

---

# QADM-NET: QUALITY-ADAPTIVE DYNAMIC NETWORK FOR RELIABLE MULTIMODAL CLASSIFICATION

---

Shu Shen<sup>1</sup> Tong Zhang<sup>1</sup> C.L.Philip Chen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>South China University of Technology

December 20, 2024

## ABSTRACT

Integrating complementary information from different data modalities can yield representation with stronger expressive ability. However, data quality varies across multimodal samples, highlighting the need for learning reliable multimodal representations, especially in safety-critical applications. This paper focuses on an aspect that existing methods in this domain commonly overlook: the importance of network dynamics and adaptability in providing reliable results from diverse samples. Specifically, it highlights the model’s ability to dynamically adjust its capacity and behaviour according to different samples, using the adjusted network for predicting each sample. To this end, we propose a novel framework for multimodal reliable classification termed Quality-adaptive Dynamic Multimodal Network (QADM-Net). QADM-Net first introduces a confidence-guided dynamic depths mechanism to achieve the appropriate network capacity. This mechanism adjusts the network depth according to the difficulty of each sample, which is determined by the quality of its modalities. Subsequently, we develop an informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanism that enables QADM-Net to perform unique inference behaviour on each of the diverse samples with feature-level quality variation presented in their feature vectors. In this way, QADM-Net adequately adapts its capacity and behaviour on each sample by investigating the quality variation of samples at both modality and feature levels, thus enhancing the reliability of classification results. Experiments conducted on four datasets demonstrate that QADM-Net significantly outperforms state-of-the-art methods in classification performance and exhibits strong adaptability to data with diverse quality.

## 1 Introduction

In recent years, multimodal data has become accessible and significantly improved prediction in various application scenarios [3, 16, 23, 4]. However, due to the inherent informativeness differences among modalities and noise introduced by varying sensor conditions or environmental factors, there are complex quality differences among multimodal samples. At the modality level, the qualities of different modalities vary across samples. At the feature level, the quality of features within the feature vectors of different samples also differ [8, 10, 32].

Conventional multimodal learning methods always fail to provide reliable predictions when faced with low-quality data. Consequently, these methods are incapable of safety-critical applications such as computer-aided diagnosis and auto-driving [7, 20, 10, 33]. To address this issue, extensive research [8, 10, 35, 32, 24, 33, 30, 31] has been dedicated to improving the reliability of multimodal classification. These methods evaluate the quality of samples and remove uninformative features in low-quality data, thus enhancing the reliability of learning results. Despite their effectiveness, these static-network-based methods commonly neglect the importance of network dynamics and adaptability to samples. On the one hand, reliably inferring samples of varying difficulty imposes different demands on the network’s capacity. A too-shallow network cannot fully capture complex mappings, while an overly deep network may suffer from performance loss due to overthinking [34] and other issues. As demonstrated in Figure 1 (a), methods based on static networks experience performance degradation when handling low-quality, more difficult data. Increasing network depth can effectively compensate for some of the lost accuracy, while excessive depth also leads to further accuracy decline. On the other hand, the behaviour of static networks remains fixed to any samples, which presents




Figure 1: (a) The accuracy of three state-of-the-art reliable multimodal classification models, including MD [12], MLCLNet [32], DPNET [35], and our proposed model, when applied to data of different quality. To simulate low-quality data, we use Gaussian noise with a variance of 2 to pollute the original data. “1D” represents the original model proposed in the paper, while “2D” refers to a modified version with a network depth of 2, and so on. (b) The t-SNE visualization results of the distribution of original features and the features after quality enhancement by several models. More details are included in the supplementary materials.

limitations in reducing intra-class distance when dealing with diverse samples caused by varying features, as shown in Figure 1 (b).

Based on the above insights, this paper proposes a novel framework for reliable multimodal classification termed Quality-Adaptive Dynamic Multimodal Network (QADM-Net). QADM-Net first introduces the *confidence-guided dynamic depths* mechanism, adjusting network capacity by dynamically modulating the depth based on the quality of all modalities in each input sample. Subsequently, the *informativeness-based dynamic parameters* mechanism is developed that derives distinct network parameters based on the feature informativeness of each sample’s feature vector, enabling sample-specific network behaviour. Specifically, QADM-Net comprises several modality-specific quality-enhancing subnetworks, each consisting of multiple sequentially connected network blocks. Each block includes a network layer capable of filtering out redundant features in the feature vector to promote quality. The quality of each modality of the input sample is progressively enhanced in the corresponding subnetwork, ultimately resulting in high-confidence features for reliable outputs. Given an input sample, the *confidence-guided dynamic depths* mechanism first estimates the confidence of all its modalities via the proposed high-confidence proxies. For modalities with lower confidence indicating lower quality, the corresponding subnetworks in QADM-Net will have more blocks and become deeper, allowing for more iterations of uninformative feature removal and quality enhancement. Additionally, the *informativeness-based dynamic parameters* mechanism successively and jointly predicts the parameters of quality enhancement network blocks at each depth of all subnetworks based on the changes in feature-level informativeness of the feature vectors brought by the quality enhancement of blocks in the preceding depths. In this manner, QADM-Net identifies the appropriate capacity and behaviour configuration for each input sample to achieve reliable output. Experiments conducted on four datasets show that QADM-Net significantly outperforms state-of-the-art methods in classification tasks and has a strong adaptation to data with different qualities.

