

# ClusterTalk: Corpus Exploration Framework using Multi-Dimensional Exploratory Search

Ashish Chouhan<sup>[0000–0003–1815–9311]</sup>, Saifeldin Mandour<sup>[0009–0009–4122–2156]</sup>,  
and Michael Gertz<sup>[0000–0003–4530–6110]</sup>

Heidelberg University, Institute of Computer Science, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany  
{chouhan, gertz}@informatik.uni-heidelberg.de  
saifeldin.mandour@stud.uni-heidelberg.de

**Abstract.** Exploratory search of large text corpora is essential in domains like biomedical research, where large amounts of research literature are continuously generated. This paper presents *ClusterTalk*<sup>1</sup>, a framework for corpus exploration using multi-dimensional exploratory search. Our system integrates document clustering with faceted search, allowing users to interactively refine their exploration and ask corpus and document-level queries. Compared to traditional one-dimensional search approaches like keyword search or clustering, this system improves the discoverability of information by encouraging a deeper interaction with the corpus. We demonstrate the functionality of the *ClusterTalk* framework based on four million PubMed abstracts for the four-year time frame.

**Keywords:** exploratory search · corpus exploration · clustering · retrieval augmented generation.

## 1 Motivation and Background

PubMed<sup>2</sup> indexes over 30 million abstracts and research papers, with thousands more added daily, creating a huge corpus that becomes more and more difficult to navigate efficiently. Existing corpus exploration strategies can mainly be classified into keyword-based search, topic modeling, clustering, and question answering systems.

Traditional corpus exploration methods, such as keyword-based search, provide users with direct access to relevant documents but often fall short of revealing deeper semantic relationships within a corpus [7]. Approaches like clustering, topic modeling, and question-answering attempt to discover semantic relationships by considering semantic nuances of the text [13]. However, they still operate in a single dimension, thus locking users into a predefined exploration mode. They do not provide the flexibility for dynamic exploration across multiple facets,

<sup>1</sup> The demo video and source code are available at: <https://github.com/achouhan93/ClusterTalk>

<sup>2</sup> <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov> (accessed on 15th Oct 2024)

such as thematic clusters, temporal filtering, and lexical and vector search on document text [8,11].

KNIME, RapidMiner [1], and CQPweb [6] are visual analytics platforms enabling exploratory text data analysis. *Carrot*<sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> is an open-source clustering engine that performs clustering of query results for PubMed abstracts. González-Márquez et al. [3] present an interactive web version of the 2D atlas of the PubMed database using embeddings and clustering. Zheng et al. [20] introduce OpenResearcher, and Wang et al. [17] propose RichRAG that leverages Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) to obtain concise and comprehensive answers to diverse and multi-faceted queries. Similarly, Katz et al. [9] propose Knowledge Navigator for exploratory scientific literature search by combining the capabilities of large language models (LLMs) with a clustering method to facilitate deeper knowledge discovery.

A significant limitation of existing systems is their inability to facilitate multi-dimensional exploration. Works on faceted search [16] and interactive information retrieval [19,18] have demonstrated the value of multi-dimensional exploration, where users can refine search results based on multiple facets such as document metadata or semantic clusters. Our system employs the exploratory search approach that combines searching and browsing behaviors to enable users to navigate information without explicit knowledge of their needs [11,15]. *ClusterTalk* groups documents into clusters based on document text embeddings to offer users a holistic view of the corpus. Users can search within these clusters by filtering documents based on facets like date range or keywords and formulating queries at both the corpus and document levels. This allows users to navigate the corpus at a high-level overview (through clusters) and in more detail (through filters and question answering), thus enabling dynamic and flexible interaction with the corpus, compared to the existing approaches.

## 2 ClusterTalk Architecture

*ClusterTalk* is a web-based system to facilitate exploratory searches on PubMed abstracts. It uses BERTopic [4] and LangChain<sup>4</sup> in the backend, and the frontend is built on Cosmograph<sup>5</sup>. It involves document clustering and visualizing clusters to provide users with an overview of a corpus and search functionality where documents are interactively filtered. Finally, users can ask queries on the filtered documents or explore the corpus using natural language queries.

