

MINIMAL RANK OF PRIMITIVELY n -UNIVERSAL INTEGRAL QUADRATIC FORMS OVER LOCAL RINGS

BYEONG-KWEON OH AND JONGHEUN YOON

ABSTRACT. Let F be a local field and let R be its ring of integers. For a positive integer n , an integral quadratic form defined over R is called primitively n -universal if it primitively represents all quadratic forms of rank n . It was proved in [7] that the minimal rank of primitively 1-universal quadratic forms over the p -adic integer ring \mathbb{Z}_p is 2 if p is odd, and 3 otherwise. In this article, we completely determine the minimal rank of primitively n -universal quadratic forms over R for any positive integer n and any local ring R such that 2 is a unit or a prime.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1770, Lagrange proved that the quadratic form $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$ represents all positive integers, that is, the diophantine equation

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = n$$

has an integer solution x, y, z , and w for any positive integer n . Any (positive definite and integral) quadratic form having this property is called *universal*, as introduced by Dickson and Ross. The concept of universality has been generalized in many directions, including the n -universality and the primitive n -universality over various rings. Among those, the primitive n -universality over local rings is of particular interest in this article, whose precise definition will be given in what follows.

Let F be a local field at place \mathfrak{p} and let R be the ring of integers in F . We generally assume that the characteristic of F is not two. A quadratic form of rank n defined over F is a quadratic homogeneous polynomial

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n f_{ij}x_i x_j \quad (f_{ij} = f_{ji} \in F),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11E08, 11E20.

Key words and phrases. primitively n -universal integral quadratic forms.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT)(RS-2024-00342122) and (NRF-2020R1A5A1016126).

where the corresponding symmetric matrix $M_f = (f_{ij})$, which is called the Gram matrix of f , is nondegenerate. We say that f is classically integral if the Gram matrix M_f of f is an integral matrix, that is, $f_{ij} = f_{ji} \in R$ for any i, j . Whereas, f is called non-classically integral if f is an integral polynomial, that is, f_{ii} and $2f_{ij}$ are in R for any i, j .

For two (integral) quadratic forms f and g of rank n and m , respectively, we say that f is represented by g over R if there is an integral matrix $T \in M_{n,m}(R)$ such that

$$M_f = T^t M_g T.$$

We say that f is isometric to g if the above integral matrix T is invertible. We say that f is primitively represented by g if the above integral matrix T can be extended to an invertible matrix in $GL_m(R)$ by adding suitable $(m - n)$ columns. In particular, an integer $k \in R$ is primitively represented by g if and only if there are integers $x_1, \dots, x_m \in R$ such that

$$k = g(x_1, \dots, x_m) \text{ and at least one of } x_i \text{ is a unit.}$$

For a positive integer n , an integral quadratic form is called (*primitively*) *n-universal* if it (primitively, respectively) represents all integral quadratic forms of rank n . A complete classification of *n-universal* quadratic forms is recently published in [8], [9], and [10]. Finding primitively 1-universal quadratic forms was first considered, as far as the authors know, by Budarina in [1]. She classified all primitively 1-universal quaternary quadratic forms when $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for any odd prime p , and also obtained some partial classification when $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Recently, Earnest and Gunawardana provided a complete classification of all primitively 1-universal quadratic forms over \mathbb{Z}_p for any prime p , including results for both classically integral and non-classically integral forms when $p = 2$. Budarina also classified in [2] primitively 2-universal quadratic forms having squarefree odd discriminant.

The subsequent discussion will be conducted in a more suitable language of quadratic spaces and lattices. A quadratic F -space, simply a space, is a finite dimensional vector space V over F equipped with a symmetric bilinear form

$$B : V \times V \rightarrow F,$$

and the corresponding quadratic form $Q : V \rightarrow F$ is defined by $Q(v) = B(v, v)$ for any $v \in V$. A quadratic R -lattice, simply a lattice, is a finitely generated R -submodule of a quadratic F -space. The scale $\mathfrak{s}L$ of a quadratic R -lattice L is the R -submodule $B(L, L)$ of F , and the norm $\mathfrak{n}L$ of L is the R -submodule of F generated by $Q(L) = \{Q(v) \mid v \in L\}$. An R -lattice L is called integral if $\mathfrak{s}L \subseteq R$. Throughout this article, we always assume that any lattice is integral, unless stated otherwise. An (integral) R -lattice L is called even if $\mathfrak{n}L \subseteq 2R$.

Given a basis e_1, \dots, e_n for a space V , the corresponding symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $M_V = (B(e_i, e_j))$ is called the Gram matrix of V , and in this case, we write

$$V \cong (B(e_i, e_j)) \quad \text{in } e_1, \dots, e_n.$$

The discriminant dV of a space V is an element of the quotient monoid $F/(F^\times)^2$ defined by $dV = (\det M_V)(F^\times)^2$. We call a space V nondegenerate if M_V is nondegenerate. If a space V has the zero Gram matrix, then V is called totally isotropic. For a nondegenerate space V , all maximal totally isotropic subspace of V has the same dimension. Such a dimension is denoted by $\text{ind } V$, called the Witt index of V . One may easily show that if $\text{ind } V \geq r$, then V is orthogonally split by a $2r$ -dimensional hyperbolic space.

Given a basis e_1, \dots, e_n for a lattice L , the corresponding symmetric $n \times n$ matrix $M_L = (B(e_i, e_j))$ is called the Gram matrix of L , and in this case, we write

$$L \cong (B(e_i, e_j)) \quad \text{in } e_1, \dots, e_n.$$

The discriminant dL of a lattice L is an element of the quotient monoid $F/(R^\times)^2$ defined by $dL = (\det M_L)(R^\times)^2$. We call a lattice L nondegenerate if M_L is nondegenerate. Throughout this article, we always assume that a lattice is nondegenerate, unless stated otherwise. For a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix N over R , we let $\langle N \rangle$ (or simply N) stand for any R -lattice whose Gram matrix is N . Moreover, for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R$, the notation

$$\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$$

stands for the R -lattice having a diagonal Gram matrix $\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Let $\Delta = 1 - 4\rho$ be a fixed nonsquare unit in R such that $\rho \in R^\times$. The symbols \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{A} stand for binary R -lattices whose Gram matrices are

$$\mathbb{H} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\rho \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{respectively.}$$

In fact, \mathbb{H} is the isotropic even unimodular lattice, and \mathbb{A} is the anisotropic even unimodular lattice. Scalings of \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{A} by an integer $\alpha \in R$ are denoted by $\alpha\mathbb{H} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\alpha\mathbb{A} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & 2\rho\alpha \end{pmatrix}$. For a positive integer n , \mathbb{H}^n denotes the lattice $\mathbb{H} \perp \dots \perp \mathbb{H}$ with rank $2n$. We also use the same symbol \mathbb{H} which stands for the hyperbolic plane $F\mathbb{H}$, if no confusion arises.

For two R -lattices L and M , a representation from L to M is a linear map $\sigma : L \rightarrow M$ such that

$$B(\sigma(v), \sigma(v')) = B(v, v')$$

for any $v, v' \in L$. In addition, if $\sigma(L)$ is a direct summand of M , then such a representation is called *primitive*. If there exists a (primitive) representation $\sigma : L \rightarrow M$, then we say that L is (primitively, respectively) represented by M . If such a representation σ is bijective then we say that L is isometric

to M , denoted by $\sigma : L \cong M$. An R -lattice M is called (*primitively*) n -*universal* if M (primitively, respectively) represents all R -lattices of rank n . We denote by $u_R(n)$ the minimal rank of n -universal R -lattices, and denote by $u_R^*(n)$ the minimal rank of primitively n -universal (PnU, for short) R -lattices. For $u_R(n)$, the followings are well-known (see, for instance, [8–10]): If R is non-dyadic, then

$$u_R(n) = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq 2, \\ n + 3 & \text{if } n \geq 3; \end{cases}$$

If R is unramified dyadic, then

$$u_R(n) = \begin{cases} 2n + 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq 2, \\ n + 3 & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The aim of this article is to determine $u_R^*(n)$ completely for any integer n and any local ring R such that 2 is a unit or a prime.

