Effect of cross terms of Onsager formalism (vacancy wind effect) considering the molar volume of diffusing elements in ternary and multicomponent solid solutions

Aloke Paul*

Department of Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India-560012

*Corresponding author, Email: <u>aloke@iisc.ac.in</u>

Abstract

This study proposes the correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients, considering actual molar volumes of the diffusing elements established in guidance for Manning's analysis. Manning established this correlation by assuming constant molar volume variation and mentioning the need for correction when molar volume is not constant. On the other hand, molar volume variation is never exactly constant, which may vary ideally (Vegard's law) or non-ideally in solid solutions. A recent study in the binary systems indicates that consideration of molar volumes of the elements following the ideal variation, when actual non-ideal molar volume variation is not available, estimated more accurate data instead of consideration of constant molar volume variation. The same is expected for ternary and multicomponent systems. This could not be practiced earlier because of the non-availability of this correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients, but it is possible now with the analysis proposed in this article. This correlation for constrained diffusion couples, such as binary and pseudoternary diffusion, is also established. Therefore, the outcome of this study is expected to bring a major change in diffusion analysis in ternary and multicomponent systems in future.

1. Introduction

The estimation of diffusion coefficients in ternary and multicomponent systems was made possible by Kirkaldy [1], extending the Matano-Boltzmann method established initially for binary systems [2, 3]. Frequently, this is referred to as the Matano-Kirkaldy method. By circumventing the problem of estimating the interdiffusion flux following the Matano method, which needs to identify first the Matano or initial contact plane of the diffusion couple, Whittle and Green [4] proposed to extend the relations established by Sauer-Freise [5], Wagner [6] or Den Broder [7], which does not require to locate this plane. Locating the Matano plane is cumbersome in ternary and multicomponent systems, which does not give a unique value when calculated considering the composition profiles of different diffusing elements. This problem is witnessed even for constant molar volume variation in ternary and multicomponent systems, unlike the binary system [8]. Van Loo [9] similarly proposed the calculation of intrinsic flux at the Kirkendall marker plane, which does not need to locate the Matano plane compared to the method proposed earlier by Huemann [10] in guidance to the concept proposed by Matano for interdiffusion coefficients [3]. The same relation was later derived differently by extending the Wagner method by the author of this article [8]. The estimation method of intrinsic flux can be extended to ternary and multicomponent systems as well, similar to the calculation of the interdiffusion flux, although these fluxes are related differently to the diffusion coefficients in binary and ternary/multicomponent systems. We have only one interdiffusion coefficient and two intrinsic diffusion coefficients in a binary system compared to $(n-1)^2$ interdiffusion coefficients and n(n-1) intrinsic diffusion coefficients in ternary and multicomponent systems because of more complex diffusional interactions originated from the thermodynamic reasons [1, 11, 12].

The interdiffusion and intrinsic diffusion coefficients could be correlated in a binary system considering actual (ideal or non-ideal) molar volume variation [12]. However, the correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients was established by considering Onsager's cross phenomenological constants [13, 14], albeit for constant molar volume by Manning [15, 16]. This correlation was not established for actual molar volume variation until very recently, although Manning mentioned the need for correction when molar volume variation is not constant [15]. The actual molar volume variation is not constant [15]. The actual molar volume variation is never exactly constant since the lattice parameters of two elements are never exactly the same. In real systems, this may vary ideally or non-ideally [17]. Therefore, the current author recently established this correlation for a binary system, considering the actual molar volume filling the missing link [18]. Further, it is shown that consideration of ideal molar volume variation (rule of mixture, i.e. Vegard's law) calculated from known molar volumes of diffusing elements in pure form produces far more accurate results

2

compared to consideration of the constant molar volume variation in the absence of actual molar volume data (i.e. if it varies non-ideally but data are not available). It should be noted here that molar volume does not always vary non-ideally, and in such cases, the data can be calculated very accurately (within the experimental error range) considering the ideal molar volume variation utilizing these newly established correlations in the binary system.

The non-availability of intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients correlation for ternary and multicomponent systems, considering the cross-phenomenological constants of Onsager for actual (ideal or non-ideal) molar volume variation, is still an existing problem that needs to be solved. The data are calculated invariably considering constant molar volume variation, since the actual molar volume variation, if non-ideal, is not known. However, we should be able to calculate more accurate data considering the ideal molar volume variation, which can be calculated from the known molar volumes of the pure elements. On the other hand, this correlation has yet to be established, considering the ideal or non-ideal variation of the ternary and multicomponent systems. Therefore, the correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients considering cross-phenomenological constants and actual (ideal/non-ideal) molar volume in guidance to Manning's analysis is derived first in this article. A different strategy for this derivation is implemented compared to the recently followed strategy for binary systems because of the difference in certain binary and ternary/multi-component equation schemes. The same is also derived for pseudobinary and pseudo-ternary diffusion couples [19-22], which have been recently established as new experimental methods in multicomponent systems. Until now, the diffusion coefficients have been invariably calculated, considering the constant molar volume variation since the actual molar volume variations are unknown. The outcome of this study highlights the need to adopt this estimation strategy, considering at least the ideal molar volume variation compared to the strategy followed until now, considering the constant molar volume. One can use this same correlation if the system has nonideal molar volume variation and is made available in future. This study will majorly impact overhauling diffusion analysis in ternary and multicomponent systems.

3

2. Conventional ternary and multicomponent diffusion couples

This section will consider the relations in conventional diffusion couples in which all elements produce interdiffusion profiles. The correlations for constrained diffusion couples, such as pseudo-binary systems, are established in the next section.

2.1 Interdiffusion and intrinsic diffusion coefficients correlation considering the molar volume of elements

Before establishing the correlations between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients, the correlation between interdiffusion and intrinsic diffusion coefficients should be understood considering actual molar volume variation. Interdiffusion flux (\tilde{J}_i) , interdiffusion coefficients (\tilde{D}_{ij}) and concentration gradient $(\frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x})$ in ternary and multicomponent systems for element *i* in a n component system without considering the dependent variable are related by [1, 11, 23, 24]

$$\tilde{J}_i = -\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{D}_{ij} \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x} \tag{1}$$

From standard thermodynamic relation [12], we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{V}_i \partial C_i = 0 \tag{2}$$

Where \overline{V}_i is the partial molar volume of element *i*.