***ClusterTalk Backend.*** We collected PubMed abstracts and their metadata by leveraging the Entrez PubMed API<sup>6</sup> for the English language and stored the data in OpenSearch<sup>7</sup>. Approximately four million PubMed abstracts for the four-year time frame 2020-2024 have been collected.

<sup>3</sup> <https://search.carrot2.org> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.langchain.com> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)

<sup>5</sup> <https://cosmograph.app> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK25501> (accessed on 16th Oct 2024)

<sup>7</sup> <https://opensearch.org> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)

The BERTopic framework is employed to extract latent topics from the corpus. First, each abstract is converted into a 768-dimensional vector using the pre-trained language model PubMedBERT<sup>8</sup> [5], resulting in approximately 4 million embeddings. González-Márquez et al. [3] performed some pilot experiments to compare the performance of eight BERT variants and obtained PubMedBERT as the model with the best performance. To prepare for clustering, we reduced these embeddings using UMAP [12], which preserves local and global structures that are essential for a meaningful clustering. The reduced embeddings are then clustered using HDBSCAN [2], a density-based algorithm that identifies clusters of varying densities and effectively handles noise. Documents present in a cluster are analyzed using BM25 to identify the cluster’s keywords [14]. Finally, the gpt-4o-mini<sup>9</sup> model is prompted with keywords associated with each cluster to generate a label for a cluster. Cluster labels, centroid embeddings, and clusters associated with each PubMed abstract are stored in OpenSearch for efficient retrieval.

For enabling question answering on the corpus, we implemented a Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) pipeline [10]. Each PubMed abstract is segmented into individual sentences to create granular chunks of information and embedded using the PubMedBERT model. Approximately 46 million sentence embeddings and their corresponding texts are indexed in OpenSearch. This allows for rapid retrieval of relevant sentences during the question answering process. Topic modeling and clustering information are utilized to answer the corpus-level queries. However, upon receiving a document-level query, a metadata-enhanced vector search is performed, and the system retrieves the most relevant top-10 sentences based on embedding similarity. On average, about 2 seconds is the query latency on 46 million embeddings. These retrieved sentences combined with the query are prompted to the Mixtral-8x7B<sup>10</sup> model for answer generation.


***ClusterTalk Frontend.*** Figure 1 shows the *ClusterTalk* interface designed to provide users with an interactive experience for exploring the corpus and obtaining answers to their queries.

Users are presented with a visualization of the topic clusters derived from the abstracts by reducing the embedding dimensionality to two dimensions. This visualization helps to understand the thematic organization of the corpus at a glance. The *ClusterTalk* interface offers faceted search capabilities, allowing users to filter and refine their exploration based on multiple criteria. Document filtering is based on publication date ranges (temporal analysis) and keyword search within abstracts and titles, enabling users to locate documents containing

<sup>8</sup> <https://huggingface.co/NeuML/pubmedbert-base-embeddings> (accessed on 15th Oct 2024)

<sup>9</sup> <https://platform.openai.com/docs/models/gpt-4o-mini> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)

<sup>10</sup> <https://huggingface.co/mistralai/Mixtral-8x7B-Instruct-v0.1> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)



**Fig. 1.** Overview of the *ClusterTalk* interface. The interface includes four main features: 1) a chat functionality panel on the top-left for asking corpus and document-level queries; 2) a metadata information panel on the bottom-left for displaying metadata information of the selected documents; 3) a central cluster visualization map showing research topics like “Cancer Treatment” and “Genetic Disorders”; 4) a faceted search panel at the top for keyword search on “Title” and “Abstract” text.

specific terms of interest. Users are able to select one or more clusters to explore a particular topic of interest within the corpus.

After refining the document selection, users can pose document-level questions about the selected documents. For instance, they might ask, “Which treatment options are available for cancer?”. Corpus-level questions, i.e., about the entire corpus or for selected cluster(s) questions like “Which topics are covered in the Cancer Treatment cluster?” are also supported.

