

EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGICAL RIGIDITY FOR FOUR-DIMENSIONAL HAMILTONIAN S^1 -MANIFOLDS

TARA S. HOLM, LIAT KESSLER, AND SUSAN TOLMAN

ABSTRACT. For manifolds equipped with group actions, we have the following natural question: To what extent does the equivariant cohomology determine the equivariant diffeotype? We resolve this question for Hamiltonian circle actions on compact, connected symplectic four-manifolds. They are equivariantly diffeomorphic if and only if their equivariant cohomology rings are isomorphic as algebras over the equivariant cohomology of a point. In fact, we prove a stronger claim: each isomorphism between their equivariant cohomology rings is induced by an equivariant diffeomorphism.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any algebraic invariant attached to a manifold, a key question is: To what extent does the invariant determine the manifold? In general, cohomology does not detect the diffeotype of a manifold. However, in recent years there has been substantial activity on establishing when certain classes of manifolds are **cohomologically rigid**, that is, determined by their cohomology. In particular, Masuda and Suh conjectured that two toric manifolds (smooth toric varieties) are diffeomorphic if their integral cohomology rings are isomorphic [20]; this conjecture has been affirmed in many special cases [6].

For manifolds with group actions, the analogous question is: To what extent does the equivariant cohomology determine the equivariant diffeotype? For example, compact toric manifolds are **equivariantly cohomologically rigid**: two compact toric manifolds whose equivariant cohomology rings are isomorphic as algebras are equivariantly diffeomorphic (indeed, this holds for the broader class of quasitoric manifolds) [19, Theorem 4.1], [7, Theorem 6.6], and [13, Remark 2.5(1)]. Moreover, if the algebra isomorphism preserves the first equivariant Chern class, then they are equivariantly isomorphic as varieties; see [19] and [13, Remark 2.5(3)]. Similarly, for compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds, if an equivariant cohomology algebra isomorphism preserves the first equivariant Chern class, then the manifolds are equivariantly biholomorphic (with respect to some compatible invariant complex structures); see [14, Example 1.2 and Corollary 7.34]. Note also that the diffeotype of such a manifold is determined by the cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$; see Proposition 2.10.

In order to state our results, we recall a few definitions. A circle action¹ on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is **Hamiltonian** if there exists a **moment map**, that is, a function $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $-\iota(\xi)\omega = d\Phi$, where ξ is the vector field generating the action. In this case, we call $S^1 \curvearrowright (M, \omega)$ a **Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold**. Finally, recall that the (Borel) **equivariant cohomology** of an S^1 -manifold M is a graded ring $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ naturally endowed with the structure of an algebra over $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{Z})$, the equivariant cohomology of a point.

Date: December 20, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D35 (55N91, 53D20, 57S15).

Key words and phrases. Symplectic geometry, Hamiltonian torus action, moment map, complexity one, equivariant cohomology.

¹In this paper, we will always assume that actions are effective.

In this paper we prove that equivariant cohomological rigidity holds for compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds: two such S^1 -manifolds whose equivariant cohomology rings are isomorphic as algebras are equivariantly diffeomorphic. Indeed, our main result is that **strong equivariant cohomological rigidity** holds, that is, the equivariant diffeomorphism can be chosen to induce the given isomorphism in equivariant cohomology.

1.1. Theorem. *Let M and \widetilde{M} be compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. Given an algebra isomorphism from $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ to $H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$, there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism from \widetilde{M} to M that induces the given algebra isomorphism.*

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on an earlier paper by the first two authors [14]. In that paper, they define a combinatorial invariant of compact four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds, called a **dull graph**, which can be obtained from Karshon's decorated graph [15] by forgetting selected information; see Definition 2.1. They then establish a close correspondence between dull graphs and equivariant cohomology. The other key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following theorem, which shows that the dull graph determines the equivariant diffeotype of a four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold.

1.2. Theorem. *Let M and \widetilde{M} be compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. Given an isomorphism between the dull graphs associated to M and \widetilde{M} , there exists an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism from M to \widetilde{M} that induces the given isomorphism.*

A symplectic manifold (M, ω) is naturally oriented by $\omega^{\frac{1}{2} \dim M}$. An isomorphism of algebras $\Lambda: H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ is **orientation-preserving** if the induced isomorphism in ordinary cohomology is orientation-preserving. Otherwise, it is **orientation-reversing**. Note that an equivariant diffeomorphism $f: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is orientation-preserving exactly if the induced map $f^*: H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ is orientation-preserving. Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary.

1.3. Corollary. *Let M and \widetilde{M} be compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) M and \widetilde{M} are equivariantly diffeomorphic;
- (2) M and \widetilde{M} are equivariantly homeomorphic;
- (3) $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic as algebras; and
- (4) the dull graphs of M and \widetilde{M} are isomorphic as labeled graphs.

Moreover the diffeomorphism in (1), the homeomorphism in (2), and the algebra isomorphism in (3) can always be chosen to be orientation-preserving.

Proof. The implications (1) \implies (2) and (2) \implies (3) are immediate, while the implication (3) \implies (4) is a direct consequence of [14, Theorem 1.1]. The implication (4) \implies (1), and the fact that the maps can be chosen to be orientation-preserving is by Theorem 1.2. \square

In particular, equivariant cohomological rigidity holds for compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. More care is required to show that the strong version of equivariant cohomological rigidity holds; we prove this in section 5.

1.4. Remark. The maps in (1), (2), and (3) in Corollary 1.3 can all be chosen to be orientation-reversing if and only if $b_2 := \dim H^2(M) = 2$. One direction follows from

Lemma 5.3, where we show that if $b_2 = 2$, the equivariant diffeomorphism in (1) can be chosen to be orientation-reversing. The other direction follows from the fact that if $b_2 \neq 2$, then there cannot be an orientation-reversing isomorphism of the (equivariant) cohomology ring; see [14, Remark 7.27].

1.5. Remark. Fintushel classified locally smooth circle actions on compact, oriented four-manifolds up to orientation-preserving equivariant homeomorphism in terms of their **weighted orbit spaces** [10, 11]. The weighted orbit space consists of the orbit space M/S^1 (a three-manifold with boundary), labeled with certain isotropy data, and a characteristic class. In the symplectic setting, it is straightforward to extract the dull graph from the weighted orbit space. Recovering Fintushel’s invariants from the dull graph would give an alternate proof that if two manifolds have the same dull graph, then there is an orientation-preserving, equivariant homeomorphism between them; cf. Theorem 1.2. Although this endeavor is beyond the scope of the present paper, the quotient M/S^1 is homeomorphic to S^3 if the fixed points are isolated, D^3 if the action has one fixed surface, and $[0, 1] \times \Sigma_g$, if the action has two fixed surfaces of genus g , by [17]. See also [21].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce oriented dull graphs. We then reduce the proof of Theorem 1.2 to showing that there is an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism between two manifolds if their oriented dull graphs differ by an operation called a “partial flip”. In Section 4, we construct an equivariant diffeomorphism between any two such manifolds, completing the proof of Theorem 1.2 and hence of Corollary 1.3. The construction of this equivariant diffeomorphism relies on the local model of a neighborhood of a chain of isotropy spheres, developed in Section 3. These local models are a type of toric manifolds that we call “corner manifolds”. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 5, taking care to ensure that the equivariant diffeomorphism we construct induces the given isomorphism of equivariant cohomology.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Ben Dozier, Yael Karshon, and Mikiya Masuda for illuminating discussions and helpful suggestions. The first author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants DMS–1711317 and DMS–2204360. The second author was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation, Grant 570/20, and an NSF-BSF Grant 2021730. The third author was supported in part by Simons Foundation, Grant 637996, and by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-2204359. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Israel Science Foundation, the National Science Foundation, or the Simons Foundation.

2. ORIENTED DULL GRAPHS

In this section, we first give a formal definition of the dull graph associated to a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold, and then describe the natural orientation on this graph. As we show, we can obtain any other orientation on the dull graph by a sequence of operations: If necessary, we take the “opposite orientation”, and then apply a finite number of “partial flips”. Finally, we prove that if the oriented dull graphs of two compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds are either isomorphic or have opposite orientations, then there is an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism between the manifolds. This reduces the proof of Theorem 1.2 to showing that there is an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism between

two manifolds if their oriented dull graphs differ by a partial flip. Sections 3 and 4 will be devoted to this last step.

