## A remark on the rigidity of a property characterizing the Fourier transform

Hermann König, Vitali Milman

Consider the classical Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$\mathcal{F}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle) \ f(y) \ dy$$

e.g. on the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of rapidly decreasing smooth functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ . As well-known,  $\mathcal{F}$  acts bijectively on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and exchanges products with convolutions. In a series of papers by Alesker, Artstein-Avidan, Faifman and Milman [AAM], [AAFM] and [AFM] it was shown that these properties essentially characterize the Fourier transform. The final result in [AFM] is: Any bijective transformation  $T: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $T(f \star g) = Tf \cdot Tg$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is just a slight modification of the Fourier transform: there exists a diffeomorphism  $\omega: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that either  $Tf = (\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $Tf = \overline{(\mathcal{F}f)} \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If in addition  $T(f \cdot g) = Tf \star Tg$  holds for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the diffeomorphism is given by a linear map  $\omega = A$ , see [AFM]. Note that T is not assumed to be linear or continuous. Nevertheless the real linearity and the continuity are a consequence of the result.

The idea in the proof of [AFM] is to consider the map  $S = T \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which is multiplicative,  $S(F \cdot G) = SF \cdot SG$  for all  $F, G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The paper [AFM] characterizes bijective multiplicative maps between suitable function spaces like  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as having the form  $SF = F \circ \omega$  or  $SF = \overline{F \circ \omega}$  for a diffeomorphism  $\omega$ . Already in 1949 Milgram [Mi] had studied bijective multiplicative operators  $S: C(M) \to C(M)$ on real-valued spaces of continuous functions C(M) on a finite dimensional manifold M. They have the form  $Sf(x) = |f(\omega(x))|^{p(x)} \operatorname{sgn} f(\omega(x))$ , where  $p: M \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a suitable continuous function and  $\omega: M \to M$  is a homeomorphism. This result was extended to spaces of smooth functions  $C^k(M)$  on a differentiable  $C^k$ -manifold M by Mrčun and Šemrl [MS] for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It was extended to  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -functions and other function algebras like the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and to spaces of complex-valued functions in [AFM], see also the earlier paper [AAFM]. The proof in [AFM] also works in the case that the constant function 1 does not belong to the space, as in the case of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As indicated, the result for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is useful to characterize the Fourier transform as essentially a bijective map T on  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which maps convolutions to products and vice-versa, yielding a characterization up to diffeomorphisms  $\omega$  and complex conjugation,  $Tf = (\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega$  or  $Tf = \overline{(\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega}$ , see [AFM].

We examine the question whether these characterizations are rigid under perturbations. Again we do not assume linearity or continuity of the operators T under consideration.

As for the spaces on which T operates, let us assume that M is a finite dimensional  $C^k$ -manifold,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$  (for k = 0 just a manifold) and that

$$E \subset C^k(M) := \{f: M \to \mathbb{K} \mid f \text{ k-times continuously differentiable} \}$$

is a linear subspace, where  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ . We let  $C(M) = C^0(M)$ . We call E rich if  $f, g \in E$  always implies  $f \cdot g \in E$  and if for all  $x \in M$  and all r > 0 there is  $h \in E$  with |h(x)| > r. The following rigidity result holds for bijective multiplicative operators.

**Proposition 1** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , M be a finite dimensional  $C^k$ -manifold and  $E \subset C^k(M)$  be a rich subspace. Let  $a: M \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a function and assume that  $T: E \to E$  is a bijective operator such that for all  $f, g \in E$  and all  $x \in M$ 

$$|T(f \cdot g)(x) - Tf(x) \cdot Tg(x)| \le a(x) \tag{1}$$

holds. Then

$$T(f \cdot g) = Tf \cdot Tg \quad for \ all \quad f, g \in E \ . \tag{2}$$

Thus almost multiplicativity implies multiplicativity: The operation is rigid. Note that T is not assumed to be linear; otherwise (1) would directly imply (2). Since we fix a point x with (1) and prove (2) for this point x, (1) implies (2) for any subset A of M. Choosing A to be a dense subset of M yields that, if condition (1) holds for all  $x \in A$ , (2) will follow for all  $f, g \in E$  on  $\bar{A} = M$ , since  $T(f \cdot g)$  and  $Tf \cdot Tg$  are continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $g \in E$  and  $x \in M$  be fixed. Since E is rich, for any r > 0 there is  $k_r \in E$  with  $|k_r(x)| > r$ . Since T is bijective, there is  $h_r \in E$  with  $|(Th_r)(x)| = |k_r(x)| > r$ . The assumption implies

$$\left| \frac{T(g \cdot h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} - Tg(x) \right| \le \frac{a(x)}{|(Th_r)(x)|} \le \frac{a(x)}{r}$$
.