Replacing $\partial C_n \left(= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\overline{V}_i}{\overline{V}_n} \partial C_i \right)$ from Eq. 2 in Eq. 1 for expressing the correlation considering element *n* as the dependent variable, we have

$$\tilde{J}_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{D}_{ij}^n \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x}$$
(3a)

Therefore, each interdiffusion flux is related to (n-1) independent interdiffusion coefficients, where $\widetilde{D}_{ij}^n = \widetilde{D}_{ij} - \frac{\overline{V}_j}{\overline{V}_n} \widetilde{D}_{in}$. For constant molar volume (assuming partial molar volume of elements $\overline{V}_1 = \overline{V}_1 \dots = \overline{V}_n$ equal to an average molar volume V_m) this is related by $\widetilde{D}_{ij}^n = \widetilde{D}_{ij} - \widetilde{D}_{in}$.

The interdiffusion flux can be directly calculated from the concentration profile following [4, 7]

$$\tilde{J}_{i} = -\frac{C_{i}^{+} - C_{i}^{-}}{2t} \Big[(1 - Y_{C}^{*}) \int_{x^{-\infty}}^{x^{*}} Y_{C} dx + Y_{C}^{*} \int_{x^{*}}^{x^{+\infty}} (1 - Y_{C}) dx \Big]$$
(3b)

Where *t* is the annealing time of the diffusion couple and $Y_C = \frac{c_i - c_i^-}{c_i^+ - c_i^-}$ is the concentration normalized variable [7]. It should be noted here that the interdiffusion fluxes of different elements are related by [12]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{V}_i \tilde{J}_i = 0 \tag{4}$$

Therefore, considering element *n* as the dependent variable, the interdiffusion flux of element *n* is related to the interdiffusion fluxes of other (*n*-1) elements such that we have (n-1) independent interdiffusion fluxes related to total $(n - 1)^2$ interdiffusion coefficients.

Similarly, the intrinsic flux of element i is related by [1, 11, 12]

$$J_i = -\sum_{j=1}^n D_{ij} \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial x}$$
(5)

Again, considering element *n* as the dependent variable and replacing Eq. 2 in Eq. 5, we have

$$J_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} D_{ij}^n \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x}$$
(6a)

where $D_{ij}^n = D_{ij} - \frac{\overline{v}_j}{\overline{v}_n} D_{in}$. Therefore, each intrinsic flux is related to (n-1) intrinsic diffusion coefficients. Since we have *n* intrinsic fluxes, there are total *n*(*n*-1) intrinsic diffusion coefficients.

The intrinsic fluxes at the Kirkendall marker plane position (x_m) can be calculated from [8, 9]

$$J_{i} = -\frac{1}{2t} \left[C_{i}^{+} \int_{x^{-\infty}}^{x_{m}} Y_{C} dx - C_{i}^{-} \int_{x_{m}}^{x^{+\infty}} (1 - Y_{C}) dx \right]$$
(6b)

Following Darken, the interdiffusion and intrinsic fluxes of an element *i* are related to marker velocity (v_m) in a diffusion couple by [25]

$$\tilde{J}_i = J_i + \nu_m C_i \tag{7}$$

We need to replace the marker velocity with intrinsic fluxes. Replacing Eq. 7 in Eq. 4, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\bar{V}_{i}J_{i} + v_{m}\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}) = 0$$
(8)

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{V}_i C_i = 1$, we have

$$v_m = -\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i J_i \tag{9}$$

Replacing Eq. 9 in Eq. 7, we have

$$\tilde{J}_i = J_i - C_i \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{V}_k J_k \tag{10a}$$

Replacing Eq. 3a and 6a in Eq. 10a, we have

$$\widetilde{D}_{ij}^n = D_{ij}^n - C_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k \ D_{kj}^n \right) \tag{10b}$$

2.2 Correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients

Manning [16] proposed the relation between the intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients considering Onsager's formalism (Eq. 1) as

$$J_i = -\frac{C_i D_i^*}{RT} \frac{\partial \mu_i}{dx} - \beta N_i D_i^* J_v \tag{11}$$

where $\beta = \frac{2}{(M_o+2)\sum_{j=1}^{n} N_j D_j^*}$ and M_o is the structure factor. In FCC crystal, this is 7.15 [15].

 J_v is the flux of vacancies. The first term is related to Onager's main phenomenological constant, and the second term is related to all the cross terms.

We need to replace the vacancy flux term with tracer diffusion coefficients. The vacancy flux is related to the fluxes of elements by [12, 26]

$$V_m J_v = -\sum_{i=1}^n V_i J_i \tag{12}$$

Replacing Eq. 11 in Eq. 12, we have

$$V_{m}J_{\nu} = \bar{V}_{1}\frac{c_{1}D_{1}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{1}}{dx} + \bar{V}_{1}\beta N_{1}D_{1}^{*}J_{\nu} + \bar{V}_{2}\frac{c_{2}D_{2}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{2}}{dx} + \bar{V}_{2}\beta N_{2}D_{2}^{*}J_{\nu} \dots + \bar{V}_{n}\frac{c_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{n}}{dx} + \bar{V}_{n}\beta N_{n}D_{n}^{*}J_{\nu}$$

$$(V_{m} - \beta\bar{V}_{1}N_{1}D_{1}^{*} - \beta\bar{V}_{2}N_{2}D_{2}^{*} \dots - \beta\bar{V}_{n}N_{n}D_{n}^{*})J_{\nu} = \bar{V}_{1}\frac{c_{1}D_{1}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{1}}{dx} + \bar{V}_{2}\frac{c_{2}D_{2}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{2}}{dx} \dots + \bar{V}_{n}\frac{c_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{n}}{dx}$$

$$J_{\nu} = \frac{1}{V_{m} - \beta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\bar{V}_{j}N_{j}D_{j}^{*}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\bar{V}_{j}C_{j}D_{j}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{j}}{dx}$$
(13)

