

Umbral insights into a hybrid family of hypergeometric and Mittag-Leffler functions

Subuhi Khan¹, Ujair Ahmad², Mehnaz Haneef³

Department of Mathematics,
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202001, U.P., India

Abstract

The umbral approach provides methods for comprehending and redefining special functions. This approach is employed efficiently in order to uncover intricacies and introduce new families of special functions. In this article, the umbral perspective is adopted to introduce a hybrid family of hypergeometric and Mittag-Leffler functions. The umbral-operational procedures are used to derive the generating functions, explicit representations, differential recurrence formulae, and specific integral formulae. Further, the Laplace and Sumudu transforms for the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions are established. The graphical representation and pattern for distribution of zeros for suitable values of parameters are also presented.

Key Words: Umbral methods; Hypergeometric functions; Mittag-Leffler functions; Hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A40; 33C05; 33C20; 33E12; 44A10; 44A99.

1 Preliminaries

Hypergeometric functions [1] are generalizations of the higher-order transcendental functions, captivating with the intriguing properties that make them invaluable. The theoretical framework of hypergeometric functions has been recently reformulated [3] based on the indicial umbral calculus (IUC), developed in the last two decades by Dattoli and coworkers, see for example [2,4] (for a recent updated review see [6]). The IUC formalism deepens its roots in the classical Roman-Rota umbral calculus [9], which fixes the abstract notation and the operational rules allowing the handling of formal series.

The IUC is an evolution of the monomiality principle [11], which in turn share analogies with the operational calculus. The IUC allows the possibility of formal handling of higher transcendental functions as ordinary transcendent or rational functions. The formal tools to accomplish such a realization are created through the “image” and the “vacuum” functions. Within this framework, the image of the Bessel functions is a Gaussian or a Lorentzian, depending on the adopted vacuum function.

The function

$$\zeta_u := \frac{1}{\Gamma(u+1)},$$

¹E-mail: subuhi2006@gmail.com (Subuhi Khan) (Corresponding author)

²E-mail: gi8986@myamu.ac.in (Ujair Ahmad)

³E-mail: mehnaz272@gmail.com (Mehnaz Haneef)

is called the vacuum function or umbral vacuum. The umbral operator or shift operator \hat{c} is defined by:

$$\hat{c} = e^{\partial u},$$

with u being the variable on which the operator acts.

We recall that \hat{c}^μ acts on the corresponding vacuum ζ_0 as follows:

$$\hat{c}^\mu \zeta_0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu + u + 1)} \Big|_{u=0} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

The hypergeometric functions are encased within umbral formalism for the first time by Dattoli *et al.* [3] by introducing their umbral form as:

$${}_2F_1(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = e^u {}_2\hat{\chi}_1 \Phi_0. \quad (1.2)$$

Here, the vacuum function

$$\Phi_r = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r},$$

with the corresponding umbral operator or vacuum shift operator

$${}_2\hat{\chi}_1 = e^{\partial u_1} e^{\partial u_2} e^{\partial u_3},$$

acts on vacuum Φ_0 such that

$${}_2\hat{\chi}_1^r \Phi_0 := \Phi_r = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r}. \quad (1.3)$$

In view of equations (1.2) and (1.3), the series representation for hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ is obtained.

Note 1. *The vacuum function can be specified by the following function:*

$$\Phi(\mathbf{a}_1, u_1, \mathbf{a}_2, u_2, \mathbf{b}_1, u_3) = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_{u_1} (\mathbf{a}_2)_{u_2}}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{u_3}}.$$

with u_1, u_2, u_3 as the domain's variables of the function on which the operator acts.

Further

$$e^{d\partial u} (a)_u = \frac{\Gamma(u + d + a)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

In conclusion, we can write

$$e^r \partial u_1 e^r \partial u_2 e^r \partial u_3 \Phi(\mathbf{a}_1, u_1, \mathbf{a}_2, u_2, \mathbf{b}_1, u_3) \Big|_{u_1=u_2=u_3=0} = {}_2\hat{\chi}_1^r \Phi_0 := \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r}.$$

Further, we recall that the generalized hypergeometric functions are defined by the following series [1]:

$${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r \cdots (\mathbf{a}_p)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r (\mathbf{b}_2)_r \cdots (\mathbf{b}_q)_r} \frac{u^r}{r!}. \quad (1.4)$$

The umbral form of the generalized hypergeometric functions is introduced as [3]:

$${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) = e^u {}_p\hat{\chi}_q \Phi_0, \quad (1.5)$$

where, ${}_p\hat{\chi}_q$ is the umbral operator which acts on the umbral vacuum Φ_0 such that

$${}_p\hat{\chi}_q^r \Phi_0 = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r \cdots (\mathbf{a}_p)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r (\mathbf{b}_2)_r \cdots (\mathbf{b}_q)_r}. \quad (1.6)$$

We recall that the classical Mittag-Leffler functions of one parameter were initially studied in [7] to address divergent series summation methods. These functions play pivotal role in solving differential and integral equations of fractional order [5]. These equations emerge in various applied scientific disciplines such as physics, chemistry, engineering, and biology. The Mittag-Leffler functions hold a fundamental significance in fractional calculus, akin to the exponential function in traditional calculus, driving its extensive study.