Let I be an ideal in R . For any $\alpha, \beta \in R$, the congruence $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{I}$ takes on its usual meaning, namely $\alpha - \beta \in I$. For any $\gamma \in R$, we write

$$\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\gamma}$$

instead of $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\gamma R}$. Moreover, for any subset S, T of R , the congruence $S \equiv T \pmod{I}$ means that $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{I}$ for any $\alpha \in S, \beta \in T$. For instance, we have $(\mathbb{Z}_2^\times)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

Any unexplained notation and terminology can be found in [11] or [14].

2. WITT INDICES OF SPACES ADMITTING A PnU LATTICE

In this section, we prove some necessary condition for a quadratic space having a primitively n -universal R -lattice. More precisely, we prove that if M is a primitively n -universal R -lattice, then the space FM represents a $2n$ -dimensional hyperbolic space. In particular, we have $u_R^*(n) \geq 2n$ for any positive integer n . In fact, the result follows from an easy application of a multivariable version of Hensel's lemma given below. Let K be a complete field with respect to an absolute value $|\cdot|$ satisfying the strong triangle inequality, and let $\mathfrak{o} := \{x \in K : |x| \leq 1\}$.

Let $n \geq 1$ and define a norm of $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in K^n$ by $\|\mathbf{c}\| := \max_i |c_i|$. Denote the derivative matrix and Jacobian of $\mathbf{f} = (f_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{X})) \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]^n$ by

$$(D\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial X_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{X}) = \det((D\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{X})).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathfrak{o}[\mathbf{X}]^n$ and let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{o}^n$ satisfy $\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})\| < |J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a})|^2$. Then there is a unique $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{o}^n$ such that $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{a}\| < |J_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{a})|$.*

Proof. See Theorem 3.3 of [4]. \square

Theorem 2.2. For $m \geq n \geq 1$, let $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}) = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathfrak{o}[X_1, \dots, X_m]^n$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathfrak{o}^m$ satisfy $\|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})\| < |J_{\mathbf{f},n}(\mathbf{a})|^2$, where $J_{\mathbf{f},n}(\mathbf{a}) = \det \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial X_j} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$. Then there is an $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{o}^n$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_m) = \mathbf{0}$$

and $|\alpha_i - a_i| < |J_{\mathbf{f},n}(\mathbf{a})|$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. See Theorem 3.8 of [4]. \square

Lemma 2.3. For $m \geq n \geq 1$, let $G = (g_{ij})$ and H be symmetric matrices over \mathfrak{o} of size n and m , respectively, and let $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n) = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ be a matrix over \mathfrak{o} such that $G = A^t H A$. Suppose that H is nondegenerate and A is primitive. Then, for any $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathfrak{o}^n$ satisfying

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |g_{in} - \gamma_i| < 4 |\det H|^2,$$

there is an $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{o}^m$ such that $A_1 = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is also primitive, and $(g'_{ij}) = A_1^t F A_1$ satisfies

$$g'_{ij} = \begin{cases} \gamma_j & \text{if } i = n, \\ \gamma_i & \text{if } j = n, \\ g_{ij} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We regard $g_{1n} - \gamma_1, \dots, g_{n-1,n} - \gamma_{n-1}$, and $g_{nn} - \gamma_n$ as n polynomials in m variables a_{1n}, \dots, a_{mn} . Note that $g_{in} - \gamma_i = g_{ni} - \gamma_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. To apply Theorem 2.2, it suffices to show that the derivative matrix has an $n \times n$ subdeterminant whose absolute value is greater than or equal to $2 |\det H|$.

First, note that the derivative matrix is $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2) A^t H$. Hence, it suffices to show that $A^t H$ has an $n \times n$ subdeterminant whose absolute value is greater than or equal to $|\det H|$. By the primitivity of A , we may complete A^t to an element of $GL_m(\mathfrak{o})$, namely

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} A^t \\ C \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the theory of modules over a principal ideal domain, there is a $V \in GL_m(\mathfrak{o})$ such that $UHV = T = (t_{ij})_{m \times m}$ is lower triangular. Clearly, $A^t H V = (t_{ij})_{n \times m}$ has a submatrix (the leftmost one) whose determinant is $d = \prod_{i=1}^n t_{ii}$. Then,

$$|d| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^n t_{ii} \right| \geq \left| \prod_{i=1}^m t_{ii} \right| = |\det UHV| = |\det H|.$$

Now, observe that d is a linear combination of $n \times n$ subdeterminants of $A^t H$. Hence, there exists at least one with absolute value greater than or equal to $|\det H|$. This proves the lemma. \square

From now until the end of this section, we do not assume that a lattice is nondegenerate.

Corollary 2.4. *Let M be a nondegenerate R -lattice. Let N and N' be R -lattices of the same rank n with Gram matrices G, G' , respectively, such that $G - G' \in M_n(4(dM)^2 \mathfrak{p})$. Then N is primitively represented by M if and only if N' is primitively represented by M .*

Corollary 2.5. *Let M be a nondegenerate R -lattice. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{ind } FM \geq n$;
- (2) some lattice N of rank n with $\mathfrak{s}N \subseteq 4(dM)^2 \mathfrak{p}$ is primitively represented by M ;
- (3) all lattices N of rank n with $\mathfrak{s}N \subseteq 4(dM)^2 \mathfrak{p}$ are primitively represented by M .

Theorem 2.6. *If M is a primitively n -universal nondegenerate R -lattice, then $\text{ind } FM \geq n$. In particular, $u_R^*(n) \geq 2n$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the corollary given above. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be a primitively n -universal R -lattice of rank $m \geq 2n$. We have the followings:*

- (a) *If $m = 2n$, then FM is hyperbolic.*
- (b) *If $m = 2n + 1$, then $FM \cong \mathbb{H}^n \perp \langle (-1)^n dM \rangle$.*
- (c) *If $m = 2n + 2$ and $dM = (-1)^{n+1}$, then FM is hyperbolic.*

As a direct consequence of the above corollary, any primitively n -universal R -lattice of rank $2n$ is an n -universal R -lattice on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . Furthermore, any primitively n -universal R -lattice M of rank $2n + 1$ is an n -universal R -lattice on the space $\mathbb{H}^n \perp \langle (-1)^n dM \rangle$.

Remark 2.8. *Suppose that an R -lattice M has a Jordan splitting $M = M_1 \perp \cdots \perp M_r$, where $R \supseteq \mathfrak{s}M_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{s}M_r$. It can be shown that if M primitively represents an R -lattice N of rank n with $\mathfrak{s}N \subsetneq \mathfrak{s}M_r$, then $\text{rank } M \geq 2n$ (for the proof, see [3, Lemma 5.5]).*

3. MINIMAL RANK OF PnU LATTICES OVER NONDYADIC LOCAL RINGS

In this section, we prove that $u_R^*(n) = 2n$ for any nondyadic local ring R and any positive integer n . Furthermore, it is shown that, up to isometry,

there exist exactly one primitively 2-universal lattice of rank 4 and exactly two primitively 3-universal lattices of rank 6 over any nondyadic local ring.

Recall that $\mathbb{H} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the even unimodular R -lattice on the hyperbolic plane. Let x, y be a basis for \mathbb{H} such that $\mathbb{H} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in this basis. Then, $Q(\alpha x + \beta y) = 2\alpha\beta$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in R$. Now, the proof of the following lemma is quite straightforward.

