural Ca/Sr substitution is, however, accompanied by a rotation and deformation of the RuO_6 octahedra [73, 74].

In Fig. 3 we show the orbitally resolved static spin susceptibility $X_{lr}^s(\mathbf{q})$, where $l^{(j)} \in \{xz, yz, xy\}$. The results are calculated using D-TRILEX (blue) and DMFT (green) and plotted




FIG. 4. Momentum-resolved electronic spectral function along the high-symmetry path Γ -M-X- Γ of the BZ, calculated with D-TRILEX (a) and DMFT (b) at $T = 145$ K. The white lines correspond to the bare DFT band-structure. Within DMFT a large band renormalization is observed, as well as large broadening of the bands. Within the D-TRILEX framework, however, the bands come closer to the DFT result and the overall spectral function is much sharper compared to the DMFT picture.

along the X- Γ -X path. Although the incommensurate SDW Q peaks are present in all orbital components due to mixing of different orbital contributions to the susceptibility, the value of $X^s(\mathbf{q} = Q)$ is the largest for the intra-orbital xz - xz and yz - yz components (a). This is expected, since the SDW Q peaks originate from the nesting of the FS of these 1D-like orbitals. Interestingly, the background dome-shaped magnetic signal, found experimentally and reproduced by D-TRILEX, appears to originate from the magnetic fluctuations within the 2D-like xy orbital (b). Instead, the DMFT results do not exhibit any significant signal around the Γ point, and for all the components a quasi-local response is obtained besides the Q peaks. This result may have significant implications for the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter, because the magnetic fluctuations within the xy orbital are believed to be a key ingredient for the electron pairing [39, 41]. In particular, the presence of spin excitations at more than one wave-vector allows for a complex (possibly two-component) order parameter of the superconducting state [75, 76]. Therefore, the spin singlet state [40, 41, 77–79] promoted by the incommensurate fluctuations at the Q wave vector might compete with a spin triplet state [39, 40, 80, 81] related to the momenta around the center of the BZ.

The breakdown of the SDW ordering predicted by DMFT, upon self-consistent inclusion of magnetic fluctuations in D-TRILEX, can be understood as follows. In a metal, strong spin fluctuations induce a large electronic self-energy, which in turn diminishes the electronic Green's function. This renders the system less correlated, thereby decreasing the electronic polarizability, which renormalizes the spin excitations and thus suppresses them. The reduction of the many-body effects by magnetic fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 is clearly reflected in its single-particle properties. In Fig. 1 (e, f) we plot the imaginary part of the electronic Green's function $-\sum_l \text{Im} G_{ll}(\mathbf{k}, \nu_0)$ at the zeroth Matsubara frequency ν_0 , which approximates the FS. The result is calculated using

D-TRILEX (e) and DMFT (f) at $T = 145$ K. Both methods reveal three FS sheets originating from the 1D xz/yz and the 2D xy orbitals. However, one immediately finds that DMFT predicts a large broadening of the FS, while D-TRILEX shows instead very sharp FS sheets. In Fig. 4 we plot the momentum-resolved spectral function along the high-symmetry path Γ -M-X- Γ in the BZ. One immediately notes that DMFT (b) significantly renormalizes the non-interacting DFT band structure (white lines), particularly the bandwidth, and pins the van Hove singularity to the Fermi level. The latter is a well known effect of strong electronic correlations seen in various systems [63, 82–86]. The renormalization predicted by DMFT broadens the bands and increases the density of states around the Fermi energy, strongly enhancing the leading nesting-driven spin excitations and ultimately resulting in a phase transition to a magnetically ordered state. Essentially, DMFT appears to overestimate the degree of correlation of the system. Instead, the self-consistent inclusion of spatial magnetic fluctuations within D-TRILEX reduces the electronic correlations, resulting in sharper, less renormalized bands (a) that are rather close to the DFT picture. In particular, we find that the van Hove singularity is no longer pinned to the Fermi level but appears above the Fermi energy, as in DFT, indicating that electronic correlations within the D-TRILEX framework are weaker than those in DMFT.

The similarity between the D-TRILEX and DFT band structures also explains the emergence of the dome-shaped Γ -point structure in the spin susceptibility of D-TRILEX, as a similar signal has been observed in RPA calculations for the DFT band structure under specific conditions [39]. The proximity of the spectral function of Sr_2RuO_4 to the DFT one is also reflected in the orbital polarization. The latter is found to be decreased in DMFT, yielding the values $n_{xz/yz} = 0.67$, $n_{xy} = 0.66$, compared to the DFT values $n_{xz/yz} = 0.69$, $n_{xy} = 0.62$. This significant polarization between the 1D and 2D orbitals is also observed in D-TRILEX ($n_{xz/yz} = 0.70$, $n_{xy} = 0.60$), and

it is another signature of reduced electronic correlations.

By analyzing the electron self-energy we find that is has a surprisingly small momentum-dependence along the FS, as discussed in the SM [49]. Along the FS the xz/yz orbitals appear to have a practically constant self-energy, while the xy orbital exhibits a finite but small momentum-modulation. This result is in a qualitative agreement with the conclusion of a recent ARPES experiment [4]. It is remarkable that the self-energy in Sr_2RuO_4 is not local, as it exhibits a noticeable momentum dependence perpendicularly to the FS.