The contributions of this paper can be summarized as:

- We delve into reliable multimodal learning from a novel perspective by portraying the dynamics of network capacity and behaviour to accommodate quality variations of different data at the modality and feature levels.
- The proposed confidence-guided dynamic depths mechanism presents a new approach to utilizing the estimated confidence of the input in reliable learning methods by guiding the adjustment of network capacity.




Figure 2: The framework of the proposed QADM-Net. Given an input sample  $x_i$ : (i) QADM-Net first utilizes the *confidence-based dynamic depths* mechanism to adjust the depths of all subnetwork. (ii) QADM-Net then applies the *informativeness-based dynamic parameters* mechanism to predict the parameters of all network blocks.

- The designed informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanism endows the network with strong adaptability to diverse samples by predicting network parameters that enable sample-specific network behaviour.
- The proposed QADM-Net outperforms state-of-the-art reliable multimodal learning methods in multiple benchmarks by a large margin and exhibits strong adaptability to data with various qualities.

## 2 Related Works

### 2.1 Dynamic Neural Network

Designing dynamic architectures or parameters is a promising approach to promoting neural networks' accuracy, computational efficiency, and adaptability in multiple tasks [25, 13, 22, 9]. In image classification tasks, Huang et al. [14] utilized the concept of early exit to enable efficient inference for samples. Wang et al. [28] reduced computational cost by allowing samples to skip different network structures, significantly improving computational efficiency and adaptability. Ma et al. [19] achieved promising accuracy-FLOPs results by using fully connected layers to predict convolutional weights. In video action recognition tasks, Wu et al. [29] significantly improved inference efficiency by tailoring different inference processes for each sample based on the variation in the distinguishability of different videos. In natural language processing tasks, many studies [29, 34] effectively improved inference speed and addressed the overthinking issue by allowing samples to be output at different network layers. In object detection tasks, Lin et al. [17] achieved accuracy-speed trade-offs by applying different network routines to different samples.

### 2.2 Reliable Multimodal Classification

Many studies have developed quality-aware methods to provide reliable multimodal classification results from low-quality multimodal data. Federici et al. [6] proposed the multi-view information bottleneck, which improved the generalization and robustness of multi-view learning by retaining information shared by each view. Han et al. [11] parameterized the evidence of different modality features using Dirichlet distribution and fused each modality at the evidence level using Dempster-Shafer theory. Geng et al. [8] proposed the DUA-Nets, which achieved uncertainty-based multimodal representation learning through reconstruction. Han et al. [10] modelled informativeness at the feature and modality levels, achieving trustworthy multi-modal feature fusion. Zhang et al. [30] achieved more robust and generalized multimodal fusion by dynamically assigning weights to each modality based on uncertainty estimation. Zheng et al. [32] achieved trustworthy multimodal classification via integrating feature and label-level confidence.

Zou et al. [35] proposed a novel dynamic poly-attention Network that integrated global structural information for trustworthy multimodal classification. Zhou et al. [33] introduced a trustworthy multi-view classification framework by enhancing multi-view encoding and confidence-aware fusion.

### 3 Proposed QADM-Net

In this section, we provide a detailed illustration of the proposed QADM-Net. Given  $N$  multimodal samples with  $M$  modalities  $\mathcal{X} = \{\{\mathbf{x}_i^m\}_{m=1}^M\}_{i=1}^N$  belonging to  $C$  classes. Multimodal classification methods aim to learn a neural network that maps every input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i = \{\mathbf{x}_i^m \in \mathbb{R}^{d^m}\}_{m=1}^M$  to its corresponding class label  $\mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^C$ , where  $d^m$  is the dimension of the  $m$ -th modality. In this work, we propose QADM-Net to provide reliable classification result  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$  given the input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$ . To achieve network dynamics and provide reliable inference on each input sample, QADM-Net introduces the *confidence-guided dynamic depths* and the *informativeness-based dynamic parameters* mechanisms, elaborated in the following sections.