Lemma 3.1. (a) *The R -lattice \mathbb{H} primitively represents all even integers. In particular, if R is nondyadic, then \mathbb{H} is primitively 1-universal.*
 (b) *If an R -lattice J primitively represents a lattice K of rank k , then $\mathbb{H} \perp J$ primitively represents all lattices of rank $k + 1$ of the form $\langle \alpha \rangle \perp K$ for any $\alpha \in 2R$. In particular, if R is nondyadic, then \mathbb{H}^n is primitively n -universal.*

The above lemma shows that, for any nondyadic local ring R , \mathbb{H}^n is a primitively n -universal R -lattice of rank $2n$. By combining it with Corollary 2.7, we conclude that the minimal rank of primitively n -universal quadratic R -lattices is exactly $2n$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a nondyadic local ring and let $\pi \in R$ be a prime.*
 (a) *Any primitively 2-universal R -lattice of rank 4 is isometric to \mathbb{H}^2 .*
 (b) *Any primitively 3-universal R -lattice of rank 6 is isometric to either \mathbb{H}^3 or $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \pi\mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. (a) According to [10, Proposition 3.3] and Corollary 2.7, any 2-universal quaternary lattice on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2 is isometric to $I_4 \cong \mathbb{H}^2$. (b) According to [10, Proposition 3.4] and Corollary 2.7, any 3-universal senary lattice on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 is isometric to either \mathbb{H}^3 or $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \pi\mathbb{H}$. It can be easily shown that $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \pi\mathbb{H}$ is also primitively 3-universal. \square

4. MINIMAL RANK OF PnU NON-CLASSICALLY INTEGRAL LATTICES OVER UNRAMIFIED DYADIC LOCAL RINGS

Hereafter, we assume that R is unramified dyadic. Recall that an R -lattice L is called non-classically integral if $\mathfrak{n}L \subseteq R$. A non-classically integral lattice is called primitively n -universal if it primitively represents all non-classically integral lattices of rank n . In this section, we prove that the minimal rank of primitively n -universal non-classically integral lattices is $2n$. Furthermore, it can be shown that, up to isometry, there exists exactly one primitively 2-universal non-classically integral lattice of rank 4.

Clearly, the study of primitively n -universal non-classically integral lattices is equivalent to the study of even lattices that primitively represent all even lattices of rank n . The following is a supplement of Lemma 3.1 for even lattices over unramified dyadic local ring R .

Lemma 4.1. (a) *If an R -lattice J is isotropic, then $\mathbb{H} \perp J$ primitively represents all binary lattices of the form $2^a\mathbb{H}$ for any nonnegative integer a .*
 (b) *If an R -lattice J primitively represents $2^{a+1}\epsilon$ for a nonnegative integer a and a unit $\epsilon \in R$, then $\mathbb{H} \perp J$ primitively represents all binary lattices of the form $2^a\mathbb{H}$ and $2^a\mathbb{A}$. In particular, \mathbb{H}^2 primitively represents all binary lattices of the form $2^a\mathbb{H}$ and $2^a\mathbb{A}$ for any nonnegative integer a . Hence, \mathbb{H}^n primitively represents all even lattices of rank n .*

Proof. We let x, y be a basis for \mathbb{H} such that $Q(\alpha x + \beta y) = 2\alpha\beta$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in R$. (a) Since J is isotropic, there is a primitive vector $z \in J$ with $Q(z) = 0$. Then, we have $R[x, 2^a y + z] \cong 2^a\mathbb{H}$. (b) Let $z \in J$ be a primitive vector with $Q(z) = 2^{a+1}\epsilon$. Then, we have

$$R[\epsilon^{-1}x, -x + 2^a\epsilon y + z] \cong 2^a\mathbb{H} \quad \text{and} \quad R[x + 2^a\epsilon y, x + z] \cong 2^a\epsilon\mathbb{A}.$$

Note that $2^a\epsilon\mathbb{A} \cong 2^a\mathbb{A}$ by [14, 93:11]. These facts combined with Lemma 3.1 imply the lemma. \square

The above lemma shows that \mathbb{H}^n is an R -lattice of rank $2n$ that primitively represents all even lattices of rank n . By combining it with Corollary 2.7, we conclude that the minimal rank of even R -lattices that primitively represents all even R -lattices is exactly $2n$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R be an unramified dyadic local ring. Any even R -lattice of rank 4 that primitively represents all even R -lattices of rank 2 is isometric to \mathbb{H}^2 .*

Proof. According to [9, Theorem 1.2] and Corollary 2.7, any lattice on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^2 that represents all even lattices of rank 2 is isometric to \mathbb{H}^2 . \square

5. MINIMAL RANK OF P_nU CLASSICALLY INTEGRAL LATTICES OVER UNRAMIFIED DYADIC LOCAL RINGS $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_2$

In this section, we continue to assume that the local ring R is unramified dyadic. And we prove that

$$\text{If } R \neq \mathbb{Z}_2, \text{ then } u_R^*(2) = 5 \text{ and } u_R^*(n) = 2n \text{ for } n \geq 3.$$

The following are supplements of Lemma 3.1 for general lattices over R . We mean by $Q^*(L)$ the set of all $Q(v)$'s, where v runs over all primitive vectors in L .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $L \cong \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ be a binary R -lattice. Then, we have*

$$Q(L) = R^\times \cup 4R, \quad Q^*(L) = \begin{cases} Q(L) & \text{if } R \neq \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ R^\times \cup 8R & \text{if } R = \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Define $g(x, y) = x(x + 2y)$. Since $\langle 1, -1 \rangle \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(L) &= \{g(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in R^2\}, \\ Q^*(L) &= \{g(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in R^2 \text{ is primitive}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If x is a unit in R , then so is $g(x, y)$. If x is even, then $g(x, y)$ is divisible by 4. Hence, $Q(L) \subseteq R^\times \cup 4R$. Let ϵ be any unit. Then, there exists a unit η such that $\eta^2 = \epsilon - 2\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in R$, which implies that $g(\eta, \eta^{-1}\alpha) = \epsilon$. For any nonnegative integer a , note that $g(2^{a+2}, \epsilon - 2^{a+1}) = 2^{a+3}\epsilon$. Suppose that $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$. In this case, it remains to show that $Q^*(L) \cap 4R^\times = \emptyset$. Assume that $g(x, y) \in 4R^\times$. Since x must be even, we have $y \in R^\times$. Furthermore, since $y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, this implies that $g(x, y)$ is divisible by 8, which is absurd. Now, suppose that $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_2$. In this case, it remains to show that $4R^\times \subseteq Q^*(L)$. There exists a unit η such that $\eta^2 \not\equiv \epsilon \pmod{2}$. Then, $g(2\eta, \eta^{-1}\epsilon - \eta) = 4\epsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.2. (a) For any unit $\epsilon \in R$, $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle$ is isometric to $\langle 1, -1, \epsilon \rangle$. Hence, $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle$ primitively represents all binary R -lattices of the form $\langle \alpha, \epsilon \rangle$ for any $\alpha \in R$. In particular, $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle$ is primitively 1-universal.

(b) If an R -lattice J primitively represents an R -lattice K of rank k as well as a unit in R , then $\mathbb{H}^n \perp J$ primitively represents all R -lattices of rank $n+k$ of the form $\ell \perp K$ for any R -lattice ℓ of rank n . In particular, $\mathbb{H}^n \perp J$ is primitively n -universal.

Proof. (a) The isometry $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle \cong \langle 1, -1, \epsilon \rangle$ follows from [14, 93:16]. Now, apply Lemma 5.1. (b) If J primitively represents $\epsilon \in R^\times$, then $J \cong \langle \epsilon \rangle \perp J'$ for some R -lattice J' . Now, apply (a) inductively. \square

By Lemma 5.2, $\mathbb{H}^n \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle$ is primitively n -universal for any unit ϵ in R . By combining it with Corollary 2.7, we conclude that $2n \leq u_2^*(n) \leq 2n + 1$. We first settle down the case when $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_2$. In the remaining of this section, we assume that the local ring R is unramified dyadic such that $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that [9, Theorem 1.3] implies that $u_R(2) = 5$. Thus, $u_R^*(2) \geq u_R(2) = 5$. Therefore, the minimal rank of primitively 2-universal lattices is five. Next, we prove that $u_R^*(n) = 2n$ for any $n \geq 3$.