In conclusion, we have studied the effect of magnetic fluctuations on the electronic correlations and the spin susceptibility of Sr_2RuO_4 . These excitations are found to be significantly strong in this material, such that DMFT calculations predict an ordered SDW state that is not observed experimentally. The systematic incorporation of non-local correlations resolves this discrepancy between theory and experiments. We demonstrate, that the self-consistent inclusion of magnetic fluctuations suppresses their strength by reducing the many-body effects in the system, and through that no magnetic ordering is realized in Sr_2RuO_4 . The overall behavior of the spin susceptibility in momentum space, deduced from the INS measurements, is well reproduced by our calculations. We obtain finite peaks at the incommensurate SDW Q vectors, a broad dome-shaped structure centered around the Γ point and a diminished magnetic response at the edges of the BZ. We speculate that the predicted momentum-space structure of the spin susceptibility favors the realization of a superconducting state with a mixed-component order parameter, in line with the most recent experimental evidence.

The authors thank Antoine Georges, Alexander Lichtenstein, Hugo Strand and Alaska Subedi for the fruitful discussions. E.A.S. and M.C. also acknowledge the help of the CPHT computer support team.

* Present address: chatzieleftheriou@itp.uni-frankfurt.de

- [1] A. P. Mackenzie, S. R. Julian, A. J. Diver, G. J. McMullan, M. P. Ray, G. G. Lonzarich, Y. Maeno, S. Nishizaki, and T. Fujita, “Quantum Oscillations in the Layered Perovskite Superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3786–3789 (1996).
- [2] C. Bergemann, S. R. Julian, A. P. Mackenzie, S. Nishizaki, and Y. Maeno, “Detailed Topography of the Fermi Surface of Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2662–2665 (2000).
- [3] A. Damascelli, D. H. Lu, K. M. Shen, N. P. Armitage, F. Ronning, D. L. Feng, C. Kim, Z.-X. Shen, T. Kimura, Y. Tokura, Z. Q. Mao, and Y. Maeno, “Fermi Surface, Surface States, and Surface Reconstruction in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5194–5197 (2000).
- [4] A. Tamai, M. Zingl, E. Rozbicki, E. Cappelli, S. Riccò, A. de la Torre, S. McKeown Walker, F. Y. Bruno, P. D. C. King, W. Meevasana, M. Shi, M. Radović, N. C. Plumb, A. S. Gibbs, A. P. Mackenzie, C. Berthod, H. U. R. Strand, M. Kim, A. Georges, and F. Baumberger, “High-Resolution Photoemission on Sr_2RuO_4 Reveals Correlation-Enhanced Effective Spin-Orbit Coupling and Dominantly Local Self-Energies,” *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 021048 (2019).
- [5] N. J. C. Ingle, K. M. Shen, F. Baumberger, W. Meevasana, D. H. Lu, Z.-X. Shen, A. Damascelli, S. Nakatsuji, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, “Quantitative analysis of Sr_2RuO_4 angle-resolved photoemission spectra: Many-body interactions in a model Fermi liquid,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 205114 (2005).
- [6] D. H. Lu, M. Schmidt, T. R. Cummins, S. Schuppler, F. Lichtenberg, and J. G. Bednorz, “Fermi Surface and Extended van Hove Singularity in the Noncuprate Superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4845–4848 (1996).
- [7] T. Yokoya, A. Chainani, T. Takahashi, H. Katayama-Yoshida, M. Kasai, and Y. Tokura, “Extended Van Hove Singularity in a Noncuprate Layered Superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3009–3012 (1996).
- [8] I. I. Mazin and David J. Singh, “Ferromagnetic Spin Fluctuation Induced Superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 733–736 (1997).
- [9] E. Pavarini and I. I. Mazin, “First-principles study of spin-orbit effects and NMR in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 035115 (2006).
- [10] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, “Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects,” *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A1133–A1138 (1965).
- [11] Jernej Mravlje, Markus Aichhorn, Takashi Miyake, Kristjan Haule, Gabriel Kotliar, and Antoine Georges, “Coherence-Incoherence Crossover and the Mass-Renormalization Puzzles in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 096401 (2011).
- [12] Antoine Georges, Luca de’ Medici, and Jernej Mravlje, “Strong Correlations from Hund’s Coupling,” *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **4**, 137–178 (2013).
- [13] Fabian B. Kugler, Manuel Zingl, Hugo U. R. Strand, Seung-Sup B. Lee, Jan von Delft, and Antoine Georges, “Strongly Correlated Materials from a Numerical Renormalization Group Perspective: How the Fermi-Liquid State of Sr_2RuO_4 Emerges,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 016401 (2020).
- [14] A. Hunter, S. Beck, E. Cappelli, F. Margot, M. Straub, Y. Alexanian, G. Gatti, M. D. Watson, T. K. Kim, C. Cacho, N. C. Plumb, M. Shi, M. Radović, D. A. Sokolov, A. P. Mackenzie, M. Zingl, J. Mravlje, A. Georges, F. Baumberger, and A. Tamai, “Fate of Quasiparticles at High Temperature in the Correlated Metal Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 236502 (2023).
- [15] Blesio, Germán and Beck, Sophie and Gingras, Olivier and Georges, Antoine and Mravlje, Jernej, “Signatures of Hund metal and finite-frequency nesting in Sr_2RuO_4 revealed by electronic Raman scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **6**, 023124 (2024).
- [16] Suzuki, H and Wang, L and Bertinshaw, J and Strand, Hugo UR and Käser, S and Krautloher, M and Yang, Z and Wentzell, N and Parcollet, O and Jerzembeck, F and others, “Distinct spin and orbital dynamics in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Nature Communications* **14**, 7042 (2023).
- [17] Antoine Georges, Gabriel Kotliar, Werner Krauth, and Marcelo J. Rozenberg, “Dynamical mean-field theory of strongly correlated fermion systems and the limit of infinite dimensions,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 13–125 (1996).
- [18] A. Liebsch and A. Lichtenstein, “Photoemission Quasiparticle Spectra of Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1591–1594 (2000).
- [19] Guoren Zhang, Evgeny Gorelov, Esmaeel Sarvestani, and Eva Pavarini, “Fermi Surface of Sr_2RuO_4 : Spin-Orbit and Anisotropic Coulomb Interaction Effects,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 106402 (2016).
- [20] Y. Maeno, H. Hashimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberg, “Superconductivity in a lay-

