

Article

Disk Evolution Study Through Imaging of Nearby Young Stars (DESTINYs): Dynamical Evidence of a Spiral-Arm-Driving and Gap-Opening Protoplanet from SAO 206462 Spiral Motion

Chen Xie ^{1,*}, Chengyan Xie ^{2,3}, Bin B. Ren ^{4,5,*}, Myriam Benisty ^{4,5}, Christian Ginski ⁶, Taotao Fang ³, Simon Casassus ^{7,8}, Jaehan Bae ⁹, Stefano Facchini ¹⁰, François Ménard ¹¹ and Rob G. van Holstein ¹²

- ¹ William H. Miller III Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
 - ² Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA; cyxie@arizona.edu
 - ³ Department of Astronomy, Xiamen University, 1 Zengcuan West Road, Xiamen 361005, China; fangt@xmu.edu.cn
 - ⁴ Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Université Côte d'Azur, Bd de l'Observatoire, CS 34229, F-06304 Nice, France; benisty@mpia.de
 - ⁵ Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA), Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
 - ⁶ School of Natural Sciences, University of Galway, University Road, H91 TK33 Galway, Ireland; christian.ginski@universityofgalway.ie
 - ⁷ Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile; simon@das.uchile.cl
 - ⁸ Data Observatory Foundation, Eliodoro Yañez 2990, Providencia, Santiago, Chile
 - ⁹ Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA; jbae@ufl.edu
 - ¹⁰ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy; stefano.facchini@unimi.it
 - ¹¹ CNRS, Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique, Université Grenoble Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France; francois.menard@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
 - ¹² European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile; rob.vanholstein@eso.org
- * Correspondence: cx@jhu.edu (C.X.); bin.ren@oca.eu (B.B.R.)
+ Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellow.

Abstract: In the early stages of planetary system formation, young exoplanets gravitationally interact with their surrounding environments and leave observable signatures on protoplanetary disks. Among these structures, a pair of nearly symmetric spiral arms can be driven by a giant protoplanet. For the double-spiraled SAO 206462 protoplanetary disk, we obtained three epochs of observations spanning 7 yr using the Very Large Telescope's SPHERE instrument in near-infrared *J*-band polarized light. By jointly measuring the motion of the two spirals at three epochs, we obtained a rotation rate of $-0.85 \pm 0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. This rate corresponds to a protoplanet at $66 \pm 3 \text{ au}$ on a circular orbit dynamically driving both spirals. The derived location agrees with the gap in ALMA dust-continuum observations, indicating that the spiral driver may also carve the observed gap. What is more, a dust filament at $\sim 63 \text{ au}$ observed by ALMA coincides with the predicted orbit of the spiral-arm-driving protoplanet. This double-spiraled system is an ideal target for protoplanet imaging.

Keywords: protoplanetary disks; coronagraphic imaging; orbital motion; planetary system formation

1. Introduction

Among the over 5000 exoplanets discovered to date, only less than 30 are directly imaged (e.g., [1]). While indirect exoplanet detection methods (i.e., radial velocity, transiting) contribute to the majority of the detected exoplanets, these methods are subject to stellar activities (e.g., [2,3]) and thus could only detect exoplanets for $\gtrsim 1 \text{ Gyr}$ -old stars. In comparison, directly imaged planets are around young systems that could be $\lesssim 10 \text{ Myr}$ old (e.g., [4,5]). The difference in the mass-period distribution of detected exoplanets in systems

arXiv:2412.14402v1 [astro-ph.EP] 18 Dec 2024



Citation: Xie, C.; Xie, C.-Y.; Ren, B.B.; Benisty, M.; Ginski, C.; Fang, T.; Casassus, S.; Bae, J.; Facchini, S.; Ménard, F.; van Holstein, R.G. Disk Evolution Study Through Imaging of Nearby Young Stars (DESTINYs): Dynamical Evidence of a Spiral-Arm-Driving and Gap-Opening Protoplanet from SAO 206462 Spiral Motion. *Universe* **2024**, *1*, 0. <https://doi.org/>

Firstname Lastname

Received: 30 October 2024

Revised: 12 December 2024

Accepted: 17 December 2024

Published:



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

with different evolutionary stages (e.g., [6]) calls for more directly imaged exoplanets to complement our understanding of planetary system evolution.

While existing high-contrast imaging surveys of exoplanets over the past decade have low detection rates for exoplanets (e.g., [7,8]), targeted campaigns are becoming more successful in imaging stellar and planetary companions at high contrast (e.g., [9–12]). However, targeted campaigns infer planetary existence based on stellar signal deviations (e.g., astrometry, radial velocity), and this could require decades of monitoring of stars. Around young stars that were not monitored due to increased stellar activities, young planets can gravitationally interact with their birthplaces—the protoplanetary disks, and leave observable substructures (e.g., spirals, gaps) on these surrounding environments (e.g., [13–15]). With some assumptions, we can infer planetary existence—and even their mass, location, and orbit – based on substructures created by planet-disk interaction (e.g., [14–16]).

Among the planet-induced substructures, a pair of nearly symmetric spiral arms can be driven by a single $5\text{--}10M_{\text{Jup}}$ companion on a wide orbit [14,15,17]. With the capabilities of the current generation of high-contrast imagers, the age, mass, and orbits of these planets make them the best targets for planet imaging [6]. In fact, based on the co-motion between an M-star and a spiral, Ref. [18] validated the theory of companion-driven spirals. This provides both theoretical and observational support to locate spiral-arm-driving planets based on spiral motion measurements [19].