Theorem 5.3. For any $n \geq 3$, the R -lattice $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ is primitively n -universal. In particular, $u_R^*(n) = 2n$ for any $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Let $L \cong \mathbb{H}^{n-1} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ and let ℓ be any R -lattice of rank n . Since $Q^*(\langle 1, -1 \rangle) = R^\times \cup 4R$, Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2 implies that ℓ is primitively represented by L unless ℓ satisfies the following condition (*):

- (*) ℓ is even unimodular or proper 2-modular, or ℓ has a Jordan splitting $\ell \cong \ell_1 \perp \ell_2$, where ℓ_1 is even unimodular and ℓ_2 is proper 2-modular.

Suppose that ℓ satisfies the condition (*). If ℓ has a unimodular component, then actually $\ell \cong \mathbb{H} \perp \ell'$ for some even lattice ℓ' of rank $n - 2$. Since ℓ' is primitively represented by \mathbb{H}^{n-2} , ℓ is primitively represented by L . Otherwise, ℓ is proper 2-modular. Then, ℓ is split by $2\mathbb{H}$ or $2\mathbb{A}$, either of which is primitively represented by $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$. Hence, ℓ is primitively represented by L . \square

6. MINIMAL RANK OF P_nU CLASSICALLY INTEGRAL LATTICES OVER \mathbb{Z}_2

In this section, we prove that

$$u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(n) = \begin{cases} 2n + 1 & \text{if } n \leq 4, \\ 2n & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Recall that over \mathbb{Z}_2 , $Q(\langle 1, -1 \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \cup 4\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $Q^*(\langle 1, -1 \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \cup 8\mathbb{Z}_2$ by Lemma 5.1. We make a particular choice $\rho = 1$ for $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ so that $\mathbb{A} \cong \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We know that $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(2) = 5$ according to [9, Theorem 1.3] or [12, Lemma 2.3]¹. Thus, we have $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(2) \geq u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(2) = 5$. Therefore, the minimal rank of primitively 2-universal \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattices is five.

Next, we prove that $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(3) = 7$. Note that $6 \leq u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(3) \leq 7$. According to [9, Theorem 6.16] and Corollary 2.7, any 3-universal senary \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^3 is isometric to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice obtained from one of the following six \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattices by a unit scaling:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A)} \quad & \mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle, & \text{(B)} \quad & \mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, 4 \rangle, & \text{(C)} \quad & \mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, -1, 2, -2 \rangle, \\ \text{(D)} \quad & \mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, -1, -2, 8 \rangle, & \text{(E)} \quad & \mathbb{H} \perp \langle -1, 2, -2, 4 \rangle, & \text{(F)} \quad & \mathbb{H} \perp \langle -1, -2, 4, 8 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.1. *No \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of rank 6 is primitively 3-universal. Therefore, we have $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(3) = 7$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that none of the above six lattices is primitively 3-universal. First, Let L be one of (A), (B), or (E). Since L is 2-universal, \mathbb{A} is represented by L . For any sublattice $M \cong \mathbb{A}$ of L , M splits L and

$$M^\perp \cong \langle 1, 1, 1, 5 \rangle, \langle 5, 1, 1, 4 \rangle, \text{ or } \langle 5, 2, 2, 4 \rangle$$

by [14, Theorem 91:9] and [14, Corollary 93:14a]. Next, let L be one of (C), (D), or (F). Then $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ is primitively represented by L . For any sublattice $M \cong \langle 1, 3 \rangle$ of L , M splits L and

$$M^\perp \cong \langle 1, 3, 2, -2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3, -2, 8 \rangle, \text{ or } \langle 3, -2, 4, 8 \rangle$$

¹It has been brought to our attention that [12] contains some errors. Specifically: (1) While [12, Theorem 2.8] remains valid, its proof requires partial correction, and the corrected version is available. (2) Contrary to the original statement, $\langle 1, 1, 2, 4, 7 \rangle$ does not have an exceptional core \mathbb{Z} -lattice. As a result, the findings in Section 3 need to be revised, and $\langle 1, 1, 2, 4, 7 \rangle$ should be recategorized as almost 2-universal, correcting its misclassification. The full corrigendum can be provided upon request from the authors.

by [14, Theorem 91:9] and [14, Corollary 93:14a]. In any case, if L were primitively 3-universal, then M^\perp must be primitively 1-universal. However, according to [6, Theorem 5.2], M^\perp is not primitively 1-universal. Therefore, L is not primitively 3-universal. \square

Now, we prove that $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(4) = 9$. Note that $8 \leq u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(4) \leq 9$. According to [9, Theorem 1.3] and Corollary 2.7, any 4-universal octonary \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice on the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^4 is isometric to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice obtained from one of the following \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattices by a unit scaling for some nonnegative integer t :

- | | |
|--|--|
| (A) $\mathbb{H}^3 \perp \langle -1, 2^{2t} \rangle$, | (B) $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle 1, -1, -2, 2^{2t+1} \rangle$, |
| (C) $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, -1, 4, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$, | (D) $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, 2, -2, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$, |
| (E) $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, -2, 4, 2^{2t+3} \rangle$, | (F) $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, -2, 8, 2^{2t+4} \rangle$, |
| (G) $\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, 2, -8, 2^{2t+4} \rangle$. | |

Lemma 6.2. *Let $N \cong \mathbb{A} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice.*

- (a) *No integer congruent to 4 modulo 8 is primitively represented by N .*
 (b) *Let $M = M_1 \perp \cdots \perp M_r$ be a Jordan splitting of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice M such that*

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{s}M_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{s}M_r = \mathfrak{n}M_r = 2^{2s}\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

for some positive integer s . Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}_2M \cong \mathbb{Q}_2N$ and $M' := M_1 \perp \cdots \perp M_{r-1}$ is anisotropic. Then no integer congruent to 2^{2s+2} modulo 2^{2s+3} is primitively represented by M .

Proof. The assertion (a) follows directly from Lemma 5.1. Hence, we may assume that $r \geq 2$ in (b). Let $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$ be a typical vector in M , where $x_i \in M_i$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq r$. If $\text{ord } Q(x) \geq 2s$, then

$$\text{ord } Q(x_1 + \cdots + x_{r-1}) \geq 2s.$$

Let $N' = \{y \in M' \mid \text{ord } Q(y) \geq 2s\}$. It suffices to show that no integer congruent to $4 \cdot 4^s$ modulo $8 \cdot 4^s$ is primitively represented by $N' \perp M_r$. Since $N' \perp M_r \cong 4^s \mathbb{A} \perp \langle 4^s, -4^s \rangle$, the assertion (b) follows directly from (a). \square

Let $N \cong \langle \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \rangle$ be a unimodular \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice, where ϵ_i is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_2 for any i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that, if $N \cong \langle \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \rangle$ for some other units δ_i in \mathbb{Z}_2 for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \pmod{8}.$$

Hence, the residue class $(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i) + 8\mathbb{Z}_2$ contained in $\mathbb{Z}_2/8\mathbb{Z}_2$ is an invariant of N , which is called the 2-signature of N . (for this, see [5, Chapter 15]).

Lemma 6.3. *Let L be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice, and let $L = L_0 \perp L_1 \perp \cdots \perp L_t$ ($t \geq 0$) be a fixed Jordan splitting of L , where $L_i = 0$ or L_i is 2^i -modular for each i*

with $0 \leq i \leq t$. For any integer k with $0 \leq k \leq t$, define $L_{\geq k} = L_k \perp L_{k+1} \perp \cdots \perp L_t$. Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^t x_i$ be a vector in L such that $x_i \in L_i$. Assume that $\mathfrak{n}L = \mathbb{Z}_2$, x_0 is primitive in L_0 , and $Q(x)$ is even.