Let the circle S^1 act on a compact, four-dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Each connected component of the fixed set M^{S^1} is a symplectic submanifold, and hence is either zero-dimensional or two-dimensional. Every point with stabilizer \mathbb{Z}_k for some $k \geq 2$ is contained in an **isotropy \mathbb{Z}_k -sphere**, that is, an S^1 -invariant symplectic two-sphere with generic stabilizer \mathbb{Z}_k . Note that each isotropy sphere contains exactly two isolated fixed points, and each isolated fixed point is contained in at most two isotropy spheres. The moment map is a Morse-Bott function and the index of each fixed component is twice the number of negative weights. As Atiyah showed, this implies that the level sets of Φ are connected, and so Φ has exactly one local minimum and one local maximum [2]. Hence, there are exactly two extremal fixed components and each non-extremal fixed point has exactly one positive weight and one negative weight. In particular, every fixed surface is extremal.

We now introduce dull graphs and orientations on them.

2.1. Definition. *The **dull graph** associated to a compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold (M, ω) has one vertex for each fixed component and an edge between two vertices exactly if the vertices correspond to isolated fixed points and there is an isotropy \mathbb{Z}_k -sphere that contains those points. The dull graph has labels as follows:*

- “Thin” vertices represent the isolated fixed points; each such vertex is labeled by whether the moment map value is extremal or not.
- “Fat” vertices represent fixed surfaces; each such vertex is labeled by the self-intersection e and the genus g of the surface. These are always extremal.
- Edges between vertices are labeled with integers $k \geq 2$ where the label k indicates the vertices correspond to the fixed points of an isotropy \mathbb{Z}_k -sphere.

We will denote the data of a dull graph as $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, L)$.

Two dull graphs are **isomorphic** if there is a graph isomorphism that respects the labels.

2.2. Remark. An orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism between four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds induces an isomorphism of dull graphs. This is straight-forward to check once we note that an isolated fixed point is extremal exactly if the product of the weights is positive.

In [15], Karshon assigns a **decorated graph** to each compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. These graphs contain all the information in the dull graph plus two additional labels: the moment value of each fixed component and the (properly normalized) area A of each fixed surface. Hence, the dull graph can be obtained from the decorated graph by forgetting the extra information. Note that Karshon does not need to specify the “extremal” or self-intersection labels because they can be obtained from the other data [15, Lemma 2.18]. See Figure 2.3.




FIGURE 2.3. The decorated graph and dull graph for a compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold. The (symplectic) manifold is obtained from a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ of symplectic size 6 by equivariant blowups of symplectic sizes 2, 2, 1, and 1.

As Karshon proves in [15, Theorem 4.1], decorated graphs classify compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds; see also [1, 4]. The following is an expatiation of her result.

2.4. Theorem (Karshon). *Let (M, ω, Φ) and $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\Phi})$ be compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. Let $f: M_{\min} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\min}$ be an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism between the minimal fixed components of M and \widetilde{M} , respectively. Given an isomorphism between the decorated graphs associated to M and \widetilde{M} , there exists an equivariant symplectomorphism $h: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ inducing that isomorphism such that $h|_{M_{\min}}$ is isotopic to f .*

Proof. By Moser’s method, the orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $f: M_{\min} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\min}$ is isotopic to a symplectomorphism. In [15, proof of Proposition 4.3], Karshon prescribes how to extend such a symplectomorphism to an equivariant orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $H: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ that intertwines the moment maps and induces the given isomorphism on the graphs. The forms $\omega_t = (1-t)\omega + t\widetilde{\omega}$, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ are all in the same cohomology class, by [15, Lemma 4.12]. Moreover, ω_t is nondegenerate for all t [15, proof of Proposition 4.11]. Hence, by Moser’s method, the equivariant diffeomorphism H is equivariantly isotopic to an equivariant symplectomorphism $h: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$. \square

Oriented dull graphs are an intermediate step between dull graphs and decorated graphs.

2.5. Definition. *An orientation \mathcal{O} on a dull graph \mathcal{G} consists of*

- *Adding the label “minimum” to one of the extremal vertices and the label “maximum” to the other; and*
- *Giving \mathcal{G} the structure of a directed graph such that the minimum is not the head of any edge, the maximum is not the tail of any edge, and each non-extremal vertex is the head of at most one edge, and the tail of at most one edge.*

Given a Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold (M, ω, Φ) , the moment map $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ induces a natural orientation on the associated dull graph \mathcal{G} . We label the extremal vertices according to whether their moment image is maximal or minimal, and direct each edge so that the moment image of the head is greater than the image of the tail. If a non-extremal isolated fixed point p is contained in two isotropy spheres, then the moment

image of one sphere lies above $\Phi(p)$ and the moment image of the other sphere lies below. Hence, this gives an orientation \mathcal{O} on \mathcal{G} in the sense of the definition above.

As Holm and Kessler prove, one consequence of Theorem 2.4 is that oriented dull graphs distinguish four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds up to equivariant diffeomorphism.

2.6. Lemma. ([14, Remark 3.5 and Proposition 3.9]) *Given an orientation-preserving isomorphism between the dull graphs associated to two compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds, there is an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism between the manifolds that induces the given isomorphism.*

We say that a connected component C of the set of points with non-trivial stabilizer is a **free chain** if the component does not contain either the minimal or maximal fixed component. A **free point** is an isolated fixed point that has isotropy weights 1 and -1 . Since a fixed point p is the maximum (resp. minimum) of an isotropy \mathbb{Z}_k sphere exactly if one of the isotropy weights at p is $-k$ (resp. $+k$), every free point is a trivial free chain. Similarly, let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E, L)$ be the dull graph associated to the Hamiltonian S^1 -action on M . By the discussion above, the dull graph \mathcal{G} has two “extremal” vertices and each vertex has degree at most two. A **free chain** of the dull graph \mathcal{G} is a connected component C of \mathcal{G} that does not contain either extremal vertex. A **free point** is a non-extremal point with no adjacent edges.

Given one orientation on a dull graph \mathcal{G} , there is another orientation on \mathcal{G} given by exchanging the “minimum” and “maximum” labels and reversing the direction on every edge of the graph. We call this the **opposite orientation**. Additionally, given a free chain C that is not a free point, there is another orientation obtained by reversing the direction on each edge in the chain C and leaving all other aspects of the orientation the same. We call this the **partial flip along C** . These operations on orientations are shown in Figure 2.7.




FIGURE 2.7. An orientation \mathcal{O} on a dull graph \mathcal{G} is shown in red on the middle figure. On the left, we see the opposite orientation; on the right, we see the partial flip on the two-edge chain.

It is straight forward to see that any two orientations on \mathcal{G} with the same minimum and maximal labels differ by some number of partial flips. We record this in the following lemma.

2.8. **Lemma.** *Any two orientations on a dull graph differ by*

- *possibly taking the opposite orientation; and then*
- *applying finitely many partial flips.*

We aim to show that two Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds are equivariantly diffeomorphic if their oriented dull graphs differ by either of these modifications. We first observe that this is true when the dull graphs have opposite orientations.

2.9. **Lemma.** *Given a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold (M, ω, Φ) , there exists another compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\Phi})$ with isomorphic dull graph and an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism from M to \widetilde{M} that induces an orientation-reversing isomorphism on the associated dull graphs.*

Proof. Let $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\Phi})$ be $(M, -\omega, -\Phi)$ and take the identity map. □

In the next two sections, we will show that we have an equivariant diffeomorphism between four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds if their oriented dull graphs differ by a partial flip along a free chain.

It is well-known that the diffeomorphism type of a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold M is determined by the cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$. For the reader's convenience, we conclude this section with an explicit proof, making use of Lemma 2.6.

2.10. **Proposition.** *Let M and \widetilde{M} be compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. Then M and \widetilde{M} are diffeomorphic if and only if the cohomology rings $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic. In this case, we can choose the diffeomorphism to be orientation-preserving.*

Proof. By [15, Theorem 6.3 and Lemma 6.15], every compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold can either be obtained as a blowup of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ or a blowup of an S^2 bundle over a compact, oriented surface Σ . We will proceed by analyzing the possibilities depending on the rank of $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. If $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.

Proceeding, we may assume that $\text{rank } H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) > 1$. Since the blow up of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is an S^2 -bundle over a sphere, this implies that M can be obtained as a blowup of an S^2 -bundle over a compact, oriented surface Σ . The genus g of the surface is $\frac{1}{2} \text{rank}(H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}))$. If $\text{rank}(H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})) = 2$, then M is diffeomorphic to an S^2 -bundle over Σ . There are exactly two oriented S^2 -bundles over a compact, oriented surface Σ . If $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with the intersection form is an even lattice, then M must be the trivial bundle $S^2 \times \Sigma$, and if $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is an odd lattice, then M must be the non-trivial bundle.