The current generation of high-contrast imagers has resolved nearly two dozen spiral arm systems in the past decade (e.g., [20–22]). With polarized light observations offering the best quality images for morphological analysis (e.g., [23]), we can obtain precise motion for the spiral arms with images spanning $\sim 5\text{-yr}$ or more (e.g., [19,24]). The measured motion rate (i.e., angular speed) allows us to distinguish the leading motion and thus formation mechanisms for spiral arms [17,19]. Specifically, if a spiral is driven by a companion, it is expected to rotate entirely as a rigid body at an identical rate as the driver. This thus allows the prediction of the orbit of these hidden planetary drivers (e.g., [19,24–26]) for follow-up targeted imaging efforts (e.g., [27,28]). However, despite the validated theory of companion-disk interaction (i.e., HD 100453: [18]), none of the planetary driver candidates have been confirmed.

Spiral motion rates can guide targeted high-contrast imaging to further find and characterize the spiral-arm-driving planets. This requires accurate and precise spiral motion rates to guide observation design for high-contrast imaging. To best characterize the motion rates, we need to observe the spirals at different temporal epochs, ideally with identical data quality (e.g., wavelength, instrument setup, exposure time) [19]. Among existing spiral arm systems, e.g., [22], only one system has derived motion rates consistent with being driven by a single planet [i.e., MWC 758: 19]. While there is an ongoing survey to re-observe known spiral systems and characterize their motion [25], it is necessary to explore the stability of the preliminary spiral motion rates using observations with longer temporal separations.

With an estimated age of 12_{-6}^{+4} Myr and stellar mass of $1.6 \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$ [29], the SAO 206462 (i.e., HD 135344 B) system is located at 135.0 ± 0.4 pc [30]. The scattered light asymmetry in 2009 *Hubble Space Telescope* near-infrared imaging observations in Ref. [31] was resolved to be two spiral arms from ground-based high-contrast imaging [32,33]. Based on the morphology of the spirals, they can be explained by different mechanisms. On the one hand, they can be excited by two individual planets: $0''39$ and $0''9$ (or 53 au and 120 au) [32], or two planets at 21 au and 23 au (if they are inside the scattered light cavity) or at 95 au and 162 au (if they are exterior to) [34]. On the other hand, they can be driven by a single planet at 100–120 au [13,15]. Alternatively, gravitationally unstable protoplanetary disks can also generate a pair of spirals [35]. What is more, spirals in protoplanetary disks can also be excited by recent stellar flybys [36], yet for SAO 206462 this has been ruled out using the stellar location and motion rates from *Gaia* DR3 [22].

While the attempts in explaining the spiral morphology in scattered light provided inconclusive results, tracking the motion of the spirals can dynamically test leading spiral

formation mechanisms [18,19]. The motion rates of the SAO 206462 spirals were constrained using observations with a 1-yr separation, which ruled out gravitational instability mechanism for the spirals [24]. While the motion rates helped investigate the nature of a candidate [28], the 1-yr separation still resulted in two possible planetary explanations: the two spirals can be either driven by one single planet at ~ 90 au, or two individual planets at ~ 120 au and ~ 50 au. In the former scenario, a $5\text{--}15M_{\text{Jup}}$ planet, e.g., [15] would be ideal for targeted high-contrast imaging using the current generation of instruments. In the latter scenario, the planets can be $\lesssim 0.5M_{\text{Jup}}$ [32,34] which is best accessible only with next-generation instruments expected later in this decade, e.g., [1]. Here with the Disk Evolution Study Through Imaging of Nearby Young Stars (DESTINYs, PI: C. Ginski; [37]) survey, we re-observed SAO 206462 in 2022 to establish a 7 yr temporal separation. With the observations, we here characterize the motion of the two spirals, and guide follow-up targeted high-contrast imaging on the spiral-arm-driving planet(s).

2. Observation and Data Reduction

We collected SAO 206462 observations at three epochs (i.e., in 2015, 2016, and 2022) that span 7 yr for spiral motion analysis. All observations were carried out using the infrared dual-band imager and spectrograph (IRDIS; [38]) on the SPHERE instrument [39] at the Very Large Telescope (VLT). The observations were under the dual-beam polarimetric imaging (DPI; [40]) mode to help reveal the SAO 206262 spiral arms in polarized light. To establish the longest temporal separation of high-quality data for analysis, and reduce the possibility that wavelength-dependent imaging manifests spurious spiral motion, all the SAO 206462 observations for analysis here are in *J*-band ($1.245\ \mu\text{m}$).

The archival observations in 2015 and 2016 are already presented in [34,41]. Among these observations, we chose the 3 May 2015 (under ESO program 095.C-0273) and 4 May 2016 (under ESO program 097.C-0885) observations identified by [24] to have the best image quality for spiral morphology determination and motion analysis. In the 1 h 42 m observation block in 2015, there were 50 exposures, and each exposure had 3 integrations of 32 s, totaling 4800s on-target time. In the 1 h 58 m observation block in 2016, there were 92 exposures, and each exposure had 2 integrations of 32 s, totaling 5888s of on-target time.