#### 3.1 Backbone of QADM-Net

Since the depths and parameters of QADM-Net vary on different input samples, we denote the adjusted QADM-Net for the  $i$ -th input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$  as  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  for clarity. The backbone of  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  consists of  $M$  modality-specific subnetworks  $\{\mathbf{N}_i^m\}_{m=1}^M$ . For the  $m$ -th modality, the corresponding subnetwork  $\mathbf{N}_i^m$  with a network depth of  $D_i^m$  comprises  $D_i^m$  sequentially connected quality enhancing network blocks  $\{\mathbf{B}_i^{m,t}\}_{t=1}^{D_i^m}$ . Each block is designed based on the observation that given a high-dimensional feature vector, only a subset of features are informative and relevant to the class label [10, 32, 35, 31]. The quality of the feature vector is improved by removing features that are weakly relevant to the label. Specifically, for the  $t$ -th block  $\mathbf{B}_i^{m,t}$  at the depth of  $t$  in subnetwork  $\mathbf{N}_i^m$ , a fully connected layer  $\mathbf{G}_i^{m,t} : \mathbb{R}^{d^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d^m}$  with parameters  $\theta_i^{m,t} = [\mathbf{W}_i^{m,t}, \mathbf{b}_i^{m,t}]$  is utilized to calculate a feature-level informativeness mask  $\mathbf{w}_i^{m,t} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^m}$  for the input feature vector of the block. The mask is then element-wise multiplied with the input feature vector to remove redundant features and promote quality and confidence. The calculation of  $\mathbf{B}_i^{m,t}$  can be formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_i^{m,t+1} &= \mathbf{B}_i^{m,t}(\mathbf{I}_i^{m,t}) = \mathbf{w}_i^{m,t} \odot \mathbf{I}_i^{m,t} \\ &= \sigma(\mathbf{G}_i^{m,t}(\mathbf{I}_i^{m,t})) \odot \mathbf{I}_i^{m,t} \\ &= \sigma(\mathbf{W}_i^{m,t} \cdot \mathbf{I}_i^{m,t} + \mathbf{b}_i^{m,t}) \odot \mathbf{I}_i^{m,t}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$\mathbf{I}_i^{m,t+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^m}$  refers to the enhanced feature vector output by  $\mathbf{B}_i^{m,t}$ .  $\mathbf{I}_i^{m,1} = \mathbf{x}_i^m$ .  $\odot$  refers to element-wise multiplication.  $\sigma$  is the sigmoid function. Therefore, the high-confidence feature vector  $\mathbf{f}_i^m$  of the  $m$ -th modality of the sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$  derived by  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  is provided by gradual quality improvement in each block of the  $m$ -th subnetwork  $\mathbf{N}_i^m$ , which can be formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_i^m &= \mathbf{N}_i^m(\mathbf{x}_i^m) \\ &= \mathbf{B}_i^{m,D_i^m} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{B}_i^{m,1}(\mathbf{x}_i^m), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\circ$  refers to the composition of two operations. The high-confidence feature vectors of all modalities  $\{\mathbf{f}_i^m\}_{m=1}^M$  are finally concatenated to provide the reliable classification result  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i$  of the input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$ .

The following sections further elaborate on how to dynamically determine the depths  $\mathbf{D}_i = \{D_i^m\}_{m=1}^M$  and the parameters  $\Theta_i = \{\{\theta_i^{m,t}\}_{t=1}^{D_i^m}\}_{m=1}^M$  of  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  for the inference of sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$ .

#### 3.2 Confidence-guided Dynamic Depths

In multimodal data, the quality of modalities varies across different samples, leading to samples of varying difficulty. Samples of different difficulties impose varying demands on network capacity during inference. Therefore, it is crucial to adjust the network capacity adaptively based on sample difficulty. In this work, we propose to adjust the appropriate depths of each subnetwork of QADM-Net guided by the classification confidence, which reflects the quality of each modality of an input sample.

**High-confidence-proxies-based confidence estimation.** To ensure reliability, the true-class probability (TCP) [10] is employed to evaluate the classification confidence of the feature in this work. TCP is the softmax output probability corresponding to the real label.  $\mathbf{TCP} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$ . It cannot be used directly in testing because label information is required. Thus, we first calculate the **TCP** of input features and then follow Han et al. [10] to introduce modality-specific confidence networks trained to predict  $\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}$  during inference.