- ered perovskite without copper,” *Nature* **372**, 532–534 (1994).
- [21] Andrew Peter Mackenzie and Yoshiteru Maeno, “The superconductivity of Sr_2RuO_4 and the physics of spin-triplet pairing,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 657–712 (2003).
- [22] Yoshiteru Maeno, Shunichiro Kittaka, Takuji Nomura, Shingo Yonezawa, and Kenji Ishida, “Evaluation of Spin-Triplet Superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **81**, 011009 (2012).
- [23] H. M. L. Noad and K. Ishida and Y.-S. Li and E. Gati and V. Stangier and N. Kikugawa and D. A. Sokolov and M. Nicklas and B. Kim and I. I. Mazin and M. Garst and J. Schmalian and A. P. Mackenzie and C. W. Hicks, “Giant lattice softening at a Lifshitz transition in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Science* **382**, 447–450 (2023).
- [24] Yoshiteru Maeno, Shingo Yonezawa, and Aline Ramires, “Still Mystery after All These Years—Unconventional Superconductivity of Sr_2RuO_4 —,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **93**, 062001 (2024).
- [25] Clifford W. Hicks and Daniel O. Brodsky and Edward A. Yelland and Alexandra S. Gibbs and Jan A. N. Bruin and Mark E. Barber and Stephen D. Edkins and Keigo Nishimura and Shingo Yonezawa and Yoshiteru Maeno and Andrew P. Mackenzie, “Strong Increase of T_c of Sr_2RuO_4 Under Both Tensile and Compressive Strain,” *Science* **344**, 283–285 (2014).
- [26] You-Sheng Li and Naoki Kikugawa and Dmitry A. Sokolov and Fabian Jerzembeck and Alexandra S. Gibbs and Yoshiteru Maeno and Clifford W. Hicks and Jörg Schmalian and Michael Nicklas and Andrew P. Mackenzie, “High-sensitivity heat-capacity measurements on Sr_2RuO_4 under uniaxial pressure,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **118**, e2020492118 (2021).
- [27] Akio Kanbayasi, “Magnetic properties of SrRuO_3 Single Crystal,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **41**, 1876–1878 (1976).
- [28] J. A. Duffy, S. M. Hayden, Y. Maeno, Z. Mao, J. Kulda, and G. J. McIntyre, “Polarized-Neutron Scattering Study of the Cooper-Pair Moment in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5412–5415 (2000).
- [29] K. Deguchi, Z. Q. Mao, H. Yaguchi, and Y. Maeno, “Gap Structure of the Spin-Triplet Superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 Determined from the Field-Orientation Dependence of the Specific Heat,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 047002 (2004).
- [30] K. D. Nelson, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, and Y. Liu, “Odd-Parity Superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Science* **306**, 1151–1154 (2004).
- [31] Y. Sidis, M. Braden, P. Bourges, B. Hennion, S. NishiZaki, Y. Maeno, and Y. Mori, “Evidence for Incommensurate Spin Fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3320–3323 (1999).
- [32] Braden, M. and Sidis, Y. and Bourges, P. and Pfeuty, P. and Kulda, J. and Mao, Z. and Maeno, Y., “Inelastic neutron scattering study of magnetic excitations in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 064522 (2002).
- [33] M. Braden, P. Steffens, Y. Sidis, J. Kulda, P. Bourges, S. Hayden, N. Kikugawa, and Y. Maeno, “Anisotropy of the Incommensurate Fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 : A Study with Polarized Neutrons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 097402 (2004).
- [34] P. Steffens, Y. Sidis, J. Kulda, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, I. I. Mazin, and M. Braden, “Spin Fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 from Polarized Neutron Scattering: Implications for Superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 047004 (2019).
- [35] K. Jenni, S. Kunkemöller, P. Steffens, Y. Sidis, R. Bewley, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, and M. Braden, “Neutron scattering studies on spin fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 104511 (2021).
- [36] Jing Xia, Yoshiteru Maeno, Peter T. Beyersdorf, M. M. Fejer, and Aharon Kapitulnik, “High Resolution Polar Kerr Effect Measurements of Sr_2RuO_4 : Evidence for Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry in the Superconducting State,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 167002 (2006).
- [37] G. Ml. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. M. Kojima, M. I. Larkin, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, Y. Maeno, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori, H. Nakamura, and M. Sigrist, “Time-reversal symmetry-breaking superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Nature* **394**, 558–561 (1998).
- [38] Steven Allan Kivelson, Andrew Chang Yuan, Brad Ramshaw, and Ronny Thomale, “A proposal for reconciling diverse experiments on the superconducting state in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *npj Quantum Materials* **5**, 43 (2020).
- [39] A. T. Rømer, D. D. Scherer, I. M. Eremin, P. J. Hirschfeld, and B. M. Andersen, “Knight Shift and Leading Superconducting Instability from Spin Fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 247001 (2019).
- [40] Astrid T. Rømer, T. A. Maier, Andreas Kreisel, P. J. Hirschfeld, and Brian M. Andersen, “Leading superconducting instabilities in three-dimensional models for Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 033011 (2022).
- [41] Jonas B. Profe, Sophie Beck, Dante M. Kennes, Antoine Georges, and Olivier Gingras, “Competition between d-wave superconductivity and magnetism in uniaxially strained Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *npj Quantum Mater.* **9**, 53 (2024).
- [42] M. Minakata and Y. Maeno, “Magnetic ordering in Sr_2RuO_4 induced by nonmagnetic impurities,” *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 180504 (2001).
- [43] M. Braden, O. Friedt, Y. Sidis, P. Bourges, M. Minakata, and Y. Maeno, “Incommensurate Magnetic Ordering in $\text{Sr}_2\text{Ru}_{1-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 197002 (2002).
- [44] Kunkemöller, S. and Nugroho, A. A. and Sidis, Y. and Braden, M., “Spin-density-wave ordering in $\text{Ca}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{1.5}\text{RuO}_4$ studied by neutron scattering,” *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 045119 (2014).
- [45] I. I. Mazin and D. J. Singh, “Competitions in layered ruthenates: Ferromagnetism versus antiferromagnetism and triplet versus singlet pairing,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4324–4327 (1999).
- [46] Lewin Boehnke, Philipp Werner, and Frank Lechermann, “Multi-orbital nature of the spin fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *EPL* **122**, 57001 (2018).
- [47] Swagata Acharya, Dimitar Pashov, Cédric Weber, Hyowon Park, Lorenzo Sponza, and Mark Van Schilfgaarde, “Evening out the spin and charge parity to increase T_c in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Commun. Phys.* **2**, 163 (2019).
- [48] Hugo U. R. Strand, Manuel Zingl, Nils Wentzell, Olivier Parcollet, and Antoine Georges, “Magnetic response of Sr_2RuO_4 : Quasi-local spin fluctuations due to Hund’s coupling,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125120 (2019).
- [49] See Supplemental Material for an analysis of the effect of Hund’s exchange coupling and of temperature on the system.
- [50] The DFT calculations were performed within the projected augmented wave formalism [87, 88] as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [89, 90]. The exchange-correlation effects were considered within the generalized-gradient approximation functional in the PBE parametrization [91]. We used the standard pseudopotentials that include 10, 16, and 6 valence electrons for Sr, Ru, and O, respectively. An energy cut-off of 400 eV for the plane-waves and a convergence threshold of 10^{-7} eV were used in the calculations. The Wannier functions and the effective tight-binding Hamiltonian were constructed within the scheme of maximal localization [92, 93] using the wannier90 package [94].
- [51] Junjiro Kanamori, “Electron Correlation and Ferromagnetism of Transition Metals,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **30**, 275–289 (1963).
- [52] Loïc Vaugier, Hong Jiang, and Silke Biermann, “Hubbard U

