

A Comprehensive Review on Traffic Datasets and Simulators for Autonomous Vehicles

Supriya Sarker, Brent Maples, and Weizi Li

Abstract—Autonomous driving has rapidly developed and shown promising performance due to recent advances in hardware and deep learning techniques. High-quality datasets are fundamental for developing reliable autonomous driving algorithms. Previous dataset surveys either focused on a limited number or lacked detailed investigation of dataset characteristics. Besides, we analyze the annotation processes, existing labeling tools, and the annotation quality of datasets, showing the importance of establishing a standard annotation pipeline. On the other hand, we thoroughly analyze the impact of geographical and adversarial environmental conditions on the performance of autonomous driving systems. Moreover, we exhibit the data distribution of several vital datasets and discuss their pros and cons accordingly.

Additionally, this paper provides a comprehensive analysis of publicly available traffic simulators. In addition to informing about traffic datasets, it is also the goal of this paper to provide context and information on the current capabilities of traffic simulators for their specific contributions to autonomous vehicle simulation and development. Furthermore, this paper discusses future directions and the increasing importance of synthetic data generation in simulators to enhance the training and creation of effective simulations. Finally, we discuss the current challenges and the development trend of future autonomous driving datasets.

I. INTRODUCTION

The field of transportation is experiencing a profound transformation, largely driven by the advent of self-driving vehicles. This revolution extends beyond mere technological innovation; it promises to redefine the entire landscape of mobility, safety, and urban planning. These rapid advancements in autonomous driving rely heavily on extensive datasets, which help autonomous driving systems be robust and reliable in complex driving environments [1]. Autonomous vehicles (AV) are equipped with sophisticated sensors and powered by artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms. These systems are designed to replace human drivers and enhance overall efficiency. However, despite these advancements, autonomous vehicles are not yet fully capable of eliminating accidents associated with human error. Incidents involving major companies like Tesla, Uber, and Waymo have underscored the persistent challenges and limitations of AI technologies, particularly in complex, real-world driving scenarios. The architecture of autonomous driving technology typically encompasses three main modules: environmental perception, decision making,

and motion control [2], [3]. The decision-making module, in particular, plays a crucial role, akin to a driver's brain, and its effectiveness is vital for safe vehicle operation [4].

In recent years, there has been a significant increase in the quality and variety of autonomous driving datasets. The motivation for this enhancement is twofold. Firstly, improved datasets facilitate the development of more accurate and sophisticated algorithms capable of handling a wider range of driving scenarios. Secondly, these datasets serve as a foundational component for researchers and engineers to innovate and refine autonomous driving technologies. By expanding the scope and diversity of these datasets, the field can accelerate the advancement of autonomous vehicle capabilities, ultimately leading to safer and more reliable systems.

Traffic datasets and simulators are interrelated in several crucial ways that significantly impact the advancement of autonomous driving technologies. Traffic simulators rely on real-world datasets to accurately model driving environments, incorporating diverse road conditions, traffic patterns, and driver behaviors. This integration ensures that the simulated scenarios closely mimic real-life situations, providing a realistic platform for testing and development. Conversely, simulators can generate synthetic datasets by creating virtual scenarios that are rare or difficult to capture in reality. These synthetic datasets augment existing real-world data, offering a more comprehensive set of scenarios for training and evaluating AV systems. Real-world data enhances simulation accuracy, and simulation-generated data enriches real-world datasets. By intertwining datasets and simulators, researchers can accelerate the development and validation of autonomous driving algorithms, leading to more robust, safe, and reliable AV systems that effectively bridge the gap between virtual simulations and real-world driving conditions.

The main goal of this work is to investigate the development of traffic datasets and simulators in the field of autonomous driving. By providing a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the dynamics of autonomous systems, researchers can address current limitations and push the boundaries of what these technologies can achieve.

The remaining paper is organized as follows. In section II, a taxonomy of AV traffic dataset regarding its main modules - perception, localization, prediction, planning and control is proposed. The state-of-the-art datasets in these areas are discussed in sections III-A, III-B, and III-C, respectively. We discussed traffic simulators in section V. Open research challenges and future directions are discussed in section VI. Finally, section VII concludes the paper.

Supriya Sarker, Brent Maples, and Weizi Li are with the Min H. Kao Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA {ssarker8, bmaples6}@vols.utk.edu, weizili@utk.edu




Fig. 1: Year-wise Distribution of Reviewed Papers




Fig. 4: 1993-2024 Year-wise Distribution of Reviewed Simulators




Fig. 2: Domain-wise Distribution of AV Datasets




Fig. 3: Distribution of Used Methods in Simulators

II. TAXONOMY OF TRAFFIC DATASET AND SIMULATOR

The overall working framework of autonomous vehicles (AV) can be grouped into five modules. Fig. 6 demonstrates the framework of AV. We grouped the AV traffic dataset based on each module shown in AV framework. Some datasets fall into multiple groups, so for ease of discussion, we distributed the papers into three groups based on the tasks performed- (i) perception and localization, (ii) prediction, and (iii) plan and control. In Table I and Table II, a year-wise paper distribution is listed for perception and localization described in section III-A, prediction in section III-B, plan and control described in section III-C, respectively. A list of additional traffic datasets has been listed in Table III, IV and V. The year-wise frequency of papers is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

The traffic simulators used for AV research are categorized based on three main categories: (i) perception and sensor-focused simulators, (ii) scenario-based simulators, and (iii) traffic and mobility simulators. By organizing the simulators in this way, we aim to clarify the specific contributions of each type to AV simulation and development. Each simulator has multiple functionalities spanning from MARL, real data imports, and other specialized features such as LIDAR support and 2D/3D rendering. Fig. 3 shows the range of capabilities that the simulators have to offer. All simulators mentioned are data reliant, whether that be because of being pretrained on datasets or allowing for the inclusion of new data in its simulation. Additionally, Fig. 5 outlines the typical framework for building traffic simulators and the importance that data plays within them.

Additionally, the year-by-year breakdown of released traffic simulators is shown in Fig. 4. A list of all relevant simulators is shown on Table VI and VII.

III. AUTONOMOUS DRIVING DATASET

A. Perception and Localization

Perception focuses on understanding the environment based on the sensory data, while localization determines the autonomous vehicle's position within that environment. object detection aims to identify the locations and classification of other entities within the driving environment. Although the detection technologies have significantly advanced, several challenges remain, such as object occlusions, varying light conditions, and diverse object appearances.

1) Onboard:

- KITTI [5] dataset is one of the most significant datasets for decision-making in urban driving scenarios, offering extensive data for 3D reconstruction, detection, tracking, and visual odometry. It includes videos capturing the movements of pedestrians, vehicles, and cyclists alongside the car. Since its release in 2012, KITTI has played a key role in advancing the field of autonomous driving. It gathers data from various sensors like cameras, LiDAR, and GPS/IMU, which helps in developing algorithms for object detection, tracking, and depth estimation. However, the data from KITTI was primarily collected under ideal weather conditions




Fig. 5: Framework of Simulators




Fig. 6: Framework of Autonomous Vehicles

in German cities. This limitation in geographic and environmental diversity may restrict its applicability in real-world settings across different regions.

- BDD100K [6] known for its considerable size and diversity, contains 100,000 city driving scene videos, each approximately 40 seconds long. This dataset encompasses a broad spectrum of geographic, environmental, and weather conditions, including different times of the day, significantly enhancing its utility for testing and refining the robustness of image recognition algorithms in autonomous driving. BDD100K was meticulously assembled to support advancements in image recognition technologies. It includes GPS/IMU recordings to accurately track driving trajectories and offers a multiple object tracking (MOT) dataset comprising 2,000 videos with around 400,000 frames in total. The dataset facilitates comprehensive autonomous driving research with benchmarks across ten tasks: image tagging, lane detection, drivable area segmentation, road object detection, semantic segmentation, instance segmentation, multi-object detection tracking, multi-object segmentation tracking, domain adaptation, and imitation learning. Moreover, the dataset was trained using Dilated Residual Networks (DRN) and evaluated against other benchmarks like Cityscapes and Faster-RCNN based on ResNet-50, with results assessed using the COCO API. Despite its strengths, BDD100K faces challenges related to the varying quality of video annotations, which can affect the dataset’s applicability in real-world scenarios. This highlights the ongoing need for consistent, high-

quality annotations to ensure the effectiveness of algorithms under all operational conditions.

- Argoverse Building on its predecessor, Argoverse 1 [7], Argoverse 2 [8] offers a more diversified and complex array of driving scenarios, making it one of the most comprehensive autonomous driving taxonomies currently available. This enhanced version captures a variety of real-world driving situations across six cities under different conditions, greatly expanding its utility and scope. Argoverse 2 supports a broad array of crucial tasks, including 3D object detection, semantic segmentation, and tracking. Addressing these constraints by including more adversarial conditions and edge cases could further broaden its practical applications in the field.
- Waymo [9] dataset, introduced in 2019, has significantly advanced research in autonomous driving by offering a new large-scale, high-quality, and diverse dataset. This dataset encompasses 1150 scenes, each spanning 20 seconds and featuring well-synchronized and calibrated high-quality LiDAR and camera data from various urban and suburban settings. Waymo’s dataset includes extensive multimodal sensory data with high-quality annotations, setting strong baselines for both 2D and 3D detection and tracking tasks. The data is thoroughly annotated with 2D (camera images) and 3D (LiDAR) bounding boxes, maintaining consistent identifiers across frames. This comprehensive coverage of diverse driving conditions and geographies is crucial for the robustness and generalizability of different tasks.

- SemanticKITTI [10] comprises over 43,000 LiDAR point cloud frames, positioning it as one of the most comprehensive datasets for 3D semantic segmentation in outdoor environments. The dataset includes precise labels for 28 categories, such as cars, roads, and buildings, establishing a robust benchmark for semantic segmentation. However, similar to other datasets, SemanticKITTI encounters limitations in real-world applicability due to its restricted environmental diversity and geographical scope. The authors annotated all sequences of the KITTI Vision Odometry Benchmark, providing dense point-wise annotations for the complete 360-degree field-of-view of the automotive LiDAR used. They propose three benchmark tasks based on this dataset: (i) semantic segmentation of point clouds using a single scan, (ii) semantic segmentation using multiple past scans, and (iii) semantic scene completion, which involves anticipating the semantic scene in the future. Baseline experiments are provided, demonstrating the need for more sophisticated models to efficiently tackle these tasks. This dataset not only fosters the development of more advanced methods but also offers ample data to explore new research directions.
 - nuScenes [11] stands out as a pivotal contribution to the field of autonomous driving by offering a comprehensive multimodal sensor setup that includes LiDAR, radars, and cameras. This setup is particularly effective in capturing the diversity of urban scenes and varying environmental conditions. It is the first dataset to incorporate the full sensor suite essential for autonomous vehicles, which includes six cameras, five radars, and one LiDAR, all providing a 360-degree field of view. nuScenes includes 1000 scenes, each lasting 20 seconds and thoroughly annotated with 3D bounding boxes for 23 classes and 8 attributes. The dataset contains seven times as many annotations and one hundred times as many images as the earlier KITTI dataset, marking a significant expansion in data richness. The researchers have introduced novel 3D detection and tracking metrics, provided detailed dataset analyses, and established baselines for LiDAR and image-based detection and tracking tasks.
 - Cityscapes [12] consists of a vast collection of images captured in complex urban environments and has established itself as a standard benchmark for semantic segmentation. It provides pixel-level segmentation with detailed labeling for 30 object classes, including various vehicle types, pedestrians, roads, and traffic signs. However, Cityscapes primarily focuses on German cities and lacks diverse weather conditions, which limits its utility for developing robust and generalized solutions for autonomous driving. The Cityscapes dataset serves as a benchmark suite designed to train and test both pixel-level and instance-level semantic labeling approaches. The dataset features a large and diverse set of stereo video sequences recorded on the streets of 50 different cities. Of these, 5000 images have high-quality pixel-level annotations, while an additional 20,000 images feature coarse annotations, which are useful for methods that leverage large volumes of weakly-labeled data. This effort surpasses previous attempts in terms of dataset size, annotation richness, scene variability, and complexity. An accompanying empirical study offers an in-depth analysis of the dataset characteristics and evaluates the performance of several state-of-the-art approaches based on this benchmark.
 - VIPER [13] is a synthetic dataset gathered from driving, riding, and walking perspectives within a realistic virtual world. It comprises over 250,000 video frames, all annotated with ground-truth data suitable for a variety of low- and high-level vision tasks. This dataset captures diverse weather conditions, lighting scenarios, and complex urban landscapes, rendering it an essential tool for evaluating the robustness of autonomous driving algorithms. However, the transition from synthetic data to real-world application poses significant challenges, as algorithms need to overcome the domain gap to remain effective in actual environments. The VIPER benchmark includes more than 250,000 high-resolution video frames, meticulously annotated for both low-level and high-level vision tasks. These tasks include optical flow, semantic instance segmentation, object detection and tracking, object-level 3D scene layout, and visual odometry. Comprehensive ground-truth data is available for every frame, collected across a total of 184 kilometers driven, ridden, and walked under varied ambient conditions in a realistic virtual setting. This extensive and detailed dataset aids in developing and testing algorithms across multiple vision tasks in simulated environments.
- 2) V2X:
- TUMTraf [14] integrates multiple data modalities such as RGB cameras, event-based cameras, LiDAR, GPS, and IMU, offering unique perspectives from both infrastructure and vehicles. This setup facilitates research in cooperative perception. Notably, TUMTraf includes critical edge cases such as accidents, near-miss events, and traffic violations, making it an invaluable resource for enhancing perception systems. TUMTraf-V2X is a perception dataset designed for cooperative 3D object detection and tracking tasks. It encompasses 2,000 labeled point clouds and 5,000 labeled images collected from five roadside and four onboard sensors. The dataset features 30,000 3D boxes with track IDs and includes precise GPS and IMU data. It also covers a range of challenging driving scenarios, including traffic violations, near-miss events, overtaking, and U-turns, all labeled across nine categories to address occlusions and complex maneuvers.
 - DAIR-V2X Dataset [15] has been released, marking the first large-scale, multi-modality, multi-view dataset from real scenarios for Vehicle-Infrastructure Cooperative Autonomous Driving (VICAD). Comprising 71,254

LiDAR frames and 71,254 camera frames, DAIR-V2X captures all frames from real-world scenes with 3D annotations. DAIR-V2X stands as a pioneering resource in Vehicle-Infrastructure Cooperative Autonomous Driving, offering extensive, multi-modality, real-world data. The dataset is specifically designed to address challenges such as the temporal asynchrony between vehicle and infrastructure sensors, and the data transmission costs inherent in cooperative systems. DAIR-V2X has been crucial in advancing vehicle-infrastructure cooperation, establishing benchmarks for tackling V2X perception tasks.

3) UAV:

- UAVDT [16] A new UAV benchmark has been developed, focusing on complex urban scenarios with unique challenges. From 10 hours of raw video footage, about 80,000 frames have been selected and fully annotated with bounding boxes and up to 14 kinds of attributes, such as weather conditions, flying altitude, camera views, vehicle categories, and levels of occlusion. This dataset supports three fundamental computer vision tasks: object detection, single object tracking, and multiple object tracking. The UAVDT benchmark is tailored for UAV-based object detection and tracking, featuring high-density urban scenes with small objects and significant camera motion. These conditions pose substantial challenges to current state-of-the-art methods in computer vision.
- DroneVehicle [17] dataset, a significant advancement in drone-based technologies, comprises 28,439 RGB-Infrared image pairs. This large-scale dataset is specifically designed for object detection, particularly under low-illumination conditions. It encompasses a diverse array of scenarios, including urban roads, residential areas, and parking lots, from day to night. The cross-modal images in this dataset provide complementary information that enhances vehicle detection, although they also include some redundant data. DroneVehicle's unique drone perspective and comprehensive coverage across varied conditions mark a notable development in the field of autonomous driving technologies.

B. Prediction

Prediction refers to forecasting the future states or actions of surrounding agents. This capacity ensures safer navigation in dynamic environments.

1) *Trajectory Prediction:* Predicting the trajectories of other participants in transportation is a critical component of autonomous driving technology, leading to the development of several relevant datasets. Based on time-series data from sensors like cameras and LiDARs, trajectory prediction pertains to anticipating the future paths or movement patterns of other entities [58], such as pedestrians, cyclists, or other vehicles. The Argoverse dataset is particularly useful for 3D tracking and motion forecasting in complex driving scenarios such as intersections, turns, and lane changes in heavy traffic.

Expanding on this, the Argoverse 2 dataset includes a broader range of objects, increasing from 17 to 30 categories, and adds objects like strollers and wheelchairs to provide a more diverse set of data for developing trajectory prediction algorithms. Additionally, the PREVENTION dataset utilizes multiple sensor modalities to capture redundant and complementary data, labeling all actions observed in scenes. This dataset is instrumental in advancing research on trajectory prediction, especially in situations where there is significant interaction among vehicles and other road users.

2) *Behavior Prediction:* Behavior prediction anticipates the potential actions of other road users [59], e.g., whether a vehicle will change the lane. Training behavior prediction models rely on extensive annotated data due to entities' vast range of potential actions within various scenarios. In data-driven approaches to autonomous driving, behavioral strategy learning is typically achieved using classification machine learning methods. These methods categorize vehicle actions into discrete behaviors such as turns, lane shifts, and deceleration, rather than mapping out continuous movements. Learning to make driving decisions is heavily reliant on how these behaviors are annotated in datasets. For instance, the D2CAV dataset [42] labels various driving actions into distinct behaviors and ensures a balanced representation of these behaviors to reflect real-world driving scenarios accurately. On the other hand, the HDD dataset [32] introduces a four-layer annotation structure, and the ROAD dataset [60] uses a triplet structure for road events, which provide detailed context in terms of antecedents and consequences. This additional detail helps models learn more precise behavioral strategies and enhances their ability to interpret data. Additionally, the Brain4Cars dataset [28] integrates data on the driver's condition along with information about the road environment, facilitating the development of models that can make informed behavior decisions by considering multiple factors simultaneously.

For behavioral strategy learning to be effective, it's crucial that datasets not only mirror real-world conditions closely but also include detailed annotations and data processing. This enhances the interpretability of the algorithms, enabling them to make more accurate and reliable decisions based on the observed data.