Instead of using trainable classifiers to approximate  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$  as Han et.al [10] did, we introduce a classifier-free calculation based on the proposed high-confidence proxies to provide a more stable approximation for obtaining **TCP** during training. For dataset  $\mathcal{X}$  ( $M$  modalities and  $C$  classes), we find  $C \times M$  feature vectors  $\omega = \{\{\omega^{c,m}\}_{c=1}^C\}_{m=1}^M$  termed high-confidence proxies.  $\omega^{c,m}$  is a representative of the feature vector of the  $m$ -th modality that has high confidence to be classified into the  $c$ -th class. To learn  $\omega$ , feature vectors in  $\mathcal{X}$  are first enhanced by modality-specific encoders. Then, the enhanced  $\mathcal{X}$  is separated into  $C \times M$  clusters  $\{\{\mathcal{X}^{c,m}\}_{c=1}^C\}_{m=1}^M$  based on different classes and modalities. Within each cluster, the mean of all feature vectors is calculated, resulting in  $C \times M$  proxies  $\mu = \{\{\mu^{c,m}\}_{c=1}^C\}_{m=1}^M$ . To promote the confidence of all proxies  $\mu$  as well as all enhanced feature vectors in  $\mathcal{X}$ , loss function  $\mathcal{L}^{rob}$  is employed, which is formulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{rob} &= \frac{1}{CM^2} \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^M I(\mu^{c,i}, \mu^{c,j}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{CM} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{c=1}^C \log\left(\frac{\exp(\cos(\mu^{c,m}, \mu^{c,m}))}{\sum_{i=1}^C \exp(\cos(\mu^{c,m}, \mu^{i,m}))}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$\cos(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the cosine similarity.  $I(\cdot, \cdot)$  refers to mutual information between the two representations. Maximizing the first term of  $\mathcal{L}^{rob}$  enhances robustness by increasing the shared information between different modalities [6]. Maximizing the second term improves classification confidence by encouraging orthogonality among different classes [15]. Therefore, the high-confidence proxies can be obtained by  $\omega = \arg \max_{\mu} \mathcal{L}^{rob}$ . Then, for the  $m$ -th modality feature of an input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , the TCP can be approximated utilizing  $\omega$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{TCP}_i^m &= \mathbf{y}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_i^m) \\ &= \mathbf{y}_i \cdot \text{softmax}(\mathbf{x}_i^m \cdot [\omega^{1,m}, \dots, \omega^{C,m}]). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$\mathbf{TCP}_i^m \in (0, 1)$ . All feature vectors in Equation 4 are normalized before calculation.  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_i^m)$  is approximated by calculating the similarity between the  $m$ -th modality input  $\mathbf{x}_i^m$  and the high-confidence proxies of the  $m$ -th modality under all classes  $[\omega^{1,m}, \dots, \omega^{C,m}]$ . Subsequently, the modality-specific confidence network  $g^m$  is introduced as mentioned above to predict  $\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m$  during inference:  $\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m = g^m(\mathbf{x}_i^m)$ . All confidence networks are trained by minimizing  $\mathcal{L}^{conf}$ , which is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}^{conf} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M (\mathbf{TCP}_i^m - \widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m)^2. \quad (5)$$

**Dynamic Adjustment of network depths.** After the confidence  $\{\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m\}_{m=1}^M$  of an input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is estimated, QADM-Net accordingly adjusting the depths of all subnetworks corresponding to each modality. The lower confidence signifies a more ‘‘difficult’’ modality of the input sample with lower quality, requiring deeper layers to obtain reliable results, while the higher-confidence ones require a shallower network. Therefore, we adjust the network depth to be negatively correlated with confidence. For the  $m$ -th modality, the depth  $D_i^m$  of the subnetwork  $\mathbf{N}_i^m$  in  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  is determined according to  $\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m$  by:

$$D_i^m \propto (\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m)^{-1} = \mathcal{S}_K\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\mathbf{TCP}}_i^m + \epsilon}\right). \quad (6)$$

$\epsilon$  is the floating-point precision.  $\mathcal{S}_K(\cdot)$  is a scaling function that maps a number from its original range to an integer in  $[1, K]$ , i.e., the subnetwork depths can vary within  $[1, K]$ , where  $K$ , the hyperparameter, is an integer greater than 1. Thus, the depth of all subnetworks in  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  is adjusted to  $\mathbf{D}_i = \{D_i^m\}_{m=1}^M$ .

### 3.3 Informativeness-based Dynamic Parameters

Differences in the informativeness of features within the feature vectors of different samples further increase the diversity of multimodal samples. In response, this paper proposes to apply varying network behaviours to samples with feature-level quality variations by dynamically predicting parameters. Precisely, we sequentially predict the blocks of each modality at each depth in QADM-Net, progressing from shallow to deep. The parameters for all modality blocks at the same depth are jointly predicted based on the informativeness changes of the enhanced feature vectors from the preceding depths. In other words, each block decides the most effective way to further enhance the features by considering how the features have been enhanced in the previous depths.

Formally, an LSTM unit **LU** is introduced to help the model remember the enhanced multimodal feature in the earlier depths. For subnetwork  $\mathbf{N}_i^m$  consisting of  $D_i^m$  blocks, the  $t$ -th block  $\mathbf{B}_i^{m,t}$  contains a network layer  $\mathbf{G}_i^{m,t} : \mathbb{R}^{d^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d^m}$ . The parameters  $\theta_i^{m,t}$  of  $\mathbf{G}_i^{m,t}$  is predicted by following two steps. (i) The latest enhanced multimodal feature at the depth  $t$ :  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i^t = [\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i^{1,t} | \dots | \hat{\mathbf{f}}_i^{M,t}]$  is input into  $LU$ , producing the output  $\mathbf{o}^t$ . “|” refers to vector concatenation.  $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i^{m',t}$  is the latest enhanced feature vector of the  $m'$ -th modality defined as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_i^{m',t} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I}_i^{m',t} & 1 \leq t \leq D_i^{m'} \\ \mathbf{I}_i^{m',D_i^{m'}+1} & t > D_i^{m'}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

(ii) The output  $\mathbf{o}^t$  is decoded and converted to the set of parameter  $\theta_i^{m,t} = [\mathbf{W}_i^{m,t}, \mathbf{b}_i^{m,t}]$  utilizing a modality-specific parameter converter  $\mathbf{PC}^m : \mathbb{R}^{d^1+\dots+d^M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d^m \times d^m+d^m}$ :

$$\theta_i^{m,t} = [\mathbf{W}_i^{m,t}, \mathbf{b}_i^{m,t}] = \mathbf{PC}^m(\mathbf{o}^t), \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_i^{m,t} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^m \times d^m}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_i^{m,t} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^m}$ . Therefore, the parameters  $\Theta_i$  of  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  is predicted conditioning on input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$  by repeating the above two steps for blocks of each depth.