Wiman [14] introduced the 2-parameter Mittag-Leffler functions in the following form:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{\Gamma(\alpha m + \beta)}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}; \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (1.7)$$

The Mittag-Leffler functions play a significant role in the umbral calculus. Dattoli *et al.* [2] considered the umbral restyling of the Mittag-Leffler functions using operator (1.1) in the following form:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = \frac{\hat{c}^{(\beta-1)}}{1 - \hat{c}^{\alpha u}} \zeta_0. \quad (1.8)$$

Another umbral operator $\hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}$, which acts on the vacuum function

$$\Psi_u := \frac{\Gamma(u+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha u + \beta)}, \quad (1.9)$$

is taken as [2]:

$$\hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}^k \Psi_u = \frac{\Gamma(k+u+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha(k+u) + \beta)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}; \quad k + \mathbf{Re}(u) \geq -1. \quad (1.10)$$

From equation (1.10), it follows that

$$\hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}^k \Psi_0 := \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}^k \Psi_u \Big|_{u=0} = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}; \quad k \geq -1. \quad (1.11)$$

Consequently, in view of equations (1.7) and (1.11), an alternate umbral form of the 2-parameter Mittag-Leffler functions is given as [2]:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = e^{u \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}} \Psi_0. \quad (1.12)$$

Inspired by the applications of umbral methods, this article aims to introduce a distinctive hybrid family of special functions termed as hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions.

The layout of this article unfolds as follows: In Section 2, the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions are introduced using umbral techniques. The series expansion, generating equation

and certain differential relations are derived. In Section 3, integral representations for the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions are derived. In Section 4, the Laplace and Sumudu transforms for the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions along with graphical illustrations of functions for certain specific values of parameters are explored. The relations of the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions with other functions are considered in Appendix.

2 Hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions

To introduce the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions (HMLF) denoted by ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$, we make use of the umbral operator of hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(\mathbf{a}_1; \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ in the Mittag-Leffler functions $E_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$. Replacing the variable u in $E_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ by the umbral operator ${}_2\hat{\chi}_1$ times u , we have

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = E_{\alpha,\beta}(u {}_2\hat{\chi}_1)\Phi_0. \quad (2.1)$$

Using umbral form (1.8) of Mittag-Leffler functions in r.h.s. of equation (2.1), the following umbral form of the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ is obtained in terms of the operator \hat{c} :

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \frac{\hat{c}^{(\beta-1)}}{1 - \hat{c}^\alpha u {}_2\hat{\chi}_1} \Phi_0 \zeta_0. \quad (2.2)$$

Further, using umbral form (1.5) of the generalized hypergeometric functions and umbral operator (1.6), the umbral form of the generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ in terms of the operator \hat{c} is given as:

$${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) = \frac{\hat{c}^{(\beta-1)}}{1 - \hat{c}^\alpha u {}_p\hat{\chi}_q} \Phi_0 \zeta_0. \quad (2.3)$$

Again, by using the alternate umbral form (1.12) of Mittag-Leffler functions in equation (2.1), the following alternate umbral form of HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ is obtained:

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = e^{u {}_2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (2.4)$$

In view of equation (1.5) and operator (1.6), the umbral form of the generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ is given as:

$${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) = e^{u {}_p\hat{\chi}_q \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (2.5)$$

Next, we establish the series representation of the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ by proving the following result:

Theorem 1. *For the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$, the following series expansion holds true:*

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r \Gamma(\alpha r + \beta)} u^r, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Expanding the denominator in equation (2.2) and simplifying, it follows that

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \hat{c}^{(\alpha r + \beta - 1)} u^r {}_2\hat{\chi}_1^r \Phi_0 \zeta_0, \quad (2.7)$$

which on using operator actions (1.1) and (1.3) yields assertion (2.6).