Replacing Eq. 13 in Eq. 11, we have

$$J_{i} = -\frac{C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx} - N_{i}D_{i}^{*}\frac{\beta}{V_{m}-\beta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{V}_{j}N_{j}D_{j}^{*}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\overline{V}_{j}C_{j}D_{j}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{j}}{dx}$$

$$J_{i} = -\frac{C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx} - C_{i}D_{i}^{*}\frac{\beta}{1-\beta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{V}_{j}C_{j}D_{j}^{*}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\overline{V}_{j}C_{j}D_{j}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{j}}{dx}$$

$$J_{i} = -\frac{C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx} - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\overline{V}_{j}C_{j}D_{j}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{j}}{dx}$$
(14)

where
$$\xi_a = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{V}_j C_j D_j^*} = \frac{\frac{\overline{(M_o + 2)} \sum_{j=1}^n N_j D_j^*}{1 - \frac{2 \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{V}_j C_j D_j^*}{(M_o + 2) \sum_{j=1}^n N_j D_j^*}} = \frac{2}{M_o \sum_{j=1}^n N_j D_j^* + 2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^n N_j D_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{V}_j C_j D_j^* \right]}$$

This can be expanded for element i as

$$J_{i} = -\frac{C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx} - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{V_{1}C_{1}D_{1}^{*}}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{1}}{dx} \dots - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{V_{i}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx} \dots - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{V_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{n}}{dx} \dots$$
(15a)

This, with its partial molar volume, can be expressed as

$$\bar{V}_{i}J_{i} = -\frac{\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx} - (\xi_{a}\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\bar{V}_{1}C_{1}D_{1}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{1}}{dx}... - (\xi_{a}\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx}... - (\xi_{a}\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\bar{V}_{i}C_{i}D_{i}}{RT}\frac{\partial\mu_{i}}{dx}... - (\xi_{a}\bar{$$

Similarly, the Onsager formalism [13, 14] for element *i*, considering its partial molar volume for constant pressure and temperature, can be expressed as

$$\bar{V}_i J_i = -\bar{V}_i L_{i1} \frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x} \dots - \bar{V}_i L_{ii} \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x} \dots - \bar{V}_i L_{in} \frac{\partial \mu_n}{\partial x}$$
(16)

where L_{ii} is the main phenomenological constant related to the chemical potential gradient of the same element $\left(\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x}\right)$ and L_{ij} is the cross phenomenological constant of element related to the chemical potential element of another element $j\left(\frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial x}\right)$. Comparing Eq. 15b and 16, the Onsager phenomenological constants can be expressed with diffusion coefficients as

$$L_{ii} = -\frac{C_i D_i^*}{RT} \left(1 + \xi_a \overline{V}_i C_i D_i^* \right)$$
(17a)

$$\bar{V}_i L_{ij} = \bar{V}_j L_{ji} = \xi_a \frac{\bar{V}_i C_i D_i^* \bar{V}_j C_j D_j^*}{RT}$$
(17b)

For the sake of comparison to Fick's law of diffusion relating intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients, the chemical potential gradient needs to be converted to the concentration gradient. The chemical potential gradient of element *i* can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial c_1} \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial c_i} \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial c_{(n-1)}} \frac{\partial C_{(n-1)}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial c_n} \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x}$$
(18)

The chemical potential and activity (a_i) of an element are related by $\mu_i = \mu_i^o + RT lna_i$. Therefore, Eq. 17 can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial x} = \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial C_{1}} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial C_{i}} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial C_{(n-1)}} \frac{\partial C_{(n-1)}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial C_{n}} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x} \qquad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial x} = \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{C_{1} \partial \ln C_{1}} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{C_{i} \partial \ln C_{i}} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{C_{(n-1)} \partial \ln C_{(n-1)}} \frac{\partial C_{(n-1)}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT \partial \ln a_{i}}{C_{n} \partial \ln C_{n}} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x} \qquad (19)$$
Replacing $\partial C_{n} \left(= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\overline{V}_{i}}{\overline{V_{n}}} \partial C_{i} \right)$ from Eq. 2, Eq. 19 can be rewritten as
$$\frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial x} = \frac{RT}{C_{1}} \left(\frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{1}} - \frac{V_{1}C_{1}}{V_{n}C_{n}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{n}} \right) \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT}{C_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{i}} - \frac{V_{i}C_{i}}{V_{n}C_{n}} \frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{n}} \right) \frac{\partial C_{n-1}}{\partial x} - \frac{V_{n-1}C_{n-1}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{n}} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT}{C_{i}} \left(\varphi_{ii} - \frac{V_{i}C_{i}}{V_{n}C_{n}} \varphi_{in} \right) \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT}{C_{n-1}} \left(\varphi_{in-1} - \frac{C_{n-1}}{N_{n}} \varphi_{in} \right) \frac{\partial C_{n-1}}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x} = \frac{RT}{C_1} \varphi_{i1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT}{C_i} \varphi_{ii}^n \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial x} \dots + \frac{RT}{C_{n-1}} \varphi_{i(n-1)}^n \frac{\partial C_{n-1}}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{RT}{C_j} \varphi_{ij}^n \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x}$$
(20a)

where the thermodynamic factor considering component n as the dependent variable (φ_{ij}^n) and considering the actual molar volume of the elements (instead of constant molar volume variation) is expressed as

 $\varphi_{ij}^{n} = \left(\varphi_{ij} - \frac{V_{j}C_{j}}{V_{n}C_{n}}\varphi_{in}\right) = \frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{j}} - \frac{V_{j}C_{j}}{V_{n}C_{n}}\frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln C_{n}}.$ For constant molar volume, this reduces to $\varphi_{ij}^{n} = \left(\varphi_{ij} - \frac{N_{j}}{N_{n}}\varphi_{in}\right) = \frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln N_{j}} - \frac{N_{j}}{N_{n}}\frac{\partial \ln a_{i}}{\partial \ln N_{n}},$ the parameter used in the equation scheme until now, considering the constant molar volume.