- and Hund exchange J in transition metal oxides: Screening versus localization trends from constrained random phase approximation,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 165105 (2012).
- [53] I. Eremin, D. Manske, and K. H. Bennemann, “Electronic theory for the normal-state spin dynamics in Sr_2RuO_4 : Anisotropy due to spin-orbit coupling,” *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 220502 (2002).
- [54] E. A. Stepanov, V. Harkov, and A. I. Lichtenstein, “Consistent partial bosonization of the extended Hubbard model,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 205115 (2019).
- [55] V. Harkov, M. Vandelli, S. Brener, A. I. Lichtenstein, and E. A. Stepanov, “Impact of partially bosonized collective fluctuations on electronic degrees of freedom,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 245123 (2021).
- [56] Matteo Vandelli, Josef Kaufmann, Mohammed El-Nabulsi, Viktor Harkov, Alexander I. Lichtenstein, and Evgeny A. Stepanov, “Multi-band D-TRILEX approach to materials with strong electronic correlations,” *SciPost Phys.* **13**, 036 (2022).
- [57] G. Rohringer, H. Hafermann, A. Toschi, A. A. Katanin, A. E. Antipov, M. I. Katsnelson, A. I. Lichtenstein, A. N. Rubtsov, and K. Held, “Diagrammatic routes to nonlocal correlations beyond dynamical mean field theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 025003 (2018).
- [58] Ya. S. Lyakhova, G. V. Astretsov, and A. N. Rubtsov, “The mean-field concept and post-DMFT methods in the contemporary theory of correlated systems,” *Phys. Usp.* **66**, 775–793 (2023).
- [59] Evgeny A. Stepanov, Yusuke Nomura, Alexander I. Lichtenstein, and Silke Biermann, “Orbital Isotropy of Magnetic Fluctuations in Correlated Electron Materials Induced by Hund’s Exchange Coupling,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 207205 (2021).
- [60] M. Vandelli, J. Kaufmann, V. Harkov, A. I. Lichtenstein, K. Held, and E. A. Stepanov, “Extended regime of metastable metallic and insulating phases in a two-orbital electronic system,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, L022016 (2023).
- [61] Evgeny A. Stepanov, “Eliminating Orbital Selectivity from the Metal-Insulator Transition by Strong Magnetic Fluctuations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 096404 (2022).
- [62] Evgeny A. Stepanov and Silke Biermann, “Can Orbital-Selective Néel Transitions Survive Strong Nonlocal Electronic Correlations?” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 226501 (2024).
- [63] Evgeny A. Stepanov, Matteo Vandelli, Alexander I. Lichtenstein, and Frank Lechermann, “Charge Density Wave Ordering in NdNiO_2 : Effects of Multiorbital Nonlocal Correlations,” *npj Comput. Mater.* **10**, 108 (2024).
- [64] E. A. Stepanov, V. Harkov, M. Rösner, A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. N. Rudenko, “Coexisting charge density wave and ferromagnetic instabilities in monolayer InSe ,” *npj Comput. Mater.* **8**, 118 (2022).
- [65] M. Vandelli, A. Galler, A. Rubio, A. I. Lichtenstein, S. Biermann, and E. A. Stepanov, “Doping-dependent charge- and spin-density wave orderings in a monolayer of Pb adatoms on $\text{Si}(111)$,” *npj Quantum Mater.* **9**, 19 (2024).
- [66] Maria Chatzieftheriou, Silke Biermann, and Evgeny A. Stepanov, “Local and Nonlocal Electronic Correlations at the Metal-Insulator Transition in the Two-Dimensional Hubbard Model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 236504 (2024).
- [67] Evgeny A. Stepanov, Maria Chatzieftheriou, Niklas Wagner, and Giorgio Sangiovanni, “Interconnected renormalization of Hubbard bands and Green’s function zeros in Mott insulators induced by strong magnetic fluctuations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, L161106 (2024).
- [68] Matteo Vandelli, *Quantum embedding methods in dual space for strongly interacting electronic systems*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Hamburg Hamburg (2022).
- [69] To be precise, our DMFT susceptibility is obtained through a single-shot D-TRILEX calculation, which, in practice, gives a very similar result to a direct evaluation of the local vertex corrections, as done in Ref. [48]. In that work the transition temperature is found to be lower at $T \simeq 123\text{K}$, but this is related to the different interaction parameters used in the current study.
- [70] Friedt, O. and Steffens, P. and Braden, M. and Sidis, Y. and Nakatsuji, S. and Maeno, Y., “Strongly Enhanced Magnetic Fluctuations in a Large-Mass Layered Ruthenate,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 147404 (2004).
- [71] Steffens, P. and Sidis, Y. and Link, P. and Schmalzl, K. and Nakatsuji, S. and Maeno, Y. and Braden, M., “Field-Induced Paramagnons at the Metamagnetic Transition of $\text{Ca}_{1.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{RuO}_4$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 217402 (2007).
- [72] Steffens, P. and Friedt, O. and Sidis, Y. and Link, P. and Kulda, J. and Schmalzl, K. and Nakatsuji, S. and Braden, M., “Magnetic excitations in the metallic single-layer ruthenates $\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{RuO}_4$ studied by inelastic neutron scattering,” *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 054429 (2011).
- [73] Friedt, O. and Braden, M. and André, G. and Adelman, P. and Nakatsuji, S. and Maeno, Y., “Structural and magnetic aspects of the metal-insulator transition in $\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{RuO}_4$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 174432 (2001).
- [74] Kriener, M. and Steffens, P. and Baier, J. and Schumann, O. and Zabel, T. and Lorenz, T. and Friedt, O. and Müller, R. and Gukasov, A. and Radaelli, P. G. and Reutler, P. and Revcolevschi, A. and Nakatsuji, S. and Maeno, Y. and Braden, M., “Structural Aspects of Metamagnetism in $\text{Ca}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{RuO}_4$: Evidence for Field Tuning of Orbital Occupation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 267403 (2005).
- [75] Sayak Ghosh, Arkady Shekhter, F. Jerzembeck, N. Kikugawa, Dmitry A. Sokolov, Manuel Brando, A.P. Mackenzie, Clifford W. Hicks, and B. J. Ramshaw, “Thermodynamic evidence for a two-component superconducting order parameter in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 199–204 (2021).
- [76] Siham Benhabib, C. Lupien, I. Paul, L. Berges, M. Dion, Marc Nardone, Abdelaziz Zitouni, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, A. Georges, L. Taillefer, and C. Proust, “Ultrasound evidence for a two-component superconducting order parameter in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 194–198 (2021).
- [77] Chang-Youn Moon, “Effects of orbital selective dynamical correlation on the spin susceptibility and superconducting symmetries in Sr_2RuO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, L022058 (2023).
- [78] S.-O. Kaba and D. Sénéchal, “Group-theoretical classification of superconducting states of strontium ruthenate,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 214507 (2019).
- [79] Aline Ramires and Manfred Sigrist, “Superconducting order parameter of Sr_2RuO_4 : A microscopic perspective,” *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 104501 (2019).
- [80] Li-Da Zhang, Wen Huang, Fan Yang, and Hong Yao, “Superconducting pairing in Sr_2RuO_4 from weak to intermediate coupling,” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 060510 (2018).
- [81] O. Gingras, R. Nourafkan, A.-M. S. Tremblay, and M. Côté, “Superconducting Symmetries of Sr_2RuO_4 from First-Principles Electronic Structure,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 217005 (2019).
- [82] A. I. Lichtenstein, O. Gunnarsson, O. K. Andersen, and R. M. Martin, “Quasiparticle bands and superconductivity in bilayer cuprates,” *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 12505–12508 (1996).
- [83] V. Yu. Irkhin, A. A. Katanin, and M. I. Katsnelson, “Robustness of the Van Hove Scenario for High- T_c Superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 076401 (2002).
- [84] Satoru Odashima, Adolfo Avella, and Ferdinando Mancini, “High-order correlation effects in the two-dimensional Hubbard