We observed SAO 206462 using VLT/SPHERE/IRDIS in *J*-band DPI mode under ESO program 1104.C-0415 in the DESTINYs survey ([37], PI: C. Ginski). The observation on UT 30 March 2022 was from UT 04:18:45 to UT 05:09:47. Among this 0 h 51 m observation block, we had 64 exposures, and each exposure had 1 integration of 32 s, totaling 2048s on-target time.

We reduced the three IRDIS DPI datasets using the IRDAP pipeline from Ref. [40] that was modified by Ref. [42]. To prepare the datasets for analysis, IRDAP performs alignment, bad pixel correction, and sky background subtraction. It then processes the datasets using polarimetric differential imaging (PDI). By adopting the default IRDAP reduction parameters, we use median-combined output Q_ϕ images with stellar polarization removed for spiral motion analysis, see Figure 1. These Q_ϕ images trace the surfaces of the SAO 206462 protoplanetary disk, e.g., [23] for spiral morphology and motion analysis, e.g., [19].




Figure 1. Three-epoch images of SAO 206462 spiral arms in *J*-band polarized light. *Top*: Q_ϕ maps with stellar polarization removed, with the surface brightness (SB) distribution displayed in log scale. *Bottom*: Images from Q_ϕ maps with r^2 -scaling, which is normalized at $0''.5$ and displayed in linear scale. Note: the r^2 -scaled maps are shadowed by the SAO 206462 inner disk(s) [34,41], and thus they do not map the actual surface density distribution of dust particles scattering light in *J*-band. (Note: the data are available at the CDS.)

On protoplanetary disk surfaces, the intensity of incident starlight decreases as r^{-2} with r being the stellocentric distance. As a result, the light scattered by dust particles in the disk – when observed by our telescope – reduces intensity accordingly. For spiral morphology and motion analysis, we rescaled the brightnesses of the Q_ϕ images by multiplying them with a r^2 map – assuming a $16^\circ.7$ inclination from face-on and a $61^\circ.9$ position angle for the major axis of the disk [43] – to obtain the r^2 -scaled maps (normalized at $0''.5$) in Figure 1.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results

We followed Ref. [19] to combine the three epochs of SAO 206462 observations for spiral arm location and motion analysis. Given the low disk inclination, we assumed an infinitely thin disk for subsequent analysis in Section 3.1.

First, for each arm, we obtained the radial locations of the spiral peaks at different epochs, e.g., [25]. We transformed the r^2 -scaled maps in Figure 1 to polar coordinates. For each angular location, which is defined as a clockwise separation from the major axis of the disk, we fit a normal distribution to obtain the peak and its associated error on the spiral radial profile. With a 1° angular step, we present the peaks and their errors ¹ in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Three-epoch spiral arm locations and single driver motion SAO 206462. The lines are from the best-fit $0^{\circ}.85 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ counter-clockwise motion for both spirals, the associated 1σ error is $0^{\circ}.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For a $1.6 \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$ star, the spiral rotation rate corresponds to a circular driver orbit of $66 \pm 3 \text{ au}$, or $0^{\circ}.49 \pm 0^{\circ}.02$ from the star.

Second, for the peaks, we use p -degree polynomials ($p \in \mathbb{N}$),

$$r = \sum_{i=0}^p c_i \theta^i,$$

to simultaneously derive the spiral morphological parameters and its pattern motion, see Figure 2. For each arm at the three epochs, we followed Ref. [19] to fit an identical but angularly offset polynomial to obtain the best-fit in Figure 2 using dummy variables; the best-fit offset will be the angular rotation among the epochs. Following Ref. [25], the polynomial fitting uncertainty will be propagated with three epochs of $0^{\circ}.08$ SPHERE true north pointing uncertainty in Ref. [44] and three epochs of SPHERE astrometric uncertainty of $0^{\circ}.16$. The astrometric accuracy of SPHERE/IRDIS is $\sim 2 \text{ mas}$ (~ 0.16 pixels; [45]), thus translating into $0^{\circ}.16$ in polar coordinates.

Third, we obtained the spiral motion rates under two planet-driven scenarios in Ref. [19], and positive rotation rates correspond to clockwise rotation here. On the one hand, we fit the two spirals independently. For S1, the best-fit motion is $-0^{\circ}.84 \pm 0^{\circ}.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with χ^2_{ν} of 3.49 using a 6-degree polynomial; for S2, it is $-0^{\circ}.87 \pm 0^{\circ}.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with χ^2_{ν} of 1.83 using a 8-degree polynomial. The spiral motions of S1 and S2 are consistent within 1σ , suggesting that they are driven by a single driver. On the other hand, we fit the two spirals simultaneously, and the best-fit motion rate is

$$\omega = -0^{\circ}.85 \pm 0^{\circ}.05 \text{ yr}^{-1} \quad (1)$$

for two 7-degree polynomials with χ^2_{ν} of 2.67, see the lines in Figure 2. For the motion of the two spirals, we do not further test gravitational instability (e.g., [46]) as the spiral formation mechanism, since it has been confidently ruled out with the study on 1-yr separation for SAO 206462 [24]. Although the disk images have intensity variations possibly due to inner

disk shadowing [43], motion measurements using different parts of the disk (i.e., S1, S2, and two arms combined) are consistent with each other, indicating the limited impact of the intensity variation in the motion measurement of SAO 206462.