3) *Intention Prediction:* Intention prediction focuses on inferring the higher-level goals behind the actions of objects, involving a deeper semantic comprehension of the physical or mental activities of humans [61]. Because of the task's complexity, it requires data from perception sensors like cameras, traffic signals, and hand gestures. Understanding the intentions of traffic participants is crucial for decision-making in autonomous and assistive driving systems. Several datasets have been developed to identify or predict these intentions, particularly those of pedestrians and vehicles. One such dataset, the PIE dataset [33], includes extensive video resources and models specifically aimed at estimating pedestrian intentions and predicting their trajectories. It focuses on pedestrians who are likely to interact with the vehicle (ego vehicle), with their behaviors and intentions

TABLE I: Perception and Localization dataset for Autonomous Vehicles. Semantic Segmentation (SS), domain adaptation (DA), behavior prediction (BP), imitative planning (IP), trajectory forecasting (TF), Cooperative Perception (CP), Multi-object tracking (MOT)

Year	Dataset	Size	Domain	Weather	Scenario	Task
2009	OIRDS [18]	1k images	Others	–	urban, desert, wooded	vehicle detection
2012	KITTI [5]	80K frames	Onboard	–	urban, rural, highways	3D OD, visual odometry
2014	Pascal3D+ [19]	around 36k	Others	–	wild	3D OD, pose estimation
2014	VEDAI [20]	1,240 images	Others	–	rural, semi-urban	vehicle detection
2016	Cityscapes [12]	25K	Onboard	Daytime (spring, summer, fall)	urban	OD, SS
2016	Campus [21]	929.5k	UAV	–	–	pedestrian detection, action detection
2016	UAV123 [22]	110k	UAV	–	Urban, semi-urban, marina	aerial tracking, trajectory prediction
2017	Mapillary Vistas [23]	25K	Others	sunny, rainy, cloudy, foggy, snowy	urban, countryside, off-road scenes	SS
2017	VIPER [13]	254,064	Onboard	day, night, sunny, rainy, snowy	urban	OD, SS, visual odometry
2017	Okutama [24]	77.4k	UAV	sunny, cloudy	–	action detection
2017	CARPK [25]	90k	UAV	–	urban (car parking)	OD
2018	UAVDT [16]	80K	UAV	daylight, night, fog	urban, highway	OD, OT
2019	SemanticKITTI [10]	43,552	Onboard	–	urban, countryside, highway	SS, OD, and scene parsing
2019	Argoverse 1 [7]	300K	Onboard	–	urban	TF, map automation, SS
2019	Waymo [9]	230K	Onboard	–	urban	OD, OT, SS, DA, BP, IP
2020	nuScenes [11]	40K	Onboard	sun, rain and clouds, day, night	urban, rural	OD, OT, prediction, localization
2020	BDD100K [6]	12M	Onboard	clear, overcast, rainy, snowy, cloudy, foggy	urban, highway	MOT, SS
2020	NightOwls [26]	279k	Onboard	night	–	pedestrian detection
2021	DAIR-V2X [15]	71,254	V2X	–	urban, highway	CP
2022	DroneVehicle [17]	28,439	UAV	day to night	urban, rural, highway	OD
2023	Argoverse 2 [27]	6M	Onboard	–	urban	3D OT, motion forecasting, perception
2024	TUMTraf [14]	5k targets	V2X	–	urban, highway	CP, 3D OD

annotated by human experts. Advancements in natural language processing have also led to the creation of the PSI dataset [62]. This dataset records text-based explanations of drivers’ understanding of scenes and their reasoning processes. These explanations connect important visual scene features with human decision-making, supporting the development of algorithms that can explain and mimic human-like pedestrian intention analysis and prediction. In contrast to focusing solely on pedestrians, the JAAD dataset [63] includes data for analyzing the behaviors of all road users around the vehicle. Additionally, the HDD dataset [32], with its four-layer annotation structure, facilitates the exploration of interactions between drivers and other traffic participants, using cause-and-effect labels. These datasets collectively enhance our ability to develop more sophisticated and intuitive driving assistance technologies.

C. Planning and Control

Planning and control datasets are fundamental in advancing the development of driving systems. These datasets are crucial for predicting traffic dynamics, pedestrian behavior, and other key factors that affect driving decisions. We provide detailed analyses of several high-impact datasets relevant to these tasks, evaluating them based on data size, modalities, and the number of citations. We have organized these datasets into categories that are task-specific and those

that are suitable for multiple tasks.

1) Task-Specific Datasets:

- highD [30]

Scenario-based testing is recognized as an effective method for the safety validation of highly automated vehicles, a technique that is under active investigation by both research and industry sectors. This approach significantly depends on real-world data to extract essential scenario information needed for testing. Traditional measurement techniques often fall short in capturing authentic road user behaviors or providing comprehensive, high-quality data. To address these gaps, a novel method employing aerial perspective measurements has been developed to enhance scenario-based validation, ensuring the capture of naturalistic behavior and all relevant data in adequate quality. The highD dataset, gathered via drone-based observations on German highways, exemplifies this new method. It comprises a substantial collection of naturalistic vehicle trajectories, featuring post-processed data from 110,000 cars and trucks. This dataset spans 16.5 hours of measurements from six different locations, documenting a total of 45,000 kilometers driven and 5,600 complete lane changes. The highD dataset is meticulously evaluated for its quantity, variety, and the scenarios it contains, designed to overcome the limitations of previous measurement

TABLE II: Prediction, Planning and Control dataset for Autonomous Vehicles

Year	Dataset	Size	Domain	Weather	Scenario	Task
2015	Brain4Cars [28]	2M frames	Others	–	urban/semi-urban	Maneuver Anticipation
2017	JAAD [29]	82K frames	Onboard	sunny, rainy, cloudy, snowy	urban	pedestrian IT
2018	highD [30]	45K km distance	UAV	sunny	highway	TP
2018	Dr(eye)ve [31]	500K frames	Onboard	sunny, rainy, cloudy	urban, countryside, highway	DAP
2018	HDD [32]	104 hours data	Onboard	–	suburban, urban, highway	driver behavior, causal reasoning
2019	PIE [33]	293K frames	onboard	sunny, overcast	urban	pedestrian IT
2019	INTERACTION [34]	14867 trajectories	UAV, V2X	–	urban, highway, (un)signalized intersection	MPlan and MP, DM
2019	BLVD [35]	120K frames	Onboard	–	urban, highway	4D OT, 5D event recognition
2019	round [36]	13,746 road users	UAV	Sunny	(sub-)urban	scenario classification, BP
2019	PREVENTION [37]	356 mins video	onboard	–	highway, urban areas	IP, TP
2019	DrivingStereo [38]	180K images	onboard	sunny, rainy, cloudy, foggy, dusky	suburban, urban, highway	trajectory planning
2019	USyd [39]	24K trajectories	onboard	–	5 urban intersections	Drivers' IT
2019	Argoverse [7]	300K trajectories	onboard	variety	urban	TP
2019	Drive&Act [40]	9.6M images	others	–	–	DBR
2019	DbNet [41]	100 km distance	onboard	–	–	DBR
2020	D ² CAV [42]	–	onboard	–	–	Behavioral strategy
2020	inD [43]	11.5K trajectories	UAV	4 urban intersections	–	road user prediction
2020	PeScenes [44]	719 tracks	Onboard	–	–	pedestrian BP
2020	openDD [45]	84,774 trajectories	UAV	–	7 roundabouts	pedestrian BP
2021	Lyft Level 5 [46]	1.1K hours data	UAV	–	suburban	MPlan, MP
2021	nuPlan [47]	1.5K hours data	UAV	–	4 cities	MPlan
2021	LOKI [48]	644 scenarios	onboard	variety	(sub-)urban	TP, BP
2021	DriPE [49]	10K pictures	others	–	–	DBR
2021	Speak2label [50]	586 videos	others	sunny, cloudy	–	DAP
2022	CoCAtt [51]	11.9 hours	Onboard	–	countryside	DAP
2022	SceNDD [52]	68 driving scenes	onboard	–	urban	MPlan, MP
2022	exiD [53]	16 hours data	UAV	sunny	7 locations on highway	TP
2022	MONA [54]	702K trajectories	UAV	sunny, overcast, rain	urban	TP
2023	DeepAccident [55]	57K frames	V2X	sunny, rainy, cloudy, wet	synthetic	MP, accident prediction
2023	V2X-Seq [56]	50K cooperative, 80K infrastructure, 80K vehicle scenarios	V2X	–	28 urban intersections	online/offline VIC TP
2024	Occ3D-nuScenes [57]	40K frames	onboard	–	–	–
2024	Occ3D-Waymo [57]	200K frames	onboard	–	–	–

techniques. However, it is important to note that the highD recordings were made under ideal weather conditions. This limitation restricts its applicability in adverse weather scenarios, highlighting an area for potential enhancement in future data collection efforts.

- PIE [33]

Anticipating pedestrian behavior is a critical challenge in developing assistive and autonomous driving systems tailored for urban settings. Such systems must be capable of understanding the intentions or motives of pedestrians and predicting their forthcoming actions. Until recently, only a limited number of public datasets were available for studying pedestrian behavior prediction within the context of intelligent driving systems. The introduction of the Pedestrian Intention Estimation (PIE) dataset marks a substantial advancement in this field. This novel, large-scale dataset is specifically designed for the estimation of pedestrian intentions and

prediction of their future trajectories. It was developed based on extensive human experiments conducted to gather reference data on pedestrian intentions in traffic scenarios. Models developed from the PIE dataset can estimate pedestrian crossing intentions with an accuracy of 79%, and the trajectory prediction algorithm surpasses existing methods by 26%. The PIE dataset includes over six hours of driving footage recorded in downtown Toronto, captured under various lighting conditions. It features detailed annotations necessary for perception and visual reasoning tasks, such as bounding boxes with occlusion flags, confidence levels of crossing intentions, and text labels describing pedestrian actions. This rich dataset not only improves understanding of pedestrian behavior but also enhances the ability of systems to combine observed motion with intention estimation, leading to better trajectory predictions.

- Argoverse [7]

The Argoverse dataset has been developed to enhance autonomous vehicle perception tasks such as 3D tracking and motion forecasting. This dataset incorporates sensor data from a fleet of autonomous vehicles operating in Pittsburgh and Miami, featuring comprehensive 3D tracking annotations, approximately 300,000 extracted vehicle trajectories, and detailed semantic maps. The sensor suite includes 360-degree imagery from seven cameras with overlapping fields of view, forward-facing stereo imagery, and 3D point clouds from long-range LiDAR, supported by 6-DOF pose data. Argoverse covers 290 kilometers of mapped lanes enriched with geometric and semantic metadata, such as lane direction, drivable areas, and ground height, which enhance the accuracy of 3D object tracking. This rich mapping data is instrumental in mining for extensive vehicle trajectories, establishing a robust benchmark for trajectory forecasting. The dataset's utility in 3D object tracking and motion forecasting extends to real-world applications, proving effective in urban settings. However, its performance across different geographical regions might vary, indicating a potential area for further exploration and adaptation. This dataset not only supports the current research and development in predictive systems but also invites further studies to explore the full potential of integrating rich maps into robotic perception. The effectiveness of Argoverse in various urban environments underscores its pivotal role in advancing autonomous vehicle technologies.

- nuPlan [47]
A comprehensive dataset, nuPlan, has been introduced as the world's first closed-loop machine learning-based planning benchmark in autonomous driving. This multi-modal dataset encompasses approximately 1,500 hours of human driving data collected from four cities across the US and Asia—Boston, Pittsburgh, Las Vegas, and Singapore. The dataset captures diverse traffic scenarios, including merges, lane changes, interactions with cyclists and pedestrians, and navigation through construction zones. The dynamic and interactive nature of the data in the nuPlan dataset allows for a more realistic evaluation of autonomous driving systems, supporting the development of adaptive and context-aware planning technologies.
- exiD [53]
The exiD dataset leverages camera-equipped drones to efficiently record traffic on the German Autobahn without vehicle-to-vehicle occlusion and without influencing the traffic flow. This method has proven to be efficient in terms of cumulative kilometers covered, establishing the dataset as a benchmark for addressing various research questions in autonomous driving. Specifically recorded at highway entries and exits, exiD captures a wide variety of interactions, especially those involving lane changes—a scenario notably absent in previous datasets but crucial for testing highly automated vehicles. The dataset encompasses data from 69,172 road users, cat-

egorized as cars, trucks, and vans, totaling more than 16 hours of high-quality traffic footage. Despite its comprehensive coverage of interactive highway scenarios, potential improvements for exiD could include extending the dataset to incorporate varying weather conditions and nighttime recordings, thereby enhancing its application and realism in autonomous vehicle development.

- MONA [54]
The Munich Motion Dataset of Natural Driving (MONA) encompasses an extensive collection of data, featuring 702,000 trajectories derived from 130 hours of video recordings. This dataset captures diverse traffic dynamics on urban multi-lane roads, an inner-city highway, and their transitional areas. MONA is noted for its high position accuracy, averaging 0.51 meters, which underscores the quality of data obtained using precise localization and LiDAR sensors. Despite its comprehensiveness, the dataset's collection from a singular city could pose limitations on its generalizability to different geographic contexts.

2) Multi-Task Datasets:

- INTERACTION [64]
Adversarial and Cooperative moTION dataset (INTERACTION dataset) is a comprehensive resource designed to support behavior-related research in fields such as motion prediction, planning, imitation learning, behavior modeling, and algorithm testing. This dataset provides a rich variety of interactive driving scenarios that include urban, highway, and ramp settings, as well as complex environments like roundabouts, signalized intersections, and multi-stop intersections. It captures motion data from various countries and continents, reflecting diverse driving styles and cultural behaviors, and includes highly interactive and complex driving behaviors ranging from aggressive and irrational decisions to cooperative and cautious maneuvers. The dataset also spans a wide range of criticality in driving operations, from safe practices to dangerous, near-collision maneuvers, and even includes slight real collisions. Accompanied by detailed semantic maps that provide comprehensive information on physical layers, reference lines, lane connections, and traffic rules, the INTERACTION dataset is invaluable for developing and validating traffic-related algorithms. However, its comprehensive scope is slightly limited by a lack of explicit consideration for varying environmental conditions, which could influence its effectiveness in diverse real-world applications.
- round [36]
The round dataset, which was collected using a drone equipped with a 4K resolution camera, captures extensive road user trajectories at three different roundabouts in Germany. This dataset is particularly valuable for behavior planning in automated vehicles due to the high density of interactions between various road users such

as cars, vans, trucks, buses, pedestrians, bicycles, and motorcycles. Over six hours of video were recorded, documenting more than 13,746 road users. The data includes detailed information on positions, headings, speeds, accelerations, and classifications of objects, all extracted using deep neural networks. This makes the round dataset crucial for scenario classification, road user behavior prediction, and driver modeling within the field of autonomous driving. It facilitates the study of naturalistic driving behaviors in public traffic, although the dataset's exclusive collection under clear weather conditions may limit the development of models that perform well in more challenging environmental situations.

- Lyft Level 5

Inspired by the transformative impact of large-scale datasets on machine learning systems, the Lyft Level 5 dataset has been introduced as one of the most comprehensive autonomous driving datasets for motion prediction available today. Accumulating over 1,000 hours of data, this dataset was gathered by a fleet of 20 autonomous vehicles operating on a fixed route in Palo Alto, California, over a span of four months. It comprises 170,000 scenes, each lasting 25 seconds, capturing detailed perception outputs of the self-driving system. These outputs precisely encode the positions and motions of nearby vehicles, cyclists, and pedestrians. Additionally, the dataset includes a high-definition semantic map featuring 15,242 labeled elements, along with a high-resolution aerial view of the area. This extensive collection supports multiple tasks essential to autonomous driving, such as motion forecasting, planning, and simulation. With its multimodal data and detailed annotations, the Lyft Level 5 dataset serves as a critical benchmark for prediction and planning in autonomous vehicle development. However, despite its breadth, the dataset has limitations in accurately representing scenarios involving uncommon traffic conditions or rare pedestrian behaviors, which may affect its application in less typical driving situations.

- LOKI [48]

Recent advances have underscored the importance of explicitly considering agents' intentions for accurately forecasting their movements in trajectory prediction studies. However, the applicability of current research to intelligent and safety-critical systems is limited, largely due to the scarcity of public datasets that typically focus only on pedestrian-specific intents within a short time frame and from a restricted egocentric perspective. In response to this, the LOKI dataset, which stands for Long Term and Key Intentions, has been developed as a large-scale resource aimed at addressing these shortcomings. LOKI is designed for joint trajectory and intention prediction involving heterogeneous traffic agents, such as pedestrians and vehicles, in an autonomous driving context. LOKI seeks to explore several factors that influence intention, including the

agent's own will, social interactions, environmental constraints, and contextual information. It provides a multidimensional view of traffic scenarios, incorporating camera images alongside corresponding LiDAR point clouds. This makes LOKI a highly versatile dataset that fills a crucial gap in multi-agent trajectory and intention prediction, offering a rich and diverse data resource that is vital for the development of intelligent and safety-critical systems in the community.

- DeepAccident [55]

The DeepAccident dataset, generated through a realistic simulator, offers a large-scale resource containing diverse accident scenarios commonly encountered in real-world driving. This synthetic dataset, which is the first of its kind to provide direct and explainable safety evaluation metrics for autonomous vehicles, includes 57,000 annotated frames and 285,000 annotated samples. This is approximately seven times the volume of the nuScenes dataset, which contains 40,000 annotated samples. DeepAccident supports end-to-end motion and accident prediction, crucial for collision avoidance and enhancing vehicular safety. Additionally, its multimodal nature makes it adaptable for various Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) perception tasks, including 3D object detection, tracking, and Bird's Eye View (BEV) semantic segmentation. The dataset's incorporation of various environmental conditions also improves its generalizability. However, the performance of models trained on DeepAccident in actual driving scenarios requires further investigation due to potential domain adaptation issues.

The advent of autonomous vehicles presents new opportunities for traffic control and optimization. The data sets mentioned above could be leveraged to enhance the learning, planning, and robustness capabilities of robotic vehicles [216]–[221]. In addition, in the foreseeable future, mixed traffic where human-driven vehicles (HVs) and robot vehicles (RVs) coexist would be the dominant transportation mode. Recent studies have shown that RVs have the potential to significantly improve the efficiency of the traffic system [222]–[229]. The data sets discussed could be further explored to advance state-of-the-art mixed traffic research.

IV. ANNOTATIONS OF AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES TRAFFIC DATASET

The effectiveness and dependability of autonomous driving algorithms depend significantly on not just the quantity of data, but also the quality of annotations. In this section, the method used for data annotation is initially discussed. Furthermore, key factors critical to ensuring the quality of annotations are analyzed. Various autonomous driving tasks necessitate distinct types of annotations. For instance, object detection tasks utilize bounding box labels for each instance, while segmentation relies on annotations at the pixel or point level. Meanwhile, trajectory prediction demands continuously labeled trajectories. Additionally, the annotation

TABLE III: List of Additional Autonomous Vehicles Dataset (Part I)

Year	Dataset	Size	Domain	Type	Source Type	Task
2007	ETH Ped [65]	2,293 frames	onboard	Temporal	Real	Pedestrian Detection
2009	TUD-Brussels [66]	1,600 image pair	onboard		Real	Pedestrian Detection
2009	Collective activity [67]	44 short videos	Others	Temporal	Real	Human Activity Recognition
2011	San Francisco Landmark [68]	150K panoramic images	Others		Real	Landmark Identification
2011	Daimler Stereo Ped [69]	30k images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Cyclist Detection
2011	BelgiumTS [70]	13K traffic sign annotations	Onboard		Real	Traffic Sign Detection
2011	Stanford Tack [71]	14K tracks	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Classification
2012	TME Motorway [72]	30K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD, OT
2013	MSLU [73]	36.8 km distances	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SLAM
2013	SydneyUrbanObject [74]	588 object scans	Onboard		Real	Classification
2013	Ground Truth SitXel [75]	78,500 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	stereo confidence
2013	NYC3DCars [76]	2K images	Onboard		Real	OD
2013	AMUSE [77]	117,440 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SLAM
2013	Daimler Ped [78]	12,485 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	pedestrian path prediction
2014	LISA [79]	6,610 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	traffic sign detection
2014	Paris-rue-Madame [80]	643 objects	Onboard		Real	OD, SS
2015	TRANCOS [81]	1.2K images	V2X		Real	vehicle counting
2015	FlyingThings3D [82]	26,066 frames	Others	Temporal	Synthetic	scene flow estimation
2015	Ua-detrac [83]	140K frames	V2X	Temporal	Real	OD, MOT
2015	NCLT [84]	34.9 hours	onboard	Temporal	Real	Odometry
2015	CCSAD [85]	96K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	scene understanding
2015	KAIST MPD [86]	95K color-thermal pair frames	onboard		Real	pedestrian detection
2016	LostAndFound [87]	2,104 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	obstacle detection
2016	UAH-Driveset [88]	500 mins	Onboard	Temporal	Real	lane detection, detection
2017	CURE-TSR [89]	2.2M annotated images	Onboard		Real, synthetic	traffic sign detection
2017	TuSimple [90]	6,408 frames	Onboard		Real	lane detection, velocity estimation
2017	TRoM [91]	712 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	road marking detection
2017	Bosch STL [92]	13,334 images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	traffic light detection and classification
2017	Complex Urban [93]	around 190km paths	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SLAM
2017	DDD20 [94]	51 hours event frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	end-to-end driving
2018	PedX [95]	5K images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	pedestrian detection and tracking
2018	LiVi-Set [96]	10K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	driving behavior prediction
2018	Syncapes [97]	25K images	Onboard		Synthetic	OD, SS
2018	NVSEC [98]	around 28 km distances	Others	Temporal	Real	SLAM
2018	Aachen Day-Night [99]	4,328 images, 1.65M points	Onboard	Temporal	Real	visual localization
2018	CADP [100]	1,416 scenes	Others		Real	Traffic accident analysis
2018	TAF-BW [101]	2 scenarios	V2X	Temporal	Real	MOT, V2X communication
2018	comma2k19 [102]	2M images	Onboard		Real	pose estimation, end-to-end driving
2018	nighttime drive [103]	35K	onboard		Real	SS
2018	VEIS [104]	61,305 frames	onboard		Synthetic	OD, SS
2018	Paris-Lille-3D [105]	2,479 frames	onboard		Real	3D SS, classification
2018	NightOwls [106]	279K frames	onboard	Temporal	Real	pedestrian detection, tracking
2018	EuroCity Persons [107]	47,300 frames	Onboard		Real	OD
2018	RANUS [108]	4K frames	Onboard		Real	SS, scene understanding
2019	SynthCity [109]	367.9M points in 30 scans	Onboard		Synthetic	(3D) OD, (3D) SS
2019	D_2 -City [110]	700K annotated frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD, MOT
2019	Caltech Lanes [111]	1,224 frames	Onboard		Real	lane detection
2019	Mcity [112]	1,7500 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SS
2019	DET [113]	5,424 event-based camera images	Onboard		Real	lane detection
2019	PreSIL [114]	50K frames	Onboard		Synthetic	OD, 3D SS
2019	H3D [115]	27,721 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, MOT
2019	LLAMAS [116]	100K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	lane segmentation
2019	MIT DriveSeg [117]	over 10K frames	Onboard		Real	SS