Finally, the one-fold blowups of the trivial and non-trivial oriented S^2 bundles over Σ are diffeomorphic by an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism. This can be seen, for example, by considering a circle action with fixed set $\Sigma \sqcup \Sigma$, blowing up at a fixed point, and applying Lemma 2.6. Therefore, if $\text{rank}(H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})) \geq 3$, then M is a blowup of $S^2 \times \Sigma$ at $(\text{rank}(H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})) - 2)$ points.

We have thus shown that $\text{rank}(H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}))$, $\text{rank}(H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}))$, and the intersection form on $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ together determine M up to orientation-preserving diffeomorphism. The other implication is immediate: diffeomorphic manifolds have isomorphic cohomology rings. □

3. CORNER MANIFOLDS

In this section we use the fact that a free chain of isotropy spheres can be equivariantly blown down to a free point to identify neighborhoods of chains with smooth symplectic toric manifolds. We then explore the symplectic analogue of holomorphic blowup maps on such toric manifolds. We begin by introducing the symplectic toric manifolds that arise, which we call corner manifolds.

3.1. Definition. A **corner manifold** N is a connected four-dimensional symplectic manifold N with a proper moment map $\Phi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the moment image $\Delta := \Phi(N)$ is contained in the positive quadrant $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$ and contains all $(w_1, w_2) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$ such that $w_1 + w_2$ is sufficiently large.

In particular, \mathbb{C}^2 with the standard action and symplectic form $(S^1)^2 \curvearrowright (\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_0)$, and with the homogeneous moment map, is itself a corner manifold.




FIGURE 3.2. The polyhedral set Δ with the labeled, inward-pointing normal vectors indicated.

The moment image of a corner manifold is a Delzant convex polyhedral set

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle w, v_i \rangle \geq a_i\},$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are the primitive inward-pointing normal vectors to the edges of Δ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We will always order the v_i 's counter-clockwise and set $v_1 = (1, 0)$, $v_n = (0, 1)$, and $a_1 = 0 = a_n$. We will assume that each v_i defines a non-trivial edge of Δ : that is, the set $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle w, v_i \rangle = a_i\}$ is a closed, one-dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Here, a rational compact polyhedral set in \mathbb{R}^2 is **Delzant** if the primitive inward-pointing normal vectors to each pair of adjacent edges form a basis of \mathbb{Z}^2 . For each i such that $1 < i < n$, the set

$$(3.3) \quad S_i := \{p \in N \mid \langle \Phi(p), v_i \rangle = a_i\}$$

is a symplectic two-sphere that is fixed by the circle in $(S^1)^2$ generated by the vector $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^2 = \text{Lie}((S^1)^2)$. The **chain of isotropy spheres** of N is the union D of these $(n - 2)$ two-spheres. Since $v_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^2$ for all $1 < i < n$, the chain D is the set of points with non-trivial stabilizer under the anti-diagonal circle action.

Conversely, consider a Delzant convex polyhedral set

$$\Delta := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle w, v_i \rangle \geq a_i\},$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are the primitive inward-pointing normal vectors to Δ , ordered counter-clockwise and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all i . Moreover, assume that $v_1 = (1, 0)$, $v_n = (0, 1)$, and $a_1 = 0 = a_n$. Set $v_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$. Note that Δ is contained in the positive quadrant $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$ and contains all $(w_1, w_2) \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^2$ such that $w_1 + w_2$ is sufficiently large. Following Delzant [8], we construct a corner manifold $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \Phi_\Delta)$, with moment image Δ , by symplectic reduction. Consider the standard $(S^1)^n$ -action on (\mathbb{C}^n, ω_0) with moment map $\phi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ sending (x_1, \dots, x_n) to $\frac{1}{2}(|x_1|^2, \dots, |x_n|^2)$. Let $K = K_\Delta$ be the kernel of the map $(S^1)^n \rightarrow (S^1)^2$ induced by the map $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $\pi(e_i) = v_i$, where (e_1, \dots, e_n) is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . (We identify S^1 with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .) We have dual short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (3.4)$$

$$0 \longleftarrow \mathfrak{k}^* \xleftarrow{\iota^*} (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \xleftarrow{\pi^*} (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \longleftarrow 0,$$

where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K and $\iota: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the inclusion map. Note that $\iota(\mathfrak{k})$ has basis $\alpha_i e_1 - e_i + \beta_i e_n$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$. Let M_Δ be symplectic reduction of \mathbb{C}^n by K at $\iota^*(-a_1, \dots, -a_n)$. Explicitly, we have the moment map $\mu: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, which is the composition of ϕ and ι^* . The level set $\mu^{-1}(\iota^*(-a_1, \dots, -a_n))$ is

$$(3.5) \quad U_\Delta = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i |x_1|^2 - |x_i|^2 + \beta_i |x_n|^2) = a_i \text{ for all } 1 < i < n\},$$

and so

$$(3.6) \quad M_\Delta = \mu^{-1}(\iota^*(-a_1, \dots, -a_n))/K = U_\Delta/K.$$

By (3.4), we may identify $(S^1)^n/K$ with $(S^1)^2$ and hence interpret the residual Hamiltonian $(S^1)^n/K$ -action on M_Δ as an $(S^1)^2$ -action. Under this identification, $(S^1)^2$ acts on M_Δ by

$$(3.7) \quad (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cdot [x_1, \dots, x_n] = [\lambda_1 x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, \lambda_2 x_n]$$

with moment map

$$(3.8) \quad [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(|x_1|^2, |x_n|^2),$$

and isotropy spheres

$$S_i = \{[x] \in M_\Delta \mid x_i = 0\} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Moreover, up to $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphism, the manifold M_Δ is the unique corner manifold with moment image Δ [16, Theorem 1.3]. Hence, we may identify any corner manifold with moment polytope Δ with M_Δ . As we see in the proof of Lemma 3.17, every corner manifold can be obtained from the standard action $(S^1)^2 \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^2, \omega_0)$ with the homogeneous moment map by a finite sequence of $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectic blowups. Alternatively, as a complex manifold, it can be obtained by a finite sequence of holomorphic blowups. From this perspective, the chain of isotropy spheres D is the preimage of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ under the composition of holomorphic blowup maps. Given a corner manifold $(S^1)^2 \mathbb{C}N$, we will often restrict our attention to actions by the **anti-diagonal circle** $\{(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) : \lambda \in S^1\} \subset (S^1)^2$ and the **diagonal circle** $\{(\lambda, \lambda) : \lambda \in S^1\} \subset (S^1)^2$.

3.9. Definition. A *triangular neighborhood (of size ε)* in N is a neighborhood U_ε of D that is the moment preimage in a corner manifold N of the triangle

$$(3.10) \quad \{(w_1, w_2) \mid w_1 \geq 0, w_2 \geq 0, w_1 + w_2 < \varepsilon\}.$$

For example, triangular neighborhoods in \mathbb{C}^2 are balls. More generally, U_ε is the preimage of $[0, \varepsilon)$ under the moment map for the diagonal circle action.

3.11. Remark. Since the chain of isotropy spheres D in a corner manifold N is a compact subset and the moment map is continuous, there always exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that U_ε is a triangular neighborhood. Moreover, if $D \subset U_\varepsilon \subset N$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ such that $D \subset U_\delta \subset N$.

Next, we show that corner manifolds serve as local models for neighborhoods of free chains in four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds. For that, we need to introduce the notion of a chain blowup. Consider a four-dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) with a Hamiltonian S^1 -action. Let $p \in M$ be a non-extremal fixed point with weights $(m_2, -m_1)$, where $m_1, m_2 > 0$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we can perform an **equivariant blowup** of size ε at p . Such a blowup is possible if and only if there is an equivariant symplectic embedding of an open ball B_r about the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 of radius r , where $\frac{r^2}{2} > \varepsilon$, and S^1 acts on B_r by $\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda^{m_1} z_1, \lambda^{m_2} z_2)$. In the blowup, the fixed point p is replaced by two isolated fixed points connected by an isotropy sphere of area ε with stabilizer $m_1 + m_2$, as indicated in the figure below.




FIGURE 3.12. The effect of a blowup at a non-extremal fixed point.

Note that the isotropy sphere created in the equivariant blowup procedure is an exceptional sphere: it has self-intersection -1 . The blowup procedure can be reversed: any isotropy sphere of self-intersection -1 can be equivariantly **blown down** and replaced with an isolated fixed point.