### 3.4 Learning Objective

During the training stage, we first train the confidence-guided confidence mechanism by optimizing the high-confidence proxies  $\omega$  via maximizing  $\mathcal{L}^{rob}$  defined in Equation 3. Additionally, modality-specific confidence networks are trained by minimizing  $\mathcal{L}^{conf}$  formulated in Equation 5.

Three terms of loss functions are introduced to train the informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanism. To maximize the effectiveness of quality enhancement in all network blocks, the total confidence gain  $\mathcal{L}^c$  and the sparsity loss  $\mathcal{L}^s$  are introduced and formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}^c = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{t=1}^{D_i^m} E(\mathbf{I}_i^{m,t+1}) - E(\mathbf{I}_i^{m,t}), \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^s = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{t=1}^{D_i^m} \|(\mathbf{w}_i^m)_t\|_1. \quad (10)$$

$E(\cdot)$  refers to the negative energy score [18] of a feature vector, which decreases as the uncertainty of the feature vector increases.  $N$  is the number of training samples, and  $M$  is the number of modalities. The total confidence gain in all blocks is encouraged by maximizing  $\mathcal{L}^c$ . Minimizing  $\mathcal{L}^s$  further improves the effectiveness of quality enhancement by retaining a small subset of informative features in feature vectors with the learned sparse feature informativeness masks [10]. Finally, the classification loss  $\mathcal{L}^{task}$  is obtained by calculating the cross-entropy loss between predictions from QADM-Net and ground-truth labels. Thus, the learning objective of the informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanism  $\mathcal{L}^{dp}$  is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}^{dp} = \mathcal{L}^{task} - \mathcal{L}^c + \mathcal{L}^s. \quad (11)$$

The **LU** and modality-specific parameter converters  $\{\mathbf{PC}^m\}_{m=1}^M$  are trained via minimizing  $\mathcal{L}^{dp}$  to predict the optimal parameter  $\Theta_i$  of  $\mathbf{QADM}_i$  given input sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$  during inference stage.

## 4 Experiments

In the experiment, we compare the proposed QADM-Net with state-of-the-art reliable multimodal classification methods on four real-world datasets. The extensive experimental results demonstrate the superiority of classification performance and strong adaptability to data with diverse qualities of the proposed method.

### 4.1 Experimental Settings

**Datasets.** Experiments are conducted on four multimodal datasets. (1) **BRCA** is a dataset for breast invasive carcinoma PAM50 subtype classification. The dataset comprises 875 samples categorized into 5 subtypes, with each sample containing features from 3 modalities: mRNA expression data (mRNA), DNA methylation data (meth), and miRNA expression data (miRNA). BCRA can be obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas program (TCGA)<sup>1</sup>. (2)

<sup>1</sup><https://www.cancer.gov/aboutnci/organization/ccg/research/structuralgenomics/tcga>

Table 1: Comparison with state-of-the-art reliable multimodal classification methods on four datasets.

Method	Type	BRCA			ROSMAP		
		ACC	WeightedF1	MacroF1	ACC	F1	AUC
TMC [11]	static	84.2±0.5	84.4±0.9	80.6±0.9	82.5±0.9	82.3±0.6	88.5±0.6
DUA-Nets [8]	static	80.7±2.5	80.3±2.8	75.3±2.8	79.2±3.1	80.6±2.3	85.4±2.3
MD [10]	static	87.7±0.3	88.0±0.5	84.5±0.5	84.2±1.3	84.6±0.7	91.2±0.7
MLCLNet [32]	static	86.4±1.6	87.8±1.7	82.6±1.8	84.4±1.5	85.2±1.5	89.3±1.1
DPNET [35]	static	87.8±1.0	88.4±1.2	85.2±1.2	85.1±1.1	84.8±0.7	91.3±0.7
CALM [33]	static	88.2±0.7	88.5±0.8	85.1±0.8	85.5±1.2	87.9±0.9	91.3±1.0
QMF [30]	static	87.4±0.4	87.7±0.5	84.1±0.5	84.6±0.9	84.8±0.8	90.5±0.8
DMIB [5]	static	87.1±0.5	87.4±0.6	83.5±0.9	84.9±1.8	85.3±1.7	91.6±0.7
GCFANet [31]	static	88.6±1.5	88.9±1.6	85.3±1.6	86.3±1.4	88.3±1.6	91.5±1.2
QADM-Net	dynamic	<b>93.7± 0.3</b>	<b>93.7± 0.4</b>	<b>91.5± 0.4</b>	<b>92.1± 0.4</b>	<b>92.7± 0.3</b>	<b>95.2± 0.3</b>