TABLE IV: List of Additional Autonomous Vehicles Dataset (Part II)

Year	Dataset	Size	Domain	Type	Source Type	Task
2019	Astyx [118]	500 radar frames	Onboard		Real	3D OD
2019	UNDD [119]	7.2K frames	Onboard		Real, Synthetic	SS
2019	Boxy [120]	200K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD
2019	RUGD [121]	37K frames	Others	Temporal	Real	3D OD, SS
2019	ApolloCar3D [122]	5,277 driving images	Onboard		Real	3D instance understanding
2019	HEV [123]	230 video clips	Onboard	Temporal	Real	object localization
2019	HAD [124]	5,675 video clips	Onboard	Temporal	Real	end-to-end driving
2019	CARLA-100 [125]	100 hours driving	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	path planning, behavior cloning
2019	Brno Urban [126]	375.7 km	Onboard	Temporal	Real	recognition
2019	VERI-Wild [127]	416,314 images	Others	Temporal	Real	Vehicle re-identification
2019	CityFlow [128]	229680 bounding boxes	V2X	Temporal	Real	OD, MOT, re-identification
2020	VLMV [129]	306K frames	V2X	Temporal	Real	lane merge
2020	Small Obstacles [130]	3K frames	Onboard		Real	small obstacle segmentation
2020	Cirrus [131]	6,285 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD
2020	KITTI InstanceMotSeg [132]	12,919 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	moving instance segmentation
2020	A*3D [133]	39,179 point cloud frames	Onboard		Real	(3D) OD
2020	Toronto-3D [134]	4 scenarios	Onboard		Real	3D SS
2020	MIT-AVT [135]	1.15M 10s video clips	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SS, anomaly detection
2020	CADC [136]	56K	Onboard		Real	(3D) OD, OT
2020	SemanticPOSS [137]	2,988 point cloud frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	3D SS
2020	IDDA [138]	1M frames	Onboard		Synthetic	segmentation
2020	CARRADA [139]	7,193 radar frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SS
2020	Titan [140]	75,262 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD, action recognition
2020	NightCity [141]	4,297 frames	Onboard		Real	nighttime SS
2020	PePScenes [44]	60K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, pedestrian action prediction
2020	DDAD [142]	21,200 frames	Others		Real	depth estimation
2020	MulRan [143]	41.2km paths	Onboard	Temporal	Real	place recognition
2020	Oxford Radar RobotCar [144]	240K scans	Onboard	Temporal	Real	odometry
2020	OTOH [46]	170K scenes	UAV	Temporal	Real	Trajectory prediction, planning
2020	DA4AD [145]	9 sequences	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Visual localization
2020	CPIS [146]	10K frames	V2X		Synthetic	cooperative 3D OD
2020	EU LTD [147]	around 37 hours	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Odometry
2020	Newer College [148]	290M points, 2300 seconds	others	Temporal	Real	SLAM
2020	CCD [149]	4.5K videos	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Accident prediction
2020	LIBRE [150]	40 frames	Onboard		Real	LiDAR performance benchmark
2020	Gated2Depth [151]	17,686 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	depth estimation
2020	TCGR [152]	839,350 frames	others	Temporal	Real	Traffic control gesture recognition
2021	DSEC [153]	53 sequences (3193 seconds in total)	Onboard	Temporal	Real	dynamic perception
2021	4Seasons [154]	350km recordings	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SLAM
2021	PVDN [155]	59,746 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	nighttime OD, OT
2021	ACDC [156]	4,006 images	Onboard		Real	SS on adverse conditions
2021	DRIV100 [157]	100 video	Onboard		Real	domain adaptation SS
2021	NEOLIX [158]	30K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	3D OD, OT
2021	IPS3000+ [159]	14,198 frames	V2X	Temporal	Real	3D OD
2021	AUTOMATUM [160]	30 hours	UAV	Temporal	Real	Trajectory prediction
2021	DurLAR [161]	100K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Depth estimation
2021	Reasonable-Crowd [162]	92 scenarios	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	Driving behavior prediction
2021	MOTSynth [163]	768 driving sequences	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	Pedestrian detection and tracking
2021	MAVD [164]	113,283 images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD and OT with sound
2021	Multifog KITTI [165]	15K frames	Onboard		Synthetic	3D OD
2021	Comap [166]	4,391 frames	V2X	Temporal	Synthetic	3D OD
2021	R3 [167]	369 scenes	Onboard		Real	out-of-distribution detection
2021	WIBAM [168]	33,092 frames	V2X	Temporal	Real	3D OD
2021	CeyMo [169]	2,887 frames	Onboard		Real	road marking detection
2021	RaidaR [170]	58,542 rainy street scenes	Onboard		Real	SS

TABLE V: List of Additional Autonomous Vehicles Dataset (Part III)

Year	Dataset	Size	Domain	Type	Source Type	Task
2021	Fishyscapes [171]	1,030 frames	Onboard		Real	SS, anomaly detection
2021	RadarScenes [172]	40K radar frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD, classification
2021	ROAD [60]	122K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD, SS
2021	All-in-One Drive [173]	100K	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, (3D) SS, trajectory prediction
2021	PandaSet [174]	8,240 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, SS, OT
2021	SODA10M [175]	20K labeled images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD
2021	PixSet [176]	29K point cloud frames	Onboard		Real	(3D) OD
2021	RoadObstacle21 [177]	327 scenes	Onboard		synthetic	anomaly segmentation
2021	VIL-100 [178]	10K frames	Onboard		Real	lane detection
2021	OpenMPD [179]	15K frames	Onboard		Real	(3D) OD, 3D OT, semantic segmentation
2021	WADS [180]	3.6 billion LiDAR points	Onboard	Temporal	Real	SS
2021	CCTSDB 2021 [181]	16,356 frames	Onboard		Real	traffic sign detection
2021	SemanticUSL [182]	17k frames	Onboard		Real	domain adaptation 3D SS
2022	CARLANE [183]	118K frames	Onboard	Partially temporal	Synthetic	lane detection
2022	CrashD [184]	15,340 scenes	Onboard		Synthetic	3D OD
2022	CODD [185]	108 sequences	V2X	Temporal	Synthetic	multi-agent SLAM
2022	CarlaScenes [186]	7 sequences	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	(3D) SS, SLAM, depth estimation
2022	OPV2V [187]	11,464 frames	V2X		Synthetic	onboard-to-onboard perception
2022	CARLA-WildLife [188]	26 videos	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	out-of-distribution tracking
2022	SOS [188]	20 videos	Onboard	Temporal	Real	out-of-distribution tracking
2022	RoadSaW [189]	720K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	Road surface and wetness estimation
2022	I see you [190]	170 sequences, 340 trajectories	V2X	Temporal	Real	OD
2022	ASAP [191]	11.2M images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	online 3D OD
2022	Amodal Cityscapes [192]	3.5K images	Onboard		Real	amodal SS
2022	SynWoodScape [193]	80K frames	Onboard		Synthetic	(3D) OD, segmentation
2022	TJ4RadSet [194]	7,757 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	OD, OT
2022	CODA [195]	1,500 frames	Onboard		Real	corner case detection
2022	LiDAR Snowfall [196]	7,385 point cloud frames	Onboard	Partially temporal	Synthetic	3D OD
2022	MUAD [197]	10.4K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	OD, SS, depth estimation
2022	AUTOCASTSIM [198]	52K frames	V2X	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, OT, SS
2022	CARTI [199]	11K frames	V2X	Temporal	synthetic	cooperative perception
2022	K-Lane [200]	15,382 frames	Onboard		Real	lane detection
2022	Ithaca365 [201]	682217 frames	Onboard		Real	3D OD, SS, depth estimation
2022	GLARE [202]	2,157 frames	Onboard		Real	3traffic sign detection
2023	SUPS [203]	5K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Synthetic	SS, depth estimation, SLAM
2023	Boreas [204]	7,111 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, localization
2023	Robo3D [205]	476K frames	Onboard		Real	(3D) OD, 3D SS
2023	ZOD [206]	100K frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	(3D) OD, segmentation
2023	K-Radar [200]	35K radar frames	Onboard		Real	3D OD, OT
2023	aiMotive [207]	26,583 frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	3D OD, MOT
2023	UrbanLaneGraph [208]	around 5,220 km lane spans	UAV		Real	lane graph estimation
2023	WEDGE [209]	3,360 frames	Others		synthetic	OD, classification
2023	OpenLane-V2 [210]	466K images	Onboard	Temporal	Real	lane detection, scene understanding
2023	V2X-Seq (perception) [56]	15K frames	V2X	Temporal	Real	cooperative perception
2023	SSCBENCH [211]	66,913 frames	Onboard		Real	semantic scene completion
2023	RoadSC [212]	90,759 images	Onboard		Real	road snow coverage estimation
2024	V2X-Real [213]	171K images, 33K LiDAR point clouds	V2X		Real	3D OD
2024	RCooper [214]	50K images, 30K LiDAR point clouds	V2X	Temporal	Real	3D OD, OT
2024	FLIR [215]	26,442 thermal frames	Onboard	Temporal	Real	thermal image OD

process can be divided into three categories: manual, semi-automatic, and fully automatic annotation. This section will provide an in-depth exploration of the different labeling strategies for these types of annotations.

A. Annotate Bounding Boxes

The accuracy of bounding box annotations critically influences the performance and reliability of perception systems, such as object detection in autonomous vehicles operating in real-world conditions. The annotation task typically involves marking images with rectangular boxes or delineating point clouds with cuboids to precisely identify objects of interest.

Labelme [230] is an earlier tool designed for image labeling specific to object detection. Yet, creating bounding boxes through professional annotators presents similar challenges to manual segmentation annotation. To address these issues, Wang et al. [231] introduced a semi-automatic video labeling tool building on the VATIC [232] video annotation system. Additionally, Manikandan et al. [233] developed another automatic video annotation tool tailored for autonomous driving scenes. Notably, annotating bounding boxes at night poses greater difficulties than during the day, a challenge Schorkhuber et al. [234] tackled using a semi-automatic method that incorporates trajectory data.

Moving beyond 2D annotations, 3D bounding boxes provide more detailed spatial information, including the object's precise location, width, length, height, and orientation. Labeling with such detailed 3D annotations demands a more complex framework. Meng et al. [235] employed a two-stage weakly supervised learning approach, incorporating human-in-the-loop to label LiDAR point clouds. ViT-WSS3D [236] created pseudo-bounding boxes by modeling the global interactions between LiDAR points and their corresponding weak labels. Apolloscape [237] utilized a labeling pipeline that includes separate branches for 3D and 2D annotations, addressing both static and moving objects. Furthermore, 3D BAT [238] developed a toolbox to support the generation of 2D and 3D labels in a semi-automatic fashion.

B. Annotate Segmentation Data

The goal of annotating segmentation data is to assign a label to each pixel in an image or each point in a LiDAR frame, identifying the object or region to which it belongs. In this process, all pixels or points associated with a particular object are labeled with the same class. Typically, in manual annotation, the annotator outlines the object and then fills or paints over the enclosed area. However, this method of creating pixel or point-level annotations is both costly and time-consuming.

To enhance the efficiency of the annotation process, numerous studies have developed methods that are fully or semi-automatic. Barnes et al. [239] introduced a fully automatic approach using weakly supervised learning to segment drivable paths in images. Another semi-automatic method [240] uses objectness priors to create segmentation masks, and further developments have extended this

approach to include 20 different classes in [241]. Polygon-RNN++ [242] has developed an interactive segmentation tool that builds upon earlier concepts of [243]. Rather than relying solely on image data, one study [244] explores the use of 3D information to assist in generating 2D image domain semantic segmentation annotations. For 3D data, a proposed image-assisted annotation pipeline facilitates the labeling process [245]. Luo et al. [246] have adopted active learning techniques to select minimal points for creating a small training set, thereby reducing the need to label entire point cloud scenes comprehensively. Meanwhile, Liu et al. [247] have crafted an efficient framework using semi and weakly supervised learning methods to label outdoor point clouds, streamlining the annotation process significantly.

C. Annotate Trajectories

A trajectory is a sequence of points that outlines the movement of an object over time, encapsulating both spatial and temporal dimensions. In autonomous driving, labeling trajectory data involves marking the movement patterns of various entities within the driving environment, such as vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists. This annotation process generally depends on results from object detection and tracking.

Historically, one of the earlier methods in trajectory annotation [248] involved online generation of actions for maneuvers, which were then incorporated into the trajectory data. Another approach [249] included a crowd-sourcing phase that was refined through a detailed expert aggregation process. Jarl et al. [250] developed an active learning framework specifically for annotating driving trajectories, emphasizing the importance of accurately predicting pedestrian movements to enhance driving safety. Furthermore, Styles et al. [251] introduced a scalable machine-driven annotation scheme that allows for the annotation of pedestrian trajectories without requiring human intervention.

D. Annotate on Synthetic Data

Due to the costly and time-consuming nature of manual annotations on real-world data, synthetic data created through computer graphics and simulators offer a viable alternative. Synthetic data benefits from a controlled generation process, where the attributes of each object in the scene, such as position, size, and movement, are precisely known, allowing for automatic and accurate annotations.

Synthetic scenarios are crafted to replicate real-world conditions, including diverse objects, various landscapes, weather, and lighting variations. Choosing an appropriate simulation tool is essential to achieving realistic simulation outcomes. Earlier efforts like Torcs [252] and DeepDriving [253] focused on simulating autonomous vehicles but did not incorporate multi-modality information or other dynamic objects like pedestrians. In contrast, open-source simulators like CARLA [254], SUMO [255], and AirSim [256] have gained popularity for their transparency and flexibility, allowing users to modify the source code to meet specific research needs. Commercial tools such as NVIDIA's Drive

Constellation [257], although powerful, may restrict user customization due to their closed-source nature.

Additionally, game engines like Grand Theft Auto 5 (GTA5) and Unity [258] have also been employed to create synthetic autonomous driving data. Researchers have used the GTA5 engine to construct datasets [13], [259], and Krahenbuhl et al. [260] introduced a real-time system utilizing multiple games for generating annotations for various AD tasks. Projects like SHIFT [261], CAOS [262], FedBEVT [263], and V2XSet [264] have been developed using the CARLA simulator, while V2X-Sim [265] explores the use of multiple simulators such as [254], [255] to create datasets for V2X perception tasks. Further, CODD [185] leverages CARLA to generate 3D LiDAR point clouds for cooperative driving scenarios. Other research such as [266], [267], [268], [104] utilizing the Unity platform has effectively produced synthetic datasets, showcasing the platform's utility in this field.

V. TRAFFIC SIMULATOR FOR AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

The development of AV reinforcement learning research has relied heavily on extensive real-world datasets to address practical scenarios. However, it is neither feasible nor efficient to capture data for every possible real-world situation. To bridge this gap, researchers have increasingly turned to simulators as essential tools for expanding beyond the limitations of real-world data. These simulators enable the creation of synthetic scenarios that complement real-world data, facilitating deeper exploration and testing in both multi-agent and single-agent environments.

The simulators presented here can be grouped into three main categories: perception and sensor-focused simulators, which replicate AV sensory systems; scenario and multi-agent simulators, which emphasize interactions between multiple agents and dynamic environments; and traffic and mobility simulators, which address large-scale transportation systems and intelligent traffic management strategies.

Additionally, many simulators prioritize MARL to capture complex, interactive environments. While some also include elements of SARL, most do not address it at all. This has been an interesting trend, and thus it is worth noting that more work could be done to creating simulators that focus solely on SARL.

A. Perception and Sensor-Focused Simulators

These simulators emphasize sensory input, perception systems, and multimodal data collection for AV policy learning and control.

- **MetaDrive** [269] is a versatile simulation platform designed to facilitate research in generalizable RL for AD. It generates diverse driving scenarios using both procedural generation and real data import for training and testing. MetaDrive can train and test agents using physics-based simulations with multiple sensory inputs, such as Lidar, RGB images, top-down semantic maps, and first-person view images. Additionally, it supports a wide range of SARL and MARL tasks, safe exploration,

and complex multi-agent traffic simulations. The simulator utilizes an Agent Manager to control vehicles in SARL and MARL tasks, where it conveys information from the environment to be used in training outside of the scenario. It also supports multi-agent environments, including roundabouts, procedurally-generated maps, bottlenecks, and intersections. Scenarios can be generated via PG generation or utilize the available real-world datasets: Argoverse, Waymo, OpenStreetMap, nuScenes and nuPlan, Lyft Level 5, and CARLA Town.

- **CARLA** [270] is an open-source simulator that supports both SARL and MARL. It includes urban layouts, physics dynamics, and support for multiple AD approaches, such as modular pipelines, imitation learning, and reinforcement learning. These features can be extracted via CARLA's API, which allows users to control all aspects of the simulation. This includes traffic generation, pedestrian behaviors, weather conditions, sensor configurations, and more. CARLA provides users with the ability to generate and execute scenarios based on the ScenarioRunner engine, which leverages the OpenDRIVE standard to define roads and urban settings. Additionally, CARLA integrates the Responsibility Sensitive Safety (RSS) system, which is a key feature for enhancing the safety of autonomous driving simulations. The RSS library utilizes sensor data to generate appropriate restrictions on vehicle controls. This integration allows users to investigate safety behaviors without needing to implement them from scratch, as CARLA manages the input and output application dynamically.
- **VISTA 2.0** [271] is a simulator that provides such utility. Its policies trained on the data generated by VISTA 2.0 can be directly deployed in real-world autonomous vehicles, showcasing that the simulator's synthetic data is sufficiently realistic for practical use. It synthesizes data using high-fidelity real-world datasets to represent and simulate RGB cameras, 3D LiDAR, and event-based cameras.

B. Scenario-based Simulators

These simulators model interactions between multiple agents (cars, pedestrians, and other vehicles) in complex traffic scenarios to evaluate strategies and decision-making in dynamic environments.

- **Autoware** [272], [273] is an open-source software that utilizes a modular architecture for its software stack consisting of sensing, planning, localization, and control. It is currently employed in a suite of AVs and applications. AWSIM is a 3D simulator built for Autoware that allows users to test AD implementations. It uses LiDAR and other types of sensor data to simulate AV environmental interactions. The simulator includes dynamic traffic scenarios utilizing pedestrian, vehicles, and more to test AV decision making scenarios.
- **Simcenter Prescan** [274] offers the utility to simulate realistic road conditions for MARL and SARL.

These simulations include various AVs and actors across different roads and environments to validate system performance and safety. Prescan provides the opportunity to recreate accident scenarios to understand the causes and improve AV systems utilizing user-provided accident databases. For MARL, Simcenter Prescan can simulate environments with multiple interacting agents, such as other vehicles, pedestrians, and infrastructure elements. It enables the study of coordination strategies among multiple AVs, such as platooning, intersection management, and cooperative lane changing. Additionally, Prescan provides the user the opportunity to integrate directly with Simcenter Amesim™ and MATLAB/Simulink to understand system response before hardware is available.