Alternately, expanding the exponential function in the r.h.s. of equation (2.4), we find

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^r {}_2\hat{\chi}_1^r \hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)}^r}{r!} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (2.8)$$

Use of operator actions (1.3) and (1.11) in equation (2.8) also leads to assertion (2.6), which confirms the fact that umbral forms (2.2) and (2.4) of HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ are equivalent. \square

Considering umbral form (2.5) of the generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ and following the lines proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 2. *For the generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$, the following series expansion holds true:*

$${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r \cdots (\mathbf{a}_p)_r u^r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r (\mathbf{b}_2)_r \cdots (\mathbf{b}_q)_r \Gamma(\alpha r + \beta)}. \quad (2.9)$$

If $p \leq q$, the series converges for all finite u and if $p = q + 1$, the series converges for $|u| < 1$ and diverges for $|u| > 1$. If $p > q + 1$, the series diverges for $u \neq 0$.

Next, we establish an identity for the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ by proving the following result:

Theorem 3. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following identity involving hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta - n\alpha)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) &= \frac{u^n (\mathbf{a}_1)_n (\mathbf{a}_2)_n}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_n} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1 + n, \mathbf{a}_2 + n; \mathbf{b}_1 + n; u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_{n-r} (\mathbf{a}_2)_{n-r}}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{n-r}} \frac{u^{(n-r)}}{\Gamma(\beta - r\alpha)}; \quad \beta > r\alpha, \forall r \leq n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Replacing β by $\beta - \alpha$ in equation (2.6), it follows that:

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta - \alpha)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha)} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r \Gamma(\alpha(r-1) + \beta)} u^r,$$

which on replacing r by $s + 1$ in the r.h.s. and rearranging the series takes the form

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta - \alpha)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha)} + \frac{u \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2}{\mathbf{b}_1} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1 + 1)_s (\mathbf{a}_2 + 1)_s}{(\mathbf{b}_1 + 1)_s \Gamma(\alpha s + \beta)} u^s. \quad (2.11)$$

Using series definition (2.6) in the r.h.s. of equation (2.11), we find

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta - \alpha)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \frac{u \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2}{\mathbf{b}_1} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1 + 1, \mathbf{a}_2 + 1; \mathbf{b}_1 + 1; u) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Next, replacing β by $\beta - 2\alpha$ in equation (2.6), we find

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta - 2\alpha)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - 2\alpha)} + \frac{u \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2}{\mathbf{b}_1 \Gamma(\beta - \alpha)} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r \Gamma(\alpha(r-2) + \beta)} u^r.$$

Replacing r by $s+2$ in the r.h.s. and following the same procedure as above, we find

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta - 2\alpha)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \frac{u^2 (\mathbf{a}_1)_2 (\mathbf{a}_2)_2}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_2} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1 + 2, \mathbf{a}_2 + 2; \mathbf{b}_1 + 2; u) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - 2\alpha)} + \frac{u \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2}{\mathbf{b}_1 \Gamma(\beta - \alpha)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Continuing the process of iteration, assertion (2.10) is proved. \square

Further, we derive certain differential relations for the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ in terms of the generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ in the form of following result:

Theorem 4. *For the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$, the following differential relations hold true:*

$$D_u^m [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = \frac{m! (\mathbf{a}_1)_m (\mathbf{a}_2)_m}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_m} {}_3F_2 E_{(\alpha, m\alpha + \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1 + m, \mathbf{a}_2 + m, m + 1; \mathbf{b}_1 + m, 1; u). \quad (2.14)$$

$$D_{\hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)}}^m [e^{u \ 2\hat{\chi}_1} \hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0] = \frac{u^m (\mathbf{a}_1)_m (\mathbf{a}_2)_m}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_m} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1 + m, \mathbf{a}_2 + m; \mathbf{b}_1 + m; u). \quad (2.15)$$

$$\prod_{n=1}^m D_{n+1 \hat{\chi}_n} [e^{u \ 2\hat{\chi}_1} \hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0] = u^m {}_{m+2}F_{m+1} E_{(\alpha, m\alpha + \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, 2, 2, \dots, 2; \mathbf{b}_1, 1, 1, \dots, 1; u). \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. Differentiating equation (2.4) w.r.t. u , we find

$$D_u [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = 2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)} e^{u \ 2\hat{\chi}_1} \hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0,$$

Expanding the exponential and rearranging the terms, it follows that

$$D_u [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^r \ 2\hat{\chi}_1^{(r+1)} \hat{d}_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{(r+1)}}{r!} \Psi_0 \Phi_0.$$

Applying operator equations (1.3) and (1.11) and simplifying the resultant equation by making use of identity

$$(d)_{n+m} = (d)_m (d+m)_n, \quad (2.17)$$

we have

$$D_u [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 (\mathbf{a}_1 + 1)_r \mathbf{a}_2 (\mathbf{a}_2 + 1)_r (r+1) \Gamma(r+1)}{\mathbf{b}_1 (\mathbf{b}_1 + 1)_r r! \Gamma(\alpha r + \alpha + \beta)} u^r.$$

Expressing $r + 1$ as $\frac{(2)_r}{(1)_r}$ in above equation and using definition (2.9) for $p = 3$ and $q = 2$, we find

$$D_u [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2}{\mathbf{b}_1} {}_3F_2 E_{(\alpha,\alpha+\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1 + 1, \mathbf{a}_2 + 1, 2; \mathbf{b}_1 + 1, 1; u),$$

which shows that result (2.14) holds true for $m = 1$.