3.2. Discussion

3.2.1. Implications

For the double-spiral driver in SAO 206462, its orbital period is

$$T = 424 \pm 25 \text{ yr} \quad (2)$$

from Equation (1) assuming a circular orbit. The corresponding stellocentric radius is $66 \pm 3 \text{ au}$ (i.e., $0''.49 \pm 0''.02$) for a $1.6 \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$ star, see the circular orbit in Figure 3. In comparison with direct imaging candidates, our data suggest that the *James Webb Space Telescope* (JWST)/NIRCam candidate in Ref. [28] is not driving the two spirals at $>5\sigma$.

The predicted driver orbit coincided with the disk gap observed in the thermal emission and a filament structure that connects two rings, see Figure 3. The orbit of the spiral driver agrees with the disk gap, which indicates the possibility of a companion driving spirals while carving a millimeter gap, similar to the case of V1247 Ori [25]. The filament observed in Ref. [47] is located at $(\Delta RA, \Delta dec) = (-0''.276, -0''.378)$, or $0''.47$ from the star (Figure 3). This suggests that the filament coincides with the orbit of the spiral-driving protoplanet in Figure 3 that drives the two spirals simultaneously. In combination with the orbit derived from spiral motion, the disk gap, and the dust filament, we can predict the possible location of the hidden protoplanet that creates those features.




Figure 3. Comparison between the SAO 206462 spiral driver orbit and the disk detected in scattered light and thermal emission. *Left:* SAO 206462 spiral driver orbit of $66 \pm 3 \text{ au}$, or $0''.49 \pm 0''.02$, based on three-epoch double-spiraled motion. The orbits are overplotted on the r^2 -scaled map from Figure 1f. The Ref. [47] dust filament at $(-0''.276, -0''.378)$ in the dashed white box could trace the spiral-arm-driving protoplanet. *Right:* ALMA continuum image of SAO 206462 from Ref. [47], showing the disk gap and a filament (dashed white box) connecting the inner and outer rings. The inset zooms on the dust filament that intersects with the predicted orbit from spiral motion.

While this protoplanet is currently not detected, the existence of dust particles causing significant extinction in near-infrared wavelengths makes it more challenging to image this spiral-arm-driving protoplanet. To image this spiral-arm-driving companion, high spectral resolution observations using single-mode fibers (e.g., KPIC; [48] and HiRISE; [49]) might help confirm the existence of this protoplanet by directly probing its atmospheric compositions, e.g., [48]. However, these observations would need high-precision locations for the protoplanet within the effective response of a single mode fiber, making it challenging to efficiently schedule the observations unless with multiple pointing attempts.

3.2.2. Disk Flaring

Disk flaring will affect the deprojection of the observed disk r^2 -scaled map and thus affect the measurements of spiral arm location and motion analysis, especially for disks with high inclinations (i.e., $>30^\circ$; [18]). In Section 3.1, we assumed a thin disk because of the low disk inclination of SAO 206462. To examine the impact of disk flaring on motion measurement for SAO 206462, we adopted a disk model of $h = 0.048 \times d^{1.15}$ from Ref. [50], where h represents the disk scale height and d is the distance in cylindrical coordinates. Then we deprojected the disk surface map and performed the same measurements as in Section 3.1. If we fit the two spirals simultaneously, the best-fit motion is $-0.82 \pm 0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with χ^2_ν of 2.89 using a 6-degree polynomial. If we fit the two spirals independently, the best-fit motions for S1 and S2 arms are $-0.80 \pm 0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $-0.92 \pm 0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with χ^2_ν of 1.89 and 3.85, respectively.

After considering the disk flaring, the spiral motions of S1 and S2 are still consistent within 1.3σ . In fact, if the two spirals are independently driven by two planets, the orbits for the spiral arm motion rate would be $69 \pm 3 \text{ au}$ for S1, and $62 \pm 3 \text{ au}$ for S2. While the two planets are mathematically close to each other, they are not under low order mean motion resonance, which would make the system unstable, e.g., [51], consistent with the single driver scenario where two spirals have the same pattern motion as in Section 3.1.

3.2.3. Limitations

While the study using 1-yr separation in Ref. [24] was able to rule out the gravitational instability induced motion, the companion-driven rates still had large uncertainties, raising the question of whether the two spirals are driven by a single or two individual drivers. In fact, spiral motion studies have been assuming independent azimuthal angles in Figure 2, e.g., [19,24], and thus it is necessary to take into account the correlation among adjacent pixels. Before such studies are available, we can still use this short-then-long epoch approach to investigate the uncertainties in a physically motivated way.

4. Conclusions

With three epochs of observations for the SAO 206462 (i.e., HD 135344 B) protoplanetary disk hosting two spiral arms in near-infrared scattered light, we have measured the 7 yr motion of the two spirals. For a $1.6 \pm 0.1 M_\odot$ star and assuming a circular orbit, the obtained $\omega = -0.85 \pm 0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ rotation rate corresponds to a hidden planet at $66 \pm 3 \text{ au}$, consistent with the disk gap in ALMA dust-continuum observations. For a star located at $135.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ pc}$, it corresponds to an angular separation of $0''.49 \pm 0''.02$ from the star.