- model,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 205121 (2005).
- [85] K.-S. Chen, S. Pathak, S.-X. Yang, S.-Q. Su, D. Galanakis, K. Mielson, M. Jarrell, and J. Moreno, “Role of the van Hove singularity in the quantum criticality of the Hubbard model,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 245107 (2011).
- [86] Sebastian Schmitt, “Non-Fermi-liquid signatures in the Hubbard model due to van Hove singularities,” *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 155126 (2010).
- [87] P. E. Blöchl, “Projector augmented-wave method,” *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953–17979 (1994).
- [88] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, “From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 1758–1775 (1999).
- [89] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, “Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set,” *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15–50 (1996).
- [90] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, “Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set,” *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
- [91] John P. Perdew, Kieron Burke, and Matthias Ernzerhof, “Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
- [92] Nicola Marzari and David Vanderbilt, “Maximally localized generalized Wannier functions for composite energy bands,” *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 12847–12865 (1997).
- [93] Nicola Marzari, Arash A. Mostofi, Jonathan R. Yates, Ivo Souza, and David Vanderbilt, “Maximally localized Wannier functions: Theory and applications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1419–1475 (2012).
- [94] Arash A. Mostofi, Jonathan R. Yates, Young-Su Lee, Ivo Souza, David Vanderbilt, and Nicola Marzari, “wannier90: A tool for obtaining maximally-localised Wannier functions,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178**, 685–699 (2008).