Assuming that result (2.14) holds for $m = k$, so that in view of equations (2.5) and (2.9), we have

$$D_u^k [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = \frac{k!(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} e^{u \, {}_3\hat{\chi}_2} \hat{d}_{(\alpha,k\alpha+\beta)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0, \quad (2.18)$$

where

$${}_3\hat{\chi}_2^r \Phi_0 = \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1 + k)_r (\mathbf{a}_2 + k)_r (k + 1)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1 + k)_r (1)_r}.$$

Differentiating equation (2.18) w.r.t. u , it gives

$$D_u^{k+1} [{}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)] = \frac{k!(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^r \, {}_3\hat{\chi}_2^{r+1} \hat{d}_{(\alpha,k\alpha+\beta)}^{r+1}}{r!} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (2.19)$$

Applying operator equations (1.6) and (1.11) in the r.h.s. of equation (2.19) and using definition (2.9), it follows that equation (2.14) holds true for $m = k + 1$. Hence by induction, assertion (2.14) is proved.

Proceeding on the same lines as above, assertions (2.15) and (2.16) can be proved. \square

In order to further bolster the legitimacy of the umbral formalism, we delve into certain integral representations in the next section.

3 Integral representations

In this section, certain integral representation for the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ are obtained in terms of the generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ by proving the following results:

Theorem 5. *For the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$, the following integral representation holds true:*

$$\int u^\delta {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \frac{u^{\delta+1}}{\delta + 1} {}_3F_2 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \delta + 1; \mathbf{b}_1, \delta + 2; u). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Multiplying equation (2.8) by u^δ and integrating the resultant equation w.r.t. u , it follows that:

$$\int u^\delta {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{(r+\delta+1)} {}_2\hat{\chi}_1^r \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}^r}{r!(\delta + r + 1)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0.$$

Applying umbral actions (1.3) and (1.11) in the r.h.s. of above equation and using the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{\delta + r + 1} = \frac{\Gamma(\delta + 1)(\delta + 1)_r}{\Gamma(\delta + 2)(\delta + 2)_r},$$

we find

$$\int u^\delta {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \frac{u^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r (\delta+1)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r (\delta+2)_r \Gamma(\alpha r + \beta)} u^r, \quad (3.2)$$

which in view of definition (2.9), yields assertion (3.1). \square

Remark 1. Following the lines of proof of Theorem 5, we obtain the analogous result for the generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$.

Theorem 6. The following integral representation holds for the generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int u^\delta {}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) du &= \frac{u^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \\ &\times {}_{p+1}F_{q+1} E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p, \delta+1; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q, \delta+2; u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 2. Taking $\delta = 0$ in equations (3.1) and (3.3), we deduce the following consequences of Theorems 5 and 6:

Corollary 1. For the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ and generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$, the following integral representations hold:

$$\int {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = u {}_3F_2 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, 1; \mathbf{b}_1, 2; u),$$

$$\int {}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) du = u {}_{p+1}F_{q+1} E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p, 1; \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q, 2; u).$$

Theorem 7. For the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$, the following integral representation holds:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} {}_5F_2 E_{(2\alpha,\beta)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}; \frac{4}{\delta} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Multiplying equation (2.4) by $e^{-\delta u^2}$ and integrating w.r.t. u between the limits $-\infty$ to ∞ by making use of integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(ax^2+bx+c)} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \exp \left\{ \frac{b^2}{4a} + c \right\}, \quad (3.5)$$

it follows that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \exp \left\{ \frac{(2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)})^2}{4\delta} \right\} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Expanding the exponential in the r.h.s. of equation (3.6) and using umbral operators (1.3) and (1.11), we find

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2r+1) (\mathbf{a}_1)_{2r} (\mathbf{a}_2)_{2r}}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{2r} (4\delta)^r \Gamma(2\alpha r + \beta) r!},$$

which on making use of identities

$$(d)_{2r} = 2^{2r} \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)_r \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$(2r)! = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{2r} r! \Gamma\left(r + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (3.8)$$

gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_2+1}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{4}{\delta}\right)^r}{\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}\right)_r \left(\frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}\right)_r \Gamma(2\alpha r + \beta)}.$$