The dust filament in millimeter observations in Ref. [47] coincides with the orbit of the spiral-arm-driving planet, see Figure 3. If that filament is at the exact or approximate location of a forming giant protoplanet, that planet could be responsible for driving the two spiral arms and carving the disk gap in SAO 206462.

With Ref. [18] validating the companion-disk interaction theory for an M star exciting spiral arms in the HD 100453 system, now we have two double-spiraled systems suggesting a single planetary driver for both MWC 758 from [19] and SAO 206462 from this study. Given that spiral-arm-driving giant protoplanets have not been confirmed with direct imaging, and with the demonstrated sensitivity of JWST [52], these planets are ideal targets for current space-based exoplanet imaging since they can minimize the contamination of dust signals from protoplanetary disks using multi-wavelength imaging observations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.B.R. and C.X. (Chen Xie); methodology, B.B.R. and C.X. (Chen Xie); validation, C.X. (Chen Xie), C.X. (Chengyan Xie) and B.B.R.; formal analysis, C.X. (Chen Xie), C.X. (Chengyan Xie) and B.B.R.; software, B.B.R., C.X. (Chen Xie) and C.X. (Chengyan Xie); project administration, B.B.R.; supervision, B.B.R.; resources, M.B., C.G. and B.B.R.; writing—original draft preparation, B.B.R., C.X. (Chen Xie) and C.X. (Chengyan Xie); writing—review and editing, C.X. (Chengyan Xie), M.B., C.G., T.F., S.C., J.B., S.F., F.M., R.G.v.H.; visualization, C.X. (Chen Xie) and B.B.R.; funding acquisition, M.B., B.B.R., T.F. and F.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: T.F. and C.-Y.X. (Chengyan Xie) are supported by the National Key R&D Program of China No. 2017YFA0402600, project S202010384487 XMU Training Program of Innovation and Entrepreneurship for Undergraduate, and NSFC grants No. 11525312, 11890692. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (PROTOPLANETS, grant agreement No. 101002188). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon Europe research and innovation programme (Dust2Planets, grant agreement No. 101053020). This research has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101103114.

Data Availability Statement: The original data presented in the study are openly available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to [cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr](ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) (130.79.128.5) or via <https://cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/other/Univ/>.

Acknowledgments: We thank Valentin Christiaens for discussion. Based on observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under ESO programmes 095.C-0273, 097.C-0885, and 1104.C-0415. This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2018.1.01066.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), NSTC and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (<https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia>), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium>). For this Special Issue “New Insights into High-Energy Astrophysics, Galaxies, and Cosmology—Celebrating the 10th Anniversary of the Re-establishment of the Department of Astronomy at Xiamen University (2012–2022)” of *Universe*, B.B.R., C.X., and C.-Y.X. are Class of 2013, 2016, and 2022 alumni from Xiamen University, respectively. We are grateful for the education, trainings, and resources received during our undergraduate studies.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Notes

- ¹ The uncertainties in this paper are 1σ unless otherwise specified.

References

1. Currie, T.; Biller, B.; Lagrange, A.; Marois, C.; Guyon, O.; Nielsen, E.L.; Bonnefoy, M.; De Rosa, R.J. Direct Imaging and Spectroscopy of Extrasolar Planets. *arXiv* **2023**, arXiv:2205.05696. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.05696>.
2. Lovis, C.; Fischer, D. Radial Velocity Techniques for Exoplanets. In *Exoplanets*; Seager, S., Ed.; University of Arizona Press: Tucson, AZ, USA, 2010; pp. 27–53.
3. Zhu, W.; Dong, S. Exoplanet Statistics and Theoretical Implications. *Annu. Rev. Astron Astrophys* **2021**, *59*, 291–336. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-112420-020055>.
4. Keppler, M.; Benisty, M.; Müller, A.; Henning, T.; van Boekel, R.; Cantalloube, F.; Ginski, C.; van Holstein, R.G.; Maire, A.L.; Pohl, A.; et al. Discovery of a planetary-mass companion within the gap of the transition disk around PDS 70. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2018**, *617*, A44. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832957>.
5. Haffert, S.Y.; Bohn, A.J.; de Boer, J.; Snellen, I.A.G.; Brinchmann, J.; Girard, J.H.; Keller, C.U.; Bacon, R. Two accreting protoplanets around the young star PDS 70. *Nat. Astron.* **2019**, *3*, 749–754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0780-5>.
6. Bae, J.; Pinilla, P.; Birnstiel, T. Diverse Protoplanetary Disk Morphology Produced by a Jupiter-mass Planet. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2018**, *864*, L26. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aadd51>.
7. Nielsen, E.L.; De Rosa, R.J.; Wang, J.J.; Sahlmann, J.; Kalas, P.; Duchêne, G.; Rameau, J.; Marley, M.S.; Saumon, D.; Macintosh, B.; et al. The Gemini Planet Imager Exoplanet Survey: Dynamical Mass of the Exoplanet β Pictoris b from Combined Direct Imaging and Astrometry. *Astron. J.* **2020**, *159*, 71. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab5b92>.
8. Vigan, A.; Fontanive, C.; Meyer, M.; Biller, B.; Bonavita, M.; Feldt, M.; Desidera, S.; Marleau, G.D.; Emsenhuber, A.; Galicher, R.; et al. The SPHERE infrared survey for exoplanets (SHINE). III. The demographics of young giant exoplanets below 300 au with SPHERE. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2021**, *651*, A72. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038107>.
9. Bohn, A.J.; Kenworthy, M.A.; Ginski, C.; Rieder, S.; Mamajek, E.E.; Meshkat, T.; Pecaute, M.J.; Reggiani, M.; de Boer, J.; Keller, C.U.; et al. Two Directly Imaged, Wide-orbit Giant Planets around the Young, Solar Analog TYC 8998-760-1. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2020**, *898*, L16. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba27e>.
10. Franson, K.; Bowler, B.P.; Zhou, Y.; Pearce, T.D.; Bardalez Gagliuffi, D.C.; Biddle, L.I.; Brandt, T.D.; Crepp, J.R.; Dupuy, T.J.; Faherty, J.; et al. Astrometric Accelerations as Dynamical Beacons: A Giant Planet Imaged inside the Debris Disk of the Young Star AF Lep. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2023**, *950*, L19. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acd6f6>.