(a) Suppose that $\mathfrak{n}L = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Write $L_0 \cong \langle \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \rangle$, where ϵ_i are units in \mathbb{Z}_2 for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i$ be the 2-signature of L_0 . Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) \subseteq 8\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $Q(x) \not\equiv \gamma \pmod{8}$;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) \subseteq 4\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $Q(x) \not\equiv \gamma \pmod{4}$;
- (iii) $L_1 \cong \langle 2\epsilon \rangle$, $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 2}) \subseteq 8\mathbb{Z}_2$, and $Q(x) - \gamma \not\equiv 0, 2\epsilon \pmod{8}$;
- (iv) $L_1 \cong \langle 2\epsilon, 2\epsilon' \rangle$, $dL_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$, $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 2}) \subseteq 8\mathbb{Z}_2$, and

$$Q(x) - \gamma \not\equiv 0, 2\epsilon, 4 \pmod{8};$$

- (v) $L_1 \cong \langle 2\epsilon, 2\epsilon' \rangle$, $dL_1 \equiv -4 \pmod{16}$, $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 2}) \subseteq 8\mathbb{Z}_2$, and

$$Q(x) - \gamma \not\equiv 0, \pm 2 \pmod{8};$$

- (vi) $Q(x) \not\equiv \gamma \pmod{2}$.

Then, there exists a binary even unimodular sublattice M of L containing x . In particular, $\text{rank } L_0 \geq 3$.

(b) In addition to the assumptions in (a), suppose further that $Q(x) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then, in addition to the conclusions in (a), there exists another binary even unimodular sublattice M' of L containing x such that $M' \not\cong M$ if and only if $\text{rank } L_0 \geq 5$, $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$, or $\text{rank } L_0 = 4$ and $dL_0 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

(c) Suppose that $\mathfrak{n}L \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, there exists a binary even unimodular sublattice M of L containing x . Suppose further that $Q(x) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then, there exists another binary even unimodular sublattice M' of L containing x such that $M' \not\cong M$ if and only if $\text{rank } L_0 \geq 4$ or $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that $L_0 \cong \langle \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \rangle$ in e_1, \dots, e_n . Write $x_0 = \xi_1 e_1 + \cdots + \xi_n e_n$. Since x_0 is primitive, we may assume that ξ_1 is odd. We claim that at least one among ξ_2, \dots, ξ_n is even. Suppose on the contrary that $\xi_2 \cdots \xi_n$ is odd. Note that

$$Q(x_0) = \epsilon_1 \xi_1^2 + \epsilon_2 \xi_2^2 + \cdots + \epsilon_n \xi_n^2 \equiv s(L_0) \pmod{8},$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (i) through (vi). Hence, we may assume that ξ_n is even. Now, $M = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_1 + e_n]$ is an even unimodular binary \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice containing x .

(b) We continue to suppose that $L_0 \cong \langle \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \rangle$ in e_1, \dots, e_n , and $x_0 = \xi_1 e_1 + \cdots + \xi_n e_n$. By renumbering indices if necessary, we may assume that $\xi_1 \cdots \xi_s$ is odd and $\xi_{s+1} \equiv \cdots \equiv \xi_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for some s with $1 \leq s < n$. As in (a), we let $M = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_1 + e_n]$. First, we assume that $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, there exists $y \in L_{\geq 1}$ with $Q(y) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Note that $B(x, y) =$

$B(x - x_0, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence, M and $M' = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_1 + e_n + y]$ satisfy all the required conditions. From now on, we assume that $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) \subseteq 4\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Assume that $\text{rank } L_0 \geq 5$ or $\text{rank } L_0 = 4$ and $dL_0 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If there exists an index i with $1 < i \leq s$ such that $\epsilon_1 \not\equiv \epsilon_i \pmod{4}$, then M and $M' = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_i + e_n]$ satisfy all the desired properties. The same conclusion can be obtained for the case when there exists an index j with $s+1 \leq j < n$ such that $\epsilon_j \not\equiv \epsilon_n \pmod{4}$. Now, we assume that

$$\epsilon_1 \equiv \cdots \equiv \epsilon_s \pmod{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_{s+1} \equiv \cdots \equiv \epsilon_n \pmod{4}.$$

If $\text{rank } L_0 = 4$, then the above conditions on ϵ_i 's imply that $dL_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, which is absurd. Hence, we must have $\text{rank } L_0 \geq 5$, which implies that either $s \geq 3$ or $n - s \geq 3$. If we let

$$M' = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_n] & \text{if } s \geq 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_1 + e_{n-2} + e_{n-1} + e_n] & \text{if } n - s \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

then M and M' satisfy all the required conditions.

Finally, assume that $\text{rank } L_0 = 4$ and $dL_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $\text{rank } L_0 = 3$. Suppose that there exists an even unimodular binary sublattice M' of L . Since we are assuming that $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) \subseteq 4\mathbb{Z}_2$, M' is represented by L_0 by virtue of [13, Proposition 20]. Note that

$$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle \not\cong \mathbb{A} \perp \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \quad \mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 3 \rangle \not\cong \mathbb{A} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle,$$

and $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle \not\cong \mathbb{A} \perp \langle 5\epsilon \rangle$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. Hence, if M' is represented by L_0 , then $M' \cong M$. This proves the lemma.

(c) Suppose that $L_0 \cong J_1 \perp \cdots \perp J_n$, where $J_i \cong \mathbb{H}$ or \mathbb{A} in e_{2i-1}, e_{2i} for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Write $x_0 = \xi_1 e_1 + \cdots + \xi_{2n} e_{2n}$, and assume that ξ_1 is odd. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_2]$. Then, M satisfies all the desired properties for the former assertion. Now, suppose that $Q(x) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. First, assume that $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, there exists $y \in L_{\geq 1}$ with $Q(y) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Note that $B(x, y) = B(x - x_0, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence, M and $M' = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_2 + y]$ satisfy all the required conditions. From now on, we assume that $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) \subseteq 4\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Assume that $\text{rank } L_0 \geq 4$. If $\xi_3 \equiv \xi_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then M and $M' = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_2 + e_3 + e_4]$ satisfy all the desired properties. Hence, we may assume that ξ_3 is odd. If $J_1 \not\cong J_2$, then M and $M' = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, e_4]$ satisfy all the required conditions. If $J_1 \cong J_2$, then the same conclusion can be obtained by considering the isometry $\mathbb{H} \perp \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{A} \perp \mathbb{A}$. Now, assume that $\text{rank } L_0 = 2$. Suppose that there exists an even binary unimodular sublattice M' of L . Since we are assuming that $\mathfrak{n}(L_{\geq 1}) \subseteq 4\mathbb{Z}_2$, M' is represented by L_0 by [13, Proposition 20]. Hence, $M' \cong L_0 \cong M$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let L be a binary \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice such that $\mathfrak{s}L = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Q}_2 L \cong \mathbb{H}$. Let s and t be integers such that $t > 2s = \text{ord}_2 dL$. Let z be a primitive*

vector in L such that $\text{ord}_2 Q(z) = t + 1$, and let w be a vector in L such that $\text{ord}_2 B(z, w) = t$. Then, $\text{ord}_2 Q(w) \geq t + 2$.