### 3 Discussion

In this paper, we presented an exploratory search system that enhances corpus exploration by combining document clustering with faceted search and answering questions to enable users to navigate corpora more efficiently and interactively. For instance, medical researchers can use *ClusterTalk* to discover and explore emerging trends in specific areas for example, lung cancer, by navigating the ‘Cancer Treatment’ cluster and filtering about publication dates and keywords. Additionally, the question answering feature enables users to obtain specific information from selected documents, making the research work more accessible.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) for funding this research within the FrameIntell project<sup>11</sup>.

<sup>11</sup> <https://frameintell.de> (accessed on 23rd Oct 2024)

## References

1. Bartschat, A., Reischl, M., Mikut, R.: Data mining tools. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* **9**(4), e1309 (2019)
2. Campello, R.J.G.B., Moulavi, D., Sander, J.: Density-based clustering based on hierarchical density estimates. In: *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. pp. 160–172. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2013)
3. González-Márquez, R., Schmidt, L., Schmidt, B.M., Berens, P., Kobak, D.: The landscape of biomedical research. *Patterns* **5**(6), 100968 (2024)
4. Grootendorst, M.: BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794* (2022)
5. Gu, Y., Tinn, R., Cheng, H., Lucas, M., Usuyama, N., Liu, X., Naumann, T., Gao, J., Poon, H.: Domain-Specific Language Model Pretraining for Biomedical Natural Language Processing. *ACM Trans. Comput. Healthcare* **3**(1), 1–23 (2021)
6. Hardie, A.: CQPweb—combining power, flexibility and usability in a corpus analysis tool. *International journal of corpus linguistics* **17**(3), 380–409 (2012)
7. Hearst, M.A.: Untangling text data mining. In: *Proceedings of the 37th Annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. pp. 3–10 (1999)
8. Hearst, M.A.: *Search User Interfaces*. Cambridge University Press, 1st edn. (2009)
9. Katz, U., Levy, M., Goldberg, Y.: Knowledge Navigator: LLM-guided Browsing Framework for Exploratory Search in Scientific Literature. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15836* (2024)
10. Lewis, P., Perez, E., Piktus, A., Petroni, F., Karpukhin, V., Goyal, N., Küttler, H., Lewis, M., Yih, W.t., Rocktäschel, T., et al.: Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **33**, 9459–9474 (2020)
11. Marchionini, G.: Exploratory search: from finding to understanding. *Communications of the ACM* **49**(4), 41–46 (2006)
12. McInnes, L., Healy, J., Saul, N., Großberger, L.: UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection. *Journal of Open Source Software* **3**(29), 861 (2018)
13. Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G., Dean, J.: Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. In: *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. p. 3111–3119. Curran Associates Inc. (2013)
14. Robertson, S., Zaragoza, H.: The probabilistic relevance framework: Bm25 and beyond. *Found. Trends Inf. Retr.* **3**(4), 333–389 (2009)
15. Silveria, A.: Exploratory Search with Sentence Embeddings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.11198* (2020)
16. Tunkelang, D.: *Faceted search*, vol. 5. Morgan & Claypool Publishers (2009)
17. Wang, S., Xu, X., Wang, M., Chen, W., Zhu, Y., Dou, Z.: RichRAG: Crafting Rich Responses for Multi-faceted Queries in Retrieval-Augmented Generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.12566* (2024)
18. White, R.W., Roth, R.A.: *Exploratory search: Beyond the query-response paradigm*, vol. 3. Morgan & Claypool Publishers (2009)
19. Wilson, M.L., Schraefel, M., White, R.W.: Evaluating advanced search interfaces using established information-seeking models. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology* **60**(7), 1407–1422 (2009)
20. Zheng, Y., Sun, S., Qiu, L., Ru, D., Jiayang, C., Li, X., Lin, J., Wang, B., Luo, Y., Pan, R., et al.: OpenResearcher: Unleashing AI for Accelerated Scientific Research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06941* (2024)