Hence  $Tg(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(g \cdot h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)}$ .

Next, consider  $x \in M$  and  $f, g \in E$  as well as  $h_r \in E$  with  $|(Th_r)(x)| > r$ . Then

$$|T(f \cdot g \cdot h_r)(x) - T(f \cdot g)(x) \cdot (Th_r)(x)| \le a(x)$$
,

$$|T(f \cdot g \cdot h_r)(x) - Tf(x) \cdot T(g \cdot h_r)(x)| \le a(x)$$
.

Therefore

$$|Tf(x) \cdot T(g \cdot h_r)(x) - T(f \cdot g)(x) \cdot (Th_r)(x)| \le 2a(x)$$
,  
 $|Tf(x) \cdot \frac{T(g \cdot h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} - T(f \cdot g)(x)| \le \frac{2a(x)}{|(Th_r)(x)|} \le \frac{2a(x)}{r}$ .

Hence  $T(f \cdot g)(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} Tf(x) \cdot \frac{T(g \cdot h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} = Tf(x) \cdot Tg(x)$  and T is multiplicative.  $\square$ 

For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0 \cup \infty$ ,  $E = C^k(M)$  and  $E = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are rich subspaces. For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , by [MS] and [AFM], equation (2) implies for bijective operators  $T : E \to E$  that  $Tf = f \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in E$  or  $Tf = \overline{f \circ \omega}$  for all  $f \in E$  (in the complex case) where  $\omega : M \to M$  is a suitable  $C^k$ -diffeomorphism, in the case of  $E = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  a  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism.

For continuous functions on M, k = 0, by [M], we have that  $Tf(x) = |f \circ \omega(x)|^{p(x)} \operatorname{sgn}(f \circ \omega(x))$  for all  $f \in E$  (in the real case), where  $\omega : M \to M$  is a homeomorphism and  $p : M \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a continuous function.

Let us call a subspace  $E \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0 \cup \infty$  convolution-stable, if for all  $f, g \in E$  we have  $f \star g \in E$ . The following rigidity result holds for the convolution operator.

**Proposition 2** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ ,  $E \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a convolution-stable subspace and  $F \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a rich subspace. Let  $a : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a function and assume that  $T : E \to F$  is a bijective operator such that for all  $f, g \in E$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$|T(f \star g)(x) - Tf(x) \cdot Tg(x)| \le a(x) \tag{3}$$

holds. Then

$$T(f \star g) = Tf \cdot Tg \quad for \ all \quad f, g \in E \ . \tag{4}$$

Again, T is assumed neither real-linear nor continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $g \in E$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0. Since F is rich, there is  $k_r \in F$  with  $|k_r(x)| > r$ . Since  $T: E \to F$  is bijective, there is  $k_r \in E$  with  $|(Tk_r)(x)| = |k_r(x)| > r$ . Hence

$$\left| \frac{T(g \star h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} - Tg(x) \right| \le \frac{a(x)}{|(Th_r)(x)|} \le \frac{a(x)}{r}$$
.

Hence  $Tg(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(g \star h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)}$ .

Similarly as above, this implies for  $f, g \in E$  by considering  $T(f \star g \star h_r)$  that

$$|Tf(x) \cdot T(g \star h_r)(x) - T(f \star g)(x) \cdot (Th_r)(x)| \le 2a(x)$$

and

$$T(f \star g)(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} Tf(x) \cdot \frac{T(g \star h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} = Tf(x) \cdot Tg(x) ,$$

thus 
$$T(f \star g) = Tf \cdot Tg$$
.

The proof shows that if (3) holds for one point x, (4) will also hold for x. Hence if (3) holds for all x in a dense subset A of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , (4) will be true on  $\bar{A} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that  $T(f \star g)$ 

and  $Tf \cdot Tg$  are continuous by assumption.

We may apply this to  $E = F = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then equation (4) implies that there exists a  $C^{\infty}$ -diffeomorphism  $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  that either  $Tf = (\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in E$  or  $Tf = \overline{(\mathcal{F}f)} \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in E$ .

In the continuous case of Proposition 2, k=0, there exists additionally a continuous function  $p: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $Tf(x) = |(\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega(x)|^{p(x)} \operatorname{sgn}((\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega(x))$  for all  $f \in E$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This follows by applying (2) to the multiplicative map  $S := T\mathcal{F}^{-1}: F \to F$ , see Theorems 1.3 and 1.10 of [AFM].