Proof. First, we claim that $\text{ord}_2 Q(w) \geq t$. Assume that L is even. Let e_1, e_2 be a basis for L such that $L \cong \mathbb{H}$ in e_1, e_2 . Write $z = z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2$. We may assume that $z_1 = 1$. Then, $z_2 \equiv 2^t \pmod{2^{t+1}}$. Write $w = w_1 e_1 + w_2 e_2$. Since

$$0 \equiv B(z, w) = z_1 w_2 + z_2 w_1 \equiv w_2 \pmod{2^t},$$

we have $Q(w) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{t+1}}$, as desired. Now, we assume that $nL = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let e_1, e_2 be a basis for L such that $L \cong \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & \alpha \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in e_1, e_2 , where ϵ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_2 and α is an integer in \mathbb{Z}_2 such that $\text{ord}_2 \alpha = s$. Note that $Q(\zeta_1 e_1 + \zeta_2 e_2) = \zeta_1(\epsilon \zeta_1 + 2\alpha \zeta_2)$. Hence,

$$\text{ord}_2 Q(\zeta_1 e_1 + \zeta_2 e_2) \begin{cases} = 2 \text{ord}_2 \zeta_1 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2 \zeta_1 \leq s, \\ \geq 2s + 2 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2 \zeta_1 \geq s + 1. \end{cases}$$

Write $z = z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2$. Since $Q(z)$ is even, z_1 cannot be a unit. This implies that z_2 is a unit, and we have

$$\text{ord}_2 Q(z) \begin{cases} = 2 \text{ord}_2 z_1 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2 z_1 \leq s, \\ \geq 2s + 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2 z_1 = s + 1, \\ = s + 1 + \text{ord}_2 z_1 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2 z_1 \geq s + 2. \end{cases}$$

Since $t > 2s$, we have $\text{ord}_2 z_1 \geq s + 1$ and $t \geq 2s + 2$. Note that we have $\text{ord}_2 z_1 = s + 1$ or $t - s$. If $\text{ord}_2 z_1 = s + 1$, then $\text{ord}_2(\epsilon z_1 + 2\alpha z_2) = t - s$. Hence, by changing basis from e_1, e_2 to $e_1, -2\alpha e_1 + \epsilon e_2$ and converting coordinates z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2 accordingly if necessary, we may assume that $\text{ord}_2 z_1 = t - s$. Write $w = w_1 e_1 + w_2 e_2$. Note that $B(z, w) = \epsilon z_1 w_1 + \alpha(z_1 w_2 + z_2 w_1)$ and $\text{ord}_2(\epsilon z_1 + \alpha z_2) = s$. Since

$$0 \equiv B(z, w) \equiv (\epsilon z_1 + \alpha z_2) w_1 \pmod{2^t},$$

we have $w_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{t-s}}$. Hence, $\text{ord}_2 Q(w) = \text{ord}_2 w_1 + \text{ord}_2(\epsilon w_1 + 2\alpha w_2) \geq t$, as desired.

Now, assuming that $\text{ord}_2 Q(w) \geq t$, we prove that $\text{ord}_2 Q(w) \geq t + 2$. Write $Q(z) = 2^{t+1}\epsilon$ and $Q(w) = 2^t\alpha$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then, $d(\mathbb{Z}_2[z, w]) \equiv 2^{2t}(2\epsilon\alpha - 1) \pmod{2^{2t+3}}$. Since z and w are linearly independent, $\mathbb{Q}_2[z, w] \cong \mathbb{H}$, which implies that $d(\mathbb{Q}_2[z, w]) = -1$. Hence, we must have $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and the result follows directly from this. \square

Theorem 6.5. *No \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of rank 8 is primitively 4-universal. Therefore, we have $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(4) = 9$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice ℓ is not primitively represented by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice L for each pair (ℓ, L) which is given in the table below. Let

(u, M) be the pair given in the same row with the pair (ℓ, L) in the table. Let $\ell = \ell_0 \perp \ell'$, where ℓ_0 is the unimodular component of ℓ , and $\ell' \cong 2^{2t+u+1}\mathbb{A}$. For any representation $\phi : \ell_0 \rightarrow L$, the orthogonal complement of $\phi(\ell_0)$ in L is always isometric to M by cancellation laws (see [14, Proposition 93:14a] and [11, Theorem 5.3.6]), independently of the choice of the representation ϕ . Hence, it suffices to show that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice ℓ' is not primitively represented by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice M .

	ℓ	L	M	u
(A)	$\mathbb{A} \perp 2^{2t+1}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^3 \perp \langle -1, 2^{2t} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 1, 5, 2^{2t} \rangle$	0
(B)	$\langle 1, 3 \rangle \perp 2^{2t+2}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle 1, -1, -2, 2^{2t+1} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 1, 10, 2^{2t+1} \rangle$	1
(C)	$\mathbb{A} \perp 2^{2t+3}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, -1, 4, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 1, 20, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$	2
(D)	$\mathbb{A} \perp 2^{2t+3}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, 2, -2, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 5, 2, 2, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$	2
(E)	$\langle 1, 3 \rangle \perp 2^{2t+4}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, -2, 4, 2^{2t+3} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 10, 4, 2^{2t+3} \rangle$	3
(F)	$\mathbb{A} \perp 2^{2t+5}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, -2, 8, 2^{2t+4} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 5, 2, 8, 2^{2t+4} \rangle$	4
(G)	$\mathbb{A} \perp 2^{2t+5}\mathbb{A}$	$\mathbb{H}^2 \perp \langle -1, 2, -8, 2^{2t+4} \rangle$	$\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 6, -8, 2^{2t+4} \rangle$	4

Suppose that there exists a primitive representation from ℓ' to M . Then, there are vectors $z, w \in M$ such that

$$Q(z) = Q(w) = 2^{2t+u+2}, \quad B(z, w) = 2^{2t+u+1},$$

and $\mathbb{Z}_2[z, w]$ is a primitive sublattice of M .

Suppose that there exists a basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M that satisfies all of the following conditions:

- (i) $\text{ord}_2 Q(e_6) = 2t + u$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2 e_6$ splits M ;
- (ii) $z = z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2$, where $J = \mathbb{Z}_2[e_1, e_2]$ is isotropic, splits M , and satisfies

$$2t + u + 1 > \text{ord}_2 dJ - \text{ord}_2 \mathfrak{s}J;$$

- (iii) For $w = \sum_{i=1}^6 w_i e_i$, the vector $\sum_{i=3}^6 w_i e_i$ is primitive in M .

By the condition (ii) and Lemma 6.4, we have

$$Q(w_1 e_1 + w_2 e_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2t+u+3}}.$$

Hence, by the condition (iii), $\sum_{i=3}^6 w_i e_i$ is a primitive vector in $\mathbb{Z}_2[e_3, \dots, e_6]$ such that $Q(\sum_{i=3}^6 w_i e_i) \equiv 2^{2t+u+2} \pmod{2^{2t+u+3}}$. However, this is impossible by the condition (i) and Lemma 6.2. This proves the theorem.