- **Waymax** [275] is an open-source SARL/MARL set of libraries that allow the user to load data, compute and simulate for AD research. It utilizes the Waymo Open Dataset [9] to simulate diverse driving scenarios. Waymax supports modeling complex interactions between vehicles, pedestrians, and traffic lights and allows users to define and control various dynamic agents within the simulation. Additionally, the simulator offers hardware acceleration and distributed training to improve machine learning model development. Waymax’s MARL capabilities include an interface that allows the user to choose what aspects of the scenario need controlling. As for its SARL capabilities, it introduces a “PlanningAgentEnvironment” to control the ego machine within the scenario. The all-encompassing capabilities that Waymax provides is powerful and encourages users to take control of all simulated scenarios.
- **SMARTS** [276] is an industrial-strength platform that takes an innovative approach to MARL research. SMARTS separates its resources to manage different “bubbles,” dedicating specific resources to social agents and the background traffic provider, ensuring a scalable and focused simulation environment. These bubbles allow for dynamic association of social vehicles with social agents, which vary in vehicle model, observation, action spaces, and computing needs, enhancing the realism and diversity of the interactions. The core architecture of SMARTS includes various providers such as the background traffic provider, which uses SUMO to simulate realistic traffic flows, the vehicle physics provider utilizing the Bullet physics engine, and the motion plan provider for implementing complex maneuvers. By leveraging its Social Agent Zoo, which collects behavior models from simulated and real-world data, SMARTS continually grows its repository of social agents, enabling more realistic and complex multi-agent interactions.

C. Traffic and Mobility Simulators

These simulators focus on large-scale traffic management, urban mobility, and transportation systems, offering the ability to optimize strategies and control algorithms for

intelligent transport systems.

- **SUMMIT** [277] The SUMMIT simulator, with its implementation based on CARLA, generates high-fidelity, interactive data for unregulated, dense urban traffic on complex real-world maps. By using real-world maps. The simulator automatically creates crowds of heterogeneous traffic agents with sophisticated, unregulated behaviors, SUMMIT uses the context-aware crowd behavior model Context-GAMMA to create advanced interactions between traffic agents. Additionally, SUMMIT defines road structures and agent behaviors using lane and sidewalk networks from SUMO-converted OpenStreetMaps, provides an occupancy map interface for drive-able regions, and utilizes landmark data for realistic visuals.
- **PTV Vissim Automotive** [278] is a licensed microscopic traffic simulator that allows users to simulate traffic patterns for all types of vehicles. In addition to its baseline functionality, the PTV Vissim Automotive allows for further simulation with autonomous vehicles. The simulator offers a dynamic closed-loop test environment that allows for behavior-based surrounding traffic. This provides users with the opportunity to test an AV’s ability in aggressive driver scenarios. It also allows users to simulate varying traffic scenarios such as city rush hours and other highway interactions.
- **SimMobility** [279] The SimMobility simulator is an activity-based model that contains a short-term (ST), mid-term (MT), and long-term (LT) simulator within it that provides the user variability for agent choice. These simulator varieties also enable the opportunity to perform microscopic simulations. ST enables the user to track route choice with and vehicle actions on sub-second time-steps. As for MT, the time-steps will now be within the seconds to minutes range, and will allow the user to not only track from route choice, mode choice, and activity patterns. The LT option provides users with unique choice options not seen in other simulators, such as house location and job location, with time-steps ranging from days to years. A key feature of all the simulator components is the event-based demand and time-step supply mechanism, which allows for interactions between supply and demand across different simulation levels, ensuring that agents’ decisions and environmental responses are accurately synchronized in real-time.

D. Comparison among different simulators

When comparing various traffic simulators for autonomous vehicles, each offers unique strengths tailored to different aspects of AV research. VISTA 2.0 excels in synthesizing high-fidelity sensor data and supports real-world deployability of trained policies, making it ideal for many tasks. Autoware also allows the deployability of its models into real AVs as VISTA 2.0, but it allows users to simulate in many effective, user-generated 3D scenarios.

MetaDrive is versatile as well for both SARL and MARL, providing diverse scenario generation through procedural methods and real data imports, although it is limited to pre-supported datasets. CARLA has comprehensive simulation capabilities, including accurate physics, urban layouts, and extensive API control, making it suitable for both SARL and MARL. Additionally, Waymax also provides the ability to simulate in SARL and MARL scenarios while offering hardware acceleration capabilities that enable large-scale machine learning processes.

Simcenter Prescan excels in simulating realistic road conditions and multi-agent environments, integrating well with tools like Simcenter Amesim™ for performance validation. SUMMIT, based on CARLA, provides high-fidelity simulations of dense urban traffic with advanced interaction modeling using Context-GAMMA, making it ideal for complex urban scenarios. Additionally, PTV Vissim Automotive allows for further analysis of complex urban scenarios, but it focused more on traffic simulation rather than vehicle-to-vehicle interactions. As for SMARTS, it offers an innovative approach to MARL research with its scalable and realistic multi-agent interactions, though it requires detailed configuration to fully utilize its capabilities.

The traffic simulations found so far are listed in Table VI. These traffic simulators can be explored together with existing large-scale traffic simulation, reconstruction, and prediction methods [280], [281], [281]–[288], to support various applications of intelligent transportation systems.

VI. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION

Amidst swift technological advancements, robust computational resources, and sophisticated artificial intelligence algorithms, numerous innovative trends have emerged in next-generation autonomous driving datasets, introducing fresh challenges and demands.

- In contrast to the modular design of autonomous driving pipelines, the end-to-end architecture streamlines the design process and lessens integration difficulties. The effectiveness of UniAD [335] confirms the capability of end-to-end models. Nevertheless, there is a scarcity of datasets specifically for end-to-end autonomous driving [336]. Thus, the creation of datasets dedicated to end-to-end driving is essential for the progress of autonomous vehicles. Additionally, incorporating an automatic labeling pipeline within a data engine can greatly enhance the development of end-to-end driving frameworks and datasets [337].
- Upcoming autonomous driving datasets need to encompass comprehensive data on real-world environments and traffic conditions to support various applications beyond just the primary vehicle and simple vehicle-infrastructure interactions. For example, data on the interactions between autonomous vehicles and smart infrastructure elements could propel the development of Internet-of-Things (IoT)-enabled devices, such as intelligent traffic signals. Furthermore, granular analyses of traffic patterns, congestion, and vehicle dynamics

under various conditions and times could aid in urban planning, improve traffic flow, and bolster traffic management tactics overall.

- Recently, vision language models (VLMs) have seen significant progress across various domains. Their unique benefit of integrating language data into vision-related tasks enhances the explainability and reliability of autonomous driving systems. A recent survey [338] underscores the critical importance of Multi-modal Large Language Models in diverse autonomous driving tasks, including perception [339], [340], motion planning [341], and motion control [342].
- The remarkable abilities of vision language models (VLMs) have been leveraged to produce data [343]–[346]. For instance, DriveGAN [347] has successfully generated high-quality autonomous driving data by autonomously disentangling various components. Furthermore, given their proficiency in understanding driving environments, several studies [348]–[350] have utilized world models to create high-quality driving videos. DriveDreamer [349], a pioneering project originating from real-world scenarios, overcomes the constraints found in gaming or simulated environments. Recent breakthroughs in text-to-video generation, notably Sora [351], have paved a new path for creating autonomous driving data. Sora is capable of generating high-quality synthetic data that closely mimics real-life scenes with just a brief description. This capability greatly boosts dataset augmentation, particularly for rare occurrences such as traffic accidents. The expanded data availability from VLMs is set to improve the training and assessment of autonomous driving systems, enhancing their safety and dependability.
- Domain adaptation presents a significant hurdle in the development of autonomous vehicles [352], highlighting the need for a model trained on one dataset (the source domain) to reliably function on another (the target domain). This challenge arises in various areas, such as variations in driving conditions [352], sensor configurations [353], or synthetic-to-real conversions [354]. As a response, a trend in creating next-generation datasets involves integrating data from diverse sources. Firstly, datasets should encompass a broad spectrum of environmental scenarios, including different weather conditions and varying day-night lighting, as well as multiple geographical locations. Secondly, it is crucial to merge data from different types of sensors to address the domain adaptation challenge effectively. Another approach involves strategically mixing high-quality synthetic data with real-world data to enhance model generalization.
- In the realm of machine learning, uncertainty is typically characterized in probabilistic terms. For autonomous driving, a primary source of uncertainty is the incompleteness of training data [355]. When training data fails to fully capture the complexities of the driving environment, autonomous vehicles may struggle with rare scenarios during operation. Consequently,

TABLE VI: List of Traffic Simulators (Part I)

Simulator	Multi-Agent	Imports Real Data	Lidar	2D/3D Rendering	Custom Maps	Open Source
MetaDrive [269]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	✓
CARLA [270]	✓			3D	✓	✓
SUMO [289]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
SUMMIT [277]	✓	✓		3D	✓	✓
SMARTS [276]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
MACAD [290]	✓		✓	3D	✓	✓
Nocturne [291]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
Highway-env [292]				2D		✓
SIM4CV [293]	✓			3D	✓	✓
Duckietown [294]			✓	3D		
MADRaS [295]	✓		✓	2D	✓	✓
DriverGym [296]	✓	✓		3D	✓	✓
DeepDrive [297]			✓	2D		✓
VISTA [271]		✓	✓	3D		✓
GTA V [298]			✓	3D	✓	
TORCS [252]			✓	3D	✓	✓
Flow [299]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
CityFlow [300]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
AirSim [256]			✓	3D	✓	✓
Udacity [301]			✓	3D	✓	✓
CarMaker [302]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	
CarSim [303]	✓		✓	3D	✓	
Simcenter Prescan [274]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	
4DV-Sim [304]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	
CoppeliaSim [305]	✓		✓	3D	✓	
Gazebo [306]	✓		✓	3D	✓	✓
Webots [307]	✓		✓	3D		✓
Aimsun Next [308]	✓	✓		2D	✓	
MATSim [309]	✓	✓			✓	✓
MITSIMLab [310]		✓		2D	✓	✓
PTV Vissim Automotive [278]	✓	✓		3D	✓	
Apollo [311]	✓		✓	3D	✓	✓
rFpro [312]	✓		✓	3D	✓	
VTD [313]	✓			3D	✓	
AIM4 [314]	✓			2D		✓
BARK [315]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
CarRacing [315]	✓	✓		2D	✓	✓
SimMobility [279]	✓	✓			✓	
Autoware [272]	✓		✓	3D	✓	✓
Waymax [275]	✓	✓	✓	2D		✓
MATISSE 3.0 [316]	✓	✓		2D	✓	
FITENTH [317]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	
MovSim [318]			✓	2D	✓	✓
BeamNG [319]		✓		3D	✓	
USARSim [320]	✓			3D	✓	✓
POLARIS [321]	✓	✓		3D	✓	
OPUS [322]	✓	✓		3D		✓
CORSIM [323]				3D		
PARAMICS [324]	✓	✓		3D	✓	
TransModeler [325]	✓			2D	✓	
TrafficSim [326]	✓	✓	✓	2D	✓	
AnyLogic [327]	✓			3D	✓	
SceneGen [328]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	
BehaviorDrivenTrafficSimulator [329]		✓		2D	✓	✓
LGSVL [330]	✓	✓	✓	3D	✓	✓

TABLE VII: List of Traffic Simulators (Part II)

Simulator	Multi-Agent	Imports Real Data	Lidar	2D/3D Rendering	Custom Maps	Open Source
CAT [331]	✓		✓	3D		
dSPACE [332]	✓		✓	3D		
Intersim [333]	✓			2D		✓
MARS [334]	✓			2D		✓

enhancing the diversity of datasets used for training autonomous driving systems is essential. Additionally, including rare events and edge cases in these datasets enables models to more effectively recognize and manage the uncertainty in unforeseen situations, thus ensuring safer operation.

The future of traffic simulators and their endless possible integration’s with AV datasets has created a wealth of opportunities for testing and developing AV implementations.

- Traffic simulators play an important role in reducing the risk associated with incompleteness of AV datasets. Datasets like Waymo [9] and nuScenes [11] provide extensive real-world data on driving conditions, weather variations, and traffic patterns for AV models. However, these datasets are insufficient to cover the vast range of scenarios AVs may encounter in real-world scenarios. Simulators bridge this gap by generating synthetic data, allowing researchers to recreate diverse driving environments and edge cases that are difficult or costly to capture in real life. They help enhance AV models by providing a controlled, safe space for testing without the risks associated with physical tests. Simulators like MetaDrive [269] can simulate scenarios based on real-world datasets while also generating new conditions, ensuring that AV models generalize well across different situations. While datasets offer a real-world basis, simulators can extend the training environment by creating synthetic scenarios for more robust AV performance and cover distinct edge cases.
- Traffic simulators are critical to AV research as they provide environments to test and refine models that are difficult to create from real-world data. The future of traffic simulators lies in bridging the gap between the virtual and real worlds, which currently poses a significant challenge. Simulations often fall short in terms of scenario diversity and environmental complexity, leading to differences in how well models trained in virtual environments perform in real-world settings. Efforts to quantify this gap and mitigate it are needed to scale up testing processes and ensure that models developed in simulation environments can be successfully transferred to real-world applications. To address these challenges, developing methods that enhance data diversity will be essential. This includes creating models that can effectively translate between simulated and real data, ensuring that AVs perform optimally in diverse, real-world scenarios.
- The future of traffic simulators lies in synthetic data.

With an increasing need to diversify data, synthetic data is a viable solution. Not only does it provide scalable solution for addressing data scarcity in real-world testing, it also enhances the breadth of training data available, especially for edge cases. By providing synthesized data, it allows researchers to explore numerous datasets that simulate real-world conditions and expand upon it. As simulators continue to be improved, the ability to generate accurate, high-quality synthetic data that mimics real-world scenarios will help close the simulation-to-reality gap. However, ensuring that synthetic data sufficiently captures the diversity and complexity of real-world environments remains a challenge. Researchers must develop methods to quantify and mitigate the differences between synthetic and real data, ensuring that models trained in simulators can generalize well in actual AV deployments. The use of synthetic data also opens up new opportunities for improving data fairness, allowing for the creation of more representative and unbiased datasets that can be used to train AV systems in a wider variety of traffic environments and demographic settings.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presented a survey of datasets and simulators related to AV traffic. For high-quality paper collection, we searched in IEEE digital library, ACM digital library, SpringerLink, Elsevier, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI), Google Scholar, Wiley, etc. and we filtered only good quality relevant AV traffic dataset and simulator papers for review. We proposed a taxonomy of applications of traffic datasets for the perception, localization, prediction, planning and control of AV. Along with this, we presented the year-wise distribution and frequency of traffic dataset papers, and the domain used to acquire and process AV traffic dataset. Then, we discussed highly significant datasets and simulators that have been used in several AV technologies.

We have investigated the existing AV datasets’ gaps and tried to bridge the gaps by recommending suggestions for data-driven approaches. Framework for collection of end-to-end datasets can significantly improve AV research incorporating ML/DL methods and Internet-of-Thing (IoT)-enabled devices. Besides, proper involvement of the language model can significantly turn AV research into a potential new direction.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mingyu Liu, Ekim Yurtsever, Jonathan Fossaert, Xingcheng Zhou, Walter Zimmer, Yuning Cui, Bare Luka Zagar, and Alois C Knoll. A

- survey on autonomous driving datasets: Statistics, annotation quality, and a future outlook. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 2024.
- [2] Wilko Schwarting, Javier Alonso-Mora, and Daniela Rus. Planning and decision-making for autonomous vehicles. *Annual Review of Control, Robotics, and Autonomous Systems*, 1(1):187–210, 2018.
 - [3] Brian Paden, Michal Čáp, Sze Zheng Yong, Dmitry Yershov, and Emilio Frazzoli. A survey of motion planning and control techniques for self-driving urban vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on intelligent vehicles*, 1(1):33–55, 2016.
 - [4] Yuning Wang, Zeyu Han, Yining Xing, Shaobing Xu, and Jianqiang Wang. A survey on datasets for the decision making of autonomous vehicles. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, 2024.
 - [5] Andreas Geiger, Philip Lenz, and Raquel Urtasun. Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti vision benchmark suite. In *2012 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3354–3361. IEEE, 2012.
 - [6] Fisher Yu, Haofeng Chen, Xin Wang, Wenqi Xian, Yingying Chen, Fangchen Liu, Vashisht Madhavan, and Trevor Darrell. Bdd100k: A diverse driving dataset for heterogeneous multitask learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2636–2645, 2020.
 - [7] Ming-Fang Chang, John Lambert, Patsorn Sangkloy, Jagjeet Singh, Sławomir Bak, Andrew Hartnett, De Wang, Peter Carr, Simon Lucey, Deva Ramanan, et al. Argoverse: 3d tracking and forecasting with rich maps. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8748–8757, 2019.
 - [8] Benjamin Wilson, William Qi, Tanmay Agarwal, John Lambert, Jagjeet Singh, Siddhesh Khandelwal, Bowen Pan, Ratnesh Kumar, Andrew Hartnett, Jhony Kaesemodel Pontes, et al. Argoverse 2: Next generation datasets for self-driving perception and forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00493*, 2023.
 - [9] Pei Sun, Henrik Kretzschmar, Xerxes Dotiwalla, Aurelien Chouard, Vijaysai Patnaik, Paul Tsui, James Guo, Yin Zhou, Yuning Chai, Benjamin Caine, et al. Scalability in perception for autonomous driving: Waymo open dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2446–2454, 2020.
 - [10] Jens Behley, Martin Garbade, Andres Milioto, Jan Quenzel, Sven Behnke, Cyrill Stachniss, and Jurgen Gall. Semantickitti: A dataset for semantic scene understanding of lidar sequences. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 9297–9307, 2019.
 - [11] Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 11621–11631, 2020.
 - [12] Marius Cordts, Mohamed Omran, Sebastian Ramos, Timo Rehfeld, Markus Enzweiler, Rodrigo Benenson, Uwe Franke, Stefan Roth, and Bernt Schiele. The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3213–3223, 2016.
 - [13] Stephan R Richter, Zeeshan Hayder, and Vladlen Koltun. Playing for benchmarks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2213–2222, 2017.
 - [14] Walter Zimmer, Gerhard Arya Wardana, Suren Sritharan, Xingcheng Zhou, Rui Song, and Alois C Knoll. Tumor v2x cooperative perception dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22668–22677, 2024.
 - [15] Haibao Yu, Yizhen Luo, Mao Shu, Yiyi Huo, Zebang Yang, Yifeng Shi, Zhenglong Guo, Hanyu Li, Xing Hu, Jirui Yuan, et al. Dair-v2x: A large-scale dataset for vehicle-infrastructure cooperative 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21361–21370, 2022.
 - [16] Dawei Du, Yuankai Qi, Hongyang Yu, Yifan Yang, Kaiwen Duan, Guorong Li, Weigang Zhang, Qingming Huang, and Qi Tian. The unmanned aerial vehicle benchmark: Object detection and tracking. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 370–386, 2018.
 - [17] Yiming Sun, Bing Cao, Pengfei Zhu, and Qinghua Hu. Drone-based rgb-infrared cross-modality vehicle detection via uncertainty-aware learning. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 32(10):6700–6713, 2022.
 - [18] Franklin Tanner, Brian Colder, Craig Pullen, David Heagy, Michael Eppolito, Veronica Carlan, Carsten Oertel, and Phil Sallee. Overhead imagery research data set—an annotated data library & tools to aid in the development of computer vision algorithms. In *2009 IEEE Applied Imagery Pattern Recognition Workshop (AIPR 2009)*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2009.
 - [19] Yu Xiang, Roozbeh Mottaghi, and Silvio Savarese. Beyond pascal: A benchmark for 3d object detection in the wild. In *IEEE winter conference on applications of computer vision*, pages 75–82. IEEE, 2014.
 - [20] Sebastien Razakarivony and Frederic Jurie. Vehicle detection in aerial imagery: A small target detection benchmark. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 34:187–203, 2016.
 - [21] Alexandre Robicquet, Amir Sadeghian, Alexandre Alahi, and Silvio Savarese. Learning social etiquette: Human trajectory understanding in crowded scenes. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VIII 14*, pages 549–565. Springer, 2016.
 - [22] UT Benchmark. A benchmark and simulator for uav tracking. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2016.
 - [23] Gerhard Neuhold, Tobias Ollmann, Samuel Rota Bulo, and Peter Kontschieder. The mapillary vistas dataset for semantic understanding of street scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 4990–4999, 2017.
 - [24] Mohammadamin Barekatain, Miquel Martí, Hsueh-Fu Shih, Samuel Murray, Kotaro Nakayama, Yutaka Matsuo, and Helmut Prendinger. Okutama-action: An aerial view video dataset for concurrent human action detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 28–35, 2017.
 - [25] Meng-Ru Hsieh, Yen-Liang Lin, and Winston H Hsu. Drone-based object counting by spatially regularized regional proposal network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 4145–4153, 2017.
 - [26] Lukáš Neumann, Michelle Karg, Shanshan Zhang, Christian Scharfenberger, Eric Piegert, Sarah Mistr, Olga Prokofyeva, Robert Thiel, Andrea Vedaldi, Andrew Zisserman, and Bernt Schiele. Nightwils: A pedestrians at night dataset. In *Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 691–705. Springer, 2018.
 - [27] Benjamin Wilson, William Qi, Tanmay Agarwal, John Lambert, Jagjeet Singh, Siddhesh Khandelwal, Bowen Pan, Ratnesh Kumar, Andrew Hartnett, Jhony Kaesemodel Pontes, Deva Ramanan, Peter Carr, and James Hays. Argoverse 2: Next generation datasets for self-driving perception and forecasting. In *Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing Systems Track on Datasets and Benchmarks (NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks 2021)*, 2021.
 - [28] Ashesh Jain, Hema S Koppula, Shane Soh, Bharad Raghavan, Avi Singh, and Ashutosh Saxena. Brain4cars: Car that knows before you do via sensory-fusion deep learning architecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.00740*, 2016.
 - [29] Amir Rasouli, Iuliia Kotseruba, and John K Tsotsos. Are they going to cross? a benchmark dataset and baseline for pedestrian crosswalk behavior. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops*, pages 206–213, 2017.
 - [30] Robert Krajewski, Julian Bock, Laurent Kloeker, and Lutz Eckstein. The highd dataset: A drone dataset of naturalistic vehicle trajectories on german highways for validation of highly automated driving systems. In *2018 21st international conference on intelligent transportation systems (ITSC)*, pages 2118–2125. IEEE, 2018.
 - [31] Andrea Palazzi, Davide Abati, Francesco Solera, Rita Cucchiara, et al. Predicting the driver’s focus of attention: the dr (eye) ve project. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 41(7):1720–1733, 2018.
 - [32] Vasilii Ramanishka, Yi-Ting Chen, Teruhisa Misu, and Kate Saenko. Toward driving scene understanding: A dataset for learning driver behavior and causal reasoning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7699–7707, 2018.
 - [33] Amir Rasouli, Iuliia Kotseruba, Toni Kunic, and John K Tsotsos. Pie: A large-scale dataset and models for pedestrian intention estimation and trajectory prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 6262–6271, 2019.
 - [34] Zhan Wei. Interaction dataset: an international, adversarial and cooperative motion dataset in interactive driving scenarios with semantic maps. *arXiv: 1910.03088*, 2019.
 - [35] Jianru Xue, Jianwu Fang, Tao Li, Bohua Zhang, Pu Zhang, Zhen Ye, and Jian Dou. Blvd: Building a large-scale 5d semantics benchmark