11. Currie, T.; Brandt, G.M.; Brandt, T.D.; Lacy, B.; Burrows, A.; Guyon, O.; Tamura, M.; Liu, R.Y.; Sagynbayeva, S.; Tobin, T.; et al. Direct imaging and astrometric detection of a gas giant planet orbiting an accelerating star. *Science* **2023**, *380*, 198–203. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abo6192>.
12. Matthews, E.C.; Carter, A.L.; Pathak, P.; Morley, C.V.; Phillips, M.W.; Krishanth, P.M.S.; Feng, F.; Bonse, M.J.; Boogaard, L.A.; Burt, J.A.; et al. A temperate super-Jupiter imaged with JWST in the mid-infrared. *Nature* **2024**, *633*, 789. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07837-8>.
13. Dong, R.; Fung, J. What is the Mass of a Gap-opening Planet? *Astrophys. J.* **2017**, *835*, 146. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/2/146>.
14. Dong, R.; Zhu, Z.; Rafikov, R.R.; Stone, J.M. Observational Signatures of Planets in Protoplanetary Disks: Spiral Arms Observed in Scattered Light Imaging Can be Induced by Planets. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2015**, *809*, L5. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/809/1/L5>.
15. Bae, J.; Zhu, Z.; Hartmann, L. Planetary Signatures in the SAO 206462 (HD 135344B) Disk: A Spiral Arm Passing through Vortex? *Astrophys. J.* **2016**, *819*, 134. <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/134>.
16. Teague, R.; Bae, J.; Bergin, E.A.; Birnstiel, T.; Foreman-Mackey, D. A Kinematical Detection of Two Embedded Jupiter-mass Planets in HD 163296. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2018**, *860*, L12. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aac6d7>.
17. Dong, R.; Najita, J.R.; Brittain, S. Spiral Arms in Disks: Planets or Gravitational Instability? *Astrophys. J.* **2018**, *862*, 103. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaccfc>.
18. Xie, C.; Ren, B.B.; Dong, R.; Choquet, É.; Vigan, A.; Gonzalez, J.F.; Wagner, K.; Fang, T.; Ubeira-Gabellini, M.G. Dynamical detection of a companion driving a spiral arm in a protoplanetary disk. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2023**, *675*, L1. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346305>.
19. Ren, B.; Dong, R.; van Holstein, R.G.; Ruffio, J.B.; Calvin, B.A.; Girard, J.H.; Benisty, M.; Boccaletti, A.; Esposito, T.M.; Choquet, É.; et al. Dynamical Evidence of a Spiral Arm-driving Planet in the MWC 758 Protoplanetary Disk. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2020**, *898*, L38. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba43e>.
20. Benisty, M.; Juhasz, A.; Boccaletti, A.; Avenhaus, H.; Milli, J.; Thalmann, C.; Dominik, C.; Pinilla, P.; Buenzli, E.; Pohl, A.; et al. Asymmetric features in the protoplanetary disk MWC 758. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2015**, *578*, L6. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526011>.
21. Wagner, K.; Apai, D.; Kasper, M.; Robberto, M. Discovery of a Two-armed Spiral Structure in the Gapped Disk around Herbig Ae Star HD 100453. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2015**, *813*, L2. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/813/1/L2>.
22. Shuai, L.; Ren, B.B.; Dong, R.; Zhou, X.; Pueyo, L.; De Rosa, R.J.; Fang, T.; Mawet, D. Stellar Flyby Analysis for Spiral Arm Hosts with Gaia DR3. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **2022**, *263*, 31. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ac98fd>.
23. Monnier, J.D.; Harries, T.J.; Bae, J.; Setterholm, B.R.; Laws, A.; Aarnio, A.; Adams, F.C.; Andrews, S.; Calvet, N.; Espaillat, C.; et al. Multiple Spiral Arms in the Disk around Intermediate-mass Binary HD 34700A. *Astrophys. J.* **2019**, *872*, 122. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf87>.
24. Xie, C.; Ren, B.; Dong, R.; Pueyo, L.; Ruffio, J.B.; Fang, T.; Mawet, D.; Stolker, T. Spiral Arm Pattern Motion in the SAO 206462 Protoplanetary Disk. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2021**, *906*, L9. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/abd241>.
25. Ren, B.B.; Xie, C.; Benisty, M.; Dong, R.; Bae, J.; Stolker, T.; van Holstein, R.G.; Debes, J.H.; Garufi, A.; Ginski, C.; et al. A companion in V1247 Ori supported by motion in the pattern of the spiral arm. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2024**, *681*, L2. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348114>.
26. Safonov, B.S.; Strakhov, I.A.; Goliguzova, M.V.; Voziakova, O.V. Apparent Motion of the Circumstellar Envelope of CQ Tau in Scattered Light. *Astron. J.* **2022**, *163*, 31. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac36cb>.
27. Wagner, K.; Stone, J.M.; Spalding, E.; Apai, D.; Dong, R.; Ertel, S.; Leisenring, J.; Webster, R. Thermal Infrared Imaging of MWC 758 with the Large Binocular Telescope: Planetary-driven Spiral Arms? *Astrophys. J.* **2019**, *882*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab32ea>.
28. Cugno, G.; Leisenring, J.; Wagner, K.R.; Mullin, C.; Dong, R.; Greene, T.; Johnstone, D.; Meyer, M.R.; Wolff, S.G.; Beichman, C.; et al. JWST/NIRCam Imaging of Young Stellar Objects. II. Deep Constraints on Giant Planets and a Planet Candidate Outside of the Spiral Disk Around SAO 206462. *Astron. J.* **2024**, *167*, 182. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ad1ffc>.
29. Garufi, A.; Benisty, M.; Pinilla, P.; Tazzari, M.; Dominik, C.; Ginski, C.; Henning, T.; Kral, Q.; Langlois, M.; Ménard, F.; et al. Evolution of protoplanetary disks from their taxonomy in scattered light: Spirals, rings, cavities, and shadows. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2018**, *620*, A94. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833872>.
30. Vallenari, A.; Brown, A.G.A.; Prusti, T.; de Bruijne, J.H.J.; Arenou, F.; Babusiaux, C.; Biermann, M.; Creevey, O.L.; Ducourant, C.; et al. Gaia Data Release 3. Summ. Content Surv. Prop. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2023**, *674*, A1. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243940>.
31. Grady, C.A.; Schneider, G.; Sitko, M.L.; Williger, G.M.; Hamaguchi, K.; Brittain, S.D.; Ablordeppey, K.; Apai, D.; Beerman, L.; Carpenter, W.J.; et al. Revealing the Structure of a Pre-Transitional Disk: The Case of the Herbig F Star SAO 206462 (HD 135344B). *Astrophys. J.* **2009**, *699*, 1822–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1822>.
32. Muto, T.; Grady, C.A.; Hashimoto, J.; Fukagawa, M.; Hornbeck, J.B.; Sitko, M.; Russell, R.; Werren, C.; Curé, M.; Currie, T.; et al. Discovery of Small-scale Spiral Structures in the Disk of SAO 206462 (HD 135344B): Implications for the Physical State of the Disk from Spiral Density Wave Theory. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2012**, *748*, L22. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/748/2/L22>.