Now, we prove the existence of a basis for M that satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). Since all the other cases can be proved in similar manners, we only provide the proof of the case (C). Assume that $M \cong \langle 1, 1, 1, 3, 4, 2^{2t+2} \rangle$ in e_1, \dots, e_6 . Write $z = \sum_{i=1}^6 z'_i e'_i$ and $w = \sum_{i=1}^6 w'_i e'_i$. First, assume that $t = 0$. Write $z^\dagger = z'_5 e'_5 + z'_6 e'_6$, $w^\dagger = w'_5 e'_5 + w'_6 e'_6$. Suppose if possible that $\mathbb{Z}_2[z^\dagger, w^\dagger]$ is primitive. Since $\mathbb{Z}_2[z^\dagger, w^\dagger] \cong \langle 4, 4 \rangle$, exactly one among the following conditions holds:

- (i) $Q(z^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{32}$, $B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, $Q(w^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{32}$;
- (ii) $Q(z^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{32}$, $B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$, $Q(w^\dagger) \equiv 20 \pmod{32}$;
- (iii) $Q(z^\dagger) \equiv 20 \pmod{32}$, $B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$, $Q(w^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{32}$;
- (iv) $Q(z^\dagger) \equiv 20 \pmod{32}$, $B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, $Q(w^\dagger) \equiv 20 \pmod{32}$;
- (v) $Q(z^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$, $B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $Q(w^\dagger) \equiv 8 \pmod{32}$;
- (vi) $Q(z^\dagger) \equiv 8 \pmod{32}$, $B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $Q(w^\dagger) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} Q(z - z^\dagger) & B(z - z^\dagger, w - w^\dagger) \\ B(z - z^\dagger, w - z^\dagger) & Q(w - w^\dagger) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Q(z) & B(z, w) \\ B(z, w) & Q(w) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} Q(z^\dagger) & B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) \\ B(z^\dagger, w^\dagger) & Q(w^\dagger) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

in any case, we must have $\mathbb{Z}_2[z - z^\dagger, w - w^\dagger] \cong \langle 12, -4 \rangle$, which contradicts the fact that $\langle 3, -1 \rangle$ is not represented by $\langle 1, 1, 1, 3 \rangle$ over \mathbb{Q}_2 . Hence, we may assume that at least one among z'_1, \dots, z'_4 is odd. Note that $Q(z) \equiv 0 \not\equiv 1 + 1 + 1 + 3 \pmod{4}$. Hence, we may apply Lemma 6.3 to M and z to obtain a basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M such that $M \cong \mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, 5, 4, 4 \rangle$ in the basis and such that $z = z_1 e_1 + z_2 e_2$. We may assume that $z_1 = 1$. Then, z_2 is even. Write $w = \sum_{i=1}^6 w_i e_i$. Since

$$B(z, w) = z_1 w_2 + z_2 w_1$$

is even, w_2 also is even. Therefore, e_1, \dots, e_6 is the basis which we are seeking for. Next, assume that $t \geq 1$. We may assume that at least one among z'_1, \dots, z'_5 is odd. If z'_i is odd for some $1 \leq i \leq 4$, then we may apply Lemma 6.3 to M and z to obtain the basis which we are seeking for. Suppose that $z'_1 \equiv \dots \equiv z'_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and z'_5 is odd. Let $e_1 = z'_5 e'_5 + z'_6 e'_6$ and $e_2 = \frac{1}{2}(z - e_1)$. Since z'_5 is odd, we have $Q(e_1) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$. Hence, $\mathbb{Z}_2 e_1$ splits $N^\dagger = \mathbb{Z}_2[e'_5, e'_6]$. Note that

$$Q(e_1) \equiv 4 \text{ or } 20 \pmod{32},$$

where the latter may occur only when $t = 1$. Thus, there exists a basis e_1, e_6 for N^\dagger such that $N^\dagger \cong \langle Q(e_1), 2^{2t+2} \rangle$ or $\langle Q(e_1), 80 \rangle$ in e_1, e_6 . Since $Q(e_1) \equiv 4 \pmod{16}$, we have $Q(e_2) \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Since $Q(e_1) + 4Q(e_2) = Q(e_1 + 2e_2) = Q(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{32}$, we have

$$Q(e_2) \equiv -1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{8},$$

according as $Q(e_1) \equiv 4$ or $20 \pmod{32}$. Hence, in any case, $\mathbb{Z}_2 e_2$ splits $N = \mathbb{Z}_2[e'_1, \dots, e'_4]$. Thus, there exists a basis e_2, \dots, e_5 for N such that $N \cong \langle Q(e_2), 1, 1, 5 \rangle$ or $\langle Q(e_2), 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ in this basis. So far, we found a basis e_1, \dots, e_6 for M such that

$$M \cong \langle Q(e_1), Q(e_2), 1, 1, 5, 2^{2t+2} \rangle \text{ or } \langle Q(e_1), Q(e_2), 1, 1, 1, 80 \rangle$$

in this basis and such that $z = e_1 + 2e_2$. Note that $2t + u + 1 \geq 5 > 2 = \text{ord}_2 Q(e_1)$. Write $w = \sum_{i=1}^6 w_i e_i$. Since $B(z, w) = Q(e_1)w_1 + 2Q(e_2)w_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, w_2 also is even. Therefore, e_1, \dots, e_6 is the basis which we are seeking for. \square

Finally, we prove that $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(n) = 2n$ for any $n \geq 5$. Recall that, for a local ring R and for an R -lattice L , $Q^*(L)$ means the set of all $Q(v)$, where v runs over all primitive vectors in L .

Lemma 6.6. *Let R be an unramified dyadic local ring and let ℓ be an even R -lattice of rank $n \geq 1$. If J is an R -lattice such that $Q^*(\ell) \cap Q^*(J) \neq \emptyset$, then ℓ is primitively represented by $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \perp J$.*

Proof. Assume that $\ell \cong K_1 \perp \dots \perp K_r$, where K_i is either a (proper modular) lattice of rank 1 or an improper modular lattice of rank 2 for each i with $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $s_0 = 0$ and let $s_i = (\text{rank } K_1) + \dots + (\text{rank } K_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Note that $s_r = n$. Fix $\beta \in Q^*(\ell) \cap Q^*(J)$ and find primitive vectors $w \in \ell$ and $z \in J$ such that $Q(w) = \beta = Q(z)$. Write $w = w_1 + \dots + w_r$, where $w_i \in K_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. By renumbering indices if necessary, we may assume that w_r is primitive in K_r .

Assume that $L \cong \mathbb{H}^{n-1} \perp J$ in e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m . Note that K_i is isometric to one of $\langle 2\alpha \rangle$, $\alpha\mathbb{H}$, or $\alpha\mathbb{A}$ for some integer $\alpha \in R$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. We define vectors $x_j, y_j \in L$ and integers $\beta_j \in R$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ as follows. Let $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. First, suppose that $K_i \cong \langle 2\alpha \rangle$. Then, let

$$x_{s_i} = e_{2s_{i-1}} + \alpha e_{2s_i}, \quad y_{s_i} = e_{2s_{i-1}} - \alpha e_{2s_i}.$$

Note that $B(x_{s_i}, y_{s_i}) = 0$ and there is $\sigma : Rx_{s_i} \cong K_i$. Write $w_i = \beta_{s_i} \sigma(x_{s_i})$. Note that $Q(\beta_{s_i} y_{s_i}) = -Q(w_i)$. Now, suppose that $K_i \cong \alpha\mathbb{H}$. Then, let

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s_{i-1}} &= e_{2s_{i-3}}, & y_{s_{i-1}} &= e_{2s_{i-3}} - \alpha e_{2s_i}, \\ x_{s_i} &= \alpha e_{2s_{i-2}} + e_{2s_{i-1}}, & y_{s_i} &= e_{2s_{i-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $B(R[x_{s_{i-1}}, x_{s_i}], R[y_{s_{i-1}}, y_{s_i}]) = 0$ and there is $\sigma : R[x_{s_{i-1}}, x_{s_i}] \cong K_i$. Write $w_i = \beta_{s_{i-1}} \sigma(x_{s_{i-1}}) + \beta_{s_i} \sigma(x_{s_i})$. Note that $Q(\beta_{s_{i-1}} y_{s_{i-1}} + \beta_{s_i} y_{s_i}) = -Q(w_i)$. Next, suppose that $K_i \cong \alpha\mathbb{A}$. Then, let