Method	Type	CUB			FOOD101		
		ACC	WeightedF1	MacroF1	ACC	WeightedF1	MacroF1
TMC [11]	static	87.9±2.3	87.4±1.9	87.6±2.1	89.9±1.5	89.7±1.7	90.2±1.6
DUA-Nets [8]	static	79.4±2.0	79.3±2.3	80.3±2.3	85.2±2.1	84.6±2.1	85.4±1.9
MD [10]	static	90.1±0.7	90.0±0.8	89.9±0.7	92.8±0.3	92.6±0.2	92.6±0.2
MLCLNet [32]	static	88.2±1.4	88.3±1.7	87.9±1.3	92.1±1.0	92.2±1.2	92.1±1.1
DPNET [35]	static	92.1±0.9	91.8±0.7	92.2±1.1	<u>93.1±1.2</u>	93.0±0.7	92.8±0.8
CALM [33]	static	92.0±0.2	92.1±0.4	92.1±0.5	<u>93.0±1.1</u>	92.9±0.7	<u>92.9±0.8</u>
QMF [30]	static	89.8±0.4	89.7±0.5	89.1±0.5	92.7±0.5	92.3±0.4	<u>92.4±0.4</u>
DMIB [5]	static	90.5±0.7	90.3±0.8	90.7±0.5	91.3±0.8	91.2±0.7	91.5±0.7
GCFANet [31]	static	<u>92.3±1.2</u>	<u>92.4±1.1</u>	<u>92.6±1.2</u>	92.9±1.2	<u>93.1±1.1</u>	92.7±0.9
QADM-Net	dynamic	<b>97.3± 0.2</b>	<b>97.3± 0.3</b>	<b>97.3± 0.4</b>	<b>94.4± 0.3</b>	<b>94.7± 0.4</b>	<b>94.2± 0.3</b>

Table 2: Ablation study on four datasets to demonstrate the effectiveness of the informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanism, where the best results are in bold.

Dataset	Method	ACC
BRCA	W/O dy_param	86.2±0.5
	QADM-Net	<b>93.7± 0.3</b>
Dataset	Method	ACC
ROSMAP	W/O dy_param	87.8±0.6
	QADM-Net	<b>92.1± 0.4</b>
Dataset	Method	ACC
CUB	W/O dy_param	90.8±0.7
	QADM-Net	<b>97.3± 0.2</b>
Dataset	Method	ACC
FOOD101	W/O dy_param	87.6±0.6
	QADM-Net	<b>94.4± 0.3</b>

**ROSMAP** contains samples from Alzheimer’s patients (182 samples) and normal control subjects (169 samples) which also includes three modalities mRNA, meth, and miRNA. [1, 2] (3) **CUB** is an image-text dataset with 11,788 samples comprising 200 categories of birds. [26] (4) **UPMC FOOD101** comprises 90,704 food images from 101 categories and corresponding textual descriptions [27].

**Compared methods.** To demonstrate the improvement of the proposed QADM-Net, several reliable multimodal classification methods are introduced, including trusted multi-view classification (**TMC**) [11], dynamic uncertainty-aware networks (**DUA-Nets**) [8], multimodal dynamics (**MD**) [10], multi-level confidence learning (**MLCLNet**) [32], dynamic poly-attention network (**DPNET**) [35], enhanced encoding and confidence evaluating framework (**CALM**)




Figure 3: Ablation study to demonstrate the effectiveness of the confidence-guided dynamic depths mechanism.

[33], quality-aware multimodal fusion (QMF) [30], dynamic information bottleneck (DMIB) [5], and multi-omics data classification network via global and cross-modal feature aggregation (GCFANet) [31].

**Evaluation metrics.** For multi-class classification tasks, three metrics, including accuracy (ACC), average F1 score weighted by support (WeightedF1), and macro-averaged F1 score (MacroF1), are employed to evaluate the performance of different methods. For binary classification tasks, the accuracy (ACC), F1 score (F1), and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of different methods are compared.

## 4.2 Experimental Results

**Classification Performance.** We compare the classification performance of the proposed QADM-Net to other reliable multimodal classification methods. All the compared methods are static networks whose structures and parameters do not change during the inference stage. Results are presented in Table 1, showing that the proposed QADM-Net outperforms these methods on all four datasets. Specifically, for multi-class classification, QADM-Net improves ACC, WeightedF1, and MacroF1 by 5.1%, 4.8%, and 6.2% on the BRCA dataset, 5.0%, 4.9%, and 4.7% on the CUB dataset and 1.5%, 1.6%, and 1.3% on the UMPC FOOD101 dataset. For binary classification, QADM-Net demonstrates enhancement of 5.8%, 4.4%, and 3.6% in terms of ACC, F1, and AUC on the ROSMAP dataset. The results illustrate that the strong adaptability of QADM-Net to sample quality in the dataset provides it with more promising classification results. We also evaluate the performance of different methods under Gaussian noise. Please refer to supplementary materials for more results.