Finally, using equation (2.9), assertion (3.4) follows. \square

Following the lines of proof of Theorem 7, the integral representation for the generalized HMLF ${}_{q+1}F_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{q+1}; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ is obtained by iteration method in the form of following result:

Theorem 8. *For the generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_{q+1}F_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{q+1}; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$, the following integral holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_{q+1}F_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{q+1}; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \\ & \times {}_{2(q+1)+1}F_{2q} E_{(2\alpha, \beta)}\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2(q+1)}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2(q+1)}+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{2q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{2q}+1}{2}; \frac{4}{\delta}\right), \\ & |u| < 1. \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. *By extending the approach used in proving Theorems 7 and 8, we get the following results:*

Theorem 9. *Following integral representation holds true for the generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_qF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_qF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \\ & \times {}_{2q+1}F_{2q} E_{(2\alpha, \beta)}\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2q}+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{2q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{2q}+1}{2}; \frac{4}{\delta}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Theorem 10. For the generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_qF_{q+1}E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{q+1}; u)$, the following integral representation holds true:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_qF_{q+1}E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{q+1}; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \\ \times {}_{2q+1}F_{2(q+1)}E_{(2\alpha,\beta)}\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2q}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_{2q}+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{2(q+1)}}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_{2(q+1)}+1}{2}; \frac{1}{4\delta}\right). \quad (3.11)$$

Finally, we derive the integral representations involving the product of the HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ with *sine* and *cosine* functions.

Theorem 11. Following integral representations involving the product of hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ with *sine* and *cosine* functions hold true:

$$\int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) \sin(u) du = {}_6F_2E_{(2\alpha,\beta)}\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}; -16\right) \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) \cos(u) du = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2}{\mathbf{b}_1} \\ \times {}_6F_2E_{(2\alpha,\alpha+\beta)}\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+2}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2+2}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 1; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+2}{2}; -16\right), \quad (3.13)$$

respectively.

Proof. Replacing u by $-u$ in equation (2.4) then multiplying the result by e^{-iu} and integrating both sides w.r.t. u between the limits 0 and ∞ , we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) e^{-iu} du = \frac{-i}{1-i} {}_2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (3.14)$$

Performing certain rearrangements in equation (3.14) and applying operator equations (1.3) and (1.11), it follows that:

$$\int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) e^{-iu} du = -i \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} i^r {}_2\hat{\chi}_1^r \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}^r \Psi_0 \Phi_0 = -i \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r (1)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r \Gamma(\alpha r + \beta)} i^r,$$

which in view of equations (2.5) and (2.9) takes the form

$$\int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) e^{-iu} du = -i e^{i {}_3\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}} \Psi_0 \Phi_0, \quad (3.15)$$

Consequently, we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} {}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) (\cos u - i \sin u) du = \left\{ \sin \left({}_3\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right) - i \cos \left({}_3\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right) \right\} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (3.16)$$

Equating imaginary parts in equation (3.16), we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) \sin(u) du = \cos\left({}_3\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}\right) \Psi_0 \Phi_0,$$

which on using series expansion of *cosine function* and applying operator actions (1.6) and (1.11), becomes

$$\int_0^\infty {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) \sin(u) du = \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^r (\mathbf{a}_1)_{2r} (\mathbf{a}_2)_{2r} (1)_{2r} \Gamma(2r+1)}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{2r} (2r)! \Gamma(2\alpha r + \beta)}.$$

Use of identity (3.7) in the above equation yields assertion (3.12).

Further, equating the real parts in equation (3.16), we find

$$\int_0^\infty {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) \cos(u) du = \sin\left({}_3\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}\right) \Psi_0 \Phi_0.$$

Expanding the *sine function* and applying umbral actions (1.6) and (1.11), we have

$$\int_0^\infty {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) \cos(u) du = \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^r (\mathbf{a}_1)_{2r+1} (\mathbf{a}_2)_{2r+1} (1)_{2r+1} \Gamma(2r+2)}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_{2r+1} (2r+1)! \Gamma(2\alpha r + (\alpha + \beta))},$$

which on making use of identities (2.17) and (3.7) yields assertion (3.13). \square

In the next section, the Laplace and Sumudu transforms for the hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions are established. The graphical representations and distribution of zeros of the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ are also considered.

4 Conclusions

We have studied many facets of the umbral formalism and its application to the HMLF in previous sections. The distinctive characteristics of the stated class of functions are explored and analyzed with special emphasis on the relevant series representation, differential and integral formulae.