Replacing Eq. 20a in Eq. 15a, we have

$$J_{i} = -\frac{C_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{RT}{C_{j}}\varphi_{ij}^{n}\frac{\partial C_{j}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{v}_{1}C_{1}D_{1}^{*}}{RT}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{RT}{C_{j}}\varphi_{1j}^{n}\frac{\partial C_{j}}{\partial x}... - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{v}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{RT}{C_{j}}\varphi_{nj}^{n}\frac{\partial C_{j}}{\partial x}... - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{v}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{RT}{C_{j}}\varphi_{nj}^{*}\frac{\partial C_{j}}{\partial x}... - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{v}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{RT}{C_{j}}\varphi_{nj}^{*}\frac{\partial C_{j}}{\partial x}... - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{v}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}\frac{\partial C_{j}}{\partial x}... - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{v}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}\frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial x}... - (\xi_{a}C_{i}D_{i}^{*})\frac{\overline{$$

Similarly, Eq. 6 expressing the intrinsic flux of element i can be expanded to

$$J_i = -D_{i1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} \dots - D_{ij}^n \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x} \dots - D_{i(n-1)}^{n-1} \frac{\partial C_{n-1}}{\partial x}$$
(22)

Comparing Eq. 21 and 22, the intrinsic diffusion coefficient can be expressed as

$$D_{ij}^{n} = \frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}} D_{i}^{*} \varphi_{ij}^{n} + \left(\xi_{a} C_{i} D_{i}^{*}\right) \frac{C_{1}}{C_{j}} \overline{V}_{1} D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{1j}^{n} + \dots + \left(\xi_{a} C_{i} D_{i}^{*}\right) \frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}} \overline{V}_{i} D_{i}^{*} \varphi_{ij}^{n} + \dots + \left(\xi_{a} C_{i} D_{i}^{*}\right) \frac{C_{n}}{C_{j}} \overline{V}_{n} D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nj}^{n}$$

$$D_{ij}^{n} = \frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}} D_{i}^{*} \varphi_{ij}^{n} \left(1 + W_{ij}^{n,a}\right)$$
(23)

Where the vacancy wind effect for the intrinsic diffusion coefficients for actual molar volume (ideal or non-ideal) is

$$1 + W_{ij}^{n,a} = 1 + \frac{\xi_a}{\varphi_{ij}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k C_k D_k^* \varphi_{kj}^n = 1 + \frac{2\sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k C_k D_k^* \varphi_{kj}^n}{\varphi_{ij}^n [M_o \sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^* + 2(\sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^* - \sum_{k=1}^2 \overline{V}_k C_k D_k^*)]}$$

Note here that for constant molar volume $\overline{V}_i = \overline{V}_j = V_m$, Eq. 23 reduces to the equation derived by Manning [16] as

$$D_{ij}^{n} = \frac{N_i}{N_j} D_i^* \phi_{ij}^n \left(1 + W_{ij}^{n,c} \right)$$
(24)

where
$$W_{ij}^n = \frac{\xi}{\phi_{ij}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^* \phi_{kj}^n = \frac{2}{M_0 \phi_{ij}^n} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^* \phi_{kj}^n}{\sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^*}$$
 where $\phi_{ij}^n = \phi_{ij} - \frac{N_j}{n_n} \phi_{in} = \frac{\partial \ln a_i}{\partial \ln N_j} - \frac{\partial \ln a_i}{\partial \ln N_j}$

 $\frac{N_j}{N_n} \frac{\partial \ln a_i}{\partial \ln N_n}$. Therefore, the thermodynamic factors (\emptyset_{ij}^n) are calculated from activity (a_i) vs. composition (N_j) . For considering the actual molar volume variation (ideal/non-idea), the thermodynamic factor (φ_{ij}^n) is calculated from activity (a_i) vs. concentration $(C_j = N_j/V_m)$ plot. Please also note that Manning did not express the equations with dependent variables, which are measurable parameters. He expressed the relationship for D_{ij} instead of $D_{ij}^n = D_{ij} - D_{in}$ for constant molar volume. One can express D_{ij} and D_{in} considering the relation he proposed, and then Eq. 24 can be established by taking $D_{ij} - D_{in}$ [16].

The actual molar volume variation in ternary and multicomponent systems is generally not known because of the absence of lattice parameter variation with composition. However, the lattice parameters of pure elements are always known, and one can utilize this information to calculate and consider ideal molar volume variation. Analysis in the binary system earlier indicated that one could consider ideal molar volume variation when actual molar volume variation (if non-ideal although not necessarily) is not known without introducing significant error but is far better than considering the constant molar volume variation. For ideal molar volume variation, we have a fixed value of the partial molar volume of an element, let's say of element k (\bar{V}_k), equal to the molar volume of this element (V_m^k) in pure form throughout the composition range. Therefore, one can utilize the relation established in Eq. 23, replacing \bar{V}_k by V_m^k .

3. Diffusion coefficient correlations for constrained diffusion couples

Because of the difficulties of intersecting (*n*-1) conventional diffusion profiles in multicomponent space for the estimation of interdiffusion coefficients, the concepts of constrained diffusion couples (i.e. keeping one or more elements constant), such as pseudo-binary (PB) and pseudo-ternary (PT) diffusion couple methods were established, which facilitate the estimation diffusion coefficients of either certain elements systematically [....] or all elements by designing the diffusion couples strategically in a combination of constrained and conventional diffusion couples [....]. The estimations following these methods have been conducted until now, considering the constant molar volume similar to that of conventional diffusion couples. The aim here is to establish equation schemes that consider the molar volume of elements.