**Ablation study.** We conduct comprehensive ablation studies on all four datasets to demonstrate the effectiveness of the confidence-guided dynamic depths and the informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanisms of QADM-Net. **(i) Effectiveness of the confidence-guided dynamic depths mechanism.** The network version “W/O dy\_depth” is introduced, which retains the dynamic parameters while fixing the depths of all subnetworks in QADM-Net to  $K$ , instead of varying in the range of  $[1, K]$  according to the quality of modalities of each sample. The ACC of “W/O dy\_depth” and QADM-Net under different values of  $K$  is depicted in Figure 3. The results indicate that due to the variable and adaptive network depth and capacity, QADM-Net achieves superior performance compared to the “W/O






Figure 4: Investigation of our model in estimating confidence and adjusting depth accordingly. The curves in blue and orange correspond to distributions of clean and polluted data, respectively.




Figure 5: Heatmaps showing the first 10 parameters of the initial network block in a subnetwork of QADM-Net for 10 randomly selected samples from the BRCA dataset. Each row in the heatmap represents the network parameter values corresponding to one sample.

dy\_depth" version. **(ii) Effectiveness of the informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanism.** The network version “W/O dy\_param” is implemented that retains the dynamic depths of QADM-Net, while the parameters of the network blocks are determined by learning during training and remain static during inference. Results presented in Table 2 show that benefiting from the versatile behaviours provided by dynamic parameter strategy, QADM-Net produces more promising results on four datasets.

**Adaptability to quality variations.** To demonstrate the adaptability to the data quality of QADM-Net, extended experiments are conducted on datasets under Gaussian noise, following previous works [11, 8, 33]. The noise with different intensities is generated by sampling several noise vectors  $\nu$  from Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  and multiplying them with intensity  $\eta$ . The noise is used to pollute the original clean data by  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i^m = \mathbf{x}_i^m + \eta\nu_i$ . **(i) Modality-level quality adaptation of network capacity.** We observe the network capacity adaptation to modality-level quality variations on the modified CUB dataset. Gaussian noise is added to half of the data in one of its two modalities. For the polluted

modality, the Gaussian kernel density estimation [21] of the estimated confidence and the adjustment of network depth on all samples is shown in Figure 4. When noise is not added ( $\eta = 0$ ), the distribution of two parts of samples overlaps, and QADM-Net applies the most minor depth 1 of its corresponding subnetwork to most samples. As noise intensity increases, the quality and confidence of the modality decrease, prompting QADM-Net to adjust by increasing the network depth for those affected samples. This demonstrates that QADM-Net can detect differences in modality quality between samples and adjust network capacity accordingly to provide promising results. **(ii) Feature-level quality adaptation of network behaviour.** We add Gaussian noise to the BRCA dataset to demonstrate the feature-level adaptation. For clarity, we generate heatmaps of part of the parameters from the same quality-enhancing network block of QADM-Net for 10 randomly chosen samples, as shown in Figure 5. By comparing the differences between the rows of the heatmaps, we can observe that QADM-Net predicts fairly consistent yet distinct network parameters for each original sample ( $\eta = 0$ ). However, as the deviation of noise increases, introducing more chaotic features into the feature vectors, the network parameters predicted by QADM-Net for different samples become increasingly varied. This demonstrates that QADM-Net perceives the quality variations at the feature level within the feature vectors of each sample and adapts its inference behaviour accordingly to promote the quality enhancement. Please refer to the supplementary materials for additional experimental results.

**Sensitivity analysis.** There is one hyperparameter  $K$  in QADM-Net that controls the dynamic range of depth. We conduct a parameter sensitivity analysis by varying  $K$  in the range [1, 10]. Figure 3 shows that QADM-Net is relatively insensitive to  $K$  in all datasets as  $K$  is greater than or equal to 3. Therefore, we adopt  $K = 3$  in the experiments.

## 5 Conclusions

This work proposes a novel quality-adaptive dynamic multimodal network (QADM-Net) for reliable multimodal classification. QADM-Net introduces the confidence-guided dynamic depths and informativeness-based dynamic parameters mechanisms. These mechanisms adjust the appropriate network capacity and behaviour for each sample’s inference by leveraging the quality variations at the modality and feature levels of different samples, significantly promoting the reliability of learning results. Extensive experiments show the superiority of QADM-Net in classification performance compared to state-of-the-art reliable classification methods and demonstrate the strong adaptability of QADM-Net to data with diverse quality.