Integral transformations and special functions play an important role in mathematics and engineering. Here, we extend the formalism to explore the Laplace and Sumudu transformation. The Laplace and Sumudu transformations have given a new spirit in solving several problems confronted in engineering applications.

We recall that the Laplace transform [10] of the function $f(t)$ is defined as:

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}\{f(t) : s\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt, \quad t > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

provided the limit exists.

Also, we recall that the Sumudu transform [13] is defined as:

$$G(u) = \mathcal{S}\{f(t) : u\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} f(ut) dt. \quad (4.2)$$

Replacing u by $-t$ in equation (2.4) then multiplying the result by e^{-st} and integrating both sides w.r.t. t between the limits 0 and ∞ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-st-t} {}_2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0 dt,$$

which on performing integration in the r.h.s. takes the form

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -t) dt = \frac{1}{s + 2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (4.3)$$

Performing certain rearrangements in equation (4.3) and applying operators (1.3) and (1.11) in the resultant, it follows that:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -t) dt = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r (1)_r}{(\mathbf{b}_1)_r \Gamma(\alpha r + \beta)} \left(\frac{-1}{s}\right)^r.$$

In view of equations (2.5), (2.9) and definition (4.1), we get the following Laplace transform for HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$:

$$\mathcal{L}\{ {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -t) : s\} = \frac{1}{s} {}_3F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{b}_1; -1/s). \quad (4.4)$$

Again, replacing u by $-ut$ in equation (2.4) then multiplying by e^{-t} and integrating w.r.t. t between the limits 0 and ∞ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -ut) dt = \frac{1}{1 + u {}_2\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(\alpha,\beta)}} \Psi_0 \Phi_0.$$

Following the lines of proof of transform (4.4) and using definition (4.2) in the resultant, yields the following Sumudu transformation for HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$:

$$\mathcal{S}\{ {}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -t) : u\} = {}_3F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{b}_1; -u). \quad (4.5)$$

Graphical representations are valuable assets across numerous domains such as science, engineering, technology, finance, and other fields. They offer swift and intuitive insights into the behaviour of functions through graphs and plots. Consequently, this increases the effectiveness of the research process.

We aim to explore the geometry of HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ using the software ‘‘Mathematica’’. Using series expansion (2.6), we draw the graphs of HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$.

Figure 1, represents the graph of HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(2,3)}(3, 1; 3; u)$.

Figure 2, represents the graph of HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(2,1)}(1, 1; 1; u)$.




Figure 1: Graph of ${}_2F_1E_{(2,3)}(3, 1; 3; u)$




Figure 2: Graph of ${}_2F_1E_{(2,1)}(1, 1; 1; u)$




Figure 3: Graph of ${}_2F_1E_{(1,2)}(3, 5; 1; u)$




Figure 4: Graph of ${}_2F_1E_{(1,4)}(1, 2; 3; u)$




Figure 5: Zeros of ${}_2F_1E_{(1,3)}(1.5, 2; 1.5; u)$




Figure 6: Zeros of ${}_2F_1E_{(1,4)}(1, 1; 1; u)$

Figure 3, represents the graph of HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(1,2)}(3, 5; 1; u)$.

Figure 4, represents the graph of HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(1,4)}(1, 2; 3; u)$.

To elucidate the distribution of pattern of zeros of the HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$, we visually represent these zeros for specific values of the parameters. These illustrations show different pattern of distribution of zeros of HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$.

Figure 5, represents the zeros of HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(1,3)}(1.5, 2; 1.5; u)$.

Figure 6, represents the zeros of HMLF ${}_2F_1E_{(1,4)}(1, 1; 1; u)$.

We observe that until now, our discussion has been focused on the single-variable HMLF, which has significant relevance in various contexts. However, prior to concluding this article, it is important to note that multi-variable extension may be considered, especially when exploring practical applications. In an upcoming study, we plan to utilize and expand upon the formalism, we have developed so far, aiming to establish the umbral restyling of multi-variable HMLF and other hybrid forms. These extensions will allow us to delve deeper into the complexities and broader implications of these functions in diverse mathematical and scientific domains.

Appendix

For suitable selection of parameters in the generalized hypergeometric-Mittag-Leffler functions ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$, we get certain important connections of HMLF with other functions.