3.1 Pseudo-binary diffusion couple method

Let us consider a n-component system in which elements 2 to (*n*-1) remain constant, and only elements 1 and *n* produce the interdiffusion profiles in a PB diffusion couple. Therefore, the interdiffusion coefficient of elements 1 and *n* can be expressed from Eq. 3 (for $\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$, ... $\frac{\partial C_{n-1}}{\partial x} = 0$) as

$$\tilde{J}_1 = -\tilde{D}_{11}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} \tag{25a}$$

$$\tilde{J}_n = -\tilde{D}_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial x}$$
(25b)

In a PB diffusion couple, we have (from Eq. 2)

$$V_1 \partial C_1 + V_n \partial C_n = 0 \tag{26}$$

Further, in the PB diffusion couple from Eq. 4, we have

$$\bar{V}_1 \tilde{J}_1 + \bar{V}_n \tilde{J}_n = 0 \tag{27}$$

Replacing Eq. 25 in Eq. 27, we have

$$\bar{V}_1 \tilde{D}_{11}^n \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} + \bar{V}_n \tilde{D}_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial x} = 0$$
⁽²⁸⁾

Therefore, considering Eq. 26, we have only one interdiffusion coefficient in a PB diffusion couple (similar to the binary system), such that

$$\widetilde{D}_{11}^n = \widetilde{D}_{nn}^1 = \widetilde{D}_{PB} \tag{29}$$

Similarly, the intrinsic diffusion coefficients can be expressed as

$$J_1 = -D_{11}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} \tag{30a}$$

$$J_n = -D_{n1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} = -D_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x}$$
(30b)

Note that the intrinsic flux can be expressed with element n as the dependent variable when related to the concentration gradient of element 1 or 1 as the dependent variable when related to the concentration gradient of element *n*. Therefore,

$$D_{n1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} = -\frac{\overline{v}_n}{\overline{v}_1} D_{n1}^n \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} = -\frac{\overline{v}_n}{\overline{v}_1} \left(D_{n1} - \frac{\overline{v}_1}{\overline{v}_n} D_{nn} \right) \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} = \left(D_{nn} - \frac{\overline{v}_n}{\overline{v}_1} D_{n1} \right) = D_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x}$$

Further, note that elements 1 and n in a pseudo-binary diffusion couple will diffuse in the opposite direction. Therefore, \tilde{D}_{n1}^n will be estimated with a negative sign since it is related to the concentration gradient of element 1 instead of element n, although it is related to the intrinsic flux of element n. Even the thermodynamic factor φ_{n1}^n will have a negative sign that relates to the positive value of the tracer diffusion coefficient of element n. One may prefer to express this intrinsic flux with the concentration gradient of element n such that the intrinsic diffusion coefficient \tilde{D}_{nn}^1 has a positive value. This is similar to the analysis in a binary system, in which the intrinsic flux of an element is expressed with the concentration gradient of the same element.

To establish the correlation between interdiffusion and intrinsic diffusion coefficients in a PB diffusion couple, replacing Eq. 30 in Eq. 8, we have

$$\bar{V}_1 J_1 + \bar{V}_n J_n + v_m (\bar{V}_1 C_1 + \bar{V}_n C_n) = 0$$

$$\nu_m = -\frac{(\overline{\nu}_1 J_1 + \overline{\nu}_n J_n)}{\overline{\nu}_1 c_1 + \overline{\nu}_n c_n} \tag{31}$$

Replacing Eq. 31 in Eq. 7, we have

$$\tilde{J}_{i(1,n)} = J_i - \frac{c_i}{\bar{v}_1 c_1 + \bar{v}_n c_n} (\bar{V}_1 J_1 + \bar{V}_n J_n) = J_i - M_i^{PB} (J_1 + J_n)$$
(30)

Replacing Eq. 25 and 30 in the above equation, we have (let's say for \tilde{J}_n , which will give the same outcome for considering \tilde{J}_1)

$$\widetilde{D}_{PB} \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} = D_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} - \frac{C_n}{\overline{V_1} C_1 + \overline{V_n} C_n} \left(\overline{V_1} D_{11}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} + \overline{V_n} D_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} \right)$$

Replacing Eq. 26, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{D}_{PB} \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} &= D_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} - \frac{C_n}{\overline{v_1} C_1 + \overline{v_n} C_n} \left(-\overline{v_n} D_{11}^n \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} + \overline{v_n} D_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial x} \right) \\ \widetilde{D}_{PB} &= \frac{\overline{v_n} C_n}{\overline{v_1} C_1 + \overline{v_n} C_n} D_{11}^n + \frac{\overline{v_1} C_1}{\overline{v_1} C_1 + \overline{v_n} C_n} D_{nn}^1 \\ \widetilde{D}_{PB} &= Z_n^{PB} D_{11}^n + Z_1^{PB} D_{nn}^1 \end{split}$$
(31a)

where $Z_i^{PB} = \frac{\overline{V}_i C_i}{\overline{V}_1 C_1 + \overline{V}_n C_n}$ is a PB normalized variable. Note here that $\overline{V}_1 C_1 + \overline{V}_n C_n \neq 1$ in PB system (since other elements are present which do not produce the diffusion profile) compared to $\overline{V}_1 C_1 + \overline{V}_n C_n = 1$ in a binary system of elements 1 and n.

Therefore, this correlation in a binary system is expressed as

$$\widetilde{D}_B = \overline{V}_n C_n D_1 + \overline{V}_1 C_1 D_2 \tag{31b}$$

where \widetilde{D}_B is the interdiffusion coefficient, D_1 and D_n are the intrinsic diffusion coefficients of elements.