- for autonomous driving. In *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 6685–6691. IEEE, 2019.
- [36] Robert Krajewski, Tobias Moers, Julian Bock, Lennart Vater, and Lutz Eckstein. The round dataset: A drone dataset of road user trajectories at roundabouts in germany. In *2020 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2020.
- [37] Rubén Izquierdo, A Quintanar, Ignacio Parra, D Fernández-Llorca, and MA Sotelo. The prevention dataset: a novel benchmark for prediction of vehicles intentions. In *2019 IEEE intelligent transportation systems conference (ITSC)*, pages 3114–3121. IEEE, 2019.
- [38] Guorun Yang, Xiao Song, Chaoqin Huang, Zhidong Deng, Jianping Shi, and Bolei Zhou. Drivingstereo: A large-scale dataset for stereo matching in autonomous driving scenarios. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 899–908, 2019.
- [39] Alex Zyner, Stewart Worrall, and Eduardo Nebot. Naturalistic driver intention and path prediction using recurrent neural networks. *IEEE transactions on intelligent transportation systems*, 21(4):1584–1594, 2019.
- [40] Manuel Martin, Alina Roitberg, Monica Haurilet, Matthias Horne, Simon Reiß, Michael Voit, and Rainer Stiefelhagen. Drive&act: A multi-modal dataset for fine-grained driver behavior recognition in autonomous vehicles. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2801–2810, 2019.
- [41] Yiping Chen, Jingkang Wang, Jonathan Li, Cewu Lu, Zhipeng Luo, Han Xue, and Cheng Wang. Dbnet: A large-scale dataset for driving behavior learning. *Retrieved August, 9:2020*, 2019.
- [42] Behrad Toghi, Divas Grover, Mahdi Razzaghpour, Rajat Jain, Rodolfo Valiente, Mahdi Zaman, Ghayoor Shah, and Yaser P Fallah. A maneuver-based urban driving dataset and model for cooperative vehicle applications. In *2020 IEEE 3rd Connected and Automated Vehicles Symposium (CAVS)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2020.
- [43] Julian Bock, Robert Krajewski, Tobias Moers, Steffen Runde, Lennart Vater, and Lutz Eckstein. The ind dataset: A drone dataset of naturalistic road user trajectories at german intersections. In *2020 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1929–1934. IEEE, 2020.
- [44] Amir Rasouli, Tiffany Yau, Peter Lakner, Saber Malekmohammadi, Mohsen Rohani, and Jun Luo. Pepsenes: A novel dataset and baseline for pedestrian action prediction in 3d. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.07773*, 2020.
- [45] Antonia Breuer, Jan-Aike Termöhlen, Silviu Homoceanu, and Tim Fingscheidt. opendd: A large-scale roundabout drone dataset. In *2020 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2020.
- [46] John Houston, Guido Zuidhof, Luca Bergamini, Yawei Ye, Long Chen, Ashesh Jain, Sammy Omari, Vladimir Iglovikov, and Peter Ondruska. One thousand and one hours: Self-driving motion prediction dataset. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 409–418. PMLR, 2021.
- [47] Holger Caesar, Juraj Kabzan, Kok Seang Tan, Whye Kit Fong, Eric Wolff, Alex Lang, Luke Fletcher, Oscar Beijbom, and Sammy Omari. nuplan: A closed-loop ml-based planning benchmark for autonomous vehicles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11810*, 2021.
- [48] Harshayu Girase, Haiming Gang, Srikanth Malla, Jiachen Li, Akira Kanehara, Karttikeya Mangalam, and Chihoi Choi. Loki: Long term and key intentions for trajectory prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 9803–9812, 2021.
- [49] Romain Guesdon, Carlos Crispim-Junior, and Laure Tougne. Dripe: A dataset for human pose estimation in real-world driving settings. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 2865–2874, 2021.
- [50] Shreya Ghosh, Abhinav Dhall, Garima Sharma, Sarthak Gupta, and Nicu Sebe. Speak2label: Using domain knowledge for creating a large scale driver gaze zone estimation dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2896–2905, 2021.
- [51] Yuan Shen, Niviru Wijayaratne, Pranav Sriram, Aamir Hasan, Peter Du, and Katherine Driggs-Campbell. Cocatt: A cognitive-conditioned driver attention dataset. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 32–39. IEEE, 2022.
- [52] Avinash Prabu, Nitya Ranjan, Lingxi Li, Renran Tian, Stanley Chien, Yaobin Chen, and Rini Sherony. Scendd: A scenario-based naturalistic driving dataset. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 4363–4368. IEEE, 2022.
- [53] Tobias Moers, Lennart Vater, Robert Krajewski, Julian Bock, Adrian Zlocki, and Lutz Eckstein. The exid dataset: A real-world trajectory dataset of highly interactive highway scenarios in germany. In *2022 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 958–964. IEEE, 2022.
- [54] Luis Gressenbuch, Klemens Esterle, Tobias Kessler, and Matthias Althoff. Mona: The munich motion dataset of natural driving. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 2093–2100. IEEE, 2022.
- [55] Tianqi Wang, Sukmin Kim, Ji Wenxuan, Enze Xie, Chongjian Ge, Junsong Chen, Zhenguo Li, and Ping Luo. Deepaccident: A motion and accident prediction benchmark for v2x autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 5599–5606, 2024.
- [56] Haibao Yu, Wenxian Yang, Hongzhi Ruan, Zhenwei Yang, Yingjuan Tang, Xu Gao, Xin Hao, Yifeng Shi, Yifeng Pan, Ning Sun, et al. V2x-seq: A large-scale sequential dataset for vehicle-infrastructure cooperative perception and forecasting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5486–5495, 2023.
- [57] Xiaoyu Tian, Tao Jiang, Longfei Yun, Yucheng Mao, Huitong Yang, Yue Wang, Yilun Wang, and Hang Zhao. Occ3d: A large-scale 3d occupancy prediction benchmark for autonomous driving. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [58] Yanjun Huang, Jiatong Du, Ziru Yang, Zewei Zhou, Lin Zhang, and Hong Chen. A survey on trajectory-prediction methods for autonomous driving. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 7(3):652–674, 2022.
- [59] Sajjad Mozaffari, Omar Y Al-Jarrah, Mehrdad Dianati, Paul Jennings, and Alexandros Mouzakitis. Deep learning-based vehicle behavior prediction for autonomous driving applications: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(1):33–47, 2020.
- [60] Gurkirt Singh, Stephen Akrigg, Manuele Di Maio, Valentina Fontana, Reza Javanmard Alitappeh, Salman Khan, Suman Saha, Kossar Jeddisaravi, Farzad Yousefi, Jacob Culley, et al. Road: The road event awareness dataset for autonomous driving. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 45(1):1036–1054, 2022.
- [61] Neha Sharma, Chhavi Dhiman, and S Indu. Pedestrian intention prediction for autonomous vehicles: A comprehensive survey. *Neurocomputing*, 508:120–152, 2022.
- [62] Tina Chen, Taotao Jing, Renran Tian, Yaobin Chen, Joshua Domeyer, Heishiro Toyoda, Rini Sherony, and Zhengming Ding. Psi: A pedestrian behavior dataset for socially intelligent autonomous car. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.02604*, 2021.
- [63] Juliia Koteruba, Amir Rasouli, and John K Tsotsos. Joint attention in autonomous driving (jaad). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.04741*, 2016.
- [64] Wei Zhan, Liting Sun, Di Wang, Haojie Shi, Aubrey Clausse, Maximilian Naumann, Julius Kummerle, Hendrik Konigshof, Christoph Stiller, Arnaud de La Fortelle, et al. Interaction dataset: An international, adversarial and cooperative motion dataset in interactive driving scenarios with semantic maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03088*, 2019.
- [65] Andreas Ess, Bastian Leibe, and Luc Van Gool. Depth and appearance for mobile scene analysis. In *2007 IEEE 11th international conference on computer vision*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2007.
- [66] Christian Wojek, Stefan Walk, and Bernt Schiele. Multi-cue onboard pedestrian detection. In *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 794–801. IEEE, 2009.
- [67] Wongun Choi, Khuram Shahid, and Silvio Savarese. What are they doing?: Collective activity classification using spatio-temporal relationship among people. In *2009 IEEE 12th international conference on computer vision workshops, ICCV Workshops*, pages 1282–1289. IEEE, 2009.
- [68] David M Chen, Georges Baatz, Kevin Köser, Sam S Tsai, Ramakrishna Vedantham, Timo Pylvänäinen, Kimmo Roimela, Xin Chen, Jeff Bach, Marc Pollefeys, et al. City-scale landmark identification on mobile devices. In *CVPR 2011*, pages 737–744. IEEE, 2011.
- [69] Xiaofei Li, Fabian Flohr, Yue Yang, Hui Xiong, Markus Braun, Shuyue Pan, Keqiang Li, and Dariu M Gavrilă. A new benchmark

- for vision-based cyclist detection. In *2016 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1028–1033. IEEE, 2016.
- [70] Radu Timofte, Karel Zimmermann, and Luc Van Gool. Multi-view traffic sign detection, recognition, and 3d localisation. *Machine vision and applications*, 25:633–647, 2014.
- [71] Alex Teichman, Jesse Levinson, and Sebastian Thrun. Towards 3d object recognition via classification of arbitrary object tracks. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 4034–4041. IEEE, 2011.
- [72] Claudio Caraffi, Tomáš Vojř, Jiří Trefný, Jan Šochman, and Jiří Matas. A system for real-time detection and tracking of vehicles from a single car-mounted camera. In *2012 15th international IEEE conference on intelligent transportation systems*, pages 975–982. IEEE, 2012.
- [73] José-Luis Blanco-Claraco, Francisco-Angel Moreno-Duenas, and Javier González-Jiménez. The Málaga urban dataset: High-rate stereo and lidar in a realistic urban scenario. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 33(2):207–214, 2014.
- [74] Mark De Deuge, Alastair Quadros, Calvin Hung, and Bertrand Douillard. Unsupervised feature learning for classification of outdoor 3d scans. In *Australasian conference on robotics and automation*, volume 2. University of New South Wales Kensington, Australia, 2013.
- [75] David Pfeiffer, Stefan Gehrig, and Nicolai Schneider. Exploiting the power of stereo confidences. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 297–304, 2013.
- [76] Kevin Matzen and Noah Snavely. Nyc3dcars: A dataset of 3d vehicles in geographic context. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 761–768, 2013.
- [77] Philipp Koschorrek, Tommaso Piccini, Per Oberg, Michael Felsberg, Lars Nielsen, and Rudolf Mester. A multi-sensor traffic scene dataset with omnidirectional video. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 727–734, 2013.
- [78] Nicolas Schneider and Dariu M Gavrilă. Pedestrian path prediction with recursive bayesian filters: A comparative study. In *german conference on pattern recognition*, pages 174–183. Springer, 2013.
- [79] Andreas Møgelmoose, Dongran Liu, and Mohan M Trivedi. Traffic sign detection for our roads: Remaining challenges and a case for tracking. In *17th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1394–1399. IEEE, 2014.
- [80] Andrés Serna, Beatriz Marcotegui, François Goulette, and Jean-Emmanuel Deschaud. Paris-rue-madame database: A 3d mobile laser scanner dataset for benchmarking urban detection, segmentation and classification methods. In *4th international conference on pattern recognition, applications and methods ICPRAM 2014*, 2014.
- [81] Ricardo Guerrero-Gómez-Olmedo, Beatriz Torre-Jiménez, Roberto López-Sastre, Saturnino Maldonado-Bascón, and Daniel Onor-Rubio. Extremely overlapping vehicle counting. In *Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis: 7th Iberian Conference, IbPRIA 2015, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, June 17-19, 2015, Proceedings 7*, pages 423–431. Springer, 2015.
- [82] Nikolaus Mayer, Eddy Ilg, Philip Hausser, Philipp Fischer, Daniel Cremers, Alexey Dosovitskiy, and Thomas Brox. A large dataset to train convolutional networks for disparity, optical flow, and scene flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4040–4048, 2016.
- [83] Longyin Wen, Dawei Du, Zhaowei Cai, Zhen Lei, Ming-Ching Chang, Honggang Qi, Jongwoo Lim, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Siwei Lyu. Ua-detrac: A new benchmark and protocol for multi-object detection and tracking. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 193:102907, 2020.
- [84] Nicholas Carlevaris-Bianco, Arash K Ushani, and Ryan M Eustice. University of michigan north campus long-term vision and lidar dataset. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 35(9):1023–1035, 2016.
- [85] Roberto Guzmán, Jean-Bernard Hayet, and Reinhard Klette. Towards ubiquitous autonomous driving: The ccsad dataset. In *Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns: 16th International Conference, CAIP 2015, Valletta, Malta, September 2-4, 2015 Proceedings, Part I 16*, pages 582–593. Springer, 2015.
- [86] Soonmin Hwang, Jaesik Park, Namil Kim, Yukyung Choi, and In So Kweon. Multispectral pedestrian detection: Benchmark dataset and baseline. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1037–1045, 2015.
- [87] Peter Pinggera, Sebastian Ramos, Stefan Gehrig, Uwe Franke, Carsten Rother, and Rudolf Mester. Lost and found: detecting small road hazards for self-driving vehicles. In *2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 1099–1106. IEEE, 2016.
- [88] Eduardo Romera, Luis M Bergasa, and Roberto Arroyo. Need data for driver behaviour analysis? presenting the public uah-driveset. In *2016 IEEE 19th international conference on intelligent transportation systems (ITSC)*, pages 387–392. IEEE, 2016.
- [89] D Temel, G Kwon, M Prabhushankar, and G AlRegib. Cure-ts: Challenging unreal and real environments for traffic sign recognition. arxiv 2017. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.02463*, 2017.
- [90] TuSimple. Tusimple benchmark: Datasets and ground truths for lane detection and velocity estimation. <https://github.com/TuSimple/tusimple-benchmark>, 2024. Accessed: December 3, 2024.
- [91] Xiaolong Liu, Zhidong Deng, Hongchao Lu, and Lele Cao. Benchmark for road marking detection: Dataset specification and performance baseline. In *2017 IEEE 20th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2017.
- [92] Karsten Behrendt, Libor Novak, and Rami Botros. A deep learning approach to traffic lights: Detection, tracking, and classification. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 1370–1377. IEEE, 2017.
- [93] Jinyong Jeong, Younggun Cho, Young-Sik Shin, Hyunchul Roh, and Ayoung Kim. Complex urban dataset with multi-level sensors from highly diverse urban environments. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 38(6):642–657, 2019.
- [94] Yuhuang Hu, Jonathan Binas, Daniel Neil, Shih-Chii Liu, and Tobi Delbruck. Ddd20 end-to-end event camera driving dataset: Fusing frames and events with deep learning for improved steering prediction. In *2020 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2020.
- [95] Wonhui Kim, Manikandasriram Srinivasan Ramanagopal, Charles Barto, Ming-Yuan Yu, Karl Rosaen, Nick Goumas, Ram Vasudevan, and Matthew Johnson-Roberson. Pedx: Benchmark dataset for metric 3-d pose estimation of pedestrians in complex urban intersections. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 4(2):1940–1947, 2019.
- [96] Yiping Chen, Jingkan Wang, Jonathan Li, Cewu Lu, Zhipeng Luo, Han Xue, and Cheng Wang. Lidar-video driving dataset: Learning driving policies effectively. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5870–5878, 2018.
- [97] Magnus Wrenninge and Jonas Unger. Synscapes: A photorealistic synthetic dataset for street scene parsing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.08705*, 2018.
- [98] Alex Zihao Zhu, Dinesh Thakur, Tolga Özaslan, Bernd Pfrommer, Vijay Kumar, and Kostas Daniilidis. The multivehicle stereo event camera dataset: An event camera dataset for 3d perception. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 3(3):2032–2039, 2018.
- [99] Torsten Sattler, Will Maddern, Carl Toft, Akihiko Torii, Lars Hammarstrand, Erik Stenborg, Daniel Safari, Masatoshi Okutomi, Marc Pollefeys, Josef Sivic, et al. Benchmarking 6dof outdoor visual localization in changing conditions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8601–8610, 2018.
- [100] Ankit Parag Shah, Jean-Baptiste Lamare, Tuan Nguyen-Anh, and Alexander Hauptmann. Cadp: A novel dataset for cctv traffic camera based accident analysis. In *2018 15th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Video and Signal Based Surveillance (AVSS)*, pages 1–9. IEEE, 2018.
- [101] Tobias Fleck, Karam Daaboul, Michael Weber, Philip Schörner, Marek Wehmer, Jens Doll, Stefan Orf, Nico Sußmann, Christian Hubschneider, Marc René Zofka, et al. Towards large scale urban traffic reference data: Smart infrastructure in the test area autonomous driving baden-württemberg. In *Intelligent Autonomous Systems 15: Proceedings of the 15th International Conference IAS-15*, pages 964–982. Springer, 2019.
- [102] Harald Schafer, Eder Santana, Andrew Haden, and Riccardo Biasini. A commute in data: The comma2k19 dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.05752*, 2018.
- [103] Dengxin Dai and Luc Van Gool. Dark model adaptation: Semantic image segmentation from daytime to nighttime. In *2018 21st International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 3819–3824. IEEE, 2018.