## References

- [1] David A Bennett et al. “Overview and findings from the religious orders study”. In: *Current Alzheimer Research* 9.6 (2012), pp. 628–645.
- [2] PL De Jager et al. *A multi-omic atlas of the human frontal cortex for aging and Alzheimer’s disease research*. *Sci Data* 5: 180142. 2018.
- [3] Zi-Yi Dou et al. “An empirical study of training end-to-end vision-and-language transformers”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2022, pp. 18166–18176.
- [4] Benjamin Elizalde et al. “Clap learning audio concepts from natural language supervision”. In: *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE. 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [5] Yingying Fang et al. “Dynamic Multimodal Information Bottleneck for Multimodality Classification”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*. 2024, pp. 7696–7706.
- [6] Marco Federici et al. “Learning robust representations via multi-view information bottleneck”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.07017* (2020).
- [7] Di Feng, Lars Rosenbaum, and Klaus Dietmayer. “Towards safe autonomous driving: Capture uncertainty in the deep neural network for lidar 3d vehicle detection”. In: *2018 21st international conference on intelligent transportation systems (ITSC)*. IEEE. 2018, pp. 3266–3273.
- [8] Yu Geng et al. “Uncertainty-aware multi-view representation learning”. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 35. 9. 2021, pp. 7545–7553.
- [9] Yizeng Han et al. “Dynamic neural networks: A survey”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 44.11 (2021), pp. 7436–7456.
- [10] Zongbo Han et al. “Multimodal dynamics: Dynamical fusion for trustworthy multimodal classification”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2022, pp. 20707–20717.
- [11] Zongbo Han et al. “Trusted multi-view classification”. In: *International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2020.

- [12] Zongbo Han et al. “Trusted multi-view classification with dynamic evidential fusion”. In: *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 45.2 (2022), pp. 2551–2566.
- [13] Weizhe Hua et al. “Channel gating neural networks”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 32 (2019).
- [14] Gao Huang et al. “Multi-scale dense networks for resource efficient image classification”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.09844* (2017).
- [15] Jiabo Huang, Shaogang Gong, and Xiatian Zhu. “Deep semantic clustering by partition confidence maximisation”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2020, pp. 8849–8858.
- [16] Manling Li et al. “Clip-event: Connecting text and images with event structures”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2022, pp. 16420–16429.
- [17] Zhihao Lin et al. “Dynamicdet: A unified dynamic architecture for object detection”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2023, pp. 6282–6291.
- [18] Weitang Liu et al. “Energy-based out-of-distribution detection”. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), pp. 21464–21475.
- [19] Ningning Ma et al. “Weightnet: Revisiting the design space of weight networks”. In: *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer. 2020, pp. 776–792.
- [20] Tanya Nair et al. “Exploring uncertainty measures in deep networks for multiple sclerosis lesion detection and segmentation”. In: *Medical image analysis* 59 (2020), p. 101557.
- [21] David W Scott. *Multivariate density estimation: theory, practice, and visualization*. John Wiley & Sons, 2015.
- [22] Hang Su et al. “Pixel-adaptive convolutional neural networks”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2019, pp. 11166–11175.
- [23] Hao Sun et al. “CubeMLP: An MLP-based model for multimodal sentiment analysis and depression estimation”. In: *Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia*. 2022, pp. 3722–3729.
- [24] Mani Kumar Tellamekala et al. “COLD fusion: Calibrated and ordinal latent distribution fusion for uncertainty-aware multimodal emotion recognition”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (2023).
- [25] Andreas Veit and Serge Belongie. “Convolutional networks with adaptive inference graphs”. In: *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*. 2018, pp. 3–18.
- [26] Catherine Wah et al. “The caltech-ucsd birds-200-2011 dataset”. In: (2011).
- [27] Xin Wang et al. “Recipe recognition with large multimodal food dataset”. In: *2015 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia & Expo Workshops (ICMEW)*. IEEE. 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [28] Xin Wang et al. “Skipnet: Learning dynamic routing in convolutional networks”. In: *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*. 2018, pp. 409–424.
- [29] Wenhao Wu et al. “Dynamic inference: A new approach toward efficient video action recognition”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*. 2020, pp. 676–677.
- [30] Qingyang Zhang et al. “Provable dynamic fusion for low-quality multimodal data”. In: *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR. 2023, pp. 41753–41769.
- [31] Xiao Zheng et al. “Global and cross-modal feature aggregation for multi-omics data classification and application on drug response prediction”. In: *Information Fusion* 102 (2024), p. 102077.
- [32] Xiao Zheng et al. “Multi-level confidence learning for trustworthy multimodal classification”. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 37. 9. 2023, pp. 11381–11389.
- [33] Hai Zhou et al. “CALM: An Enhanced Encoding and Confidence Evaluating Framework for Trustworthy Multi-view Learning”. In: *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 2023, pp. 3108–3116.
- [34] Wangchunshu Zhou et al. “Bert loses patience: Fast and robust inference with early exit”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 33 (2020), pp. 18330–18341.
- [35] Xin Zou et al. “DPNET: Dynamic Poly-attention Network for Trustworthy Multi-modal Classification”. In: *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 2023, pp. 3550–3559.