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s_{i-1}} &= e_{2s_{i-3}} + \alpha e_{2s_{i-2}}, & y_{s_{i-1}} &= e_{2s_{i-3}} - \alpha e_{2s_{i-2}} + \alpha e_{2s_i}, \\ x_{s_i} &= e_{2s_{i-3}} + e_{2s_{i-1}} + \rho \alpha e_{2s_i}, & y_{s_i} &= -e_{2s_{i-1}} + \rho \alpha e_{2s_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $B(R[x_{s_{i-1}}, x_{s_i}], R[y_{s_{i-1}}, y_{s_i}]) = 0$ and there is $\sigma : R[x_{s_{i-1}}, x_{s_i}] \cong K_i$. Write $w_i = \beta_{s_{i-1}} \sigma(x_{s_{i-1}}) + \beta_{s_i} \sigma(x_{s_i})$. Note that $Q(\beta_{s_{i-1}} y_{s_{i-1}} + \beta_{s_i} y_{s_i}) = -Q(w_i)$. Finally, if $K_r \cong \alpha\mathbb{H}$, then let $x_{n-1} = e_{2n-3}$, $y_{n-1} = \alpha e_{2n-2}$, and $\beta_{n-1} = 1$. If $K_r \cong \alpha\mathbb{A}$, note that $Q(w_r) = 2\epsilon\alpha$ for some unit ϵ in R . In that

case, let $x_{n-1} = \rho\epsilon^{-1}e_{2n-3} + \alpha e_{2n-2}$, $y_{n-1} = e_{2n-3}$, and $\beta_{n-1} = 1$. Now, note that

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \beta_1 y_1 + \dots + \beta_{n-1} y_{n-1} + z]$$

is a primitive sublattice of L that is isometric to ℓ . \square

Lemma 6.7. *The \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice $\mathbb{H}^3 \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ primitively represents all \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattices of rank 4 except for the quaternary \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice $\mathbb{A} \perp 2\mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. Let $L \cong \mathbb{H}^3 \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ and let ℓ be any \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of rank 4 not isometric to $\mathbb{A} \perp 2\mathbb{A}$. Since $Q^*(\langle 1, -1 \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_2^\times \cup 8\mathbb{Z}_2$, ℓ is primitively represented by L unless any Jordan decomposition $\ell = \ell_1 \perp \dots \perp \ell_t$ (ℓ_i is nonzero for any $1 \leq i \leq t$) of ℓ satisfies $2\mathbb{Z}_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{n}\ell_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{n}\ell_t \supseteq 4\mathbb{Z}_2$, by Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2. Suppose that ℓ has such a Jordan decomposition. Assume that ℓ is orthogonally split by $2^a\mathbb{H}$ for some nonnegative integer a . Since $2^a\mathbb{H}$ is primitively represented by $\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$, ℓ is primitively represented by L . Hence, we may assume that ℓ has a proper component. Assume that $\ell \cong \langle 2^{a_1}\epsilon_1, 2^{a_2}\epsilon_2, 2^{a_3}\epsilon_3, 2^{a_4}\epsilon_4 \rangle$. Since $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \{1, 2\}$, up to rearrangement, at least one among

$$2^{a_1}\epsilon_1 + 2^{a_2}\epsilon_2, \quad 2^{a_1}\epsilon_1 + 2^{a_2}\epsilon_2 + 2^{a_3}\epsilon_3, \quad 2^{a_1}\epsilon_1 + 2^{a_2}\epsilon_2 + 2^{a_3}\epsilon_3 + 2^{a_4}\epsilon_4$$

is a multiple of 8, so that it is primitively represented by $\langle 1, -1 \rangle$. Hence, ℓ is primitively represented by L by Lemma 6.6. Finally, assume that $\ell \cong \langle 2^{a_1}\epsilon_1, 2^{a_2}\epsilon_2 \rangle \perp 2^{a_3}\mathbb{A}$. Note that $a_1, a_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ and $a_3 \in \{0, 1\}$. If $a_1 = a_3 + 1$, then ℓ is split by $2^{a_3}\mathbb{H}$. If $a_1 = a_3 = 1$, then ℓ is an orthogonal sum of proper components. Hence, we may assume that $a_1 = a_2 = 2$ and $a_3 = 0$. Then, $2^{a_1}\epsilon_1 + 2^{a_2}\epsilon_2$ is a multiple of 8. Hence, ℓ is primitively represented by L by Lemma 6.6. \square

Theorem 6.8. *For any $n \geq 5$, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ is primitively n -universal. In particular, $u_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(n) = 2n$ for any $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. Suppose that $n = 5$. Any \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of rank 5 is split by a \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of rank 1. Hence, by Lemma 6.7, it suffices to show that any \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice ℓ of the form $\mathbb{A} \perp 2\mathbb{A} \perp \langle 2^a\epsilon \rangle$ is primitively represented by $\mathbb{H}^4 \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$. If $a = 0$ or $a \geq 3$, then $\langle 2^a\epsilon \rangle$ is primitively represented by $\langle 1, -1 \rangle$. If $a = 2$, then $\ell \cong \mathbb{A} \perp 2\mathbb{H} \perp \langle 20\epsilon \rangle$. If $a = 1$, then $\ell \cong \mathbb{H} \perp 2\mathbb{A} \perp \langle 10\epsilon \rangle$.

Suppose that $n = 6$. Any \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of rank 6 either has a component of rank 1 or is an orthogonal sum of improper \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattices of rank 2. For the former case, the primitive representability follows directly from the case when $n = 5$ by Lemma 5.2. For the latter case, consider a \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattice of the form $\ell_1 \perp \ell_2 \perp \ell_3$, where ℓ_i 's are binary improper \mathbb{Z}_2 -lattices. Clearly, it is impossible that $\ell_1 \perp \ell_2 \cong \ell_1 \perp \ell_3 \cong \ell_2 \perp \ell_3 \cong \mathbb{A} \perp 2\mathbb{A}$. Hence, we may

assume that $\ell_1 \perp \ell_2 \not\cong \mathbb{A} \perp 2\mathbb{A}$. Therefore, $\ell_1 \perp \ell_2$ is primitively represented by $\mathbb{H}^3 \perp \langle 1, -1 \rangle$, and ℓ_3 is primitively represented by \mathbb{H}^2 .

The case when $n \geq 7$ follows by an induction on n . It follows from the case of $n - 1$ for any odd integer n , from the cases of $n - 1$ and $n - 2$ for any even integer n , by Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. V. Budarina, *On primitively universal quadratic forms*, Lith. Math. J. **50**(2010), 140–163.
- [2] N. V. Budarina, *On primitively 2-universal quadratic forms* (Russian. Russian summary), Algebra i Analiz **23**, 3 (2011), 31–62; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **23**(2012), 435–458.
- [3] W. K. Chan and B.-K. Oh, *Can we recover an integral quadratic form by representing all its subforms?*, Adv. Math. **433**(2023), Article 109317.
- [4] K. Conrad, *A multivariable Hensel’s lemma*, available online at <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/multivarhensel.pdf>.
- [5] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups* (third edition), Springer, 1993.
- [6] A. G. Earnest and B. L. K. Gunawardana, *Local criteria for universal and primitively universal quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **225**(2021), 260–280.
- [7] A. G. Earnest and B. L. K. Gunawardana, *On locally primitively universal quadratic forms*, Ramanujan J. **55**(2021), 1145–1163.
- [8] Z. He, *On classic n -universal quadratic forms over dyadic local fields*, Manuscripta Math. **174**(2024), 559–595.
- [9] Z. He and Y. Hu, *On k -universal quadratic lattices over unramified dyadic local fields*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **227**(2023), Article 107334.
- [10] Z. He, Y. Hu, and F. Xu, *On indefinite k -universal quadratic forms over number fields*, Math. Z. **304**(2023), Article 20.
- [11] Y. Kitaoka, *Arithmetic of quadratic forms*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [12] B.-K. Oh, *The representation of quadratic forms by almost universal forms of higher rank*, Math. Z. **244**(2003), 399–413.
- [13] O. T. O’Meara, *The integral representations of quadratic forms over local fields*, Amer. J. Math. **80**(1958), 843–878.
- [14] O. T. O’Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer, 1963.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, KOREA

Email address: `bkoh@snu.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, KOREA

Email address: `jongheun.yoon@snu.ac.kr`