A **chain blowup** is a sequence M^0, \dots, M^k of Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds together with a free chain C^j in M^j , for each j , satisfying

- M^{j+1} is obtained from M^j by an S^1 -equivariant symplectic blowup at a fixed point v^j in C^j ; and
- C^{j+1} is the free chain that contains the newly created exceptional sphere.

By a slight abuse of terminology, when such a sequence of blowups exists, we call (M^k, C^k) a **chain blowup** of (M^0, C^0) . As we show next, every free chain comes from a chain blowup of a free point.

3.13. Lemma. Let M be a compact four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold and C a free chain in M . Then there exists a Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold \widetilde{M} with a free point \check{C} so that (M, C) is a chain blowup of $(\widetilde{M}, \check{C})$.

Proof. It is enough to show that every non-trivial free chain of isotropy spheres contains an isotropy sphere with self-intersection -1 . Since such a sphere can be blown down, the theorem will then follow by induction on the number of fixed points in the chain C .

If C is not a free point, label the isotropy spheres in the chain C as e_1, \dots, e_n , so that the maximal fixed point of e_i is the minimal fixed point of e_{i+1} for all i . Let m_i be the isotropy weight of e_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the negative weight at the minimal fixed point in C is -1 , we set $m_0 = 1$; similarly, we set $m_{n+1} = 1$. Since the action is effective, m_i and m_{i+1} are relatively prime for all i . Hence, if m_j is the largest weight in the chain, then $m_j > m_{j-1}$ and $m_j > m_{j+1}$. Since the m_j 's are positive, this implies that

$$0 > -\frac{m_{j-1} + m_{j+1}}{m_j} > -2.$$

By [15, Proof of Lemma 5.2], the middle term in this string of inequalities is the self intersection of e_j , which must be an integer. Therefore, the sphere has self-intersection equal to -1 . \square

After possibly replacing our four-manifold by another with isomorphic oriented dull graph, every free chain admits an invariant neighborhood that is isomorphic to a triangular neighborhood in a corner manifold, equipped with the anti-diagonal circle action.

3.14. Lemma. *Let (M, ω, Φ) be a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold and C a free chain in M . There exists a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold \widetilde{M} whose oriented dull graph is isomorphic to that of M , and an S^1 -equivariant symplectomorphism from a triangular neighborhood in a corner manifold, equipped with the anti-diagonal circle action, to a neighborhood of the free chain in \widetilde{M} corresponding to C .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, there exists a chain blowup $M^0, \dots, M^k = M$ of Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds together with a free chain C^j in M^j for each j , satisfying

- M^{j+1} is obtained from M^j by an S^1 -equivariant symplectic blowup at a fixed point v^j in C^j ;
- C^{j+1} is the free chain that contains the newly created exceptional sphere that replaces the isolated fixed point v^j ; and
- C^0 is a free point that we will denote p .

In particular, the isotropy weights at p are $(1, -1)$ and we may assume without loss of generality that $\Phi(p) = 0$. By the Local Normal Form Theorem, there are complex coordinates z_1, z_2 on an invariant neighborhood U of C^0 in M^0 such that

- the circle action is $\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda^{-1} z_2)$,
- the symplectic form is $\omega = \frac{i}{2}(dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2)$,
- the moment map is $\Phi(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2}|z_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|z_2|^2$, and
- the neighborhood $U = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid \frac{1}{2}|z_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|z_2|^2 < \varepsilon\}$.

The standard $(S^1)^2$ -action on U extends the given S^1 -action as the anti-diagonal circle action.

Next we construct a chain blowup $U = U^0, U^1, \dots, U^k = \widetilde{U}$ with the same ordering as the given chain blowup. Concretely, for each j , let r_j be the number of vertices in the chain C^j whose moment map value is less than or equal to that of v_j . At each step, let $v^j \in U^j$ be the fixed point such that there are exactly r_j fixed points whose moment image for the anti-diagonal circle action is less than or equal to that of v^j . We perform an $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectic blowup at the fixed point v^j of symplectic size small enough so that the ball used to perform the blowup is completely contained inside of U^j . Finally, to construct \widetilde{M} , we replace the neighborhood U in M with the neighborhood \widetilde{U} equipped with the anti-diagonal circle action. \square

To achieve a partial flip of the dull graph, we use a procedure similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 3.14, blowing down a chain and then blowing it back up, but in this case we blow it back up “upside down.”

3.15. Lemma. *Given any orientation \mathcal{O} on a dull graph \mathcal{G} , there exists a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold whose oriented dull graph is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. By definition, there’s a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold (M, ω, Φ) with dull graph \mathcal{G} . By replacing Φ by $-\Phi$ if necessary, we may assume that the orientation on \mathcal{G} induced by the moment map Φ has the same “maximum” and “minimum” labels as the orientation \mathcal{O} , that is, the two orientations differ by a finite number of partial flips.

Therefore, to construct a manifold \widetilde{M} whose oriented dull graph is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O})$, it suffices to show that given any free chain C the following procedure produces a manifold M' so that the oriented dull graphs of M and M' are isomorphic except that the orientations differ by a partial flip along C : By Lemma 3.13, there exists a Hamiltonian S^1 manifold \widetilde{M} with a free point \widetilde{C} so that (M, C) is a chain blowup of $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{C})$, i.e., there exists a sequence $\widetilde{M} = M^0, \dots, M^k = M$ of Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds together with a free chain C^j in M^j for each j , satisfying

- M^{j+1} is obtained from M^j by an S^1 -equivariant symplectic blowup at a fixed point v^j in C^j ; and
- C^{j+1} is the free chain that contains the newly created exceptional sphere.

To construct M' , we perform an **inverted chain blowup**: a chain blowup

$$\widetilde{M} = (M')^0, (M')^1, \dots, (M')^k = M'$$

so that the oriented dull graphs of $(M')^j$ and M^j differ by a partial flip for each j . Concretely, let r_j be the number of vertices in the chain C^j whose moment map value is less than or equal to that of v^j . Let $(M')^0 = \widetilde{M}$, $(C')^0 = C^0$, and for each $j > 0$ let M^{j+1} be the blow up at the fixed point $(v')^j \in (C')^j$ such that the chain $(C')^j$ has exactly r_j points whose moment map value is greater than or equal to that of $(v')^j$. \square




FIGURE 3.16. The center figure represents a neighborhood of a free point; the S^1 moment map is the projection onto the vertical axis. The figure on the left represents a chain blowup at this point. The figure on the right is the inverted chain blowup. In each case, the red and blue edges represent isotropy two-spheres with stabilizers \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_3 , respectively.

We now explore the symplectic analogue of holomorphic blowup maps on corner manifolds. For the blowup of a single point, the holomorphic blowup map is a surjective map that collapses the exceptional sphere to a point. By contrast, the symplectic blowup map is a symplectomorphism, defined only on the complement of the exceptional sphere. In the following lemma, we iterate this process to explicitly define a symplectomorphism ψ from the complement of the chain of isotropy spheres in a corner manifold onto an open subset of \mathbb{C}^2 . We call the map ψ the **symplectic blowup map**.

3.17. Lemma. *Let N be a corner manifold with moment image $\Phi(N) = \Delta$; we identify N with the reduced space $M_\Delta := \mathbb{C}^n // K$, equipped with the $(S^1)^n / K \cong (S^1)^2$ action. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be the inward-pointing normal vectors to the facets of Δ , with the standard counter-clockwise order, and let $v_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for all i . Let D be the chain of isotropy spheres in N . Then the map*

$$\psi: N \setminus D \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=2}^{n-1} \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \frac{1}{2} \langle (|z_1|^2, |z_2|^2), v_i \rangle > a_i \right\}$$

$$[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto \left(\frac{x_1 x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}}{|x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}|}, \frac{x_2^{\beta_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}} x_n}{|x_2^{\beta_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}}|} \right)$$

is a well-defined $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphism that intertwines the moment maps. Here, \mathbb{C}^2 is equipped with the standard symplectic form, $(S^1)^2$ -action, and the homogeneous moment map.

Proof. We will prove the claim by showing that ψ can be written as the composition of equivariant symplectomorphisms that intertwine the moment maps. If $n = 2$, the map ψ is the identity map and the claim is trivial. If $n \geq 3$, we claim that there is at least one index $1 < j < n$ so that the set of points $p \in N$ with $\langle \Phi(p), v_j \rangle = a_j$ is a two-sphere in N with self intersection -1 , or equivalently such that $v_j = v_{j-1} + v_{j+1}$. To see this, apply the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.13 to the anti-diagonal circle action on N . Fix one such j and define

$$\check{\Delta} = \bigcap_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \left\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle w, v_i \rangle \geq a_i \right\}.$$

The fact that $v_j = v_{j-1} + v_{j+1}$ guarantees that $\check{\Delta}$ is again a Delzant polyhedral set. Let $\check{N} \simeq M_{\check{\Delta}}$ be the associated corner manifold.