Supplemental Material

Orbital-Selective Diffuse Magnetic Fluctuations in Sr_2RuO_4 : a Unified Theoretical Picture

Maria Chatzieftheriou,¹ Alexander N. Rudenko,² Yvan Sidis,³ Silke Biermann,^{1,4,5} and Evgeny A. Stepanov^{1,4}

¹*CPHT, CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France*

²*Radboud University, Institute for Molecules and Materials, Heijendaalseweg 135, 6525AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands*

³*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

⁴*Collège de France, Université PSL, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France*

⁵*European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility, 91128 Palaiseau, France*

MODEL

In this work we study the Hubbard-Kanamori Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & \sum_{i,j,m,m',\sigma} t_{ij}^{mm'} \hat{c}_{im\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{jm'\sigma} + U \sum_{i,m} \hat{n}_{im\uparrow} \hat{n}_{im\downarrow} + \\ & + U' \sum_{i,m \neq m'} \hat{n}_{im\uparrow} \hat{n}_{im\downarrow} + (U' - J) \sum_{i,m < m', \sigma} \hat{n}_{im\sigma} \hat{n}_{im'\sigma} + \\ & + J \sum_{i,m \neq m'} (\hat{c}_{im\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{im\downarrow} \hat{c}_{im'\downarrow} \hat{c}_{im'\uparrow} - \hat{c}_{im\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{im\downarrow} \hat{c}_{im'\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{im'\uparrow}), \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

that models the electronic behavior in Sr_2RuO_4 . The first term corresponds to the kinetic energy, where $\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{(\dagger)}$ are annihilation (creation) operators, with lattice site index i , orbital index m and spin polarization σ , and $t_{ij}^{mm'}$ is the hopping amplitude. All other terms correspond to the interaction energy; U the intra- and $U' = U - 2J$ the inter-orbital local (on-site) Coulomb repulsion and J the Hund's exchange coupling, favoring high-spin states. $\hat{n}_{im\sigma} = \hat{c}_{im\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{im\sigma}$ is the density operator for spin σ and orbital character m on site i . The model cannot, in general, be solved exactly and approximate methods are typically used. We employ the DMFT and D-TRILEX many-body techniques, discussed in the main text.