1. For $p = 4$, $q = 3$; taking $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = m + 1$ in equation (2.9), the following relation is obtained:

$${}_4F_3 E_{(1,m+1)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1 + m}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 + m + 1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2 + m}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2 + m + 1}{2}; 1, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1 + m}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1 + m + 1}{2}; -u^2 \right) \\ = \left(\frac{2}{u} \right)^m \frac{(\mathbf{b}_1)_m}{(\mathbf{a}_1)_m (\mathbf{a}_2)_m} {}_2F_1 \mathcal{J}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where, hypergeometric-Bessel functions (HBF) ${}_2F_1 \mathcal{J}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ are recently introduced in [12]:

$${}_2F_1 \mathcal{J}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r (\mathbf{a}_1)_{m+2r} (\mathbf{a}_2)_{m+2r}}{r! \Gamma(m+r+1) (\mathbf{b}_1)_{m+2r}} \left(\frac{u}{2} \right)^{m+2r}.$$

Using relation (A.1) in integral representation (3.9), we get the following integral for HBF ${}_2F_1 \mathcal{J}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\delta u^2}}{u^{m/2}} {}_2F_1 \mathcal{J}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; \sqrt{u}) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \frac{(\mathbf{a}_1)_m (\mathbf{a}_2)_m}{2^m (\mathbf{b}_1)_m} \\ \times {}_8F_5 E_{(2,m+1)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1 + m}{4}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 + m + 1}{4}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 + m + 2}{4}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1 + m + 3}{4}, \dots; 1, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1 + m}{4}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1 + m + 1}{4}, \dots; \frac{4}{\delta} \right). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

2. For $p = 2$, $q = 2$; taking $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = m + 1$ in equation (2.9), the following connection is obtained:

$${}_2F_2 E_{(1,m+1)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1, 1; -u) = {}_2F_1 \mathcal{C}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where, the hypergeometric-Tricomi functions (HTF) ${}_2F_1 \mathcal{C}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ are given as [12]:

$${}_2F_1 \mathcal{C}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^r (\mathbf{a}_1)_r (\mathbf{a}_2)_r}{\Gamma(m+r+1) r! (\mathbf{b}_1)_r}.$$

Making use of connection (A.3) in integrals (3.3) and (3.10), the following integrals for HTF ${}_2F_1 \mathcal{C}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ are obtained:

$$\int u^\delta {}_2F_1 \mathcal{C}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) du = \frac{u^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} {}_3F_3 E_{(1,m+1)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \delta+1; \mathbf{b}_1, 1, \delta+2; u), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_2F_1 \mathcal{C}_m(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; -u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \times {}_4F_3 E_{(2,m+1)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2+1}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{b}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{b}_1+1}{2}, 1; \frac{1}{\delta} \right). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

3. For $p = 2, q = 1$; taking $b_1 = 1$ in equation (2.9), we find the following relation between the HMLF ${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; 1; u)$ and Fox-Wright function ${}_2\psi_1$ [1]:

$${}_2F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; 1; u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_1)\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_2)} {}_2\psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\mathbf{a}_1, 1) \\ (\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix}; u \right]. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Making use of relation (A.6) in equations (3.1) and (3.4), we get following results for Fox-Wright function ${}_2\psi_1$:

$$\int u^\delta {}_2\psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\mathbf{a}_1, 1) \\ (\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix}; u \right] du = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_1)\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_2)u^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} {}_3F_2 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \delta+1; 1, \delta+2; u), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_1)\Gamma(\mathbf{a}_2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_2\psi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} (\mathbf{a}_1, 1) \\ (\alpha, \beta) \end{matrix}; u \right] du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} \times {}_4F_1 E_{(2\alpha,\beta)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_2+1}{2}; 1; \frac{4}{\delta} \right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

4. For $p = q = 1$; taking $b_1 = 1$ in equation (2.9), we find the following relation between confluent HMLF ${}_1F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1; 1; u)$ and Prabhakar function $E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathbf{a}_1}(u)$ [8]:

$${}_1F_1 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1; 1; u) = E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathbf{a}_1}(u). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Using connection (A.9) in integrals (3.3) and (3.10), the following integrals are obtained for Prabhakar function $E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathbf{a}_1}(u)$:

$$\int u^\delta E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathbf{a}_1}(u) du = \frac{u^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} {}_2F_2 E_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \delta+1; 1, \delta+2; u), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} E_{(\alpha,\beta)}^{\mathbf{a}_1}(u) du = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} {}_2F_1 E_{(2\alpha,\beta)} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2}, \frac{\mathbf{a}_1+1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{\delta} \right). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

5. For $p = 2, q = 1$; taking $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in equation (2.9), we get

$${}_2F_1 E_{(1,1)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u) = {}_2F_1(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

6. For $p = 0, q = 1$; taking $\alpha = b_1 = 1$ and $\beta = 3/2$ in equation (2.9), we find

$$\frac{u\sqrt{\pi}}{2} {}_0F_1 E_{(1,3/2)} \left(-; 1; -\frac{u^2}{4} \right) = \sin u. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