Before completing the proof, to motivate the definition of ψ , we recall the holomorphic blowup map. Consider the holomorphic map

$$(3.18) \quad [x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto [x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, x_{j-1}x_j, x_jx_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_n]$$

from N to \check{N} . The space N , together with the map (3.18), is the complex blowup of \check{N} at the unique point $[y] \in \check{N}$ that has $y_{j-1} = y_j = 0$. The map (3.18) sends the exceptional sphere $\{[x] \in N \mid x_j = 0\}$ to $[y]$ and is a biholomorphism on the complement of these sets. Applying this blowdown procedure inductively and composing the blowup maps, we get a holomorphic blowup map from N to \mathbb{C}^2

$$[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mapsto \left(x_1 x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}, x_2^{\beta_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}} x_n \right),$$

where $v_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$; moreover, the chain $D \subset N$ is the preimage of the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 .

We now return to completing the proof by analyzing the analogous symplectic blowup. We claim that the map

$$(3.19) \quad \varpi: \left\{ [x] \in N \mid x_j \neq 0 \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ [y] \in \check{N} \mid \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j|y_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_j|y_{n-1}|^2 > a_j \right\}$$

given by

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] \mapsto \left[x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, \frac{x_{j-1}x_j}{|x_j|}, \frac{x_jx_{j+1}}{|x_j|}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_n \right]$$

is a well-defined $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphism that intertwines the moment maps. To see this, consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varpi}: \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_j \neq 0 \right\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto \left(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, \frac{x_{j-1}x_j}{|x_j|}, \frac{x_jx_{j+1}}{|x_j|}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying equation (3.5) to the level sets U_Δ and $U_{\check{\Delta}}$, $\tilde{\varpi}$ maps $\{x \in U_\Delta \mid x_j \neq 0\}$ onto $\{y \in U_{\check{\Delta}} \mid \frac{1}{2}\alpha_j|y_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_j|y_{n-1}|^2 > a_j\}$. Moreover, since $v_j = v_{j-1} + v_{j+1}$, we have that if $x, x' \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfy $x_j \neq 0 \neq x'_j$, then x and x' are in the same K orbit if and only if $\tilde{\varpi}(x), \tilde{\varpi}(x') \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ are in the same \check{K} orbit. Thus, the map ϖ defined in (3.19) is a well-defined, $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant bijection that intertwines the moment maps.

Furthermore, for $[x] \in N$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|x_{j+1}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x_{j-1}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|x_j|^2 + \varepsilon_j,$$

where $\varepsilon_j = a_j - (a_{j-1} + a_{j+1})$. Thus ϖ has a smooth inverse given by

$$[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}] \mapsto \left[y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, \left(|y_{j-1}|^2 + |y_j|^2 - 2\varepsilon_j \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, y_j, \dots, y_{n-1} \right].$$

Therefore, ϖ is a diffeomorphism. Finally, by a straightforward computation in coordinates, the pull-back by the map $\tilde{\varpi}$ of the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{C}^{n-1} is the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{C}^n plus a multiple of $d(|x_j|^2 - |x_{j-1}|^2 - |x_{j+1}|^2)$. Therefore, ϖ is a symplectomorphism. We now restrict to $N \setminus D$; applying this blowdown procedure inductively and composing the symplectic blowup maps, we see that ψ has the desired properties. \square

4. PARTIAL FLIPS AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we construct an equivariant diffeomorphism between two compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds whose oriented dull graphs differ by a single partial flip. We give the construction explicitly in coordinates in triangular neighborhoods of the chains and then use the symplectic blowup maps to extend to a global diffeomorphism. As a consequence, we deduce Theorem 1.2.

4.1. Definition. Let N be a corner manifold whose moment image is the polyhedral set

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle w, v_i \rangle \geq a_i\},$$

where $v_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$ for all i . Reflecting the moment map image Δ of N across the diagonal line $w_1 = w_2$ yields a Delzant polyhedral set Δ' . In coordinates,

$$\Delta' = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \langle w, v'_i \rangle \geq a'_i \right\},$$

where $a'_i = a_{n+1-i}$ and $v'_i = (\alpha'_i, \beta'_i) = (\beta_{n+1-i}, \alpha_{n+1-i})$. Let N' denote the associated corner manifold: $N' \simeq M_{\Delta'}$. We call N' the **mirror** of the manifold N . This procedure

is an involution, so N is also the mirror of N' and we will refer to N and N' as **mirror manifolds**.

4.2. Remark. If U_ε is a triangular ε -neighborhood of the chain of isotropy spheres D in N for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then U'_ε is an ε -neighborhood of the chain of isotropy spheres D' in the mirror manifold N' ; see Figure 4.3.




FIGURE 4.3. The shaded regions represent the moment map images of triangular neighborhoods of the chains in a corner manifold and its mirror.

We start by producing a diffeomorphism between mirror corner manifolds that is S^1 -equivariant with respect to the anti-diagonal action.

4.4. Lemma. *Let M_Δ and $M_{\Delta'}$ be mirror corner manifolds with chains of isotropy spheres D and D' , respectively. The map $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by*

$$(4.5) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (-\bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{x}_1)$$

induces a diffeomorphism $F: M_\Delta \rightarrow M_{\Delta'}$ that negates the symplectic form; intertwines the moment maps for the diagonal actions on M_Δ and $M_{\Delta'}$; is equivariant with respect to the anti-diagonal circle actions on M_Δ and $M_{\Delta'}$; and maps the isotropy sphere $S_i \subseteq D$ onto $S'_{n+1-i} \subseteq D'$ for all $1 < i < n$. Moreover,

$$(4.6) \quad (\psi' \circ F \circ \psi^{-1})(z_1, z_2) = (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$$

for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \psi(M_\Delta \setminus D) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, where $\psi: M_\Delta \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\psi': M_{\Delta'} \setminus D' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ are the symplectic blowup maps.

Proof. By definition, the normal vectors $v_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$, $v'_i = (\alpha'_i, \beta'_i)$ and the constants a_i , a'_i defining Δ and Δ' satisfy

$$(\alpha'_i, \beta'_i) = (\beta_{n+1-i}, \alpha_{n+1-i}) \text{ and } a'_i = a_{n+1-i}.$$

By equations (3.4)-(3.6), this implies that the symplectomorphism $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_n, \dots, x_1)$ takes \mathcal{U}_Δ to $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta'}$ and K_Δ to $K_{\Delta'}$. Hence it induces a symplectomorphism $f: M_\Delta \rightarrow M_{\Delta'}$. By equations (3.7) and (3.8), since the map that sends $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_n, \dots, x_1)$ is weakly equivariant with respect to the automorphism of $(S^1)^n$ that reverse the coordinates, the symplectomorphism f is weakly equivariant with respect to the automorphism of $(S^1)^2$ that exchanges the coordinates, and $f^*(\Phi_{\Delta'})$ can be obtained from Φ_Δ by exchanging the coordinates. Therefore, by equation (3.3), $f(S_i) = S'_{n+1-i}$ for each i . Similarly, the map from $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{n-1}, -\bar{x}_n)$ induces a diffeomorphism from M_Δ to itself that negates the symplectic form, is weakly equivariant with respect to inversion, and preserves the moment map. Therefore, the map $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given in (4.5), which is the composition

of these two maps, induces a diffeomorphism $F: M_\Delta \rightarrow M_{\Delta'}$ with the desired properties. Finally, we can verify (4.6) by a short calculation using Lemma 3.17. \square

Next, we construct an S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphism between mirror corner manifolds that interpolates between (a) the diffeomorphism F defined in Lemma 3.15 in a triangular neighborhood U_α and (b) a lift of the identity map in the complement of a triangular neighborhood U_β , where $\beta > \alpha$. In Figure 4.3, the dark grey regions represent the moment map images of triangular neighborhoods U_α and U'_α in a corner manifold N and its mirror N' ; the pink regions represent the moment map images of the complements of U_β and U'_β ; and the blue region in the middle is where the interpolation takes place.