- [104] Fatemeh Sadat Saleh, Mohammad Sadegh Aliakbarian, Mathieu Salzmann, Lars Petersson, and Jose M Alvarez. Effective use of synthetic data for urban scene semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 84–100, 2018.
- [105] Xavier Roynard, Jean-Emmanuel Deschaud, and François Goulette. Paris-lille-3d: A large and high-quality ground-truth urban point cloud dataset for automatic segmentation and classification. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 37(6):545–557, 2018.
- [106] Lukáš Neumann, Michelle Karg, Shanshan Zhang, Christian Scharfenberger, Eric Piegert, Sarah Mistr, Olga Prokofyeva, Robert Thiel, Andrea Vedaldi, Andrew Zisserman, et al. Nightowls: A pedestrians at night dataset. In *Computer Vision—ACCV 2018: 14th Asian Conference on Computer Vision, Perth, Australia, December 2–6, 2018, Revised Selected Papers, Part I 14*, pages 691–705. Springer, 2019.
- [107] Markus Braun, Sebastian Krebs, Fabian Flohr, and Dariu M Gavrilă. The eurocity persons dataset: A novel benchmark for object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.07193*, 2018.
- [108] Gyeongmin Choe, Seong-Heum Kim, Sunghoon Im, Joon-Young Lee, Srinivasa G Narasimhan, and In So Kweon. Ranus: Rgb and nir urban scene dataset for deep scene parsing. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 3(3):1808–1815, 2018.
- [109] David Griffiths and Jan Boehm. Synthcity: A large scale synthetic point cloud. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.04758*, 2019.
- [110] Zhengping Che, Guangyu Li, Tracy Li, Bo Jiang, Xuefeng Shi, Xinsheng Zhang, Ying Lu, Guobin Wu, Yan Liu, and Jieping Ye. d_2 -city: a large-scale dashcam video dataset of diverse traffic scenarios. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01975*, 2019.
- [111] Mohamed Aly. Real time detection of lane markers in urban streets. In *2008 IEEE intelligent vehicles symposium*, pages 7–12. IEEE, 2008.
- [112] Yiqun Dong, Yuanxin Zhong, Wenbo Yu, Minghan Zhu, Pingping Lu, Yeyang Fang, Jiajun Hong, and Hwei Peng. Mcity data collection for automated vehicles study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.06258*, 2019.
- [113] Wensheng Cheng, Hao Luo, Wen Yang, Lei Yu, Shoushun Chen, and Wei Li. Det: A high-resolution dvs dataset for lane extraction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 0–0, 2019.
- [114] Braden Hurl, Krzysztof Czarnecki, and Steven Waslander. Precise synthetic image and lidar (presil) dataset for autonomous vehicle perception. In *2019 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 2522–2529. IEEE, 2019.
- [115] Abhishek Patil, Srikanth Malla, Haiming Gang, and Yi-Ting Chen. The h3d dataset for full-surround 3d multi-object detection and tracking in crowded urban scenes. In *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 9552–9557. IEEE, 2019.
- [116] Karsten Behrendt and Ryan Soussan. Unsupervised labeled lane markers using maps. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision workshops*, pages 0–0, 2019.
- [117] Li Ding, Jack Terwilliger, Rini Sheroni, Bryan Reimer, and Lex Fridman. Value of temporal dynamics information in driving scene segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 7(1):113–122, 2021.
- [118] Michael Meyer and Georg Kusch. Automotive radar dataset for deep learning based 3d object detection. In *2019 16th european radar conference (EuRAD)*, pages 129–132. IEEE, 2019.
- [119] Sauradip Nag, Saptakatha Adak, and Sukhendu Das. What’s there in the dark. In *2019 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 2996–3000. IEEE, 2019.
- [120] Karsten Behrendt. Boxy vehicle detection in large images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision workshops*, pages 0–0, 2019.
- [121] Maggie Wigness, Sungmin Eum, John G Rogers, David Han, and Heesung Kwon. A rugd dataset for autonomous navigation and visual perception in unstructured outdoor environments. In *2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 5000–5007. IEEE, 2019.
- [122] Xibin Song, Peng Wang, Dingfu Zhou, Rui Zhu, Chenye Guan, Yuchao Dai, Hao Su, Hongdong Li, and Ruigang Yang. Apollocar3d: A large 3d car instance understanding benchmark for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5452–5462, 2019.
- [123] Yu Yao, Mingze Xu, Chiho Choi, David J Crandall, Ella M Atkins, and Behzad Dariush. Egocentric vision-based future vehicle localization for intelligent driving assistance systems. In *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 9711–9717. IEEE, 2019.
- [124] Jinkyu Kim, Teruhisa Misu, Yi-Ting Chen, Ashish Tawari, and John Canny. Grounding human-to-vehicle advice for self-driving vehicles. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10591–10599, 2019.
- [125] Felipe Codevilla, Eder Santana, Antonio M López, and Adrien Gaidon. Exploring the limitations of behavior cloning for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 9329–9338, 2019.
- [126] Adam Ligocki, Ales Jelinek, and Ludek Zalud. Brno urban dataset—the new data for self-driving agents and mapping tasks. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 3284–3290. IEEE, 2020.
- [127] Yihang Lou, Yan Bai, Jun Liu, Shiqi Wang, and Lingyu Duan. Veri-wild: A large dataset and a new method for vehicle re-identification in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3235–3243, 2019.
- [128] Zheng Tang, Milind Naphade, Ming-Yu Liu, Xiaodong Yang, Stan Birchfield, Shuo Wang, Ratnesh Kumar, David Anastasiu, and Jenq-Neng Hwang. Cityflow: A city-scale benchmark for multi-target multi-camera vehicle tracking and re-identification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8797–8806, 2019.
- [129] Kai Cordes and Hellward Broszio. Vehicle lane merge visual benchmark. In *2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, pages 715–722. IEEE, 2021.
- [130] Aasheesh Singh, Aditya Kamireddypalli, Vineet Gandhi, and K Madhava Krishna. Lidar guided small obstacle segmentation. In *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 8513–8520. IEEE, 2020.
- [131] Ze Wang, Sihao Ding, Ying Li, Jonas Fenn, Sohini Roychowdhury, Andreas Wallin, Lane Martin, Scott Ryvola, Guillermo Sapiro, and Qiang Qiu. Cirrus: A long-range bi-pattern lidar dataset. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 5744–5750. IEEE, 2021.
- [132] Eslam Mohamed, Mahmoud Ewaisha, Mennatullah Siam, Hazem Rashed, Senthil Yogamani, Waleed Hamdy, Mohamed El-Dakdouky, and Ahmad El-Sallab. Monocular instance motion segmentation for autonomous driving: Kitti instancemotseg dataset and multi-task baseline. In *2021 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 114–121. IEEE, 2021.
- [133] Quang-Hieu Pham, Pierre Sevestre, Ramanpreet Singh Pahwa, Huijing Zhan, Chun Ho Pang, Yuda Chen, Armin Mustafa, Vijay Chandrasekhar, and Jie Lin. A* 3d dataset: Towards autonomous driving in challenging environments. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 2267–2273. IEEE, 2020.
- [134] Weikai Tan, Nannan Qin, Lingfei Ma, Ying Li, Jing Du, Guorong Cai, Ke Yang, and Jonathan Li. Toronto-3d: A large-scale mobile lidar dataset for semantic segmentation of urban roadways. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 202–203, 2020.
- [135] Li Ding, Michael Glazer, Meng Wang, Bruce Mehler, Bryan Reimer, and Lex Fridman. Mit-avt clustered driving scene dataset: Evaluating perception systems in real-world naturalistic driving scenarios. In *2020 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 232–237. IEEE, 2020.
- [136] Matthew Pitropov, Danson Evan Garcia, Jason Rebello, Michael Smart, Carlos Wang, Krzysztof Czarnecki, and Steven Waslander. Canadian adverse driving conditions dataset. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 40(4-5):681–690, 2021.
- [137] Yancheng Pan, Biao Gao, Jilin Mei, Sibao Geng, Chengkun Li, and Huijing Zhao. Semanticpos: A point cloud dataset with large quantity of dynamic instances. In *2020 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 687–693. IEEE, 2020.
- [138] Emanuele Alberti, Antonio Tavera, Carlo Masone, and Barbara Caputo. Idda: A large-scale multi-domain dataset for autonomous driving. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 5(4):5526–5533, 2020.
- [139] Arthur Ouaknine, Alasdair Newson, Julien Rebut, Florence Tupin, and Patrick Pérez. Carrada dataset: Camera and automotive radar with range-angle-doppler annotations. In *2020 25th International*

- Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, pages 5068–5075. IEEE, 2021.
- [140] Srikanth Malla, Behzad Dariush, and Chiho Choi. Titan: Future forecast using action priors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11186–11196, 2020.
- [141] Zhifeng Xie, Sen Wang, Ke Xu, Zhizhong Zhang, Xin Tan, Yuan Xie, and Lizhuang Ma. Boosting night-time scene parsing with learnable frequency. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 32:2386–2398, 2023.
- [142] Vitor Guizilini, Rares Ambrus, Sudeep Pillai, Allan Raventos, and Adrien Gaidon. 3d packing for self-supervised monocular depth estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2485–2494, 2020.
- [143] Giseop Kim, Yeong Sang Park, Younghun Cho, Jinyong Jeong, and Ayoung Kim. Mulran: Multimodal range dataset for urban place recognition. In *2020 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 6246–6253. IEEE, 2020.
- [144] Dan Barnes, Matthew Gadd, Paul Murcutt, Paul Newman, and Ingmar Posner. The oxford radar robotcar dataset: A radar extension to the oxford robotcar dataset. In *2020 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 6433–6438. IEEE, 2020.
- [145] Yao Zhou, Guowei Wan, Shenhua Hou, Li Yu, Gang Wang, Xiaofei Rui, and Shiyu Song. Da4ad: End-to-end deep attention-based visual localization for autonomous driving. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXVIII 16*, pages 271–289. Springer, 2020.
- [146] Eduardo Arnold, Mehrdad Dianati, Robert de Temple, and Saber Fallah. Cooperative perception for 3d object detection in driving scenarios using infrastructure sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(3):1852–1864, 2020.
- [147] Zhi Yan, Li Sun, Tomáš Krájník, and Yassine Ruichek. Eu long-term dataset with multiple sensors for autonomous driving. In *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 10697–10704. IEEE, 2020.
- [148] Milad Ramezani, Yiduo Wang, Marco Camurri, David Wisth, Matias Mattamala, and Maurice Fallon. The newer college dataset: Handheld lidar, inertial and vision with ground truth. In *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 4353–4360. IEEE, 2020.
- [149] Wentao Bao, Qi Yu, and Yu Kong. Uncertainty-based traffic accident anticipation with spatio-temporal relational learning. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 2682–2690, 2020.
- [150] Alexander Carballo, Jacob Lambert, Abraham Monrroy, David Wong, Patiphon Narksri, Yuki Kitsukawa, Eijiro Takeuchi, Shinpei Kato, and Kazuya Takeda. Libre: The multiple 3d lidar dataset. In *2020 IEEE intelligent vehicles symposium (IV)*, pages 1094–1101. IEEE, 2020.
- [151] Tobias Gruber, Frank Julca-Aguilar, Mario Bijelic, and Felix Heide. Gated2depth: Real-time dense lidar from gated images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1506–1516, 2019.
- [152] Julian Wiederer, Arij Bouazizi, Ulrich Kressel, and Vasileios Belagiannis. Traffic control gesture recognition for autonomous vehicles. In *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 10676–10683. IEEE, 2020.
- [153] Mathias Gehrig, Willem Aarents, Daniel Gehrig, and Davide Scaramuzza. Dsec: A stereo event camera dataset for driving scenarios. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 6(3):4947–4954, 2021.
- [154] Patrick Wenzel, Rui Wang, Nan Yang, Qing Cheng, Qadeer Khan, Lukas von Stumberg, Niclas Zeller, and Daniel Cremers. 4seasons: A cross-season dataset for multi-weather slam in autonomous driving. In *Pattern Recognition: 42nd DAGM German Conference, DAGM GCP 2020, Tübingen, Germany, September 28–October 1, 2020, Proceedings 42*, pages 404–417. Springer, 2021.
- [155] Sascha Saralajew, Lars Ohnemus, Lukas Ewecker, Ebubekir Asan, Simon Isele, and Stefan Roos. A dataset for provident vehicle detection at night. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 9750–9757. IEEE, 2021.
- [156] Christos Sakaridis, Dengxin Dai, and Luc Van Gool. Acdc: The adverse conditions dataset with correspondences for semantic driving scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10765–10775, 2021.
- [157] Haruya Sakashita, Christoph Flothow, Noriko Takemura, and Yusuke Sugano. Driv100: In-the-wild multi-domain dataset and evaluation for real-world domain adaptation of semantic segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.00150*, 2021.
- [158] Lichao Wang, Lanxin Lei, Hongli Song, and Weibao Wang. The neolix open dataset for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13528*, 2020.
- [159] Huanan Wang, Xinyu Zhang, Zhiwei Li, Jun Li, Kun Wang, Zhu Lei, and Ren Haibing. Ips300+: a challenging multi-modal data sets for intersection perception system. In *2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 2539–2545. IEEE, 2022.
- [160] Paul Spannaus, Peter Zechel, and Kilian Lenz. Automatum data: Drone-based highway dataset for the development and validation of automated driving software for research and commercial applications. In *2021 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1372–1377. IEEE, 2021.
- [161] Li Li, Khalid N Ismail, Hubert PH Shum, and Toby P Breckon. Durlar: A high-fidelity 128-channel lidar dataset with panoramic ambient and reflectivity imagery for multi-modal autonomous driving applications. In *2021 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pages 1227–1237. IEEE, 2021.
- [162] Bassam Helou, Aditya Dusi, Anne Collin, Noushin Mehdipour, Zhiliang Chen, Cristhian Lizarazo, Calin Belta, Tichakorn Wongpiromsarn, Radboud Duintjer Tebbens, and Oscar Beijbom. The reasonable crowd: Towards evidence-based and interpretable models of driving behavior. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 6708–6715. IEEE, 2021.
- [163] Matteo Fabbri, Guillem Brasó, Gianluca Maugeri, Orcun Cetintas, Riccardo Gasparini, Aljoša Ošep, Simone Calderara, Laura Leal-Taixé, and Rita Cucchiara. Motsynth: How can synthetic data help pedestrian detection and tracking? In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10849–10859, 2021.
- [164] Francisco Rivera Valverde, Juana Valeria Hurtado, and Abhinav Valada. There is more than meets the eye: Self-supervised multi-object detection and tracking with sound by distilling multimodal knowledge. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11612–11621, 2021.
- [165] Nguyen Anh Minh Mai, Pierre Duthon, Louahdi Khoudour, Alain Cruzil, and Sergio A Velastin. 3d object detection with sls-fusion network in foggy weather conditions. *Sensors*, 21(20):6711, 2021.
- [166] Y Yuan and M Sester. Comap: A synthetic dataset for collective multi-agent perception of autonomous driving. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 43:255–263, 2021.
- [167] Jeongwoo Oh, Gunmin Lee, Jeongeun Park, Wooseok Oh, Jaeseok Heo, Hojun Chung, Do Hyung Kim, Byungkyu Park, Chang-Gun Lee, Sungjoon Choi, et al. Towards defensive autonomous driving: Collecting and probing driving demonstrations of mixed qualities. In *2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 12528–12533. IEEE, 2022.
- [168] Matthew Howe, Ian Reid, and Jamie Mackenzie. Weakly supervised training of monocular 3d object detectors using wide baseline multi-view traffic camera data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10966*, 2021.
- [169] Oshada Jayasinghe, Sahan Hemachandra, Damith Anhettigama, Shenali Kariyawasam, Ranga Rodrigo, and Peshala Jayasekara. Ceymo: See more on roads—a novel benchmark dataset for road marking detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 3104–3113, 2022.
- [170] Jiongchao Jin, Arezou Fatemi, Wallace Michel Pinto Lira, Fenggen Yu, Biao Leng, Rui Ma, Ali Mahdavi-Amiri, and Hao Zhang. Raidar: A rich annotated image dataset of dataset of rainy street scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2951–2961, 2021.
- [171] Hermann Blum, Paul-Edouard Sarlin, Juan Nieto, Roland Siegwart, and Cesar Cadena. The fishyscapes benchmark: Measuring blind spots in semantic segmentation. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129(11):3119–3135, 2021.
- [172] Ole Schumann, Markus Hahn, Nicolas Scheiner, Fabio Weishaupt, Julius F Tilly, Jürgen Dickmann, and Christian Wöhler. Radarscenes: A real-world radar point cloud data set for automotive applications. In *2021 IEEE 24th International Conference on Information Fusion (FUSION)*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2021.
- [173] Xinshuo Weng, Yunze Man, Jinhung Park, Ye Yuan, Matthew