Further, in a PB diffusion couple, since only two elements (1 and n) produce the diffusion profiles, Eq. 15a can be expressed as

$$J_{i(1 \text{ and } n)} = -\frac{C_i D_i^*}{RT} \frac{\partial \mu_i}{dx} - (\xi_a C_i D_i^*) \frac{\overline{V}_1 C_1 D_1^*}{RT} \frac{\partial \mu_1}{dx} - (\xi_a C_i D_i^*) \frac{\overline{V}_n C_n D_n^*}{RT} \frac{\partial \mu_n}{dx}$$
(32)

The chemical potential gradient can be expressed from Eq. 20 as

$$\frac{\partial \mu_1}{\partial x} = \frac{RT}{c_1} \varphi_{11}^n \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} \text{ and } \frac{\partial \mu_n}{\partial x} = \frac{RT}{c_n} \varphi_{nn}^1 \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial x}$$
(33)

Replacing Eq. 33 in Eq. 32, we have

$$J_{1} = -D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{PB} C_{1} D_{1}^{*}) \overline{V}_{1} D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{PB} C_{1} D_{1}^{*}) \overline{V}_{n} D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x}$$
$$J_{n} = -D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{PB} C_{n} D_{n}^{*}) \overline{V}_{1} D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{PB} C_{n} D_{n}^{*}) \overline{V}_{n} D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x}$$

Replacing Eq. 26, we have

$$J_{1} = -D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{PB} C_{1} D_{1}^{*}) \overline{V}_{1} D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + (\xi_{PB} C_{1} D_{1}^{*}) \overline{V}_{1} D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x}$$
$$J_{n} = -D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x} + (\xi_{PB} C_{n} D_{n}^{*}) \overline{V}_{n} D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x} - (\xi_{PB} C_{n} D_{n}^{*}) \overline{V}_{n} D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} \frac{\partial C_{n}}{\partial x}$$

Comparing the equations above with Eq. 30, we have

$$D_{11}^{n} = D_{1}^{*} [\varphi_{11}^{n} + \xi_{PB} \bar{V}_{1} C_{1} (D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} - D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1})] = D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} (1 + W_{11}^{PB})$$
(34a)

$$D_{nn}^{1} = D_{n}^{*} [\varphi_{nn}^{1} - \xi_{PB} \overline{V}_{n} C_{n} (D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n} - D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{11}^{n})] = D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nn}^{1} (1 - W_{nn}^{PB})$$
(34b)

Such that the vacancy wind effects are on elements 1 and n are $(1 + W_{11}^{PB})$ and $(1 - W_{nn}^{PB})$

such that
$$W_{11}^{PB} = \frac{\xi_{PB}\overline{V}_1 c_1(D_1^* \varphi_{11}^n - D_n^* \varphi_{nn}^1)}{\varphi_{11}^1}$$
 and $W_{nn}^{PB} = \frac{\xi_{PB}\overline{V}_n c_n D_n^* (D_1^* \varphi_{11}^n - D_n^* \varphi_{nn}^1)}{\varphi_{nn}^1}$

In this pseudo-binary system of diffusing elements 1 and n, we have

$$\xi_{PB} = \frac{2}{M_o(N_1 D_1^* + N_n D_n^*) + 2[(N_1 D_1^* + N_n D_n^*) - (\overline{V}_1 C_1 D_1^* + \overline{V}_n C_n D_n^*)]}$$
(35)

3.2 Pseudo-Ternary Diffusion Couple Method

In a pseudo-ternary system (PT), let's say of elements 1, 2 and n, The interdiffusion coefficients Eq. 3 (for $\frac{\partial C_3}{\partial x}$, ... $\frac{\partial C_{n-1}}{\partial x} = 0$) can be expressed as

$$\tilde{J}_1 = -\tilde{D}_{11}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - \tilde{D}_{12}^n \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$$
(37a)

$$\tilde{J}_2 = -\tilde{D}_{21}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - \tilde{D}_{22}^n \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$$
(37b)

Similarly, the intrinsic diffusion coefficients can be expressed as

$$J_1 = -D_{11}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - D_{12}^n \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$$
(38a)

$$J_2 = -D_{21}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - D_{22}^n \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$$
(38b)

$$J_n = -D_{n1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - D_{n2}^n \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$$
(38c)

In a PT diffusion couple, replacing Eq. 38 in Eq. 8, we have

$$\bar{V}_{1}J_{1} + \bar{V}_{2}J_{2} + \bar{V}_{n}J_{n} + \nu_{m}(\bar{V}_{1}C_{1} + \bar{V}_{2}C_{2} + \bar{V}_{n}C_{n}) = 0$$

$$\nu_{m} = -\frac{(\bar{V}_{1}J_{1} + \bar{V}_{2}J_{2} + \bar{V}_{n}J_{n})}{\bar{V}_{1}c_{1} + \bar{V}_{2}c_{2} + \bar{V}_{n}c_{n}}$$
(39)

Replacing Eq. 39 in Eq. 7, we have

$$\tilde{J}_{i(1,2)} = J_i - \frac{c_i}{\bar{v}_1 c_1 + \bar{v}_2 c_2 + \bar{v}_n c_n} (\bar{V}_1 J_1 + \bar{V}_2 J_2 + \bar{V}_n J_n)$$
(40)

Unlike the conventional ternary 1, 2 and n diffusion couple, where all the elements produce the diffusion profiles (such that $\bar{V}_1C_1 + \bar{V}_2C_2 + \bar{V}_nC_n = 1$), in a PT diffusion couple, these elements only produce diffusion profiles, keeping other elements constant (such that $\bar{V}_1C_1 + \bar{V}_2C_2 + \bar{V}_nC_n \neq 1$. Two independent interdiffusion fluxes can be, therefore, expressed as

$$\tilde{J}_{1} = J_{1} - \frac{c_{1}}{\bar{v}_{1}c_{1} + \bar{v}_{2}c_{2} + \bar{v}_{n}c_{n}} (\bar{V}_{1}J_{1} + \bar{V}_{2}J_{2} + \bar{V}_{n}J_{n})$$
(41a)

$$\tilde{J}_2 = J_2 - \frac{c_2}{\bar{v}_1 c_1 + \bar{v}_2 c_2 + \bar{v}_n c_n} (\bar{V}_1 J_1 + \bar{V}_2 J_2 + \bar{V}_n J_n)$$
(41b)

Replacing Eq. 37 and 38 in Eq. 41a, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{D}_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1}}{\partial x} + \widetilde{D}_{12}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{2}}{\partial x} &= D_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{12}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{2}}{\partial x} - \frac{c_{1}}{\overline{v_{1}}c_{1} + \overline{v_{2}}c_{2} + \overline{v_{n}}c_{n}} \Big[\overline{V}_{1} \left(D_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{12}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{2}}{\partial x} \right) + \overline{V}_{2} \left(D_{21}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{22}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{2}}{\partial x} \right) + \overline{V}_{n} \left(D_{n1}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{n2}^{n} \frac{\partial c_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \Big] \end{split}$$
(42a)