4.7. Lemma. *Let N and N' be mirror corner manifolds with chains of isotropy spheres D and D' , respectively. Let U_β be a triangular neighborhood of D for some $\beta > 0$. There is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $H: N \rightarrow N'$ such that*

$$(\psi' \circ H \circ \psi^{-1})(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2) \text{ for all } (z_1, z_2) \in \psi(N \setminus U_\beta) \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Moreover, the map H intertwines the moment maps for the diagonal circle actions on N and N' ; is equivariant with respect to the anti-diagonal circle actions on N and N' ; and maps the isotropy sphere $S_i \subseteq D$ onto $S'_{n+1-i} \subseteq D'$ for all $1 < i < n$. Here, $\psi: N \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\psi': N' \setminus D' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ are the symplectic blowup maps.

Proof. Since D is contained in U_β , it is also contained in U_α for some $\alpha < \beta$. Since N and N' are mirror manifolds, D' is also contained in U'_α . See Remarks 3.11 and 4.2.

Let $F: N \rightarrow N'$ be the diffeomorphism constructed in Lemma 4.4. Then

$$(4.8) \quad (\psi' \circ F \circ \psi^{-1})(z_1, z_2) = (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$$

for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \psi(N \setminus D) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Moreover, the diffeomorphism F negates the symplectic form, and so is orientation-preserving. The map F also intertwines the moment maps for the diagonal circle actions on N and N' . Since the triangular neighborhoods $U_\alpha \subset N$ and $U'_\alpha \subset N'$ are each the preimage of $[0, \alpha)$ under the moment map for the respective diagonal circle actions, F restricts to a diffeomorphism between U_α and U'_α . Furthermore, the map F is equivariant with respect to the anti-diagonal circle actions on N and N' . Finally, F maps the isotropy sphere $S_i \subseteq D$ onto $S'_{n+1-i} \subseteq D'$ for all $1 < i < n$, and thus F restricts to a diffeomorphism between $U_\alpha \setminus D$ and $U'_\alpha \setminus D'$.

Let S^1 act on \mathbb{C}^2 by $\lambda \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda^{-1} z_2)$. The family

$$\varphi_t: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2; \quad \varphi_t(z_1, z_2) = \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right)z_1 - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right)\bar{z}_2, \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right)z_2 + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right)\bar{z}_1 \right)$$

gives a smooth isotopy of orientation-preserving S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphisms that satisfies $\varphi_0 = \text{id}$ and $\varphi_1(z_1, z_2) = (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$. Moreover, $(\varphi_t)^{-1} = \varphi_{-t}$ and φ_t preserves the standard norm on \mathbb{C}^2 for all t . Define a cut-off function $\rho: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that ρ equals 1 on $[0, \alpha]$, and equals 0 on $[\beta, \infty)$. The map

$$g: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2; \quad g(z_1, z_2) = \varphi_{\rho(|z|)}(z_1, z_2)$$

is an orientation-preserving S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphism that satisfies, for each point $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$,

$$(4.9) \quad g(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 \leq \alpha \\ (z_1, z_2) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 \geq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Its inverse is the map $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto \varphi_{-\rho(|z|)}(z_1, z_2)$. Moreover, g preserves the standard norm $|z| = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , or equivalently the moment map for the diagonal circle action.

Since N and N' are mirror corner manifolds, the map $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (-\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$ takes $\psi(N \setminus D)$ to $\psi'(N' \setminus D')$. Moreover, because $D \subset U_\alpha$, Lemma 3.17 implies that every $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\frac{1}{2}|z|^2 > \alpha$ is contained in both $\psi(N \setminus D)$ and $\psi'(N' \setminus D')$. Therefore, since g preserves the standard norm on \mathbb{C}^2 , the map g induces a diffeomorphism from $\psi(N \setminus D)$ to $\psi'(N' \setminus D')$. Thus, we can define a diffeomorphism G from $N \setminus D$ to $N' \setminus D'$ by

$$(4.10) \quad G = (\psi')^{-1} \circ g \circ \psi.$$

Since ψ is an $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphism that intertwines the moment maps, the map G is orientation-preserving, intertwines the moment maps for the diagonal circle actions, and is equivariant with respect to the anti-diagonal circle action.

Finally, G coincides with F on $\overline{U_\alpha} \setminus D$, and with $(\psi')^{-1} \circ \psi$ on $N \setminus U_\beta$, where $\overline{U_\alpha}$ denotes the topological closure of U_α . Thus we may piece together the maps $F|_{U_\alpha}$ and G to create the required diffeomorphism $H : N \rightarrow N'$. \square

We can now construct the equivariant diffeomorphism associated to a partial flip in an oriented dull graph.

4.11. Proposition. *Let (M, ω, Φ) be compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold, let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O})$ be the oriented dull graph of M , and let \mathcal{O}' be the partial flip of \mathcal{O} along a free chain C . There exists a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega}, \widehat{\Phi})$ and an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism from M to \widehat{M} that induces an isomorphism from $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}')$ to the oriented dull graph of \widehat{M} .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.14 there exists a compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold \widetilde{M} whose oriented dull graph is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O})$, and an S^1 -equivariant symplectomorphism from a triangular neighborhood U_γ in a corner manifold N , equipped with the anti-diagonal circle action, to a neighborhood of the free chain in \widetilde{M} corresponding to C . Identify this neighborhood in \widetilde{M} with U_γ . Let N' be the mirror manifold to N and let D and D' be the chains of isotropy spheres in N and N' , respectively. Because the chain D is contained in U_γ , it is also contained in U_β for some $0 < \beta < \gamma$. Moreover, the chain D' is contained in $U'_\beta \subset U'_\gamma$. (See Definition 3.9 and Remarks 3.11 and 4.2.)

By Lemma 3.17, the symplectic blowup maps $\psi : N \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\psi' : N' \setminus D' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ are $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphisms onto their image, and intertwine the moment maps. Hence, they restrict to $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphisms from $U_\gamma \setminus \overline{U_\beta}$ and $U'_\gamma \setminus \overline{U'_\beta}$, respectively, to

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \beta < \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 < \gamma\}.$$

Thus, the map $(\psi')^{-1} \circ \psi$ restricts to an $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant symplectomorphism from $U_\gamma \setminus \overline{U_\beta}$ to $U'_\gamma \setminus \overline{U'_\beta}$ that intertwines the moment maps. Using this isomorphism, we construct a new Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold \widehat{M} by removing the closed set $\overline{U_\beta}$ from \widetilde{M} and gluing in U'_γ , equipped with the anti-diagonal circle action.

By Lemma 2.6, there is an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism from M to \widetilde{M} that induces an isomorphism from $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O})$ to the oriented dull graph of \widetilde{M} . Use this to identify the dull graph of \widetilde{M} with \mathcal{G} . By Lemma 4.7, there exists an S^1 -equivariant, orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $H : N \rightarrow N'$ that restricts to $(\psi')^{-1} \circ \psi$ on $N \setminus \overline{U_\beta}$ and intertwines the moment maps for the diagonal circle on N and N' , and so restricts to a diffeomorphism from U_γ to U'_γ . This gives an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism from \widetilde{M} to \widehat{M} that induces an isomorphism from $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}')$ to the oriented dull graph of \widehat{M} . Composing, we have an orientation-preserving, equivariant

diffeomorphism from M to \widehat{M} that induces the isomorphism from $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}')$ to the oriented dull graph of \widehat{M} . \square

We now have all the pieces in place to prove that every isomorphism of dull graphs is induced by an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that we are given an isomorphism between the dull graphs \mathcal{G} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of two compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds (M, ω, Φ) and $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\Phi})$. Then there is a sequence of orientations $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n$ on \mathcal{G} such that \mathcal{O}_0 is the orientation induced by M , the given isomorphism takes $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_n)$ to the orientation on $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ induced by \widetilde{M} , and for each k , the orientation \mathcal{O}_k is either the opposite to the orientation \mathcal{O}_{k-1} or differs from \mathcal{O}_{k-1} by a single partial flip along a free chain. We now apply Lemma 2.9 and Proposition 4.11 to the oriented dull graphs $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_0), \dots, (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_n)$, inductively producing a sequence of four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds $M_0 = M, M_1, \dots, M_{n-1}, M_n$ and an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism from M to M_k for all k that induces an orientation-preserving isomorphism from $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_k)$ to the oriented dull graph associated to M_k for all k . In particular, there is an orientation-preserving, equivariant diffeomorphism from M to M_n that induces an orientation-preserving isomorphism from $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_n)$ to the oriented dull graph associated to M_n . The theorem now follows from Lemma 2.6. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The goal in this section is to prove our main result, Theorem 1.1, which states that every algebra isomorphism between the equivariant cohomology algebras of compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds is induced by an equivariant diffeomorphism. In the special case that the algebra isomorphism is orientation preserving and the equivariant cohomology of the manifolds vanishes in odd degrees, this Theorem – like Corollary 1.3 – follows nearly immediately from Theorem 1.2 and the results in [14]. Therefore, we will start by analyzing the provenance of the odd-degree cohomology.