33. Garufi, A.; Quanz, S.P.; Avenhaus, H.; Buenzli, E.; Dominik, C.; Meru, F.; Meyer, M.R.; Pinilla, P.; Schmid, H.M.; Wolf, S. Small vs. large dust grains in transitional disks: Do different cavity sizes indicate a planet?. SAO 206462 (HD 135344B) in polarized light with VLT/NACO. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2013**, *560*, A105. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322429>.
34. Stolker, T.; Dominik, C.; Avenhaus, H.; Min, M.; de Boer, J.; Ginski, C.; Schmid, H.M.; Juhasz, A.; Bazzon, A.; Waters, L.B.F.M.; et al. Shadows cast on the transition disk of HD 135344B. Multiwavelength VLT/SPHERE polarimetric differential imaging. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2016**, *595*, A113. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201528039>.
35. Dong, R.; Hall, C.; Rice, K.; Chiang, E. Spiral Arms in Gravitationally Unstable Protoplanetary Disks as Imaged in Scattered Light. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2015**, *812*, L32. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/812/2/L32>.
36. Cuello, N.; Dipierro, G.; Mentiplay, D.; Price, D.J.; Pinte, C.; Cuadra, J.; Laibe, G.; Ménard, F.; Poblete, P.P.; Montesinos, M. Flybys in protoplanetary discs: I. Gas and dust dynamics. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2019**, *483*, 4114–4139. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty3325>.
37. Ginski, C.; Ménard, F.; Rab, C.; Mamajek, E.E.; van Holstein, R.G.; Benisty, M.; Manara, C.F.; Asensio Torres, R.; Bohn, A.; Birnstiel, T.; et al. Disk Evolution Study Through Imaging of Nearby Young Stars (DESTINYs): A close low-mass companion to ET Cha. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2020**, *642*, A119. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038383>.
38. Dohlen, K.; Langlois, M.; Saisse, M.; Hill, L.; Origne, A.; Jacquet, M.; Fabron, C.; Blanc, J.C.; Llored, M.; Carle, M.; et al. The infra-red dual imaging and spectrograph for SPHERE: Design and performance. In *Proceedings Volume 7014, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy II*; McLean, I.S., Casali, M.M., Eds.; Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series; SPIE: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2008; Volume 7014, p. 70143L. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.789786>.
39. Beuzit, J.L.; Vigan, A.; Mouillet, D.; Dohlen, K.; Gratton, R.; Boccaletti, A.; Sauvage, J.F.; Schmid, H.M.; Langlois, M.; Petit, C.; et al. SPHERE: The exoplanet imager for the Very Large Telescope. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2019**, *631*, A155. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935251>.
40. van Holstein, R.G.; Girard, J.H.; de Boer, J.; Snik, F.; Milli, J.; Stam, D.M.; Ginski, C.; Mouillet, D.; Wahhaj, Z.; Schmid, H.M.; et al. Polarimetric imaging mode of VLT/SPHERE/IRDIS. II. Characterization and correction of instrumental polarization effects. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2020**, *633*, A64. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834996>.
41. Stolker, T.; Sitko, M.; Lazareff, B.; Benisty, M.; Dominik, C.; Waters, R.; Min, M.; Perez, S.; Milli, J.; Garufi, A.; et al. Variable Dynamics in the Inner Disk of HD 135344B Revealed with Multi-epoch Scattered Light Imaging. *Astrophys. J.* **2017**, *849*, 143. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa886a>.
42. Ren, B.B.; Benisty, M.; Ginski, C.; Tazaki, R.; Wallack, N.L.; Milli, J.; Garufi, A.; Bae, J.; Facchini, S.; Ménard, F.; et al. Protoplanetary disks in K_s-band total intensity and polarized light. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2023**, *680*, A114. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347353>.