Similarly, replacing Eq. 37 and 38 in Eq. 41b, we have

$$\widetilde{D}_{21}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \widetilde{D}_{22}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} = D_{21}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{22}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} - \frac{C_{2}}{\overline{v_{1}}C_{1} + \overline{v_{2}}C_{2} + \overline{v_{n}}C_{n}} \left[\overline{V}_{1} \left(D_{11}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{12}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) + \overline{V}_{2} \left(D_{21}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{22}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) + \overline{V}_{n} \left(D_{n1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + D_{n2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \right]$$

$$(42b)$$

Comparing the $\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$ on both sides, we have

$$\widetilde{D}_{11}^n = D_{11}^n - \frac{\overline{v}_1 C_1}{\overline{v}_1 C_1 + \overline{v}_2 C_2 + \overline{v}_n C_n} \left[D_{11}^n + \frac{\overline{v}_2}{\overline{v}_1} D_{21}^n + \frac{\overline{v}_n}{\overline{v}_1} D_{n1}^n \right]$$
(43a)

$$\widetilde{D}_{12}^{n} = D_{12}^{n} - \frac{\overline{v}_{1}c_{1}}{\overline{v}_{1}c_{1} + \overline{v}_{2}c_{2} + \overline{v}_{n}c_{n}} \Big[D_{12}^{n} + \frac{\overline{v}_{2}}{\overline{v}_{1}} D_{22}^{n} + \frac{\overline{v}_{n}}{\overline{v}_{1}} D_{n2}^{n} \Big]$$
(43b)

$$\widetilde{D}_{21}^{n} = D_{21}^{n} - \frac{\overline{v}_{2}c_{2}}{\overline{v}_{1}c_{1} + \overline{v}_{2}c_{2} + \overline{v}_{n}c_{n}} \Big[\frac{\overline{v}_{1}}{\overline{v}_{2}} D_{11}^{n} + D_{21}^{n} + \frac{\overline{v}_{n}}{\overline{v}_{2}} D_{n1}^{n} \Big]$$
(43c)

$$\widetilde{D}_{22}^{n} = D_{22}^{n} - \frac{\overline{v}_{2}c_{2}}{\overline{v}_{1}c_{1} + \overline{v}_{2}c_{2} + \overline{v}_{n}c_{n}} \left[\frac{\overline{v}_{1}}{\overline{v}_{2}} D_{12}^{n} + D_{22}^{n} + \frac{\overline{v}_{n}}{\overline{v}_{2}} D_{n2}^{n} \right]$$
(43d)

Therefore, a general equation can be expressed as

$$\widetilde{D}_{ij}^n = D_{ij}^n - Z_i^{PT} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\overline{v}_k}{\overline{v}_i} D_{kj}^n$$
(44a)

where $Z_{i(1,2)}^{PT} = \frac{\overline{v}_i c_i}{\overline{v}_1 c_1 + \overline{v}_2 c_2 + \overline{v}_n c_n}$ is a concentration-normalized variable in the PT diffusion couple.

Instead of a PT system, if we consider a ternary conventional diffusion couple in which all the elements (1, 2 and *n*) produce the diffusion profile, we have $\bar{V}_1 C_1 + \bar{V}_2 C_2 + \bar{V}_n C_n =$ 1, such that this interdiffusion and intrinsic diffusion coefficients can be expressed as

$$\widetilde{D}_{ij}^n = D_{ij}^n - C_i \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k D_{kj}^n \tag{44b}$$

Now, the correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients needs to be derived. Eq. 20 in a PT diffusion couple reduces to (since elements 3 to n-1 remain constant)

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial x} = \frac{RT}{C_1} \varphi_{i1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{ii}^n \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial x}$$
(45)

Replacing this in Eq. 21, we have

$$J_{i} = -\frac{c_{i}D_{i}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{1}C_{1}D_{1}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{2}C_{2}D_{2}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{n} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}D_{n}^{*}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{1}} \varphi_{i1}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{RT}{C_{i2}} \varphi_{i2}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{2}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{i}} \varphi_{i1}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{i}}{\partial x} \right) \dots - (\xi_{PT}C_{i}D_{i}^{*}) \frac{\bar{V}_{n}C_{n}}{RT} \left(\frac{RT}{C_{i}} \varphi_{i1}^{*} \frac{\partial C_{$$

Similarly, from Eq. 38, we have

$$J_i = -\sum_{j=1}^2 D_{ij}^n \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x} = -D_{i1}^n \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} - D_{i2}^n \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$$
(47)

Comparing Eq. 45 and 46 for $\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}$, we have

$$D_{ij}^{n} = \frac{C_{i}}{C_{j}} D_{i}^{*} \varphi_{ij}^{n} + \left(\xi_{PT} C_{i} D_{i}^{*}\right) \frac{C_{1}}{C_{j}} \overline{V}_{1} D_{1}^{*} \varphi_{1j}^{n} + \left(\xi_{PT} C_{i} D_{i}^{*}\right) \frac{C_{2}}{C_{j}} \overline{V}_{2} D_{2}^{*} \varphi_{2j}^{n} + \left(\xi_{PT} C_{i} D_{i}^{*}\right) \frac{C_{n}}{C_{j}} \overline{V}_{n} D_{n}^{*} \varphi_{nj}^{n}$$

$$D_{ij}^{n} = \frac{c_i}{c_j} D_i^* \varphi_{ij}^{n} \left(1 + W_{ij}^{n,a} \right)$$
(23)

Where the vacancy wind effect for the intrinsic diffusion coefficients is (for k = 1, 2 and n)

$$1 + W_{ij}^{PT} = 1 + \frac{\xi_{PT}}{\varphi_{ij}^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k C_k D_k^* \varphi_{kj}^n = 1 + \frac{2 \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k C_k D_k^* \varphi_{kj}^n}{\varphi_{ij}^n [M_o \sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^* + 2(\sum_{k=1}^n N_k D_k^* - \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k C_k D_k^*)]}$$