Another application of (3) and (4) is given by the choice  $E = C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ , the complexvalued  $C^{\infty}$ -functions with compact support and  $F = \mathcal{F}(E) = PW(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ , the Paley-Wiener space of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  decreasing polynomially on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and being extendable to  $F : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $|F(z)| \leq A \exp(B|z|)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where A, B > 0 are constants. Then by Theorem 1.5 of  $[\underline{AFM}] T(f \star g) = Tg \cdot Tg$  also implies that either  $Tf = (\mathcal{F}f) \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in E$  or  $Tf = (\overline{\mathcal{F}f}) \circ \omega$  for all  $f \in E$ .

**Proposition 3** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a > 0 be a constant. Assume that  $T : E \to E$  is a bijective operator satisfying

$$|T(f \cdot g)(x) - (Tf \star Tg)(x)| \le a \tag{5}$$

for all  $f, g \in E$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$T(f \cdot g) = Tf \star Tg \tag{6}$$

for all  $f, g \in E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in E$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be fixed. Choose an approximation of the  $\delta$ -distribution in F, i.e. a sequence of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions  $(l_r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for all  $h \in F$  we have  $\lim_{r \to \infty} (h \star l_r)(x) = h(x)$ . Since T is bijective, we may choose  $\psi_r \in E$  such that  $T(\psi_r) = r \cdot l_r$ . By (5)

$$|T(f \cdot \psi_r)(x) - (Tf \star T\psi_r)(x)| \le a ,$$

$$\left| \frac{T(f \cdot \psi_r)(x)}{r} - (Tf \star l_r)(x) \right| \le \frac{a}{r} ,$$

so that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(f \cdot \psi_r)(x)}{r} = \lim_{r \to \infty} (Tf \star l_r)(x) = Tf(x) . \tag{7}$$

For  $f, g \in E$  we have by (5) for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$|T(f \cdot g \cdot \psi_r)(y) - (Tf \star T(g \cdot \psi_r))(y)| \le a$$

and

$$T(g \cdot \psi_r)(y) - (Tg \star T\psi_r)(y) =: \phi(y) , |\phi(y)| \le a ,$$

with  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  depending on g and  $\psi_r$ . Hence

$$\left| \frac{T(f \cdot g \cdot \psi_r)(x)}{r} - \frac{(Tf \star Tg \star T\psi_r)(x)}{r} - \frac{(Tf \star \phi)(x)}{r} \right| \le \frac{a}{r} . \tag{8}$$

Note that  $(Tf \star \phi)(x)$  is well defined and bounded independent of g and  $\psi_r$ , since  $Tf \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is uniformly bounded by a. By (7)

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(f \cdot g \cdot \psi_r)(x)}{r} = T(f \cdot g)(x) ,$$

and

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{(Tf \star Tg \star T\psi_r)(x)}{r} = \lim_{r \to \infty} (Tf \star Tg \star l_r)(x) = (Tf \star Tg)(x) .$$

Therefore (8) implies  $T(f \cdot g)(x) = (Tf \star Tg)(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Consider the operator  $S = \mathcal{F}^{-1}T : E \to E$ . By (6) S is multiplicative, and Theorem 1.3 of [AFM] implies that there is a  $C^{\infty}$ -diffeomorphism  $\omega : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that either  $Tf = \mathcal{F}(f \circ \omega)$  for all  $f \in E$  or  $Tf = \overline{\mathcal{F}(f \circ \omega)}$  for all  $f \in E$ .

For k = 0 we get for real-valued functions  $Tf(x) = |\mathcal{F}(f \circ \omega)(x)|^{p(x)} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathcal{F}(f \circ \omega)(x))$  for all  $f \in E$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a suitable continuous function.

We also prove a rigidity result for the chain rule.

**Proposition 4** Let  $a: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be a function. Assume that  $T: C^1(\mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$|T(f \circ g)(x) - (Tf)(g(x)) \cdot Tg(x)| \le a(x) \tag{9}$$

for all  $f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and r > 0 there is a bounded function  $h_r \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $h_r(x) = x$  and  $|(Th_r)(x)| > r$ . Then for all  $f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$T(f \circ g) = (Tf) \circ g \cdot Tg \ . \tag{10}$$

Again, T is not assumed to be continuous or linear. If (9) holds for all x in a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , (10) will follow on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and r > 0. By assumption there is  $h_r \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $h_r(x) = x$  and  $|(Th_r)(x)| > r$ . By (9) for all  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$|T(f \circ h_r)(x) - (Tf)(h_r(x)) \cdot (Th_r)(x)| = |T(f \circ h_r)(x) - (Tf)(x) \cdot (Th_r)(x)| \le a(x) ,$$

$$|\frac{T(f \circ h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} - (Tf)(x)| \le \frac{a(x)}{|(Th_r)(x)|} \le \frac{a(x)}{r} ,$$

so that  $(Tf)(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(f \circ h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)}$ . Considering for  $f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  the map  $f \circ g \circ h_r$ , we have by (9)