- O'Toole, and Kris M Kitani. All-in-one drive: A comprehensive perception dataset with high-density long-range point clouds. In *NeurIPS 2021 Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2021.
- [174] Pengchuan Xiao, Zhenlei Shao, Steven Hao, Zishuo Zhang, Xiaolin Chai, Judy Jiao, Zesong Li, Jian Wu, Kai Sun, Kun Jiang, et al. Pandaset: Advanced sensor suite dataset for autonomous driving. In *2021 IEEE International Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*, pages 3095–3101. IEEE, 2021.
- [175] Jianhua Han, Xiwen Liang, Hang Xu, Kai Chen, Lanqing Hong, Jiageng Mao, Chaoqiang Ye, Wei Zhang, Zhenguo Li, Xiaodan Liang, et al. Soda10m: A large-scale 2d self/semi-supervised object detection dataset for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11118*, 2021.
- [176] Jean-Luc Déziel, Pierre Merriault, Francis Tremblay, Dave Lessard, Dominique Plourde, Julien Stanguennec, Pierre Goulet, and Pierre Olivier. Pixset: An opportunity for 3d computer vision to go beyond point clouds with a full-waveform lidar dataset. In *2021 IEEE International Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*, pages 2987–2993. IEEE, 2021.
- [177] Robin Chan, Krzysztof Lis, Svenja Uhlemeyer, Hermann Blum, Sina Honari, Roland Siegwart, Pascal Fua, Mathieu Salzmann, and Matthias Rottmann. Segmentationmeifyoucan: A benchmark for anomaly segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.14812*, 2021.
- [178] Yujun Zhang, Lei Zhu, Wei Feng, Huazhu Fu, Mingqian Wang, Qingxia Li, Cheng Li, and Song Wang. Vil-100: A new dataset and a baseline model for video instance lane detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 15681–15690, 2021.
- [179] Xinyu Zhang, Zhiwei Li, Yan Gong, Dafeng Jin, Jun Li, Li Wang, Yan Zhang, and Huaping Liu. Openmpd: An open multimodal perception dataset for autonomous driving. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 71(3):2437–2447, 2022.
- [180] Akhil Kurup and Jeremy Bos. Dsor: A scalable statistical filter for removing falling snow from lidar point clouds in severe winter weather. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07078*, 2021.
- [181] Jianming Zhang, Xin Zou, Li-Dan Kuang, Jin Wang, R Simon Sherratt, and Xiaofeng Yu. Ctsdb 2021: a more comprehensive traffic sign detection benchmark. *Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences*, 12, 2022.
- [182] Peng Jiang and Srikanth Saripalli. Lidarnet: A boundary-aware domain adaptation model for point cloud semantic segmentation. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 2457–2464. IEEE, 2021.
- [183] Bonifaz Stuhr, Johann Haselberger, and Julian Gebele. Carlane: A lane detection benchmark for unsupervised domain adaptation from simulation to multiple real-world domains. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:4046–4058, 2022.
- [184] Alexander Lehner, Stefano Gasperini, Alvaro Marcos-Ramiro, Michael Schmidt, Mohammad-Ali Nikouei Mahani, Nassir Navab, Benjamin Busam, and Federico Tombari. 3d-vfield: Adversarial augmentation of point clouds for domain generalization in 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 17295–17304, 2022.
- [185] Eduardo Arnold, Sajjad Mozaffari, and Mehrdad Dianati. Fast and robust registration of partially overlapping point clouds. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(2):1502–1509, 2021.
- [186] Andreas Kloukinitis, Andreas Papanreou, Christos Anagnostopoulos, Aris Lalos, Petros Kapsalas, Duong-Van Nguyen, and Konstantinos Moustakas. Carlasces: A synthetic dataset for odometry in autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4520–4528, 2022.
- [187] Runsheng Xu, Hao Xiang, Xin Xia, Xu Han, Jinlong Li, and Jiaqi Ma. Opv2v: An open benchmark dataset and fusion pipeline for perception with vehicle-to-vehicle communication. In *2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 2583–2589. IEEE, 2022.
- [188] Kira Maag, Robin Chan, Svenja Uhlemeyer, Kamil Kowol, and Hanno Gottschalk. Two video data sets for tracking and retrieval of out of distribution objects. In *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3776–3794, 2022.
- [189] Kai Cordes, Christoph Reinders, Paul Hindricks, Jonas Lammers, Bodo Rosenhahn, and Hellward Broszio. Roadsaw: A large-scale dataset for camera-based road surface and wetness estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4440–4449, 2022.
- [190] Hanan Quispe, Jorshinno Sumire, Patricia Condori, Edwin Alvarez, and Harley Vera. I see you: A vehicle-pedestrian interaction dataset from traffic surveillance cameras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09342*, 2022.
- [191] Xiaofeng Wang, Zheng Zhu, Yunpeng Zhang, Guan Huang, Yun Ye, Wenbo Xu, Ziwei Chen, and Xingang Wang. Are we ready for vision-centric driving streaming perception? the asap benchmark. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 9600–9610, 2023.
- [192] Jasmin Breitenstein and Tim Fingscheidt. Amodal cityscapes: a new dataset, its generation, and an amodal semantic segmentation challenge baseline. In *2022 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1018–1025. IEEE, 2022.
- [193] Ahmed Rida Sekkat, Yohan Dupuis, Varun Ravi Kumar, Hazem Rashed, Senthil Yogamani, Pascal Vasseur, and Paul Honeine. Synwoodscape: Synthetic surround-view fisheye camera dataset for autonomous driving. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(3):8502–8509, 2022.
- [194] Lianqing Zheng, Zhixiong Ma, Xichan Zhu, Bin Tan, Sen Li, Kai Long, Weiqi Sun, Sihao Chen, Lu Zhang, Mengyue Wan, et al. Tj4dradset: A 4d radar dataset for autonomous driving. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 493–498. IEEE, 2022.
- [195] Kaican Li, Kai Chen, Haoyu Wang, Lanqing Hong, Chaoqiang Ye, Jianhua Han, Yukuai Chen, Wei Zhang, Chunjing Xu, Dit-Yan Yeung, et al. Coda: A real-world road corner case dataset for object detection in autonomous driving. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 406–423. Springer, 2022.
- [196] Martin Hahner, Christos Sakaridis, Mario Bijelic, Felix Heide, Fisher Yu, Dengxin Dai, and Luc Van Gool. Lidar snowfall simulation for robust 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 16364–16374, 2022.
- [197] Gianni Franchi, Xuanlong Yu, Andrei Bursuc, Angel Tena, Rémi Kazmierczak, Séverine Dubuisson, Emanuel Aldea, and David Filliat. Muad: Multiple uncertainties for autonomous driving, a benchmark for multiple uncertainty types and tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.01437*, 2022.
- [198] Jiaxun Cui, Hang Qiu, Dian Chen, Peter Stone, and Yuke Zhu. Coopernaut: End-to-end driving with cooperative perception for networked vehicles. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17252–17262, 2022.
- [199] Zhengwei Bai, Guoyuan Wu, Matthew J Barth, Yongkang Liu, Emrah Akin Sisbot, and Kentaro Oguchi. Pillargrid: Deep learning-based cooperative perception for 3d object detection from onboard-roadside lidar. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1743–1749. IEEE, 2022.
- [200] Dong-Hee Paek, Seung-Hyung Kong, and Kevin Tirta Wijaya. K-lane: Lidar lane dataset and benchmark for urban roads and highways. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4450–4459, 2022.
- [201] Carlos A Diaz-Ruiz, Youya Xia, Yurong You, Jose Nino, Junan Chen, Josephine Monica, Xiangyu Chen, Katie Luo, Yan Wang, Marc Emond, et al. Ithaca365: Dataset and driving perception under repeated and challenging weather conditions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21383–21392, 2022.
- [202] Nicholas Gray, Megan Moraes, Jiang Bian, Alex Wang, Allen Tian, Kurt Wilson, Yan Huang, Haoyi Xiong, and Zhishan Guo. Glare: A dataset for traffic sign detection in sun glare. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023.
- [203] Jiawei Hou, Qi Chen, Yurong Cheng, Guang Chen, Xiangyang Xue, Taiping Zeng, and Jian Pu. Sups: A simulated underground parking scenario dataset for autonomous driving. In *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 2265–2271. IEEE, 2022.
- [204] Keenan Burnett, David J Yoon, Yuchen Wu, Andrew Z Li, Haowei Zhang, Shichen Lu, Jingxing Qian, Wei-Kang Tseng, Andrew Lambert, Keith YK Leung, et al. Boreas: A multi-season autonomous driving dataset. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 42(1-2):33–42, 2023.
- [205] Lingdong Kong, Youquan Liu, Xin Li, Runnan Chen, Wenwei Zhang, Jiawei Ren, Liang Pan, Kai Chen, and Ziwei Liu. Robo3d: Towards

- robust and reliable 3d perception against corruptions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 19994–20006, 2023.
- [206] Mina Alibeigi, William Ljungbergh, Adam Tonderski, Georg Hess, Adam Lilja, Carl Lindström, Daria Motorniu, Junsheng Fu, Jenny Widahl, and Christoffer Petersson. Zenseact open dataset: A large-scale and diverse multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 20178–20188, 2023.
- [207] Tamás Matuszka, Iván Barton, Ádám Butykai, Péter Hajas, Dávid Kiss, Domonkos Kovács, Sándor Kunsági-Máté, Péter Lengyel, Gábor Németh, Levente Pető, et al. aimotive dataset: A multimodal dataset for robust autonomous driving with long-range perception. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09445*, 2022.
- [208] Martin Büchner, Jannik Zürn, Ion-George Todoran, Abhinav Valada, and Wolfram Burgard. Learning and aggregating lane graphs for urban automated driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13415–13424, 2023.
- [209] Aboli Marathe, Deva Ramanan, Rahee Walambe, and Ketan Kotecha. Wedge: A multi-weather autonomous driving dataset built from generative vision-language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3318–3327, 2023.
- [210] Huijie Wang, Tianyu Li, Yang Li, Li Chen, Chonghao Sima, Zhenbo Liu, Bangjun Wang, Peijin Jia, Yuting Wang, Shengyin Jiang, et al. Openlane-v2: A topology reasoning benchmark for unified 3d hd mapping. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [211] Yiming Li, Sihang Li, Xinhao Liu, Moonjun Gong, Kenan Li, Nuo Chen, Zijun Wang, Zhiheng Li, Tao Jiang, Fisher Yu, et al. Ssbench: A large-scale 3d semantic scene completion benchmark for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09001*, 2023.
- [212] Kai Cordes and Hellward Broszio. Camera-based road snow coverage estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4011–4019, 2023.
- [213] Hao Xiang, Zhaoliang Zheng, Xin Xia, Runsheng Xu, Letian Gao, Zewei Zhou, Xu Han, Xinkai Ji, Mingxi Li, Zonglin Meng, et al. V2x-real: a large-scale dataset for vehicle-to-everything cooperative perception. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16034*, 2024.
- [214] Ruiyang Hao, Siqi Fan, Yingru Dai, Zhenlin Zhang, Chenxi Li, Yuntian Wang, Haibao Yu, Wenxian Yang, Jirui Yuan, and Zaiqing Nie. Rcooper: A real-world large-scale dataset for roadside cooperative perception. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22347–22357, 2024.
- [215] FLIR ADAS Dataset. <https://www.flir.com/oem/adas/adas-dataset-form/>. Accessed: 2023-08-01.
- [216] Weizi Li, David Wolinski, and Ming C. Lin. ADAPS: Autonomous driving via principled simulations. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 7625–7631, 2019.
- [217] Yu Shen, Weizi Li, and Ming C. Lin. Inverse reinforcement learning with hybrid-weight trust-region optimization and curriculum learning for autonomous maneuvering. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 7421–7428, 2022.
- [218] Lei Lin, Weizi Li, Huikun Bi, and Lingqiao Qin. Vehicle trajectory prediction using LSTMs with spatial-temporal attention mechanisms. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, 14(2):197–208, 2022.
- [219] Yu Shen, Laura Zheng, Manli Shu, Weizi Li, Tom Goldstein, and Ming C. Lin. Gradient-free adversarial training against image corruption for learning-based steering. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, pages 26250–26263, 2021.
- [220] Michael Villarreal, Bibek Poudel, Ryan Wickman, Yu Shen, and Weizi Li. Autojoin: Efficient adversarial training for robust maneuvering via denoising autoencoder and joint learning. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2024.
- [221] Bibek Poudel, Thomas Watson, and Weizi Li. Learning to control dc motor for micromobility in real time with reinforcement learning. In *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 1248–1254, 2022.
- [222] Iftekharul Islam, Weizi Li, Shuai Li, and Kevin Heaslip. Heterogeneous mixed traffic control and coordination. In *Heterogeneous Mixed Traffic Control and Coordination*, 2024.
- [223] Dawei Wang, Weizi Li, Lei Zhu, and Jia Pan. Learning to control and coordinate mixed traffic through robot vehicles at complex and unsignalized intersections. *International Journal of Robotics Research*, 2024.
- [224] Dawei Wang, Weizi Li, and Jia Pan. Large-scale mixed traffic control using dynamic vehicle routing and privacy-preserving crowdsourcing. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 11(2):1981–1989, 2024.
- [225] Bibek Poudel, Weizi Li, and Shuai Li. Carl: Congestion-aware reinforcement learning for imitation-based perturbations in mixed traffic control. In *International Conference on CYBER Technology in Automation, Control, and Intelligent Systems (CYBER)*, 2024.
- [226] Bibek Poudel, Weizi Li, and Kevin Heaslip. Endurl: Enhancing safety, stability, and efficiency of mixed traffic under real-world perturbations via reinforcement learning. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2024.
- [227] Michael Villarreal, Dawei Wang, Jia Pan, and Weizi Li. Analyzing emissions and energy efficiency in mixed traffic control at unsignalized intersections. In *IEEE Forum for Innovative Sustainable Transportation Systems (FISTS)*, pages 1–7, 2024.
- [228] Michael Villarreal, Bibek Poudel, Jia Pan, and Weizi Li. Mixed traffic control and coordination from pixels. In *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 4488–4494, 2024.
- [229] Michael Villarreal, Bibek Poudel, and Weizi Li. Can chatgpt enable its? the case of mixed traffic control via reinforcement learning. In *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 3749–3755, 2023.
- [230] Bryan C Russell, Antonio Torralba, Kevin P Murphy, and William T Freeman. Labelme: a database and web-based tool for image annotation. *International journal of computer vision*, 77:157–173, 2008.
- [231] Ben-Li Wang, Chung-Ta King, and Hung-Kuo Chu. A semi-automatic video labeling tool for autonomous driving based on multi-object detector and tracker. In *2018 sixth international symposium on computing and networking (CANDAR)*, pages 201–206. IEEE, 2018.
- [232] Carl Vondrick, Donald Patterson, and Deva Ramanan. Efficiently scaling up crowdsourced video annotation: A set of best practices for high quality, economical video labeling. *International journal of computer vision*, 101:184–204, 2013.
- [233] NS Manikandan and K Ganesan. Deep learning based automatic video annotation tool for self-driving car. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.12618*, 2019.
- [234] Dominik Schörkhuber, Florian Groh, and Margrit Gelautz. Bounding box propagation for semi-automatic video annotation of nighttime driving scenes. In *2021 12th International Symposium on Image and Signal Processing and Analysis (ISPA)*, pages 131–137. IEEE, 2021.
- [235] Qinghao Meng, Wenguan Wang, Tianfei Zhou, Jianbing Shen, Yunde Jia, and Luc Van Gool. Towards a weakly supervised framework for 3d point cloud object detection and annotation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(8):4454–4468, 2021.
- [236] Dingyuan Zhang, Dingkan Liang, Zhikang Zou, Jingyu Li, Xiaoqing Ye, Zhe Liu, Xiao Tan, and Xiang Bai. A simple vision transformer for weakly supervised 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 8373–8383, 2023.
- [237] Xinyu Huang, Xinjing Cheng, Qichuan Geng, Binbin Cao, Dingfu Zhou, Peng Wang, Yuanqing Lin, and Ruigang Yang. The apollo-scape dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 954–960, 2018.
- [238] Walter Zimmer, Akshay Rangesh, and Mohan Trivedi. 3d bat: A semi-automatic, web-based 3d annotation toolbox for full-surround, multi-modal data streams. In *2019 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1816–1821. IEEE, 2019.
- [239] Dan Barnes, Will Maddern, and Ingmar Posner. Find your own way: Weakly-supervised segmentation of path proposals for urban autonomy. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 203–210. IEEE, 2017.
- [240] Fatemehsadat Saleh, Mohammad Sadegh Aliakbarian, Mathieu Salzmann, Lars Petersson, Stephen Gould, and Jose M Alvarez. Built-in foreground/background prior for weakly-supervised semantic segmentation. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VIII 14*, pages 413–432. Springer, 2016.

- [241] Andra Petrovai, Arthur D Costea, and Sergiu Nedevschi. Semi-automatic image annotation of street scenes. In *2017 IEEE intelligent vehicles symposium (IV)*, pages 448–455. IEEE, 2017.
- [242] David Acuna, Huan Ling, Amlan Kar, and Sanja Fidler. Efficient interactive annotation of segmentation datasets with polygon-rnn++. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 859–868, 2018.
- [243] Lluís Castrejón, Kaustav Kundu, Raquel Urtasun, and Sanja Fidler. Annotating object instances with a polygon-rnn. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5230–5238, 2017.
- [244] Jun Xie, Martin Kiefel, Ming-Ting Sun, and Andreas Geiger. Semantic instance annotation of street scenes by 3d to 2d label transfer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3688–3697, 2016.
- [245] Zhengyong Chen, Qinghai Liao, Zhe Wang, Yang Liu, and Ming Liu. Image detector based automatic 3d data labeling and training for vehicle detection on point cloud. In *2019 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1408–1413. IEEE, 2019.
- [246] Huan Luo, Cheng Wang, Chenglu Wen, Ziyi Chen, Dawei Zai, Yongtao Yu, and Jonathan Li. Semantic labeling of mobile lidar point clouds via active learning and higher order mrf. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 56(7):3631–3644, 2018.
- [247] Minghua Liu, Yin Zhou, Charles R Qi, Boqing Gong, Hao Su, and Dragomir Anguelov. Less: Label-efficient semantic segmentation for lidar point clouds. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 70–89. Springer, 2022.
- [248] Miao Wang, Tinosch Ganjineh, and Raúl Rojas. Action annotated trajectory generation for autonomous maneuvers on structured road networks. In *The 5th International conference on automation, robotics and applications*, pages 67–72. IEEE, 2011.
- [249] Sobhan Moosavi, Behrooz Omidvar-Tehrani, R Bruce Craig, and Rajiv Ramnath. Annotation of car trajectories based on driving patterns. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.05219*, 2017.
- [250] Sanna Jarl, Linus Aronsson, Sadeq Rahrovani, and Morteza Haghir Chehrehgani. Active learning of driving scenario trajectories. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 113:104972, 2022.
- [251] Oily Styles, Arun Ross, and Victor Sanchez. Forecasting pedestrian trajectory with machine-annotated training data. In *2019 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 716–721. IEEE, 2019.
- [252] Bernhard Wymann, Eric Espié, Christophe Guionneau, Christos Dimitrakakis, Rémi Coulom, and Andrew Sumner. Torcs, the open racing car simulator. *Software available at <http://torcs.sourceforge.net>*, 4(6):2, 2000.
- [253] Chenyi Chen, Ari Seff, Alain Kornhauser, and Jianxiong Xiao. Deep-driving: Learning affordance for direct perception in autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2722–2730, 2015.
- [254] Alexey Dosovitskiy, German Ros, Felipe Codevilla, Antonio Lopez, and Vladlen Koltun. Carla: An open urban driving simulator. In *Conference on robot learning*, pages 1–16. PMLR, 2017.
- [255] Daniel Krajzewicz, Jakob Erdmann, Michael Behrisch, and Laura Bieker. Recent development and applications of sumo-simulation of urban mobility. *International journal on advances in systems and measurements*, 5(3&4), 2012.
- [256] Shital Shah, Debadepta Dey, Chris Lovett, and Ashish Kapoor. Airsim: High-fidelity visual and physical simulation for autonomous vehicles. In *Field and Service Robotics: Results of the 11th International Conference*, pages 621–635. Springer, 2018.
- [257] NVIDIA Constellation for Self-Driving Car Simulation. <https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/self-driving-cars/simulation/>. Accessed: 2023-08-01.
- [258] Arthur Juliani, Vincent-Pierre Berges, Ervin Teng, Andrew Cohen, Jonathan Harper, Chris Elion, Chris Goy, Yuan Gao, Hunter Henry, Marwan Mattar, et al. Unity: A general platform for intelligent agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.02627*, 2018.
- [259] Stephan R Richter, Vibhav Vineet, Stefan Roth, and Vladlen Koltun. Playing for data: Ground truth from computer games. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part II 14*, pages 102–118. Springer, 2016.
- [260] Philipp Krähenbühl. Free supervision from video games. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2955–2964, 2018.
- [261] Tao Sun, Mattia Segu, Janis Postels, Yuxuan Wang, Luc Van Gool, Bernt Schiele, Federico Tombari, and Fisher Yu. Shift: a synthetic driving dataset for continuous multi-task domain adaptation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21371–21382, 2022.
- [262] Dan Hendrycks, Steven Basart, Mantas Mazeika, Andy Zou, Joe Kwon, Mohammadreza Mostajabi, Jacob Steinhardt, and Dawn Song. Scaling out-of-distribution detection for real-world settings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.11132*, 2019.
- [263] Rui Song, Runsheng Xu, Andreas Festag, Jiaqi Ma, and Alois Knoll. Fedbev: Federated learning bird’s eye view perception transformer in road traffic systems. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 2023.
- [264] Runsheng Xu, Hao Xiang, Zhengzhong Tu, Xin Xia, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Jiaqi Ma. V2x-vit: Vehicle-to-everything cooperative perception with vision transformer. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 107–124. Springer, 2022.
- [265] Yiming Li, Dekun Ma, Ziyang An, Zixun Wang, Yiqi Zhong, Siheng Chen, and Chen Feng. V2x-sim: Multi-agent collaborative perception dataset and benchmark for autonomous driving. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(4):10914–10921, 2022.
- [266] German Ros, Laura Sellart, Joanna Materzynska, David Vazquez, and Antonio M Lopez. The synthia dataset: A large collection of synthetic images for semantic segmentation of urban scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3234–3243, 2016.
- [267] Adrien Gaidon, Qiao Wang, Yohann Cabon, and Eleonora Vig. Virtual worlds as proxy for multi-object tracking analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4340–4349, 2016.
- [268] Yohann Cabon, Naila Murray, and Martin Humenberger. Virtual kitti 2. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.10773*, 2020.
- [269] Quanyi Li, Zhenghao Peng, Lan Feng, Qihang Zhang, Zhenghai Xue, and Bolei Zhou. Metadrive: Composing diverse driving scenarios for generalizable reinforcement learning. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2022.
- [270] Alexey Dosovitskiy, German Ros, Felipe Codevilla, Antonio Lopez, and Vladlen Koltun. CARLA: An open urban driving simulator. In *Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1–16, 2017.
- [271] Alexander Amini, Tsun-Hsuan Wang, Igor Gilitschenski, Wilko Schwarting, Zhijian Liu, Song Han, Sertac Karaman, and Daniela Rus. Vista 2.0: An open, data-driven simulator for multimodal sensing and policy learning for autonomous vehicles. In *2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2022.
- [272] Autoware: Open-source software for urban autonomous driving. <https://github.com/CPFL/Autoware>. Accessed: 2024-08-26.
- [273] tier4. Awsim: Open source simulator for self-driving vehicles. <https://github.com/tier4/AWSIM>. Accessed: 2024-08-26.
- [274] Siemens. Prescan — simcenter autonomous vehicle solutions. <https://plm.sw.siemens.com/en-US/simcenter/autonomous-vehicle-solutions/prescan/>, 2020. Accessed on 8/31/2024.
- [275] Cole Gulino, Justin Fu, Wenjie Luo, George Tucker, Eli Bronstein, Yiren Lu, Jean Harb, Xinlei Pan, Yan Wang, Xiangyu Chen, et al. Waymax: An accelerated, data-driven simulator for large-scale autonomous driving research. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [276] Ming Zhou, Jun Luo, Julian Vilella, Yaodong Yang, David Rusu, Jiayu Miao, Weinan Zhang, Montgomery Alban, Iman Fadar, Zheng Chen, Aurora Chongxi Huang, Ying Wen, Kimia Hassanzadeh, Daniel Graves, Dong Chen, Zhengbang Zhu, Nhat Nguyen, Mohamed Elsayed, Kun Shao, Sanjeevan Ahilan, Baokuan Zhang, Jiannan Wu, Zhengang Fu, Kasra Rezaee, Peyman Yadmellat, Mohsen Rohani, Nicolas Perez Nieves, Yihan Ni, Seyedershad Banijamali, Alexander Cowen Rivers, Zheng Tian, Daniel Palenicek, Haitham bou Ammar, Hongbo Zhang, Wulong Liu, Jianye Hao, and Jun Wang. Smarts: Scalable multi-agent reinforcement learning training school for autonomous driving, 11 2020.
- [277] Panpan Cai, Yiyuan Lee, Yuanfu Luo, and David Hsu. Summit: A simulator for urban driving in massive mixed traffic. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 4023–4029. IEEE, 2020.