43. Bohn, A.J.; Benisty, M.; Perraut, K.; van der Marel, N.; Wölfer, L.; van Dishoeck, E.F.; Facchini, S.; Manara, C.F.; Teague, R.; Francis, L.; et al. Probing inner and outer disk misalignments in transition disks. Constraints from VLTI/GRAVITY and ALMA observations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2022**, *658*, A183. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142070>.
44. Maire, A.L.; Langlois, M.; Dohlen, K.; Lagrange, A.M.; Gratton, R.; Chauvin, G.; Desidera, S.; Girard, J.H.; Milli, J.; Vigan, A.; et al. SPHERE IRDIS and IFS astrometric strategy and calibration. In *Proceedings Volume 9908, Ground-Based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VI*; Evans, C.J., Simard, L., Takami, H., Eds.; Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series; SPIE: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2016; Volume 9908, p. 990834. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2233013>.
45. Zurlo, A.; Vigan, A.; Mesa, D.; Gratton, R.; Moutou, C.; Langlois, M.; Claudi, R.U.; Pueyo, L.; Boccaletti, A.; Baruffolo, A.; et al. Performance of the VLT Planet Finder SPHERE. I. Photometry and astrometry precision with IRDIS and IFS in laboratory. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2014**, *572*, A85. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424204>.
46. Lodato, G.; Rice, W.K.M. Testing the locality of transport in self-gravitating accretion discs - II. The massive disc case. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2005**, *358*, 1489–1500. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08875.x>.
47. Casassus, S.; Christiaens, V.; Cárcamo, M.; Pérez, S.; Weber, P.; Ercolano, B.; van der Marel, N.; Pinte, C.; Dong, R.; Baruteau, C.; et al. A dusty filament and turbulent CO spirals in HD 135344B - SAO 206462. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2021**, *507*, 3789–3809. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2359>.
48. Delorme, J.R.; Jovanovic, N.; Echeverri, D.; Mawet, D.; Kent Wallace, J.; Bartos, R.D.; Cetre, S.; Wizinowich, P.; Ragland, S.; Lilley, S.; et al. Keck Planet Imager and Characterizer: A dedicated single-mode fiber injection unit for high-resolution exoplanet spectroscopy. *J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst.* **2021**, *7*, 035006. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.7.3.035006>.
49. Vigan, A.; El Morsy, M.; Lopez, M.; Otten, G.P.P.L.; Garcia, J.; Costes, J.; Muslimov, E.; Viret, A.; Charles, Y.; Zins, G.; et al. First light of VLT/HiRISE: High-resolution spectroscopy of young giant exoplanets. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2024**, *682*, A16. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348019>.
50. Andrews, S.M.; Wilner, D.J.; Espaillat, C.; Hughes, A.M.; Dullemond, C.P.; McClure, M.K.; Qi, C.; Brown, J.M. Resolved Images of Large Cavities in Protoplanetary Transition Disks. *Astrophys. J.* **2011**, *732*, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/732/1/42>.
51. Wang, J.J.; Graham, J.R.; Dawson, R.; Fabrycky, D.; De Rosa, R.J.; Pueyo, L.; Konopacky, Q.; Macintosh, B.; Marois, C.; Chiang, E.; et al. Dynamical Constraints on the HR 8799 Planets with GPI. *Astron. J.* **2018**, *156*, 192. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aae150>.
52. Carter, A.L.; Hinkley, S.; Kammerer, J.; Skemer, A.; Biller, B.A.; Leisenring, J.M.; Millar-Blanchaer, M.A.; Petrus, S.; Stone, J.M.; Ward-Duong, K.; et al. The JWST Early Release Science Program for Direct Observations of Exoplanetary Systems I: High-contrast

Imaging of the Exoplanet HIP 65426 b from 2 to 16 μm . *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **2023**, *951*, L20. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acd93e>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.