4. Conclusion

In this study, the correlation between different types of diffusion coefficients is derived considering the actual molar volume variation for ternary and multicomponent systems. It is true that the actual molar volume variations (if non-ideal) are not available in these higher-order systems. However, sometimes, the molar volume variation may follow the rule of mixture (Vegard's law). In such a situation, this variation can be easily calculated from the known molar volumes of the pure elements. Sometimes, this variation may be actually non-ideal. However, one can still assume ideal molar volume variation for better calculation instead of assuming the constant molar volume practiced until now. The comparison of analysis in the binary systems [18] indicates this could be a better strategy for estimating data with much smaller error since the data calculated considering ideal or non-ideal molar volume variation in a solid solution are not very different. The outcome of this study, mainly by establishing the correlation between intrinsic and tracer diffusion coefficients considering the actual molar volume and Onsager's cross phenomenological constant, will greatly impact diffusion analysis in ternary and multicomponent systems, overhauling the estimating method.

References

- [1] J. Kirkaldy, D. Young, Diffusion in the condensed state, The Institute of Metals, London, United Kingdom, 1987.
- [2] L. Boltzmann, Zur integration der diffusionsgleichung bei variabeln diffusionscoefficienten, Annalen der Physik 53 (1894) 959-964.
- [3] C. Matano, On the relation between the diffusion-coefficients and concentrations of solid metals (the nickel-copper system), Japanese Journal Physics 8 (1933) 109-113.

- [4] D.P. Whittle and A. Green, The measurement of diffusion coefficients in a ternary system, Scripta Metallurgica 8 (1974) 883-884
- [5] F. Sauer and V. Freise, Diffusion in binären Gemischen mit Volumenänderung, Zeitschrift Electrochemie 66 (1962) 353-363
- [6] C. Wagner, The evaluation of data obtained with diffusion couples of binary singlephase and multiplayer systems, Acta Metallurgica 17 (1969) 99-107
- [7] F.J.A. den Broeder, A general simplification and improvement of the Matano-Boltzmann method in the determination of the interdiffusion coefficients in binary systems, Scripta Metallurgica 3(1921) 321-325
- [8] A. Paul, The Kirkendall effect in solid state diffusion, PhD thesis, Technical University of Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 2004.
- [9] F.J.J. van Loo, On the determination of diffusion coefficients in a binary metal system, Acta Metallurgica 18 (1970) 1107-11
- [10] T. Heumann, Zur berechnung von diffusionkoeffizienten bei ein und mehrphasiger diffusion in festen legierungen, Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie 201 (1952)168-89.
- [11] J. Philibert, Atom movements: diffusion and Mass transport in solids, Editions de Physique, Germany, 2006.
- [12] A. Paul, Tomi Laurila, Vesa Vuorinen, S. V. Divinski, Thermodynamics, Diffusion and the Kirkendall Effect in Solids, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2014.
- [13] L. Onsager, Reciprocal Relations in Irreversible Processes. I, Physical Review, 37 (1931) 405-426.
- [14] L. Onsager, Reciprocal Relations in Irreversible Processes. II, Physical Review, 38 (1931) 2265-2279.
- [15] J.R. Manning, Diffusion and the Kirkendall shift in binary alloys, Acta Metallurgica 15 (1967) 817-826.
- [16] J.R. Manning, Cross terms in the thermodynamic diffusion equations for multicomponent alloys, Metallurgical Transactions 1 (1970) 499–505.
- [17] W.H. Xiong, W. L., M.M. Dai, J. Q. Liu, X. G. Lu, Assessments of molar volumes of Co-, Ni- and Ti- related bcc and fcc phases, CALPHAD 66 (2019) 101629.

- [18] A. Paul, Role of Vacancy Wind Effect or Cross Phenomenological Constants of Onsager Formalism Considering Actual (Ideal or Non-Ideal) Molar Volume Variation on Diffusing Elements in Solid Solution, under review, <u>http://ssrn.com/abstract=5050078</u>
- [19] A. Paul, A pseudobinary approach to study interdiffusion and the Kirkendall effect in multicomponent systems, Philosophical Magazine, 93 (2013) 2297-2315.
- [20] N. Esakkiraja, A. Paul, A novel concept of pseudo ternary diffusion couple for the estimation of diffusion coefficients in multicomponent systems, Scripta Materialia 147 (2018) 79-82
- [21] N. Esakkiraja, A. Dash, A. Mondal, K.C. Kumar, A. Paul, Correlation between estimated diffusion coefficients from different types of diffusion couples in multicomponent system, Materialia 16 (2021) 101046
- [22] A. Dash, A. Paul, S. Sen, Sergiy Divinski, Julia Kundin, Ingo Steinbach, Blazej Grabowski, Xi Zhang, Recent Advances in Understanding Diffusion in Multiprincipal Element Systems, Annual Review of Materials Research 52 (2022) 383-409
- [23] A. Fick Ueber Diffusion, Annalen der Physik 94 (1855) 59–86.
- [24] A. Fick, On liquid diffusion Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science 10 (1855) 30–39.
- [25] L.S. Darken, Diffusion, Mobility and Their Interrelation through Free Energy in Binary Metallic Systems, Transaction of Metallurgical Society AIME 175 (1948) 184-201.
- [26] F.J.J. van Loo. Multiphase diffusion in binary and ternary solid-state systems. Progress in Solid State Chemistry, 20 (1990) 47–99.
- [27] N. Esakkiraja, A. Gupta, V. Jayaram, T. Hickel, S.V. Divinski, A. Paul, Diffusion, defects and understanding the growth of multicomponent interdiffusion zone between Pt-modified B2 NiAl bond coat and single crystal superalloy, Acta Materialia 195 (2020) 35-49.
- [28] A. Dash and A. Paul, Estimation of Diffusion Coefficients by Intersecting Different Types of Diffusion Paths in a Multi-Principal Element Alloy, Acta Materialia 244 (2023) 118547.