5.1. Lemma. *Let (M, ω, Φ) be a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold. Let R be the subring of $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ generated by $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Then*

- (i) $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated as a ring by $H_{S^1}^{2*}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$.
- (ii) If $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero, then the minimum fixed component M_{\min} is a surface of positive genus and the inclusion map $M_{\min} \rightarrow M \times_{S^1} ES^1$ induces an isomorphism from R to $H^*(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. We may assume that $H_{S^1}^{2i+1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ for some i . The moment map Φ is an equivariantly perfect Morse-Bott function whose critical set is the fixed set, and every fixed component has even index and coindex [3, 12, 18]. Hence, it has a unique local minimum M_{\min} and a unique local maximum M_{\max} , and so

$$H^1(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(M_{\max}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since M is 4-dimensional, M_{\min} and M_{\max} have dimension 0 or 2, while other fixed points are isolated. Since $H_{S^1}^{2*+1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, this implies that M_{\min} and M_{\max} are surfaces of the same positive genus.

As in [23, §4], since $H^*(F; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free for each fixed component F , we can adapt the arguments used to prove [22, Lemma 1.13] to get the following “canonical classes” in $H_{S^1}^{2*+1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$:

- (1) For each $\alpha \in H^1(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z})$, there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}|_{M_{\min}} = \alpha$. Here, we use the isomorphism $H_{S^1}^*(F) = H^*(F) \otimes H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt})$ to identify $H^*(F)$ with a subring of $H_{S^1}^*(F)$ for each fixed component F .
- (2) For each $\beta \in H^1(M_{\max}; \mathbb{Z})$, there exists $\tilde{\beta} \in H_{S^1}^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\tilde{\beta}|_{M_{\max}} = \beta \cup e_{S^1}(\nu_{M_{\max}})$$

and $\tilde{\beta}$ restricts to zero on every other fixed component. Here, $e_{S^1}(\nu_{M_{\max}})$ is the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to M_{\max} .

- (3) There exists $\varepsilon_{M_{\max}} \in H_{S^1}^2(M_{\max}; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\varepsilon_{M_{\max}}|_{M_{\max}} = e_{S^1}(\nu_{M_{\max}})$ and $\varepsilon_{M_{\max}}$ restricts to zero on every other fixed component.

Moreover, as a module over $H_{S^1}^*(\text{pt}; \mathbb{Z})$, the odd-degree cohomology $H_{S^1}^{2*+1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the canonical classes of types (1) and (2); hence, $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $H^{2*}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and the canonical classes of types (1) and (2).

Applying the same lemma to $-\Phi$, for each $\beta \in H^1(M_{\max}; \mathbb{Z})$ there exists a class β' in $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ whose restriction to M_{\max} is β . The type (2) canonical class $\tilde{\beta} \in H_{S^1}^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is product of this class β' of degree 1 with the class $\varepsilon_{M_{\max}} \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ because $\varepsilon_{M_{\max}}$ restricts to zero on every non-maximal fixed component and $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M^{S^1}; \mathbb{Z})$ is injective. Claim (i) now follows immediately.

The inclusion map $M_{\min} \hookrightarrow M$ induces a homomorphism

$$H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

By the preceding paragraph, this map restricts to an isomorphism from $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^1(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z})$. Since M_{\min} is a surface of positive genus, $H^*(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $H^1(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, since $R \subset H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the subring generated by $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$, the induced homomorphism $R \rightarrow H^*(M_{\min}; \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. To prove that this homomorphism is injective, consider a class $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_n \in R$ that restricts to zero on M_{\min} , where $n > 1$ and $\gamma_i \in H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ for all i . To prove that γ is the zero class, it is enough to prove that its restriction to every fixed component vanishes. Since the odd-dimensional equivariant cohomology of a point is trivial, each γ_i restricts to the zero class on every fixed point, and so γ restricts to a class in ordinary cohomology on each fixed component. If $n > 3$, this implies that γ vanishes when restricted to any fixed component. If $n = 2$, this implies that γ vanishes when restricted to any fixed component except possibly the maximum; moreover,

$$\int_{M_{\max}} \frac{\gamma|_{M_{\max}}}{e_{S^1}(\nu_{M_{\max}})} = 0 \text{ exactly if } \gamma|_{M_{\max}} = 0.$$

Because the integral of a class of degree 2 over M is zero, the ABBV localization formula [3, 5] implies that γ restricts to zero on the maximum as well. This completes the proof of Claim (ii). \square

Next, when the odd cohomology is not trivial, that is, when the minimal fixed surface has positive genus, we need the following stronger version of Theorem 1.2.

5.2. Lemma. *Let M and \tilde{M} be compact, connected, four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds with minimal fixed components that are symplectic surfaces Σ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$, respectively, with positive genus. Let ψ be an isomorphism from the dull graph of M to the dull graph of \tilde{M} that sends Σ to $\tilde{\Sigma}$, and let $\lambda: H^*(\tilde{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ be a ring isomorphism that preserves the orientations induced by the symplectic forms. Then there exists an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that h induces the given isomorphism ψ and $(h|_{\Sigma})^* = \lambda$.*

Proof. Let ψ be an isomorphism from the dull graph of M to that of \widetilde{M} . By Theorem 1.2, there exists an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ that induces the given isomorphism ψ .

The surface Σ is a minimal fixed component. Hence, if we use the symplectic orientations on Σ and M to orient the normal bundle $\nu(\Sigma)$ to Σ , then S^1 acts on $\nu(\Sigma)_p$ with weight $+1$ for all $p \in \Sigma$; a similar statement applies to $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. Therefore, since f is an orientation preserving equivariant diffeomorphism taking Σ to $\widetilde{\Sigma}$, the pull-back map in cohomology

$$(f|_{\Sigma})^*: H^*(\widetilde{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$$

preserves the symplectic orientations. By [9, Theorems 1.13 and 6.4], there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $g: \widetilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Sigma}$ such that $g^* = \lambda^{-1} \circ (f|_{\Sigma})^*$. Then by Theorem 2.4, there exists an equivariant symplectomorphism $\widehat{g}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ that induces the identity map on the dull graph such that the restriction $\widehat{g}|_{\widetilde{\Sigma}}$ is isotopic to g . Finally, the map $h = \widehat{g}^{-1} \circ f: M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is the desired equivariant diffeomorphism. \square

A final ingredient is to construct explicitly some orientation-reversing diffeomorphisms.

5.3. Lemma. *Let (M, ω, Φ) be a compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with $b_2(M) = 2$. Then there exists an orientation-reversing S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphism from M to itself.*

Proof. Assume first that either every fixed point is isolated or M has exactly one fixed surface. Then by Karshon's classification [15], M is a Hirzebruch surface, and the circle action is the restriction of a subgroup of the natural $(S^1)^2$ action. Specifically, we can identify M with

$$(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1,$$

where \mathbb{C}^\times acts diagonally on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, and acts on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\lambda \cdot [w_1, w_2] = [\lambda^N w_1, w_2]$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. The compact torus $(S^1)^2$ acts on M by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \cdot [z_1, z_2; w_1, w_2] = [\alpha z_1, z_2; \beta w_1, w_2].$$

Hence the map from M to itself sending $[z_1, z_2; w_1, w_2]$ to $[z_1, z_2; \overline{w_2}, \overline{w_1}]$ is an orientation-reversing $(S^1)^2$ -equivariant diffeomorphism.

Now assume instead that M has two fixed surfaces. Then by Karshon's classification M is a ruled S^1 -manifold. More specifically, we may identify M with

$$M := E \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1,$$

where $E \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a principal \mathbb{C}^\times bundle over a compact oriented surface Σ and \mathbb{C}^\times acts on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ by $\lambda \cdot [w_1, w_2] = [\lambda w_1, w_2]$. The circle S^1 acts on M by $\alpha \cdot [e; w_1, w_2] = [e; \alpha w_1, w_2]$. Hence the map from M to itself sending $[e; w_1, w_2]$ to $[e; \overline{w_2}, \overline{w_1}]$ is an orientation-reversing (S^1) -equivariant diffeomorphism. \square

We now have all the ingredients needed to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let M and \widetilde{M} be compact, connected four-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds and let

$$\Lambda: H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$$

be an isomorphism of algebras. We want to show that there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism from \widetilde{M} to M that induces the algebra isomorphism Λ .