7. For $p = 0, q = 1$; taking $\alpha = b_1 = 1$ and $\beta = 1/2$ in equation (2.9), we get

$$\sqrt{\pi} {}_0F_1 E_{(1,1/2)} \left(-; 1; -\frac{u^2}{4} \right) = \cos u. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

In Section 3, the integral representations for HMLF ${}_2F_1(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$ and generalized HMLF ${}_pF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$, ${}_qF_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$, ${}_{q+1}F_q E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{q+1}; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_q; u)$ and ${}_qF_{q+1} E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_q; \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{q+1}; u)$ are established. Here, in Appendix, we obtained certain integral representations by considering special cases of these functions. However, it is not necessary that the integrals for all these particular cases can be obtained from the results established in Section 3. As an illustration, we derive the following integral representation for cosine function in terms of the HMLF ${}_0F_1 E_{(\alpha, \beta)}(-; \mathbf{b}_1; u)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} \cos \sqrt{u} du = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}} {}_0F_1 E_{(2,1/2)}(-; 1; 1/(64\delta)). \quad (\text{A.15})$$

In order to illustrate the process, we use definition (2.5) in connection (A.15), so that we have

$$e^{-\delta u^2} \cos(\sqrt{u}) = \sqrt{\pi} e^{-\delta u^2} {}_0F_1 E_{(1,1/2)}(-; 1; -u/4) = \sqrt{\pi} e^{-\delta u^2} e^{(-u/4)} {}_0\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(1,1/2)} \Psi_0 \Phi_0, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where

$${}_0\hat{\chi}_1^r = \frac{1}{(1)_r}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Integrating (A.16) w.r.t. u between the limits $-\infty$ to ∞ by making use of integral (3.5), it follows that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} \cos \sqrt{u} du = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}} \exp \left\{ \frac{({}_0\hat{\chi}_1 \hat{d}_{(1,1/2)})^2}{(4)^2(4\delta)} \right\} \Psi_0 \Phi_0. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Expanding the exponential in the r.h.s. of equation (A.18) and using umbral operators (1.11) and (A.17), we find

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} \cos \sqrt{u} du = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2r+1)}{(1)_{2r} \Gamma(2r+1/2) r!} \left(\frac{1}{64\delta} \right)^r,$$

which on making use of identities (3.7) and (3.8), gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\delta u^2} \cos \sqrt{u} du = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1)_r \Gamma(2r+1/2)} \left(\frac{1}{64\delta} \right)^r.$$

Finally, use of equation (2.9) for $p = 0$ and $q = 1$, yields integral (A.15).

Funding

This work has been done under Junior Research Fellowship (Ref No. 231610072319, dated:29/07/2023) awarded to the second author by University Grand Commission, Government of India, New Delhi.

Declaration of competing interest

None.

References

- [1] L. C. Andrews, Special Functions for Engineers and Applied Mathematicians, Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1985.
- [2] G. Dattoli, K. Gorska, A. Horzela, S. Licciardi, R. M. Pidotella, Comments on the properties of Mittag-Leffler functions, *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **226** (2017) 3427-3443.
- [3] G. Dattoli, Mehnaz Haneef, Subuhi Khan, S. Licciardi, Unveiling new perspectives of hypergeometric functions using umbral techniques, doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.17209.
- [4] G. Dattoli, Subuhi Khan, S. Licciardi, Mehnaz Haneef, Umbral methods and generalized Bessel functions, *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* (*In press*).
- [5] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Math. Stud., Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam **204** 2006.
- [6] S. Licciardi, G. Dattoli, Guide to the Umbral Calculus, A Different Mathematical Language, World Scientific, 2022.
- [7] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(z)$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **137** (1903) 554-558.
- [8] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, *Yokohama Math. J.* **19**(1) (1971) 7-15.
- [9] S. M. Roman, G. C. Rota, The umbral calculus, *Adv. in Math.* **27** (1978) 95-188.
- [10] M. R. Spiegel, Laplace Transforms, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
- [11] J. F. Steffensen, The poweroid, an extension of the mathematical notion of power, *Acta Math.* **73** (1941) 333-366.
- [12] Subuhi Khan, Mehnaz Haneef, Exploring hypergeometric-Bessel functions and hypergeometric-Tricomi functions through umbral approach, *Under review*.
- [13] G. K. Watugala, Sumudu transform: A new integral transform to solve differential equations and control engineering problems, *Math. Eng. Indust.* **4** (1998) 319-329.
- [14] A. Wiman, Uber den fundamental Satz in der theorie der funktionen $E_\alpha(z)$, *Acta Math.* **29** (1905) 191-201.