EFFECT OF HUND'S COUPLING

In this section we investigate the effect of Hund's exchange coupling J on properties of Sr_2RuO_4 . The results for the magnetic susceptibility shown in the left panel of Fig. 1 reveal that an increased value of J leads to an overall enhancement of the spin susceptibility at all k -points. However, it becomes immediately clear that the $X^s(q)$ value at the center of the Brillouin Zone (at the $\Gamma = (0, 0, 0)$ point) is significantly more affected by J compared to the one at the edges of the zone (at the $X = (\pi, \pi, 0)$ point). This result confirms the DMFT conclusions and it is not surprising as the main mechanism of Hund's rule is to favor the high-spin states in the system, therefore to increase the ferromagnetic fluctuations. Nevertheless, what is interesting about our analysis is that we find the dependence of the susceptibility on J to be more restricted compared to the DMFT findings. As already shown in previous studies [1], in DMFT a value of J within the range suggested by cRPA analysis leads to an increased signal at the AFM X ordering vector,

compared to Γ , and only upon strong increase of the value of J , the suppression of this unphysical signal is obtained. To the contrary, the inclusion of non-local electronic correlations in the description appears to limit the effect of Hund's coupling and the change of the spin susceptibility as a function of J is only quantitative and mainly manifested in the increase of the response at the Γ point.

As discussed in the main text, the Coulomb interaction value $U = 2.56$ eV has been chosen following the cRPA study of [2]. In that work, the Hund's coupling had been estimated to be $J = 0.26$ eV, however in our analysis we chose a slightly larger value $J = 0.35$ eV. Different theoretical studies have revealed that in order to capture accurately the orbital-dependent mass enhancement of the system an increased value of J is necessary [1, 3].

We find that within our method indeed a realistic m^*/m is obtained for $J \sim 0.35 - 0.40$ eV, while for the spin susceptibility the best agreement with experiments is seen for $J \sim 0.30 - 0.35$ eV. In Table I we summarize the dependence of the mass enhancement on the value of Hund's coupling J - for the xz/yz and xy orbitals - and the dependence of the spin susceptibility at the center (Γ) and edge (X) of the BZ, as well as on the SDW ordering vector. The mass enhancement is calculated as the slope of $Im\Sigma$ at zero frequency. In the tem-

J	m^*/m (xz/yz)	m^*/m (xy)	X_Γ^s	X_X^s	X_{SDW}^s
0.26	1.75	2.38	42	16	53
0.35	2.44	3.13	56	22	100
0.40	3.03	3.85	68	23	108

TABLE I. Dependence of different quantities on the value of the Hund's coupling J (first column), in units of [eV]; calculations performed at $T = 145K$. The mass enhancements per orbital (second and third columns) consistently increase with J and the one corresponding to the 2D xy orbital (third column) is always larger. The majority of experimental findings suggest values for the mass enhancement that are between those found in this work for $J = 0.35$ eV and $J = 0.40$ eV. The spin susceptibility at the $\Gamma = (0, 0, 0)$, $X = (\pi, \pi, 0)$ and $SDW = (3\pi/5, 3\pi/5, 0)$ points are shown in columns four, five and six respectively, in units of $[\mu_B^2/\text{eV}]$. They suggest that a small J gives an overall small spin signal, while by increasing J we retrieve the values that best agree with experiments (between $J = 0.30$ eV and $J = 0.35$ eV). The main effect of J at this larger J regime is to increase the ferromagnetic response, i.e., the susceptibility at the Γ point.

perature regime of our study, the necessary extrapolation from the lowest Matsubara frequency leads to a relatively large error bar. However, we find that m^*/m is consistently larger for the 2D xy orbital, in agreement with quantum oscillations and ARPES experiments [4–6] and the values obtained for J between 0.35 eV and 0.4 eV are at a regime close to the experimental expectations [5, 7]. The momentum-resolved spin susceptibility results, as discussed earlier, reveal that the main effect of Hund’s coupling is the control over the ferromagnetic behavior, reflected on the Γ point, while they also show that a small J value results in an overall suppressed magnetic susceptibility.

However, we are at a temperature regime where the calculation of m^*/m cannot be expected to be extremely accurate, and at the same time we know that $X^s(q)$ is not directly measured experimentally, it is rather estimated indirectly, rendering a quantitative comparison with our calculations not adequate. Therefore, we choose the value $J = 0.35$ eV which gives the best compromise between mass enhancement and spin susceptibility and an overall good qualitative agreement with the experiments.

EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE

In this section we discuss the effect of temperature on the magnetic susceptibility of Sr_2RuO_4 . The right panel of Fig. 1 demonstrates that, as expected, by increasing the temperature there is an overall decrease of the magnetic susceptibility, as the spin fluctuations become weaker. In particular, the SDW peaks appear significantly diminished, as is the value of $X^s(q)$ at the center of the BZ (at Γ), while for the edges of the zone (at X) the already smaller value, is not particularly affected. In Fig.2 of the main text, the inverse of X^s at the SDW vector is plotted as a function of temperature and from a linear extrapolation of the data, one observes that no magnetically ordered state is found at finite temperatures. Therefore, our calculations accounting for non-local electronic correlations, resolve the strongly enhanced magnetic fluctuations found within DMFT, which predict a finite temperature magnetic transition.