We begin with the case when Λ is orientation-preserving. By [14, Corollary 7.23], there exists an isomorphism ψ from the dull graph of \widetilde{M} to the dull graph of M such that

$\Lambda(\varepsilon_{\psi(\tilde{F})}) = \varepsilon_{\tilde{F}}$ for each fixed component $\tilde{F} \subset \widetilde{M}^{S^1}$, where the classes $\varepsilon_{\tilde{F}}$ are defined as follows: Given a fixed component $\tilde{F} \subset \widetilde{M}^{S^1}$, let $\varepsilon_{\tilde{F}}$ denote the class whose restriction to \tilde{F} is the equivariant Euler class $e_{S^1}(\nu_{\tilde{F}})$ of the normal bundle to \tilde{F} , and whose restriction to every other fixed component is 0. We define ε_F for a fixed component $F \subset M^{S^1}$ analogously. Here, if $\tilde{F} = \{p\}$ is an isolated fixed point, we take the natural (symplectic) orientation on $\nu(\tilde{F}) = T_p\widetilde{M}$, while if \tilde{F} is a surface, we orient the normal bundle $\nu(\tilde{F})$ to \tilde{F} so that S^1 acts on $\nu(\tilde{F})_p$ with weight $+1$ for all $p \in \tilde{F}$. Moreover, if the minimal fixed component of \widetilde{M} is a surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$ then we may assume that $\psi(\tilde{\Sigma})$ is a minimal fixed surface, by replacing ω by $-\omega$ if necessary.

Let's now also assume that $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. By Theorem 1.2 there exists an orientation-preserving S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphism $h: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ inducing the isomorphism ψ of dull graphs; in particular, $h(\tilde{F}) = \psi(\tilde{F})$ for all fixed components $\tilde{F} \subset \widetilde{M}^{S^1}$. Since h is an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism, this implies that

$$h^*(\varepsilon_{\psi(\tilde{F})}) = \varepsilon_{\tilde{F}} = \Lambda(\varepsilon_{\psi(\tilde{F})})$$

for all fixed components $\tilde{F} \subset \widetilde{M}^{S^1}$. Hence, by the uniqueness claim in the first statement in [14, Theorem 7.22], we must have

$$h^*|_{H_{S^1}^{2*}(M; \mathbb{Z})} = \Lambda|_{H_{S^1}^{2*}(M; \mathbb{Z})}.$$

Therefore, since $H^{2*+1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by Lemma 5.1(i), we may conclude that $h^* = \Lambda$.

Now suppose instead that $H_{S^1}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, and let

$$R \subset H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R} \subset H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$$

be the subrings generated by elements of degree 1. Then, by Lemma 5.1(ii), the minimal fixed components of M and \widetilde{M} are positive genus surfaces Σ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$, respectively. Moreover, the restriction maps

$$H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{S^1}^*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Z})$$

restrict to isomorphisms between R and $H^*(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ and between \tilde{R} and $H^*(\tilde{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Z})$, respectively. Since Λ restricts to an isomorphism from R to \tilde{R} , this implies that Λ induces an isomorphism in ordinary cohomology

$$\lambda: H^*(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\tilde{\Sigma}; \mathbb{Z})$$

such that

$$\lambda(\alpha|_{\Sigma}) = \Lambda(\alpha)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$$

for all $\alpha \in R \subset H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, since Λ is orientation-preserving and $\Lambda(\varepsilon_{\Sigma}) = \varepsilon_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$,

$$(5.4) \quad \int_M \varepsilon_{\Sigma} \alpha = \int_{\tilde{M}} \varepsilon_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \Lambda(\alpha)$$

for all $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Since Σ is the minimal fixed component of M , the symplectic orientation on Σ and the orientation on $\nu(\Sigma)$ described in the first paragraph combine to give the natural (symplectic) orientation on $TM|_{\Sigma}$. A similar claim applies to $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \widetilde{M}$. Hence, by (5.4), the definition of ε_{Σ} , and the Atiyah-Bott and Berline-Vergne localization theorem,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \alpha|_{\Sigma} = \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \Lambda(\alpha)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$$

for all $\alpha \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Since the restriction map from $R \subset H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^*(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective, this implies that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \beta = \int_{\widetilde{\Sigma}} \lambda(\beta)$$

for any $\beta \in H^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$; in other words, λ is orientation preserving. Hence, by Lemma 5.2, there exists an orientation-preserving equivariant diffeomorphism $h: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ inducing the isomorphism of dull graphs ψ and such that $(h|_{\widetilde{\Sigma}})^* = \lambda$. As in the previous paragraph, we can now use [14, Theorem 7.22] to deduce from the fact that the equivariant diffeomorphism h induces the isomorphism ψ that $h^*|_{H_{S^1}^{2*}(M)} = \Lambda|_{H_{S^1}^{2*}(M)}$. Since $(h|_{\widetilde{\Sigma}})^* = \lambda$, Lemma 5.1(ii) implies that $h^*|_{H_{S^1}^1(M)} = \Lambda|_{H_{S^1}^1(M)}$. By Lemma 5.1(i), together these imply that $h^* = \Lambda$.

Finally, we turn to the case that Λ is orientation-reversing. By [14, Proposition 7.26], this implies that $\dim H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \dim H^2(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 2$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.3, there is an orientation-reversing equivariant diffeomorphism g from M to itself. By definition, $g^* \circ \Lambda$ is orientation-preserving. So by the previous paragraphs there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism $h: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ such that $h^* = g^* \circ \Lambda$. Hence, $(g^{-1} \circ h)^* = \Lambda$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ahara and A. Hattori, *4-dimensional symplectic S^1 -manifolds admitting moment map*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **38** (1991), no. 2, 251–298.
- [2] M. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), no. 1, 1–15.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 1, 1–28.
- [4] M. Audin, *Hamiltoniens périodiques sur les variétés symplectiques compactes de dimension 4*, Géométrie symplectique et mécanique (La Grande Motte, 1988), Lecture Notes in Math. **1416**, Springer, Berlin, 1990, 1–25.
- [5] N. Berline and M. Vergne, *Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **295** (1982), no. 9, 539–541.
- [6] S. Choi, M. Masuda, and D. Y. Suh, *Rigidity problems in toric topology, a survey*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **275** (2011), no. 1, 177–190.
- [7] M. W. Davis, *When are two Coxeter orbifolds diffeomorphic?*, Mich. Math. J. **63** (2014), 401–421.
- [8] T. Delzant, *Hamiltoniens périodiques et image convexe de l’application moment*, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **116** (1988), 315–339.
- [9] B. Farb and D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Vol. **49**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [10] R. Fintushel, *Circle actions on simply connected 4-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **230** (1977), 147–171.
- [11] R. Fintushel, *Classification of circle actions on 4-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **242** (1978), 377–390.
- [12] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 3, 491–513.
- [13] A. Higashitani, K. Kurimoto, M. Masuda, *Cohomological rigidity for toric Fano manifolds of small dimensions or large Picard numbers*, Osaka J. Math. **59** (2022) no. 1, 177–215.
- [14] T. S. Holm and L. Kessler, *Equivariant cohomology of a complexity-one four-manifold is determined by combinatorial data*. Preprint arXiv:1912.05647.
- [15] Y. Karshon, *Periodic Hamiltonian flows on four dimensional manifolds*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **672** (1999).
- [16] Y. Karshon and E. Lerman, *Non-Compact Symplectic Toric Manifolds*, Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **11** (2015), Paper 055, 37 pp.
- [17] Y. Karshon and S. Tolman, *Topology of complexity one quotients*, Pac. J. Math. **308** (2020), no. 2, 332–346.

- [18] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984
- [19] M. Masuda, *Equivariant cohomology distinguishes toric manifolds*. Adv. Math. **218** (2008) no. 6, 2005–2012.
- [20] M. Masuda and D.Y. Suh, *Classification problems of toric manifolds via topology*, 273–286, Toric topology, Contemp. Math. **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [21] H. Süß, *Orbit spaces of maximal torus actions on oriented Grassmannians of planes*. **Interactions with lattice polytopes**, 335–349. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., **386**.
- [22] D. McDuff and S. Tolman, **Topological Properties of Hamiltonian Circle Actions** IMRP **2006**, Article ID 72826, Pages 1–77.
- [23] S. Tolman and J. Weitsman, **On the cohomology rings of Hamiltonian T -spaces**, Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar, 251–258, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **196**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853-4201, USA
Email address: tara.holm@cornell.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA,
 ISRAEL
Email address: lkessler@math.haifa.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, USA
Email address: tolman@illinois.edu