- [278] Martin Fellendorf. Vissim: A microscopic simulation tool to evaluate actuated signal control including bus priority. Technical report, PTV System GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany, 1994. Technical Paper, Session 32, 64th ITE Annual Meeting, Dallas, October 1994.
- [279] Muhammad Adnan, Francisco C. Pereira, Carlos Miguel Lima Azevedo, Kakali Basak, Milan Lovric, Sebastian Raveau Feliu, Yi Zhu, Joseph Ferreira, Christopher Zegras, and Moshe E. Ben-Akiva. Simmobility: A multi-scale integrated agent-based simulation platform. In *Proceedings of the 95th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board*, Washington, D.C., Aug 2015. Transportation Research Board.
- [280] David Wilkie, Jason Sewall, Weizi Li, and Ming C. Lin. Virtualized traffic at metropolitan scales. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 2:11, 2015.
- [281] Lei Lin, Weizi Li, and Srinivas Peeta. Predicting station-level bike-sharing demands using graph convolutional neural network. In *Transportation Research Board 98th Annual Meeting (TRB)*, 2019.
- [282] Weizi Li, Dong Nie, David Wilkie, and Ming C. Lin. Citywide estimation of traffic dynamics via sparse GPS traces. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine*, 9(3):100–113, 2017.
- [283] Weizi Li, Meilei Jiang, Yaoyu Chen, and Ming C. Lin. Estimating urban traffic states using iterative refinement and reward equilibrium. *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*, 12(8):875–883, 2018.
- [284] Lei Lin, Weizi Li, and Srinivas Peeta. Efficient data collection and accurate travel time estimation in a connected vehicle environment via real-time compressive sensing. *Journal of Big Data Analytics in Transportation*, 1(2):95–107, 2019.
- [285] Qianwen Chao, Huikun Bi, Weizi Li, Tianlu Mao, Zhaoqi Wang, Ming C. Lin, and Zhigang Deng. A survey on visual traffic simulation: Models, evaluations, and applications in autonomous driving. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 39(1):287–308, 2020.
- [286] Bibek Poudel and Weizi Li. Black-box adversarial attacks on network-wide multi-step traffic state prediction models. In *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 3652–3658, 2021.
- [287] Lei Lin, Weizi Li, and Lei Zhu. Data-driven graph filter based graph convolutional neural network approach for network-level multi-step traffic prediction. *Sustainability*, 14(24):16701, 2022.
- [288] Ke Guo, Zhenwei Miao, Wei Jing, Weiwei Liu, Weizi Li, Dayang Hao, and Jia Pan. Lasil: Learner-aware supervised imitation learning for long-term microscopic traffic simulation. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 15386–15395, 2024.
- [289] Pablo Alvarez Lopez, Michael Behrisch, Laura Bieker-Walz, Jakob Erdmann, Yun-Pang Flötteröd, Robert Hilbrich, Leonhard Lücken, Johannes Rummel, Peter Wagner, and Evamarie Wießner. Microscopic traffic simulation using sumo. In *The 21st IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*. IEEE, 2018.
- [290] Praveen Palanisamy. Multi-agent connected autonomous driving using deep reinforcement learning. In *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–7. IEEE, IEEE, 2020.
- [291] Eugene Vinitzky, Nathan Lichtlé, Xiaomeng Yang, Brandon Amos, and Jakob Foerster. Nocturne: a scalable driving benchmark for bringing multi-agent learning one step closer to the real world. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.09889*, 2023.
- [292] Edouard Leurent. An environment for autonomous driving decision-making. <https://github.com/eleurent/highway-env>, 2018.
- [293] Matthias Müller, Vincent Casser, Jean Lahoud, Neil Smith, and Bernard Ghanem. Sim4cv: A photorealistic simulator for computer vision applications. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 126(9):902–919, 2018.
- [294] Liam Paull, Jacopo Tani, Hyunchul Ahn, Javier Alonso-Mora, Luca Carlone, Michal Cap, Yen-Fu Chen, Chiho Choi, Jakob Dusek, Yu Fang, et al. Duckietown: an open, inexpensive and flexible platform for autonomy education and research. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 1497–1504. IEEE, 2017.
- [295] Abhishek Santara, Soumya Rudra, Srinivas Avinash Buridi, Manikandasriram Kaushik, Abhinav Naik, Bharath Kaul, and Balaraman Ravindran. Madras: Multi agent driving simulator. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 70:1517–1555, 2021.
- [296] Pranav Kothari, Christian S. Perone, Luca Bergamini, Alexandre Alahi, and Peter Ondruska. Drivergym: Democratising reinforcement learning for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.06889*, 2021.
- [297] Deepdrive Team. Deepdrive: a simulator that allows anyone with a pc to push the state-of-the-art in self-driving. <https://github.com/deepdrive/deepdrive>. Accessed: 2024-08-31.
- [298] Mark Martinez, Chawin Sitawarin, Kevin Finch, Lennart Meincke, Alex Yablonski, and Alain Kornhauser. Beyond grand theft auto v for training, testing and enhancing deep learning in self-driving cars. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.01397*, 2017.
- [299] Cathy Wu, Aboudy Kreidieh, Kanaad Parvate, Eugene Vinitzky, and Alexandre M. Bayen. Flow: A modular learning framework for mixed autonomy traffic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.05465*, 2017. Last revised 30 Dec 2021, version 4.
- [300] Huichu Zhang, Siyuan Feng, Chang Liu, Yaoyao Ding, Yichen Zhu, Zihan Zhou, Weinan Zhang, Yong Yu, Haiming Jin, and Zhenhui Li. Cityflow: A multi-agent reinforcement learning environment for large scale city traffic scenario. In *Proceedings of the 2019 World Wide Web Conference (WWW '19)*, pages 399–409. ACM, 2019.
- [301] Udacity Team. Udacity’s self-driving car simulator: A self-driving car simulator built with unity. <https://github.com/udacity/self-driving-car-sim>. Accessed: 2024-08-31.
- [302] IPG Automotive. Carmaker — ipg automotive. <https://ipg-automotive.com/products-services/simulation-software/carmaker/>. Accessed on 2024-08-31.
- [303] Mechanical Simulation Corporation. Carsim. <https://www.carsim.com>, 2024. Accessed: 2024-08-31.
- [304] 4D-Virtualiz. 4d-virtualiz robotics simulator. <https://www.4d-virtualiz.com/en/robotics-simulator/>, 2024. Accessed on 08/31/2024.
- [305] Eric Rohmer, Surya P. N. Singh, and Marc Freese. Coppeliassim (formerly v-rep): a versatile and scalable robot simulation framework. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, volume 1, pages 1–10, 2009.
- [306] Nathan Koenig and Andrew Howard. Design and use paradigms for gazebo, an open-source multi-robot simulator. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 2149–2154, Sendai, Japan, September 28-October 2 2004. IEEE.
- [307] Webots. <http://www.cyberbotics.com>. Open-source Mobile Robot Simulation Software.
- [308] Aimsun. Aimsun next overview. <https://www.aimsun.com/aimsun-next-overview/>. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [309] MATSim. Matsim. <https://matsim.org/>. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [310] Moshe Ben-Akiva, Haris N. Koutsopoulos, Tomer Toledo, Qi Yang, Charisma F. Choudhury, Constantinos Antoniou, and Ramachandran Balakrishna. Traffic simulation with mitsimlab. In *Fundamentals of Traffic Simulation**, pages 233–268. Springer, 2010.
- [311] Apollo Auto. Apollo: An open autonomous driving platform. <https://github.com/ApolloAuto/apollo>, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [312] rFpro. rFpro - driving simulation, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [313] Hexagon. Hexagon - virtual test drive (vtd), 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [314] Artificial Intelligence Laboratory. Artificial intelligence lab, ut austin, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [315] Julian Bernhard, Klemens Esterle, Patrick Hart, and Tobias Kessler. Bark: Open behavior benchmarking in multi-agent environments. In *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2020.
- [316] Behnam Torabi, Mohammad Al-Zinati, and Rym Zalila-Wenkstern. Matisse 3.0: A large-scale multi-agent simulation system for intelligent transportation systems. In Yves Demazeau, Alberto Campbell, Juan M. Corchado, and Philippe Mathieu, editors, *PAAMS 2018: Advances in Practical Applications of Agents, Multi-Agent Systems, and Complexity: The PAAMS Collection*, pages 357–360. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018.
- [317] Matthew O’Kelly, Hongrui Zheng, Dhruv Karthik, and Rahul Mangharam. Fltenth: An open-source evaluation environment for continuous control and reinforcement learning. In *NeurIPS 2019 Competition and Demonstration Track*, pages 77–89. PMLR, 2020.
- [318] MOVSim Development Team. Movsim: Multi-model open-source vehicular simulation. <https://github.com/movsim/movsim>, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.

- [319] BeamNG GmbH. Beamng.drive. <https://www.beamng.com/game/>, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [320] Stefano Carpin, Mike Lewis, Jijun Wang, Stephen Balakirsky, and Chris Scrapper. USARSim: A Robot Simulator for Research and Education. In *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 1400–1405, Roma, Italy, April 10-14 2007. IEEE.
- [321] Joshua Auld, Michael Hope, Hubert Ley, Vadim Sokolov, Bo Xu, and Kuilin Zhang. Polaris: Agent-based modeling framework development and implementation for integrated travel demand and network and operations simulations. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 64:101–116, 2016.
- [322] Michal Jakob and Zbynek Moler. Modular framework for simulation modelling of interaction-rich transport systems. In *Proceedings of the 16th International IEEE Annual Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC 2013)*, pages 2152–2159, The Hague, The Netherlands, October 6-9 2013. IEEE.
- [323] A. Halati, H. Lieu, and S. Walker. Corsim-corridor traffic simulation model. In *Traffic congestion and traffic safety in the 21st century: Challenges, innovations, and opportunities*, 1997.
- [324] Gordon DB Cameron and Gordon ID Duncan. Paramics—parallel microscopic simulation of road traffic. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 10:25–53, 1996.
- [325] Caliper Corporation. Transmodeler traffic simulation software, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [326] Simon Suo, Sebastian Regalado, Sergio Casas, and Raquel Urtasun. Trafficsim: Learning to simulate realistic multi-agent behaviors. Technical Report LA-UR-95-1641, Uber ATG and University of Toronto, University of Waterloo, Los Alamos National Lab., NM (United States), 2021.
- [327] AnyLogic. Road traffic simulation, 2024. Accessed: 2024-09-01.
- [328] Shuhan Tan, Kelvin Wong, Shenlong Wang, Sivabalan Manivasagam, Mengye Ren, and Raquel Urtasun. Scenegen: Learning to generate realistic traffic scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. Uber Advanced Technologies Group, Sun Yat-Sen University, University of Toronto, 2024.
- [329] Rohan Chandra. Behavior-driven traffic simulator. <https://github.com/rohanchandra30/Behavior-Driven-Traffic-Simulator>, 2024. GitHub repository.
- [330] Guodong Rong, Byung Hyun Shin, Hadi Tabatabaee, Qiang Lu, Steve Lemke, Märtiņš Možeiko, Eric Boise, Geehoon Uhm, Mark Gerow, Shalin Mehta, et al. Lgsvl simulator: A high fidelity simulator for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.03778*, 2020.
- [331] Rahul Kumar Bhadani, Jonathan Sprinkle, and Matthew Bunting. The cat vehicle testbed: A simulator with hardware in the loop for autonomous vehicle applications. In M. Gleirscher, S. Kugele, and S. Linker, editors, *2nd International Workshop on Safe Control of Autonomous Vehicles (SCAV 2018)*, EPTCS 269, pages 32–47. EPTCS, 2018. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.
- [332] Serge Klein, Rene Savelsberg, Feihong Xia, Daniel Guse, Jakob Andert, Torsten Blochwitz, Claudia Bellanger, Stefan Walter, Steffen Beringer, Janek Jochheim, et al. Engine in the loop: Closed loop test bench control with real-time simulation. *SAE International journal of commercial vehicles*, 10(2017-01-0219):95–105, 2017.
- [333] Qiao Sun, Xin Huang, Brian C. Williams, and Hang Zhao. Intersim: Interactive traffic simulation via explicit relation modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.14413*, Oct 2022.
- [334] Zirui Wu, Tianyu Liu, Liyi Luo, Zhide Zhong, Jianteng Chen, Hongmin Xiao, Chao Hou, Haozhe Lou, Yuantao Chen, Runyi Yang, Yuxin Huang, Xiaoyu Ye, Zike Yan, Yongliang Shi, Yiyi Liao, and Hao Zhao. Mars: An instance-aware, modular and realistic simulator for autonomous driving. *CICAI*, 2023.
- [335] Yihan Hu, Jiazhi Yang, Li Chen, Keyu Li, Chonghao Sima, Xizhou Zhu, Siqi Chai, Senyao Du, Tianwei Lin, Wenhai Wang, et al. Planning-oriented autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 17853–17862, 2023.
- [336] Jonathan Binns, Daniel Neil, Shih-Chii Liu, and Tobi Delbrück. Ddd17: End-to-end davis driving dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.01458*, 2017.
- [337] Li Chen, Penghao Wu, Kashyap Chitta, Bernhard Jaeger, Andreas Geiger, and Hongyang Li. End-to-end autonomous driving: Challenges and frontiers. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2024.
- [338] Can Cui, Yunsheng Ma, Xu Cao, Wenqian Ye, Yang Zhou, Kaizhao Liang, Jintai Chen, Juanwu Lu, Zichong Yang, Kuei-Da Liao, et al. A survey on multimodal large language models for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 958–979, 2024.
- [339] Arun Balajee Vasudevan, Dengxin Dai, and Luc Van Gool. Object referring in videos with language and human gaze. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4129–4138, 2018.
- [340] Dongming Wu, Wencheng Han, Tiancai Wang, Yingfei Liu, Xiangyu Zhang, and Jianbing Shen. Language prompt for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.04379*, 2023.
- [341] Yunsheng Ma, Can Cui, Xu Cao, Wenqian Ye, Peiran Liu, Juanwu Lu, Amr Abdelraouf, Rohit Gupta, Kyungtae Han, Aniket Bera, et al. Lampilot: An open benchmark dataset for autonomous driving with language model programs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 15141–15151, 2024.
- [342] Vikrant Dewangan, Tushar Choudhary, Shivam Chandhok, Shubham Priyadarshan, Anushka Jain, Arun K Singh, Siddharth Srivastava, Krishna Murthy Jatavallabhula, and K Madhava Krishna. Talk2bev: Language-enhanced bird’s-eye view maps for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02251*, 2023.
- [343] Xingcheng Zhou, Mingyu Liu, Bare Luka Zagar, Ekim Yurtsever, and Alois C Knoll. Vision language models in autonomous driving and intelligent transportation systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14414*, 2023.
- [344] Fei-Yue Wang, Qinghai Miao, Lingxi Li, Qinghua Ni, Xuan Li, Juanjuan Li, Lili Fan, Yonglin Tian, and Qing-Long Han. When does sora show: The beginning of tao to imaginative intelligence and scenarios engineering. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 11(4):809–815, 2024.
- [345] Xuan Li, Yonglin Tian, Peijun Ye, Haibin Duan, and Fei-Yue Wang. A novel scenarios engineering methodology for foundation models in metaverse. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 53(4):2148–2159, 2022.
- [346] Yonglin Tian, Xuan Li, Hui Zhang, Chen Zhao, Bai Li, Xiao Wang, and Fei-Yue Wang. Vistagpt: Generative parallel transformers for vehicles with intelligent systems for transport automation. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 2023.
- [347] Seung Wook Kim, Jonah Philion, Antonio Torralba, and Sanja Fidler. Drivegan: Towards a controllable high-quality neural simulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5820–5829, 2021.
- [348] Anthony Hu, Lloyd Russell, Hudson Yeo, Zak Murez, George Fedoseev, Alex Kendall, Jamie Shotton, and Gianluca Corrado. Gaia-1: A generative world model for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17080*, 2023.
- [349] Xiaofeng Wang, Zheng Zhu, Guan Huang, Xinze Chen, and Jiwen Lu. Drivedreamer: Towards real-world-driven world models for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09777*, 2023.
- [350] Fan Jia, Weixin Mao, Yingfei Liu, Yucheng Zhao, Yuqing Wen, Chi Zhang, Xiangyu Zhang, and Tiancai Wang. Adriver-i: A general world model for autonomous driving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.13549*, 2023.
- [351] Mengjiao Yang, Yilun Du, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Jonathan Tompson, Dale Schuurmans, and Pieter Abbeel. Learning interactive real-world simulators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06114*, 2023.
- [352] Manuel Schwonberg, Joshua Niemeijer, Jan-Aike Termöhlen, Nico M Schmidt, Hanno Gottschalk, Tim Fingscheidt, et al. Survey on unsupervised domain adaptation for semantic segmentation for visual perception in automated driving. *IEEE Access*, 11:54296–54336, 2023.
- [353] Yi Wei, Zibu Wei, Yongming Rao, Jiabin Li, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Lidar distillation: Bridging the beam-induced domain gap for 3d object detection. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 179–195. Springer, 2022.
- [354] Chuqing Hu, Sinclair Hudson, Martin Ethier, Mohammad Al-Sharman, Derek Rayside, and William Melek. Sim-to-real domain adaptation for lane detection and classification in autonomous driving. In *2022 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 457–463. IEEE, 2022.

- [355] Sina Shafaei, Stefan Kugele, Mohd Hafeez Osman, and Alois Knoll. Uncertainty in machine learning: A safety perspective on autonomous driving. In *Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security: SAFECOMP 2018 Workshops, ASSURE, DECSoS, SASSUR, STRIVE, and WAISE, Västerås, Sweden, September 18, 2018, Proceedings 37*, pages 458–464. Springer, 2018.