$$T(f \circ g \circ h_r)(x) = (Tf)((g \circ h_r)(x)) \cdot T(g \circ h_r)(x) + \psi_1(x)$$
$$= (Tf)(g(x)) \cdot T(g \circ h_r)(x) + \psi_1(x) ,$$

where  $\psi_1$  depends on f, g and  $h_r$ , but satisfies  $|\psi_1(x)| \leq a(x)$ . Further

$$T(g \circ h_r)(x) = (Tg)(h_r(x)) \cdot (Th_r)(x) + \psi_2(x) = (Tg)(x) \cdot (Th_r)(x) + \psi_2(x) ,$$

again  $\psi_2$  depending on g and  $h_r$ , but with  $|\psi_2(x)| \leq a(x)$ . We find

$$T(f \circ g)(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(f \circ g \circ h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( (Tf)(g(x)) \cdot \frac{T(g \circ h_r)(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} + \frac{\psi_1(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} \right)$$
$$= (Tf)(g(x)) \cdot (Tg)(x) + \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \frac{\psi_1(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} + \frac{(Tf)(g(x))\psi_2(x)}{(Th_r)(x)} \right)$$
$$= (Tf)(g(x)) \cdot (Tg)(x) .$$

Therefore  $T(f \circ g) = (Tf) \circ g \cdot Tg$  follows.

By [AKM], see also [KM], equation (10) implies that there is  $p \ge 0$  and there is a continuous positive function  $H \in C(\mathbb{R})$  such that we have either  $Tf = \frac{H \circ f}{H} |f'|^p$  for all  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  or in the case p > 0 that  $Tf = \frac{H \circ f}{H} |f'|^p \operatorname{sgn}(f')$  for all  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

In the case of the Leibniz rule, we have the following rigidity result.

**Proposition 5** Suppose  $T: C^1(\mathbb{R}) \to C(\mathbb{R})$  is an operator and  $a: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a function such that for all  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$|T(f \cdot g)(x) - Tf(x) \cdot g(x) - f(x) \cdot Tg(x)| \le a(x) . \tag{11}$$

Assume for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there are functions  $h_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |h_n(x)| = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|Th_n(x)|}{|h_n(x)|} = 0$ . Then for all  $f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$T(f \cdot g) = Tf \cdot g + f \cdot Tg . \tag{12}$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h_n$  be as in the statement of the proposition. Then (11) implies

$$\left| \frac{T(f \cdot h_n)(x)}{h_n(x)} - Tf(x) - f(x) \cdot \frac{Th_n(x)}{h_n(x)} \right| \le \frac{a(x)}{|h_n(x)|},$$

so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{T(f\cdot h_n)(x)}{h_n(x)} = Tf(x)$ . This implies for  $f,g\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  that

$$\left| \frac{T(f \cdot g \cdot h_n)(x)}{h_n(x)} - f(x) \cdot \frac{T(g \cdot h_n)(x)}{h_n(x)} - g(x) \cdot Tf(x) \right| \le \frac{a(x)}{|h_n(x)|},$$

which implies that  $T(f \cdot g) = Tf \cdot g + f \cdot Tg$ .

Equation (12) implies by [KM] or [KM1] that there are continuous functions  $c, d : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that T has the form

$$Tf(x) = c(x) f'(x) + d(x) f(x) \ln |f(x)|$$

for all  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

## References

- [AAM] S. Alesker, S. Artstein-Avidan, V. Milman; A characterization of the Fourier transform and related topics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 226 (2009), 11-26.
- [AAFM] S. Alesker, S. Artstein-Avidan, D. Faifman, V. Milman; A characterization of product preserving maps with applications to a characterization of the Fourier transform, Illinois J. Math. 54 (2010), 1115-1132.
- [AFM] S. Artstein-Avidan, D. Faifman, V. Milman; On multiplicative maps of continuous and smooth functions, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. 2050, 35-59, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [AKM] S. Artstein-Avidan, H. König, V. Milman; The chain rule as a functional equation, Journ. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), 2999-3024.
- [KM] H. König, V. Milman; Operator relations characterizing derivatives, Birkhäuser, 2018.
- [KM1] H. König, V. Milman; Characterizing the derivative and the entropy function by the Leibniz rule, with an appendix by D. Faifman, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), 1325-1344.
- [M] A.N. Milgram; Multiplicative semigroups of continuous functions, Duke Math. J. 16 (1940), 377-383.
- [MS] J. Mrčun, P. Šemrl; Multplicative bijections between algebras of differentiable functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 32 (2007), 471-480.

H. König Mathematisches Seminar Universität Kiel 24098 Kiel, GERMANY

V. Milman School of Mathematical Sciences Tel Aviv University Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978, ISRAEL