MOMENTUM DEPENDENCE OF SELF-ENERGY

The importance of non-local electronic correlations can be more directly seen through the analysis of the system’s self-energy $\Sigma(i\omega)$. To this end we plot in Fig.2, the real and imaginary part of the self-energy on the whole BZ at the first Matsubara frequency $i\omega_0$, so essentially on the Fermi energy. Panels (a) and (b) correspond to the $\text{Re}\Sigma$ and $\text{Im}\Sigma$ of the summed xz, yz 1D orbitals respectively and panels (c) and (d) to $\text{Re}\Sigma$ and $\text{Im}\Sigma$ of the xy 2D orbital. One immediately observes that there is a much more pronounced spatial dependence of $\text{Im}\Sigma$ across the FS, which is illustrated with the black dots, for the wide xy orbital compared to the narrow xz/yz ones. In partic-




FIG. 1. Total spin susceptibility across the high symmetry path $X - \Gamma - X$ of the first Brillouin Zone ($X = (\pi, \pi)$, $\Gamma = (0, 0)$), for **Left panel**: different values of the Hund’s exchange coupling J . An increased J leads to an overall shift of X^s to larger values. Comparing the center (Γ) and corner (X) of the BZ, the increase of X^s at the center is much more pronounced, as a direct result of the ferromagnetic fluctuations promoted by J . **Right panel**: X^s for different values of the temperature. Decreasing the temperature leads to an overall larger magnetic susceptibility, with the effect being more pronounced at the incommensurate SDW vector and at the center of the BZ (at the Γ point).

ular, we find that for xy there is a quasi-constant self-energy across the arcs located around the N point, while around the AN point $\text{Im}\Sigma(i\omega_0)$ is evidently diminished. The qualitative behavior is quite similar for the xz/yz orbitals, however the range of values is much more restricted rendering the effect almost unimportant. Concerning the $\text{Re}\Sigma$ the situation is reversed, with the xy orbital being almost k -independent and the xz/yz ones exhibiting a finite momentum-dependence along the FS. In conclusion, we find that the conjecture of a quasi-local self-energy is not completely accurate, however its finite momentum-dependence is not particularly strong along the Fermi surface.




FIG. 2. **Two left panels:** Real (a) and imaginary (b) part of the self-energy at the first Matsubara frequency - very close to the Fermi energy - for the sum of the 1D xz and yz orbitals and similarly in (c) and (d) for the 2D xy orbital. The FS sheets corresponding to each orbital are plotted with black dots. For the $xz + yz$ case, $\text{Im}\Sigma$ appears to be quasi-local on the FS, with slightly larger values around the nodal points and suppressed ones at the corners of the BZ - around the X point. For the xy orbital's $\text{Im}\Sigma$, however, a more extended momentum-dependence is observed along the FS, revealing that the quasi-local picture of the material's self-energy is of limited validity. The $\text{Re}\Sigma$ reveals that for the xy orbital along the FS there is no momentum-dependence, for the $xz + yz$ case on the other hand there is a finite differentiation with k . This last finding justifies the suppression of the local spectral function of the xz/yz orbitals, leading to the suppressed magnetic fluctuations.

-
- [1] Hugo U. R. Strand, Manuel Zingl, Nils Wentzell, Olivier Parcollet, and Antoine Georges, "Magnetic response of Sr_2RuO_4 : Quasi-local spin fluctuations due to Hund's coupling," *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125120 (2019).
- [2] Loïc Vaugier, Hong Jiang, and Silke Biermann, "Hubbard U and Hund exchange J in transition metal oxides: Screening versus localization trends from constrained random phase approximation," *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 165105 (2012).
- [3] Jernej Mravlje, Markus Aichhorn, Takashi Miyake, Kristjan Haule, Gabriel Kotliar, and Antoine Georges, "Coherence-Incoherence Crossover and the Mass-Renormalization Puzzles in Sr_2RuO_4 ," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 096401 (2011).
- [4] A. P. Mackenzie, S. R. Julian, A. J. Diver, G. J. McMullan, M. P. Ray, G. G. Lonzarich, Y. Maeno, S. Nishizaki, and T. Fujita,

"Quantum Oscillations in the Layered Perovskite Superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3786–3789 (1996).

- [5] C. Bergemann, A. P. Mackenzie, S. R. Julian, D. Forsythe, and E. Ohmichi, "Quasi-two-dimensional Fermi liquid properties of the unconventional superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ," *Advances in Physics* **52**, 639–725 (2003).
- [6] A. Tamai, M. Zingl, E. Rozbicki, E. Cappelli, S. Riccò, A. de la Torre, S. McKeown Walker, F. Y. Bruno, P. D. C. King, W. Meevasana, M. Shi, M. Radović, N. C. Plumb, A. S. Gibbs, A. P. Mackenzie, C. Berthod, H. U. R. Strand, M. Kim, A. Georges, and F. Baumberger, "High-Resolution Photoemission on Sr_2RuO_4 Reveals Correlation-Enhanced Effective Spin-Orbit Coupling and Dominantly Local Self-Energies," *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 021048 (2019).
- [7] Andrew Peter Mackenzie and Yoshiteru Maeno, "The superconductivity of Sr_2RuO_4 and the physics of spin-triplet pairing," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 657–712 (2003).